

Highly accurate calculation of the real and complex eigenvalues of one-dimensional anharmonic oscillators

Francisco M. Fernández * and Javier Garcia

INIFTA (UNLP, CCT La Plata-CONICET), División Química Teórica,
Blvd. 113 S/N, Sucursal 4, Casilla de Correo 16, 1900 La Plata, Argentina

January 31, 2022

Abstract

We draw attention on the fact that the Riccati-Padé method developed some time ago enables the accurate calculation of bound-state eigenvalues as well as of resonances embedded either in the continuum or in the discrete spectrum. We apply the approach to several one-dimensional models that exhibit different kind of spectra. In particular we test a WKB formula for the imaginary part of the resonance in the discrete spectrum of a three-well potential.

1 Introduction

In a recent paper Gaudreau et al [1] proposed a method for the calculation of the eigenvalues of the Schrödinger equation for one-dimensional anharmonic oscillators. In their analysis of some of the many approaches proposed earlier with that purpose they resorted to expressions of the form: “However, the

*e-mail: fernande@quimica.unlp.edu.ar

existing numerical methods are mostly case specific and lack uniformity when faced with a general problem.” “As can be seen by the numerous approaches which have been developed to solve this problem, there is a beautiful diversity yet lack of uniformity in its resolution. While several of these methods yield excellent results for specific cases, it would be favorable to have one general method that could handle any anharmonic potential while being capable of computing efficiently approximations of eigenvalues to a high pre-determined accuracy.” “Various methods have been used to calculate the energy eigenvalues of quantum anharmonic oscillators given a specific set of parameters. While several of these methods yield excellent results for specific cases, there is a beautiful diversity yet lack of uniformity in the resolution of this problem.” The authors put forward an approach that they termed double exponential Sinc collocation method (DESCM) and reported results of remarkable accuracy for a wide variety of problems. In fact they stated that “In the present work, we use this method to compute energy eigenvalues of anharmonic oscillators to unprecedented accuracy” which may perhaps be true for some of the models chosen but not for other similar examples. For example, in an unpublished article Trott [2] obtained the ground-state energy of the anharmonic oscillator with potential $V(x) = x^4$ with more than 1000 accurate digits. His approach is based on the straightforward expansion of the wavefunction in a Taylor series about the origin.

One of the methods mentioned by Gaudreau et al [1] is the Riccati-Padé method (RPM) based on a rational approximation to the logarithmic derivative of the wavefunction that satisfies a well known Riccati equation [3,4]. In their brief analysis of the RPM the authors did not mention that this approach not only yields the bound-state eigenvalues but also the resonances embedded in the continuum [5]. What is more, the same RPM quantization condition, given by a Hankel determinant, produces the bound-state eigenvalues, the resonances embedded in the continuum as well as some kind of strange resonances located in the discrete spectrum of some multiple-well oscillators [6]. It is not clear from the content of [1] whether the DESCM is also suitable for the calculation of such

complex eigenvalues.

The accuracy of the calculated eigenvalues not only depends on the chosen method but also on the available computation facilities and on the art of programming. For this reason the comparison of the accuracy of the results reported in a number of papers spread in time should be carried out with care.

The purpose of this paper is two-fold. First, we show that the RPM can in fact yield extremely accurate eigenvalues because it exhibits exponential convergence. To that end it is only necessary to program the quantization condition in an efficient way in a convenient platform. Second, we stress the fact that the RPM yields both real and complex eigenvalues with similar accuracy through the same quantization condition. More precisely: it is not necessary to modify the algorithm in order to obtain such apparently dissimilar types of eigenvalues that are associated to different boundary conditions of the eigensolution.

In section 2 we outline the RPM for even-parity potentials. In section 3 we apply this approach to some of the examples discussed by Gaudreau et al [1] and obtain eigenvalues with remarkable accuracy. In this section we also calculate several resonances supported by anharmonic oscillators that were not taken into account by those authors. We consider examples of resonances embedded in the continuous as well as in the discrete spectrum. Finally, in section 4 we summarize the main results and draw conclusions.

