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Abstract

The paper presents results, indicating that embedding theorems do not allow to study a process of a catastrophe formation. In fact, the paper justifies Terence Taos pessimism about a failure of modern mathematics to solve the Navier-Stokes problem. An alternative method is proposed for dealing with the gradient catastrophe by studying Fourier transformation for a function and selecting a function singularity through phase singularities of Fourier transformation for a given function. The analytic properties of the scattering amplitude are discussed in $\mathbb{R}^3$, and a representation of the potential is obtained using the scattering amplitude. A uniform time estimation of the Cauchy problem solution for the Navier-Stokes equations is provided.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The research presents a process of gradient catastrophe formation under conditions of phase change. The paper shows that classical methods of the function
estimation theory in context of Sobolev-Schwartz Space Theory are not suitable for studying gradient catastrophe problem. Results presented here, show that the embedding theorems do not allow to study a process of a catastrophe formation. Actually, the paper justifies Terence Tao’s pessimism about a failure of using present mathematical methods for solving the Navier-Stokes problem. An alternative method is proposed for studying gradient catastrophe by applying Fourier transformation to a function and selecting function singularity through phase singularities of Fourier transformation for a given function. We know a general definition of a gradient catastrophe - an unbounded increase of a function derivative upon conditions of boundedness of the function itself. This phenomenon occurs in various problems of hydrodynamics, such as a formation of shock waves, weather fronts, hydraulic and seismic fracturing, and others. In modern physics and mathematics, as well as in many other areas of science and technology, this phenomenon is considered as a very difficult problem, both from a theoretical and applied perspective. From a theoretical point of view this is important as we have to know how to describe qualitative changes in processes, which are manifested in appearance of new quality objects during a process of description model evolution, and in the context of applied research, the problem is facing numerical instability in the event of a gradient catastrophe formation. Thus, we approach an important obstacle while using modeling - a barrier created by the gradient catastrophe. Since, on the one hand, the gradient catastrophe is still unknown phenomenon, it is very important from a practical point of view, because the phenomenon is connected with the most interesting and important aspects of reality. Terence Tao formulated and illustrated this in [1] based on the Millennium problem stated by Clay Institute for the Navier-Stokes equations. Our point of view on these issues agrees with one, stated in article [1]-[7] but in our research we propose a way to solving these problems. Our point of view is that the modern mathematical methods of the theory of functions dedicated to the function estimation have ignored such an important component of the Fourier transformation as its phase. Our research is outlined as follows: first, we give examples of the gradient catastrophe caused
by the phase change, and then proceed to an expansion of classes of functions subjected to the gradient catastrophe. Our final results lie in the nonlinear representation of functions showing some new classification of functions through a phase classification. In addition, the notions of discreteness and continuity of functions are naturally merged. And, in our opinion, this leads to understanding of how discrete objects are born under a continuous change of the world. Discrete objects are associated with discrete spectrum of the Liouville- Schrödinger equations. And they, as it is known, reflect the wave nature of things. But here, we abstract away from the quantum formalism, because our goal lies in a purely mathematical approach to the analysis of the arbitrary functions. For the analysis of which, we formally consider a function as a potential of the Schrödinger equation. At the same time we come across the concepts that generated by the Liouville- Schrödinger equations. These concepts allow to classify and estimate functions by a phase generated by discrete spectrum of the Liouville equation.

2. Results for the one-dimensional case

Let us consider one-dimensional function $f$ and its Fourier transformation $\hat{f}$. Using notions of module and phase, we write Fourier transformation in the following form $\hat{f} = |\hat{f}| \exp(i\Psi)$, where $\Psi$ is phase. To cite Plancherel equality: $||f||_{L^2} = Const ||\hat{f}||_{L^2}$. Here we can see that a phase is not contributed to determination of $X$ norm. To estimate a maximum we have a simple estimate as $\max |f|^2 \leq 2||f||_{L^2}||\nabla f||_{L^2}$. Now we have an estimate of the function maximum in which a phase is not involved. Let us consider a behavior of a progressing wave running with a constant velocity of $v = a$ described by function $F(x, t) = f(x + at)$. For its Fourier transformation along x variable we have $\hat{F} = \hat{f} \exp(iatk)$. Again in this case we can see that when we will be studying a module of the Fourier transformation, we will not obtain major physical information about the wave, such as its velocity and location of the wave crest because of $|\hat{F}| = |\hat{f}|$. These two examples show weaknesses of studying Fourier transformation. On the other hand, many researchers focus on the study of func-
tions using embedding theorem, but in the embedding theorems main object of the study is module of function. But as we have seen in given examples, a phase is a main physical characteristic of a process, and as we can see in the mathematical studies, which use embedding theorems with energy estimates, the phase disappears. Along with phase, all reasonable information about physical process disappears, as demonstrated by Terence Tao [1] and other research considerations. In fact, he built progressing waves that are not followed energy estimates. Let us proceed with more essential analysis of influence of the phase on behavior of functions.

**Theorem 1.** There are functions of $W^2_1(R)$ with a constant rate of the norm for a gradient catastrophe of which a phase change of its Fourier transformation is sufficient.

Proof: To prove this, we consider a sequence of testing functions $\tilde{f}_n = \Delta/(1+k^2), \Delta = (i-k)^n/(i+k)^n$. it is obvious that $|\tilde{f}_n| = 1/(1+k^2)$. $\max |f_n|^2 \leq 2||f_n||_{L_2}||\nabla f_n||_{L_2} \leq \text{Const.}$ Calculating the Fourier transformation of these testing functions, we obtain:

$$f_n(x) = x(-1)^{(n-1)}2\pi \exp(-x)L_{(n-1)}^1(2x)if \ x > 0, \ f_n(x) = 0 \ if \ x \leq 0$$

where $L_{(n-1)}^1(2x)$ is a Laguerre polynomial

3. Results for the three-dimensional case

Consider Schrodinger’sequation:

$$-\Delta_x \Psi + q\Psi = k^2\Psi, \ k \in C$$

Let $\Psi_+(k, \theta, x)$ be a solution of (2)with the following asympotic behavior:

$$\Psi_+(k, \theta, x) = \Psi_0(k, \theta, x) + e^{ik|x|/|\theta|}A(k, \theta, \theta) + O\left(\frac{1}{|\theta|}\right), |x| \to \infty,$$
where \( A(k, \theta', \theta) \) is the scattering amplitude and \( \theta' = \frac{x}{|x|}, \theta \in S^2 \) for \( k \in \mathcal{C}^+ = \{ \text{Im} k \geq 0 \} \)

\[
\Psi_0(k, \theta, x) = e^{ik(\theta, x)}
\]

\[
A(k, \theta', \theta) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q(x) \Psi_+(k, \theta, x) e^{-ik\theta' x} dx.
\]

