Gluonic Structure of the Constituent Quark

Nikolai Kochelev,^{1,2} Hee-Jung Lee,³ Baiyang Zhang,¹ and Pengming Zhang¹

¹Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Science, Lanzhou 730000, China

²Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research,

Dubna, Moscow Region, 141980 Russia

³Department of Physics Education, Chungbuk National University, Cheongju, Chungbuk 361-763, Korea

Based on both the constituent quark picture and the instanton model for QCD vacuum, we calculate the unpolarized and polarized gluon distributions in the constituent quark and in the nucleon. Our approach consists of the two main steps. At the first step, we calculate the gluon distributions inside the constituent quark generated by the perturbative quark-gluon interaction, the non-perturbative quark-gluon interaction, and the non-perturbative quark-gluon-pion anomalous chromomagnetic interaction. The non-perturbative interactions are related to the existence of the instantons, strong topological fluctuations of gluon fields, in the QCD vacuum. At the second step, the convolution model is applied to derive the gluon distributions in the nucleon. A very important role of the pion field in producing the unpolarized and the polarized gluon distributions in the hadrons is discovered. We discuss a possible solution of the proton spin problem.

I. INTRODUCTION

The parton distribution functions (PDFs) are one of the cornerstones of the calculation of high energy cross sections. Among the various PDFs, it is very important to know the gluon distribution function in the proton for understanding especially the experiments at Large Hadron Collider (LHC) where the gluons give the dominant contribution to the different cross sections. Furthermore, the careful calculation of the polarized gluon distribution in the proton within an approach based on the modern model for the non-perturbative effects in QCD might give a solution of the the so-called proton spin crisis problem (for the recent review see [1].)

In this Letter we calculate the unpolarized and polarized gluon distributions in the constituent quark and in the nucleon. Our calculation is based on both the constituent quark model for the nucleon and the instanton model for the non-perturbative QCD vacuum (see reviews[2, 3]). The instantons, the strong nonperturbative fluctuations of the vacuum gluon fields, describe nontrivial topological structure of the QCD vacuum and they give a natural explanation of the fundamental phenomena in the strong interaction such as the spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking (SCSB) and $U(1)_A$ symmetry violation. The average size of the instantons $\rho_c \approx 1/3$ fm is much smaller than the confinement size $R_c \approx 1$ fm and can be considered as the scale of SCSB. Furthermore, SCSB induced by the instantons is responsible for the formation of the constituent massive quark with the size $R_q \approx \rho_c \approx 1/3$ fm. The SCSB plays very important role not only in hadron spectroscopy but also in the reactions with hadrons. In particulary, the specific mechanism based on SCSB is needed for the explanation of a large quark spin-flip effects observed in high energy reactions (see, for example, the discussion in [4]). The mechanism for this phenomenon coming from an anomalous chromomagnetic quark-gluon interaction induced by the instantons was suggested in [5] by one of the authors of this Letter. The anomalous quark chromomagnetic moment (AQCM) μ_a , which is proportional to the parameter of the SCSB violation $\delta = (M_q \rho_c)^2$ where M_q is the so-called dynamical mass of the quark in instanton vacuum [3, 6], contributes to the high energy reaction so that can be responsible for the observed large spin effects. To preserve the partial conservation of the axial-vector current (PCAC), the modification of this interaction by including the pion field into consideration was proposed in [3, 7]. Recently it was demonstrated that the anomalous chromomagnetic quark-gluon-pion interaction gives a very important contribution to the high energy inclusive pion production in the proton-proton collisions [8]. This result could be a solution of the longstanding problem in the description of the pion inclusive cross section in the fixed target experiments. Moreover it was shown that this interaction might be responsible for the large energy loss of the fast partons in quark-gluon plasma [9]. The main goal of our Letter is to calculate the contributions from the anomalous quark-gluon and quark-gluonpion interactions to the unpolarized and polarized gluon distributions inside the constituent quark and in the nucleon. We will also discuss the contribution coming from the perturbative quark-gluon interaction to these gluon distributions.