2 The Riccati-Padé method

The dimensionless Schrödinger equation for a one-dimensional model reads

$$\psi''(x) + [E - V(x)] \psi(x) = 0, \quad (1)$$

where E is the eigenvalue and $\psi(x)$ is the eigenfunction that satisfies some given boundary conditions. For example, $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \psi(x) = 0$ determines the discrete spectrum and the resonances are associated to outgoing waves in each channel (for example, $\psi(x) \sim Ae^{ikx}$). In this paper we restrict ourselves to anharmonic oscillators with even-parity potentials $V(-x) = V(x)$ to facilitate the compari-

son with the results reported by Gaudreau et al [1] but it should be taken into account that the approach applies also to no non-symmetric potentials [7].

In order to apply the RPM we define the regularized logarithmic derivative of the eigenfunction

$$f(x) = \frac{s}{x} - \frac{\psi'(x)}{\psi(x)}, \quad (2)$$

that satisfies the Riccati equation

$$f'(x) + \frac{2sf(x)}{x} - f(x)^2 + V(x) - E = 0, \quad (3)$$

where $s = 0$ or $s = 1$ for even or odd states, respectively. If $V(x)$ is a polynomial function of x or it can be expanded in a Taylor series about $x = 0$ then one can also expand $f(x)$ in a Taylor series about the origin

$$f(x) = x \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f_j(E) x^{2j}. \quad (4)$$

On arguing as in earlier papers we conclude that we can obtain approximate eigenvalues to the Schrödinger equation from the roots of the Hankel determinant

$$H_D^d(E) = \begin{vmatrix} f_{d+1} & f_{d+2} & \cdots & f_{d+D} \\ f_{d+2} & f_{d+3} & \cdots & f_{d+D+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_{d+D} & f_{d+D+1} & \cdots & f_{d+D-1} \end{vmatrix} = 0, \quad (5)$$

where $D = 2, 3, \dots$ is the dimension of the determinant and d is the difference between the degrees of the polynomials in the numerator and denominator of the rational approximation to $f(x)$ [3–6]. In those earlier papers we have shown that there are sequences of roots $E^{[D,d]}$, $D = 2, 3, \dots$ of the determinant $H_D^d(E)$ that converge towards the bound states and resonances of the quantum-mechanical problem. We have at our disposal a set of sequences for each value of d but it is commonly sufficient to choose $d = 0$. For this reason, in this paper we restrict ourselves to the sequences of roots $E^{[D]} = E^{[D,0]}$ (unless stated otherwise).

In this paper we are concerned with anharmonic-oscillator potentials of the form

$$V(x) = \sum_{j=1}^K v_j x^{2j}. \quad (6)$$

The spectrum is discrete when $v_K > 0$ and continuous when $v_K < 0$. In the latter case there may be resonances embedded in the continuous spectrum which are complex eigenvalues. The real part of any such eigenvalue is the resonance position and the imaginary part is half its width Γ ($|\Im E| = \Gamma/2$).

3 Examples

Four examples chosen by Gaudreau et al [1] are quasi-exactly solvable problems; that is to say, one can obtain exact solutions for some states:

$$\begin{aligned}
V_1(x) &= x^2 - 4x^4 + x^6 & E_0 &= -2 \\
V_2(x) &= 4x^2 - 6x^4 + x^6 & E_1 &= -9 \\
V_3(x) &= \frac{105}{64}x^2 - \frac{43}{8}x^4 + x^6 - x^8 + x^{10} & E_0 &= \frac{3}{8} \\
V_4(x) &= \frac{169}{64}x^2 - \frac{59}{8}x^4 + x^6 - x^8 + x^{10} & E_1 &= \frac{9}{8}.
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

The RPM yields the exact result for all these particular cases because in all of them the logarithmic derivative $f(x)$ is a rational function of the coordinate.