Solutions (2)-(3) are obtained by solving the integral equation

\[
\Psi_+(k, \theta, x) = \Psi_0(k, \theta, x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q(y) e^{ik|x-y|} \Psi_+(k, \theta, y) dy = G(q \Psi_+)
\]

which is called the Lippman-Schwinger equation.

Let introduce

\[
\theta, \theta' \in S^2, Df = k \int_{S^2} A(k, \theta', \theta) f(k, \theta') d\theta,
\]

Let us also define the solution \( \Psi_-(k, \theta, x) \) for \( k \in \mathcal{C}^- = \{ \text{Im} k \leq 0 \} \) as

\[
\Psi_-(k, \theta, x) = \Psi_+(k, \theta, x)
\]

As is well known[8]:

\[
\Psi_+(k, \theta, x) - \Psi_-(k, \theta, x) = -\frac{k}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} A(k, \theta', \theta) \Psi_-(k, \theta', x) d\theta', \quad k \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

This equation is the key to solving the inverse scattering problem, and was first used by Newton [8,9] and Somersalo et al. [10].

**Definition 1.** The set of measurable functions \( \mathbb{R} \) with the norm, defined by

\[
||q||_R = \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} \frac{q(x)q(y)}{|x-y|^2} dxdy < \infty
\]

is recognized as being of Rollnik class.

Equation (4) is equivalent to the following:

\[
\Psi_+ = S \Psi_-
\]

where \( S \) is a scattering operator with the kernel \( S(k, l) \),

\[
S(k, l) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \Psi_+(k, x) \Psi^*_-(l, x) dx
\]

The following theorem was stated in [9]:
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Theorem 2. *(The energy and momentum conservation laws)* Let \( q \in \mathbb{R} \).

Then, \( SS^* = I, \quad S^* S = I \), where \( I \) is a unitary operator.

Corollary 1. \( SS^* = I, \quad S^* S = I \), yeild

\[
A(k, \theta', \theta) - A(k, \theta, \theta')^* = \frac{ik}{2\pi} \int_{S^2} A(k, \theta, \theta'') A(k, \theta', \theta'')^* d\theta''
\]

Theorem 3. *(Birmann–Schwinger estimation)*. Let \( q \in \mathbb{R} \). Then, the number of discrete eigenvalues can be estimated as:

\[
N(q) \leq \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{q(x)q(y)}{|x-y|^2} \, dx \, dy.
\]

Lemma 1. Let \( (|q|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + 4\pi |q|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}) < \alpha < 1/2 \). Then,

\[
\| \Psi_+ \|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{(|q|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + 4\pi |q|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)})}{1 - \left( |q|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + 4\pi |q|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right)} < \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha}
\]

\[
\left\| \frac{\partial (\Psi_+ - \Psi_0)}{\partial k} \right\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{|q|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + 4\pi |q|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}}{1 - \left( |q|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + 4\pi |q|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right)} < \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha}
\]

**Proof.** By Lippman-Schwinger equation’s

\[
|\Psi_+ - \Psi_0| \leq \left| Gq \Psi_+ \right|,
\]

\[
|\Psi_+ - \Psi_0|_{L^\infty} \leq |\Psi_+ - \Psi_0|_{L^\infty} |Gq| + |Gq|
\]

finally

\[
|\Psi_+ - \Psi_0| \leq \frac{(|q|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + 4\pi |q|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)})}{1 - \left( |q|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + 4\pi |q|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right)}
\]

By Lippman-Schwinger equation’s

\[
\left| \frac{\partial (\Psi_+ - \Psi_0)}{\partial k} \right| \leq \left| Gq \frac{\partial \Psi_+}{\partial k} \right| + \left| Gq \frac{\partial (\Psi_+ - \Psi_0)}{\partial k} \right| + |Gq|\]

\[
\left| \frac{\partial (\Psi_+ - \Psi_0)}{\partial k} \right| \leq \left( |q|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + 4\pi |q|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right)
\]

\[
\left\| \frac{\partial (\Psi_+ - \Psi_0)}{\partial k} \right\|_{L^\infty} \leq \frac{|q|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + 4\pi |q|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}}{1 - \left( |q|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} + 4\pi |q|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right)}
\]

proof completes
Let us introduce the following notations:

\[
Q(k, \theta, \theta') = \int_{R^3} q(x) e^{ik(\theta - \theta')x} dx, K(s) = s, X(x) = x.
\]

\[
T_+ Q = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{Q(s, \theta, \theta')}{s - t - i0} ds, T_- Q = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{Q(s, \theta, \theta')}{s - t + i0} ds.
\]

**Lemma 2.** Let \( q \in R \cap L_1(R^3), \|q\|_{L_1} + 4\pi |q|_{L_2(R^3)} < \alpha < 1/2 \). Then,

\[
\|A_+\|_{L_\infty} < \alpha + \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha}.
\]

\[
\left\| \frac{\partial A_+}{\partial k} \right\|_{L_\infty} < \alpha + \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha}.
\]

**Proof.** Multiplying Lippman-Schwinger equation on \( q(x) \Psi_0(k, \theta, x) \) and after integrating we have

\[
A(k, \theta, \theta') = Q(k, \theta, \theta') + \int_{R^3} q(x) \Psi_0(k, \theta, x) Gq \Psi_+ dx
\]

