To appear, Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics

OPTIMAL QUANTIZATION FOR NONUNIFORM CANTOR DISTRIBUTIONS

LAKSHMI ROYCHOWDHURY

arXiv:1512.00379v11 [stat.CO] 19 Nov 2019

Abstract. Let P be a Borel probability measure on $\mathbb{R}$ such that $P = \frac{1}{4}P \circ S_1^{-1} + \frac{3}{4}P \circ S_2^{-1}$, where S_1 and S_2 are two similarity mappings on $\mathbb{R}$ such that $S_1(x) = \frac{1}{4}x$ and $S_2(x) = \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Such a probability measure P has support the Cantor set generated by S_1 and S_2. For this probability measure, in this paper, we give an induction formula to determine the optimal sets of n-means and the nth quantization errors for all $n \geq 2$. We have shown that the same induction formula also works for the Cantor distribution $P := \psi^2 P \circ S_1^{-1} + \psi^4 P \circ S_2^{-1}$ supported by the Cantor set generated by $S_1(x) = \frac{1}{3}x$ and $S_2(x) = \frac{1}{3}x + \frac{2}{3}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, where ψ is the square root of the Golden ratio $\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{5} - 1)$. In addition, we give a counter example to show that the induction formula does not work for all Cantor distributions. Using the induction formula we obtain some results and observations which are also given in this paper.

1. Introduction

Quantization of continuous random signals (or random variables and processes) is an important part of digital representation of analog signals for various coding techniques (e.g., source coding, data compression, archiving, restoration). The oldest example of quantization in statistics is rounding off. Sheppard (see [S]) was the first who analyzed rounding off for estimating densities by histograms. Any real number x can be rounded off (or quantized) to the nearest integer, say $q(x) = [x]$, with a resulting quantization error $e(x) = x - q(x)$, for example, $q(2.14259) = 2$. It means that the restored signal may differ from the original one and some information can be lost. Thus, in quantization of a continuous set of values there is always a distortion (also known as noise or error) between the original set of values and the quantized set of values. One of the main goals in quantization theory is to find a set of quantizers for which the distortion is minimum. For the most comprehensive overview of quantization one can see [GN] (for later references, see [GL]). Over the years several authors estimated the distortion measures for quantizers (see, e.g., [LCG] and [Z]). A class of asymptotically optimal quantizers with respect to an rth-mean error distortion measure is considered in [GL1] (see also [CG,SS1]). A different approach for uniform scalar quantization is developed in [SS2], where the correlation properties of a Gaussian process are exploited to evaluate the asymptotic behavior of the random quantization rate for uniform quantizers. General quantization problems for Gaussian processes in infinite-dimensional functional spaces are considered in [LP]. In estimating weighted integrals of time series with no quadratic mean derivatives, by means of samples at discrete times, it is known that the rate of convergence of mean-square error is reduced from n^{-2} to $n^{-1.5}$ when the samples are quantized (see [BC1]). For smoother time series, with $k = 1, 2, \cdots$ quadratic mean derivatives, the rate of convergence is reduced from n^{-2k-2} to n^{-2} when the samples are quantized, which is a very significant reduction (see [BC2]). The interplay between sampling and quantization is also studied in [BC2], which asymptotically leads to optimal allocation between the number of samples and the number of levels of quantization. Quantization also seems to be a promising tool in recent development in numerical probability (see, e.g., [PPP]).

Let $\mathbb{R}^d$ denote the d-dimensional Euclidean space equipped with a metric $\|\cdot\|$ compatible with the Euclidean topology. Let P be a Borel probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and α be a finite

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60Exx, 28A80, 94A34.

Key words and phrases. Optimal quantizers, quantization error, probability distribution, Cantor set.

This work was done in partial fulfillment of the author's Master's thesis at Texas A&M University under the direction of Professor S.N. Lahiri.

subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then, $\int \min_{a\in\alpha} \|x-a\|^2 dP(x)$ is often referred to as the *cost,* or *distortion error* for α with respect to the probability measure P, and is denoted by $V(P;\alpha)$. Write $\mathcal{D}_n := \{\alpha \subset \mathbb{R}^d : 1 \leq \text{card}(\alpha) \leq n\}$. Then, $\inf\{V(P;\alpha) : \alpha \in \mathcal{D}_n\}$ is called the *nth quantization error* for the probability measure P, and is denoted by $V_n := V_n(P)$. A set α for which the infimum occurs and contains no more than n points is called an *optimal set of n-means*. The set of all optimal sets of n-means for a probability measure P is denoted by $\mathcal{C}_n(P)$. Since $\int \|x\|^2 dP(x) < \infty$ such a set α always exists (see [GKL, GL, GL1]). To know more details about quantization, one is referred to [AW, GG, GL1, GN]. For any finite $\alpha \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, the *Voronoi region* generated by an element $a \in \alpha$ is defined by the set of all elements in $\mathbb{R}^d$ which are closer to a than to any other element in α, and is denoted by $M(a|\alpha)$, i.e.,

$$M(a|\alpha) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x-a\| = \min_{b\in\alpha} \|x-b\|\}.$$

A Borel measurable partition $\{A_a : a \in \alpha\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^d$ is called a *Voronoi partition* of $\mathbb{R}^d$ with respect to α (and P) if P-almost surely $A_a \subset M(a|\alpha)$ for every $a \in \alpha$. Let P be a continuous Borel probability measure on $\mathbb{R}^d$, α be an optimal set of n-means for P, and $a \in \alpha$. Then, it is well-known that (see [GG, GL1]) $M(a|\alpha)$ has positive measure with its boundary has measure zero, a is the conditional expectation of its own Voronoi region, and P-almost surely the set $\{M(a|\alpha) : a \in \alpha\}$ forms a Voronoi partition of $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Let $S_1, S_2 : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be two contractive similarity mappings such that $S_1(x) = s_1 x$ and $S_2(x) = s_2 x + (1-s_2)$, where $0 < s_1, s_2 < 1$ and $s_1 + s_2 < 1$. Let (p_1, p_2) be a probability vector with $p_1, p_2 > 0$. Then, there exists a unique Borel probability measure P on $\mathbb{R}$ such that $P = p_1 P \circ S_1^{-1} + p_2 P \circ S_2^{-1}$, where $P \circ S_i^{-1}$ denotes the image measure of P with respect to S_i for $i = 1, 2$ (see [H]). If $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\sigma := \sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_k \in \{1,2\}^k$, then we call σ a word of length k, and denote it by $|\sigma| := k$. By $\{1,2\}^*$, we denote the set of all words including the empty word $\emptyset$. Notice that the empty word has length zero. We write

$$S_\sigma := S_{\sigma_1} \circ \cdots \circ S_{\sigma_k},\ p_\sigma := p_{\sigma_1} p_{\sigma_2} \cdots p_{\sigma_k},\ s_\sigma := s_{\sigma_1} s_{\sigma_2} \cdots s_{\sigma_k} \text{ and } J_\sigma := S_\sigma([0,1]).$$