The Hankel determinants of lowest dimension for each case are:

$$\begin{aligned}
H_2^0(E) &= \frac{1}{4725} (E + 2) (E^5 - 2E^4 - 23E^3 - 602E^2 + 1030E - 1412), \\
H_2^0(E) &= \frac{1}{4465125} (E + 9) (E^5 - 9E^4 - 187E^3 - 8217E^2 + 78336E - 348624), \\
H_3^0(E) &= \frac{1}{3189612751764848640000} (8E - 3) (8589934592E^{11} + 3221225472E^{10} \\
&\quad - 1887235473408E^9 - 399347250364416E^8 - 1634745666502656E^7 \\
&\quad + 10770225531715584E^6 - 836065166572191744E^5 \\
&\quad - 905684630058491904E^4 + 5197219286067104256E^3 - 2944302537136698432E^2 \\
&\quad - 12283878786837315912E + 22452709866105906693), \\
H_3^0(E) &= \frac{1}{431028319209742820966400000} (8E - 9) (8589934592E^{11} + 9663676416E^{10} \\
&\quad - 5569096187904E^9 - 2064531673055232E^8 - 15362232560910336E^7 \\
&\quad + 158709729905344512E^6 - 23752960275863896064E^5 \\
&\quad - 84068173973645402112E^4 + 2318080070178601634304E^3 \\
&\quad - 6274577633554290840768E^2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$-75410626140297229262472 E + 655367638076442656931879), \quad (8)$$

respectively. It is clear that the second factor of each Hankel determinant yields the exact eigenvalue of the corresponding model in equation (7).

As a nontrivial example we consider the quartic anharmonic oscillator

$$V(x) = x^2 + \lambda x^4. \quad (9)$$

Gaudreau et al [1] calculated the ground state for $\lambda = 1$ with remarkable accuracy. The RPM also enables great accuracy because of its exponential convergence. For example, with determinants of dimension $D \leq 623$ we obtained

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 = & 1.3923516415302918556575078766099341846000667112208340889063493 \\ & 238775674318756465285909735634677917591211513753417388174455516 \\ & 240463837130438178697370013460935168154842085748896569018003055 \\ & 412366487432189534357154174093826240572295199985687111814096892 \\ & 270227363816981111260310703429386134195964568485918291463489851 \\ & 885814863025469392145221031177208948219643654580541741801366088 \\ & 701870825264349698158700823407607595743192268511389600196854493 \\ & 949820962407561620946196334634473774557014921149262346890591637 \\ & 338563062681405570992510627058090950578666603093583144835197352 \\ & 905560061049224302849821825415119194035000689109989896675454979 \\ & 833183805654199754661625730310527294045815675292625382286721180 \\ & 760183199752945956111132457567844565301841956779850974931537225 \\ & 418858821696022599972698095084658065637021365447651793869049904 \\ & 755455309191949465274340562585980971938979595684138772300267900 \\ & 681776732778457086544772456313662681845199346441260519691501249 \\ & 723061727243936387451149975151714249881364996642295004595485151 \\ & 916507248813368615814421881730600039773536840117104637678735672 \\ & 726392478420532548924901523470626991951440934018875830719295468 \end{aligned}$$

178231131253774713120042218812766794224608722685106067661795491
30792640798558850522732484547554994100518213983

which is considerably more accurate than the result reported by those authors. Such an accuracy is unnecessary but it clearly shows the stability and remarkable rate of convergence of the RPM.

For some approaches the pure quartic oscillator

$$V(x) = x^4 \quad (10)$$

may be more demanding than the oscillator treated previously. However, this is not the case for the RPM that yields

E_0 = 1.060362090484182899647046016692663545515208728528977933216245
24169594356304434442112689629913467170351054624435858252558087
98082102931470131768363738249357892262460047081754469601416374
88417282256905935757790888061788790263601549395690275196148900
94293487358440944269489790121397146429095192335453382834703350
57576151120257039888523720240221841103086573731091398915453658
41031116794058335486000922744006963112670238862297142969961059
215583226671376935508673610000831830027517926233573913906136180
776498596961814994127928092728407079561060440722946809949136275
729273872791368902798424722261716944488954751370438068405439187
787729532342458743725431783231906038106874160440343745301468472
781391861294047043103401351071607110353008929823275427661518986
950565047160252756089526262191025688200964410287815640052705292
932405076382650282591124773625384718547144025722854384852974504
585709788402490669995704768445877091762029124375273254907116433
440230294730692398190895685374535988446016002313291933059395869
304916644281633946163324287004261461237743009952234204208597735