Estimating latest equation

\[
|A| \leq \alpha + \alpha \frac{\left( |q|_{L_1(R^3)} + 4\pi |q|_{L_2(R^3)} \right)}{1 - \left( |q|_{L_1(R^3)} + 4\pi |q|_{L_2(R^3)} \right)}.
\]

Similarly for \( \left\| \frac{\partial A_+}{\partial k} \right\| \) proof completes \( \Box \)

We define the operators \( T_\pm, T \) for \( f \in W^1_2(R) \) as follows:

\[
T_+ f = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{Imz \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(s)}{s - z} ds, Im z > 0, T_- f = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{Imz \rightarrow 0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(s)}{s - z} ds, Im z < 0,
\]

\[
T f = \frac{1}{2} (T_+ + T_-) f.
\]

Consider the Riemann problem of finding a function \( \Phi \), that is analytic in the complex plane with a cut along the real axis.Values of \( \Phi \) on the sides of the cut are denoted as \( \Phi_+, \Phi_- \).The following presents the results of [12]:

**Lemma 3.**

\[
TT = \frac{1}{4} I, TT_+ = \frac{1}{2} T_+, TT_- = -\frac{1}{2} T_-, T_+ = T + \frac{1}{2} I, T_- = T - \frac{1}{2} I, T_- T_- = -T_-
\]
Denote
\[ \Phi_+(k, \theta, x) = \Psi_+(k, \theta, x) - \Psi_0(k, \theta, x), \quad \Phi_-(k, \theta, x) = \Psi_-(k, -\theta, x) - \Psi_0(k, \theta, x), \]
\[ g(k, \theta, x) = \Phi_+(k, \theta, x) - \Phi_-(k, \theta, x) \]

**Lemma 4.** Let \( q \in \mathbb{R}, N(q) < 1 \) \( g_+ = g(k, \theta, x), \quad g_- = g(k, -\theta, x) \). Then,
\[ \Phi_+(k, \theta, x) = T_+ g_+ + e^{ik\theta x}, \quad \Phi_-(k, \theta, x) = T_- g_- + e^{ik\theta x}. \]

**Proof.** The proof of the above follows from the classic results for the Riemann problem. \( \square \)

**Lemma 5.** Let \( q \in \mathbb{R}, N(q) < 1 \) \( g_+ = g(k, \theta, x), \quad g_- = g(k, -\theta, x) \). Then,
\[ \Psi_+(k, \theta, x) = (T_+ g_+ + e^{ik\theta x}), \quad \Psi_-(k, \theta, x) = (T_- g_- + e^{-ik\theta x}). \]

**Proof.** The proof of the above follows from the definitions of \( g, \Phi_\pm, \Psi_\pm \). \( \square \)

**Lemma 6.** Let
\[ \sup_k \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{pA(p, \theta', \theta)}{4\pi(p - k + i0)} dp \right| < \alpha, \quad \int_{S_2} \alpha d\theta < 1/2 \]

Then
\[ \prod_{\theta < n} \left| \int_{S_2} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{k_j A(k_j, \theta_{k_j}, \theta_{k_j})}{4\pi(k_{j+1} - k_j + i0)} dk_j \right| d\theta_{k_j} \right| \leq 2^{-n} \]

**Proof.** denote
\[ \alpha_j = \left| Vp \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{k_j A(k_j, \theta'_{k_j}, \theta_{k_j})}{4\pi(k_{j+1} - k_j + i0)} dk_j \right|, \]

therefore
\[ \prod_{\theta < n} \left| \int_{S_2} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{k_j A(k_j, \theta'_{k_j}, \theta_{k_j})}{4\pi(k_{j+1} - k_j + i0)} dk_j \right| d\theta_{k_j} \right| \leq \prod_{\theta < n} \int_{S_2} \alpha_j d\theta_{k_j} < 2^{-n}. \]

**Proof completes.** \( \square \)
Lemma 7. Let

\[
\sup_k \int_{S^2} |T_- Q K| \, d\theta \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{2C} < 1, \quad \sup_k \int_{S^2} |T_- \tilde{q} K| \, d\theta \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{2C} < 1,
\]

Then

\[
\sup_k \int_{S^2} |T_- Q K^2| \, d\theta \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{2C} < 1
\]

Then

\[
\sup_k \int_{S^2} |T_- A K| \, d\theta \leq \frac{C \int_{S^2} |T_- Q K| \, d\theta}{1 - \sup_k \int_{S^2} |T_- A \tilde{q} K^2| \, d\theta}
\]

\[
\sup_k \int_{S^2} |T_- A \tilde{q} K^2| \, d\theta \leq \frac{C \int_{S^2} Q \tilde{q} K^2 \, d\theta}{1 - \int_{S^2} q K \, d\theta}
\]

Proof. By definition amplitude and Lemma 4

\[
A(k, \theta', \theta) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{R^3} q(x) \Psi_+(k, \theta, x) e^{-ik'x} dx =
\]

\[-\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{R^3} q(x) \left[ e^{ik'x} + T_+ g(k, \theta, \theta') \right] e^{-ik'x} dx.
\]

we can rewrite

\[
A(k, \theta', \theta) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{R^3} q(x) \left[ e^{ik'x} + \sum_{n \geq 0} (-T_- D)^n \Psi_0 \right] e^{-ik'x} dx. \tag{5}
\]

Lemma 6 yeild

\[
\sup_k \int_{S^2} |T_- A K| \, d\theta \leq \sup_k \int_{S^2} \left| \frac{1}{4\pi} T_- Q K \right| \, d\theta + \left( \sup_k \int_{S^2} |T_- A K| \, d\theta \right) \int_{S^2} |T_- A \tilde{q} K^2| \, d\theta \frac{\left(1 - \sup_k \int_{S^2} |T_- A K| \, d\theta\right)^2}{\left(1 - \sup_k \int_{S^2} |T_- Q K| \, d\theta\right)^2}
\]