II. GLUON UNPOLARIZED AND POLARIZED DISTRIBUTIONS IN THE CONSTITUENT QUARK

In the single instanton approximation, the Lagrangian reads as [8, 9]

$$\mathcal{L}_{I} = -i\frac{g_{s}\mu_{a}}{4M_{q}}\,\bar{q}\sigma^{\mu\nu}t^{a}q\,G^{a}_{\mu\nu} + \frac{g_{s}\mu_{a}}{4M_{q}F_{\pi}}\bar{q}\sigma^{\mu\nu}t^{a}\gamma_{5}\boldsymbol{\tau}\cdot\boldsymbol{\pi}q\,G^{a}_{\mu\nu} \tag{1}$$

where μ_a is AQCM[23], g_s is the strong coupling constant, $G^a_{\mu\nu}$ is the gluon field strength, and $F_{\pi} = 93$ MeV is the pion decay constant. In this approximation the multiinstanton effects are presented by the effective quark mass in the quark zero-mode-like propagator in the instanton field [10]. Within the instanton model, the value of AQCM is [3, 6]

$$\mu_a = -\frac{3\pi (M_q \rho_c)^2}{4\alpha_s(\rho_c)}.$$
(2)

We will fix the value of the strong coupling constant at instanton scale as $\alpha_s(\rho_c) = g_s^2(\rho_c)/4\pi \approx 0.5$ [3]. Using Eq. 2, the second term, which presents the quark-gluonpion interaction, can be rewritten as [9]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi q q g} = -\frac{3\pi^2 \rho_c^2}{4g_s(\rho_c)} g_{\pi q q} \,\bar{q} \sigma^{\mu\nu} t^a \gamma_5 \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi} q \, G^a_{\mu\nu}, \qquad (3)$$

where $g_{\pi qq} = M_q/F_{\pi}$ is the quark-pion coupling constant.

We will follow by the Altarelli-Parisi (AP) approach [11] to calculate the gluon distribution functions in the constituent quark. The contributions from the perturbative QCD (pQCD) and the non-perturbative instantoninduced interactions are shown in Fig.1. In the sin-



FIG. 1: a) corresponds to the contributions from the pQCD to gluon distribution in the quark. b) and c) correspond to the contributions to the gluon distribution in the quark from the non-perturbative quark-gluon interaction and the non-perturbative quark-gluon-pion interaction, respectively.

gle instanton approximation the expansion parameter is $\delta = (M_q \rho_c)^2$. For the value of the quark mass $M_q = 86$ MeV in [10], this parameter is small $\delta \approx 0.02$. Therefore, we can neglect quark mass in the infinite momentum frame $P \to \infty$. In this frame, the momenta of the partons and the polarization vectors of the gluon for the diagrams a) and b) in Fig. 1 are

$$K_{A} = (P, P, 0),$$

$$K_{C} = ((1 - z)P + \frac{\vec{p}_{\perp}^{2}}{2P(1 - z)}, (1 - z)P, -\vec{p}_{\perp}),$$

$$l = (zP - \frac{\vec{p}_{\perp}^{2}}{2P(1 - z)}, zP, \vec{p}_{\perp}),$$

$$\epsilon_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, -\frac{p_{x} \pm ip_{y}}{zP}, 1, \pm i).$$
(4)

The contribution from pQCD to the unintegrated gluon non-polarized and polarized distributions in the quark are given by [11]

$$dg(z, p_{\perp}^{2}) = C_{F} \frac{\alpha_{s}(\mu^{2})}{2\pi} \frac{1 + (1 - z)^{2}}{z} \frac{dp_{\perp}^{2}}{p_{\perp}^{2}},$$

$$d\Delta g^{pert}(z, p_{\perp}^{2}) = C_{F} \frac{\alpha_{s}(\mu^{2})}{2\pi} (2 - z) \frac{dp_{\perp}^{2}}{p_{\perp}^{2}},$$
 (5)

