

# Testing the SU(4) degeneracy after low-mode removal with J=2 mesons

### M. Denissenya

Institut für Physik, FB Theoretische Physik, Universität Graz, Universitätsplatz 5, 8010 Graz, Austria

E-mail: mikhail.denissenya@uni-graz.at

#### L. Glozman

Institut für Physik, FB Theoretische Physik, Universität Graz, Universitätsplatz 5, 8010 Graz, Austria

E-mail: leonid.glozman@uni-graz.at

#### Markus Pak\*

Institut für Physik, FB Theoretische Physik, Universität Graz, Universitätsplatz 5, 8010 Graz, Austria

E-mail: markus.pak@uni-graz.at

Recent lattice results on Dirac low-mode removed J=1,2 mesons and  $J=\frac{1}{2}$  baryons reveal the appearance of a new SU(4) symmetry of confinement. Here the degeneracy of all J=2 iso-vector states within an irreducible representation of SU(4) after low-mode removal is demonstrated. The SU(4) symmetry contains  $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$  and  $U(1)_A$  symmetries as subgroups and mixes all components  $u_L, u_R, d_L, d_R$  of the two-flavor Dirac field. It implies the vanishing of the interaction of quarks with the color-magnetic field, which is shown by using the QCD Hamiltonian in Coulomb gauge.

The 33rd International Symposium on Lattice Field Theory 14-18 July 2015 Kobe International Conference Center, Kobe, Japan

\*Speaker.

#### 1. Motivation

To understand the relation between confinement and chiral symmetry breaking, it is helpful to switch off one of these two non-perturbative phenomena of QCD and study its consequences. We switch off the chiral symmetry breaking effects on the valence quark sector by removing a small number of the lowest-lying Dirac eigenmodes from the valence quark propagators<sup>1</sup> and determine the hadron masses<sup>2</sup> [1].

We observe, that this procedure does not destroy the confinement properties of hadrons. Bound states of quarks are still formed [2]. The picture of hadrons acquiring their masses from chiral symmetry breaking only, i.e. via a dynamically generated quark mass, is no longer applicable. In contrast to all other hadrons, the J=0 ground state mesons disappear from the spectrum: The pseudoscalar particle  $0^{-+}$  loses its role as a Goldstone-boson, Ref. [3].

The most interesting observation is, that after low-mode removal, the hadrons show a higher symmetry than the  $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_A$  symmetry of the QCD Lagrangian [2, 3]. This symmetry has been identified from the degeneracies in the spectrum to be SU(4), Refs. [4, 5]. Not only quark flavors of fixed chirality mix, but also the left- and right-handed components. It can be interpreted as the symmetry of confinement.

It can be shown by using the QCD Hamiltonian in Coulomb gauge, that the interaction of quarks with the color-magnetic field vanishes if the SU(4) symmetry is manifest, Ref. [5]. The only interaction left is via the confining color-Coulomb interaction. We refer to our system as the dynamical OCD string.

Here we give a short overview of our recent results for J=2 mesons, which have been published in [6]. Results on baryons can be found in Ref. [7] and on J=1 mesons in Refs. [2, 3]. We summarize the physics implications, following the lines of arguments in [5].

#### 2. Lattice Setup

The lattice we use is  $16^3 \times 32$  and the lattice spacing is a = 0.118 fm. The dynamical Overlap fermion gauge field configurations are provided by the JLQCD collaboration, Refs. [8, 9]. The pion mass is 289(2) MeV, Ref. [10]. Our ensemble consists of 83 gauge field configurations.

The low-modes are removed from the quark propagators via the prescription:

$$S_k(x,y) = S_{\text{FULL}}(x,y) - \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{\lambda_i} v_i(x) v_i^{\dagger}(y),$$
 (2.1)

where  $S_{\text{FULL}}(x,y)$  is the full propagator,  $\lambda_i$  are the eigenvalues of the overlap Dirac operator in a given gauge background and  $v_i(x)$  the corresponding eigenvectors. The truncated propagator  $S_k(x,y)$  depends on k, the number of low modes removed. We remove up to k=30 modes, which corresponds to an eigenvalue cutoff up to 225 MeV.

The Dirac operator is inverted on Gaussian and derivative based sources. The analysis of our hadron states is performed via the variational method, see Refs. [11, 12, 13].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Clearly, the gauge invariance and Lorentz invariance are not destroyed by this procedure. However, the quark field becomes slightly nonlocal.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>It should be kept in mind, that the sea quarks are not affected by this procedure.




Figure 1: Left: Classification of states in the  $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times C_i$  group.  $SU(2)_A$  is denoted by a red line and  $U(1)_A$  by a blue line. If both these symmetries are restored, not all isovectors are mass degenerate. Right: The SU(4) 15-plet is denoted by a purple line. The singlet is the iso-scalar  $\omega_2$ .  $SU(2)_{CS}$  is a subgroup of SU(4) and connects the states with same isospin in distinct chiral representations. Figures are taken from [6].

#### 3. Low-mode removal on tensor mesons

In Ref. [6] we have shown that the following degeneracies for the tensor meson iso-vector states have to occur, if  $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_A$  is restored <sup>3</sup>:

$$\pi_2 \leftrightarrow a_2'$$
, and  $a_2 \leftrightarrow \rho_2$ . (3.1)

If SU(4) is restored all iso-vector states have to become mass degenerate:

$$\pi_2 \leftrightarrow a_2' \leftrightarrow a_2 \leftrightarrow \rho_2$$
 (3.2)

In Fig. 1 we compare these two different degeneracy patterns. The figures are taken from Ref. [6].




Figure 2: Eigenvalues of the correlation matrix for J=2 tensor mesons: (a) full case (k=0), (b) excluding k=30 low-lying modes. The label  $T_2$  refers to the irreducible representation of the hypercubic group  $O_h$ . Figures are taken from [6].

