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#### Abstract

We prove Li-Yau type gradient bounds for the heat equation either on manifolds with fixed metric or under the Ricci flow. In the former case the curvature condition is $\mid$ Ric $^{-} \mid \in$ $L^{p}$ for some $p>n / 2$, or $\sup _{\mathbf{M}} \int_{\mathbf{M}}\left|\operatorname{Ric}^{-}\right|^{2}(y) d^{2-n}(x, y) d y<\infty$, where $n$ is the dimension of the manifold. In the later case, one only needs scalar curvature being bounded. We will explain why the conditions are nearly optimal and give an application. The Li-Yau bound for the heat equation on manifolds with fixed metric seems to be the first one allowing Ricci curvature not bounded from below.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\left(\mathbf{M}^{n}, g_{i j}\right)$ be an $n$-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold. In LY , P. Li an S.T. Yau discovered the following celebrated Li-Yau bound, for positive solutions of the heat equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}=\Delta u \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose Ric $\geq-K$, where $K \geq 0$ and Ric is the Ricci curvature of $\mathbf{M}$. Then any positive solution of (1.1) satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u^{2}}-\alpha \frac{u_{t}}{u} \leq \frac{n \alpha^{2} K}{2(\alpha-1)}+\frac{n \alpha^{2}}{2 t}, \quad \forall \alpha>1 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the special case where $R i c \geq 0$, one has the optimal Li-Yau bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u^{2}}-\frac{u_{t}}{u} \leq \frac{n}{2 t} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same paper, many applications of (1.2) and (1.3) have also been demonstrated by the authors, including the classical parabolic Harnack inequality, optimal Gaussian estimates of the heat kernel, estimates of eigenvalues of the Laplace operator, and estimates of the Green's function. Moreover, (1.2) and (1.3) can even imply the Laplacian Comparison Theorem (see e.g. Chowetc page 394).

The Li-Yau bound (1.2) was later improved for small time by Hamilton in [Ha3], where he proved under the same assumptions as above that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u^{2}}-e^{2 K t} \frac{u_{t}}{u} \leq e^{4 K t} \frac{n}{2 t} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hamilton [Ha3] further showed a matrix Li-Yau bound for the heat equation. Similar matrix Li-Yau bound was subsequently obtained by Cao-Ni CaNi] on Kähler manifolds.

For the past three decades, many Li-Yau type bounds have been proved not only for the heat equation, but more generally, for other linear and semi-linear parabolic equations on manifolds with or without weights. Let us mention the result by Bakry and Ledoux [BL] who derived the Li-Yau bound for weighted manifolds by an ordinary differential inequality involving the entropy and energy of the backward heat equation. For most recent development, see the papers [CTZ, [Dav, GM], LX], QZZ, Wan, WanJ and the latest [BBG, [ZZ and references therein. In all of these results, the essential assumption is that the Ricci curvature or the corresponding Bakry-Emery Ricci curvature is bounded from below by a constant. In many situations, it is highly desirable to weaken this assumption.

Li-Yau bounds have also been extended to situations with moving metrics. Let $g_{i j}(t), t \in$ $[0, T]$, be a family of Riemannian metrics on $\mathbf{M}$ which solves the Ricci flow:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} g_{i j}(t)=-2 R_{i j}(t) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{i j}(t)$ is the Ricci curvature tensor of $g_{i j}(t)$. One may still consider linear and semi-linear parabolic equations under the Ricci flow in the sense that in the heat operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\Delta$, we have $\Delta=\Delta_{t}$ which is the Laplace operator with respect to the metric $g_{i j}(t)$ at time $t$. The two most prominent examples are the heat equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) u=0, \partial_{t} g_{i j}=-2 R_{i j} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the conjugate heat equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta-R+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) u=0, \partial_{t} g_{i j}=-2 R_{i j} . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The study of Li-Yau bound for heat type equations under the Ricci flow was initiated by Hamilton. In [Ha4, he obtained a Li-Yau bound for the scalar curvature along the Ricci flow on 2 -sphere. This result was later improved by Chow Chow. In higher dimensions, both matrix and trace Li-Yau bounds for curvature tensors, also known as Li-Yau-Hamilton inequalities, were obtained by Hamilton Ha5 for the Ricci flow with bounded curvature and nonnegative curvature operator. These estimates played a crucial role in the study of singularity formations of the Ricci flow on three-manifolds and solution to the Poincaré conjecture. We remark that Brendle Bre has generalized Li-Yau-Hamilton inequalities under weaker curvature assumptions. The Li-Yau-Hamilton inequality for the Kähler-Ricci flow with nonnegative holomorphic bisectional curvature was obtained by H.-D. Cao CaO. In addition, in P1], Perelman showed a Li-Yau type bound for the fundamental solution of the conjugate heat equation (1.7) under the Ricci flow (see also [Ni]).

Recently, there have been many results on Li-Yau bounds for positive solutions of the heat or conjugate heat equations under the Ricci flow. For example authors of KuZh and Cx proved Li-Yau type bound for all positive solutions of the conjugate heat equation without any curvature condition, just like Perelman's aforementioned result for the fundamental solution. In [CH] and [BCP] the authors proved various Li-Yau type bounds for positive solutions of (1.6) under either positivity condition of the curvature tensor or boundedness of the Ricci curvature. So there is a marked difference between these results on the conjugate heat equation and the heat equation in the curvature conditions. In view of the absence of curvature condition for the conjugate heat equation, one would hope that the curvature conditions for the heat equation can be weakened.

Recently, in BZ, the authors proved the following gradient estimate for bounded positive solutions $u$ of the heat equation (1.6),

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Delta u|+\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u}-a R \leq \frac{B a}{t} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R=R(x, t)$ is the scalar curvature of the manifold at time $t$, and $B$ is a constant and $a$ is an upper bound of $u$ on $M \times[0, T]$. Although this result requires no curvature condition and it has some other applications, it is not a Li-Yau type bound.

The goal of this paper is to prove Li-Yau bounds for positive solutions for both the fixed metric case (1.1) and the Ricci flow case (1.6) under essentially optimal curvature conditions.

The first theorem is for the fixed metric case, we will have two independent conditions and two conclusions. The conditions are motivated by different problems such as studying manifolds with integral Ricci curvature bound and the Kähler-Ricci flow. The conclusions range from long time bound with necessarily worse constants, to short time bound with better constants.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\left(\mathbf{M}, g_{i j}\right)$ be a compact n-dimensional Riemannian manifold, and $u$ a positive solution of (1.1). Suppose either one of the following conditions holds.
(a) $\int_{\mathrm{M}} \mid$ Ric $\left.^{-}\right|^{p} d y \equiv \sigma<\infty$ for some $p>\frac{n}{2}$, where Ric denotes the nonpositive part of the Ricci curvature; and the manifold is noncollapsed under scale 1, i.e., $|B(x, r)| \geq \rho r^{n}$ for $0<r \leq 1$ and some $\rho>0$;
(b) $\sup _{\mathbf{M}} \int_{\mathbf{M}} \mid$ Ric $\left.^{-}\right|^{2} d^{-(n-2)}(x, y) d y \equiv \sigma<\infty$ and the heat kernel of (1.1) satisfies the Gaussian upper bound (which holds automatically under (a)):

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x, t ; y, 0) \leq \frac{\hat{C}(t)}{t^{n / 2}} e^{-\bar{c} d^{2}(x, y) / t}, t \in(0, \infty) \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive constant $\bar{c}$ and positive increasing function $\hat{C}(t)$ which grows to infinity as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Here $d(x, y)$ is the distance from $x$ to $y$.

Then,
(1) for any constant $\alpha \in(0,1)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \underline{J}(t) \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u^{2}}-\frac{\partial_{t} u}{u} \leq \frac{n}{(2-\delta) \alpha \underline{J}(t)} \frac{1}{t} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \in(0, \infty)$, where

$$
\delta=\frac{2(1-\alpha)^{2}}{n+(1-\alpha)^{2}},
$$

and

$$
\underline{J}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2^{-1 /\left(5 \delta^{-1}-1\right)} e^{-\left(5 \delta^{-1}-1\right)^{\frac{n}{2 p-n}}\left[4 \sigma \hat{C}(t)^{1 / p}\right]^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-n}} t}, \text { under condition }(a) ;  \tag{1.11}\\
2^{-1 /\left(10 \delta^{-1}-2\right)} e^{-C_{0}\left(5 \delta^{-1}-1\right) \sigma \hat{C}(t) t}, \text { under condition }(b)
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $C_{0}$ being a constant depending only on $n$, $p$ and $\rho$, and $\hat{C}(t)$ the increasing function on the right hand side of (1.9).
(2) in particular, for any $\beta \in(0,1)$, there is a $T_{0}=T_{0}(\beta, \sigma, p, n, \rho)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u^{2}}-\frac{\partial_{t} u}{u} \leq \frac{n}{2 \beta} \frac{1}{t} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \in\left(0, T_{0}\right]$. Here $T_{0}=c(1-\beta)^{4 p /(2 p-n)}$ and $c(1-\beta)^{4}$ under conditions (a) and (b), respectively; and $c$ is a positive constant depending only on the parameters of conditions (a) and (b), i.e., $c=c(\sigma, p, n, \rho)$.

Remark 1.2. It is well known that Condition (a) actually implies that the heat kernel of (1.1) has a Gaussian upper bound for all time, i.e. (1.9) holds:

$$
G(x, t ; y, 0) \leq \frac{\hat{C}(t)}{t^{n / 2}} e^{-\bar{c} d^{2}(x, y) / t}, t \in(0, \infty)
$$

for some positive constant $\bar{c}$ and positive increasing function $\hat{C}(t)$ which grows to infinity as $t \rightarrow \infty$. For short time interval $(0,1]$, the function $\hat{C}(t)$ can be replaced by a constant $\hat{C}$. This is proven in TZZ Section 2 e.g.. Longer time bound follows from the reproducing formula of heat kernels. In addition, a volume upper bound $|B(x, r)| \leq C r^{n}$ follows from Petersen-Wei PW1.

The condition $\mid$ Ric $^{-} \mid \in L^{p}$ for some $p>\frac{n}{2}$ is nearly optimal in the sense that it is not clear $|\nabla u|$ will stay bounded when $\mid$ Ric $^{-} \left\lvert\, \in L^{\frac{n}{2}}\right.$, which is the well known border line condition where regularity may fail.

If Ricci $\geq 0$, one can take $\sigma=0$ and $\alpha \rightarrow 1$. Then (1.10) becomes the optimal Li-Yau bound (1.3).

Professor Guofang Wei kindly informed us that the noncollapsing condition in (a) may possibly be removed by a recent result of Dai-Wei-Z. L. Zhang. In this case the Gaussian upper bound (1.9) should be replaced by a local version where $t^{n / 2}$ is replaced by $|B(x, \sqrt{t})|$ with suitable adjusted parameters.
Remark 1.3. The conditions in (b) imply that $\mid$ Ric $\left.^{-}\right|^{2}$ belongs to the Kato class, i.e.,

$$
K\left(\mid \text { Ric }\left.^{-}\right|^{2}\right)=\sup _{x \in \mathrm{M}} \int_{M} \Gamma(x, y) \mid \text { Ric }\left.^{-}\right|^{2}(y) d y<\infty,
$$

where $\Gamma(x, y)$ denotes the Green's function on $\mathbf{M}$.
However, it is not hard to see that the same techniques used in the proof can actually be applied to deal with the cases where $\mid$ Ric $\left.^{-}\right|^{p}$ is in the Kato class for any $1 \leq p<\infty$. Note $p=1$ is the optimal one scaling wise.

The main reason that we are considering the curvature condition in (b) is that it is preserved under the Kähler-Ricci flow as proved in TZq1] and TZq2, while it is not clear whether the same property holds if one replaces the power of $\left|R i c^{-}\right|$by some $p>2$. It is also proven in TZq1 that the Gaussian bound for the heat kernel holds for each time slice of the normalized Kähler Ricci flow with uniform constants. Therefore, the conclusion of part (b) is valid with uniform constants for each time slice along the normalized Kähler Ricci flow.

The Li-Yau bound in the above theorem seems to be the first one allowing Ricci curvature not bounded from below. Moreover, as an application, we use (1.12) to extend some results in $\left[\mathrm{CoNa}\right.$ on the parabolic approximations of the distance functions to the case where $\mid$ Ric $^{-} \mid \in L^{p}$ for some $p>\frac{n}{2}$. The main extension results were first proved in $[\mathrm{TZZ}$.