where $C_F = 4/3$ is the color factor. The non-perturbative vertex b) in Fig.1[24], in which the helicity of the quark is flipped, produces the following unpolarized unintegrated gluon distribution

$$dg^{nonpert,b}(z,p_{\perp}^{2}) = C_{F} \frac{\alpha_{s}(\mu^{2})}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\mu_{a}}{2M_{q}}\right)^{2} \frac{2}{z} F_{g}^{2}(|l|\rho_{c}) dp_{\perp}^{2},$$
(6)

where $F_g(x) = 4/x^2 - 2K_2(x)$ is the instanton form factor [5, 6] and $|l| = |p_{\perp}|/\sqrt{1-z}$. One can see that due to the flip of the quark's helicity the factor p_{\perp}^2 is absent in the denominator of Eq.6 in comparison with pQCD case Eq.5. Therefore, the non-perturbative contribution gives the rise to the distribution of the gluon with the large p_{\perp}^2 . Using Eq.2, Eq.6 can be rewtitten in more simple form

$$dg^{nonpert,b}(z,p_{\perp}^{2}) = |\mu_{a}| \frac{1}{4z} F_{g}^{2}(|l|\rho_{c}) dp_{\perp}^{2}, \qquad (7)$$

where the natural scale $\mu^2 = 1/\rho_c^2$ was chosen in the running strong coupling constant. For the integrated gluon distribution we have

$$g^{nonpert,b}(z,Q^2) = |\mu_a| \frac{1-z}{2z} \int_0^{s_{max}} dss F_g^2(s), \quad (8)$$

with upper limit $s_{max} = |Q|\rho_c/(1-z)$, where Q^2 is the external virtuality. In the limit $Q^2 \to \infty$, our result is in agreement with [3]

$$g^{nonpertb}(z) = |\mu_a| \frac{1-z}{z}.$$
(9)

The contribution of the diagram b) in Fig.1 to the polarized gluon distribution is vanished. This result of gluon polarization coming from quark-gluon chomomagnetic vertex without pion is also in agreement with statement presented in [3].

The non-perturbative contribution with the pion, the diagram c) in Fig.1, has never been considered. We will show that this contribution determines the behavior of the unpolarized and polarized gluon distributions in the constituent quark at small z and in the nucleon at small Bjorken's x. For this diagram, the momenta of the partons are

$$K_{A} = (P, P, 0),$$

$$K_{C} = ((1 - x - z)P + \frac{(\vec{p}_{\perp} + \vec{k}_{\perp})^{2}}{2P(1 - x - z)},$$

$$(1 - x - z)P, -(\vec{p}_{\perp} + \vec{k}_{\perp})),$$

$$l = (zP - \left[\frac{\vec{k}_{\perp}^{2}}{2xP} + \frac{(\vec{p}_{\perp} + \vec{k}_{\perp})^{2}}{2P(1 - x - z)}\right], zP, \vec{p}_{\perp}),$$

$$K_{\pi} = (xP + \frac{\vec{k}_{\perp}^{2}}{2xP}, xP, \vec{k}_{\perp}).$$
(10)

The small mass of pion is neglected in Eq.10. Since the three particles are appeared in the final state, the integration over the additional phase space should be done

F(t, y, z) and $\Delta F(t, y, z)$ functions in Eq.12 are given by

$$\left[\frac{d^3k_C}{(2\pi)^3 2E_C}\right]^{without\ pion} \to \left[\frac{d^3k_C}{(2\pi)^3 2E_C}\frac{d^3k_\pi}{(2\pi)^3 2E_K}\right]^{with\ pion}$$

By using Eq.10 this phase space factor can be transformed into

$$dPS = \frac{1}{2^8 \pi^6} \frac{dxdz}{x(1-x-z)} d\vec{k}_{\perp} d\vec{p}_{\perp}.$$
 (11)