Via our lattice study, we can now identify, which of these symmetries are visible in the spectrum. It is sufficient to study iso-vectors in order to reveal if the SU(4) symmetry occurs after low-mode removal or not.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The  $a_2$  particle in  $(1,0) \oplus (0,1)$  is denoted as  $a_2'$  to distinguish it from the  $a_2$  in  $(1/2,1/2)_b$ .

In Fig. 2 we compare the eigenvalues of the correlation matrix for the untruncated and low-mode truncated (k = 30) cases. We observe, that after low-mode removal *all* correlators fall on the same curve, signalling SU(4) symmetry restoration.

In Fig. 3(a) we plot the masses for increasing number of truncation. After k = 20 modes removed, which corresponds to an energy cutoff of 125 MeV, the SU(4) symmetry is visible in the spectrum. We emphasize, that the mass plateaus improve after the low-modes are removed from the quark propagators.




Figure 3: Ground state and excited state masses of (a) J = 2 mesons, (b) J = 1 and J = 2 mesons, with increasing number of truncation step k. The value  $\sigma$  denotes the energy gap. Figures are taken from [6].

In Fig. 3(b) we show the meson masses as a function of the truncation number k for both J=1 and J=2 states. For the J=1 case the excited states are shown as well, beginning with truncation k=10, where it is possible to extract a state. The energy levels of J=1 and J=2 mesons after removing the low-lying modes are clearly split. There is no indication of a higher symmetry than SU(4), which would connect states of different spin J. However, one needs to take into account volume corrections to make a precise statement. Finite volume effects are currently analyzed using quenched gauge field configurations.

### **3.1** Consequences of the SU(4) symmetry

We have demonstrated that all isovector tensor mesons become degenerate after low-mode removal. We now stress the physics implications of it. We follow the lines of argument given in Ref. [5].

We use the QCD Hamiltonian in Coulomb gauge. We concentrate on the parts which couple quarks to gluons:

$$H_C = \frac{g^2}{2} \int d^3x \int d^3y \, \mathscr{J}^{-1}[A] \rho^a(x) \, \mathscr{J}[A] F^{ab}(x,y) \rho^b(y) , \qquad (3.3)$$

$$H_T = -g \int d^3x \, \psi^{\dagger}(x) \alpha \cdot A \psi(x) \,. \tag{3.4}$$

The first part  $H_C$ , referred to as Coulomb-interaction, because in the weak coupling regime it comprises the color-Coulomb potential. It describes the interaction of non-abelian color charge

densities of quarks and gluons,

$$\rho^a = \psi^{\dagger} T^a \psi - f^{abc} A_i^b E_i^c , \qquad (3.5)$$

through the non-abelian Coulomb kernel  $F^{ab}$ . Here  $f^{abc}$  are the structure constants of color SU(3) and  $E_i$  is the color-electric field. The quantity  $\mathcal{J}[A]$  is the determinant of the Faddeev-Popov operator.  $H_C$  is invariant with respect to SU(4) transformations. This part of the QCD Hamiltonian is confining, because it represents the interaction between the color charges.

The second part,  $H_T$ , describes the interaction of quarks with transverse gluons (i.e. with the color-magnetic field). It is not a SU(4)-singlet and consequently its expectation value must vanish in a SU(4)-symmetric hadron wave function. Therefore, it is only the interaction with the color electric-fields, which persists in an SU(4)-symmetric hadron after the near-zero mode truncation.

## 4. Summary and Conclusions

Spin-2 iso-vector mesons have been analyzed with respect to the low-mode removal of Dirac operator. It is found that after excluding around 20 modes, the SU(4) symmetry is visible in the spectrum. These results are fully in line with the J=1 case. For the case of baryons [7] we have shown recently, that the SU(4) symmetry is applicable as well.

The SU(4) emerges as a symmetry of hadrons after removing the low-lying modes from the quark propagators. It rotates both quark flavors and both the left- and right-handed fields into each other. It is shown [5], that after removing the low-modes, the only interquark interaction left in the system is via the color-electric field.

# Acknowledgments

The authors are thankful to JLQCD collaboration for supplying us with the overlap gauge configurations. This work is supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) through the grant P26627-N27. Computations were carried out on clusters at ZID at the University of Graz and at the Graz University of Technology.

#### References

- [1] L. Y. Glozman, C. B. Lang and M. Schröck, Phys. Rev. D 86, 014507 (2012).
- [2] M. Denissenya, L. Y. Glozman and C. B. Lang, Phys. Rev. D 89, 077502 (2014).
- [3] M. Denissenya, L. Y. Glozman and C. B. Lang, Phys. Rev. D 91, 034505 (2015).
- [4] L. Y. Glozman, Eur. Phys. J. A 51, no. 3, (2015) 27.
- [5] L. Y. Glozman and M. Pak, Phys. Rev. D 92, no. 1, 016001 (2015).
- [6] M. Denissenya, L. Y. Glozman and M. Pak, Phys. Rev. D 91, no. 11, 114512 (2015).
- [7] M. Denissenya, L. Y. Glozman and M. Pak, Phys. Rev. D 92, no. 7, 074508 (2015).
- [8] S. Aoki et al. [JLQCD Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 78, 014508 (2008).
- [9] S. Aoki et al. Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2012, 01A106 (2012).

- [10] J. Noaki et al. [JLQCD and TWQCD Collaborations], Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 202004 (2008).
- [11] C. Michael, Nucl. Phys. B 259, 58 (1985).
- [12] M. Lüscher and U. Wolff, Nucl. Phys. B 339, 222 (1990).
- [13] B. Blossier et al., JHEP 04, 094 (2009).