Due to the smallness of the terms on the right-hand side, the following estimate follows

\[
\sup_k \int_{S^2} |T_- A K| \, d\theta \leq 2 \sup_k \int_{S^2} \left| \frac{1}{4\pi} T_- Q K \right| \, d\theta
\]

similarly

\[
\sup_k \int_{S^2} |T_- A \tilde{q} K^2| \, d\theta \leq C \int_{S^2} |T_- Q \tilde{q} K^2| \, d\theta + \int_{S^2} |T_- A \tilde{q} K^2| \, d\theta \int_{S^2} |T_- \tilde{q} K| \, d\theta
\]
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\[ \sup_k \int_{S^2} |T_n AqK^2| \, d\theta \leq \frac{C}{1 - \int_{S^2} |T_n \tilde{q}K| \, d\theta} \]

\[ \sup_k \int_{S^2} |T_n AqK^2| \, d\theta \leq 2 \sup_k \int_{S^2} \left| \frac{1}{4\pi} T_n \tilde{q}K^2 \right| \, d\theta \]

Proof completes \( \Box \)

To simplify the writing of the following calculations, we introduce the set defined by

\[ M_\epsilon(k) = \left\{ s | \epsilon < |s| + |k - s| < \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right\} \]

and function of Heavisid given by

\[ \Theta(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x > 0, \\ 1/2, & \text{if } x = 0, \\ -1, & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases} \]

**Lemma 8.** Let \( q, \nabla q \in \cap L^2(R^3) \). Then,

\[ \int_{R^3} \Theta(A)e^{ik|x|A}q(x)dx = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{s \in M_\epsilon(k)} \int_{R^3} \frac{e^{is|x|A}}{k - s}q(x)ds \]

\[ \int_{R^3} \Theta(A)ke^{ik|x|A}q(x)dx = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{s \in M_\epsilon(k)} \int_{R^3} \frac{e^{is|x|A}}{k - s}q(x)dxds \]

**Proof.** The lemma can be proved by conditions of Lemma and Lemma of Jordan. \( \Box \)

**Lemma 9.** Let

\[ l = 2, \ I_0 = \Psi_0(x, k)|_{r = r_0} \]

Then

\[ \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{S^2} \int_{S^2} \hat{q}(k(\theta - \theta'))I_0k^2dkd\theta d\theta' \right| \leq \sup_{x \in R^3} |q(x)| + C_0 \left( \frac{1}{r_0} + r_0 \right) \|q\|_{L^2(R^3)} \]

\[ \sup_{\theta \in S^2} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{S^2} \int_{S^2} QT KQI_0k^2d\theta''d\theta'dk \right| \leq C_0 \left( \frac{1}{r_0} + r_0 \right) \|q\|^2_{L^2(R^3)} \]

**Proof.** By definition Fourier transform

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{S^2} \int_{S^2} \hat{q}(k(\theta - \theta'))I_0k^2dkd\theta d\theta' = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{S^2} \int_{0}^{+\infty} q(x)e^{ikx(\theta-\theta')}e^{ir_0k^2}dkd\theta d\theta' drd\gamma \]
where $x = r\gamma$. The lemma of the Jordan completes the first inequality proof.

The second inequality is proved like the first

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{S^2} \int_{S^2} QTKQI_0k^2d\theta'd\theta dk =
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{S^2} \int_{S^2} \int_{S^2} \frac{(\tilde{q}(\cos(\theta') - \cos(\theta''))) \tilde{q}(\cos(\theta) - \cos(\theta''))}{k - s} I_0k^2d\theta'd\theta''d\theta dk ds
$$

Lemma 8 yield

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{S^2} \int_{S^2} \int_{S^2} (\tilde{q}(\cos(\theta') - \cos(\theta)) \tilde{q}(\cos(\theta) - \cos(\theta''))) I_0k^3\Theta(\cos(\theta''))d\theta'd\theta''d\theta dk-
$$

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{S^2} \int_{S^2} \int_{S^2} (\tilde{q}(\cos(\theta') - \cos(\theta)) \tilde{q}(\cos(\theta) - \cos(\theta''))) I_0k^3\Theta(-\cos(\theta''))d\theta'd\theta''d\theta dk
$$

Integrating $\theta, \theta', \theta'', k$ we obtain the proof of the second inequality of the lemma.

$\square$

**Lemma 10.** Let

$$
\sup_k |T_\cdot QK| \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{2C} < 1, \quad \sup_k |T_\cdot \tilde{q}K| \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{2C} < 1,
$$

$$
\sup_k |T_\cdot Q\tilde{q}K^2| \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{2C} < 1, \quad l = 0, 1, 2
$$

Then

$$
\left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{S^2} \int_{S^2} A(k, \theta', \theta)k^l d\theta d\theta' d\theta dk \right| \leq \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{S^2} \tilde{q}(k(\theta - \theta'))k^l d\theta d\theta' d\theta \right| +
$$

$$
C \sup_{\Theta S^2} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{S^2} \int_{S^2} QTKA_lk^l d\theta'd\theta dk \right|
$$

$$
\left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{S^2} \int_{S^2} A(k, \theta', \theta)k^2 d\theta d\theta' dk \right| \leq \sup_{x \in R^3} |q| + C_0 \| q \|_{W_2^0(R^3)} \| q \|_{L_2^2(R^3)} \left( \left| \int_{S^2} TKA \tilde{q}^2 \right| + 1 \right)
$$

