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#### Abstract

As is known, the Blaschke tensor $A$ (a symmetric covariant 2-tensor) is one of the fundamental Möbius invariants in the Möbius differential geometry of submanifolds in the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n}$, and the eigenvalues of $A$ are referred to as the Blaschke eigenvalues. In this paper, we shall prove a classification theorem for immersed umbilic-free submanifolds in $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ with a parallel Blaschke tensor. For proving this classification, some new kinds of examples are first defined.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{S}^{n}(r)$ be the standard $n$-dimensional sphere in the $(n+1)$-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ of radius $r$, and denote $\mathbb{S}^{n}=\mathbb{S}^{n}(1)$. Let $\mathbb{H}^{n}(c)$ be the $n$-dimensional hyperbolic space of constant curvature $c<0$ defined by

$$
\mathbb{H}^{n}(c)=\left\{y=\left(y_{0}, y_{1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{1}^{n+1} ;\langle y, y\rangle_{1}=\frac{1}{c}, y_{0}>0\right\}
$$

where, for any integer $N \geq 2, \mathbb{R}_{1}^{N} \equiv \mathbb{R}_{1} \times \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ is the $N$-dimensional Lorentzian space with the standard Lorentzian inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{1}$ given by

$$
\left\langle y, y^{\prime}\right\rangle_{1}=-y_{0} y_{0}^{\prime}+y_{1} \cdot y_{1}^{\prime}, \quad y=\left(y_{0}, y_{1}\right), y^{\prime}=\left(y_{0}^{\prime}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{1}^{N}
$$

in which the dot "." denotes the standard Euclidean inner product on $\mathbb{R}^{N-1}$. From now on, we simply write $\mathbb{H}^{n}$ for $\mathbb{H}^{n}(-1)$.

Denote by $\mathbb{S}_{+}^{n}$ the hemisphere in $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ whose first coordinate is positive. Then there are two conformal diffeomorphisms

$$
\sigma: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n} \backslash\{(-1,0)\} \text { and } \tau: \mathbb{H}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{+}^{n}
$$

defined as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma(u) & =\left(\frac{1-|u|^{2}}{1+|u|^{2}}, \frac{2 u}{1+|u|^{2}}\right), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^{n}  \tag{1.1}\\
\tau(y) & =\left(\frac{1}{y_{0}}, \frac{y_{1}}{y_{0}}\right), \quad y=\left(y_{0}, y_{1}\right) \in \mathbb{H}^{n} \subset \mathbb{R}_{1}^{n+1} . \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $x: M^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m+p}$ be an immersed umbilic-free submanifold in $\mathbb{S}^{m+p}$. Then there are four fundamental Möbius invariants of $x$, in terms of the light-cone model established by C. P. Wang in 1998 ([24]), namely, the Möbius metric $g$, the Blaschke tensor $A$, the Möbius second fundamental form $B$ and the Möbius form $C$. Since the pioneer work of Wang, there have been obtained many interesting results in the Möbius geometry of submanifolds including some important classification theorems of submanifolds with particular Möbius invariants, such as, the classification of surfaces with vanishing Möbius forms ([10]), that of Möbius isotropic submanifolds ([21), that of hypersurfaces with constant Möbius sectional

[^0]curvature ([4]), that of Möbius isoparametric hypersurfaces ([8], [6], [12], etc), and that of hypersurfaces with Blaschke tensors linearly dependent on the Möbius metrics and Möbius second fundamental forms [9, which is later generalized by [17] and [3], respectively, in two different directions. Here we should remark that, after the classification of all immersed hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$ with parallel Möbius second fundamental forms (5]), Zhai-Hu-Wang recently proved in [25] an interesting theorem which classifies all 2-codimensional umbilic-free submanifolds in the unit sphere with parallel Möbius second fundamental forms.

To simplify matters, we briefly call an umbilic-free submanifold Möbius parallel if its Möbius second fundamental form is parallel.

As for other Möbius invariants, it is much natural to study submanifolds in the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ with particular Blaschke tensors. Note that a submanifold in $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ with vanishing Blaschke tensor also has a vanishing Möbius form, and therefore is a special Möbius isotropic submanifold; any Möbius isotropic submanifold is necessarily of parallel Blaschke tensor. Furthermore, all Möbius parallel submanifolds also have vanishing Möbius forms and parallel Blaschke tensors([25]). So the next natural thing is, of course, to seek a classification of all the submanifolds with parallel Blaschke tensors.

To this direction, the first step is indeed the study of hypersurfaces. In fact, the following theorem has been established:

Theorem 1.1 ([18]). Let $x: M^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m+1}, m \geq 2$, be an umbilic-free immersed hypersurface. If the Blaschke tensor $A$ of $x$ is parallel, then the Möbius form of $x$ vanishes identically and $x$ is either Möbius parallel, or Möbius isotropic, or Möbius equivalent to one of the following examples which have exactly two distinct Blaschke eigenvalues:
(1) one of the minimal hypersurfaces as indicated in Example 3.2 of [18];
(2) one of the non-minimal hypersurfaces as indicated in Example 3.3 of [18].

As the second step, we shall prove in this paper a classification theorem for all immersed submanifolds in $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ with vanishing Möbius forms, parallel Blaschke tensors and two distinct Blaschke eigenvalues. To do this, we first need as usual to seek as many as possible examples. As a matter of fact, we successfully construct a new class of immersed submanifolds denoted by $\operatorname{LS}\left(m_{1}, p_{1}, r, \mu\right)$ which, as desired, have vanishing Möbius forms and parallel Blaschke tensors with two distinct Blaschke eigenvalues. But they are in general not Möbius parallel (see Section 3). It turns out that this class of new examples include those two kinds of examples listed in Theorem 1.1 that were first introduced in [18] (see also [19]) and are the only Blaschke isoparametric hypersurfaces (first formally defined in [20]) with two distinct Blaschke eigenvalues. Here we should remark that, recently by Li-Wang in [11, any Blaschke isoparametric hypersurfaces with more than two distinct Blaschke eigenvalues must be Möbius isoparametric, giving an affirmative solution of the problem originally raised in [20] (see also [13] and [14]). Note that, by a very recent paper [12] and the characterization theorem in [22], the Möbius isoparametric hypersurfaces which were first introduced by [8] have been completely classified. So the above-mentioned theorem by Li-Wang in fact finishes the classification of all the Blaschke isoparametric hypersurfaces and, before this final result, the latest partial classification theorem was proved in [7. By the way, as stated in Theorem 1.1, all hypersurfaces with parallel Blaschke tensors necessarily have vanishing Möbius forms and thus are special examples of Blaschke isoparametric ones. We also remark that some parallel results for space-like hypersurfaces in the de Sitter space $\mathbb{S}_{1}^{n}$ have been obtained recently (see [15], 16] and the references therein).

Recall that a Riemannian submanifold is said to be pseudo-parallel if the inner product of its second fundamental form with the mean curvature vector is parallel. In particular, if the second fundamental form is itself parallel, then we simply call this submanifold (Euclidean) parallel.

Now the main theorem of this paper can be stated as follows:

Theorem 1.2. Let $x: M^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m+p}$ be an umbilic-free submanifold immersed in $\mathbb{S}^{m+p}$ with parallel Blaschke tensor A and vanishing Möbius form C. If $x$ has two distinct Blaschke eigenvalues, then it must be Möbius equivalent to one of the following four kinds of immersions:
(1) a non-minimal and umbilic-free pseudo-parallel immersion $\tilde{x}: M^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m+p}$ with parallel mean curvature and constant scalar curvature, which has two distinct principal curvatures in the direction of the mean curvature vector;
(2) the image under $\sigma$ of a non-minimal and umbilic-free pseudo-parallel immersion $\bar{x}: M^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+p}$ with parallel mean curvature and constant scalar curvature, which has two distinct principal curvatures in the direction of the mean curvature vector;
(3) the image under $\tau$ of a non-minimal and umbilic-free pseudo-parallel immersion $\bar{x}: M^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{m+p}$ with parallel mean curvature and constant scalar curvature, which has two distinct principal curvatures in the direction of the mean curvature vector;
(4) a submanifold $\mathrm{LS}\left(m_{1}, p_{1}, r, \mu\right)$ given in Example 3.2 for some parameters $m_{1}, p_{1}, r, \mu$.

Remark 1.1. In deed, it is directly verified that each of the immersed submanifolds stated in Theorem 1.2 has parallel Blaschke tensors and vanishing Möbius forms (see Section3). In fact, some of the examples we shall define in Section 3 are new and somewhat more general which can have more than two distinct Blaschke eigenvalues.

Remark 1.2. According to [20], an immersed umbilic-free submanifolds in the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ is called Blaschke isoparametric if (1) the Möbius form vanishes identically and (2) all the Blaschke eigenvalues are constant. By carefully checking the argument in this paper, or directly using Proposition A. 1 in [12], one easily finds that we have in fact classified all the Blaschke isoparametric submanifolds in $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ with two distinct Blaschke eigenvalues.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $x: M^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m+p}$ be an immersed umbilic-free submanifold. Denote by $h$ the second fundamental form of $x$ and $H=\frac{1}{m} \operatorname{tr} h$ the mean curvature vector field. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\left(\frac{m}{m-1}\left(|h|^{2}-m|H|^{2}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad Y=\rho(1, x) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $Y: M^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{1}^{m+p+2}$ is an immersion of $M^{m}$ into the Lorentzian space $\mathbb{R}_{1}^{m+p+2}$ and is called the canonical lift (or the Möbius position vector) of $x$. The function $\rho$ given by (2.1) may be called the Möbius factor of the immersion $x$. We define

$$
C_{+}^{m+p+1}=\left\{y=\left(y_{0}, y_{1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m+p+1} ;\langle y, y\rangle_{1}=0, y_{0}>0\right\}
$$

Let $O(m+p+1,1)$ be the Lorentzian group of all elements in $G L(m+p+2 ; \mathbb{R})$ preserving the standard Lorentzian inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{1}$ on $\mathbb{R}_{1}^{m+p+2}$, and $O^{+}(m+p+1,1)$ be a subgroup of $O(m+p+1,1)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
O^{+}(m+p+1,1)=\left\{T \in O(m+p+1,1) ; T\left(C_{+}^{m+p+1}\right) \subset C_{+}^{m+p+1}\right\} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the following theorem is well known.
Theorem 2.1. ([24]) Two submanifolds $x, \tilde{x}: M^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m+p}$ with Möbius position vectors $Y, \tilde{Y}$, respectively, are Möbius equivalent if and only if there is a $T \in O^{+}(m+p+1,1)$ such that $\tilde{Y}=T(Y)$.