Next we turn to the heat equation coupled with the Ricci flow (1.6) for which we prove
Theorem 1.4. Let $\mathbf{M}$ be a compact n-dimensional Riemmannian manifold, and $g_{i j}(t), t \in$ $[0, T)$, a solution of the Ricci flow (1.5) on $\mathbf{M}$. Denote by $R$ the scalar curvature of $\mathbf{M}$ at $t$, and $R_{1}$ a positive constant. Suppose that $-1 \leq R \leq R_{1}$ for all time $t$, and $u$ is a positive solution of the heat equation (1.6). Then, for any $\delta \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u^{2}}-\frac{\partial_{t} u}{u} \leq \delta \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u^{2}}-\frac{\partial_{t} u}{u}-\alpha R+\frac{\beta}{R+2} \leq \frac{1}{t}\left(\frac{n}{2 \delta}+\frac{4 n \beta T}{\delta(1-\delta)}\right) \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \in(0, T)$, where $\alpha=\frac{n}{2 \delta(1-\delta)^{2}}$ and $\beta=\alpha\left(R_{1}+2\right)^{2}$.
Remark 1.5. Note that the curvature assumption is made only on the scalar curvature rather than on the Ricci or curvature tensor. For the Ricci flow on a compact manifold $\mathbf{M}$, one can
always rescale a solution so that the scalar curvature to be bounded from below by -1 . Under suitable assumptions, the result in the theorem still holds when $\mathbf{M}$ is complete noncompact.

The Li-Yau bound in the above theorem actually is scaling invariant. Readers can refer to Theorem 3.2 in section 3 for the corresponding version before rescaling the metrics. In case the scalar curvature is 0 , the Ricci curvature is also 0 by the maximum principle. Then, by scaling, we can let $\delta=1$ in the theorem and the bound becomes the optimal Li-Yau bound for Ricci flat case.

Remark 1.6. This theorem clearly implies a Harnack inequality for positive solutions of (1.6) if the scalar curvature is bounded.

This paper is organized as follows: the main Theorems 1.1 and 1.4 are proved in sections 2 and 3 , respectively. The main technical hurdle is to construct certain auxiliary functions to cancel various curvature terms arising from commutation formulas. For example, in order to prove Theorem [1.1, one needs to deal with the bad term $\left|\operatorname{Ric}^{-}\right| \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u}$. If one only imposes integral conditions on $\mid$ Ric $^{-} \mid$, then this term can not be bounded by good terms coming out of the Bochner's formula. The auxiliary functions for Theorem 1.1 are obtained by solving a nonlinear evolution equation, which is used to cancel the bad term. When proving Theorem 1.4 in section 3, an additional bad term $<\nabla^{2} u$, Ric $>$ appears. We will use the good terms coming from the equation of $\frac{\beta}{R}$ to control it. In section 4, we deduce from Theorem 1.1 the extended parabolic approximations of the distance functions.

## 2. Fixed metric case

In this section, we work on an $n$-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold $\mathbf{M}$ with a fixed metric $g$. For the Ricci curvature, we assume either

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\operatorname{Ric}^{-}\right| \in L^{p}(\mathbf{M}), \quad p>n / 2, \quad \text { or } \quad \sup _{x \in \mathbf{M}} \int_{\mathbf{M}} \frac{\left|\operatorname{Ric}^{-}(y)\right|^{2}}{d^{n-2}(x, y)} d y<\infty \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1: By direct computation, we have

$$
\left(\Delta-\partial_{t}\right) \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u}=\frac{2}{u}\left|u_{i j}-\frac{u_{i} u_{j}}{u}\right|^{2}+2 R_{i j} \frac{u_{i} u_{j}}{u} .
$$

Let $J=J(x, t)$ be a smooth positive function and $\alpha \in(0,1)$ be a parameter. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\Delta-\partial_{t}\right)\left[\alpha J \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u}-\partial_{t} u\right] \\
& =\alpha\left[\frac{2}{u}\left|u_{i j}-\frac{u_{i} u_{j}}{u}\right|^{2} J+2 R_{i j} \frac{u_{i} u_{j}}{u} J+\Delta J \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u}+2 \nabla J \cdot \nabla \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u}-\left(\partial_{t} J\right) \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote the heat operator $\Delta-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ by $\mathcal{L}$. Recall the quotient formula for the heat operator.

$$
\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{F}{G}\right)+2 \nabla \ln G \cdot \nabla \frac{F}{G}=\frac{\mathcal{L} F}{G}-\frac{F \mathcal{L} G}{G^{2}}
$$

Take

$$
F=\alpha J \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u}-\partial_{t} u, \quad G=u
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q \equiv \alpha J \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u^{2}}-\frac{\partial_{t} u}{u} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We find that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\Delta-\partial_{t}\right) Q+2 \frac{\nabla u}{u} \cdot \nabla Q \\
& =\alpha\left[\frac{2}{u^{2}}\left|u_{i j}-\frac{u_{i} u_{j}}{u}\right|^{2} J+2 R_{i j} \frac{u_{i} u_{j}}{u^{2}} J+\Delta J \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u^{2}}+2 \nabla J \cdot \nabla\left(\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u}\right) \frac{1}{u}-\left(\partial_{t} J\right) \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u^{2}}\right] . \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $f=\ln u$. Using the identities

$$
\frac{1}{u^{2}}\left|u_{i j}-\frac{u_{i} u_{j}}{u}\right|^{2}=\left|f_{i j}\right|^{2},
$$

and

$$
\nabla\left(\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u}\right) \frac{1}{u}=\nabla\left(\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u^{2}}\right)+\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u} \frac{\nabla u}{u^{2}}=\nabla\left(|\nabla f|^{2}\right)+\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u} \frac{\nabla u}{u^{2}},
$$

we can turn (2.3) into

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\Delta-\partial_{t}\right) Q+2 \frac{\nabla u}{u} \cdot \nabla Q \\
& =\alpha\left[2\left|f_{i j}\right|^{2} J+2 R_{i j} \frac{u_{i} u_{j}}{u^{2}} J+\Delta J \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u^{2}}+2 \nabla J \cdot \nabla|\nabla f|^{2}+2 \nabla J \cdot \frac{\nabla u}{u}\left(\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u^{2}}\right)-\left(\partial_{t} J \left\lvert\, \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u^{2}}\right.\right] .\right. \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Observe that, in local coordinates,

$$
2 \nabla J \cdot \nabla|\nabla f|^{2}=2 J_{i}\left(f_{j}^{2}\right)_{i}=4 J_{i} f_{j i} f_{j} \geq-\delta\left|f_{i j}\right|^{2} J-\frac{|\nabla J|^{2}}{J}|\nabla f|^{2} 4 \delta^{-1} .
$$

Therefore, we deduce the following inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\Delta-\partial_{t}\right) Q+2 \frac{\nabla u}{u} \cdot \nabla Q \\
& \geq \alpha\left[(2 J-\delta J)\left|f_{i j}\right|^{2}-2 \mid \text { Ric }\left.^{-}\left|\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u^{2}} J+\Delta J \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u^{2}}-\frac{|\nabla J|^{2}}{J}\right| \nabla f\right|^{2} 4 \delta^{-1}\right.  \tag{2.5}\\
& \left.\quad-2|\nabla J| \frac{|\nabla u|^{3}}{u^{3}}-\left(\partial_{t} J\right) \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u^{2}}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Using the inequality, for any $\delta>0$,

$$
2|\nabla J| \frac{|\nabla u|^{3}}{u^{3}}=2|\nabla J||\nabla f|^{3} \leq \delta J|\nabla f|^{4}+\delta^{-1} \frac{|\nabla J|^{2}}{J}|\nabla f|^{2},
$$

we can turn the above inequality into

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\Delta-\partial_{t}\right) Q+2 \frac{\nabla u}{u} \cdot \nabla Q \\
& \geq \alpha(2 J-\delta J)\left|f_{i j}\right|^{2}+\alpha\left[\Delta J-2 \mid \text { Ric }^{-} \left\lvert\, J-5 \delta^{-1} \frac{|\nabla J|^{2}}{J}-\partial_{t} J\right.\right]|\nabla f|^{2}-\delta \alpha J|\nabla f|^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (1.1), we know

$$
\Delta f-\partial_{t} f=-|\nabla f|^{2}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\Delta-\partial_{t}\right)(t Q)+2 \frac{\nabla u}{u} \cdot \nabla(t Q) \\
& \geq \alpha t(2 J-\delta J) \frac{1}{n}\left(|\nabla f|^{2}-\partial_{t} f\right)^{2}+\alpha\left[\Delta J-2 V J-5 \delta^{-1} \frac{|\nabla J|^{2}}{J}-\partial_{t} J\right] t|\nabla f|^{2}  \tag{2.6}\\
& \quad-\delta \alpha t J|\nabla f|^{4}-Q
\end{align*}
$$

where we have written $\mid$ Ric $^{-} \mid=V$.
For any given parameter $\delta>0$ such that $5 \delta^{-1}>1$, we make the following
Claim 2.1. the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta J-2 V J-5 \delta^{-1} \frac{|\nabla J|^{2}}{J}-\partial_{t} J=0, \quad \text { on } \quad \mathbf{M} \times(0, \infty) ;  \tag{2.7}\\
J(\cdot, 0)=1,
\end{array}\right.
$$

has a unique solution for $t \in[0, \infty)$, which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{J}(t) \leq J(x, t) \leq 1, \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
with $C_{0}$ being a constant depending only on $n, p$ and $\rho$, and $\hat{C}(t)$ the increasing function in (1.9).

In the following steps, we will prove the claim.
step 1. Conversion into an integral equation.
Let $a=5 \delta^{-1}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=J^{-(a-1)} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is straightforward to check that $w$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\Delta w-\partial_{t} w+2(a-1) V w=0, \quad \text { on } \quad \mathbf{M} \times(0, \infty) ;  \tag{2.11}\\
w(\cdot, 0)=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $V$ is a piece-wise smooth function, (2.11) has a long time solution (see e.g. Chapter 6 of [Lieb]).

To show that $J$ exists for all time and derive the bounds for $J$, we derive the bounds for $w$ first. Via the Duhamel's formula, (2.11) can be transformed to the following integral equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(x, t)=1+2(a-1) \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbf{M}} G(x, t ; y, s) V(y) w(y, s) d y d s \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $G(x, t ; y, s)=G(y, t ; x, s)$ is the heat kernel on $\mathbf{M}$.
step 2. long time bounds
Here we prove long time bounds for solutions of (2.11).
Let $w$ be a solution of (2.11). For a lower bound of $w$, we can show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w \geq 1 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $t>0$.
In fact, let $\epsilon>0$ be a small positive number, which will be taken to 0 eventually. Then the function $Z_{\epsilon}=e^{\epsilon t} w$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta Z_{\epsilon}+2(a-1) V Z_{\epsilon}-\partial_{t} Z_{\epsilon}=-\epsilon Z_{\epsilon} . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

First, by continuity, since $w(\cdot, 0)=1$, we know that $w \geq 0$ at least for a short time. Applying the maximum principle on (2.11), we see that (2.13) holds at least for a short time. So $Z_{\epsilon}>1$ at least for a short time. We now show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{\epsilon}>1 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all time $t>0$ as long as the solution exists. Suppose not. Then there exists a first time $t_{0}$ and point $x_{0} \in \mathbf{M}$ such that $Z_{\epsilon}\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)=1$. At this point $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$, the following holds

$$
\Delta Z_{\epsilon} \geq 0, \quad \partial_{t} Z_{\epsilon} \leq 0, \quad 2(a-1) V Z_{\epsilon} \geq 0
$$

This is a contradiction to equation (2.14). Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (2.15), we know (2.13) holds for all time.

Notice that (2.13) implies that $J \leq 1$ as long as the solution exits, which is not obvious to see from (2.7).

Next, for any fixed $T>0$, we derive an upper bound for $w(x, t)$ on $[0, T]$. We will treat condition (a) and (b) separately.