690153565416850308941851348795734106585479719467596466796613467
 688586437952654519560568286715958338884743467012042420714918747
 871038429573389138985245894022263471696176996560440931170998547
 160646641857421281143088181114951122148431408871216620593130769
 234180229827246883626045356507913236221596486925870033200744409
 688064046239788178394698378070482686021742719460350750696191658
 224983009606134572666392863592176435340137189204481484648373028
 941252963863440446954353934473733433447707230478215508820964235
 1106900382833900237848230939194834

from determinants of dimension $D \leq 806$. We think that present result is more accurate than the one reported by Trott [2], the discrepancy being in his last 9 figures. In the case of the quartic anharmonic oscillators (6) and (9) it was proved that $E_0^{[D,0]}$ and $E_0^{[D,1]}$ are lower and upper bounds to the actual eigenvalue, respectively [3, 4]. In order to verify the accuracy of present calculation we verified that both bounds agreed to the last digit.

As stated in the introduction, the RPM yields not only the bound states but also the resonances. For example, in the case of the anharmonic oscillator (9) with $\lambda = -0.1$ (inverted double well) we obtain

$\Re E =$ 0.900672904092015024804721689210287758304603316620306983171851
 6924034871463944702496167267900896286882529377637746473257725
 7777502973177491351445744733858777092680397126780146975586991
 7579845522510002054201377209951767663713270344807430710771398
 0087908739856469918617515352140215990178673201060287973953945
 7665858699755629731892792582490816179032126417574633154406875
 6411634377154244333590011870423051096533092590779249514766962
 8533284309302112344770277963917083285621130844172690957306044
 2388660682777957152776169280604258100975307902890897826798321

3678426826574845962017573001105365337069958536171568094454228
5361299988968687820123088536512736472768963293804541994622283
1027030494463591543444008242687831194918572931500609956657810
8882411457777224871083716564437160787964973794652063487913034
212377042606399056515807797185750609934729619

and

$|\Im E|$ = 0.006693280875800130269271875081318241122949894326169673589331
4097282610915605850430183935416396746724362148135740974410006
9700186351017147154410094496471209525956636119425938632579451
9366933621549986695707277857784464014031443123369559867398037
5835418405468882108847886624888017187187133797463636836846120
5368517345681897772416233328036067770330148991244629883789645
5581815166460445660555875185436390373393566787035417128350480
8639418360504495322170748966013843419825115259187606452383097
8320147077328407782186985142956414437484959838166597216101069
5961186324314282177836539138159742843180320299235738874296270
5328614872135008968453119432810683411008857433705994892657399
1903248493789405585502725824263568102961538618496687088553748
0837946534215566858502262251410323283978252816367927891368870
401758500263860266656837355486939353652027140

with determinants of dimension $D \leq 429$. As far as we know this resonance was not calculated with such an accuracy before.

A most interesting example is given by

$$V(x) = x^2 \left(1 - g^{2k} x^{2k}\right)^2, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (11)$$