By Theorem 2.1 the induced metric $g=Y^{*}\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{1}=\rho^{2} d x \cdot d x$ by $Y$ on $M^{m}$ from the Lorentzian product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{1}$ is a Möbius invariant Riemannian metric (cf. [1], 2], 24]), and is called the Möbius metric of $x$. Using the vector-valued function $Y$ and the Laplacian $\Delta$ of the metric $g$, one can define another important vector-valued function $N: M^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{1}^{m+p+2}$, called the Möbius biposition vector, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=-\frac{1}{m} \Delta Y-\frac{1}{2 m^{2}}\langle\Delta Y, \Delta Y\rangle_{1} Y \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then it is verified that the Möbius position vector $Y$ and the Möbius biposition vector $N$ satisfy the following identities [24]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle\Delta Y, Y\rangle_{1}=-m, \quad\langle\Delta Y, d Y\rangle_{1}=0, \quad\langle\Delta Y, \Delta Y\rangle_{1}=1+m^{2} \kappa  \tag{2.4}\\
& \langle Y, Y\rangle_{1}=\langle N, N\rangle_{1}=0, \quad\langle Y, N\rangle_{1}=1 \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\kappa$ denotes the normalized scalar curvature of the Möbius metric $g$.
Let $V \rightarrow M^{m}$ be the vector subbundle of the trivial Lorentzian bundle $M^{m} \times \mathbb{R}_{1}^{m+p+2}$ defined to be the orthogonal complement of $\mathbb{R} Y \oplus \mathbb{R} N \oplus Y_{*}\left(T M^{m}\right)$ with respect to the Lorentzian product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{1}$. Then $V$ is called the Möbius normal bundle of the immersion $x$. Clearly, we have the following vector bundle decomposition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{m} \times \mathbb{R}_{1}^{m+p+2}=\mathbb{R} Y \oplus \mathbb{R} N \oplus Y_{*}\left(T M^{m}\right) \oplus V \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let $T^{\perp} M^{m}$ be the normal bundle of the immersion $x: M^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m+p}$. Then the mean curvature vector field $H$ of $x$ defines a bundle isomorphism $\Phi: T^{\perp} M^{m} \rightarrow V$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(e)=(H \cdot e,(H \cdot e) x+e) \quad \text { for any } e \in T^{\perp} M^{m} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that $\Phi$ preserves the inner products as well as the connections on $T^{\perp} M^{m}$ and $V([24])$.
To simplify notations, we make the following conventions on the ranges of indices used frequently in this paper:

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leq i, j, k, \cdots \leq m, \quad m+1 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \cdots \leq m+p \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a local orthonormal frame field $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ for the induced metric $d x \cdot d x$ with dual $\left\{\theta^{i}\right\}$ and for an orthonormal normal frame field $\left\{e_{\alpha}\right\}$ of $x$, we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{i}=\rho^{-1} e_{i}, \quad \omega^{i}=\rho \theta^{i}, \quad E_{\alpha}=\Phi\left(e_{\alpha}\right) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\left\{E_{i}\right\}$ is a local orthonormal frame field on $M^{m}$ with respect to the Möbius metric $g,\left\{\omega^{i}\right\}$ is the dual of $\left\{E_{i}\right\}$, and $\left\{E_{\alpha}\right\}$ is a local orthonormal frame field of the Möbius normal bundle $V \rightarrow M$. Clearly, $\left\{Y, N, Y_{i}:=Y_{*}\left(E_{i}\right), E_{\alpha}\right\}$ is a moving frame of $\mathbb{R}_{1}^{m+p+2}$ along $M^{m}$. If the basic Möbius invariants $A, B$ and $C$ are respectively written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\sum A_{i j} \omega^{i} \omega^{j}, \quad B=\sum B_{i j}^{\alpha} \omega^{i} \omega^{j} E_{\alpha}, \quad C=\sum C_{i}^{\alpha} \omega^{i} E_{\alpha} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we have the following equations of motion ([24]):

$$
\begin{align*}
d Y & =\sum Y_{i} \omega^{i}, \quad d N=\sum A_{i j} \omega^{j} Y_{i}+C_{i}^{\alpha} \omega^{i} E_{\alpha}  \tag{2.11}\\
d Y_{i} & =-\sum A_{i j} \omega^{j} Y-\omega^{i} N+\sum \omega_{i}^{j} Y_{j}+\sum B_{i j}^{\alpha} \omega^{j} E_{\alpha}  \tag{2.12}\\
d E_{\alpha} & =-\sum C_{i}^{\alpha} \omega^{i} Y-\sum B_{i j}^{\alpha} \omega^{j} Y_{i}+\sum \omega_{\alpha}^{\beta} E_{\beta} \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\omega_{i}^{j}$ are the Levi-Civita connection forms of the Möbius metric $g$ and $\omega_{\alpha}^{\beta}$ are the (Möbius ) normal connection forms of $x$. Furthermore, by a direct computation one can find the following local expressions ([24]):

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{i j}= & -\rho^{-2}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{i j}(\log \rho)-e_{i}(\log \rho) e_{j}(\log \rho)-\sum H^{\alpha} h_{i j}^{\alpha}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \rho^{-2}\left(|d \log \rho|^{2}-1+|H|^{2}\right) \delta_{i j}  \tag{2.14}\\
B_{i j}^{\alpha}= & \rho^{-1}\left(h_{i j}^{\alpha}-H^{\alpha} \delta_{i j}\right)  \tag{2.15}\\
C_{i}^{\alpha}= & -\rho^{-2}\left(H_{, i}^{\alpha}+\sum\left(h_{i j}^{\alpha}-H^{\alpha} \delta_{i j}\right) e_{j}(\log \rho)\right), \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

in which the subscript ", $i$ " denotes the covariant derivative with respect to the induced metric $d x \cdot d x$ and in the direction $e_{i}$.

Remark 2.1. For an umbilic-free immersion $\bar{x}: M^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+p}$ (resp. $\bar{x}: M^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{m+p}$ ), a Möbius factor $\bar{\rho}$, a Möbius invariant metric $\bar{g}$ and other Möbius invariants $\bar{A}, \bar{B}, \bar{C}$ are defined similarly. As indicated in 24] and [21], while the corresponding components $\bar{B}_{i j}$ (resp. $\bar{C}_{i}^{\alpha}$ ) of $\bar{B}$ (resp. $\bar{C}$ ) have the same expressions as (2.15) (resp. (2.16)), the components $\bar{A}_{i j}$ of $\bar{A}$ has a slightly different expression from (2.14):

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{A}_{i j}= & -\bar{\rho}^{-2}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{i j}(\log \bar{\rho})-e_{i}(\log \bar{\rho}) e_{j}(\log \bar{\rho})-\sum \bar{H}^{\alpha} \bar{h}_{i j}^{\alpha}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho}^{-2}\left(|d \log \bar{\rho}|^{2}+|\bar{H}|^{2}\right) \delta_{i j} \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

(resp.

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{A}_{i j}= & -\bar{\rho}^{-2}\left(\operatorname{Hess}_{i j}(\log \bar{\rho})-e_{i}(\log \bar{\rho}) e_{j}(\log \bar{\rho})-\sum \bar{H}^{\alpha} \bar{h}_{i j}^{\alpha}\right) \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho}^{-2}\left(|d \log \bar{\rho}|^{2}+1+|\bar{H}|^{2}\right) \delta_{i j}\right) \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Denote, respectively, by $R_{i j k l}, R_{\alpha \beta i j}^{\perp}$ the components of the Möbius Riemannian curvature tensor and the curvature operator of the Möbius normal bundle with respect to the tangent frame field $\left\{E_{i}\right\}$ and the Möbius normal frame field $\left\{E_{\alpha}\right\}$. Then we have ([24])

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{tr} A=\frac{1}{2 m}\left(1+m^{2} \kappa\right), \quad \operatorname{tr} B=\sum B_{i i}^{\alpha} E_{\alpha}=0, \quad|B|^{2}=\sum\left(B_{i j}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}=\frac{m-1}{m}  \tag{2.19}\\
R_{i j k l}=\sum\left(B_{i l}^{\alpha} B_{j k}^{\alpha}-B_{i k}^{\alpha} B_{j l}^{\alpha}\right)+A_{i l} \delta_{j k}-A_{i k} \delta_{j l}+A_{j k} \delta_{i l}-A_{j l} \delta_{i k}  \tag{2.20}\\
R_{\alpha \beta i j}^{\perp}=\sum\left(B_{j k}^{\alpha} B_{i k}^{\beta}-B_{i k}^{\alpha} B_{j k}^{\beta}\right) \tag{2.21}
\end{gather*}
$$

We should remark that both equations (2.20) and (2.21) have the opposite sign from those in [24] due to the different notations of the Riemannian curvature tensor. Furthermore, let $A_{i j k}, B_{i j k}^{\alpha}$ and $C_{i j}^{\alpha}$ denote, respectively, the components with respect to the frame fields $\left\{E_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{E_{\alpha}\right\}$ of the covariant derivatives of $A, B$ and $C$, then the following Ricci identities hold ([24]):

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{i j k}-A_{i k j} & =\sum\left(B_{i k}^{\alpha} C_{j}^{\alpha}-B_{i j}^{\alpha} C_{k}^{\alpha}\right)  \tag{2.22}\\
B_{i j k}^{\alpha}-B_{i k j}^{\alpha} & =\delta_{i j} C_{k}^{\alpha}-\delta_{i k} C_{j}^{\alpha}  \tag{2.23}\\
C_{i j}^{\alpha}-C_{j i}^{\alpha} & =\sum\left(B_{i k}^{\alpha} A_{k j}-B_{k j}^{\alpha} A_{k i}\right) \tag{2.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Denote by $R_{i j}$ the components of the Ricci curvature. Then by taking trace in (2.20) and (2.23), one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{i j}=-\sum B_{i k}^{\alpha} B_{k j}^{\alpha}+\delta_{i j} \operatorname{tr} A+(m-2) A_{i j}  \tag{2.25}\\
& (m-1) C_{i}^{\alpha}=-\sum B_{i j j}^{\alpha} \tag{2.26}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, for the higher order covariant derivatives $B_{i j \cdots k l}^{\alpha}$, we have the following Ricci identities:

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i j \cdots k l}^{\alpha}-B_{i j \cdots l k}^{\alpha}=\sum B_{q j \cdots}^{\alpha} R_{i q k l}+\sum B_{i q \cdots}^{\alpha} R_{j q k l}+\cdots-\sum B_{i j \ldots}^{\beta} R_{\beta \alpha k l}^{\perp} \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.19), (2.25) and (2.26), if $m \geq 3$, then the Blaschke tensor $A$ and the Möbius form $C$ are determined by the Möbius metric $g$, Möbius second fundamental form $B$ and the (Möbius ) normal connection of $x$. Thus the following theorem holds:

Theorem 2.2 (cf. [24]). Two submanifolds $x: M^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m+p}$ and $\tilde{x}: \tilde{M}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m+p}, m \geq 3$, are Möbius equivalent if and only if they have the same Möbius metrics, the same Möbius second fundamental forms and the same (Möbius) normal connections.