Let

$$
m(t)=\sup _{\mathbf{M} \times[0, t]} w(x, s)
$$

First, under condition (b), since

$$
\begin{aligned}
w(x, t) & =1+2(a-1) \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbf{M}} G(x, t ; y, s) V(y) w(y, s) d y d s \\
& \leq 1+2(a-1)\left(\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbf{M}} G(x, t ; y, s) V^{2}(y) d y d s\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbf{M}} G(x, t ; y, s) w^{2}(y, s) d y d s\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq 1+2(a-1)\left(\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbf{M}} \frac{\hat{C}(t)}{(t-s)^{n / 2}} e^{-\frac{\bar{c} d^{2}(x, y)}{t-s}} V^{2}(y) d y d s\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbf{M}} G(x, t ; y, s) m^{2}(s) d y d s\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq 1+C_{0}(a-1) \sqrt{\hat{C}(t)}\left(\int_{\mathbf{M}} \frac{V^{2}(y)}{d^{n-2}(x, y)} d y\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{t} m^{2}(s) d s\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq 1+C_{0}(a-1) \sqrt{\sigma \hat{C}(T)}\left(\int_{0}^{t} m^{2}(s) d s\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $t \in[0, T]$ and $x \in \mathbf{M}$, we have

$$
m(t) \leq 1+C_{0}(a-1) \sqrt{\sigma \hat{C}(T)}\left(\int_{0}^{t} m^{2}(s) d s\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and hence

$$
m^{2}(t) \leq 2+2 C_{0}(a-1)^{2} \sigma \hat{C}(T) \int_{0}^{t} m^{2}(s) d s
$$

which is the Grönwall inequality.
Therefore, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{2}(t) \leq 2 e^{2 C_{0}(a-1)^{2} \sigma \hat{C}(T) t} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(t) \leq \sqrt{2} e^{C_{0}(a-1)^{2} \sigma \hat{C}(T) t} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Especially, we have shown

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(x, t) \leq \sqrt{2} e^{C_{0}(a-1)^{2} \sigma \hat{C}(t) t} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $t \in[0, \infty)$. From (2.10), we have

$$
2^{-1 /(2 a-2)} e^{-C_{0}(a-1) \sigma \hat{C}(t) t} \leq J(x, t) \leq 1
$$

Under the condition (a),

$$
\begin{aligned}
w(x, t) & =1+2(a-1) \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbf{M}} G(x, t ; y, s) V(y) w(y, s) d y d s \\
& \leq 1+2(a-1) \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbf{M}} G(x, t ; y, s) V(y) m(s) d y d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
m(t) \leq & 1+2(a-1) \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbf{M}} G(x, t ; y, s) V(y) m(s) d y d s \\
= & 1+2(a-1) \int_{0}^{t-\epsilon} \int_{\mathbf{M}} G(x, t ; y, s) V(y) m(s) d y d s  \tag{2.19}\\
& +2(a-1) \int_{t-\epsilon}^{t} \int_{\mathbf{M}} G(x, t ; y, s) V(y) m(s) d y d s .
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathrm{M}} G(x, t ; y, s) V(y) d y & \leq\|V\|_{L^{p}}\left(\int_{\mathbf{M}} G^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(x, t ; y, s) d y\right)^{(p-1) / p} \\
& =\|V\|_{L^{p}}\left(\int_{\mathbf{M}} G^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \cdot G d y\right)^{(p-1) / p} \\
& \leq \sigma \hat{C}(t)^{1 / p} \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\frac{n}{2 p}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, (2.19) can be further written as

$$
m(t) \leq 1+2(a-1) \sigma \hat{C}(T)^{1 / p} \int_{0}^{t-\epsilon} \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\frac{n}{2 p}}} m(s) d s+2(a-1) \sigma \hat{C}(T)^{1 / p} m(t) \epsilon^{1-\frac{n}{2 p}} .
$$

Moving the third term on the right hand side to the left hand side, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[1-2(a-1) \sigma \hat{C}(T)^{1 / p} \epsilon^{1-\frac{n}{2 p}}\right] m(t) } & \leq 1+2(a-1) \sigma \hat{C}(T)^{1 / p} \int_{0}^{t-\epsilon} \frac{1}{(t-s)^{\frac{n}{2 p}}} m(s) d s  \tag{2.20}\\
& \leq 1+2(a-1) \sigma \hat{C}(T)^{1 / p} \epsilon^{-\frac{n}{2 p}} \int_{0}^{t-\epsilon} m(s) d s
\end{align*}
$$

Setting

$$
\epsilon=\left(4(a-1) \sigma \hat{C}(T)^{1 / p}\right)^{-\frac{2 p}{2 p-n}}
$$

we have

$$
1-2(a-1) \sigma \hat{C}(T)^{1 / p} \epsilon^{1-\frac{n}{2 p}}=\frac{1}{2}
$$

Therefore, (2.20) becomes

$$
m(t) \leq 2+\left(4(a-1) \sigma \hat{C}(T)^{1 / p}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-n}} \int_{0}^{t} m(s) d s
$$

which again is the Grönwall inequality.
Hence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(t) \leq 2 \exp \left\{\left(4(a-1) \sigma \hat{C}(T)^{1 / p}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-n}} t\right\} \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in[0, T]$. Especially, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(x, t) \leq 2 \exp \left\{\left(4(a-1) \sigma \hat{C}(t)^{1 / p}\right)^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-n}} t\right\}, \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $t \in[0, \infty)$, i.e.,

$$
2^{-1 /(a-1)} e^{-(a-1)^{\frac{n}{2 p-n}}\left[4 \sigma \hat{C}(t)^{1 / p}\right]^{\frac{2 p}{2 p-n}} t} \leq J(x, t) \leq 1 .
$$

Therefore, $J$ exists for $t \in[0, \infty)$. This completes the proof of the claim 2.1,
Now we continue with the proof of part (1). In (2.6), choosing $J$ as in Claim [2.1 then we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta-\partial_{t}\right)(t Q)+2 \frac{\nabla u}{u} \cdot \nabla(t Q) \geq \alpha t(2 J-\delta J) \frac{1}{n}\left(|\nabla f|^{2}-\partial_{t} f\right)^{2}-\delta \alpha t J|\nabla f|^{4}-Q \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $T>0$, let $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ be a maximum point of $t Q=t\left(\alpha J \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u^{2}}-\frac{\partial_{t} u}{u}\right)$ in $\mathbf{M} \times[0, T]$. Then at this point, the above inequality induces

$$
0 \geq \alpha t(2 J-\delta J) \frac{1}{n}\left(|\nabla f|^{2}-\partial_{t} f\right)^{2}-\delta \alpha t J|\nabla f|^{4}-Q
$$

Clearly we can assume $Q \geq 0$ at $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$ since the result is already proven otherwise. Then

$$
\left(|\nabla f|^{2}-\partial_{t} f\right)^{2} \geq\left(\alpha J \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u^{2}}-\frac{\partial_{t} u}{u}\right)^{2}+(1-\alpha J)^{2}|\nabla f|^{4}
$$

Plugging this into the previous inequality, we find that

$$
0 \geq \alpha \frac{2 J-\delta J}{n} t Q^{2}+\left[\frac{2-\delta}{n}(1-\alpha J)^{2}-\delta\right] \alpha t J|\nabla f|^{4}-Q .
$$

By choosing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\frac{2(1-\alpha)^{2}}{n+(1-\alpha)^{2}} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2-\delta}{n}(1-\alpha)^{2}-\delta=0 \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J \leq 1$, we derive from above that

$$
\frac{2-\delta}{n}(1-\alpha J)^{2}-\delta \geq 0 \quad \text { on } \quad \mathbf{M} \times[0, \infty) .
$$

Therefore, at $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$,

$$
0 \geq \alpha \frac{2 J-\delta J}{n} t^{2} Q^{2}-t Q
$$

which infers

$$
t Q \leq\left. t Q\right|_{\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)} \leq \frac{n}{(2-\delta) \alpha J} \leq \frac{n}{(2-\delta) \alpha \underline{J}(T)},
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \underline{J}(t) \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u^{2}}-\frac{\partial_{t} u}{u} \leq \frac{n}{(2-\delta) \alpha \underline{J}(t)} \frac{1}{t} . \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

This proves part (1) of the theorem.
For part (2), we first prove an improved short time bound for $J$.
Consider the closed ball in $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{M} \times\left[0, T_{0}\right]\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\left\{w \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{M} \times\left[0, T_{0}\right]\right) \mid 1 \leq w \leq 1+\eta\right\} . \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\eta$ is a positive number in $(0,1)$, and $T_{0}$ is a constant to be determined. Let $w_{0}=w(\cdot, 0)=1$, and $P$ the map on $X$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P w=w_{0}+2(a-1) \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbf{M}} G(x, t ; y, s) V(y) w(y, s) d y d s \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $w \in X$, since $w \geq w_{0}=1$, we have

$$
P w \geq w_{0} .
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{align*}
P w-w_{0} & \leq 2(a-1) \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbf{M}} G(x, t ; y, s) V(y) w(y, s) d y d s \\
& \leq 2(a-1)(1+\eta) w_{0} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbf{M}} G(x, t ; y, s) V(y) d y d s . \tag{2.29}
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that, under the condition (b), we have $\sup _{x} \int_{\mathbf{M}} \frac{\left|\operatorname{Ric}^{-}(y)\right|^{2}}{d(x, y)^{n-2}} d y<\infty$. Then by using the Gaussian upper bound of $G$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{t} \int_{M} G(x, t ; y, s) V(y) d y d s \\
\leq & \left(\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbf{M}} G(x, t ; y, s) d y d s\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbf{M}} G(x, t ; y, s) V^{2}(y) d y\right)^{1 / 2} \\
\leq & C \sqrt{t}\left(\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbf{M}} \frac{1}{(t-s)^{n / 2}} e^{-c d^{2}(x, y) /(t-s)} V^{2}(y) d y d s\right)^{1 / 2} \\
\leq & C \sqrt{t}\left(\int_{\mathbf{M}} \frac{1}{d^{n-2}(x, y)} V^{2}(y) d y\right)^{1 / 2} \\
= & C \sqrt{t} \sqrt{\sigma} \equiv C_{0} \sqrt{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbf{M}} G(x, t ; y, s) V(y) d y d s \leq C_{0} \sqrt{t} \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\mid$ Ric $^{-} \mid \in L^{p}$ with $p>n / 2$, the $1 / 2$ power on $t$ on the right hand side above should be replaced by $1-\frac{n}{2 p}$. Here is a quick proof. By Remark 1.2, the heat kernel $G$ also has an Gaussian upper bound and $|B(x, r)| \leq C r^{n}$. So

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbf{M}} G(x, t ; y, s) V(y) d y d s \\
\leq & C \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbf{M}} \frac{1}{(t-s)^{n / 2}} e^{-c d^{2}(x, y) /(t-s)} V(y) d y d s  \tag{2.31}\\
\leq & C \int_{0}^{t}\left(\int_{\mathbf{M}} \frac{1}{(t-s)^{n p /[2(p-1)]}} e^{-c p /(p-1) \frac{d^{2}(x, y)}{t-s}} d y\right)^{(p-1) / p} d s\|V\|_{L^{p}} \\
\leq & C_{0} t^{1-\frac{n}{2 p}} .
\end{align*}
$$

In the following, we prove the theorem under the condition (b), so that (2.30) holds. The proof under the condition (a) works verbatim after replacing (2.30) by (2.31).

From (2.29) and (2.30), we see that

$$
P w-w_{0} \leq C_{0}(a-1) \sqrt{t} w_{0} .
$$

If we choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{0}=\left[C_{0}(a-1)\right]^{-2} \eta^{2}=\left[C_{0}\left(5 \delta^{-1}-1\right)\right]^{-2} \eta^{2}, \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
P w-w_{0} \leq \eta w_{0} . \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $P$ maps $X$ into $X$.