It is a three-well potential with minima $V(x_m) = 0$ at $x_m = -1/g, 0, 1/g$. Since $V(x)$ behaves asymptotically as $g^{4k} x^{4k+2}$ when $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ then one expects only

bound states with positive eigenvalues when g is real. However, Benassi et al [8] proved that this family of potentials supports complex eigenvalues with all the properties of actual resonances. They calculated the lowest resonance for $k = 1$ and several values of g and compared the imaginary part with the WKB transmission coefficient through the barrier $|\Im E_{WKB}| \sim Ag^{-2}e^{-1/(2g^2)}$. Later Killingbeck [9] and Fernández [6] calculated this resonance more accurately and for smaller values of g and showed that $|\Im E| g^2 e^{1/(2g^2)}$ does not exhibit a uniform behaviour as suggested by the earlier calculation of Benassi et al [8]. In particular, Killingbeck [9] suggested that $|\Im E| g^2 e^{1/(2g^2)}$ exhibits a maximum. In this paper we calculated $\Im E$ even more accurately and for smaller values of g and present results, shown in Fig. 1, suggest that the conjecture that “the imaginary part of the resonance behaves as the WKB transmission coefficient through the barrier” may not be correct. The results of Benassi et al [8] for a shorter interval of g (also shown in the figure) give the impression that $|\Im E|$ has already reached the asymptotic behaviour $Ag^{-2}e^{-1/(2g^2)}$ which is not the case. The figure also shows the earlier results of Killingbeck [9] and Fernández [6]. A straightforward least-squares fitting of present results suggests that the correct behaviour is $|\Im E| \sim Ag^{-3/2}e^{-1/(2g^2)}$.

The lowest bound state E_{bs} and the real part of the lowest resonance $\Re E_{res}$ approach each other as $g \rightarrow 0$ in such a way that $|E_{bs} - \Re E_{res}|$ is of the order of $|\Im E_{res}|$. This fact is clearly shown in Fig. 2.

The RPM enables us to calculate the bound states and resonances quite accurately. In what follows we show some of them for the potential (11) with $k = 1$ and $g = 0.2$.

By means of the RPM for even solutions and from determinants of dimension $D \leq 775$ we have estimated

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{bs} = & 0.932476291964221250713283307051702588320858910 \\
& 16450940452195530440541397037312615064902568796 \\
& 08959269025187554700854590142048116044798515032
\end{aligned}$$

74607576315694596343111670051681020512461078632
25947411410076408768061413506747150659467931140
45773553564796578081916410057605227149530113848
32488596806119963850647806580001262138356174439
92627876704264450003234826765601226526080854117
18883901908126394568677114253841158525832058185
89486604967394261040369468954266459806798388982
94552842394543958516859760723678410546834167750
46265615981244952016961806157227974852224223062
63307833915772881280212070865385935277969972309
73792177447292258928727153726473008063005886824
27244516489544916400797944748255960907744830950
81889615946058064623691858375390646083072560381
93215181635793814371703342844510993772509125579
56334986610268220762863856194297627513825342515
50679107510710529342767883873476401983945574715
11757502147307390721534786949037416819236026830
61521556243352973248410809257466846919735250978
076767512840642337653806458904012731861910502

Analogously for the lowest resonance and from determinants of dimension $D \leq 691$ we obtained:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Re E_{res} = & 0.93255571582477452179676759062168990966452649573413 \\
& 85221674869167644974697878297482889666009195213742 \\
& 93717600069469906629572410914840067688495198625372 \\
& 17985690364409228164242506169354102397596504534361
\end{aligned}$$

60103546722503111068374776347405701051766932138082
 85413600743099773501734379824559724078809846013142
 54466796141742916190093031117808393336341712037560
 74384668770561453730731352495106674855706489027647
 57628951678813837141930823450477006238516389060959
 38361501163923123468457211692027452357327627884344
 99112499793762153016569474982638402568720610449675
 76070472867118837391778386061630035039234021063388
 55589439873306969552123357849387411686488958371712
 88731653433415162889450633825069899826979320533720
 96508988556846872196309982663487618177697150533924
 72202304048467020861359787434424955986376342243023
 12693029687970276633152983867273666099801795397355
 82538737743202827605750205148961320017464691543602
 10909829129113885230560751170580075050547310634884
 89167352701182508545578769602119439587479454959070
 96406141902643986905961993843552992164570091818648
 46232

