

# A Mechanism of Ultraviolet Naturalness

Durmuş Demir\*

*Department of Physics, Izmir Institute of Technology, 35430, Izmir, Turkey*

(Dated: January 17, 2022)

## Abstract

The Standard Model (SM), as the quantum field theory of the strong and electroweak interactions, needs be carried into curved spacetime to incorporate gravity. This is done here not for the full but for the effective SM action by integrating-in affine curvature. This approach leads to naturalization of the SM Higgs sector and reduction of the cosmological constant down to the neutrino scale thanks to the fact that quadratic (quartic) UV contributions to the Higgs boson mass (vacuum energy) turn into the Higgs-curvature coupling (the Einstein-Hilbert term). New physics beyond the SM, necessary for inducing gravity properly, does not have to interact with the SM. It can form a secluded sector to source non-interacting dark matter observable via only gravity, or a weakly-coupled sector to source dark matter and possible collider signals.

The SM, experimentally verified to describe the physics at the Fermi scale  $G_F$ , develops the effective action

$$S_{\Lambda}^{eff}(\eta) = S_{G_F}(\eta_{\mu\nu}, \log(G_F\Lambda^2)) + S_{\Lambda}(\eta) \quad (1)$$

after integrating out quantum field fluctuations ranging in frequency from  $G_F^{-1/2}$  up to an ultraviolet (UV) scale  $\Lambda$ , which can be as high as the gravitational scale  $M_{Pl}$ . Here,  $\Lambda$  is a physical scale marking the start of the UV physics that completes the SM.

The first part of (1),  $S_{G_F}(\eta_{\mu\nu}, \log(G_F\Lambda^2))$ , involves the tree-level SM interactions improved by logarithmic UV contributions, where the flat metric  $\eta_{\mu\nu}$  is there to enable kinetic terms. In its ground state, the Higgs doublet  $H$ , endowed with the condensation parameter  $m_H^2 \simeq -G_F^{-1}$ , condenses as  $\langle H^\dagger H \rangle \simeq G_F^{-1}$  to generate particle masses in units of  $G_F^{-1/2}$  and to deposit a vacuum energy of size  $G_F^{-2}$ . The net vacuum energy can always be zeroed

$$\langle S_{G_F}(\eta_{\mu\nu}, \log(G_F\Lambda^2)) \rangle = 0 \quad (2)$$

by suitably choosing its incalculable tree-level part. This ground state, the electroweak vacuum, is phenomenologically viable and technically natural [1].

The second part of (1)

$$S_{\Lambda}(\eta) = \int d^4x \sqrt{|\eta|} \{ c_4 \Lambda^4 + c_2 m_H^2 \Lambda^2 + c_H \Lambda^2 H^\dagger H \} \quad (3)$$

encodes quantum corrections to vacuum and Higgs sectors. It is power-law in the UV scale  $\Lambda$ . It can be small or large depending on the Higgs field and the UV scale. For a UV-sized  $\langle H^\dagger H \rangle$ , which is physically unacceptable, it is possible to suppress  $S_{\Lambda}(\eta)$ . For  $\langle H^\dagger H \rangle \simeq G_F^{-1}$ , as in the physical electroweak vacuum, however, the same action can be hierarchically large depending on how large  $\Lambda$  is. In fact, the correction  $c_H \Lambda^2 \cong -(\Lambda/4)^2$  to Higgs boson mass exceeds is already at  $\Lambda \cong 550$  GeV. This means that the power-law UV contributions in  $S_{\Lambda}(\eta)$  render the SM unnatural just above the Fermi scale if the Higgs field is in the electroweak vacuum, that is, in the ground state of  $S_{G_F}(\eta_{\mu\nu}, \log(G_F\Lambda^2))$  [1]. It is due to this unnaturalness that the natural extensions of the SM (supersymmetry, extra dimensions, technicolor and their derivatives) have been expected to show up at the Fermi scale, more precisely, around 550 GeV. They have not, however, even glimpsed in the LHC searches which now probe TeV energies [2, 3]. Thus, the SM must be utilizing a different mechanism which:

1. must fix  $\Lambda$  to a phenomenal scale for loop integrations to be physical rather than arbitrary regularizations,
2. must necessitate no new visible particles in charted domains to be compatible with the LHC results, and
3. must involve no fine-tunings to qualify natural.