Next we show that $P$ is a contraction mapping on $X$ when $T_{0}$ is chosen as in (2.32). Let $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ be two elements in $X$. Then (2.28) implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|P w_{2}-P w_{1}\right|(x, t)=2(a-1)\left|\int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbf{M}} G(x, t ; y, s) V(y)\left(w_{2}-w_{1}\right)(y, s) d y d s\right| \\
& \leq 2(a-1) \int_{0}^{t} \int_{\mathbf{M}} G(x, t ; y, s) V(y) d y d s\left\|w_{2}-w_{1}\right\|_{\infty} \\
& \leq C_{0}(a-1) \sqrt{t}\left\|w_{2}-w_{1}\right\|_{\infty} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (2.32), we know that under condition (b) of the theorem, (2.33) holds and also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P w_{2}-P w_{1}\right\|_{\infty} \leq \eta\left\|w_{2}-w_{1}\right\|_{\infty} \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $P$ is a contraction map from $X$ to $X$. The unique fixed point, named $w$, is a solution to (2.12) and (2.11). By the definition of $X$, we already know that on $\mathbf{M} \times\left[0, T_{0}\right]$,

$$
1 \leq w \leq 1+\eta
$$

From the relations (2.10), we know that

$$
J=w^{\frac{1}{a-1}}=w^{-\frac{\delta}{5-\delta}} .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+\eta)^{-\frac{\delta}{5-\delta}} \leq J \leq 1 \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\frac{n \alpha}{n+(1-\alpha)^{2}}(1+\eta)^{-\frac{\delta}{5-\delta}} . \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (2.26) can be rewritten as

$$
\beta \frac{n+(1-\alpha)^{2}}{n} \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u^{2}}-\frac{\partial_{t} u}{u} \leq \frac{n}{2 \beta} \frac{1}{t},
$$

which obviously implies (1.12).
Moreover, from (2.32), (2.24), and (2.36), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{0}=c(1-\beta)^{4} \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

under condition (b) of the theorem.
Similarly, under condition (a), one can get

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{0}=\left[C_{0}(5 \delta-1)\right]^{-2 p /(2 p-n)} \eta^{2 p /(2 p-n)}, \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{0}=c(1-\beta)^{4 p /(2 p-n)} . \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Ricci flow case

In this section, we consider the Li-Yau bound in the Ricci flow case and prove Theorem 1.4 , The main tool is still the maximum principle applied on a differential inequality involving Li-Yau type quantity. However, due to the Ricci flow, extra terms involving the Ricci curvature and Hessian of the solution will come out. In order to proceed we need to create a new term with the scalar curvature in the denominator.

Before proving the theorem, we carry out some basic computations.

Lemma 3.1. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=-\Delta u+\delta \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u}-\alpha R u+\frac{\beta u}{R+C}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and operator $\mathcal{L}=\Delta-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, where $\delta, \alpha$, and $\beta$ are arbitrary constants and $C$ is a constant so that $R+C>0$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L} F= & \frac{1}{u}\left|u_{i j}-\frac{u_{i} u_{j}}{u}+u R_{i j}\right|^{2}+\frac{2 \delta-1}{u}\left|u_{i j}-\frac{u_{i} u_{j}}{u}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{(2 \alpha-1) u}\left|(2 \alpha-1) u R_{i j}+\frac{u_{i} u_{j}}{u}\right|^{2} \\
& -\frac{1}{2 \alpha-1} \frac{|\nabla u|^{4}}{u^{3}}+\frac{\alpha u}{R+C}\left|\nabla R-\frac{R+C}{u} \nabla u\right|^{2}+\left(\frac{\beta}{(R+C)^{3}}-\frac{\alpha}{R+C}\right) u|\nabla R|^{2} \\
& -\frac{\alpha(R+C)|\nabla u|^{2}}{u}+\frac{2 \beta u\left|R_{i j}\right|^{2}}{(R+C)^{2}}+\frac{\beta u}{R+C}\left|\frac{\nabla R}{R+C}-\frac{\nabla u}{u}\right|^{2}-\frac{\beta|\nabla u|^{2}}{u(R+C)} . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof: It follows from (1.6) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}(\Delta u)=-2 R_{i j} u_{i j}, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}\right)=2\left|u_{i j}\right|^{2} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, it is well known that under the Ricci flow we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L} R=-2\left|R_{i j}\right|^{2} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, it is straightforward to check that for any smooth functions $f$ and $g$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{f}{g}\right)=\frac{1}{g} \mathcal{L} f-\frac{f}{g^{2}} \mathcal{L} g-\frac{2}{g} \nabla_{i} \frac{f}{g} \nabla_{i} g, \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}(f g)=f \mathcal{L} g+g \mathcal{L} f+2 \nabla_{i} f \nabla_{i} g . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It then follows from (1.6), (3.4), (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u}\right) & =\frac{1}{u} \mathcal{L}|\nabla u|^{2}-\frac{2}{u} \nabla_{i} \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u} \nabla_{i} u \\
& =\frac{2}{u}\left|u_{i j}\right|^{2}-\frac{4}{u^{2}} u_{i j} u_{i} u_{j}+\frac{2|\nabla u|^{4}}{u^{3}}  \tag{3.8}\\
& =\frac{2}{u}\left|u_{i j}-\frac{u_{i} u_{j}}{u}\right|^{2}, \\
\mathcal{L}(R u)=u \mathcal{L} R+ & 2 \nabla_{i} R \nabla_{i} u=-2 u\left|R_{i j}\right|^{2}+2 \nabla_{i} R \nabla_{i} u, \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{u}{R+C}\right) & =-\frac{u}{(R+C)^{2}} \mathcal{L} R-\frac{2}{R+C} \nabla_{i} \frac{u}{R+C} \nabla_{i} R  \tag{3.10}\\
& =\frac{2 u\left|R_{i j}\right|^{2}}{(R+C)^{2}}+\frac{2 u|\nabla R|^{2}}{(R+C)^{3}}-\frac{2}{(R+C)^{2}} \nabla_{i} R \nabla_{i} u .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, by (3.1), (3.3), (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10), we have, after splitting zeros in four occasions, that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} F= & 2 R_{i j} u_{i j}+\frac{2 \delta}{u}\left|u_{i j}-\frac{u_{i} u_{j}}{u}\right|^{2}+2 \alpha u\left|R_{i j}\right|^{2}-2 \alpha \nabla_{i} R \nabla_{i} u \\
& +\frac{2 \beta u\left|R_{i j}\right|^{2}}{(R+C)^{2}}+\frac{2 \beta u|\nabla R|^{2}}{(R+C)^{3}}-\frac{2 \beta}{(R+C)^{2}} \nabla_{i} R \nabla_{i} u \\
= & \frac{1}{u}\left|u_{i j}-\frac{u_{i} u_{j}}{u}+u R_{i j}\right|^{2}+\frac{2 \delta-1}{u}\left|u_{i j}-\frac{u_{i} u_{j}}{u}\right|^{2}+(2 \alpha-1) u\left|R_{i j}\right|^{2}+2 R_{i j} \frac{u_{i} u_{j}}{u} \\
& +\frac{1}{2 \alpha-1} \frac{|\nabla u|^{4}}{u^{3}}-\frac{1}{2 \alpha-1} \frac{|\nabla u|^{4}}{u^{3}}-2 \alpha \nabla_{i} R \nabla_{i} u+\frac{\alpha u|\nabla R|^{2}}{R+C}+\frac{\alpha(R+C)|\nabla u|^{2}}{u} \\
& +\left(\frac{\beta}{(R+C)^{3}}-\frac{\alpha}{R+C}\right) u|\nabla R|^{2}-\frac{\alpha(R+C)|\nabla u|^{2}}{u}+\frac{2 \beta u\left|R_{i j}\right|^{2}}{(R+C)^{2}}-\frac{2 \beta}{(R+C)^{2}} \nabla_{i} R \nabla_{i} u \\
& +\frac{\beta u|\nabla R|^{2}}{(R+C)^{3}}+\frac{\beta|\nabla u|^{2}}{u(R+C)}-\frac{\beta|\nabla u|^{2}}{u(R+C)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that the 3rd, 4th and 5th terms, 7th, 8th and 9th terms and 13th, 14th and 15 th terms form complete squares, respectively. Hence we get (3.2).

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.4
Proof of Theorem 1.4: Assume that $1>\delta \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\alpha>1$. By choosing $C=2$ and $\beta=\alpha\left(R_{1}+2\right)^{2}$ in the above lemma, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L} F \geq & \frac{1}{n u}\left|\Delta u-\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u}+u R\right|^{2}+\frac{2 \delta-1}{n u}\left|\Delta u-\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u}\right|^{2}-\frac{1}{2 \alpha-1} \frac{|\nabla u|^{4}}{u^{3}}  \tag{3.11}\\
& -\frac{\alpha(R+2)|\nabla u|^{2}}{u}-\frac{\beta|\nabla u|^{2}}{u(R+2)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Notice that

$$
\Delta u-\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u}=\left(\Delta u-\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u}+u R\right)-u R .
$$

We rewrite (3.11) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} F \geq & \frac{2 \delta}{n u}\left|\Delta u-\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u}+u R\right|^{2}-\frac{2(2 \delta-1) R}{n}\left(\Delta u-\frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u}+u R\right)+\frac{(2 \delta-1) R^{2} u}{n} \\
& -\frac{1}{2 \alpha-1} \frac{|\nabla u|^{4}}{u^{3}}-\frac{\alpha(R+2)|\nabla u|^{2}}{u}-\frac{\beta|\nabla u|^{2}}{u(R+2)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

According to the definition of $F$ in (3.1), the above inequality becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L} F \geq & \frac{2 \delta}{n u}\left|F+(1-\delta) \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u}+(\alpha-1) R u-\frac{\beta u}{R+2}\right|^{2} \\
& +\frac{2(2 \delta-1) R}{n}\left(F+(1-\delta) \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u}+(\alpha-1) R u-\frac{\beta u}{R+2}\right) \\
& +\frac{(2 \delta-1) R^{2} u}{n}-\frac{1}{2 \alpha-1} \frac{|\nabla u|^{4}}{u^{3}}-\left[\alpha(R+2)+\frac{\beta}{R+2}\right] \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $Q=t F-\theta u$. Then at $t=0$, we have $Q<0$. Suppose that at time $t_{0}>0$ and point $x_{0} \in \mathbf{M}$, $Q$ reaches 0 for the first time. Then at $\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)$, we have $t_{0} F=\theta u$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 \geq & t_{0} \mathcal{L} Q\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right) \\
\geq & -\theta u+\frac{2 \delta}{n u}\left|\theta u+(1-\delta) \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u} t_{0}+(\alpha-1) R u t_{0}-\frac{\beta u t_{0}}{R+2}\right|^{2} \\
& +\frac{2(2 \delta-1) R t_{0}}{n}\left(\theta u+(1-\delta) \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u} t_{0}+(\alpha-1) R u t_{0}-\frac{\beta u t_{0}}{R+2}\right) \\
& +\frac{(2 \delta-1) R^{2} u}{n} t_{0}^{2}-\frac{1}{2 \alpha-1} \frac{|\nabla u|^{4}}{u^{3}} t_{0}^{2}-\left[\alpha(R+2)+\frac{\beta}{R+2}\right] \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u} t_{0}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

After expanding the first square, we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \geq-\theta u+\frac{2 \delta}{n} \theta^{2} u+\frac{2 \delta(1-\delta)^{2}}{n} \frac{|\nabla u|^{4}}{u^{3}} t_{0}^{2}+\frac{2 \delta(\alpha-1)^{2} R^{2} u}{n} t_{0}^{2} \\
& +\frac{2 \delta \beta^{2} u}{n(R+2)^{2}} t_{0}^{2}+\frac{4 \delta(1-\delta) \theta}{n} \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u} t_{0}+\frac{4 \delta(\alpha-1) \theta R u}{n} t_{0}-\frac{4 \delta \beta \theta u}{n(R+2)} t_{0} \\
& +\frac{4 \delta(1-\delta)(\alpha-1) R}{n} \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u} t_{0}^{2}-\frac{4 \delta(1-\delta) \beta}{n(R+2)} \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u} t_{0}^{2}-\frac{4 \delta(\alpha-1) \beta R u}{n(R+2)} t_{0}^{2} \\
& +\frac{2(2 \delta-1) \theta R u}{n} t_{0}+\frac{2(2 \delta-1)(1-\delta) R}{n} \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u} t_{0}^{2}+\frac{2(2 \delta-1)(\alpha-1) R^{2} u}{n} t_{0}^{2} \\
& -\frac{2(2 \delta-1) \beta R u}{n(R+2)} t_{0}^{2}+\frac{(2 \delta-1) R^{2} u}{n} t_{0}^{2}-\frac{1}{2 \alpha-1} \frac{|\nabla u|^{4}}{u^{3}} t_{0}^{2}-\left[\alpha(R+2)+\frac{\beta}{R+2}\right] \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u} t_{0}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This becomes, after combining similar terms,