## 3. The new examples

Before proving the main theorem, we need to find as many as possible examples of submanifolds in the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{m+p}$ with parallel Blaschke tensors and with two distinct Blaschke eigenvalues. First we note that, by Zhai-Hu-Wang ( $[25]$ ), all Möbius parallel submanifolds in $\mathbb{S}^{m+1}$ necessarily have parallel Blaschke tensors. Examples of this kind of submanifolds are listed in [25]. In this section we define a new class of examples with parallel Blaschke tensors which are in general not Möbius parallel.

Example 3.1. Here we are to examine the following three classes of submanifolds that meet the conditions of Theorem 1.2
(1) Let $\tilde{x}: M^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m+p}$ be an $\underset{\tilde{S}}{ }$. $\tilde{H}$ and constant scalar curvature $\tilde{S}$.

Since the mean curvature $\tilde{H}$ is parallel (implying that $|\tilde{H}|^{2}=$ const) and the scalar curvature $\tilde{S}$ is constant, by the Gauss equation and (2.1), we find that the Möbius factor $\tilde{\rho}$ is also a constant. It follows by (2.16) that the Möbius form $\tilde{C} \equiv 0$. Note that, by $\tilde{\rho}=$ const, the parallel of tensors with respect to the induced metric $d \tilde{x}^{2}$ and the Möbius metric $\tilde{g}$ are exactly the same. Consequently, by (2.14), the Blaschke tensor $\tilde{A}$ of $\tilde{x}$ is parallel since $\tilde{x}$ is pseudo-parallel.

Clearly, $\tilde{x}$ has two distinct Blaschke eigenvalues if and only if it is not minimal and has two distinct principal curvatures in the direction of the mean curvature vector $\tilde{H}$. Note that $\tilde{x}$ is Möbius isotropic, or equivalently, $\tilde{x}$ has only one distinct Blaschke eigenvalue, if and only it is minimal ([21]).
(2) Let $\bar{x}: M^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+p}$ be an umbilic-free pseudo-parallel submanifolds with parallel mean curvature $\bar{H}$ and constant scalar curvature $\bar{S}$.

As in (1), since the mean curvature $\bar{H}$ is parallel (in particular $|\bar{H}|^{2}=$ const), and the scalar curvature $\bar{S}$ is constant, we know from (2.1) and the Gauss equation of $\bar{x}$ that the Möbius factor $\bar{\rho}$ is once again a constant. Thus, by (2.16), the Möbius form $\bar{C} \equiv 0$. Consequently, by (2.17), the Blaschke tensor $\bar{A}$ of $\bar{x}$ is parallel since $\bar{x}$ is pseudo-parallel. Furthermore, $\bar{x}$ is of two distinct Blaschke eigenvalues if and only if it is not minimal with two distinct principal curvatures in the direction of the mean curvature vector $\bar{H}$.

Define $\tilde{x}:=\sigma \circ \bar{x}$. Then by [21, $\tilde{x}$ has a parallel Blaschke tensor. Furthermore, it is of two distinct Blaschke eigenvalues if and only if $\bar{x}$ is not minimal with two distinct principal curvatures in the direction of the mean curvature vector.
(3) Let $\bar{x}: M^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{m+p}$ be an umbilic-free pseudo-parallel submanifold with parallel mean curvature $\bar{H}$ and constant scalar curvature $\bar{S}$. Then, as in (2), we obtain that $\tilde{x}:=\tau \circ \bar{x}$ has a parallel Blaschke tensor; it has two distinct Blaschke eigenvalues if and only if $\bar{x}$ is not minimal and has two distinct principal curvatures in the direction of the mean curvature vector.

Remark 3.1. It is not hard to see that the submanifold $\tilde{x}$ in (1) is Möbius parallel if and only if it is (Euclidean) parallel; the submanifold $\tilde{x}$ in (2) is Möbius parallel if and only if the corresponding submanifold $\bar{x}: M^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+p}$ is (Euclidean) parallel; and the submanifold $\tilde{x}$ in (3) is Möbius parallel if and only if the corresponding submanifold $\bar{x}: M^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{m+p}$ is (Euclidean) parallel.

Example 3.2. Submanifolds $\operatorname{LS}\left(m_{1}, p_{1}, r, \mu\right)$ with parameters $m_{1}, p_{1}, r, \mu$.
Fix the dimension $m \geq 3$ and the codimension $p \geq 1$. We start with a multiple parameter data ( $m_{1}, p_{1}, r, \mu$ ) where $m_{1}, p_{1}$ are integers satisfying

$$
1 \leq m_{1} \leq m-1, \quad 0 \leq p_{1} \leq p
$$

and $r>0, \mu \in[0,1]$ are real numbers. Denote $m_{2}:=m-m_{1}$ and $p_{2}=p-p_{1}$.
Let $\tilde{y}=\left(\tilde{y}_{0}, \tilde{y}_{1}\right): M_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{m_{1}+p_{1}}\left(-\frac{1}{r^{2}}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}_{1}^{m_{1}+p_{1}+1}$ be an immersed minimal submanifold of dimensional $m_{1}$ with constant scalar curvature

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{S}_{1}=-\frac{m_{1}\left(m_{1}-1\right)}{r^{2}}-\frac{m-1}{m} \mu \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{y}_{2}: M_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m_{2}+p_{2}}(r) \subset \mathbb{R}^{m_{2}+p_{2}+1} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

be an immersed minimal submanifold of dimension $m_{2}$ with constant scalar curvature

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{S}_{2}=\frac{m_{2}\left(m_{2}-1\right)}{r^{2}}-\frac{m-1}{m}(1-\mu) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{S}_{1}+\tilde{S}_{2}=\frac{-m_{1}\left(m_{1}-1\right)+m_{2}\left(m_{2}-1\right)}{r^{2}}-\frac{m-1}{m} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{M}^{m}=M_{1} \times M_{2}, \quad \tilde{Y}=\left(\tilde{y}_{0}, \tilde{y}_{1}, \tilde{y}_{2}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\tilde{Y}: \tilde{M}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{1}^{m+p+2}$ is an immersion satisfying $\langle\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Y}\rangle_{1}=0$ and has the induced Riemannian metric

$$
g=\langle d \tilde{Y}, d \tilde{Y}\rangle_{1}=-d \tilde{y}_{0}^{2}+d \tilde{y}_{1}^{2}+d \tilde{y}_{2}^{2}
$$

Obviously, as a Riemannian manifold, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\tilde{M}^{m}, g\right)=\left(M_{1},\langle d \tilde{y}, d \tilde{y}\rangle_{1}\right) \times\left(M_{2}, d \tilde{y}_{2}^{2}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{x}_{1}=\frac{\tilde{y}_{1}}{\tilde{y}_{0}}, \quad \tilde{x}_{2}=\frac{\tilde{y}_{2}}{\tilde{y}_{0}}, \quad \tilde{x}=\left(\tilde{x}_{1}, \tilde{x}_{2}\right) . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\tilde{x}^{2}=1$ and $\tilde{x}: \tilde{M}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m+p}$ is an immersed submanifold which we denote by $\operatorname{LS}\left(m_{1}, p_{1}, r, \mu\right)$ for simplicity. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \tilde{x}=-\frac{d \tilde{y}_{0}}{\tilde{y}_{0}^{2}}\left(\tilde{y}_{1}, \tilde{y}_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{\tilde{y}_{0}}\left(d \tilde{y}_{1}, d \tilde{y}_{2}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

the induced metric $\tilde{g}=d \tilde{x} \cdot d \tilde{x}$ on $\tilde{M}^{m}$ is related to $g$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{g}=\tilde{y}_{0}^{-2}\left(-d \tilde{y}_{0}^{2}+d \tilde{y}_{1}^{2}+d \tilde{y}_{2}^{2}\right)=\tilde{y}_{0}^{-2} g \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\left\{\bar{e}_{\alpha} ; m+1 \leq \alpha \leq m+p_{1}\right\} \quad\left(\text { resp. } \quad\left\{\bar{e}_{\alpha} ; m+p_{1}+1 \leq \alpha \leq m+p\right\}\right)
$$

be an orthonormal normal frame field of $\tilde{y}$ (resp. $\tilde{y}_{2}$ ) with

$$
\bar{e}_{\alpha}=\left(\bar{e}_{\alpha 0}, \bar{e}_{\alpha 1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{1}^{1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m_{1}+p_{1}} \equiv \mathbb{R}_{1}^{m_{1}+p_{1}+1}, \text { for } \alpha=m+1, \cdots, m+p_{1}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{e}_{\alpha}=\left(\bar{e}_{\alpha 1}, 0\right)-\bar{e}_{\alpha 0} \tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{m_{1}+p_{1}} \times \mathbb{R}^{m_{2}+p_{2}+1} \equiv \mathbb{R}^{m+p+1}, \text { for } \alpha=m+1, \cdots, m+p_{1}  \tag{3.10}\\
& \tilde{e}_{\alpha}=\left(0, \bar{e}_{\alpha}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m_{1}+p_{1}} \times \mathbb{R}^{m_{2}+p_{2}+1} \equiv \mathbb{R}^{m+p+1}, \text { for } \alpha=m+p_{1}+1, \cdots, m+p \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Then $\left\{\tilde{e}_{\alpha} ; m+1 \leq \alpha \leq m+p\right\}$ is an orthonormal normal frame field of $\operatorname{LS}\left(m_{1}, p_{1}, r, \mu\right)$.
Hence, by (3.8), for $\alpha=m+1, \cdots, m+p_{1}$

$$
\begin{align*}
d \tilde{e}_{\alpha} \cdot d \tilde{x} & =\left(d \bar{e}_{\alpha 1}, 0\right) \cdot d \tilde{x}-d \bar{e}_{\alpha 0} \tilde{x} d \tilde{x}-\bar{e}_{\alpha 0} d \tilde{x}^{2} \\
& =\tilde{y}_{0}^{-1}\left(-d \bar{e}_{\alpha 0} d \tilde{y}_{0}+d \bar{e}_{\alpha 1} \cdot d \tilde{y}_{1}\right)-\bar{e}_{\alpha 0} \tilde{y}_{0}^{-2} g \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

while for $\alpha=m+p_{1}+1, \cdots, m+p$,

$$
d \tilde{e}_{\alpha} \cdot d \tilde{x}=\tilde{y}_{0}^{-1}\left(d \bar{e}_{\alpha} \cdot d \tilde{y}_{2}\right)
$$