These requirements seem to block all roads but gravity. Yet, the SM continues to be unnatural in curved spacetime. It can be stabilized at the Fermi scale with a severe yet harmless fine-tuning in the Higgs-curvature coupling [4]. The same coupling facilitates stabilization via also nonlinear dynamics above the Fermi scale [5]. It is unnatural also in Sakharov's induced gravity where  $M_{Pl} \propto \Lambda$  [6]. It can, however, go natural if gravity is generated by integrating-in curvature upon the SM effective action in flat spacetime. Indeed, in the ground state of  $S_{GF}(\eta_{\mu\nu})$  where (2) holds, the total action  $\langle S_{\Lambda}^{eff}(\eta) \rangle$  reduces to  $\langle S_{\Lambda}(\eta) \rangle$  and constancy of the action density facilitates introduction of the affine action

$$\langle S_{\Lambda}^{eff}(R) \rangle = \langle S_{\Lambda}(R) \rangle = \int d^4x \sqrt{\left\| \frac{R(\Gamma)}{\Lambda^2} \right\|} \left\{ c_4 \Lambda^4 + c_2 m_H^2 \Lambda^2 + c_H \Lambda^2 \langle H^\dagger H \rangle \right\} \quad (4)$$

where  $\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda$  is the affine connection and  $R_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma)$  is its Ricci tensor [7, 8]. This metamorphosis of  $\langle S_{\Lambda}(\eta) \rangle$  into  $\langle S_{\Lambda}(R) \rangle$  is justified by the fact that  $\langle S_{\Lambda}(\eta) \rangle$  is nothing but the stationary value of  $\langle S_{\Lambda}(R) \rangle$ . Indeed,  $\langle S_{\Lambda}(R) \rangle$  stays stationary if affine curvature obeys the equation of motion

$$\nabla_{\alpha}^{\Gamma} \left( \sqrt{\left\| \frac{R(\Gamma)}{\Lambda^2} \right\|} \left\{ \left( \frac{R(\Gamma)}{\Lambda^2} \right)^{-1} \right\}^{\mu\nu} \right) = 0 \quad (5)$$

whose solution (the so-called Eddington solution [7, 8])

$$R_{\mu\nu}(\bar{g}\Gamma) = \Lambda^2 \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \quad (6)$$

reduces  $\langle S_{\Lambda}(R) \rangle$  to  $\langle S_{\Lambda}(\bar{g}) \rangle$ . Here,  $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$  is a covariantly-constant tensor that acts as metric tensor [8] with Levi-Civita connection  $\bar{g}\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda$  and Ricci tensor  $R_{\mu\nu}(\bar{g}\Gamma)$ . It relaxes to the flat metric,  $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \eta_{\mu\nu}$ , in the absence of curvature terms.

It should be clear that, the reduction of  $\langle S_{\Lambda}(R) \rangle$  into  $\langle S_{\Lambda}(\bar{g}) \rangle$  means integration of the spacetime curvature out of dynamics. Speaking conversely, affine curvature can be integrated in  $\langle S_{\Lambda}(\eta) \rangle$  to carry it into curved spacetime. This observation reveals a method to map the

SM effective action in flat spacetime into curved affine spacetime, where UV sensitivity can get modified by curvature effects. This because the quartic and quadratic  $\Lambda$  terms in (4) get modified by the  $1/\Lambda$  factor in the determinant.