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \geq-\theta u+\frac{2 \delta}{n} \theta^{2} u-\frac{4 \delta \beta \theta u}{n(R+2)} t_{0}-\frac{(4 \delta \alpha-2) \theta u}{n} t_{0}-\frac{(4 \delta \alpha-2) \beta R_{1} u}{n(R+2)} t_{0}^{2} \\
& +\left(\frac{2 \delta(1-\delta)^{2}}{n}-\frac{1}{2 \alpha-1}\right) \frac{|\nabla u|^{4}}{u^{3}} t_{0}^{2} \\
& +\left(\frac{4 \delta(1-\delta) \theta}{n} t_{0}-\frac{4 \delta(1-\delta) \beta}{n(R+2)} t_{0}^{2}-\frac{2 \beta}{(R+2)} t_{0}^{2}-\frac{(4 \delta \alpha-2)(1-\delta)}{n} t_{0}^{2}\right) \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is straightforward to check that by choosing

$$
\alpha=\frac{n}{2 \delta(1-\delta)^{2}}, \quad \text { and } \quad \theta=\frac{n}{2 \delta}+\frac{4 n \beta T}{\delta(1-\delta)}
$$

one has

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{2 \delta(1-\delta)^{2}}{n}-\frac{1}{2 \alpha-1}>0  \tag{3.12}\\
\frac{4 \delta(1-\delta) \theta}{n T} \geq\left[\frac{4 \delta(1-\delta)}{n}+2\right] \beta+\frac{(4 \delta \alpha-2)(1-\delta)}{n} \tag{3.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 \delta}{n T^{2}} \theta^{2}-\left(\frac{1}{T^{2}}+\frac{4 \delta \beta}{n T}+\frac{4 \delta \alpha}{n T}\right) \theta-\frac{4 \delta \alpha \beta R_{1}}{n}>0 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we have a contradiction. It follows that

$$
-\Delta u+\delta \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u}-\alpha R u+\frac{\beta u}{R+2} \leq \frac{\theta u}{t}
$$

for any $t \in(0, T)$, which is (1.13).
In general, along the Ricci flow we have

$$
-\sup _{\mathbf{M}} R(x, 0) \leq R(x, t) \leq \sup _{\mathbf{M} \times[0, T)} R(x, t)
$$

Denote by $R_{1}=\sup _{\mathbf{M} \times[0, T)} R(x, t)$ and

$$
R_{0}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\sup _{\mathbf{M}} R^{-}(x, 0), \text { if } \sup _{\mathbf{M}} R^{-}(x, 0)>0 \\
\inf _{\mathbf{M}} R(x, 0), \text { if } \sup _{\mathbf{M}} R^{-}(x, 0)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is not hard to check that by choosing $C=2 R_{0}$ and $\beta=\alpha\left(R_{1}+2 R_{0}\right)^{2}$ in Lemma 3.1 and repeating the proof of Theorem 1.4, we can get the following scaling invariant Li-Yau bounds.
Theorem 3.2. Let $\mathbf{M}$ be a compact n-dimensional Riemmanian manifold, and $g_{i j}(t), t \in[0, T)$, a solution of the Ricci flow (1.5) on M. Suppose that $u$ is a positive solution of the heat equation (1.6). Then, for any $\delta \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$, when $\sup _{M} R^{-}(x, 0)>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u^{2}}-\frac{\partial_{t} u}{u}-\alpha R+\frac{\beta}{R+2 R_{0}} \leq \frac{\theta}{t}, \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and when $\sup _{\mathbf{M}} R^{-}(x, 0)=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \frac{|\nabla u|^{2}}{u^{2}}-\frac{\partial_{t} u}{u}-\alpha R+\frac{\beta}{R} \leq \frac{\theta}{t} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $t \in(0, T)$, where $\alpha=\frac{n}{2 \delta(1-\delta)^{2}}, \beta=\alpha\left(R_{1}+2 R_{0}\right)^{2}$, and $\theta=\frac{n}{2 \delta}+\frac{4 n \beta T}{\delta(1-\delta) R_{0}}$.
Remark 3.3. From (1.8), one can see that if $R>0$, then there are both a forward inequality $u_{t} \geq-\frac{B a}{t}$, and a backward inequality $u_{t} \leq \frac{B a}{t}$.

The Li-Yau bound (1.13) obtained above gives us a stronger forward Harnack inequality $\frac{u_{t}}{u} \geq-\frac{k}{t}$ when $R>0$. However, it seems that a backward Harnack inequality of the form $\frac{u_{t}}{u} \leq \frac{k}{t}$ cannot be expected. Because if this were the case, then one would have $u\left(x, t_{2}\right) \leq u\left(x, t_{1}\right)\left(\frac{t_{2}}{t_{1}}\right)^{k}$. Now suppose that $M$ is an Einstein manifold $R_{i j}=\rho g_{i j}$ with $\rho>0$ and $u(x, t)=G\left(x, t ; x_{0}, 0\right)$ the heat kernel under the Ricci flow. According to a result in [CZ], we have the Gaussian lower and upper bounds of $G$, i.e.,

$$
C t^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{c d_{t}^{2}\left(x, x_{0}\right)}{t}} \leq G\left(x, t ; x_{0}, 0\right) \leq C t^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{d_{t}^{2}(x, y)}{c t}} .
$$

It then follows that

$$
C t_{2}^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{c d_{t_{2}}^{2}\left(x, x_{0}\right)}{t_{2}}} \leq G\left(x, t_{2} ; x_{0}, 0\right) \leq G\left(x, t_{1} ; x_{0}, 0\right)\left(\frac{t_{2}}{t_{1}}\right)^{k} \leq C\left(\frac{t_{2}}{t_{1}}\right)^{k} t_{1}^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{d_{t_{1}}^{2}(x, y)}{c t_{1}}}
$$

i.e.,

$$
e^{-\frac{\left(1-2 \rho t_{2}\right) d_{0}^{2}\left(x, x_{0}\right)}{t_{2}}+\frac{\left(1-2 \rho t_{1}\right) d_{0}^{2}\left(x, x_{0}\right)}{t_{1}}} \leq C\left(\frac{t_{2}}{t_{1}}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}+k}
$$

Obviously, when $t_{2}=2 t_{1}$ and $x \neq x_{0}$, we get a contradiction for $t_{1}$ small enough.

## 4. Applications on extending Colding-Naber Result

In this section, we mainly apply the Li-Yau bound (1.12) to extend parabolic approximations of distance functions of Colding-Naber CoNa to the case where $\mid$ Ric $^{-} \mid \in L^{p}$ for some $p>\frac{n}{2}$. This result is a moderate variation of the one in Tian-Z.L. Zhang [TZz]. In addition, some of the intermediate results are also proved by replacing the condition that $\left|\operatorname{Ric}^{-}\right| \in L^{p}$ by $|\operatorname{Ric}| \in K^{2, n-2}$, where $K^{p, \lambda}$ denotes the Kato type space with the norm

$$
\|w\|_{K^{p, \lambda}}=\left(\sup _{\mathbf{M}} \int_{\mathbf{M}} \frac{|w|^{p}}{d^{\lambda}(x, y)} d y\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}
$$

We hope that these results will find applications in the study of Kähler Ricci flows which enjoy the property that the condition $\mid$ Ric $\mid \in K^{2, n-2}$ is preserved ( $[\widehat{\mathrm{TZq} 2}]$ ).

Let $\left(\mathbf{M}, g_{i j}\right)$ be a compact $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold. We list below the following four assumptions, part of which will be used in various results in the section. In particular, we will present two theorems under the assumptions $A 1$ and $A 2$; and under the assumptions $A 1, A 3$ and A4 respectively. As explained below, these conditions correspond to the normalized Kähler-Ricci
flow of dimensions 3 or less and to all dimensions respectively.
A1: $M$ is $\kappa$-noncollapsed for some constant $\kappa$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Vol}\left(B_{r}(x)\right) \geq \kappa r^{n}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbf{M}, \text { and } r \leq 1 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A2: $\|$ Ric $^{-} \|_{L^{p}} \leq \Lambda$ for some $p>\frac{n}{2}$.
A3: $\|R i c\|_{K^{2, n-2}} \leq \Gamma$, the heat kernel of (1.1) has a Gaussian upper bound for $0<t \leq 1$ as in (1.9), and $|B(x, r)| \leq C r^{n}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbf{M}$, and $r \leq 1$.
$A_{4}$ : on any geodesic ball $B_{r}(x)$, there exists a function $\phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(B_{r}(x)\right)$ such that $\phi \geq 0, \phi=$ 1 in $B_{r / 2}(x)$, and $|\nabla \phi|^{2}+|\Delta \phi| \leq C r^{-2}$.

Remark 4.1. Under assumptions A1 and A2, the existence of cut-off functions as in $A_{4}$ was first proved by Petersen-Wei PW2, where the volume doubling property and laplacian comparison theorem were used. It is known that in that A1 ( $\overline{\mathrm{P} 1]}$ ) and A2 ( $\overline{\mathrm{TZz}}$ ) hold uniformly on each time slice of the normalized Kähler-Ricci flow of complex dimension 3 and less.

On the other hand, as shown in [BZ] Theorem 1.3 for the Ricci flow, given the Gaussian upper and lower bound of the heat kernel $G(x, t ; y, 0)$ and its time derivative, one can also construct a cut-off function $\phi$ such that $0<\phi \leq 1$ in $B_{r}(x), \phi \geq c>0$ in $B_{r / 2}(x)$, and $|\Delta \phi|+|\nabla \phi|^{2} \leq C r^{-2}$ for any $x \in \mathbf{M}$ and $r \leq r_{0}$. And hence a cut-off function in an annulus as in Lemma 4.10 can be obtained, and used instead. Although that paper dealt with Ricci flow case, the same method works for fixed manifolds since the cut-off function is constructed from the heat kernel. Moreover, by a simple covering argument, after composition with a one variable function, one can further refine the cut-off function so that $\phi=1$ in a smaller ball with, say, half of the radius. i.e. It becomes a "good" cut-off function.

Remark 4.2. We mention that conditions A1, A3, A4 hold uniformly on each time slice of the normalized Kähler-Ricci flow in all dimensions. Indeed, A1 is Perelman's $\kappa$ noncollapsing ([P]). From the papers TZq1 and TZq2, we know A3 holds on each time slice of the normalized KählerRicci flow. In TZq1, during the proof of Lemma 2.3, a Gaussian upper and lower bound for the stationary heat kernel of each time slice is proven. Hence by Remark 4.1, condition A4 holds, namely a good cut-off function exists.

If one works a little harder, by assuming just the Gaussian upper bound, one can prove the Gaussian lower bound holds under the condition $\|$ Ric $\|_{K^{2, n-2}} \leq \Gamma$. So A1 and A3 together actually imply A4. But we will not seek this generalization here.

Let $h_{t}^{ \pm}(x)$ be the parabolic approximations of the local distance functions as defined in (4.20).
The main results of this section are Theorem 4.3 and Theorem 4.5 below. As remarked above, the first one works for the normalized Kähler-Ricci flow of dimension 3 and less. The second works for the normalized Kähler-Ricci flow of all dimensions, but the result is weaker in that the bounds are less concrete.

Theorem 4.3. Assume that $A 1$ and A2 are satisfied. Let $O^{+}$and $O^{-}$be two fixed points in $\mathbf{M}$. Denote by $d_{0}=d\left(O^{+}, O^{-}\right)$. Then for some fixed $\delta>0$, there exist constants $C=C(n, p, \kappa, \Lambda, \delta)$ and $\bar{\epsilon}=\bar{\epsilon}(n, p, \delta)$, such that for any $0<\epsilon \leq \bar{\epsilon}$,

$$
x \in M_{\delta, 2} \equiv\left\{x \in \mathbf{M} \mid \delta d_{0}<d\left(x,\left\{O^{+}, O^{-}\right\}\right) \leq 2 d_{0}\right\}
$$

with

$$
e(x) \equiv d\left(O^{-}, x\right)+d\left(O^{+}, x\right)-d\left(O^{+}, O^{-}\right) \leq \epsilon^{2} d_{0}
$$

and any $\epsilon$-geodesic $\sigma:\left[0, d_{0}\right] \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ connecting $O^{+}$and $O^{-}$, there exists $r \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 2\right]$ satisfying
(1) $\left|h_{r \epsilon^{2} d_{0}^{2}}^{ \pm}-d^{ \pm}\right| \leq C d_{0}\left(\epsilon^{2}+\epsilon^{2-\frac{n}{2 p}}\right)$.
(2) $\left.\oint_{B_{\epsilon d_{0}}(x)}| | \nabla h_{r \epsilon^{2} d_{0}^{2}}^{ \pm}\right|^{2}(y)-1 \left\lvert\, d y \leq C\left(\epsilon+\epsilon^{1-\frac{n}{2 p}}\right)\right.$.
(3) $\left.\oint_{\delta d_{0}}^{(1-\delta) d_{0}} \oint_{B_{\epsilon d_{0}}(\sigma(s))}| | \nabla h_{r \epsilon^{2} d_{0}^{2}}^{ \pm}\right|^{2}(y)-1 \left\lvert\, d y d s \leq C\left(\epsilon^{2}+\epsilon^{2-\frac{n}{p}}\right)\right.$.
(4) $\oint_{\delta d_{0}}^{(1-\delta) d_{0}} \oint_{B_{\epsilon d_{0}}(\sigma(s))}\left|\nabla^{2} h_{r \epsilon^{2} d_{0}^{2}}^{ \pm}\right|^{2}(y) d y d s \leq \frac{C\left(1+\epsilon^{-\frac{n}{p}}\right)}{d_{0}^{2}}$.

