

Comment on “Stress induction in the bacteria
Shewanella oneidensis and *Deinococcus*
radiodurans in response to below-background
 ionizing radiation”, Castillo, *et al.* Int. J.
 Rad. Biol., 2015; Early Online DOI:
 10.3109/09553002.2015.1062571

J. I. Katz
 Department of Physics
 McDonnell Center for the Space Sciences
 Washington University, St. Louis, Mo. 63130 USA
 katz@wuphys.wustl.edu

December 21, 2021

Castillo, *et al.* [1] report that reducing levels of ionizing radiation below background inhibits growth in these two species of bacteria. This result has the remarkable implication that background levels of radiation, at which there is a very small probability of even one ionizing event in a bacterium in its replication time, are sufficient to facilitate its growth.

In this study natural backgrounds were simulated by a gamma-ray irradiation of approximately 100 nGy h^{-1} . For bacteria with a generation time of 3 hours the energy deposition was about $3 \times 10^{-7} \text{ J kg}^{-1}$ per generation. The mean energy deposition per ionization is about 30 eV ($5 \times 10^{-18} \text{ J}$), so that simulated background corresponds to about 6×10^{10} ionizations per kg per generation.

The mass of a *S. oneidensis* bacterium is about $6 \times 10^{-16} \text{ kg}$, while that of a *D. Radiodurans* bacterium is about $8 \times 10^{-15} \text{ kg}$. Hence the number of

ionizations per *S. oneidensis* per generation is about 4×10^{-5} while that per *D. radiodurans* per generation is about 5×10^{-4} . Only tiny fractions of the bacteria experience even a single ionization during their lifetimes. The actual fractions are even smaller than these numbers indicate because ionizations are clustered along the recoil paths of electrons that Compton scatter the irradiating gamma rays. Hormesis cannot be acting through a response to ionization during the lifetime of a bacterium.

Perhaps a single bacterium lucky enough to have an ionization event produces a super-vigorous clone that dominates its colony. This would imply an incubation time for the clone to dominate its colony and increase the measured replication rate; no such incubation time is evident in the data.

If the reported result is valid, it must be produced by exposure of the growth medium to background radiation long (thousands of hours) before it is incorporated into the bacteria. It would be necessary that the hormetic effect be effective in a large fraction of the bacteria growing in that medium; most bacterial cells must contain at least one molecule produced by ionization. Some free radical or other species produced by ionizing radiation must have long enough lifetime to accumulate to concentrations of at least one per bacterium.

This hypothesis could be tested by lengthy (thousands of hours) exposure of the growth medium to background radiation prior to incubating bacteria in a low radiation environment, and *vice versa*; it predicts that long prior exposure would be hormetic, but not exposure during the brief period of bacterial growth. Experiments of this type could be conducted at higher radiation intensities (and with shorter durations) to determine if hormesis is produced by irradiation during bacterial growth or if radiation pre-conditions the growth medium.

Mammalian cells are much bigger than bacteria. Further, a long-lived multicellular organism might be affected by events in a small fraction of its cells. These arguments are therefore not applicable to the possibility of hormesis in mammals, either at background radiation levels or at higher levels.

References

- [1] Castillo, H., Schoderbek, D., Dulal, S., Escobar, G., Wood, J., Nelson, R. and Smith, G. *Int. J. Rad. Biol.* Early Online DOI:

10.3109/09553002.2015.1062571 (2015).