Calculating the matrix element for the diagram c) in Fig.1 and performing of the integration over x, the unintegrated unpolarized and polarized gluon distribution in the quark are

$$dg^{nonpert,c}(z,p_{\perp}^{2}) = C \int_{y_{min}}^{y_{max}} dy F(t,y,z)$$

$$\times \frac{F_{g}^{2}(\sqrt{zy+t})}{(zy+t)^{2}} dp_{\perp}^{2},$$

$$d\Delta g^{nonpert,c}(z,p_{\perp}^{2}) = C \int_{y_{min}}^{y_{max}} dy \Delta F(t,y,z)$$

$$\times \frac{F_{g}^{2}(\sqrt{zy+t})}{(zy+t)^{2}} dp_{\perp}^{2},$$
(12)

where

$$C = \frac{3C_F}{\alpha_s(\rho_c)} \frac{9}{2^{12}\pi} g_{\pi qq}^2 \rho_c^2.$$
 (13)

The first factor 3 in Eq.13 is related to the isospin. The

$$F(t, y, z) = \frac{1 - z}{z} (2t^2 + y^2 z^2 + 2zty + z^2 ty)$$

$$\Delta F(t, y, z) = (1 - z)y(2t - zt + zy), \qquad (14)$$

where $t = p_{\perp}^2 \rho_c^2 / (1 - z)$, $y = M_X^2 \rho_c^2 / (1 - z)$ and $M_X^2 = (k_C + k_\pi)^2$ is the invariant mass of the final pionquark system. As the low limit for the integration over y the value $M_X^{min} = M_q + m_\pi$ with $m_\pi = 140$ MeV is used and as the upper limit $M_X^{max} = E_{sph}$, where $E_{sph} = 3\pi / (4\alpha_s(\rho_c)\rho_c)$ is so-called sphaleron energy (see discussion in [8]), is used. The integrated gluon distributions in the quark are defined by

$$g(z,Q^{2}) = \int_{p_{\perp min}^{2}}^{p_{\perp max}^{2}} dg(z,p_{\perp}^{2}),$$

$$\Delta g(z,Q^{2}) = \int_{p_{\perp min}^{2}}^{p_{\perp max}^{2}} d\Delta g(z,p_{\perp}^{2}).$$
(15)

The integration limits in Eq.15 for the non-perturbative case are $p_{\perp min}^2 = 0$ and $p_{\perp max}^2 = Q^2$. For the perturbative case, it is more natural to use the low limit of integration $p_{\perp min}^2 = 1/\rho_c^2$ because for $p_{\perp}^2 \leq 1/\rho_c^2$ one cannot believe in the validity of pQCD. That means that in our model the perturbative unpolarized and polarized gluon distributions in the constituent quark vanish if the external momentum $Q^2 \leq 1/\rho_c^2 = 0.35 \text{ GeV}^2$.



FIG. 2: The z dependency of the contributions to the the unpolarized gluon distribution in the constituent quark from the pQCD (left panel), from the non-perturbative interaction without pion (central panel), and from the non-perturbative interaction with pion (right panel). The dotted line corresponds to $Q^2 = 2 \text{ GeV}^2$, the dashed line to $Q^2 = 5 \text{ GeV}^2$, and the solid line to $Q^2 = 10 \text{ GeV}^2$.

In the Fig.2 the results for the unpolarized gluon distribution in constituent quark are presented as a function of the z with the several Q^2 . One can see that the contribution from the pQCD to the unpolarized gluon distribu-

tion has more hard z dependency than the contributions from the nonperturbative interactions. As the result, the pQCD gives the dominated contribution in the large zregion only. The non-perturbative contribution coming

as



FIG. 3: The z dependency of the contributions to the polarized gluon distribution in the constituent quark from the pQCD (left panel), and from the non-perturbative interaction with pion (right panel). The notations are the same as in the Fig.2