More explanations of the notations in the above theorem can be found in the following context.
Remark 4.4. Theorem 4.3 was first obtained by Tian-Z. Zhang in TZz. But the exponents on the right hand sides of (3) and (4) are slightly different (comparing to Theorem 2.25 in [TZz]).

If $\mid$ Ric $^{-} \mid \in K^{2, n-2}$, due to the absence of volume doubling property and heat kernel Gaussian bounds, to prove a similar result as in Theorem 4.3 additional assumptions need to be imposed. More precisely, we can prove

Theorem 4.5. Assume that A1, A3, and A4 are satisfied. Then for some fixed $\delta>0$, any $q>0$ and $\lambda>n-2 q$, there exist constants $C=C(n, q, \lambda, \kappa, \Gamma, \delta)$ and $\bar{\epsilon}=\bar{\epsilon}(n, \delta)$, such that for any $0<\epsilon \leq \bar{\epsilon}$, $x \in M_{\delta, 2}$ with $e(x) \leq \epsilon^{2} d_{0}, d_{0}=d\left(O^{+}, O^{-}\right)$and any $\epsilon$-geodesic $\sigma:\left[0, d_{0}\right] \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ connecting $O^{+}$and $O^{-}$, there exists $r \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 2\right]$ satisfying
(1) $\left|h_{r \epsilon^{2} d_{0}^{2}}^{ \pm}-d^{ \pm}\right| \leq C d_{0}\left(\epsilon^{2}+\left\|\psi^{ \pm}\right\|_{K^{q, \lambda}} \epsilon^{2-\frac{n-\lambda}{q}} d_{0}^{2-\frac{n-\lambda}{q}}\right)$.
(2) $\left.\oint_{B_{\epsilon d_{0}}(x)}| | \nabla h_{r \epsilon^{2} d_{0}^{2}}^{ \pm}\right|^{2}(y)-1 \left\lvert\, d y \leq C\left(\epsilon+\left\|\psi^{ \pm}\right\|_{K^{q, \lambda}} \epsilon^{1-\frac{n-\lambda}{q}} d_{0}^{1-\frac{n-\lambda}{q}}\right)\right.$.
(3) $\oint_{\delta d_{0}}^{(1-\delta) d_{0}} \oint_{B_{\epsilon d_{0}}(\sigma(s))}| | \nabla h_{r \epsilon^{2} d_{0}^{2}}^{ \pm} 2^{2}(y)-1 \left\lvert\, d y d s \leq C\left(\epsilon^{2} d_{0}+\epsilon d_{0}^{2}+\left\|\psi^{ \pm}\right\|_{K^{q, \lambda}} \epsilon^{2-\frac{n-\lambda}{q}} d_{0}^{2-\frac{n-\lambda}{q}}\right)\right.$.
(4) $\oint_{\delta d_{0}}^{(1-\delta) d_{0}} \oint_{B_{\epsilon d_{0}}(\sigma(s))}\left|\nabla^{2} h_{r \epsilon^{2} d_{0}^{2}}^{ \pm}\right|^{2}(y) d y d s \leq \frac{C\left(1+\epsilon^{-1}+\left\|\psi^{ \pm}\right\|_{K^{q, \lambda}} \epsilon^{-\frac{n-\lambda}{q}}\right)}{d_{0}^{2}}$.

In the following, we mainly present the proof of Theorem 4.3. The proof of Theorem 4.5 is similar. The proof essentially follows the arguments in section 2 of CoNa . Thus, in the context below, we first just present most of the corresponding intermediate steps without proofs. For the $\mid$ Ric $^{-} \mid \in L^{p}$ case, we start from the volume comparison theorem proved by Petersen-Wei.

Theorem 4.6. (Petersen-Wei PW1) If A2 is satisfied, then there exists a constant $C=C(n, p)$ which is nondecreasing in $R$ such that for all $r \leq R$ and $x \in \mathbf{M}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left(B_{R}(x)\right)}{R^{n}}\right)^{1 / 2 p}-\left(\frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left(B_{r}(x)\right)}{r^{n}}\right)^{1 / 2 p} \leq C \Lambda^{1 / 2 p} R^{1-\frac{n}{2 p}} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{r}(x)$ denotes the geodesic ball centered at $x$ with radius $r$.
A very important corollary of the above theorem is the following volume doubling property (see PW2 Theorem 2.1).
Theorem 4.7. (Petersen-Wei PW2) Given $\alpha<1$ and $p>n / 2$. Assume that A1 and A2 are satisfied. Then there exists an $R=R(\alpha, p, n, \Lambda)>0$ such that for any $0<r_{1} \leq r_{2} \leq R$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \frac{r_{1}^{n}}{r_{2}^{n}} \leq \frac{\operatorname{vol} B_{r_{1}}(x)}{\operatorname{vol} B_{r_{2}}(x)} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 4.8. For $\mid$ Ric $^{-} \mid \in K^{2, n-2}$, it is not known whether the volume comparison theorem holds. Thus, we need to assume $|B(x, r)| \leq C r^{n}$ in A3, which combining with A1 provides the volume doubling property.

By using the above theorem, Petersen-Wei also obtained the following cut-off function, which was first observed by Cheeger-Colding in ChCo for manifolds with Ricci curvature bounded from below.
Lemma 4.9. (Petersen-Wei [PW2] Suppose that A1 and A2 are satisfied. There exist $r_{0}=$ $r_{0}(n, p, \kappa, \Lambda)$ and $C=C(n, p, \kappa, \Lambda)$ such that on any geodesic ball $B_{r}(x), r \leq r_{0}$, there exists a function $\phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(B_{r}(x)\right)$ such that

$$
\phi \geq 0, \quad \phi=1 \text { in } B_{r / 2}(x)
$$

and

$$
|\nabla \phi|^{2}+|\Delta \phi| \leq C r^{-2}
$$

Let $E$ be a closed subset of $M$. Denote the $r$-tubular neighborhood of $E$ by

$$
T_{r}(E)=\{x \in \mathbf{M} \mid d(x, E) \leq r\}
$$

For $0<r_{1}<r_{2}$, define the annulus $A_{r_{1}, r_{2}}(E)=T_{r_{2}}(E) \backslash \overline{T_{r_{1}}(E)}$. Using the lemma above and a similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 2.6 in CoNa , one has
Lemma 4.10. (Tian-Z. Zhang TZz) Suppose that A1 and A2 are satisfied. For any $R>0$, there exists $C=C(n, p, \kappa, \Lambda, R)$ such that the following holds. Let $E$ be any closed subset and $0<r_{1}<10 r_{2}<R$. There exists a function $\phi \in C^{\infty}\left(B_{R}(E)\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{gathered}
\phi \geq 0, \quad \phi=1 \text { in } A_{3 r_{1}, r_{2} / 3}(E), \quad \phi=0 \text { outside } A_{2 r_{1}, r_{2} / 2}(E) \\
|\nabla \phi|^{2}+|\Delta \phi| \leq C r_{1}^{-2} \text { in } A_{2 r_{1}, 3 r_{1}}(E)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
|\nabla \phi|^{2}+|\Delta \phi| \leq C r_{2}^{-2} \text { in } A_{r_{2} / 3, r_{2} / 2}(E)
$$

Let $G(y, t ; x, 0)=G(x, t ; y, 0)$ be the heat kernel on $M$. It can be showed that $G(y, t ; x, 0)$ has both Gaussian upper and lower bounds as follows
Lemma 4.11. (Tian-Z. Zhang TZz ) Suppose that A1 and A2 are satisfied. There exist positive constants $C_{i}=C_{i}(n, p, \kappa, \Lambda), i=1,2,3,4$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{1} t^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{\frac{-C_{2} d^{2}(x, y)}{t}} \leq G(y, t ; x, 0) \leq C_{3} t^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{d^{2}(x, y)}{C_{4} t}}, \forall x, y \in \mathbf{M}, \text { and } 0<t \leq 1 \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Actually, the Gaussian upper bound can be obtained by an $L^{1}$ mean value inequality for $G(y, t ; x, 0)$ and Grigor'yan's method in Gri]. Then the lower bound follows from the upper bound and an ondiagonal gradient bound for $G(y, t ; x, 0)$.

By using Duhamel's principle, it is not hard to prove the following $L^{1}$ Harnack inequalities.
Lemma 4.12. Let $u(x, t)$ be a nonnegative function satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \geq \Delta u-\xi \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi=\xi(x) \geq 0$ is a smooth function.
(i) If A1 and A2 are satisfied, then for any $q>\frac{n}{2}$, there exists a constant $C=C(n, p, q, \kappa, \Lambda)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\oint_{B_{r}(x)} u(y, 0) d y \leq C\left(u\left(x, r^{2}\right)+r^{2-\frac{n}{q}}\|\xi\|_{L^{q}}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any $x \in \mathbf{M}$ and $0<r \leq 1$.
More generally, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\oint_{B_{r}(x)} u(y, 0) d y \leq C\left(\inf _{B_{r}(x)} u\left(\cdot, r^{2}\right)+r^{2-\frac{n}{q}}\|\xi\|_{L^{q}}\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) If A1 and A3 are satisfied, then for any $q>0$ and $\lambda>n-2 q$, there exists a constant $C=C(n, q, \lambda, \kappa, \Gamma)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\oint_{B_{r}(x)} u(y, 0) d y \leq C\left(u\left(x, r^{2}\right)+r^{2-\frac{n-\lambda}{q}}\|\xi\|_{K^{q, \lambda}}\right) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any $x \in \mathbf{M}$ and $0<r \leq 1$.
More generally, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\oint_{B_{r}(x)} u(y, 0) d y \leq C\left(\inf _{B_{r}(x)} u\left(\cdot, r^{2}\right)+r^{2-\frac{n-\lambda}{q}}\|\xi\|_{K^{q, \lambda}}\right) . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 4.13. Part i) above is in Corollary 2.15 in [TZZ. The proof of part ii) is analogous to part i). The heat kernel bounds follow from the Li-Yau gradient bound in Theorem 1.1 (b).