**Proof.** Definition amplitude , Lemma 3-4 and Lemma of Jordan yeild

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{S^2} \int_{S^2} A(k, \theta', \theta)k^l d\theta d\theta' d\theta = -\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} \int_{S^2} \int_{R^3} q(x)\Psi_+(k, \theta, x)e^{-ik\theta'x}k^l dx d\theta d\theta' =
$$

$$
-\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} \int_{S^2} \int_{R^3} q(x) \left( e^{ik\theta x} + \sum_{n \geq 1} (-T_- D)^n \Psi_0 \right) e^{-ik\theta'x}k^l d\theta' dx dk =
$$
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{S^2} \int_{S^2} \hat{q}(k(\theta - \theta')) k^2 dk d\theta' d\theta + \sum_{n \geq 1} W_n
\]

\[
W_1 = \int_{R^3} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{S^2} \int_{S^2} sA(s, \theta', \theta)e^{-ik\theta'z}q(x)e^{is\theta''z} k^2 dk dx ds d\theta' d\theta''
\]

\[
|W_1| \leq C \sup_{\theta \in S^2} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{S^2} \int_{S^2} QTK \hat{A} k^2 d\theta'' d\theta' dk \right|
\]
similarly

\[
|W_n| \leq C \sup_{\theta \in S^2} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{S^2} \int_{S^2} QTK \hat{A} k^2 d\theta'' d\theta' dk \right| \left| \int_{S^2} TKAd\theta'' \right|^n
\]

Finally

\[
\left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{S^2} \int_{S^2} A(k, \theta', \theta) dk d\theta' d\theta \right| \leq \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{S^2} \int_{S^2} \hat{q}(k(\theta - \theta')) dk d\theta' d\theta \right| + C_0 \|q\|_{L^2(R^3)}^2 \left( \left| \int_{S^2} TKA d\theta'' \right| + 1 \right)
\]

\[
\left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{S^2} \int_{S^2} A(k, \theta', \theta) k^2 dk d\theta' \right| \leq \sup_{x \in R^3} |q| + C_0 \|q\|_{L^2(R^3)}^2 \left( \left| \int_{S^2} TKA d\theta'' \right| + 1 \right)
\]

proof completes \( \square \)

**Lemma 11.** Let

\[
\sup_k \int_{S^2} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{pA(p, \theta', \theta)}{4\pi(p-k+i0)} dp \right| d\theta < \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}, \quad \sup_k |pA(p, \theta', \theta)| < \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}
\]

Then

\[
|T_- D\Psi_0| < \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}, \quad |T_+ D\Psi_0| < \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}, \quad |D\Psi_0| < \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}
\]

\[
T_- g_- = (I - T_- D)^{-1} T_- D\Psi_0, \quad \Psi_- = (I - T_- D)^{-1} T_- D\Psi_0 + \Psi_0,
\]

\( q \) satisfies the following inequalities:

\[
\sup_{x \in R^3} |q(x)| \leq \left| \int_{S^2} TKQ d\theta \right| C_0 \left( \|q\|_{L^2(R^3)}^2 + 1 \right) + C_0 \|q\|_{L^2(R^3)}
\]
Proof. Using equation
\[ \Psi_+(k, \theta, x) - \Psi_-(k, \theta, x) = -\frac{k}{4\pi} \int_{S^2} A(k, \theta', \theta) \Psi_-(k, \theta', x) d\theta', \quad k \in \mathbb{R}. \]
we can rewrite
\[ T_+ g_+ - T_- g_- = D(T_- g_- + \Psi_0) \]
Applying the operator \( T_- \) last equation we have
\[ T_- g_- = T_- D(T_- g_- + \Psi_0) \]
\[ (I - T_- D)T_- g_- = T_- D\Psi_0, \quad T_- g_- = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-T_- D)^n \Psi_0 \]
Estimating the terms of the series, we obtain using Lemma 4
\[ |(T_- D)^n \Psi_0| \leq \sum_{n \geq 0} \left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \ldots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi_0 \prod_{0 \leq j < n} \int_{S^2} \frac{k_j A(k_j, \theta_j', \theta_{k_j}) d\theta_j'}{4\pi (k_{j+1} - k_j + i0)} dk_1 \ldots dk_n \right| \leq \sum_{n > 0} 2^n \alpha^n = \frac{2\alpha}{1 - 2\alpha} \]
Denote
\[ \Lambda = \frac{\partial}{\partial k}, r = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2} \]
we have
\[ \Lambda \int_{S^2} \Psi_0 d\theta = \Lambda \frac{\sin(kr)}{ikr} = \frac{\cos(kr)}{ik} - \frac{\sin(kr)}{ik^2 r} \]
\[ \Lambda \int_{S^2} H_0 \Psi_0 d\theta = \Lambda k^2 \frac{\sin(kr)}{ikr} = \frac{k \cos(kr)}{i} + \frac{\sin(kr)}{ik^2 r} \]
\[ \left| \Lambda \int_{S^2} \Psi d\theta \right| = \left| \Lambda \int_{S^2} \Psi_0 d\theta + \Lambda \int_{S^2} \sum_{n \geq 0} (-T_- D)^n \Psi_0 d\theta \right| > \left( \frac{1}{k} - \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \right), \text{as } kr = \pi. \]
and
\[ \Lambda \frac{1}{k - t} = -\frac{1}{(k - t)^2} \]
Equation (2) yield
\[ q = \frac{\Lambda (H_0 \int_{S^2} \Psi d\theta + k^2 \int_{S^2} \Psi d\theta)}{\Lambda \int_{S^2} \Psi d\theta} = \frac{2k \int_{S^2} T_- g_- d\theta + k^2 \int_{S^2} \Lambda T_- g_- d\theta + H_0 \Lambda \int_{S^2} T_- g_- d\theta}{\Lambda \int_{S^2} \Psi d\theta} = \]
Using Lemma 10, for estimating

\[ V_s \]

Finally we get

\[ \text{Denote} \]

\[ Z(k, s) = s + 2k + \frac{2k^2}{k - s} \]

then

\[ |W_1| \leq \left| \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{S^2} \int_{S^2} A(s, \theta, \theta') s^2 - k^2 \Psi_0 \sin(\theta) dsd\theta \right| \leq \]

\[ \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{S^2} \int_{S^2} Z(k, ) \tilde{q}(k(\theta - \theta')) \Psi_0 dkdkd\theta + C_0 \int_{S^2} TKQ d\theta \]