Then, the set $C := \bigcap_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{\sigma\in\{1,2\}^k} J_\sigma$ is known as the *Cantor set* generated by the two mappings S_1 and S_2, and equals the support of the probability measure P, where P can be written as

$$P = \sum_{\sigma\in I^k} p_\sigma P \circ S_\sigma^{-1}.$$

P is called a *uniform Cantor distribution* supported by the Cantor set C if $\frac{p_1}{s_1} = \frac{p_2}{s_2}$, otherwise, P is called a *nonuniform Cantor distribution.* When $s_1 = s_2 = \frac{1}{3}$ and $p_1 = p_2 = \frac{1}{2}$, i.e., for the uniform distribution $P = \frac{1}{2}P \circ S_1^{-1} + \frac{1}{2}P \circ S_2^{-1}$ with support the classical Cantor set, Graf and Luschgy determined the optimal sets of n-means and the nth quantization errors for all $n \geq 2$ (see [GL2]). In this paper, we have taken $s_1 = \frac{1}{4}$, $s_2 = \frac{1}{2}$, $p_1 = \frac{1}{4}$ and $p_2 = \frac{3}{4}$, i.e., the probability measure P considered here is nonuniform and satisfies $P = \frac{1}{4}P \circ S_1^{-1} + \frac{3}{4}P \circ S_2^{-1}$, where $S_1(x) = \frac{1}{4}x$ and $S_2(x) = \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. For this probability measure, in this paper, we investigate the optimal sets of n-means and the nth quantization errors for all positive integers n. The arrangement of the paper is as follows: Lemma 2.2, Lemma 3.1, and Lemma 3.3 give the optimal sets of n-means for $n = 1, 2$ and 3. Proposition 3.2, Proposition 3.4, Proposition 3.5, and Proposition 3.6 give some properties about the optimal sets of n-means for all $n \geq 2$. Theorem 3.8 gives the induction formula to determine the optimal sets of n-means and the nth quantization errors for all $n \geq 2$. In Section 4, using the induction formula we obtain some results and observations about the optimal sets of n-means for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In Section 5, we have shown that the same induction formula works for the Cantor distribution $P := \psi^2 P \circ S_1^{-1} + \psi^4 P \circ S_2^{-1}$ supported by the Cantor set generated by $S_1(x) = \frac{1}{3}x$ and $S_2(x) = \frac{1}{3}x + \frac{2}{3}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, where ψ is the square root of the Golden ratio $\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{5}-1)$. In fact, the same induction formula also works for the uniform Cantor distribution considered by Graf-Luschgy (see [GL2]). In Section 6, we give a counter example to show that the induction formula does not work for all

Cantor distributions. Finally, we would like to mention that quantization for uniform Cantor distributions were investigated by several authors, for example, see [GL2,KZ,K1,K2]. But, to the best of our knowledge, the work in this paper is the first advance to investigate the quantization for nonuniform Cantor distributions. The main difference with the uniform and the nonuniform distributions is that for a uniform distribution there is a closed formula for optimal quantizers for n-means for all $n \geq 2$ (see [GL2]), but for the nonuniform distribution, considered in this paper, to obtain the optimal quantizers for n-means for all $n \geq 2$ a closed formula is not known yet.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give the basic preliminaries. $\sigma\tau := \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_k \tau_1 \cdots \tau_\ell$ represents the concatenation of the words $\sigma := \sigma_1\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_k$ and $\tau := \tau_1\tau_2 \cdots \tau_\ell$ in $\{1,2\}^*$. We say that a word σ is a *predecessor* of a word τ, denoted by $\sigma \prec \tau$, if $\tau = \sigma\gamma$ for some word $\gamma \in \{1,2\}^*$. For $\sigma = \sigma_1\sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_k \in \{1,2\}^k$, let us write $c(\sigma) := \#\{i : \sigma_i = 1,\ 1 \leq i \leq k\}$. Then $\{J_\sigma\}_{\sigma\in\{1,2\}^k}$ is the set of 2^k intervals with the length of J_σ equals $\lambda(J_\sigma) := \frac{1}{4^{c(\sigma)}}\frac{1}{2^{k-c(\sigma)}} = \frac{1}{2^{k+c(\sigma)}}$ at the kth level of the Cantor construction, where λ denotes the Lebesgue measure on $[0,1]$. The intervals $J_{\sigma 1}$, $J_{\sigma 2}$ into which J_σ is split up at the $(k+1)$th level are called the *children* of J_σ. Moreover, for any $\sigma \in \{1,2\}^*$, we have $P(J_\sigma) = p_\sigma = \frac{3^{|\sigma|-c(\sigma)}}{4^{|\sigma|}}$, and $\lambda(J_\sigma) = \frac{1}{2^{|\sigma|+c(\sigma)}}$.

Let us now state the following two lemmas. The proofs are routine (see [GL2]).

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be Borel measurable and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\int f dP = \sum_{\sigma\in\{1,2\}^k} p_\sigma \int f \circ S_\sigma dP.$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let X be a real valued random variable with distribution P. Let $E(X)$ represent the expected value and $V := V(X)$ represent the variance of the random variable X. Then,*

$$E(X) = \frac{2}{3} \text{ and } V(X) = \frac{16}{153}.$$

Note 2.3. For any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\int (x - x_0)^2 dP = V(X) + (x_0 - E(X))^2$ yielding the fact that the optimal set of one-mean is the expected value and the corresponding quantization error is the variance V of the random variable X. Since S_1 and S_2 are similarity mappings, we have $E(S_j(X)) = S_j(E(X))$ for $j = 1, 2$ and so, by induction, $E(S_\sigma(X)) = S_\sigma(E(X)) = S_\sigma(\frac{2}{3})$ for $\sigma \in \{1,2\}^k$, $k \geq 1$. For $\sigma \in \{1,2\}^*$ write $a(\sigma) := E(X : X \in J_\sigma)$, and for $\sigma, \tau, \cdots, \gamma \in \{1,2\}^*$ write

$$a(\sigma, \tau, \cdots, \gamma) := E(X : X \in J_\sigma \cup J_\tau \cup \cdots \cup J_\gamma).$$

Then, using Lemma 2.1, we have

$$a(\sigma) = \frac{1}{P(J_\sigma)} \int_{J_\sigma} x dP = \sum_{\tau\in\{1,2\}^k} \int_{J_\sigma} x\, d(P \circ S_\tau^{-1}) = \int_{J_\sigma} x d(P \circ S_\sigma^{-1}) = \int S_\sigma(x) dP = E(S_\sigma(X)),$$

$$\text{and similarly, } a(\sigma,\tau) = \frac{1}{P(J_\sigma \cup J_\tau)}\Big(P(J_\sigma)S_\sigma(\frac{2}{3}) + P(J_\tau)S_\tau(\frac{2}{3})\Big).$$

For any $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma \in \{1,2\}^*$, we have

$$\int_{J_\sigma} (x-a)^2 dP = p_\sigma \int (x-a)^2 d(P \circ S_\sigma^{-1}) = p_\sigma\Big(s_\sigma^2 V + \Big(S_\sigma(\frac{2}{3}) - a\Big)^2\Big). \tag{1}$$

The equation (1) is used to determine the quantization error.

In the next section we determine the optimal sets of n-means and the nth quantization errors V_n for all $n \geq 2$.

3. Optimal sets and the error for all $n \geq 2$

In this section, we first give some lemmas and propositions that we need to deduce the theorem Theorem 3.8 which gives the induction formula to determine the optimal sets of n-means and the nth quantization errors for all $n \geq 2$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\alpha = \{a_1, a_2\}$ be an optimal set of two-means, $a_1 < a_2$. Then, $a_1 = a(1) = S_1(\frac{2}{3})$, $a_2 = a(2) = S_2(\frac{2}{3})$, and the quantization error is $V_2 = \frac{13}{612} = 0.0212418$.*

Proof. Let us first consider a two-point set β given by $\beta := \{a(1), a(2)\}$. Then,

$$\int \min_{b\in\beta}(x-b)^2 dP = \sum_{i=1}^{2}\int_{J_i}(x-a(i))^2 dP = \frac{1}{64}V + \frac{3}{16}V = \frac{13}{612} = 0.0212418.$$