Let $O^{+}$and $O^{-}$be two fixed points in M. Following CoNa , define

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{-}(x)=d\left(O^{-}, x\right), d^{+}(x)=d\left(O^{+}, O^{-}\right)-d\left(O^{+}, x\right) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(x)=d^{-}(x)-d^{+}(x)=d\left(O^{-}, x\right)+d\left(O^{+}, x\right)-d\left(O^{+}, O^{-}\right) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

First of all, we have in barrier sense that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta d^{-}(x) & \leq \frac{n-1}{d^{-}}+\psi^{-}  \tag{4.12}\\
-\Delta d^{+}(x) & \leq \frac{n-1}{d^{+}}+\psi^{+} \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\psi^{-}=\max \left\{\Delta d^{-}(x)-\frac{n-1}{d^{-}}, 0\right\}$, and $\psi^{+}=\max \left\{-\Delta d^{+}(x)-\frac{n-1}{d^{+}}, 0\right\}$. Moreover, it follows from Lemma 2.2 in PW1 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{r}(x)}\left|\psi^{ \pm}\right|^{2 p}(y) d y \leq C(n, p) \int_{B_{r}(x)}\left|\operatorname{Ric}^{-}\right|^{p}(y) d y \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by

$$
d_{0}=d\left(O^{+}, O^{-}\right) \text {and } M_{r_{1}, r_{2}}=A_{r_{1} d_{0}, r_{2} d_{0}}\left(\left\{O^{+}, O^{-}\right\}\right)
$$

With out loss of generality, we may assume that $d_{0} \leq 1$.
With the preparation above, by applying the method in section 2.1 in CoNa , we can now prove
Lemma 4.14. For some fixed $\delta>0$,
i) if A1 and A2 are satisfied, then there exist a small constant $\bar{\epsilon}=\bar{\epsilon}(n, p, \delta)$, and a constant $C=C(n, p, \kappa, \Lambda, \delta)$ such that for any $0<\epsilon \leq \bar{\epsilon}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\oint_{B_{\epsilon d_{0}}(x)} e(y) d y \leq C\left[e(x)+\epsilon^{2} d_{0}+\left(\left\|\psi^{+}\right\|_{L^{2 p}}+\left\|\psi^{-}\right\|_{L^{2 p}}\right) \epsilon^{2-\frac{n}{2 p}} d_{0}\right] \leq C\left(e(x)+\epsilon^{2-\frac{n}{2 p}} d_{0}\right) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in M_{\frac{\delta}{4}, 16}$.
In particular, this implies the excess estimate of Abresch-Gromoll AbGr, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(y) \leq C \epsilon^{1+\alpha(n, p)} d_{0}, \forall y \in B_{\frac{1}{2} \epsilon d_{0}}(x) \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $e(x) \leq \epsilon^{2-\frac{n}{2 p}} d_{0}$, where $\alpha(n, p)=\frac{1}{n+1}\left(1-\frac{n}{2 p}\right)$.
ii) if $A 1$ and $A 3$ are satisfied, then for any $q>0$ and $\lambda>n-2 q$, there exist a small constant $\bar{\epsilon}=\bar{\epsilon}(n, \delta)$, and a constant $C=C(n, q, \lambda, \kappa, \Gamma, \delta)$ such that for any $0<\epsilon \leq \bar{\epsilon}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\oint_{B_{\epsilon d_{0}}(x)} e(y) d y \leq C\left[e(x)+\epsilon^{2} d_{0}+\left(\left\|\psi^{+}\right\|_{K^{q, \lambda}}+\left\|\psi^{-}\right\|_{K^{q, \lambda}}\right) \epsilon^{2-\frac{n-\lambda}{q}} d_{0}^{2-\frac{n-\lambda}{q}}\right] \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in M_{\frac{\delta}{4}, 16}$.
Proof. Inequalities (4.15) and (4.17) follows directly from Lemma 4.12 applied to time independent functions, since

$$
\Delta e(x)=\Delta d^{-}-\Delta d^{+} \leq \frac{C}{d_{0}}+\psi^{-}+\psi^{+}
$$

To see that (4.15) implies (4.16), notice that for some $q>1$ satisfying $2 \epsilon^{q} \leq \epsilon$, and any $y \in$ $B_{\left(\epsilon-\epsilon^{q}\right) d_{0}}(x)$, we have

$$
\int_{B_{\epsilon} q_{d_{0}}(y)} e(z) d z \leq \int_{B_{\epsilon d_{0}}(x)} e(z) d z \leq C\left(e(x)+\epsilon^{2-\frac{n}{2 p}} d_{0}\right) \operatorname{Vol}\left(B_{\epsilon d_{0}}(x)\right) \leq C \epsilon^{2-\frac{n}{2 p}} d_{0}\left(\epsilon d_{0}\right)^{n}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\oint_{B_{\epsilon} q_{d_{0}}(y)} e(z) d z \leq C \epsilon^{2-\frac{n}{2 p}} d_{0} \frac{\left(\epsilon d_{0}\right)^{n}}{\left(\epsilon^{q} d_{0}\right)^{n}}=C \epsilon^{2-\frac{n}{2 p}+n-n q} d_{0} . \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that there exists a point $y^{\prime} \in B_{\epsilon^{q}}(y)$ such that

$$
e\left(y^{\prime}\right) \leq C \epsilon^{2-\frac{n}{2 p}+n-n q} d_{0}
$$

Hence,

$$
e(y) \leq e\left(y^{\prime}\right)+2 d\left(y, y^{\prime}\right) \leq C\left(\epsilon^{2-\frac{n}{2 p}+n-n q}+\epsilon^{q}\right) d_{0}
$$

By choosing $q=1+\alpha(n, p)=1+\frac{1}{n+1}\left(1-\frac{n}{2 p}\right)$, one has

$$
e(y) \leq C \epsilon^{1+\alpha(n, p)} d_{0}
$$

for any $y \in B_{\frac{1}{2} \epsilon d_{0}}(x) \subset B_{\left(\epsilon-\epsilon^{q}\right) d_{0}}(x)$.
Under the assumptions A1 and A2, according to Lemma4.10, we can construct a cut-off function $\phi \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=1 \text { on } M_{\frac{\delta}{4}, 8}, \operatorname{supp}(\phi) \subset M_{\frac{\delta}{16}, 16}, \text { and }|\Delta \phi|+|\nabla \phi|^{2} \leq \frac{C}{d_{0}^{2}} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $h_{0}^{ \pm}(x)=\phi d^{ \pm}(x)$, and $e_{0}(x)=\phi e(x)$. Also, denote by $h_{t}^{ \pm}(x)$ and $e_{t}(x)=h_{t}^{-}-h_{t}^{+}$the solutions of the equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\Delta\right) h^{ \pm}(x, t)=0  \tag{4.20}\\
h^{ \pm}(x, 0)=h_{0}^{ \pm}(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\Delta\right) e(x, t)=0  \tag{4.21}\\
e(x, 0)=e_{0}(x)
\end{array}\right.
$$

In the case where $\mid$ Ric $^{-} \mid \in K^{2, n-2}$, by assuming $A 1, A 3$, and $A 4$, and using the method in CoNa , one can also show the existence of a cut-off function as in Lemma4.10, and hence construct $h_{t}^{ \pm}(x)$ and $e_{t}(x)$ as above.

In the following, we derive estimates of $h_{t}^{ \pm}(x)$ and $e_{t}(x)$. We will use the notation

$$
\left\|\psi^{ \pm}\right\|_{K^{q, \lambda}}:=\left\|\psi^{-}\right\|_{K^{q, \lambda}}+\left\|\psi^{+}\right\|_{K^{q, \lambda}}
$$

Following CoNa, we first have
Lemma 4.15. i) If $A 1$ and A2 are satisfied, then there exists a constant $C=C(n, p, \kappa, \Lambda, \delta)$ such that

$$
\Delta h_{t}^{-},-\Delta h_{t}^{+}, \Delta e_{t} \leq C\left(\frac{1}{d_{0}}+\left(\left\|\psi^{+}\right\|_{L^{2 p}}+\left\|\psi^{-}\right\|_{L^{2 p}}\right) t^{-\frac{n}{4 p}}\right) \leq C\left(\frac{1}{d_{0}}+t^{-\frac{n}{4 p}}\right)
$$

in $M_{\frac{\delta}{16}, 16}$.
ii) If A1, A3, and A4 are satisfied, then for any $q>0$ and $\lambda>0$, there exists a constant $C=C(n, q, \lambda, \kappa, \Gamma, \delta)$ such that

$$
\Delta h_{t}^{-},-\Delta h_{t}^{+}, \Delta e_{t} \leq C\left(\frac{1}{d_{0}}+\left\|\psi^{ \pm}\right\|_{K^{q, \lambda}} t^{\frac{n-\lambda}{2 q}}\right)
$$

in $M_{\frac{\delta}{16}, 16}$.
Part i) above is Lemma 2.20 in TZz , and the proof of part ii) is similar.
Using the Li-Yau bound in section 2 and Bochner's formula, it can be shown that
Proposition 4.16. i) If $A 1$ and $A 2$ are satisfied, there exists a constant $C=C(n, p, \kappa, \Lambda, \delta)$, such that for any $x \in M_{\frac{\delta}{2}, 4}$ and $0<t \leq \bar{\epsilon}^{2} d_{0}^{2}$, the following estimates hold for $y \in B_{\sqrt{t}}(x)$,
(1) $\left|e_{t}(y)\right| \leq C\left(e(x)+t d_{0}^{-1}+t^{1-\frac{n}{4 p}}\right)$.
(2) $\left|\nabla e_{t}\right|(y) \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{t}}\left(e(x)+t d_{0}^{-1}+t^{1-\frac{n}{4 p}}\right)$.
(3) $\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{t}(y)\right|=\left|\Delta e_{t}(y)\right| \leq \frac{C}{t}\left(e(x)+t d_{0}^{-1}+t^{1-\frac{n}{4 p}}\right)$.
(4) $\oint_{B_{\sqrt{t}}(y)}\left|\nabla^{2} e_{t}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{C}{t^{2}}\left(e(x)+t d_{0}^{-1}+t^{1-\frac{n}{4 p}}\right)^{2}$.
ii) If If A1, A3, and $A_{4}$ are satisfied, then for any $q>0$ and $\lambda>n-2 q$, there exists a constant $C=C(n, q, \lambda, \Gamma, \delta)$ such that
$\left(1^{\prime}\right)\left|e_{t}(y)\right| \leq C\left(e(x)+t d_{0}^{-1}+\left\|\psi^{ \pm}\right\|_{K^{q, \lambda}} t^{1-\frac{n-\lambda}{2 q}}\right)$.
$\left(\right.$ 2 $\left.^{\prime}\right)\left|\nabla e_{t}\right|(y) \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{t}}\left(e(x)+t d_{0}^{-1}+\left\|\psi^{ \pm}\right\|_{K^{q}, \lambda} t^{1-\frac{n-\lambda}{2 q}}\right)$.
(3') $\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial t} e_{t}(y)\right|=\left|\Delta e_{t}(y)\right| \leq \frac{C}{t}\left(e(x)+t d_{0}^{-1}+\left\|\psi^{ \pm}\right\|_{K^{q, \lambda}} t^{1-\frac{n-\lambda}{2 q}}\right)$.
(4') $\oint_{B_{\sqrt{t}}(y)}\left|\nabla^{2} e_{t}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{C}{t^{2}}\left(e(x)+t d_{0}^{-1}+\left\|\psi^{ \pm}\right\|_{K^{q, \lambda}} t^{1-\frac{n-\lambda}{2 q}}\right)^{2}$.
Here $\bar{\epsilon}$ is the constant in Lemma 4.14.
The estimates (1) and (2) above are included in Lemma 2.21 in TZZ. The proofs of (3) and (4) are similar to Lemma 2.11 in CoNa , which rely on the Li-Yau bound in Theorem 1.1 The proof of part ii) is similar to part i).

Alternatively, one may also use the Gaussian estimate of $\left|\frac{\partial G}{\partial t}\right|$ to obtain the estimates in the above proposition.

From the lemma above, one gets
Lemma 4.17. i) If $A 1$ and $A 2$ are satisfied, then for any $x \in M_{\frac{\delta}{2}, 4}$, we have

$$
\left|h_{t}^{ \pm}(x)-d^{ \pm}(x)\right| \leq C\left(e(x)+t d_{0}^{-1}+t^{1-\frac{n}{4 p}}\right)
$$

ii) If A1, A3, and $A 4$ are satisfied, then for any $q>0, \lambda>n-2 q$ and $x \in M_{\frac{\delta}{2}, 4}$, we have

$$
\left|h_{t}^{ \pm}(x)-d^{ \pm}(x)\right| \leq C\left(e(x)+t d_{0}^{-1}+\left\|\psi^{ \pm}\right\|_{K^{q, \lambda}} t^{1-\frac{n-\lambda}{2 q}}\right)
$$

Part i) above is (2.41) in TZZ, and the proof of part ii) follows similarly. Recall from CoNa that an $\epsilon$-geodesic connecting $O^{+}$and $O^{-}$is a unit speed curve $\sigma$ such that $\left||\sigma|-d_{0}\right| \leq \epsilon^{2} d_{0}$. Moreover, one has
Lemma 4.18. (Colding-Naber CoNa )

1) Let $\sigma$ be an $\epsilon$-geodesic connecting $O^{+}$and $O^{-}$. Then for any $z \in \sigma$, we have $e(z) \leq \epsilon^{2} d_{0}$.
2) Let $x \in M$ such that $e(x) \leq \epsilon^{2} d_{0}$. Then there exists an $\epsilon$-geodesic $\sigma$ such that $x \in \sigma$.