from the diagram without pion, b) in Fig.1, is rather small in comparison with the contributions from both the pQCD, a) in Fig.1, and the non-perturbative interaction with pion, c) in Fig.1. At small z region all contributions exhibit Pomeron-like behavior, $zq(z,Q^2) \approx const.$ In the Fig.3 the results for the polarized gluon distributions in the constituent quark are shown. Again one can see that the contribution from pQCD to the polarized gluon distribution dominates in the region $z \to 1$ and the contribution from the non-perturbative interaction with pion dominates in small z region. The behavior of two contributions in low z region is completely different. Namely, the contribution from pQCD shows the behavior as $\Delta g(z, Q^2) \rightarrow const$, on the other hand, the contribution from the non-perturbative interaction shows anomalous dependency as $\Delta g(z, Q^2) \rightarrow \log(z)$. Additionally, their Q^2 dependencies are also very different. The contribution from pQCD grows with Q^2 as $\log(Q^2 \rho_c^2)$ but the contribution from the non-perturbative interaction practically does not depend on the external Q^2 for $Q^2 > 1/\rho_c^2 = 0.35 \text{GeV}^2$. Therefore, we can treat the contribution from the nonperturbative interaction to the gluon distribution as an intrinsic polarized gluon inside the constituent quark.

III. GLUON DISTRIBUTIONS IN THE NUCLEON

We will apply the convolution model for obtaining the gluon distributions in the nucleon from the gluon distributions in the constituent quark. Within this model the unpolarized and polarized gluon distributions in the

In the Fig.4, the result of the unpolarized and polarized gluon distributions in the nucleon at the scale $Q_0^2 = 2$ GeV² is presented. This value of scale is often using as an input scale for standard pQCD evolution. Our result for the unpolarized gluon distribution in the left panel of Fig.4 is identical to the GJR parametrization $xg(x) = 1.37x^{-0.1}(1-x)^{3.33}$ [13]. It is well known that the behavior of non-polarized gluon distribution in low x region is determined by the Pomeron exchange. This ex-

nucleon are given by

0.001

0.01

$$g_N(x,Q^2) = \int_x^1 \frac{dy}{y} q_V(y) g_q(\frac{x}{y},Q^2),$$

$$\Delta g_N(x,Q^2) = \int_x^1 \frac{dy}{y} \Delta q_V(y) \Delta g_q(\frac{x}{y},Q^2), \quad (16)$$

where $q_V(y)$ ($\Delta q_V(y)$) is unpolarized (polarized) distribution of constituent quark in the nucleon and $g_q(z, Q^2)$ ($\Delta g_q(z, Q^2)$) is the gluon distribution in the constituent quark obtained above. For the unpolarized constituent quark distribution, we take

$$q_V(y) = 60y(1-y)^3.$$
(17)

At the large y this distribution is in accord with the quark counting rule. Its behavior in small y region and its normalization are fixed by the requirements $\int_0^1 dy q_V(y) = 3$ and $\int_0^1 dy y q_V(y) = 1$. It means that total momentum of nucleon at $Q^2 \to 0$ is carried by the three constituent quarks. For the polarized constituent quark distribution the simple form is assumed

$$\Delta q_V(y) = 2.4(1-y)^3. \tag{18}$$

This form is also in the agreement with the quark counting rule at $y \to 1$. Its normalization has been fixed from the hyperon weak decay data (see [1]) as

$$\int_0^1 dy \Delta q_V(y) = \Delta u_V + \Delta d_V \approx 0.6 \tag{19}$$

change plays very important role in the phenomenology of high energy reactions. Our results in Figs.2,4 indicate the existence of two different Pomerons. One is the "hard" pQCD Pomeron and another is the "soft" nonperturbative Pomeron with quite different dependency on x and Q^2 . The existence of the two types of the Pomerons can explain in the natural way simultaneously both the DIS data at large Q^2 and the high energy cross sections with small momentum transfer (see [14] and references



FIG. 4: Unpolarized (left panel), and the polarized (right panel) gluon distributions in the nucleon at the scale $Q^2 = 2$ GeV². The dotted line in red corresponds to the contribution from pQCD, the dotted-dashed in blue to the contribution from the non-perturbative interaction with pion, dotted-dashed in black to the contribution from the non-perturbative interaction without pion, and the solid line to the total contribution.