Since V_2 is the quantization error for two-means, we have $V_2 \leq \frac{13}{612} = 0.0212418$. Let $\alpha := \{a_1, a_2\}$ be an optimal set of two-means. Since a_1 and a_2 are the expected values of the random variable X with distribution P in their own Voronoi regions, we have $0 \leq a_1 < a_2 \leq 1$. Suppose that $a_2 \leq \frac{47}{64} < \frac{3}{4} = S_{22}(0)$. Then using (1), we have

$$V_2 = \int \min_{a\in\alpha}(x-a)^2 dP \geq \int_{J_{22}}(x-\frac{47}{64})^2 dP = \frac{24921}{1114112} = 0.0223685 > V_2,$$

which is a contradiction. So, we can assume that $\frac{47}{64} < a_2$. Since $a_1 \geq 0$, we have $\frac{1}{2}(a_1+a_2) \geq \frac{1}{2}(0+\frac{47}{64}) = \frac{47}{128} > \frac{1}{4}$, yielding the fact that the Voronoi region of a_1 may contain points from J_2, but the Voronoi region of a_2 does not contain any point from J_1 implying $E(X : X \in J_1) = a(1) = \frac{1}{6} \leq a_1$ and $E(X : X \in J_2) = \frac{5}{6} \leq a_2$. Notice that if the Voronoi region of a_1 does not contain any point from J_2, then $a_1 = \frac{1}{6}$ and $a_2 = \frac{5}{6}$. If $\frac{15}{32} < a_1$, then

$$V_2 \geq \int_{J_1}(x-\frac{15}{32})^2 dP = \frac{5107}{208896} = 0.0244476 > V_2,$$

which yields a contradiction, and so $\frac{1}{6} \leq a_1 \leq \frac{15}{32}$. We now show that the Voronoi region of a_1 does not contain any point from J_2. Notice that $\frac{1}{6} < a_1 \leq \frac{15}{32}$ and $\frac{5}{6} < a_2 \leq 1$ implying $\frac{1}{2} < \frac{1}{2}(a_1+a_2) \leq \frac{47}{64} < S_{22}(0)$. For the sake of contradiction, assume that the Voronoi region of a_1 contains points from J_2, and so the following two cases can arise:

Case 1. $S_{21}(1) = \frac{5}{8} \leq \frac{1}{2}(a_1+a_2) \leq \frac{47}{64} < S_{22}(0)$.

Then, $a_1 = E(X : X \in J_1 \cup J_{21}) = \frac{29}{84}$ and $a_2 = E(X : X \in J_{22}) = \frac{11}{12}$ implying

$$V_2 = \int_{J_1\cup J_{21}}(x-\frac{29}{84})^2 dP + \int_{J_{22}}(x-\frac{11}{12})^2 dP = \frac{415}{17136} = 0.024218 > V_2,$$

which gives a contradiction.

Case 2. $\frac{1}{2} = S_{21}(0) < \frac{1}{2}(a_1+a_2) < S_{21}(1) = \frac{5}{8}$.

Then, there exists a word $\sigma \in \{1,2\}^*$ such that $S_{2\sigma 1}(1) \leq \frac{1}{2}(a_1+a_2) \leq S_{2\sigma 2}(0)$. For definiteness sake and calculation simplicity, take $\sigma = 1^9 = 111111111$, where for any positive integer k, by 1^k it is meant that the word is obtained from k times concatenation of the symbol 1. Thus, we have $S_{21^9 1}(1) \leq \frac{1}{2}(a_1+a_2) \leq S_{21^9 2}(0)$, and so

$$a_1 = E(X : X \in J_1 \cup J_{21^9 1}) = \frac{549760532483}{3298544320512}, \text{ and}$$
$$a_2 = E(X : X \in \bigcup_{k=1}^{9} J_{21^k 2} \cup J_{22}) = \frac{2621441}{3145728},$$

implying

$$V_2 = \int \min_{a\in\alpha}(x-a)^2 dP = \int_{J_1\cup J_{21^9 1}} (x-a_1)^2 dP + \int_{\bigcup_{k=1}^{9} J_{21^k 2}\cup J_{22}} (x-a_2)^2 dP$$

$$= 0.021241830065359477413 > 0.021241830065359477124 = \frac{13}{612} \geq V_2,$$

which leads to a contradiction. Similarly, we can show that a contradiction arises for any other choice of $\sigma \in \{1,2\}^*$ satisfying $S_{2\sigma 1}(1) \leq \frac{1}{2}(a_1+a_2) \leq S_{2\sigma 2}(0)$. Hence, the Voronoi region of a_1 does not contain any point from J_2 yielding $a_1 \leq a(1) = \frac{1}{6}$. Again, we have seen that $a_1 \geq \frac{1}{6}$. Thus, we deduce that $a_1 = a(1) = \frac{1}{6}$ and $a_2 = a(2) = \frac{5}{6}$, and then the quantization error is $V_2 = \frac{13}{612}$, which is the lemma. □

The technique of the proof of the following proposition is similar to Lemma 4.5 in [GL2].

Proposition 3.2. *Let $n \geq 2$ and let α_n be an optimal set of n-means such that α_n contains points from J_1 and J_2, and α_n does not contain any point from the open interval $(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$. Further, assume that the Voronoi region of any point in $\alpha_n \cap J_1$ does not contain any point from J_2 and the Voronoi region of any point in $\alpha_n \cap J_2$ does not contain any point from J_1. Set $\alpha_1 := \alpha_n \cap J_1$, $\alpha_2 := \alpha_n \cap J_2$, and $j := card(\alpha_1)$. Then, $S_1^{-1}(\alpha_1)$ is an optimal set of j_1-means and $S_2^{-1}(\alpha_2)$ is an optimal set of $(n-j_1)$-means for the probability measure P, and*

$$V_n = \frac{1}{64} V_{j_1} + \frac{3}{16} V_{n-j_1}.$$

We now state and prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\alpha = \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ be an optimal set of three-means such that $a_1 < a_2 < a_3$. Then, $a_1 = a(1) = S_1(\frac{2}{3}) = \frac{1}{6}$, $a_2 = a(21) = S_{21}(\frac{2}{3}) = \frac{7}{12}$, and $a_3 = a(22) = S_{22}(\frac{2}{3}) = \frac{11}{12}$, and $V_3 = \frac{55}{9792} = 0.00561683$.*

Proof. Let us first consider a set of three points given by $\beta := \{a(1), a(21), a(22)\}$. The distortion error due to the set β is given by

$$\int \min_{a\in\beta}(x-a)^2 dP = \int_{J_1} (x-a(1))^2 dP + \int_{J_{21}} (x-a(21))^2 dP + \int_{J_{22}} (x-a(22))^2 dP = \frac{55}{9792}.$$

Since V_3 is the quantization error for three-means, $V_3 \leq \frac{55}{9792} = 0.00561683$. Let $\alpha := \{a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ be an optimal set of three-means. Since the points in an optimal set are the expected values in their own Voronoi regions with respect to the probability distribution P, we have $0 \leq a_1 < a_2 < a_3 \leq 1$. If $a_3 \leq \frac{27}{32} = \frac{1}{2}(S_{221}(1) + S_{222}(0))$, then

$$V_3 \geq \int_{J_{222}} (x - \frac{27}{32})^2 dP = \frac{6939}{1114112} = 0.00622828 > V_3,$$

which is a contradiction. So, we can assume that $\frac{27}{32} < a_3$. If $a_1 > \frac{5}{16}$, then

$$V_3 \geq \int_{J_1} (x - \frac{5}{16})^2 dP = \frac{121}{17408} = 0.00695083 > V_3,$$

which yields a contradiction. If $\frac{1}{4} < a_1 \leq \frac{5}{16}$, then $\frac{1}{2}(a_1+a_2) > \frac{1}{2}$ implying $a_2 > 1 - a_1 \geq 1 - \frac{5}{16} = \frac{11}{16} > \frac{5}{8} = S_{21}(1)$, and so

$$V_3 \geq \int_{J_1} (x - \frac{1}{4})^2 dP + \int_{J_{21}} (x - \frac{11}{16})^2 dP = \frac{1193}{208896} = 0.00571098 > V_3,$$