Consequently, if we denote by

$$
\bar{h}_{M_{1}}=\sum_{\alpha=m+1}^{m+p_{1}} \bar{h}^{\alpha} \bar{e}_{\alpha}, \quad \bar{h}_{M_{2}}=\sum_{\alpha=m+p_{1}+1}^{m+p} \bar{h}^{\alpha} \bar{e}_{\alpha}
$$

the second fundamental forms of $\tilde{y}$ and $\tilde{y}_{2}$, respectively, then the second fundamental form

$$
\tilde{h}=\sum_{\alpha=m+1}^{m+p} \tilde{h}^{\alpha} \tilde{e}_{\alpha}
$$

of $\operatorname{LS}\left(m_{1}, p_{1}, r, \mu\right)$ is given in terms of $\bar{h}_{M_{1}}, \bar{h}_{M_{2}}$ and the metric $g$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{h}^{\alpha}=-d \tilde{e}_{\alpha} \cdot d \tilde{x}=y_{0}^{-1} \bar{h}^{\alpha}+\bar{e}_{\alpha 0} \tilde{y}_{0}^{-2} g, \quad \text { for } \alpha=m+1, \cdots, m+p_{1} ;  \tag{3.13}\\
& \tilde{h}^{\alpha}=-d \tilde{e}_{\alpha} \cdot d \tilde{x}=\tilde{y}_{0}^{-1} \bar{h}^{\alpha}, \quad \text { for } \alpha=m+p_{1}+1, \cdots, m+p . \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\left\{E_{i} ; 1 \leq i \leq m_{1}\right\} \quad\left(\text { resp. } \quad\left\{E_{i} ; m_{1}+1 \leq i \leq m\right\}\right)
$$

be a local orthonormal frame field for $\left(M_{1},\langle d \tilde{y}, d \tilde{y}\rangle_{1}\right)$ (resp. for $\left(M_{2}, d \tilde{y}_{2}^{2}\right)$ ). Then $\left\{E_{i} ; 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}$ is a local orthonormal frame field for $\left(M^{m}, g\right)$. Put $\tilde{e}_{i}=\tilde{y}_{0} E_{i}, i=1, \cdots, m$. Then $\left\{\tilde{e}_{i} ; 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}$ is a local orthonormal frame field for $\left(M^{m}, \tilde{g}\right)$. Thus for $\alpha=m+1, \cdots, m+p_{1}$,

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\tilde{h}_{i j}^{\alpha} & =\tilde{h}^{\alpha}\left(\tilde{e}_{i}, \tilde{e}_{j}\right)=\tilde{y}_{0}^{2} \tilde{h}^{\alpha}\left(E_{i}, E_{j}\right)=\tilde{y}_{0} \bar{h}^{\alpha}\left(E_{i}, E_{j}\right)+\bar{e}_{\alpha 0} g\left(E_{i}, E_{j}\right)  \tag{3.15}\\
& =\tilde{y}_{0} \bar{h}_{i j}^{\alpha}+\bar{e}_{\alpha 0} \delta_{i j}, \quad \text { when } 1 \leq i, j \leq m_{1}, \\
\tilde{h}_{i j}^{\alpha} & =\bar{e}_{\alpha 0} \delta_{i j}, \quad \text { when } i>m_{1} \text { or } j>m_{1} ;
\end{align*}\right.
$$

and for $\alpha=m+p_{1}+1, \cdots, m+p$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\tilde{h}_{i j}^{\alpha}=\tilde{h}^{\alpha}\left(\tilde{e}_{i}, \tilde{e}_{j}\right)=\tilde{y}_{0}^{2} \tilde{h}^{\alpha}\left(E_{i}, E_{j}\right)=\tilde{y}_{0} \bar{h}^{\alpha}\left(E_{i}, E_{j}\right)=\tilde{y}_{0} \bar{h}_{i j}^{\alpha},  \tag{3.16}\\
\quad \text { when } m_{1}+1 \leq i, j \leq m, \\
\tilde{h}_{i j}^{\alpha}=0, \quad \text { when } i \leq m_{1} \text { or } j \leq m_{1} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

By using the minimality of both $\tilde{y}$ and $\tilde{y}_{1}$, the mean curvature

$$
\tilde{H}=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{\alpha=m+1}^{m+p} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \tilde{h}_{i i}^{\alpha} \tilde{e}_{\alpha}
$$

of $\operatorname{LS}\left(m_{1}, p_{1}, r, \mu\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{H}^{\alpha}=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \tilde{h}_{i i}^{\alpha}=\frac{\tilde{y}_{0}}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m_{1}} \bar{h}_{i i}^{\alpha}+\bar{e}_{\alpha 0}=\bar{e}_{\alpha 0}, \quad \text { for } m+1 \leq \alpha \leq m+p_{1} ;  \tag{3.17}\\
& \tilde{H}^{\alpha}=\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \tilde{h}_{i i}^{\alpha}=\frac{\tilde{y}_{0}}{m} \sum_{i=m_{1}+1}^{m} \bar{h}_{i i}^{\alpha}=0, \quad \text { for } m+p_{1}+1 \leq \alpha \leq m+p . \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.4), (3.15)-(3.18) and the Gauss equations of $\tilde{y}$ and $\tilde{y}_{2}$, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
|\tilde{h}|^{2} & =\tilde{y}_{0}^{2} \sum_{\alpha=m+1}^{m+p_{1}} \sum_{i, j=1}^{m_{1}}\left(\bar{h}_{i j}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}+m \sum_{\alpha=m+1}^{m+p_{1}}\left(\bar{e}_{\alpha 0}\right)^{2}+\tilde{y}_{0}^{2} \sum_{\alpha=m+p_{1}+1}^{m+p} \sum_{i, j=m_{1}+1}^{m}\left(\bar{h}_{i j}^{\alpha}\right)^{2} \\
& =\frac{m-1}{m} \tilde{y}_{0}^{2}+m \sum_{\alpha=m+1}^{m+p_{1}}\left(\bar{e}_{\alpha 0}\right)^{2},  \tag{3.19}\\
|\tilde{H}|^{2} & =\sum_{\alpha=m+1}^{m+p_{1}}\left(\tilde{H}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}+\sum_{\alpha=m+p_{1}+1}^{m+p}\left(\tilde{H}^{\alpha}\right)^{2}=\sum_{\alpha=m+1}^{m+p_{1}}\left(\bar{e}_{\alpha 0}\right)^{2} . \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

It then follows that

$$
|\tilde{h}|^{2}-m|\tilde{H}|^{2}=\frac{m-1}{m} \tilde{y}_{0}^{2}>0,
$$

implying that $\tilde{x}$ is umbilic-free, and the Möbius factor $\tilde{\rho}=\tilde{y}_{0}$. So $\tilde{Y}$ is the Möbius position of $\operatorname{LS}\left(m_{1}, p_{1}, r, \mu\right)$. Consequently, the Möbius metric of $\operatorname{LS}\left(m_{1}, p_{1}, r, \mu\right)$ is nothing but $\langle d \tilde{Y}, d \tilde{Y}\rangle_{1}=g$. Furthermore, if we denote by $\left\{\omega^{i}\right\}$ the local coframe field on $M^{m}$ dual to $\left\{E_{i}\right\}$, then the Möbius second fundamental form

$$
\tilde{B}=\sum_{\alpha=m+1}^{m+p} \tilde{B}^{\alpha} \Phi\left(\tilde{e}_{\alpha}\right) \equiv \sum_{\alpha=m+1}^{m+p} \tilde{B}_{i j}^{\alpha} \omega^{i} \omega^{j} \Phi\left(\tilde{e}_{\alpha}\right)
$$

of $\mathrm{LS}\left(m_{1}, p_{1}, r, \mu\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{B}^{\alpha}=\tilde{\rho}^{-1} \sum\left(\tilde{h}_{i j}^{\alpha}-\tilde{H}^{\alpha} \delta_{i j}\right) \omega^{i} \omega^{j}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{m_{1}} \bar{h}_{i j}^{\alpha} \omega^{i} \omega^{j}, \\
& \quad \text { for } \alpha=m+1, \cdots, m+p_{1} ;  \tag{3.21}\\
& \tilde{B}^{\alpha}=\tilde{\rho}^{-1} \sum\left(\tilde{h}_{i j}^{\alpha}-\tilde{H}^{\alpha} \delta_{i j}\right) \omega^{i} \omega^{j}=\sum_{i, j=m_{1}+1}^{m} \bar{h}_{i j}^{\alpha} \omega^{i} \omega^{j}, \\
& \quad \text { for } \alpha=m+p_{1}+1, \cdots, m+p, \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

or, equivalently

$$
\tilde{B}_{i j}^{\alpha}= \begin{cases}\bar{h}_{i j}^{\alpha}, & \text { if } m+1 \leq \alpha \leq m+p_{1}, 1 \leq i, j \leq m_{1}  \tag{3.23}\\ & \text { or } m+p_{1}+1 \leq \alpha \leq m+p, m_{1}+1 \leq i, j \leq m \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

On the other hand, since the Möbius metric $g$ is the direct product of $\langle d \tilde{y}, d \tilde{y}\rangle_{1}$ and $d \tilde{y}_{2} \cdot d \tilde{y}_{2}$, one finds by the minimality and the Gauss equations of $\tilde{y}$ and $\tilde{y}_{2}$ that the Ricci tensor of $g$ is given as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{i j}=-\frac{m_{1}-1}{r^{2}} \delta_{i j}-\sum_{\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^{m_{1}} \bar{h}_{i k}^{\alpha} \bar{h}_{k j}^{\alpha}, \quad \text { if } 1 \leq i, j \leq m_{1}  \tag{3.24}\\
& R_{i j}=\frac{m_{2}-1}{r^{2}} \delta_{i j}-\sum_{\alpha} \sum_{k=m_{1}+1}^{m} \bar{h}_{i k}^{\alpha} \bar{h}_{k j}^{\alpha}, \quad \text { if } m_{1}+1 \leq i, j \leq m  \tag{3.25}\\
& R_{i j}=0, \quad \text { otherwise } . \tag{3.26}
\end{align*}
$$

But by the definitions of $\tilde{y}$ and $\tilde{y}_{2}$, the normalized scalar curvature $\kappa$ of $g$ is given by (see (3.4))

$$
\kappa=\frac{-m_{1}\left(m_{1}-1\right)+m_{2}\left(m_{2}-1\right)}{m(m-1) r^{2}}-\frac{1}{m^{2}} .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr} A=\frac{1}{2 m}\left(1+m^{2} \kappa\right)=\frac{-m_{1}\left(m_{1}-1\right)+m_{2}\left(m_{2}-1\right)}{2(m-1) r^{2}} \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $m \geq 3$, it follows by (2.25), (3.23)-(3.26) that the Blaschke tensor of $\operatorname{LS}\left(m_{1}, p_{1}, r, \mu\right)$ is given by $A=\sum A_{i j} \omega^{i} \omega^{j}$ where, for $1 \leq i, j \leq m_{1}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{i j} & =\frac{1}{m-2}\left(R_{i j}+\sum_{\alpha, k} \tilde{B}_{i k}^{\alpha} \tilde{B}_{k j}^{\alpha}-\delta_{i j} \operatorname{tr} A\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{2 r^{2}} \delta_{i j} \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

and similarly,

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{i j}=\frac{1}{2 r^{2}} \delta_{i j}, \quad \text { for } m_{1}+1 \leq i, j \leq m  \tag{3.29}\\
& A_{i j}=0, \quad \text { otherwise } \tag{3.30}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, $A$ has two distinct eigenvalues

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}=-\lambda_{2}=-\frac{1}{2 r^{2}} \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $\operatorname{LS}\left(m_{1}, p_{1}, r, \mu\right)$ is of parallel Blaschke tensor $A$ since $\omega_{i}^{j}=0$ for $A_{i i} \neq A_{j j}$.