From Lemma 4.17 and Lemma 4.18 we immediately have
Corollary 4.19. For any $\epsilon$-geodesic $\sigma$ connecting $O^{+}$and $O^{-}$, any $x \in \sigma \bigcap M_{\delta / 2,4}$, and $0<\epsilon \leq \bar{\epsilon}$, we have
i) when A1 and A2 are satisfied,

$$
\left|h_{d_{\epsilon}^{2}}^{ \pm}-d^{ \pm}\right| \leq C\left(\epsilon^{2} d_{0}+\epsilon^{2-\frac{n}{2 p}} d_{0}^{2-\frac{n}{2 p}}\right)
$$

ii) when A1, A3, and A4 are satisfied, for $q>0$ and $\lambda>n-2 q$ we have

$$
\left|h_{d_{\epsilon}^{2}}^{ \pm}-d^{ \pm}\right| \leq C\left(\epsilon^{2} d_{0}+\left\|\psi^{ \pm}\right\|_{K^{q, \lambda}} \epsilon^{2-\frac{n-\lambda}{q}} d_{0}^{2-\frac{n-\lambda}{q}}\right)
$$

Here $\bar{\epsilon}$ is the constant in Lemma 4.14.
To prove that $h_{t}^{ \pm}$are $L^{1}$ close to $d^{ \pm}$, we first need the following lemma.
Lemma 4.20. For any $x \in M_{\frac{\delta}{2}, 4}$, we have

$$
\int_{M_{\frac{\delta}{16}, 16} \backslash M_{\frac{\delta}{4}, 8}} G(y, t ; x, 0) d y \leq \frac{C}{d_{0}^{2}} t .
$$

Proof. Let $k$ be a positive integer, and $\phi_{k} \geq 0$ a cut-off function such that $\phi_{k}=1$ in $M_{\frac{\delta}{k}, 2 k}, \phi_{k}=0$ outside of $M_{\frac{\delta}{2 k}, 4 k}$, and $\left|\Delta \phi_{k}\right|+\left|\nabla \phi_{k}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{C}{d_{0}^{2}}$. Then, for any $x$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\mathbf{M}} \phi_{k}(y) G(y, t ; x, 0) d y\right| & =\left|\int_{\mathbf{M}} \phi_{k}(y) \Delta_{y} G(y, t ; x, 0) d y\right| \\
& =\left|\int_{\mathbf{M}} \Delta \phi_{k}(y) G(y, t ; x, 0) d y\right| \\
& \leq \frac{C}{d_{0}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
-\frac{C}{d_{0}^{2}} t \leq-\phi_{k}(x)+\int_{M} \phi_{k}(y) G(y, t ; x, 0) d y \leq \frac{C}{d_{0}^{2}} t
$$

It follows that for $x \in M_{\frac{\delta}{2}, 4}$,

$$
\int_{M_{\frac{\delta}{16}, 32}} G(y, t ; x, 0) d y \leq 1+\frac{C}{d_{0}^{2}} t
$$

and

$$
\int_{M_{\frac{\delta}{4}, 8}} G(y, t ; x, 0) d y \geq 1-\frac{C}{d_{0}^{2}} t
$$

Therefore,

$$
\int_{M_{\frac{\delta}{16}, 16} \backslash M_{\frac{\delta}{4}, 8}} G(y, t ; x, 0) d y \leq \frac{C}{d_{0}^{2}} t
$$

By using the above lemma and following the proof of Lemma 2.17 in CoNa , we can get
Lemma 4.21. For any $x \in M_{\frac{\delta}{2}, 4}$, and $0<t<\bar{\epsilon}^{2} d_{0}^{2}$
i) if A1 and A2 are satisfied, then we have

$$
\left|\nabla h_{t}^{ \pm}\right|^{2}(x) \leq 1+\frac{C}{d_{0}^{2}} t+C t^{1-\frac{n}{2 p}}
$$

ii) if A1, A3, and $A_{4}$ are satisfied, then

$$
\left|\nabla h_{t}^{ \pm}\right|^{2}(x) \leq 1+\frac{C}{d_{0}^{2}} t+C \sqrt{t}
$$

Here $\bar{\epsilon}$ is the constant in Lemma 4.14.
The above $C^{1}$ bound of $h_{t}^{ \pm}$can be applied to show that
Lemma 4.22. i) If $A 1$ and $A 2$ are satisfied, then there exists a constant $C=C(n, p, \kappa, \Lambda, \delta)$, such that for any $0<\epsilon \leq \bar{\epsilon}$ and $0<\sqrt{t}<\epsilon^{2} d_{0}^{2}$, we have
(1) If $x \in M_{\delta, 2}$, and $e(x) \leq \epsilon^{2} d_{0}$, then $\left.\oint_{B_{10 \sqrt{ }(x)}}| | \nabla h_{t}^{ \pm}\right|^{2}(y)-1 \left\lvert\, d y \leq C t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\epsilon^{2} d_{0}+t d_{0}^{-1}+t^{1-\frac{n}{4 p}}\right)\right.$.
(2) If $\sigma$ is an $\epsilon$-geodesic connecting $O^{+}$and $O^{-}$, then

$$
\left.\oint_{\delta d_{0}}^{(1-\delta) d_{0}} \oint_{B_{10 \sqrt{t}}(\sigma(s))}| | \nabla h_{t}^{ \pm}\right|^{2}(y)-1 \left\lvert\, d y d s \leq C\left(\epsilon^{2} d_{0}+t d_{0}^{-1}+t^{1-\frac{n}{2 p}} d_{0}^{\frac{n}{2 p}}\right)\right.
$$

ii) If $A 1, A 3$, and $A 4$ are satisfied, then for any $q>0$ and $\lambda>n-2 q$, there exists a constant $C=C(n, q, \lambda, \kappa, \Gamma, \delta)$, such that for any $0<\epsilon \leq \bar{\epsilon}$ and $0<\sqrt{t}<\epsilon^{2} d_{0}^{2}$, we have
(1') If $x \in M_{\delta, 2}$, and $e(x) \leq \epsilon^{2} d_{0}$, then

$$
\left.\oint_{B_{10 \sqrt{t}}(x)}| | \nabla h_{t}^{ \pm}\right|^{2}(y)-1 \left\lvert\, d y \leq C t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\epsilon^{2} d_{0}+t d_{0}^{-1}+\left\|\psi^{ \pm}\right\|_{K^{q, \lambda}} t^{1-\frac{n-\lambda}{2 q}}\right)\right.
$$

(2') If $\sigma$ is an $\epsilon$-geodesic connecting $\mathrm{O}^{+}$and $\mathrm{O}^{-}$, then

$$
\left.\oint_{\delta d_{0}}^{(1-\delta) d_{0}} \oint_{B_{10 \sqrt{t}}(\sigma(s))}| | \nabla h_{t}^{ \pm}\right|^{2}(y)-1 \left\lvert\, d y d s \leq C\left(\epsilon^{2} d_{0}+t d_{0}^{-1}+\sqrt{t} d_{0}+\left\|\psi^{ \pm}\right\|_{K^{q, \lambda}} t^{1-\frac{n-\lambda}{2 q}}\right)\right.
$$

Here $\bar{\epsilon}$ is the constant in Lemma 4.14 .
The proof of the above Lemma is similar to Lemma 2.18 in CoNa. Now we are ready to give a
Proof of Theorem 4.3: Estimates (1), (2), and (3) are contained in Lemmas 4.17 and 4.22 respectively. In the following, we prove (4).

For any $\sigma(s)$, let $\eta(x) \geq 0$ be the cut-off function satisfying $\eta=1$ in $B_{d_{\epsilon}}(\sigma(s)), \eta=0$ outside of $B_{3 d_{\epsilon}}(\sigma(s))$, and $|\Delta \eta|+|\nabla \eta|^{2} \leq \frac{C}{d_{\epsilon}^{2}}$, where $d_{\epsilon}=\epsilon d_{0}$.

Let $a(t)$ be a smooth function in time such that $0 \leq a(t) \leq 1, a(t)=1$ for $t \in\left[\frac{1}{2} d_{\epsilon}^{2}, 2 d_{\epsilon}^{2}\right], a(t)=0$ for $t \notin\left[\frac{1}{4} d_{\epsilon}^{2}, 4 d_{\epsilon}^{2}\right]$, and $\left|a^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq \frac{C}{d_{\epsilon}^{2}}$.

Recall that

$$
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}-\Delta\right)\left(\left|\nabla h_{t}^{ \pm}\right|^{2}-1\right)=-2\left|\nabla^{2} h_{t}^{ \pm}\right|^{2}-2 R_{i j} \nabla_{i} h_{t}^{ \pm} \nabla_{j} h_{t}^{ \pm}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \int_{\mathbf{M}} a(t) \eta\left|\nabla^{2} h_{t}^{ \pm}\right|^{2} \\
= & \int_{\mathbf{M}} a(t) \eta \Delta\left(\left|\nabla h_{t}^{ \pm}\right|^{2}-1\right)-2 \int_{\mathbf{M}} a(t) \eta R_{i j} \nabla_{i} h_{t}^{ \pm} \nabla_{j} h_{t}^{ \pm}-\int_{\mathbf{M}} a(t) \eta \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\left|\nabla h_{t}^{ \pm}\right|^{2}-1\right) \\
\leq & \left.\frac{C}{d_{\epsilon}^{2}} \int_{B_{3 d_{\epsilon}(\sigma(s))}} a(t)| | \nabla h_{t}^{ \pm}\right|^{2}-\left.1\left|+2 \int_{B_{3 d_{\epsilon}(\sigma(s))}} a(t)\right| R i c^{-}| | \nabla h_{t}^{ \pm}\right|^{2}-\int_{\mathbf{M}} a(t) \eta \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\left|\nabla h_{t}^{ \pm}\right|^{2}-1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\operatorname{Vol}\left(B_{3 d_{\epsilon}}(\sigma(s))\right)} \int_{\frac{1}{2} d_{\epsilon}^{2}}^{2 d_{\epsilon}^{2}} \int_{B_{d_{\epsilon}}(\sigma(s))}\left|\nabla^{2} h_{t}^{ \pm}\right|^{2} d y d t \\
\leq & \left.\left.\frac{C}{d_{\epsilon}^{2}} \int_{\frac{1}{4} d_{\epsilon}^{2}}^{4 d_{\epsilon}^{2}} \oint_{B_{3 d_{\epsilon}}(\sigma(s))}| | \nabla h_{t}^{ \pm}\right|^{2}-1\left|d y d t+C \int_{\frac{1}{4} d_{\epsilon}^{2}}^{4 d_{\epsilon}^{2}} \oint_{B_{3 d_{\epsilon}(\sigma(s))}}\right| R^{-} \right\rvert\,\left(1+\frac{C}{d_{0}^{2}} t+C t^{1-\frac{n}{2 p}}\right) d y d t
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows immediately from (4.1) and Theorem 4.6 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\frac{1}{2} d_{\epsilon}^{2}}^{2 d_{\epsilon}^{2}} \int_{\delta d_{0}}^{(1-\delta) d_{0}} \oint_{B_{d_{\epsilon}}(\sigma(s))}\left|\nabla^{2} h_{t}^{ \pm}\right|^{2} d y d s d t \\
\leq & \frac{C}{d_{\epsilon}^{2}} \int_{\frac{1}{4} d_{\epsilon}^{2}}^{4 d_{\epsilon}^{2}}\left(\epsilon^{2} d_{0}+\frac{t}{d_{0}}+t^{1-\frac{n}{2 p}} d_{0}^{\frac{n}{2 p}}\right) d t+C d_{0} d_{\epsilon}^{-\frac{n}{p}} \int_{\frac{1}{4} d_{\epsilon}^{2}}^{4 d_{\epsilon}^{2}}\left(1+\frac{C}{d_{0}^{2}} t+C t^{1-\frac{n}{2 p}}\right) d t \\
\leq & C d_{0}\left(\epsilon^{2}+\epsilon^{2-\frac{n}{p}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, there exists a $r \in\left[\frac{1}{2}, 2\right]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\delta d_{0}}^{(1-\delta) d_{0}} \oint_{B_{d_{\epsilon}}(\sigma(s))}\left|\nabla^{2} h_{r d_{\epsilon}^{2}}^{ \pm}\right|^{2} d y d s \leq \frac{C\left(1+\epsilon^{-\frac{n}{p}}\right)}{d_{0}} \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.3.
The proof of Theorem 4.5 is done similarly.
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