which leads to a contradiction. Thus, we can assume that $a_1 \leq \frac{1}{4}$. Suppose that $a_2 < \frac{7}{16}$. Then, $S_{21}(1) = \frac{5}{8} < \frac{1}{2}(\frac{7}{16} + a(22)) = \frac{65}{96} < \frac{3}{4} = S_{22}(0)$ implying

$$V_3 \geq \int_{J_{21}} (x - \frac{7}{16})^2 dP + \int_{J_{22}} (x - a(22))^2 dP = \frac{555}{69632} = 0.00797047 > V_3,$$

which is a contradiction. Next, suppose that $\frac{7}{16} \leq a_2 < \frac{1}{2}$. Then, $\frac{1}{2}(a_1 + a_2) < \frac{1}{4}$ implying $a_1 < \frac{1}{2} - a_2 \leq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{7}{16} = \frac{1}{16}$. Moreover, $S_{21}(1) < \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2} + a(22)) = \frac{17}{24} < S_{22}(0)$, and so

$$V_3 \geq \int_{J_{12}} (x - \frac{1}{16})^2 dP + \int_{J_{21}} (x - \frac{1}{2})^2 dP + \int_{J_{22}} (x - a(22))^2 dP = \frac{667}{69632} = 0.00957893 > V_3,$$

which leads to a contradiction. Therefore, we can assume that $\frac{1}{2} \leq a_2$, and this implies that the Voronoi region of a_2 does not contain any point from J_1. Suppose that the Voronoi region of a_1 contains points from J_2. Then, $\frac{1}{2}(a_1 + a_2) > \frac{1}{2}$, and so $a_2 > 1 - a_1 \geq 1 - \frac{1}{4} = \frac{3}{4} > S_{21}(1)$ implying

$$V_3 \geq \int_{J_1} (x - a(1))^2 dP + \int_{J_{21}} (x - \frac{3}{4})^2 dP = \frac{35}{4896} = 0.00714869 > V_3,$$

which gives a contradiction. So, we can assume that the Voronoi region of a_1 does not contain any point from J_2. This implies that $a_1 = a(1) = \frac{1}{6}$. Hence, by Proposition 3.2, $S_2^{-1}(\alpha_2)$ is an optimal set of two-means, which by Lemma 3.1, implies that $S_2^{-1}(\alpha_2) = \{a(1), a(2)\}$ yielding $\alpha_2 = \{a(21), a(22)\}$. Hence, $\alpha = \{a(1), a(21), a(22)\}$ is an optimal set of three-means and the corresponding quantization error is given by $V_3 = \frac{55}{9792} = 0.00561683$. Thus, the proof of the lemma is complete. □

Proposition 3.4. *Let α_n be an optimal set of n-means for $n \geq 2$. Then,*

$$\alpha_n \cap J_1 \neq \emptyset \text{ and } \alpha_n \cap J_2 \neq \emptyset.$$

Moreover, the Voronoi region of any point in $\alpha_n \cap J_1$ does not contain any point from J_2, and the Voronoi region of any point in $\alpha_n \cap J_2$ does not contain any point from J_1.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.3, the proposition is true for $n = 2$ and $n = 3$. We now prove that the proposition is true for $n \geq 4$. Let $\alpha_n := \{0 \leq a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n \leq 1\}$ be an optimal set of n-means for $n \geq 4$. Consider the set of four points given by $\beta := \{a(1), a(21), a(221), a(222)\}$. Then,

$$\int \min_{a \in \beta}(x - a)^2 dP = \int_{J_1} (x - a(1))^2 dP + \int_{J_{21}} (x - a(21))^2 dP + \int_{J_{221}} (x - a(221))^2 dP + \int_{J_{222}} (x - a(222)^2 dP = \frac{421}{156672} = 0.00268714.$$

Since V_n is the quantization error for n-means for $n \geq 4$, we have $V_n \leq V_4 \leq 0.00268714$. If $a_1 \geq \frac{1}{4}$, then

$$V_n \geq \int_{J_1} (x - \frac{1}{4})^2 dP = \frac{11}{3264} = 0.0033701 > V_n,$$

which leads to a contradiction. So, we can assume that $a_1 < \frac{1}{4}$. If $a_n \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$V_n \geq \int_{J_2} (x - \frac{1}{2})^2 dP = \frac{7}{68} = 0.102941 > V_n,$$

which yields a contradiction, and so $\frac{1}{2} < a_n$. This completes the proof of the first part of the proposition. To complete the proof of the proposition, let $j := \max\{i : a_i \leq \frac{1}{4}\}$. Then, $a_j \leq \frac{1}{4}$. Suppose that the Voronoi region of a_j contains points from J_2. Then, $\frac{1}{2}(a_j + a_{j+1}) > \frac{1}{2}$ implying $a_{j+1} > 1 - a_j \geq 1 - \frac{1}{4} = \frac{3}{4} = S_{22}(0)$, and so

$$V_n \geq \int_{J_{21}} \min_{a \in \alpha_n}(x-a)^2 dP = \int_{J_{21}} \min_{a \in \{a_j, a_{j+1}\}}(x-a)^2 dP \geq \int_{J_{21}} (x - \frac{3}{4})^2 dP = \frac{3}{544} = 0.00551471 > V_n,$$

which is a contradiction. Next, let $k = \min\{i : a_i \geq \frac{1}{2}\}$ implying $\frac{1}{2} \leq a_k$. Assume that the Voronoi region of a_k contains points from J_1. Then, $\frac{1}{2}(a_{k-1} + a_k) < \frac{1}{4}$ implying $a_{k-1} < \frac{1}{2} - a_k \leq \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} = 0$, which is a contradiction as $0 \leq a_1 \leq a_2 < \cdots < a_n \leq 1$. Thus, the proof of the proposition is complete. □

Let us now state the following proposition. Due to technicality, we do not show the proof of it in the paper.

Proposition 3.5. *Let α_n be an optimal set of n-means for any $n \geq 2$. Then, α_n does not contain any point from the open interval $(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2})$.*

The following proposition plays an important role in the paper.

Proposition 3.6. *Let α_n be an optimal set of n-means for $n \geq 2$. Then, for $c \in \alpha_n$, we have $c = a(\tau)$ for some $\tau \in \{1,2\}^*$.*

Proof. Let α_n be an optimal set of n-means for $n \geq 2$ and $c \in \alpha_n$. By Proposition 3.4, and Proposition 3.5, we see that either $c \in \alpha_n \cap J_1$ or $c \in \alpha_n \cap J_2$. Without any loss of generality, we can assume that $c \in \alpha_n \cap J_1$. If $\text{card}(\alpha_n \cap J_1) = 1$, then by Proposition 3.2, $S_1^{-1}(\alpha_n \cap J_1)$ is an optimal set of one-mean yielding $c = S_1(\frac{2}{3}) = a(1)$. Assume that $\text{card}(\alpha_n \cap J_1) \geq 2$. Then, as similarity mappings preserve the ratio of the distances of a point from any other two points, using Proposition 3.2 again, we have $(\alpha_n \cap J_1) \cap J_{11} = \alpha_n \cap J_{11} \neq \emptyset$ and $(\alpha_n \cap J_1) \cap J_{12} = \alpha_n \cap J_{12} \neq \emptyset$, and $\alpha_n \cap J_1$ does not contain any point from the open interval $(S_{11}(1), S_{12}(0))$ yielding the fact that $c \in (\alpha_n \cap J_{11}) \cup (\alpha_n \cap J_{12})$. Without any loss of generality, assume that $c \in \alpha_n \cap J_{11}$. If $\text{card}(\alpha_n \cap J_{11}) = 1$, by Proposition 3.2 as before, we see that $S_{11}^{-1}(\alpha_n \cap J_{11})$ is an optimal set of one-mean implying $c = S_{11}(\frac{2}{3})$. If $\text{card}(\alpha_n \cap J_{11}) \geq 2$, then proceeding inductively in the similar way, we can find a word $\tau \in \{1,2\}^*$ with $11 \prec \tau$, such that $c \in \alpha_n \cap J_\tau$ and $\text{card}(\alpha_n \cap J_\tau) = 1$, and then $S_\tau^{-1}(\alpha_n \cap J_\tau)$ being an optimal set of one-mean for P, we have $c = S_\tau(\frac{2}{3}) = a(\tau)$. Thus, the proof of the proposition is yielded. □