It is clear that the metamorphosis in (4) holds in the ground state of  $S_{G_F}(\eta_{\mu\nu})$ . Perturbations about this vacuum state affects the affine dynamics. The least affected piece is  $S_\Lambda(R)$  because replacement of  $\langle H^\dagger H \rangle$  with  $H^\dagger H$  does not modify (4) in form. This is not the case for  $S_{G_F}(\eta_{\mu\nu})$ , however. The reason is that it involves metric tensor – an object having no place in affine geometry. As a matter of fact, for  $S_\Lambda(R)$  in affine geometry and  $S_{G_F}(g_{\mu\nu})$  in metrical geometry to amalgamate to form the SM action in curved geometry,  $R_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma)$  and  $g_{\mu\nu}$  must be bridged by an auxiliary relation. The requisite relation, a constitutive law bridging the two geometries, must reduce, when  $S_{G_F}(g_{\mu\nu}) \rightarrow 0$ , to the motion equation (6) because it is the definition of metric. In consequence, the specific relationship

$$R_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{R(g, {}^g\Gamma)} \Lambda g_{\mu\nu} \quad (7)$$

qualifies as a proper constitutive law because it tends to (6) as  $S_{G_F}(g_{\mu\nu}) \rightarrow 0$ . In this very limit,  $g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}$  and hence  $R(g, {}^g\Gamma) \rightarrow R(\bar{g}, \bar{g}\Gamma) \equiv (\bar{g}^{-1})^{\mu\nu} R_{\nu\mu}(\bar{g}\Gamma)$  with  $R(\bar{g}, \bar{g}\Gamma) = 4\Lambda^2$ . Thus, with the constitutive law (7),  $S_\Lambda(R)$  transforms into

$$S_\Lambda(g) = \int d^4x \sqrt{\|g\|} \left( c_4 \Lambda^2 + c_2 m_H^2 + c_H H^\dagger H \right) R(g, {}^g\Gamma) \quad (8)$$

which hints at the Einstein-Hilbert action, but already at one loop

$$c_4 = \frac{1}{64\pi^2} (n_b - n_f) \quad (9)$$

is negative for  $n_b = 28$  bosonic and  $n_f = 90$  fermionic degrees of freedom in the SM. This means that, gravity can be generated as an attractive force only if the SM is extended by new physics (NP) having a spectrum  $n_b^{NP} - n_f^{NP} \geq 63$  at a scale  $\Lambda_{NP}$ . This ensures only attractive nature of gravity. There is more to it in that the UV scale of any field theory must be bounded by the fundamental scale of gravity. In other words, it is necessary to have  $\Lambda \leq M_{Pl}$ . Imposing this leads to the condition

$$n_b^{NP} - n_f^{NP} \gtrsim 128\pi^2 + 62 \simeq 1325 \quad (10)$$

which means that the NP is a rather crowded mostly-bosonic sector. It is clear that these extra fields do not, partly or wholly, have to interact with the SM spectrum. All they are

required is to provide the excess bosonic degrees of freedom necessary to induce gravity correctly in sign and in strength. This NP develops the UV action

$$S_{\Lambda}^{\text{NP}}(\eta) = \int d^4x \sqrt{\|\eta\|} c_4^{\text{NP}} \Lambda^4 \quad (11)$$

if its spectrum contains no scalar fields. This action, with  $c_4^{\text{NP}} = (n_b^{\text{NP}} - n_f^{\text{NP}})/64\pi^2$  as follows from (10), gives a gravity sector like (8) after integrating-in curvature as in (4) so that the combined  $SM + NP$  action

$$S_{G_F}(g_{\mu\nu}, \log(G_F \Lambda^2)) + S_{\Lambda_{\text{NP}}}(g_{\mu\nu}, \log(\Lambda^2/\Lambda_{\text{NP}}^2)) + S_G(g) \quad (12)$$

in curved spacetime possesses the gravity sector

$$S_G(g) = \int d^4x \sqrt{\|g\|} \left( \frac{1}{2} M_{Pl}^2 + \zeta_H H^\dagger H \right) R(g, {}^g\Gamma) \quad (13)$$

wherein the curvature couplings  $\zeta_H = c_H/4$  and

$$M_{Pl}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( (c_4 + c_4^{\text{NP}}) \Lambda^2 + c_2 m_H^2 \right) \quad (14)$$

are entirely fixed by the flat spacetime physics. Gravity gets induced correctly thanks to the NP contribution in (10).