therein). In the right panel of Fig.4 the result for the polarized gluon distribution is shown. The Q^2 dependency of the part of the nucleon momentum carried by the gluons $G(Q^2) = \int_0^1 dx x g(x, Q^2)$ and their polarization $\Delta G(Q^2) = \int_0^1 dx \Delta g(x, Q^2)$ are presented in Fig.5. It is clear that the non-perturbative interaction without pion gives a small contribution to unpolarized gluon dis-

tribution. Furthermore, as it was mentioned above, such contribution is zero for the polarized gluon case. So, for $Q^2 > 1/\rho_c^2$, the main contributions to both the unpolarized and the polarized gluon distributions are coming from pQCD and from the non-perturbative interaction with the pion.



FIG. 5: The part of the nucleon momentum carried by gluons (left panel), and the contribution of the gluons to nucleon spin (right panel) as the function of Q^2 . The notations are the same as in the Fig.4

The famous proton spin problem is one of the longstanding puzzle in the QCD [1]. The gluon polarization might be very important part in the following decomposition of the proton spin by Jaffe and Manohar [15]

$$\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}\Delta\Sigma + \Delta G + L_q + L_g, \qquad (20)$$

where the first term is quark contribution, $\Delta G = \int_0^1 dx \Delta g(x)$ is the gluon polarization in nucleon and the last two terms are the contributions from the orbital motions of the quarks and the gluons. The main problem is how to explain very small value of the proton spin carried by quark. At the present, the typical value is $\Delta \Sigma \approx 0.25$ [1], which is far away from $\Delta \Sigma = 1$ given by the nonrelativistic quark model. The relativistic motion of the quarks in the confinement region results in sizable decreasing of total helicity of quarks. For example, within the bag model one obtains $\Delta \Sigma = 0.65$. We should point out that this value is in agreement with the weak hyperon decay data, Eq.19, but it is not enough to explain the small value coming from deep inelastic scattering (DIS) at large $Q^2 \ge 1 \text{ GeV}^2$. Just after appearance of the EMC data on the small part of the spin of the proton carried by the quarks, the axial anomaly effect in DIS was considered as the primary effect to solve this problem [16]. For the three light quark flavors, it gives the following reduction of the quark helicity in the DIS

$$\Delta \Sigma_{DIS} = \Delta \Sigma - \frac{3\alpha_s}{2\pi} \Delta G \tag{21}$$

It is evident that to explain the small value of the $\Delta \Sigma_{DIS}$ one needs to have a huge positive gluon polarization, $\Delta G \approx 3 \div 4$, in the proton. The modern experimental data from the inclusive hadron productions and the jet productions exclude such a large gluon polarization in the accessible intervals of x and Q^2 [17, 18]. Our model also exclude the large polarization of gluons (see Fig.5, right panel) in these intervals. For example, at $Q^2 = 10 \text{ GeV}^2$ the $\int_0^1 dx \Delta g(x) = 0.19$ in our model. This value is in agreement with recent fit of available data on spin asymmetry from the jet productions and the inclusive hadron productions in [17, 18]. Therefore, the axial anomaly effect, suggested in [16], cannot explain the proton spin problem. We should stress that the helicity of the initial quark is flipped in the vertices b) and c) in Fig.1. As the result, such vertices should lead to the screening of the quark helicity. It is evident that at the $Q^2 \rightarrow 0$ such screening is vanished as shown in Fig.5 and the total spin of the proton is carried by its constituent quarks. Due to the total angular momentum conservation, for $Q^2 \neq 0$, the flip of the quark helicity by the non-perturbative interactions should be compensated partially by the orbital momenta of the partons and pion *inside* the constituent quark. In addition to such compensation, there should be a compensation coming from the positive gluon polarization inside the constituent quark. Similar instanton induced mechanism to solve proton spin crisis was discussed many years ago on qualitative level in the papers [19, 20]. The careful calculation of such effect based on the Lagrangian Eq.1 is in the progress.