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\Im E_{res}| = & 0.0000794775543996767650576037789218578987811077421 \\
 & 147849846562298140940287872947528146204563615614090 \\
 & 028774445460294456021141163045800235709638364949663 \\
 & 353905939799131542366777545481790495539496899144485 \\
 & 754062579429110541064567909497805641816263780566675 \\
 & 388233499495422056087561163648183005687447211370677
 \end{aligned}$$

159865123112232223161645886340846246513728783929916
 686176186086127723970824686813236167800836809517307
 934131065936050216138586041138997060636301660413252
 566742473343264345284070048369409457383472779415364
 601962826737820988546159296790667149898273960541718
 035505319649723627339124594304665614834862888093604
 238202929173352801815954037592272451961628205950969
 404764180454049311285199354566868017448385193114605
 883829806930057675979698384480925313088391011486261
 124472043838440003626344405429021299123105595053241
 661288059523168203490179201354209577049949175017998
 930282112536345053702570708768896012135190244497127
 005841328004652182923323394262461014032693652500467
 599419583388579441325365273718235875966252696289277
 9223128751255675814299886872626419368

There are also resonances among the odd solutions that, as far as we know,
 have not been reported so far. For example, from determinants of dimension
 $D \leq 675$ we have estimated

$\Re E =$ 2.61567434444732550869411505135245734080470814119
 8081336401725389930302231715543431391542639715932
 6112732616783541689552149339774924576459116223527
 4031645919333724417808271441248941817228663854017
 1598561805645280654160019475399671189366467557187
 7023231933795457998655216288018422680281312142150
 0900102213105491896812637673117118610977610908627

3339313659663532602566940509690710619685623673577
 4702051685651830157091563631235768241343388226233
 4214966804682833763697032153747063887197465955131
 0578860140998664952511675889072946426592233312325
 6683696960592694142085005517688414623839393719684
 4660149637044379455905460056628569763686110064049
 1982394293180159214983414747793630741582080077825
 7407910054254871310091689731748516412820369579467
 3252836879151952555347108138232897278070434422830
 0626704068364463061804095353910257042206327201629
 1297397160490735553290365416147019439703881706172
 7015605838456405956320547199197731222371367961928
 2365097186125212629398582930268581704082229677155
 6014934576275263959253938748903578577589148738386
 671

and

$|SE| =$ 0.012103006054949689419532092547676879902909061831561
 14606655397483574310015080238580046511006197881958452
 80231225742919968027487497671478032524753374025385051
 78880120535081995629925326152262013101829054124399674
 83243664748674923025125583004541265899351700981055825
 50256507625482608264912298496868223692732502872218110
 91176377769035782652477078300369066474079388696220057
 74215632091529416042979012944093555392893071799032327
 21645370003682922872620954763793543027651537371155188

04371553808569321951667977345154403280617508551577861
 29445926375665988730330512036322261513744864521271324
 70480449317847540296878526243141260350713152579083265
 00685413450993979606171778329687752598902925556571014
 20400501502261841417315902328430591349050070609689513
 88654575917732480890069301725502843827758335258773307
 44338536629042846929583130852297856757568562796662165
 61614392371093267546905111156935850217393851260547165
 10517706462696620628729983577471054074553526126483662
 11910087383972814671824614174682165252936046723308704
 7280261989144813328509313

The rate of convergence of the RPM for the bound states and the resonances may be different. One way of monitoring the rate of convergence is to calculate $\log |E^{[D]} - E^{[D-1]}|$ which is a straight line when the rate of convergence is exponential. In the present case we fit $y(D) = a + bD$ to $\log |E^{[D]} - E^{[D-1]}|$ and obtain $b(g)$ for several values of g . The results for the lowest even bound state and resonance are shown in figure 3. That figure clearly shows that the rate of convergence for the resonance is almost constant whereas it decreases with g for the bound state. As a result it is possible to obtain the resonance for small values of g , say $g < 1$, more accurately by means of determinants of similar and even lesser dimension. However, this advantage is counterbalanced by the fact that the mathematical operations require more CPU time when complex numbers are involved.