It must be clear from (13) that, the sources of ultraviolet unnaturalness are completely defused: The UV-sized vacuum energy sources the gravitational constant in lieu of the cosmological constant (as was proposed first in [9, 10]), and the UV-sized Higgs boson mass converts into nonminimal Higgs-curvature coupling  $\zeta_H$ . These transmuted interactions ensure the stabilization of the SM at the Fermi scale [4]. The end result is that the unnatural SM in flat spacetime is metamorphosed into the natural SM in curved spacetime in collaboration with an NP sector. In other words, naturalness in the SM is achieved by carrying not the full but the effective SM action into curved spacetime. The latter is clearly more harmonious with the classical curved geometry.

Constitutive laws set gravity by specifying the spacetime geometry just as they do electrodynamics by specifying the material permittivity and permeability. They are vital for dynamical completeness. The constitutive law in (7) leads to the Einstein gravity. Its extension  $R_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma) = 1/4(\sqrt{R(g, {}^g\Gamma)}\Lambda + 1/2R(g, {}^g\Gamma))g_{\mu\nu}$  gives higher-curvature gravity with  $\Lambda R^{3/2}(g, {}^g\Gamma)$  and  $R^2(g, {}^g\Gamma)$  terms to be contrasted with Sakharov's induced gravity [6]. Its simpler forms,  $R_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma) = 1/4R(g, {}^g\Gamma)g_{\mu\nu}$  and  $R_{\mu\nu}(\Gamma) = \Lambda^2 g_{\mu\nu}$ , lead, respectively, to

quadratic curvature and zero curvature theories. These examples, all adhering to (6) when  $S_{G_F}(g_{\mu\nu})$  and  $S_{\Lambda_{NP}}(g_{\mu\nu})$  are absent, ensure that  $\sqrt{R(g,{}^g\Gamma)}$  is essential for Einstein gravity.

Concerning the construction of (4), one may wonder why the curvature integrated in is affine but not metrical. The reason for this is that one then obtains the action  $\int d^4x \sqrt{\|g\|} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} M_{Pl}^2 R(g,{}^g\Gamma) - c_4 \Lambda^4 - c_2 m_H^2 \Lambda^2 - c_H \Lambda^2 H^\dagger H \right\}$  instead of  $S_\Lambda(g)$  in (8). This alters the sign of vacuum energy. Also,  $S_{G_F}(g_{\mu\nu})$  develops anomalous interactions via the trace of the energy-momentum tensor. Therefore, integrating-in GR causes unphysical effects plaguing the curved spacetime field theory.

Comparatively eying, quartic and quadratic UV contributions, while cancel out in SUSY, convert into gravity in  $SM + NP$ . In SUSY, suppressing the Higgs mass shift

$$\delta m_h^2 \propto \Lambda_{SUSY}^2 \log(\Lambda^2/\Lambda_{SUSY}^2) \quad (15)$$

requires  $\Lambda_{SUSY}^2 \cong G_F^{-1}$ , which must be in tension with LHC if not with naturalness. In  $SM + NP$ , this logarithmic unnaturalness does not have to arise simply because SM and NP do not have to interact non-gravitationally for the mechanism to work. Indeed, NP can form a completely secluded sector to have only gravitational interactions with the SM, as assumed in deriving (13). This NP can source a non-interacting dark matter which reveals itself via only its weight through flat rotation curves of galaxies [12], for instance. This dark matter, impossible to observe in direct searches, can be conveniently called as pitch-dark or ebony matter. To this end, it is noteworthy that the latest direct search results seem to increasingly disfavor weakly-interacting massive particles at the Fermi scale as dark matter candidates [13].

Contrarily, if NP is weakly-coupled then one is led to the usual dark matter and weak signals at the LHC [3]. If coupling is significant then  $\zeta_H \rightarrow \zeta_H + c_H^{NP}/4$  in (13) and  $S_{\Lambda_{NP}}(g_{\mu\nu}, \log(\Lambda^2/\Lambda_{NP}^2))$  in (12) shifts Higgs mass by

$$\delta m_h^2 \propto \Lambda_{NP}^2 \log(\Lambda^2/\Lambda_{NP}^2) \quad (16)$$

that is waned if  $\Lambda_{NP} \cong G_F^{-1/2}$ . This causes logarithmic unnaturalness, as in SUSY [14]. The searches at the LHC, which have now reached energies fairly above the Fermi scale, have found no significant signal of any new scalar field. In this sense, it would not be unrealistic to anticipate that the NP may not involve any scalar fields.