IV. CONCLUSION

We calculate the gluon distributions in the constituent quark and in the nucleon. Our approach are based on the anomalous quark-gluon and quark-gluon-pion interactions induced by non-trivial topological structure of the QCD vacuum. It is shown that quark-gluon-pion anomalous interaction gives a very large contribution to the both unpolarized and polarized gluon distributions. It means that pion field plays a fundamental role to produce the both gluon distributions in hadrons. The possibility of the matching of the constituent quark model for the nucleon with its partonic picture is shown. The phenomenological arguments in favor of such a nonperturbative gluon structure of the constituent quark were recently given in the papers [21, 22]. We also pointed out that the famous proton spin crisis might be explained by the flipping of the helicity of the quark induced by non-perturbative anomalous quark-gluon and quark-gluon- pion interactions.

V. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Igor Cherednikov and Aleksander Dorokhov for useful discussions. This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11035006 and 11175215), and by the Chinese Academy of Sciences visiting professorship for senior international scientists (Grant No. 2013T2J0011). This research was also supported in part by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education(2013R1A1A2009695)(HJL).

- C. A. Aidala, S. D. Bass, D. Hasch and G. K. Mallot, Rev. Mod. Phys. 85, 655 (2013).
- [2] T. Schäfer and E.V. Shuryak, Rev. Mod. Phys. 70 (1998) 1323.
- [3] D. Diakonov, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 51 (2003) 173.
- [4] N. Kochelev and N. Korchagin, Phys. Lett. B 729, 117 (2014).
- [5] N. I. Kochelev, Phys. Lett. **B426** (1998) 149.
- [6] N. Kochelev, Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett. 7, 326 (2010).
- [7] J. Balla, M. V. Polyakov and C. Weiss, Nucl. Phys. B 510, 327 (1998).
- [8] N. Kochelev, H. J. Lee, B. Zhang and P. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D 92, no. 3, 034025 (2015).
- [9] N. Kochelev, H. J. Lee, Y. Oh, B. Zhang and P. Zhang, arXiv:1510.00472 [hep-ph].
- [10] P. Faccioli and E. V. Shuryak, Phys. Rev. D 64, 114020 (2001).
- [11] G. Altarelli and G. Parisi, Nucl. Phys. B 126, 298 (1977).
- [12] N. I. Kochelev, hep-ph/9707418.
- [13] M. Gluck, P. Jimenez-Delgado and E. Reya, Eur. Phys. J. C 53, 355 (2008).
- [14] P. V. Landshoff, Acta Phys. Polon. B 40, 1967 (2009)
- [15] R. L. Jaffe and A. Manohar, Nucl. Phys. B 337, 509 (1990).

- [16] A.V. Efremov and O.V. Teryaev, Dubna preprint E2-88-287 (1988); G. Altarelli and G. G. Ross, Phys. Lett. B 212, 391 (1988).
- [17] D. de Florian, R. Sassot, M. Stratmann and W. Vogelsang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, no. 1, 012001 (2014).
- [18] E. R. Nocera *et al.* [NNPDF Collaboration], Nucl. Phys. B 887, 276 (2014).
- [19] A. E. Dorokhov and N. I. Kochelev, Phys. Lett. B 304, 167 (1993).
- [20] A. E. Dorokhov, N. I. Kochelev and Y. A. Zubov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 8, 603 (1993).
- [21] B. Z. Kopeliovich, I. K. Potashnikova, B. Povh and I. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. D 76, 094020 (2007).
- [22] B. Z. Kopeliovich, B. Povh and I. Schmidt, Nucl. Phys. A 782, 24 (2007).
- [23] The present definition of AQCM is corresponded to the relation $\mu_a = (g-2)/2$, where g is Lande's factor. It is different by the factor 2 from what was used in the papers [5] and [3].
- [24] The first attempt to calculate the contribution to the gluon distribution coming from the diagram Fig.1b was done in [12]. Unfortunately, the incorrect kinematics was used in that paper.