Proposition 3.1. Submanifolds $\mathrm{LS}\left(m_{1}, p_{1}, r, \mu\right)$ defined in Example 3.2 are of vanishing Möbius form; they are Möbius parallel if and only if both

$$
\tilde{y}: M_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{m_{1}+p_{1}}\left(-\frac{1}{r^{2}}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{y}_{2}: M_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m_{2}+p_{2}}(r)
$$

are parallel as Riemannian submanifolds. Furthermore, if it is the case, then $x\left(M_{1}\right)$ is isometric to the totally geodesic hyperbolic space $\mathbb{H}^{m_{1}}\left(-\frac{1}{r^{2}}\right)$ and $\tilde{y}$ can be taken as the standard embedding of $\mathbb{H}^{m_{1}}\left(-\frac{1}{r^{2}}\right)$ in $\mathbb{H}^{m_{1}+p_{1}}\left(-\frac{1}{r^{2}}\right)$.

Proof. Firstly, if we denote by

$$
\bar{\omega}_{\alpha}^{\beta}, \quad m+1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq m+p_{1} \quad\left(\text { resp. } \quad m+p_{1}+1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq m+p\right)
$$

the normal connection forms of $\tilde{y}$ (resp. $\tilde{y}_{2}$ ) with respect to the normal frame field

$$
\left\{\bar{e}_{\alpha}, m+1 \leq \alpha \leq m+p_{1}\right\} \quad\left(\text { resp. } \quad\left\{\bar{e}_{\alpha}, m+p_{1}+1 \leq \alpha \leq m+p\right\}\right)
$$

then by definitions (3.10) and (3.11) of the normal frame field $\left\{\tilde{e}_{\alpha}, m+1 \leq \alpha \leq m+p\right\}$ for $\operatorname{LS}\left(m_{1}, p_{1}, r, \mu\right)$, we easily find that the normal connection forms $\tilde{\omega}_{\alpha}^{\beta}, m+1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq m+p$, with respect to $\left\{\tilde{e}_{\alpha}, m+1 \leq\right.$ $\alpha \leq m+p\}$ are as follows:

$$
\tilde{\omega}_{\alpha}^{\beta}= \begin{cases}\bar{\omega}_{\alpha}^{\beta}, & \text { for both } m+1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq m+p_{1}  \tag{3.32}\\ & \text { and } m+p_{1}+1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq m+p \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

On the other hand, note that the Möbius metric of $\operatorname{LS}\left(m_{1}, p_{1}, r, \mu\right)$ is the direct product of the induced metrics of $\tilde{y}$ and $\tilde{y}_{2}$, and the bundle map $\Phi: T^{\perp} M \rightarrow V$ (see Section 2) keeps invariant both the metric and the connections. Therefore the first conclusion comes directly from (2.26) together with the fact that both

$$
\sum_{\alpha=m+1}^{m+p_{1}} \sum_{i, j=1}^{m_{1}} B_{i j}^{\alpha} \omega^{i} \omega^{j} E_{\alpha} \text { and } \sum_{\alpha=m+p_{1}+1}^{m+p} \sum_{i, j=m_{1}+1}^{m} B_{i j}^{\alpha} \omega^{i} \omega^{j} E_{\alpha}
$$

are normal-vector-valued Codazzi tensors on $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, respectively; The second conclusion is easily seen true by (3.23) and (3.32); And the third conclusion of the proposition comes from the fact that any connected minimal submanifolds with parallel second fundamental form in a real space form of non-positive curvature must be totally geodesic ( $(23)$.

Remark 3.2. Clearly, in the case of $p=1, \operatorname{LS}\left(m_{1}, p_{1}, r, \mu\right)$ will reduce to those hypersurfaces first introduced in [18] (See Examples 3.1 and 3.2 there).

## 4. Proof of the main theorem

Let $x: M^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m+p}$ be an umbilic-free submanifold in $\mathbb{S}^{m+p}$ satisfying all the conditions in the main theorem, and $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}$ be the two different Blaschke eigenvalues of $x$. By the assumption that the Möbius form vanishes identically and the Blaschke tensor $A$ is parallel, it is not hard to see that $(M, g)$ can be decomposed into a direct product of two Riemannian manifolds $\left(M_{1}, g^{(1)}\right)$ and $\left(M_{2}, g^{(2)}\right)$ with $m_{1}:=\operatorname{dim} M_{1}$ and $m_{2}:=\operatorname{dim} M_{2}$, that is,

$$
\left(M^{m}, g\right)=\left(M_{1}, g^{(1)}\right) \times\left(M_{2}, g^{(2)}\right)
$$

such that, by choosing the orthonormal frame field $\left\{E_{i}\right\}$ of $\left(M^{m}, g\right)$ satisfying

$$
E_{1}, \cdots, E_{m_{1}} \in T M_{1}, E_{m_{1}+1}, \cdots, E_{m} \in T M_{2}
$$

the components $A_{i j}$ of $A$ with respect to $\left\{E_{i}\right\}$ are diagonalized as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i_{1} j_{1}}=\lambda_{1} \delta_{i_{1} j_{1}}, \quad A_{i_{2} j_{2}}=\lambda_{2} \delta_{i_{2} j_{2}}, \quad A_{i_{1} j_{2}}=A_{i_{2} j_{1}}=0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where and from now on we agree with

$$
1 \leq i_{1}, j_{1}, k_{1}, \cdots \leq m_{1}, \quad m_{1}+1 \leq i_{2}, j_{2}, k_{2}, \cdots \leq m
$$

Since the Möbius form $C \equiv 0$, we can also assume by (2.24) that the corresponding components $B_{i j}^{\alpha}$ of the Möbius second fundamental form $B$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i_{1} j_{2}}^{\alpha} \equiv 0, \text { for all } \alpha, i_{1}, j_{2} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, we have
Lemma 4.1. It holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i j \cdots k}^{\alpha} \equiv 0, \text { if neither } 1 \leq i, j, \cdots, k \leq m_{1} \text { nor } m_{1}+1 \leq i, j, \cdots, k \leq m \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i j \cdots k$ is a multiple index of order no less than 2.
Proof. Due to (4.2) and the method of induction, it suffices to prove that if (4.3) holds then

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i j \cdots k l}^{\alpha} \equiv 0, \text { if neither } 1 \leq i, j, \cdots, k, l \leq m_{1} \text { nor } m_{1}+1 \leq i, j, \cdots, k, l \leq m \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, we only need to consider the following two cases:
(i) Neither $1 \leq i, j, \cdots, k \leq m_{1}$ nor $m_{1}+1 \leq i, j, \cdots, k \leq m$.

In this case we use (4.3) and $\omega_{i_{1}}^{j_{2}}=0$ to find

$$
B_{i j \cdots k l}^{\alpha} \omega^{l}=d B_{i j \cdots k}^{\alpha}-\sum B_{l j \cdots k}^{\alpha} \omega_{i}^{l}-\sum B_{i l \cdots k}^{\alpha} \omega_{j}^{l}-\cdots-\sum B_{i j \cdots l}^{\alpha} \omega_{k}^{l}+\sum B_{i j \cdots k}^{\beta} \omega_{\beta}^{\alpha} \equiv 0
$$

So (4.4) is true.
(ii) Either $1 \leq i, j, \cdots, k \leq m_{1}$ or $m_{1}+1 \leq i, j, \cdots, k \leq m$.

Without loss of generality, we assume the first. Then it must be that $m_{1}+1 \leq l \leq m$. Note that by (2.21) and (4.2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\alpha \beta i_{1} j_{2}}^{\perp}=\sum\left(B_{j_{2} q}^{\alpha} B_{i_{1} q}^{\beta}-B_{i_{1} q}^{\alpha} B_{j_{2} q}^{\beta}\right) \equiv 0, \quad \forall i_{1}, j_{2} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This together with Case (i), the Ricci identities (2.27) and the fact that $R_{i_{1} j_{2} i j} \equiv 0$ shows that

$$
B_{i j \cdots k l}^{\alpha}=B_{i j \cdots l k}^{\alpha}+\sum B_{q j \cdots}^{\alpha} R_{i q k l}+\sum B_{i q \cdots}^{\alpha} R_{j q k l}+\cdots-\sum B_{i j \ldots}^{\beta} R_{\beta \alpha k l}^{\perp} . \equiv 0 .
$$

Lemma 4.2. It holds that, for all $i_{1}, j_{1}, k_{1}, \cdots, l_{1}$ and $i_{2}, j_{2}, \cdots, k_{2}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\alpha} B_{i_{1} j_{1}}^{\alpha} B_{i_{2} j_{2}}^{\alpha}=-\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) \delta_{i_{1} j_{1}} \delta_{i_{2} j_{2}}  \tag{4.6}\\
& \sum_{\alpha} B_{i_{1} j_{1} k_{1}}^{\alpha} B_{i_{2} j_{2}}^{\alpha}=0, \quad \sum_{\alpha} B_{i_{1} j_{1}}^{\alpha} B_{i_{2} j_{2} k_{2}}^{\alpha}=0 \tag{4.7}
\end{align*}
$$

More generally,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i_{1} j_{1} k_{1} \cdots l_{1}}^{\alpha} B_{i_{2} j_{2} \cdots k_{2}}^{\alpha}=0, \quad B_{i_{1} j_{1} \cdots k_{1}}^{\alpha} B_{i_{2} j_{2} k_{2} \cdots l_{2}}^{\alpha}=0, \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i_{1} j_{1} k_{1} \cdots l_{1}$ and $i_{2} j_{2} k_{2} \cdots l_{2}$ are multiple indices of order no less than 3 .
Proof. This lemma comes mainly from the Möbius Gauss equation (2.20) and the parallel assumption of the Blaschke tensor $A$. In fact, (4.6) is given by (2.20), (4.1), (4.2) and that $R_{i_{1} i_{2} j_{2} j_{1}} \equiv 0$; (4.7) is given by (2.20), (4.3), $R_{i_{1} i_{2} j_{2} j_{1}} \equiv 0$ and the parallel of $A$; Finally, (4.8) can be shown by the method of induction using Lemma 4.1.