Here is the summary. The SM is the quantum field theory of the strong and electroweak interactions. To include gravity as the fourth force it is necessary to carry the SM into

curved spacetime. This is done in the present work by taking not the full but the effective SM action into curved spacetime. This is more natural in view of the harmony between the quantized matter with effective dynamics and classical curved geometry. Here, carriage into curved spacetime is done by integrating-in affine curvature. This leads to eradication of the Higgs naturalness problem (Higgs mass is of order  $G_F^{-1/2}$ ), suppression of the cosmological constant towards the neutrino scale (vacuum energy is at most of order  $G_F^{-2}$ ), and formation of a viable dark matter candidate (NP can be secluded). The workings of the mechanism is such that gravity, not included in the spectrum of forces in the  $SM$ , is brought in by incorporating it as a means for naturalizing the model. Further work is needed for modeling the NP, elucidating dark matter, downsizing cosmological constant to Hubble scale [8], explicating gravity sector, and studying various concurrent phenomena.

The author thanks I. Antoniadis and R. Güven for conversations on integrating out gravity, G. Demir for discussions on electromagnetic constitutive laws, H. Azri and K. Gültekin for conversations on affine gravity, C. Karahan and B. Korutlu for discussions on naturalness, and O. Doğangün, A. Özpineci and İ. Turan for reading the manuscript and conversations. This work is supported in part by the TÜBİTAK grant 115F212.

---

\* demir@physics.iztech.edu.tr; <http://physics.iyte.edu.tr/staff/durmus-ali-demir/>

- [1] V. F. Weisskopf, Phys. Rev. **56**, 72 (1939); L. Susskind, Phys. Rev. D **20**, 2619 (1979); M. J. G. Veltman, Acta Phys. Polon. B **12**, 437 (1981); “Reflections on the Higgs system,” CERN-97-05, CERN-YELLOW-97-05; G. F. Giudice, PoS EPS-HEP2013, 163 (2013) [arXiv:1307.7879 [hep-ph]].
- [2] G. Aad *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 191803 (2015) [arXiv: 1503.07589 [hep-ex]].
- [3] LHC Exotica and SUSY results: <https://twiki.cern.ch/>
- [4] D. A. Demir, Phys. Lett. B **733**, 237 (2014) [arXiv: 1405.0300 [hep-ph]].
- [5] J. J. van der Bij, hep-th/9310064; Proc. High En. Phys. and Quantum Field Theory, Zvenigorod, 129-131 (1993) [hep-ph/9312246].
- [6] A. D. Sakharov, Dokl. Akad. Nauk Ser. Fiz. **177**, 70 (1967) [Sov. Phys. Usp. **34**, 394 (1991)]; M. Visser, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **17**, 977 (2002) [gr-qc/0204062].
- [7] A. S. Eddington, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. **A99**, 742 (1919); E. Schroedinger, *Space-Time Struc-*

- ture*, Cambridge University Press (1950).
- [8] D. A. Demir, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 064017 (2014) [arXiv: 1409.2572 [gr-qc]].
  - [9] D. A. Demir, Found. Phys. **39**, 1407 (2009) [arXiv: 0910.2730 [hep-th]].
  - [10] D. A. Demir, Phys. Lett. B **701**, 496 (2011) [arXiv: 1102.2276 [hep-th]].
  - [11] M. Tegmark, A. Aguirre, M. Rees and F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 023505 (2006) [astro-ph/0511774].
  - [12] P. J. E. Peebles and A. Vilenkin, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 103506 (1999) [astro-ph/9904396]; Y. Tang and Y. L. Wu, Phys. Lett. B **758**, 402 (2016) [arXiv:1604.04701 [hep-ph]].
  - [13] A. Tan *et al.* [PandaX-II Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, no. 12, 121303 (2016) [arXiv:1607.07400 [hep-ex]]; D. S. Akerib *et al.* [LUX Collaboration], arXiv:1608.07648 [astro-ph.CO].
  - [14] S. P. Martin, Adv. Ser. Direct. High Energy Phys. **21**, 1 (2010) [hep-ph/9709356].