In order to carry out the necessary arithmetic of complex numbers with arbitrarily high precision we resorted to the GNU MPC C library [10] and to the recurrence relation:

$$H_D^d = \frac{H_{D-1}^d H_{D-1}^{d+2} - (H_{D-1}^{d+1})^2}{H_{D-2}^{d+2}}, \quad (12)$$

for a fast calculation of the Hankel determinants.

4 Conclusions

Throughout this paper we tried to stress two points. The first one is that the RPM can yield eigenvalues of remarkably accuracy if the algorithm is programmed judiciously. To this end we have calculated the lowest eigenvalues of the oscillators (9) (with $\lambda = 1$) and (10) with greater accuracy than those reported by Gaudreau et al [1] and Trott [2], respectively.

The second point is that only one RPM quantization condition applies to bound states and resonances. To illustrate it we calculated the resonances for two models with great accuracy. One of them is an ordinary resonance embedded in the continuum and other one is some kind of strange resonance appearing in the point spectrum close to the ground state. Present results for the lowest resonance in the discrete spectrum of the three-well potential (11) give support to the conjecture that the analytical WKB formula for the resonance width derived several years ago [8] may not be correct. Present results improve considerably upon those reported earlier by Killingbeck [9] and Fernández [2]. It is not clear to us whether the DESCМ [1] or the power series approach [2] may also be applied to resonances without considerable modification of the calculation algorithms.

It is not our purpose to criticize the DESCМ which is clearly a powerful algorithm as already proved by the remarkable calculations carried out by Gaudreau et al [1] on a wide variety of one-dimensional models. We just wanted to draw attention to some advantages of the RPM that have been overlooked in the discussion of the method carried out by those authors.

References

- [1] Gaudreau, P. J., Slevinsky, R. M., and Safouhi, H., *Computing energy eigenvalues of anharmonic oscillators using the double exponential Sinc collocation method*, Ann. Phys. 360, 2015, p. 520-538.

- [2] Trott, M., *High-Precision Value for the Quartic Anharmonic Oscillator Ground State*. arXiv:quant-ph/0012147.
- [3] Fernández, F. M., Ma, Q., and Tipping, R. H., *Tight upper and lower bounds for energy eigenvalues of the Schrödinger equation*, Phys. Rev. A 39, 1989, p. 1605-1609.
- [4] Fernández, F. M., Ma, Q., and Tipping, R. H., *Eigenvalues of the Schrödinger equation via the Riccati-Padé method*, Phys. Rev. A 40, 1989, p. 6149-6153.
- [5] Fernández, F. M., *Direct Calculation of Accurate Siegert Eigenvalues*, J. Phys. A 28, 1995, p. 4043-4051.
- [6] Fernández, F. M., *The accurate calculation of resonances in multiple-well oscillators*, J. Phys. A 41, 2008, p. 065202.
- [7] Fernández, F. M., *Tunnel resonances for one-dimensional barriers*, Chem. Phys. Lett. 281, 1997, p. 337-342.
- [8] Benassi, L., Graffi, S., and Grecchi, V., *Multiple well anharmonic oscillators and perturbation theory*, Phys. Lett. B 82, 1979, p. 229-232.
- [9] Killingbeck, J. P., *A Hill-series method for resonances*, J. Phys. A 40, 2007, p. 9017-9024.
- [10] Andreas, E., Gastineau, M., Théveny, P., and Zimmerman, P., *A library for multiprecision complex arithmetic with exact rounding*. <http://mpc.multiprecision.org/>.




Figure 1: Present calculation of $|\Im E| g^2 \exp[1/(2g^2)]$ for the oscillator (11) (solid line) and the results of Benassi et al [8] (filled circles), Killingbeck [9] (crosses) and Fernández [6] (empty circles).




Figure 2: $\log |\Im E_{res}|$ (circles) and $\log |\Re E_{res} - E_{bs}|$ (solid line) vs. g for the oscillator (11) with $k = 1$.




Figure 3: Slope $b(g)$ for the lowest even bound state (dashed line) and resonance (solid line) of the oscillator (11).