As the corollary of (4.6), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{\alpha} B_{i_{1} j_{1}}^{\alpha}\left(B_{i_{2} i_{2}}^{\alpha}-B_{j_{2} j_{2}}^{\alpha}\right)=\sum_{\alpha}\left(B_{i_{1} i_{1}}^{\alpha}-B_{j_{1} j_{1}}^{\alpha}\right) B_{i_{2} j_{2}}^{\alpha}=0  \tag{4.9}\\
& \sum_{\alpha} B_{i_{1} j_{1}}^{\alpha} B_{i_{2} j_{2}}^{\alpha}=0, \text { if } i_{1} \neq j_{1} \text { or } i_{2} \neq j_{2} . \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{align*}
& V_{1}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{\sum_{\alpha} B_{i_{1} j_{1} \cdots k_{1}}^{\alpha} E_{\alpha}\right\}, \quad V_{2}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{\sum_{\alpha} B_{i_{2} j_{2} \cdots k_{2}}^{\alpha} E_{\alpha}\right\} ;  \tag{4.11}\\
& V_{10}=V_{1} \cap\left(V_{2}\right)^{\perp}, \quad V_{20}=V_{2} \cap\left(V_{1}\right)^{\perp}, \quad \text { so that } \quad V_{10} \perp V_{20} . \tag{4.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $V_{0}^{\prime}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.V_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ be the orthogonal complement of $V_{10}$ in $V_{1}$ (resp. $V_{20}$ in $V_{2}$ ).
Lemma 4.3. It holds that $V_{0}^{\prime}=V_{0}^{\prime \prime}$.
Proof. For any $i, j$, we denote by $B_{i j}^{V_{0}^{\prime}}$ (resp. $B_{i j}^{V_{0}^{\prime \prime}}$ ) the $V_{0}^{\prime}$-component (resp. the $V_{0}^{\prime \prime}$-component) of $B_{i j}$. Then, for any $i_{1}, j_{1}, i_{2}, j_{2}$, it follows from (4.9) and (4.10) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i_{1} i_{2}}^{V_{0}^{\prime}}=B_{j_{1} j_{2}}^{V_{0}^{\prime \prime}}=0, \quad B_{i_{1} i_{1}}^{V_{0}^{\prime}}=B_{j_{1} j_{1}}^{V_{0}^{\prime}}, \quad B_{i_{2} i_{2}}^{V_{0}^{\prime \prime}}=B_{j_{2} j_{2}}^{V_{0}^{\prime \prime}} . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

So that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{0}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{B_{i_{1} i_{1}}^{V_{0}^{\prime}}\right\}, \quad V_{0}^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{B_{j_{2} j_{2}}^{V_{o}^{\prime \prime}}\right\} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by the second equation in (2.19), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i_{1}} B_{i_{1} i_{1}}+\sum_{j_{2}} B_{j_{2} j_{2}}=0 \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

But, for any $i_{1}, j_{2}$,

$$
B_{i_{1} i_{1}}=B_{i_{1} i_{1}}^{V_{10}}+B_{i_{1} i_{1}}^{V_{0}^{\prime}}, \quad B_{j_{2} j_{2}}=B_{j_{2} j_{2}}^{V_{20}}+B_{j_{2} j_{2}}^{V_{0}^{\prime \prime}}
$$

Since $V_{10} \perp V_{20}$, (4.15) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i_{1}} B_{i_{1} i_{1}}^{V_{10}}=\sum_{j_{2}} B_{j_{2} j_{2}}^{V_{20}}=0, \quad \sum_{i_{1}} B_{i_{1} i_{1}}^{V_{0}^{\prime}}+\sum_{j_{2}} B_{j_{2} j_{2}}^{V_{0}^{\prime \prime}}=0 \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last equality in (4.16) together with (4.13) shows that, for some $i_{1}, j_{2}$,

$$
m_{1} B_{i_{1} i_{1}}^{V_{0}^{\prime}}+m_{2} B_{j_{2} j_{2}}^{V_{0}^{\prime \prime}}=0
$$

which with (4.14) proves that $V_{0}^{\prime}=V_{0}^{\prime \prime}:=V_{0}$.
Remark that, by (4.14), we have $\operatorname{dim} V_{0} \leq 1$. Now we need to consider the following two cases:
Case 1. $\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2} \neq 0$.
In this case, by (4.9) and (4.10), it is not hard to see that $\operatorname{dim} V_{0}=1$ and thus, locally, we can choose an orthonormal normal frame field $\left\{E_{\alpha}\right\}$ such that $V_{0}=\mathbb{R} E_{\alpha_{0}}$ for some $\alpha_{0} \in\{m+1, \cdots, m+p\}$. Furthermore, it holds that $\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}>0$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{1}^{\alpha_{0}}:=B_{i_{1} i_{1}}^{\alpha_{0}}=\cdots=B_{j_{1} j_{1}}^{\alpha_{0}}= \pm \sqrt{\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}}\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right)}, \quad B_{i_{1} j_{1}}^{\alpha_{0}}=0 \text { for } i_{1} \neq j_{1}  \tag{4.17}\\
& B_{2}^{\alpha_{0}}:=B_{i_{2} i_{2}}^{\alpha_{0}}=\cdots=B_{j_{2} j_{2}}^{\alpha_{0}}=\mp \sqrt{\frac{m_{1}}{m_{2}}\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right)}, \quad B_{i_{2} j_{2}}^{\alpha_{0}}=0 \text { for } i_{2} \neq j_{2} \tag{4.18}
\end{align*}
$$

By altering the direction of $E_{\alpha_{0}}$ if necessary, we can assume without loss of generality that $B_{1}^{\alpha_{0}} \geq 0$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{1}^{\alpha_{0}}=\sqrt{\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}}\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right)}>0, \quad B_{2}^{\alpha_{0}}=-\sqrt{\frac{m_{1}}{m_{2}}\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right)}<0 \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

In what follows, we shall agree with the following notation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\alpha_{1}}, E_{\beta_{1}}, E_{\gamma_{1}}, \cdots \in V_{10}, \quad E_{\alpha_{2}}, E_{\beta_{2}}, E_{\gamma_{2}}, \cdots \in V_{20} \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since, for any $i_{1}, j_{1}$ (resp. $i_{2}, j_{2}$ ), the orthogonal projection $B_{i_{1} j_{1}}^{V_{20}}$ of $B_{i_{1} j_{1}}$ to $V_{20}$ (resp. $B_{i_{2} j_{2}}^{V_{10}}$ of $B_{i_{2} j_{2}}$ to $V_{10}$ ) vanishes identically, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i_{1} j_{1}}^{\alpha_{2}}=B_{i_{2} j_{2}}^{\alpha_{1}}=0, \quad \forall i_{1}, j_{1}, i_{2}, j_{2} \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following lemma can be shown by Lemma 4.2 and (4.10) using the method of induction:
Lemma 4.4. There exist suitably chosen frames $\left\{E_{\alpha_{1}}\right\}$ and $\left\{E_{\alpha_{2}}\right\}$ for $V_{10}$ and $V_{20}$, respectively, such that the Möbius normal connection forms $\omega_{\alpha}^{\beta}$ with respect to the frame $\left\{E_{\alpha}\right\}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\alpha_{1}}^{\beta_{2}}=0, \quad \omega_{\alpha_{0}}^{\beta}=0 \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $Y$ and $N$ be the Möbius position vector and the Möbius biposition vector of $x$, respectively. Motivated by 21], see also [9, [17] and the very recent paper [25], we define another vector-valued function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{c}:=N+a Y+b E_{\alpha_{0}} \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constants $a, b$ to be determined. Then by using (2.11) and (2.13) we find

$$
d \mathbf{c}=\left(a+\lambda_{1}-b B_{1}^{\alpha_{0}}\right) \omega^{i_{1}} Y_{i_{1}}+\left(a+\lambda_{2}-b B_{2}^{\alpha_{0}}\right) \omega^{i_{2}} Y_{i_{2}}
$$

Since

$$
B_{1}^{\alpha_{0}}-B_{2}^{\alpha_{0}}=\sqrt{\frac{m_{2}}{m_{1}}\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right)}+\sqrt{\frac{m_{1}}{m_{2}}\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right)}=m \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}{m_{1} m_{2}}}>0
$$

the system of linear equations

$$
a+\lambda_{1}-b B_{1}^{\alpha_{0}}=0, \quad a+\lambda_{2}-b B_{2}^{\alpha_{0}}=0
$$

for $a, b$ has a unique solution as

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=-\frac{m_{1} \lambda_{1}+m_{2} \lambda_{2}}{m}, \quad b=\frac{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}{m} \sqrt{\frac{m_{1} m_{2}}{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}} . \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the following lemma is proved:
Lemma 4.5. Let $a, b$ be given by (4.24). Then the vector-valued function $\mathbf{c}$ defined by (4.23) is constant on $M^{m}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c}\rangle_{1}=2 a+b^{2}, \quad\langle\mathbf{c}, Y\rangle_{1}=1 \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we consider separately the following three subcases:
Subcase (1): $\mathbf{c}$ is time-like, that is, $\langle\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c}\rangle_{1}=-r^{2}<0$ for some positive number $r$. In this case, there exists a $T \in O^{+}(m+p+1,1)$ such that $\tilde{\mathbf{c}}:=T(\mathbf{c})=(-r, 0)$ with $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{m+p+1}$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathbf{c}}=(-r, 0)=T(N)+a T(Y)+b T\left(E_{\alpha_{0}}\right) . \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we write $\tilde{Y}:=T(Y)=\left(\tilde{Y}_{0}, \tilde{Y}_{1}\right)$ with $\tilde{Y}_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+p+1}$, and let $\tilde{x}: M^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m+p}$ be the immersion with $\tilde{Y}$ as its Möbius position vector, then $\tilde{Y}_{0}>0$ and $x$ is Möbius equivalent to $\tilde{x}$ for which the Möbius factor $\tilde{\rho}=\tilde{Y}_{0}$. From (4.25) and (4.26) we find

$$
r \tilde{\rho}=r \tilde{Y} \tilde{Y}_{0}=\langle\tilde{\mathbf{c}}, \tilde{Y}\rangle_{1}=1
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\rho}=r^{-1}=\text { const. } \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since the Möbius form $\tilde{C}$ of $\tilde{x}$ vanishes identically, we know from (2.16) and (4.27) that $\tilde{H}_{, i}^{\alpha}=0$, that is, the mean curvature vector of $\tilde{x}$ is parallel. In particular, the mean curvature $|\tilde{H}|$ of $\tilde{x}$ is also constant. This with (2.14), (4.27) shows that the second fundamental form $\tilde{H} \cdot \tilde{h}=\tilde{H}^{\alpha} \tilde{h}^{\alpha}$ of $\tilde{x}$ in the direction of mean curvature $\tilde{H}$ is parallel, that is, $\tilde{x}$ is pseudo-parallel.