For calculating \( W_n \), as \( n \geq 1 \) take simple transformation

\[ \frac{s_n^3}{s_n - s_{n-1}} = \frac{s_n^3 - s_n^2 s_{n-1}}{s_n - s_{n-1}} + \frac{s_n^2 s_{n-1}}{s_n - s_{n-1}} = s_n^3 + \frac{s_n^2 s_{n-1}}{s_n - s_{n-1}} = \]

\[ s_n^2 + \frac{s_n^2 s_{n-1} - s_n s_{n-1}^2}{s_n - s_{n-1}} + \frac{s_n s_{n-1}^2}{s_n - s_{n-1}} = s_n^2 + s_n s_{n-1} + \frac{s_n s_{n-1}^2}{s_n - s_{n-1}} \]

(6)

\[ \frac{A s_n^3}{s_n - s_{n-1}} = A s_n^2 + A s_n s_{n-1} + \frac{A s_n s_{n-1}^2}{s_n - s_{n-1}} = V_1 + V_2 + V_3 \]

Using Lemma 10 for estimating \( V_1, V_2 \). For \( V_3 \) take again simple transformation for \( s_{n-1} \) which will appear in the integration over \( s_{n-1} \), finally we get

\[ |q(x)|_{r = r_0} = \left| \frac{\Lambda \left( H_0 \int_{S^2} \Psi d\theta + k^2 \int_{S^2} \Psi d\theta \right)}{\Lambda \int_{S^2} \Psi d\theta} \right| \]

\[ \leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{S^2} \int_{S^2} Z(k, ) \tilde{q}(k(\theta - \theta')) \Psi_0 dkdkd\theta + C_0 \int_{S^2} TKQ d\theta \]

\[ \left( \frac{1}{k_0} - \frac{\alpha}{(1 + \alpha)} \right) \]

Finally we get

\[ |q(x)|_{r = r_0} \leq \sup_{x \in R^3} |q(x)| \alpha + C_0 \|q\|_{L^2(R^3)}^2 + C_0 \|q\|_{L^2(R^3)} + \left| \int_{S^2} TKQ d\theta \right| \]
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The invariance of the Schrödinger equations with respect to translations and the arbitrariness $r_0$ yield

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} |q(x)| \leq \left| \int_{S^2} T KQd\theta \right| C_0 \left( \|q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + 1 \right) + C_0 \|q\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}$$

4. Conclusions for the three-dimensional inverse scattering problem

This study has shown once again the outstanding properties of the scattering operator, which, in combination with the analytical properties of the wave function, allow to obtain an almost-explicit formulas for the potential to be obtained from the scattering amplitude. Furthermore, this approach. The estimations follow from this reach overcomes the problem of over-determination, resulting from the fact that the potential is a function of three variables, whereas the amplitude is a function of five variables. We have shown that it is sufficient to average the scattering amplitude to eliminate the two extra variables.

5. Cauchy problem for the Navier–Stokes equation

Numerous studies of the Navier-Stokes equations have been devoted to the problem of the smoothness of its solutions. A good overview of these studies is given in [13]-[17]. The spatial differentiability of the solutions is an important factor, this controls their evolution. Obviously, differentiable solutions do not provide an effective description of turbulence. Nevertheless, the global solvability and differentiability of the solutions has not been proven, and therefore the problem of describing turbulence remains open. It is interesting to study the properties of the Fourier transform of solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations. Of particular interest is how they can be used in the description of turbulence, and whether they are differentiable. The differentiability of such Fourier transforms appears to be related to the appearance or disappearance of resonance, as this implies the absence of large energy flows from small to large harmonics, which in turn precludes the appearance of turbulence. Thus, obtaining uniform
global estimations of the Fourier transform of solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations means that the principle modeling of complex flows and related calculations will be based on the Fourier transform method. The authors are continuing to research these issues in relation to a numerical weather prediction model; this paper provides a theoretical justification for this approach. Consider the Cauchy problem for the Navier-Stokes equations:

\[
\frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} - \nu \Delta \vec{v} + (\vec{v}, \nabla \vec{v}) = -\nabla p + \vec{f}(x, t), \quad \text{div } \vec{v} = 0, \quad (7)
\]

\[
\vec{v}|_{t=0} = \vec{v}_0(x) \quad (8)
\]

in the domain \( Q_T = R^3 \times (0, T) \), where:

\[
\text{div } \vec{v}_0 = 0. \quad (9)
\]

The problem defined by (7), (8), (9) has at least one weak solution \((\vec{v}, p)\) in the so-called Leray–Hopf class [16]. The following results have been proved [15]:

**Theorem 4.** If

\[
\vec{v}_0 \in W_2^1(R^3), \quad \vec{f}(x, t) \in L_2(Q_T),
\]

there is a single generalized solution of (7), (8), (9) in the domain \( Q_{T_1} \), \( T_1 \in [0, T] \), satisfying the following conditions:

\[
\vec{v}, \nabla^2 \vec{v}, \quad \nabla p \in L_2(Q_T).
\]

Note that \( T_1 \) depends on \( \vec{v}_0 \) and \( \vec{f}(x, t) \).

**Lemma 12.** Let \( \vec{v}_0 \in W_2^2(R^3), \vec{f} \in L_2(Q_T) \). Then the solution of (7), (8), (9) satisfies the following inequalities:

\[
\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} ||\vec{v}||_{L_2(R^3)}^2 + \nu \int_0^t ||\nabla \vec{v}||_{L_2(R^3)}^2 d\tau \leq ||\vec{v}_0||_{L_2(R^3)}^2 + ||\vec{f}||_{L_2(Q_T)}. \]

\[
\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} ||\nabla \vec{v}||_{L_2(R^3)}^2 + \nu \int_0^t ||H_0 \vec{v}||_{L_2(R^3)}^2 d\tau \leq \]
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\[ \| \nabla \tilde{v}_0 \|_{L^2(S^2)}^2 + \| \tilde{f} \|_{L^2(S^2)} + \int_0^t \| (\tilde{v}, \nabla \tilde{v}) \|_{L^2(S^2)} \| H_0 \tilde{v} \|_{L^2(S^2)} \]

\[ \nu \int_0^t \| H_0 \tilde{v} \|_{L^2(S^2)}^2 d\tau \leq C + \frac{1}{\nu} \int_0^t \| (\tilde{v}, \nabla \tilde{v}) \|_{L^2(S^2)}^2 dt \]

**Lemma 13.** Let \( \tilde{v}_0 \in W^2_2(\mathbb{R}^3), \tilde{v}_0 \in W^2_2(\mathbb{R}^3), \tilde{f} \in L^2(Q_T), \) Then the solution of (7), (8), (9) satisfies the following inequalities:

\[ \tilde{v} = \tilde{v}_0 + \int_0^t e^{-\nu k^2(t-\tau)} \left( \left( \tilde{v}, \nabla \tilde{v} \right) + \tilde{F} \right) d\tau, \]

where \( \tilde{F} = -\nabla p + \tilde{f} \).