We need the following lemma to prove the main theorem Theorem 3.8.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\sigma, \tau \in \{1,2\}^*$. Then*

$$P(J_{\sigma 1})(\lambda(J_{\sigma 1}))^2 + P(J_{\sigma 2})(\lambda(J_{\sigma 2}))^2 + P(J_\tau)(\lambda(J_\tau))^2 < P(J_\sigma)(\lambda(J_\sigma))^2 + P(J_{\tau 1})(\lambda(J_{\tau 1}))^2 + P(J_{\tau 2})(\lambda(J_{\tau 2}))^2$$

if and only if $P(J_\sigma)(\lambda(J_\sigma))^2 > P(J_\tau)(\lambda(J_\tau))^2$.

Proof. For any $\eta \in \{1,2\}^*$, we have $P(J_{\eta 1}) = \frac{1}{4}P(J_\eta)$, $P(J_{\eta 2}) = \frac{3}{4}P(J_\eta)$, $\lambda(J_{\eta 1}) = \frac{1}{4}\lambda(J_\eta)$, and $\lambda(J_{\eta 2}) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda(J_\eta)$. Then, for $\sigma, \tau \in \{1,2\}^*$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big(P(J_{\sigma 1})(\lambda(J_{\sigma 1}))^2 + P(J_{\sigma 2})(\lambda(J_{\sigma 2}))^2 + P(J_\tau)(\lambda(J_\tau))^2\big) \\
&\qquad - \big(P(J_\sigma)(\lambda(J_\sigma))^2 + P(J_{\tau 1})(\lambda(J_{\tau 1}))^2 + P(J_{\tau 2})(\lambda(J_{\tau 2}))^2\big) \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{64}P(J_\sigma)(\lambda(J_\sigma))^2 + \frac{3}{16}P(J_\sigma)(\lambda(J_\sigma))^2 + P(J_\tau)(\lambda(J_\tau))^2\right) \\
&\qquad - \left(P(J_\sigma)(\lambda(J_\sigma))^2 + \frac{1}{64}P(J_\tau)(\lambda(J_\tau))^2 + \frac{3}{16}P(J_\tau)(\lambda(J_\tau))^2\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{64}\left(P(J_\sigma)(\lambda(J_\sigma))^2 - P(J_\tau)(\lambda(J_\tau))^2\right) + \frac{3}{16}\left(P(J_\sigma)(\lambda(J_\sigma))^2 - P(J_\tau)(\lambda(J_\tau))^2\right) \\
&\qquad - \left(P(J_\sigma)(\lambda(J_\sigma))^2 - P(J_\tau)(\lambda(J_\tau))^2\right) \\
&= -\frac{51}{64}\left(P(J_\sigma)(\lambda(J_\sigma))^2 - P(J_\tau)(\lambda(J_\tau))^2\right),
\end{aligned}$$

and thus, the lemma follows. □

Due to Proposition 3.6 and Lemma 3.7, we are now ready to state and prove the following theorem, which gives the induction formula to determine the optimal sets of n-means and the nth quantization errors for all $n \geq 2$.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \geq 2$. Let α_n be an optimal set of n-means, i.e., $\alpha_n \in \mathcal{C}_n := \mathcal{C}_n(P)$. Set $O_n(\alpha_n) := \{\sigma \in \{1,2\}^* : S_\sigma(\frac{2}{3}) \in \alpha_n\}$, and*

$$\hat{O}_n(\alpha_n) := \{\tau \in O_n(\alpha_n) : P(J_\tau)\left(\lambda(J_\tau)\right)^2 \geq P(J_\sigma)\left(\lambda(J_\sigma)\right)^2 \text{ for all } \sigma \in O_n(\alpha_n)\}.$$

Take any $\tau \in \hat{O}_n(\alpha_n)$. Then, $\alpha_{n+1}(\tau) := \{S_\sigma(\frac{2}{3}) : \sigma \in (O_n(\alpha_n) \setminus \{\tau\})\} \cup \{S_{\tau 1}(\frac{2}{3}), S_{\tau 2}(\frac{2}{3})\}$ is an optimal set of $(n+1)$-means for P, and the number of such sets is given by

$$card\Big(\bigcup_{\alpha_n \in \mathcal{C}_n} \{\alpha_{n+1}(\tau) : \tau \in \hat{O}_n(\alpha_n)\}\Big).$$

Moreover, the nth quantization error is given by

$$V_n = \sum_{\sigma \in O_n(\alpha_n)} P(J_\sigma)(\lambda(J_\sigma))^2\, V = \sum_{\sigma \in O_n} \frac{3^{|\sigma|-c(\sigma)}}{2^{4|\sigma|+2c(\sigma)}}\, V.$$

Proof. For $n \geq 2$, let α_n be an optimal set of n-means for P. Then, α_{n+1} is an optimal set of $(n+1)$-means. Let $\text{card}(\alpha_{n+1} \cap J_1) = n_1$ and $\text{card}(\alpha_{n+1} \cap J_2) = n_2$. Then, by Proposition 3.2, Proposition 3.4, and Proposition 3.5, $S_1^{-1}(\alpha_{n+1} \cap J_1)$ is an optimal set of n_1-means implying $\alpha_{n+1} \cap J_1 = S_1(\alpha_{n_1})$. Similarly, $\alpha_{n+1} \cap J_2 = S_2(\alpha_{n_2})$. Thus, we see that $\alpha_{n+1} = S_1(\alpha_{n_1}) \cup S_2(\alpha_{n_2})$. Again, by Proposition 3.6, we see that for any $c \in \alpha_n$, $c = a(\sigma)$ for some $\sigma \in \{1,2\}^*$. Hence, we can conclude that

$$\alpha_{n+1} \subset \cup\{J_\sigma : a(\sigma) \in \alpha_n\} \subset \cup\{J_\sigma : a(\sigma) \in \alpha_{n-1}\} \subset \cdots \subset J_1 \cup J_{21} \cup J_{22} \subset J_1 \cup J_2. \tag{2}$$