On the other hand, the Möbius metric is the same as that of $x$ which can be written as $g=r^{-2} d \tilde{x} \cdot d \tilde{x}$. Therefore, if $\tilde{R}$ is the scalar curvature of the metric $d \tilde{x} \cdot d \tilde{x}$, then

$$
\tilde{R}=m(m-1) r^{-2} \kappa=\text { const }
$$

since, by (2.19) and

$$
\operatorname{tr} A=m_{1} \lambda_{1}+m_{2} \lambda_{2}=\text { const },
$$

$\kappa$ is constant.
Furthermore, since the Blaschke tensor $A$ of $x$, which is equivalent to that of $\tilde{x}$, has two distinct eigenvalues, it follows from (2.14) that $\tilde{H} \cdot \tilde{h}$ must has two distinct eigenvalues, or the same, $\tilde{x}$ has two distinct principal curvatures in the direction of the mean curvature vector.

Subcase (2): $\mathbf{c}$ is light-like, that is, $\langle\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c}\rangle_{1}=0$. In this case, there exists a $T \in O^{+}(m+p+1,1)$ such that $\tilde{\mathbf{c}}:=T(\mathbf{c})=(-1,1,0)$ with $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{m+p}$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathbf{c}}=(-1,1,0)=T(N)+a T(Y)+b T\left(E_{\alpha_{0}}\right) . \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we write $\tilde{Y}=T(Y)=\left(\tilde{Y}_{0}, \tilde{Y}_{1}\right)$, then $\tilde{Y}_{0}>0$. Define $\tilde{x}=\tilde{Y}_{0}^{-1} \tilde{Y}_{1}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{Y}=\tilde{\rho}(1, \tilde{x}), \text { where } \tilde{\rho}=\tilde{Y}_{0} . \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that $\tilde{x}: M^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m+p}$ is an immersion with $\tilde{Y}$ as its Möbius position vector. Therefore $x$ is Möbius equivalent to $\tilde{x}$. Write $\tilde{x}=\left(\tilde{x}_{0}, \tilde{x}_{1}\right)$ in which $\tilde{x}_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+p}$. Then by (4.29), $\tilde{Y}=\left(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\rho} \tilde{x}_{0}, \tilde{\rho}^{2} \tilde{x}_{1}\right)$. From (4.25) and (4.28) we know that $\langle\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\mathbf{c}}\rangle_{1}=1$, i.e. $\tilde{\rho}\left(1+\tilde{x}_{0}\right)=1$. So $1+\tilde{x}_{0}>0$ and $\tilde{\rho}=\left(1+\tilde{x}_{0}\right)^{-1}$. In particular, $\tilde{x}_{0}>-1$. This indicates that $\tilde{x}\left(M^{m}\right) \subset \mathbb{S}^{m+p} \backslash\{(-1,0)\}$, so that we can consider the pre-image under $\sigma$ of $\tilde{x}$, that is, $\bar{x}:=\sigma^{-1} \circ \tilde{x}$. Then $\bar{x}: M^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+p}$ is an umbilic-free immersion since $\tilde{x}$ is.

By the definition (1.1) of $\sigma$, one sees that

$$
\tilde{x}_{0}=\frac{1-|\bar{x}|^{2}}{1+|\bar{x}|^{2}}, \quad \tilde{x}_{1}=\frac{2 \bar{x}}{1+|\bar{x}|^{2}}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\rho}=\left(1+\tilde{x}_{0}\right)^{-1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+|\bar{x}|^{2}\right), \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{Y}=\tilde{\rho}(1, \tilde{x})=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+|\bar{x}|^{2}, 1-|\bar{x}|^{2}, 2 \bar{x}\right) . \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.31) one easily finds that the Möbius metric $g$ can be written as

$$
g=\langle d \tilde{Y}, d \tilde{Y}\rangle_{1}=d \bar{x} \cdot d \bar{x}
$$

Using a theorem of Liu-Wang-Zhao in [21] we see that the Möbius factor $\bar{\rho}$ of $\bar{x}$ (cf. (2.1)) is identical to the constant 1. Clearly, the scalar curvature $\bar{R}$ of $\bar{x}$ is a constant since, once more, $\kappa$ is constant. Again, by [21] we know that all the components $\bar{C}_{i}^{\alpha}$ of the Möbius form $\bar{C}$ of $\bar{x}$ vanish. It then follows by (2.16) and Remark 2.1 that the mean curvature vector field $\bar{H}$ of $\bar{x}$ is parallel. Finally, as in the subcase (1), it follows easily from (2.17) that $\bar{x}$ is pseudo-parallel and has two distinct principal curvatures in the direction of the mean curvature vector.

Subcase (3): $\mathbf{c}$ is space-like, that is, $\langle\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c}\rangle_{1}=r^{2}>0$ for some $r>0$. In this case, there exists a $T \in O^{+}(m+p+1,1)$ such that

$$
\tilde{\mathbf{c}}:=T(\mathbf{c})=(0, r, 0) \in \mathbb{R}_{1} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{m+p} \equiv \mathbb{R}_{1}^{m+p+2}
$$

So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathbf{c}}=(0, r, 0)=T(N)+a T(Y)+b T\left(E_{\alpha_{0}}\right) . \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar to the subcase (2), if we write $\tilde{Y}=T(Y)=\left(\tilde{Y}_{0}, \tilde{Y}_{1}\right)$ with $\tilde{Y}_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+p+1}$, then $\tilde{Y}_{0}>0$ and we can define $\tilde{x}=\tilde{Y}_{0}^{-1} \tilde{Y}_{1}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{Y}=\tilde{\rho}(1, \tilde{x}), \text { where } \tilde{\rho}=\tilde{Y}_{0} \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Once again, $\tilde{x}: M^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m+p}$ is an immersion with $\tilde{Y}$ as its Möbius position vector. Therefore $x$ is Möbius equivalent to the immersion $\tilde{x}$. Write $\tilde{x}=\left(\tilde{x}_{0}, \tilde{x}_{1}\right)$ for some $\tilde{x}_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+p}$. Then by (4.33), $\tilde{Y}=\left(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\rho} \tilde{x}_{0}, \tilde{\rho} \tilde{x}_{1}\right)$. From (4.25) and (4.32) we know that $\langle\tilde{Y}, \tilde{\mathbf{c}}\rangle_{1}=1$ and thus r $\tilde{\rho} \tilde{x}_{0}=1$, implying $\tilde{x}_{0}>0$. Hence $\tilde{x}\left(M^{m}\right) \subset \mathbb{S}_{+}^{m+p}$. By defining $\bar{x}:=\tau^{-1} \circ \tilde{x}$, the pre-image of $\tilde{x}$ under $\tau$, we obtain an immersion $\bar{x}: M^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{m+p}$ which is umbilic-free since $\tilde{x}$ is.

Write $\bar{x}=\left(\bar{x}_{0}, \bar{x}_{1}\right)$ with $\bar{x}_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{m+p}$. Then by the definition (1.2) of $\tau$, one sees that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{x}_{0}=\bar{x}_{0}^{-1}, \quad \tilde{x}_{1}=\bar{x}_{0}^{-1} \bar{x}_{1} \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $\tilde{\rho}=\left(r \tilde{x}_{0}\right)^{-1}=r^{-1} \bar{x}_{0}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{Y}=\tilde{\rho}(1, \tilde{x})=r^{-1}\left(\bar{x}_{0}, 1, \bar{x}_{1}\right) \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.35) one easily finds that the Möbius metric $g$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\langle d \tilde{Y}, d \tilde{Y}\rangle_{1}=r^{-2}\langle d \bar{x}, d \bar{x}\rangle_{1} \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

By [21] we see that the Möbius factor $\bar{\rho}$ of $\bar{x}$ (cf. (2.1)) is identical to $r^{-1}$. Then, similar to the subcase (2), we can use 21] and (2.18) to show that $\bar{x}$ is pseudo-parallel with constant scalar curvature, parallel mean curvature vector field, and has two distinct principal curvatures in the direction of the mean curvature vector.

Case 2. $\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}=0$.
In this case, we can assume that $\lambda_{1}<0$ and thus $\lambda_{2}>0$. Define a positive number $r$ by $r^{-2}=2 \lambda_{2}$. Then $2 \lambda_{1}=-r^{-2}$.

By Lemma 4.2 it is readily that $\operatorname{dim} V_{0}=0$, so that $V_{1}=V_{10}, V_{2}=V_{20}$. In particular, $V_{1} \perp V_{2}$ and thus the Möbius normal bundle $V \rightarrow M^{m}$ splits orthogonally into direct sum of $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}: V=V_{1} \oplus V_{2}$. Furthermore, by Lemma 4.2 there is an orthonormal normal frame field $\left\{E_{\alpha}\right\}$ such that the Möbius normal connection forms $\omega_{\alpha}^{\beta}$ meet

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\alpha_{1}}^{\beta_{2}} \equiv 0, \text { where } E_{\alpha_{1}}, E_{\beta_{1}}, \cdots \in V_{1}, \quad E_{\alpha_{2}}, E_{\beta_{2}}, \cdots \in V_{2} \tag{4.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
y=-\frac{1}{2 \lambda_{2}}\left(N-\lambda_{2} Y\right), \quad y_{2}=\frac{1}{2 \lambda_{2}}\left(N+\lambda_{2} Y\right)
$$

Then $y+y_{2}=Y$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle y, y\rangle_{1}=-\frac{1}{2 \lambda_{2}}=-r^{2}<0, \quad\left\langle y_{2}, y_{2}\right\rangle_{1}=\frac{1}{2 \lambda_{2}}=r^{2}>0 \tag{4.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, by (2.11), (4.1) and $\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}=0$, we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
& d y=-\frac{1}{2 \lambda_{2}}\left(\sum_{i, j} A_{i j} \omega^{j} Y_{i}-\lambda_{2} \sum_{i} \omega^{i} Y_{i}\right)=\sum_{i_{1}} \omega^{i_{1}} Y_{i_{1}}  \tag{4.39}\\
& d y_{2}=\frac{1}{2 \lambda_{2}}\left(\sum_{i, j} A_{i j} \omega^{j} Y_{i}-\lambda_{1} \sum_{i} \omega^{i} Y_{i}\right)=\sum_{i_{2}} \omega^{i_{2}} Y_{i_{2}} \tag{4.40}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus $y$ and $y_{2}$ is constant on $M_{2}$ and $M_{1}$, respectively.
Using (2.12), (2.13), (4.37), (4.39) and (4.40), we can easily obtain the following conclusion:
Corollary 4.6. The subbundles $V_{1}$ and $V_{2}$ are parallel in the Möbius normal bundle $V$, and the Möbius normal connection on $V$ is the direct sum of its restriction on $V_{1}$ and its restriction on $V_{2}$. Moreover,

$$
\mathbb{R} y \oplus V_{1} \oplus T M_{1}, \quad \mathbb{R} y_{2} \oplus V_{2} \oplus T M_{2}
$$

are orthogonal to each other in $\mathbb{R}_{1}^{m+p+2}$ and constant on $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, respectively.