**Proof.** This follows from the definition of the Fourier transform and the theory of linear differential equations.

Let us introduce operators \( F_k, F_{kk} \), as

\[ F_k f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i(k,x)} f(x) dx, \quad F_{kk} f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i(k,x)-i(x,k')} f(x) dx \]

\[ \tilde{v}(k) = F_k \tilde{v}, \quad \tilde{V}(k,k') = F_{kk} \tilde{v} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} e^{i(k,x)-i(x,k')} \tilde{v} dx \]

**Lemma 14.** Let \( v_0 \in W^2_2(\mathbb{R}^3), \tilde{f} \in L^2(Q_T), |TK v_0| + |TK v_0| + |TK^2 v_0 \tilde{v}_0| < C. \) Then, the solution of (7), (8), (9) in Theorem 4 satisfies the following inequalities:

\[ |\tilde{v}(k)| < C, \]

\[ |TK \tilde{v}(k)| < C_0 |v|_{L^2(S^2)} + \frac{C_0 t}{\sqrt{\nu}} \| \nabla v \|_{L^2(S^2)} |v|_{L^2(S^2)} \]

**Proof.** This follows from

\[ \tilde{v} = - (\tilde{v} \nabla) \tilde{v} + (\nu \tilde{v} + \nabla p) + F, \]

\[ \tilde{v} = \tilde{v}_0 + \int_0^t e^{-\nu k^2(t-\tau)} F_k \left( - (\tilde{v}, \nabla \tilde{v}) + \nabla p + F \right) d\tau. \]
from last equation we have

\[ |\vec{v}| \leq |\vec{v}_0| + C_T \]

Denote

\[ \beta = \sqrt{\nu(t - \tau)}, \quad a = \theta x \]

formula 121 (23) from [11] as n=0: yield

\[ |TK\vec{v}| < |ke^{-\beta^2k^2}| + \sqrt{\pi}e^{-\frac{a^2}{2\beta^2}}D_0\left(\frac{a}{\sqrt{2\beta}}\right) \]

\[ |TK\vec{v}| \leq |TK\vec{v}_0| + \left| TK \int_0^t e^{-\nu k^2(t-\tau)} F_k (-(\vec{v}, \nabla)\vec{v}) + \nabla p + F \right| dt \leq \]

\[ |TK\vec{v}_0| + \int_0^t \left| ke^{\beta^2k^2} \right| + \left| \sqrt{\pi}e^{-\frac{a^2}{2\beta^2}}D_0\left(\frac{a}{\sqrt{2\beta}}\right) \right| \left| \nabla \vec{v}\right|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} dt \leq \]

\[ C_0 \left| v \right|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \frac{C_0 t}{\sqrt{\nu}} \left| \nabla v \right|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \left| v \right|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \]

Lemma 15. Let \( \vec{v}_0 \in W^2_2(\mathbb{R}^3), \vec{f} \in L^2(Q_T), |TKV_0| + |TKV_0| + |TK^2V_0\vec{v}_0| \). Then, the solution of (7), (8), (9) in Theorem 4 satisfies the following inequalities:

\[ |\vec{V}(k, k')| < C, \quad k|\vec{V}(k, k')| < \frac{C}{\sqrt{1 - \cos(\theta)}}. \]

\[ |T\vec{V}K| < C_0 \left| v \right|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \frac{C_0 t}{\sqrt{\nu}(1 - \cos(\theta))} \left| \nabla v \right|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \left| v \right|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \]

Proof. This follows from

\[ \vec{V} = -F_{kk'}[(\vec{v}, \nabla)\vec{v}] + F_{kk'}(\nu \Delta \vec{v} + \nabla p) + F_{kk'}F \]

After the transformations we obtain

\[ \vec{V} = -F_{kk'}[(\vec{v}, \nabla)\vec{v}] + (\nu F_{kk'}\vec{v} + F_{kk'}\nabla p) + F_{kk'}F, \]

\[ \vec{V} = \vec{V}_0 + \int_0^t e^{-\nu k^2(t-\cos(\theta))(t-\tau)} (-F_{kk'}[(\vec{v}, \nabla)\vec{v}] + F_{kk'}\nabla p + F_{kk'}F). \]

from last equation we have
\[ |\vec{V}| \leq |\vec{V}_0| + C_0 \int_0^t ||\nabla v||_{L^2(R^3)} ||v||_{L^2(R^3)} d\tau \]

Denote \( \beta = \sqrt{(1 - \cos(\theta)) (t - \tau)} \nu, a = (\theta - \theta') x \) formula 121 (23) from [11]
as \( n = 0 \): yield

\[ |TK\vec{V}| < \left| ke^{-\beta^2 k^2} \right| + \sqrt{\pi} \beta^{-1} e^{-\frac{a^2}{2\beta^2}} D_0 \left( \frac{a}{\sqrt{2\beta}} \right) \]

\[ |TK\vec{V}| \leq |TK\vec{V}_0| + \left| TK \int_0^t e^{-\nu k^2 (1 - \cos(\theta))(t - \tau)} (-F_{kk'}(\vec{v}, \nabla) \vec{v}) + F_{kk'} f \nabla p + F_{kk'} F_{kk'} \right| d\tau \]

\[ |TK\vec{V}_0| + \int_0^t \left| ke^{-\beta^2 k^2} \right| + \sqrt{\pi} \beta^{-1} e^{-\frac{a^2}{2\beta^2}} D_0 \left( \frac{a}{\sqrt{2\beta}} \right) ||\nabla \vec{v}||_{L^2(R^3)} ||\vec{v}||_{L^2(R^3)} \int_0^t \int_{R^3} |H_0\vec{v}|^2 dx d\tau \leq C_0 ||v||_{L^2(R^3)} + \frac{C_0 \nu}{\sqrt{\nu (1 - \cos(\theta))}} ||\nabla \vec{v}||_{L^2(R^3)} ||\vec{v}||_{L^2(R^3)} \]

\[ \square \]