Write $O_n(\alpha_n) := \{\sigma \in \{1,2\}^* : S_\sigma(\frac{2}{3}) \in \alpha_n\}$, and $\hat{O}_n(\alpha_n) := \{\tau \in O_n(\alpha_n) : P(J_\tau)\left(\lambda(J_\tau)\right)^2 \geq P(J_\sigma)\left(\lambda(J_\sigma)\right)^2$ for all $\sigma \in O_n(\alpha_n)\}$. If $\tau \notin \hat{O}_n(\alpha_n)$, i.e., if $\tau \in O_n(\alpha_n) \setminus \hat{O}_n(\alpha_n)$, then by Lemma 3.7, the error

$$\int \min_{\sigma \in (O_n(\alpha_n)\setminus\{\tau\}) \cup \{\tau 1, \tau 2\}} (x - S_\sigma(\frac{2}{3}))^2 dP$$

obtained in this case is strictly greater than the corresponding error obtained in the case where $\tau \in \hat{O}_n(\alpha_n)$. Hence, by the relation (2), we can say that for any $\tau \in \hat{O}_n(\alpha_n)$, the set $\alpha_{n+1}(\tau) = \{S_\sigma(\frac{2}{3}) : \sigma \in (O_n(\alpha_n) \setminus \{\tau\})\} \cup \{S_{\tau 1}(\frac{2}{3}), S_{\tau 2}(\frac{2}{3})\}$ is an optimal set of $(n+1)$-means for P, and the number of such sets equals $\text{card}\Big(\bigcup_{\alpha_n \in \mathcal{C}_n(P)} \{\alpha_{n+1}(\tau) : \tau \in \hat{O}_n(\alpha_n)\}\Big)$. Moreover, the nth quantization error is given by

$$\begin{aligned} V_n &= \int \min_{\sigma \in O_n(\alpha_n)} \Big(x - S_\sigma(\frac{2}{3})\Big)^2 dP = \sum_{\sigma \in O_n(\alpha_n)} \int_{J_\sigma} \Big(x - S_\sigma(\frac{2}{3})\Big)^2 dP \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in O_n(\alpha_n)} P(J_\sigma)(\lambda(J_\sigma))^2\, V = \sum_{\sigma \in O_n(\alpha_n)} \frac{3^{|\sigma|-c(\sigma)}}{4^{|\sigma|}} \Big(\frac{1}{2^{|\sigma|+c(\sigma)}}\Big)^2 V = \sum_{\sigma \in O_n(\alpha_n)} \frac{3^{|\sigma|-c(\sigma)}}{2^{4|\sigma|+2c(\sigma)}}\, V. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the proof of the theorem is complete. □

Remark 3.9. For the probability distribution supported by the nonuniform Cantor distribution, considered in this paper, to obtain an optimal set of $(n+1)$-means one needs to know an optimal set of n-means. A closed formula is not known yet. Further investigation in this direction is still awaiting.

Using the induction formula given by Theorem 3.8, we obtain some results and observations about the optimal sets of n-means, which are given in the following section.




Figure 1 : Tree diagram of the optimal sets from α_8 to α_{20}

4. Some results and observations

Let α_n be an optimal set of n-means, i.e., $\alpha_n \in \mathcal{C}_n$, and then for any $a \in \alpha_n$, we have $a := a(\sigma) = S_\sigma(\frac{2}{3})$ for some $\sigma := \sigma_1\sigma_2\cdots\sigma_k \in \{1,2\}^k$, $k \geq 1$. Moreover, a is the conditional expectation of the random variable X given that X is in J_σ, i.e., $a = S_\sigma(\frac{2}{3}) = E(X : X \in J_\sigma)$. If $\text{card}(\mathcal{C}_n) = k$ and $\text{card}(\mathcal{C}_{n+1}) = m$, then either $1 \leq k \leq m$, or $1 \leq m \leq k$ (see Table 1). Moreover, by Theorem 3.8, we see that an optimal set at stage n can generate multiple distinct optimal sets at stage $n+1$, and multiple distinct optimal sets at stage n can produce one common optimal set at stage $n+1$; for example from Table 1, we see that the number of $\alpha_9 = 1$, the number of $\alpha_{10} = 3$, the number of $\alpha_{11} = 3$, and the number of $\alpha_{12} = 1$. By $\alpha_{n,i} \to \alpha_{n+1,j}$, it is meant that the optimal set $\alpha_{n+1,j}$ at stage $n+1$ is produced from the optimal set $\alpha_{n,i}$ at stage n, similar is the meaning for the notations $\alpha_n \to \alpha_{n+1,j}$, or $\alpha_{n,i} \to \alpha_{n+1}$, for example from

n	$\text{card}(\mathcal{C}_n)$	n	$\text{card}(\mathcal{C}_n)$	n	$\text{card}(\mathcal{C}_n)$	n	$\text{card}(\mathcal{C}_n)$	n	$\text{card}(\mathcal{C}_n)$	n	$\text{card}(\mathcal{C}_n)$
5	1	18	1	31	15	44	120	57	7	70	6435
6	1	19	3	32	6	45	210	58	21	71	6435
7	2	20	3	33	1	46	252	59	35	72	5005
8	1	21	1	34	1	47	210	60	35	73	3003
9	1	22	1	35	1	48	120	61	21	74	1365
10	3	23	5	36	6	49	45	62	7	75	455
11	3	24	10	37	15	50	10	63	1	76	105
12	1	25	10	38	20	51	1	64	15	77	15
13	1	26	5	39	15	52	1	65	105	78	1
14	1	27	1	40	6	53	4	66	455	79	1
15	4	28	6	41	1	54	6	67	1365	80	10
16	6	29	15	42	10	55	4	68	3003	81	45
17	4	30	20	43	45	56	1	69	5005	82	120

TABLE 1. Number of α_n in the range $5 \leq n \leq 82$.

Figure 1:

$\{\alpha_9 \to \alpha_{10,1}, \alpha_9 \to \alpha_{10,2}, \alpha_9 \to \alpha_{10,3}\}$,

$\{\{\alpha_{10,1} \to \alpha_{11,1}, \alpha_{10,1} \to \alpha_{11,2}\}, \{\alpha_{10,2} \to \alpha_{11,1}, \alpha_{10,2} \to \alpha_{11,3}\}, \{\alpha_{10,3} \to \alpha_{11,2}, \alpha_{10,3} \to \alpha_{11,3}\}\}$,

$\{\alpha_{11,1} \to \alpha_{12}, \alpha_{11,2} \to \alpha_{12}, \alpha_{11,3} \to \alpha_{12}\}$.

Moreover, we see that

$$\alpha_9 = \{a(11), a(121), a(122), a(211), a(212), a(221), a(2221), a(22221), a(22222)\}$$
$$\text{with } V_9 = \frac{9805}{40108032} = 0.000244465;$$
$$\alpha_{10,1} = \{a(11), a(121), a(122), a(211), a(212), a(2211), a(2212), a(2221), a(22221), a(22222)\};$$
$$\alpha_{10,2} = \{a(11), a(121), a(122), a(211), a(221), a(2121), a(2122), a(2221), a(22221), a(22222)\};$$
$$\alpha_{10,3} = \{a(11), a(121), a(211), a(212), a(221), a(1221), a(1222), a(2221), a(22221), a(22222)\}$$
$$\text{with } V_{10} = \frac{7969}{40108032} = 0.000198688;$$
$$\alpha_{11,1} = \{a(11), a(121), a(122), a(211), a(2121), a(2122), a(2211), a(2212), a(2221), a(22221), a(22222)\};$$
$$\alpha_{11,2} = \{a(11), a(121), a(211), a(212), a(1221), a(1222), a(2211), a(2212), a(2221), a(22221), a(22222)\};$$
$$\alpha_{11,3} = \{a(11), a(121), a(211), a(221), a(1221), a(1222), a(2121), a(2122), a(2221), a(22221), a(22222)\} \text{ with } V_{11} = \frac{6133}{40108032} = 0.000152912;$$
$$\alpha_{12} = \{a(11), a(121), a(211), a(1221), a(1222), a(2121), a(2122), a(2211), a(2212), a(2221), a(22221), a(22222)\} \text{ with } V_{12} = \frac{4297}{40108032} = 0.000107136;$$
$$\alpha_{13} = \{a(111), a(112), a(121), a(211), a(1221), a(1222), a(2121), a(2122), a(2211), a(2212), a(2221), a(22221), a(22222)\}$$
$$\text{with } V_{13} = \frac{3481}{40108032} = 0.0000867906;$$

and so on.

5. Quantizers for nonuniform Cantor distributions with Golden ratio

Let ψ be the square root of the Golden ratio $\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{5}-1)$, i.e., $\psi = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{5}-1)}$. Then, $\psi^2+\psi^4 = 1$. In this section, take $P := \psi^2 P\circ S_1^{-1}+\psi^4 P\circ S_2^{-1}$ and $S_1(x) = \frac{1}{3}x$, and $S_2(x) = \frac{1}{3}x+\frac{2}{3}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, P is a nonuniform Cantor distribution supported by the classical Cantor set C generated by S_1 and S_2 associated with the probability vector (ψ^2, ψ^4). For this probability measure in this section, we investigate the optimal sets of n-means and the nth quantization errors for all $n \geq 2$.