Remark 4.1. By Corollary 4.6 and (4.38), there exists an element $T \in O^{+}(m+p+1,1)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(\mathbb{R} y \oplus V_{1} \oplus T M_{1}\right)=\mathbb{R}_{1}^{m_{1}+p_{1}+1}, \quad T\left(\mathbb{R} y_{2} \oplus V_{2} \oplus T M_{2}\right)=\mathbb{R}^{m_{2}+p_{2}+1} \tag{4.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 4.6 implies that, by restriction, we can identify the subbundle $V_{1}$ (resp. $V_{2}$ ) of $V$ with a vector bundles $V_{1} \rightarrow M_{1}$ on $M_{1}$ (resp. $V_{2} \rightarrow M_{2}$ on $M_{2}$ ). In this sense, the Möbius normal bundle $V \rightarrow M^{m}$ with the Möbius normal connection is the direct product of $V_{1} \rightarrow M_{1}$ and $V_{2} \rightarrow M_{2}$ with their induced connections.

Now from the Möbius second fundamental form $B$, we define

$$
\stackrel{(1)}{B}=\sum B_{i_{1} j_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}} \omega^{i_{1}} \omega^{j_{1}} E_{\alpha_{1}}, \quad \stackrel{(2)}{B}=\sum B_{i_{2} j_{2}}^{\alpha_{2}} \omega^{i_{2}} \omega^{j_{2}} E_{\alpha_{2}}
$$

Then $\stackrel{(1)}{B}$ (resp. $\stackrel{(2)}{B}$ ) is a $V_{1}$-valued (resp. $V_{2}$-valued) symmetric 2-form on $M_{1}$ (resp. on $M_{2}$ ) with components $\stackrel{(1)}{B} i_{1} j_{1}=B_{i_{1} j_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}}$ (resp. $\stackrel{(2)}{B}_{i_{2} j_{2}}^{\alpha_{2}}=B_{i_{2} j_{2}}^{\alpha_{2}}$ ).

Let $\stackrel{(1)}{B}{ }_{i_{1} j_{1}, k_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}}$ (resp. $\stackrel{(2)}{B} i_{2} \dot{\alpha}_{2}, k_{2}$ ) be the components of the covariant derivative of $\stackrel{(1)}{B}$ (resp. $\stackrel{(2)}{B}$ ). Then, as the consequence of (4.2), (4.37) and Corollary 4.6 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{(1)}{B}_{i_{1} j_{1}, k_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}}=B_{i_{1} j_{1} k_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}}, \quad \stackrel{(2)}{B_{i_{2} j_{2}, k_{2}}^{\alpha_{2}}=B_{i_{2} j_{2} k_{2}}^{\alpha_{1}} .} \tag{4.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $B_{i_{1} j_{1}}^{\alpha_{2}}=B_{i_{2} j_{2}}^{\alpha_{1}}=0$, the vanishing of the Möbius form $C$ together with (2.20), (4.1), (2.23), (4.2), (4.42) and (2.21) proves $t$ easily he following lemma:

Lemma 4.7. The Riemannian manifold $\left(M_{1}, g^{(1)}\right)$ (resp. $\left(M_{2}, g^{(2)}\right)$ ) and the vector bundle valued symmetric tensor $\stackrel{(1)}{B}$ (resp. $\stackrel{(2)}{B}$ ) satisfies the Gauss equation, Codazzi equation and Ricci equation for submanifolds in a space form of constant curvature $2 \lambda_{1}$ (resp. $2 \lambda_{2}$ ). Namely

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{i_{1} j_{1} k_{1} l_{1}}=\sum\left(\stackrel{(1)}{B_{i}}{\underset{i}{1} l_{1}}_{\alpha_{1}}^{\stackrel{(1)}{B}_{B}^{j_{1} k_{1}}} \stackrel{\alpha}{1}^{\alpha_{B}}{\stackrel{(1)}{i_{1} k_{1}}}_{\alpha_{1}}^{(1)} \stackrel{(1)}{B}_{j_{1} l_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}}\right)+2 \lambda_{1}\left(\delta_{i_{1} l_{1}} \delta_{j_{1} k_{1}}-\delta_{i_{1} k_{1}} \delta_{j_{1} l_{1}}\right), \tag{4.43}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{\alpha_{1} \beta_{1} i_{1} j_{1}}^{\perp}=\sum\left(\stackrel{(1)}{B_{j} \alpha_{1} k_{1}} \stackrel{(1)}{B}_{i_{1} k_{1}}^{\beta_{1}}-\stackrel{(1)}{B}{ }_{i 1}^{\alpha_{1} k_{1}} \stackrel{(1)}{{ }_{B}^{B}}{ }_{j} \beta_{1} k_{1}\right),  \tag{4.46}\\
& R_{\alpha_{2} \beta_{2} i_{2} j_{2}}^{\perp}=\sum\left(\stackrel{(2)}{B}_{j_{2} k_{2}}^{\alpha_{2}} \stackrel{(2)}{B_{i}}{\underset{i}{2} k_{2}}^{\beta_{B}}{\stackrel{(2)}{\alpha_{2} k_{2}}}_{\alpha_{2}}^{\stackrel{(2)}{B}_{j_{2} k_{2}}^{\beta_{2}}}\right) \text {. }
\end{align*}
$$

Since $2 \lambda_{1}=-\frac{1}{r^{2}}<0,2 \lambda_{2}=\frac{1}{r^{2}}>0$, Lemma 4.7 shows that there exist an isometric immersion

$$
\tilde{y} \equiv\left(\tilde{y}_{0}, \tilde{y}_{1}\right):\left(M_{1}, g^{(1)}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{m_{1}+p_{1}}\left(-\frac{1}{r^{2}}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}_{1}^{m_{1}+p_{1}+1}
$$

with $\stackrel{(1)}{B}$ as its second fundamental form, and an isometric immersion

$$
\tilde{y}_{2}:\left(M_{2}, g^{(2)}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m_{2}+p_{2}}(r) \subset \mathbb{R}^{m_{2}+p_{2}+1}
$$

with $\stackrel{(2)}{B}$ as its second fundamental form.
Note that $B_{i_{1} j_{1}}^{\alpha_{2}}=B_{i_{2} j_{2}}^{\alpha_{1}} \equiv 0$. It follows from (2.19) that both $\tilde{y}$ and $\tilde{y}_{2}$ are minimal immersions. Furthermore, if $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ denote, respectively, the scalar curvatures of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, then by (4.43), (4.44) and the minimality, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{S}_{1}=-\frac{m_{1}\left(m_{1}-1\right)}{r^{2}}-\sum\left(B_{i_{1} j_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}}\right)^{2}, \quad \tilde{S}_{2}=\frac{m_{2}\left(m_{2}-1\right)}{r^{2}}-\sum\left(B_{i_{2} j_{2}}^{\alpha_{2}}\right)^{2} \tag{4.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

showing that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{S}_{1}+\frac{m_{1}\left(m_{1}-1\right)}{r^{2}}=-\sum\left(B_{i_{1} j_{1}}^{\alpha_{1}}\right)^{2} \leq 0  \tag{4.49}\\
& \tilde{S}_{2}-\frac{m_{2}\left(m_{2}-1\right)}{r^{2}}=-\sum\left(B_{i_{2} j_{2}}^{\alpha_{2}}\right)^{2} \leq 0 \tag{4.50}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus by (2.19),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{S}_{1}+\tilde{S}_{2}=\frac{-m_{1}\left(m_{1}-1\right)+m_{2}\left(m_{2}-1\right)}{r^{2}}-\frac{m-1}{m}=\text { const. } \tag{4.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\tilde{S}_{1}$ and $\tilde{S}_{2}$ are functions defined on $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, respectively, it follows that both $\tilde{S}_{1}$ and $\tilde{S}_{2}$ are constant and, by (4.49), (4.50)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{S}_{1}=-\frac{m_{1}\left(m_{1}-1\right)}{r^{2}}-\frac{m-1}{m} \mu, \quad \tilde{S}_{2}=\frac{m_{2}\left(m_{2}-1\right)}{r^{2}}-\frac{m-1}{m}(1-\mu) \tag{4.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $\mu \in[0,1]$.
Now we are in a position to complete the proof of the main theorem (Theorem 1.2).
As discussed earlier in this section, there are only the following two cases that need to be considered:
If $\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2} \neq 0$, then $x$ is Möbius equivalent to the three kinds of submanifolds (1), (2) and (3) as listed in Theorem 1.2, according to the Subcases (1), (2) and (3), respectively;

If $\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}=0$, then have two immersions

$$
\tilde{y}:\left(M_{1}, g^{(1)}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{m_{1}+p_{1}}\left(-\frac{1}{r^{2}}\right), \quad \tilde{y}_{2}:\left(M_{2}, g^{(2)}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m_{2}+p_{2}}(r)
$$

which are minimal and, by (4.52), have constant scalar curvatures $\tilde{S}_{1}, \tilde{S}_{1}$, respectively.
Let $\mathrm{LS}\left(m_{1}, p_{1}, r, \mu\right)$ be one of the submanifolds in Example 3.2 defined by $\tilde{y}$ and $\tilde{y}_{2}$. Then it is not hard to see that $\mathrm{LS}\left(m_{1}, p_{1}, r, \mu\right)$ has the same Möbius metric $g$ and the same Möbius second fundamental form $B$ as those of $x$. Furthermore, by choosing the normal frame field $\left\{\tilde{e}_{\alpha}\right\}$ as given in (3.10) and (3.11) where, in the present case,

$$
\bar{e}_{\alpha}=E_{\alpha}, \quad m+1 \leq \alpha \leq m+p
$$

we compute directly (cf. (3.32)):

$$
\tilde{\omega}_{\alpha}^{\beta}=d \tilde{e}_{\alpha} \cdot \tilde{e}_{\beta}=\left\langle d E_{\alpha}, E_{\beta}\right\rangle_{1}= \begin{cases}\omega_{\alpha}^{\beta}, & \text { for both } m+1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq m+p_{1} \\ & \text { and } m+p_{1}+1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq m+p \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

implying that $x$ and $\operatorname{LS}\left(m_{1}, p_{1}, r, \mu\right)$ have the same Möbius normal connection. Therefore, by Theorem 2.2. $x$ is Möbius equivalent to $\operatorname{LS}\left(m_{1}, p_{1}, r, \mu\right)$ and we are done.
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