**Theorem 5.** Let \( \vec{v}_0 \in W^2_2(R^3), \vec{f} \in L_2(Q_T), \vec{f} \in W^{2,1}_2(Q_T), |TK\vec{V}_0| + |TK\vec{V}_0| + |TK^2\vec{V}_0\vec{V}_0| < C, \int_0^\infty ||H_0\vec{f}||_{L^1(R^3)} d\tau < \infty. Then, the solution of [7], [8], [9] in Theorem 4 satisfies the following inequalities:

\[ \sup_{x \in R^3} ||\vec{v}(x)|| < C \]

\[ ||\nabla \vec{v}||_{L^2(R^3)} + \nu \int_0^t \int_{R^3} |H_0\vec{v}|^2 dx d\tau \leq \text{const} \]

**Proof.** Consider the Cauchy problem for the Navier-Stokes equations:

\[ \frac{\partial \vec{v}}{\partial t} - \nu \Delta \vec{v} + (\vec{v}, \nabla) \vec{v} = -\nabla p + \vec{f}(x, t), \text{ div } \vec{v} = 0, \]

\[ \vec{v}|_{t=0} = \vec{v}_0(x) \]

in the domain \( Q_T = R^3 \times (0, T) \), where:

\[ \text{div } \vec{v}_0 = 0. \]

We perform the following transformations:

\[ \vec{u}_c = \epsilon \vec{v}, p_c = p \epsilon, f_c = f \epsilon^2, \nu_c = \epsilon \nu, s = \frac{t}{\epsilon} \]
then
\[
\frac{\partial \vec{u}_\varepsilon}{\partial s} - \nu \Delta \vec{u}_\varepsilon + (\vec{u}_\varepsilon, \nabla \vec{u}_\varepsilon) = -\nabla \varepsilon p + \vec{f}_\varepsilon(x, t), \quad \text{div } \vec{u}_\varepsilon = 0, \tag{13}
\]
\[
\vec{u}_\varepsilon|_{t=0} = \vec{u}_{\varepsilon 0}(x) \tag{14}
\]
in the domain \(Q_T = \mathbb{R}^3 \times (0, T_\varepsilon)\), where:
\[
\text{div } \vec{u}_\varepsilon|_{t=0} = 0. \tag{15}
\]

Let us return for convenience to the notation \(v_i = u_{\varepsilon i}\), using equation for each \(v_i = u_{\varepsilon i}\)

\[-\Delta \vec{\Psi} + v_i \vec{\Psi} = k^2 \vec{\Psi}, \quad k \in C\]

Using Lemmas 2-25 we get estimates for
\[A_i, \vec{V}_i, TA_i, T\vec{V}_i, kA_i, k\vec{V}_i, TK\vec{V}_i, TK\vec{\varepsilon}, TK^2V\vec{\varepsilon},\]

Last estimations yield representation
\[q = \frac{\Lambda (H_0 \int_{S^2} \Psi d\theta + k^2 \int_{S^2} \Psi d\theta)}{\Lambda \int_{S^2} \Psi d\theta}|_{r=\frac{r_0}{k}, k=k_0}\]

and Lemma 11 implies
\[
\left|\nabla \vec{v}\right|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \nu \int_0^t \left|H_0 \vec{v}\right|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 d\tau \leq \int_0^\infty \left|\vec{f}\right|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \left[H_0 \vec{f}\right]_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} d\tau + \int_0^t \left|\nabla \vec{v}\right|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 d\tau + \int_0^t \left|\nabla \vec{v}\right|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 d\tau\]

Denote
\[\alpha(s) = \frac{C_0}{\nu_c} \left(\frac{C_1}{\nu_c} \left[\left|\nabla \vec{v}\right|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \left|\vec{v}\right|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \left|\vec{v}\right|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2\right]\right)\]

\[\int_0^t \alpha(s) ds \leq \int_0^t \frac{C_0}{\nu_c} \left(\frac{C_1}{\nu_c} \left[\left|\nabla \vec{v}\right|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \left|\vec{v}\right|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \left|\vec{v}\right|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2\right]\right) ds \leq \frac{C_0 C_1}{\nu_c} \sup_t \left|\vec{v}\right|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \int_0^\infty \nu_c \left|\nabla \vec{v}\right|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 ds + \frac{C_0}{\nu_c} \sup_t \left|\vec{v}\right|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \leq 20\]

\[20\]
as $\epsilon = \nu \epsilon_0$ the Gronwall-Bellman Lemma yield
\[
\|\nabla \vec{v}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 + \nu \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |H_0 \vec{v}|^2 dxd\tau \leq \|\nabla \vec{v}_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 e^{2C_0} +
\]
\[
e^{2C_0} \int_0^\infty \|((\vec{v})\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \||H_0 \vec{f}|\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} dt
\]

Theorem 5 asserts the global solvability and uniqueness of the Cauchy problem for the Navier-Stokes equations.

6. Conclusions

Uniform global estimations of the Fourier transform of solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations indicate that the principle modeling of complex flows and related calculations can be based on the Fourier transform method. In terms of the Fourier transform, under both smooth initial conditions and right-hand sides, no appear exacerbations appear in the speed and pressure modes. A loss of smoothness in terms of the Fourier transform can only be expected in the case of singular initial conditions, or of unlimited forces in $L^2(Q_T)$. The theory developed by us is supported by numerical calculations carried out in the works [18-20] Where the dependence of the smoothness of the solution on the oscillations of the system is clearly deduced.
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