Proceeding in the similar way as Lemma 2.2, the following lemma can be proved.

Lemma 5.1. *Let X be a real valued random variable with distribution P. Let $E(X)$ represent the expected value and $V := V(X)$ represent the variance of the random variable X. Then,*

$$E(X) = \psi^4 \text{ and } V(X) = \frac{1}{2}(\psi^2 - \psi^4).$$

The following note is similar to Note 2.3.

Note 5.2. In this section, we use the same notations as defined in Section 2 just by substituting $s_1 = s_2 = \frac{1}{3}$, $p_1 = \psi^2$ and $p_2 = \psi^4$. Then, for $\sigma, \tau \in \{1,2\}^*$, we have

$$a(\sigma) = S_\sigma(\psi^4) \text{ and } a(\sigma,\tau) = \frac{1}{P(J_\sigma \cup J_\tau)}\Big(P(J_\sigma)S_\sigma(\psi^4) + P(J_\tau)S_\tau(\psi^4)\Big).$$

For any $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma \in \{1,2\}^*$, we have

$$\int_{J_\sigma}(x-a)^2 dP = p_\sigma \int (x-a)^2 d(P\circ S_\sigma^{-1}) = p_\sigma\Big(s_\sigma^2 V + \Big(S_\sigma(\psi^4) - a\Big)^2\Big). \tag{3}$$

Let us now state the following lemmas and propositions, the technique of the proofs are similar to the similar lemmas and propositions in Section 3.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\alpha = \{a_1, a_2\}$ be an optimal set of two-means, $a_1 < a_2$. Then, $a_1 = a(1) = S_1(\psi^4)$, $a_2 = a(2) = S_2(\psi^4)$, and the quantization error is $V_2 = \frac{1}{9}V$.*

Proposition 5.4. *Let α_n be an optimal set of n-means for $n \geq 2$. Then, $\alpha_n \cap J_1 \neq \emptyset$, $\alpha_n \cap J_2 \neq \emptyset$, and α_n does not contain any point from the open interval $(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3})$.*

Proposition 5.5. *Let α_n be an optimal set of n-means for $n \geq 2$. Set $\alpha_1 := \alpha_n \cap J_1$, $\alpha_2 := \alpha_n \cap J_2$, and $j := card(\alpha_1)$. Then, $S_1^{-1}(\alpha_1)$ is an optimal set of j_1-means and $S_2^{-1}(\alpha_2)$ is an optimal set of $(n-j_1)$-means for the probability measure P, and*

$$V_n = \frac{1}{9}\Big(\psi^2 V_{j_1} + \psi^4 V_{n-j_1}\Big).$$

Lemma 5.6. *Let α be an optimal set of three-means. Then, $\alpha = \{a(11), a(12), a(2)\}$, and the corresponding quantization error is $V_3 = \frac{1}{9^2}(1+8\psi^4)V$.*

Remark 5.7. For the uniform Cantor distribution considered by Graf-Luschgy in [GL2], there exist two different optimal sets of three-means. But, for the nonuniform Cantor distribution considered in this section, the optimal set of three-means is unique.

For $\sigma \in \{1,2\}^*$, set $E(\sigma) := \int_{J_\sigma}(x-a(\sigma))^2 dP$. Then, $E(\sigma)$ represent the distortion error due to the point $a(\sigma)$ in its own Voronoi region. Let $\lambda(J_\sigma)$ and $c(\sigma)$ be defined as in Section 2. Then,

$$E(\sigma) = P(J_\sigma)\lambda(J_\sigma)^2 V = \frac{1}{9^{|\sigma|}}(\psi^2)^{c(\sigma)}(\psi^4)^{|\sigma|-c(\sigma)}V = \frac{1}{9^{|\sigma|}}\psi^{4|\sigma|-2c(\sigma)}V. \tag{4}$$

We now prove the following lemma.




Figure 2 : Tree diagram of the optimal sets from α_5 to α_{22}

Lemma 5.8. *Let $\sigma, \tau \in \{1,2\}^*$. Then, $E(\sigma 1) + E(\sigma 2) + E(\tau) < E(\sigma) + E(\tau 1) + E(\tau 2)$ if and only if $E(\sigma) > E(\tau)$; and $E(\sigma 1) + E(\sigma 2) + E(\tau) = E(\sigma) + E(\tau 1) + E(\tau 2)$ if and only if $E(\sigma) = E(\tau)$.*

Proof. By (4), we have $E(\sigma 1) = \frac{1}{9}\frac{1}{9^{|\sigma|}}\psi^{4|\sigma|-2c(\sigma)-2}V = \frac{1}{9}\frac{1}{\psi^2}E(\sigma)$, and similarly, $E(\sigma 2) = \frac{1}{9}E(\sigma)$, $E(\tau 1) = \frac{1}{9}\frac{1}{\psi^2}E(\tau)$, and $E(\tau 2) = \frac{1}{9}E(\tau)$. Thus,

$$(E(\sigma 1) + E(\sigma 2) + E(\tau)) - (E(\sigma) + E(\tau 1) + E(\tau 2)) = \frac{1-8\psi^2}{9\psi^2}(E(\sigma) - E(\tau)).$$

Since $\frac{1-8\psi^2}{9\psi^2} < 0$, the lemma is yielded. □

Proposition 5.9. *Theorem 3.8 gives the induction formula to determine the optimal sets of n-means and the nth quantization errors for the probability measure $P = \psi^2 P \circ S_1^{-1} + \psi^4 P \circ S_2^{-1}$ for all $n \geq 2$.*

Proof. Notice that Proposition 3.6, and Lemma 3.7 are also true for the probability measure $P = \psi^2 P \circ S_1^{-1} + \psi^4 P \circ S_2^{-1}$ considered in this section. Thus, if α_n is an optimal set of n-means for some $n \geq 2$, then for $a(\sigma), a(\tau) \in \alpha_n$, by Lemma 5.8, if $E(\sigma) > E(\tau)$, we have $E(\sigma 1) + E(\sigma 2) + E(\tau) < E(\sigma) + E(\tau 1) + E(\tau 2)$, and if $E(\sigma) = E(\tau)$, we have $E(\sigma 1) + E(\sigma 2) + E(\tau) = E(\sigma) + E(\tau 1) + E(\tau 2)$. Hence, the induction formula given by Theorem 3.8 also determines the optimal sets of n-means and the nth quantization errors for the probability measure $P = \psi^2 P \circ S_1^{-1} + \psi^4 P \circ S_2^{-1}$ for all $n \geq 2$. □

Remark 5.10. Using the induction formula, and the similar notations as described in Section 4, we obtain a tree diagram of the optimal sets of n-means for $5 \leq n \leq 22$ for the probability distribution $P = \psi^2 P \circ S_1^{-1} + \psi^4 P \circ S_2^{-1}$, which is given by Figure 2. The induction formula also works for the Cantor distribution considered by Graf-Luschgy (see [GL2]). To obtain the optimal sets of n-means for all $n \geq 2$ such an induction formula for any Cantor distribution does not always work. In the next section, we give a counter example in this direction.

6. The induction formula does not work for all Cantor distributions

In this section, we consider the Cantor distribution $P = \frac{1}{2} P \circ S_1^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} P \circ S_2^{-1}$, which has support the Cantor set generated by the two contractive similarity mappings given by $S_1(x) = \frac{7}{16}x$ and $S_2(x) = \frac{7}{16}x + \frac{9}{16}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. We keep the same notations as given in the previous sections. Let X be the random variable with distribution P, then we have $E(X) = \frac{1}{2}$ and $V := V(X) = \frac{9}{92}$. Let us now state the following lemma. The proof is not difficult to see.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $P = \frac{1}{2} P \circ S_1^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} P \circ S_2^{-1}$. Then, the set $\{a_1, a_2\}$, where $a_1 = a(1) = S_1(\frac{1}{2})$ and $a_2 = a(2) = S_2(\frac{1}{2})$, forms an optimal set of two-means with quantization error $V_2 = \frac{441}{23552}$.*

Let us now give the following lemma.

Lemma 6.2. *The set $\{a(11), a(12), a(2)\}$ does not form an optimal set of three-means for the probability distribution P given in this section.*

Proof. Let $V_{3,1}$ be the distortion error due to the set $\{a(11), a(12), a(2)\}$. Then,

$$V_{3,1} = \int_{J_{11}} (x - a(11))^2 dP + \int_{J_{12}} (x - a(12))^2 dP + \int_{J_2} (x - a(2))^2 dP = \frac{134505}{12058624} = 0.0111543.$$

We have $a(11, 121, 1221) = E(X : X \in J_{11} \cup J_{121} \cup J_{1221}) = \frac{24919}{131072} = 0.190117$. Similarly, $a(1222, 21) = \frac{400031}{655360} = 0.610399$, and $a(22) = \frac{463}{512} = 0.904297$. Notice that

$$S_{1221}(1) = 0.390396 < \frac{1}{2}(a(11, 121, 1221) + a(1222, 21)) = 0.400258 < S_{1222}(0) = 0.400864,$$

$$S_{21}(1) = 0.753906 < \frac{1}{2}(a(1222, 21) + a(22)) = 0.757348 < S_{22}(0) = 0.808594.$$

Thus, P-almost surely the set $\{a(11, 121, 1221), a(1222, 21), a(22)\}$ forms a Voronoi partition of the Cantor set C generated by S_1 and S_2. Moreover, $a(11, 121, 1221)$, $a(1222, 21)$ and $a(22)$ are the expected values of the random variable X in their own Voronoi regions. Let $V_{3,2}$ be the distortion error due to the set $\{a(11, 121, 1221), a(1222, 21), a(22)\}$ with respect to the probability distribution P. Then,

$$V_{3,2} = \int_{J_{11} \cup J_{121} \cup J_{1221}} (x - \frac{24919}{131072})^2 dP + \int_{J_{1222} \cup J_{21}} (x - \frac{400031}{655360})^2 dP + \int_{J_{22}} (x - \frac{463}{512})^2 dP,$$

implying $V_{3,2} = \frac{88046975853}{7902739824640} = 0.0111413$. Since $V_{3,2} < V_{3,1}$, the set $\{a(11), a(12), a(2)\}$ does not form an optimal set of three-means, which completes the proof of the lemma. □

We now prove the following proposition, which is the main result in this section.

Proposition 6.3. *Let P be the cantor distribution considered in this section. Then, the induction formula given by Theorem 3.8 does not give the optimal sets of n-means for all $n \geq 2$.*

Proof. For the sake of contradiction, assume that the induction formula given by Theorem 3.8 gives the optimal sets of n-means for all $n \geq 2$. By Lemma 6.1, the set $\{a(1), a(2)\}$ forms an optimal set of two-means for the Cantor distribution P. Using the notations given in Theorem 3.8, we have $O_2(\alpha_2) = \hat{O}_2(\alpha_2) = \{1, 2\}$. Take $\tau = 1$. Then, by Theorem 3.8, the set $\alpha_3(\tau) = \{a(11), a(12), a(2)\}$ is an optimal set of three-means for the probability distribution P, which by Lemma 6.2 gives a contradiction. Hence, the induction formula given by Theorem 3.8 does not give the optimal sets of n-means for all $n \geq 2$. □

Acknowledgements. In this paper I would like to express sincere thanks and gratitude to my Master's thesis advisor Professor S.N. Lahiri.

References

[AW] E.F. Abaya and G.L. Wise, *Some remarks on the existence of optimal quantizers*, Statistics & Probability Letters, Volume 2, Issue 6, December 1984, Pages 349-351.

[BC1] J.A. Bucklew and S. Cambanis, *Estimating random integrals from noisy observations: Sampling designs and their performance*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 34, pp.111-127 (1988).

[BC2] K. Benhenni and S. Cambanis, *The effect of quantization on the performance of sampling designs*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 44, pp.1981-1992 (1998).

[CG] S. Cambanis and N. Gerr, *A simple class of asymptotically optimal quantizers*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 29 (1983), 664-676.

[DFG] Q. Du, V. Faber and M. Gunzburger, *Centroidal Voronoi Tessellations: Applications and Algorithms*, SIAM Review, Vol. 41, No. 4 (1999), pp. 637-676.

[GG] A. Gersho and R.M. Gray, *Vector quantization and signal compression*, Kluwer Academy publishers: Boston, 1992.

[GKL] R.M. Gray, J.C. Kieffer and Y. Linde, *Locally optimal block quantizer design*, Information and Control, 45 (1980), pp. 178-198.

[GL] R.M. Gray and T. Linder, *Mismatch in high rate entropy constrained vector quantization*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 49 (2003), 1204-1217.

[GL1] S. Graf and H. Luschgy, *Foundations of quantization for probability distributions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1730, Springer, Berlin, 2000.

[GL2] S. Graf and H. Luschgy, *The quantization of the Cantor distribution*, Math. Nachr., 183 (1997), 113-133.

[GN] R.M. Gray and D.L. Neuhoff, *Quantization*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 44 (1998), 2325-2383.

[LP] H. Luschgy and G. Pagès, *Functional quantization of Gaussian processes*, Jour. Func. Annal., 196 (2002), 486-531.

[H] J. Hutchinson, *Fractals and self-similarity*, Indiana Univ. J., 30 (1981), 713-747.

[K1] W. Kreitmeier, *Optimal quantization for dyadic homogeneous Cantor distribution*, Math. Nachr., 281, No. 9, 1307-1327 (2008).

[K2] W. Kreitmeier, *Optimal Quantization for Uniform Distributions on Cantor-Like Sets*, Acta Applicandae Mathematicae, March 2009, Volume 105, Issue 3, pp 339-372.

[KZ] M. Kesseböhmer and S. Zhu, *Stability of quantization dimension and quantization for homogeneous Cantor measures*, Math. Nachr., Vol 280, No. 8, 866-881 (2007).

[LCG] J. Li, N. Chaddha, and R.M. Gray, *Asymptotic performance of vector quantizers with a perceptual distortion measure*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 45 (1999), 1082-1091.

[PPP] G. Pagès, H. Pham, and J. Printemps, *Optimal quantization methods and applications to numerical problems in finance*, in Handbook of Computational and Numerical Methods in Finance, S Rachev, ed., Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2004, 253-297.

[S] W.F. Sheppard, *On the calculation of the most probable values of frequency constants for data arranged according to equidistant divisions of a scale*, Proc. London Math. Soc., Vol. s1-29, No. 1. (1897), 353-380.

[SS1] M. Shykula and O. Seleznjev, *Stochastic structure of asymptotic quantization errors*, Stat. Prob. Letters, 76 (2006), 453-464.

[SS2] M. Shykula and O. Seleznjev, *Uniform quantization of random processes*, Univ. Umeå Research Report, 1 (2004), 1-16.

[Z] P.L. Zador, *Asymptotic quantization error of continuous signals and the quantization dimensions*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, 28 (1982), 139-148.

School of Mathematical and Statistical Sciences, University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, 1201 West University Drive, Edinburg, TX 78539, USA.

E-mail address: lakshmiroychowdhury82@gmail.com