

The sensitivity of R_{pA} to color recombination effects

Korinna Christina Zapp^{1,2}, Guilherme Milhano^{1,2} and Urs Achim Wiedemann¹

¹ *Physics Department, Theory Unit, CERN, CH-1211 Genève 23, Switzerland*

² *CENTRA, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Av. Rovisco Pais, P-1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal*

Abstract

In hadronization models with color recombination, partons are allowed to regroup into color singlet structures that are different from those determined by the perturbative parton shower. This aims at modeling the possibility that soft interactions of partons with the underlying event can change color connections. If such an effect is at play in proton-proton collisions, it may be expected to be enhanced in proton-nucleus collisions due to the higher color charge density in the underlying event. Here, we provide a qualitative argument that color recombination effects could lead to a multiplicity dependent hardening of single inclusive hadron spectra that dies out very weakly ($\propto 1/p_\perp$) with increasing transverse momentum. We present results of a (conservative) model implementation in the cluster hadronization model of the SHERPA event generator. In this model, we find that color recombination effects harden indeed the single inclusive hadron spectra without affecting the jet spectra, but that this effect does not depend significantly on underlying event activity. We explain this model feature and we argue why, in general, data on proton-nucleus collisions can help to constrain hadronization models used in proton-proton event generators.

In proton-lead (pPb) collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, the CMS and ATLAS collaborations have reported a charged hadron nuclear modification factor $R_{pPb}^{h^\pm}(p_\perp)$ that exceeds unity by 20 - 50 % at the highest transverse momenta analyzed so far ($20 \text{ GeV} < p_\perp < 100 \text{ GeV}$) [1, 2]. In marked contrast, the nuclear modification factor $R_{pPb}^{\text{jet}}(E_\perp)$ of jets is compatible with unity for $E_\perp > 50 \text{ GeV}$ [3, 4, 5]. Also, jet fragmentation functions in pPb show only mild deviations from those measured in pp collisions [6, 7], and effects from the nuclear modification of parton distribution functions [8] are much smaller than the reported 20 - 50 % excess at high p_\perp . These data seem to point to a hadronization mechanism that is harder in pPb than in pp. As we explain below, color recombination effects may account for such an effect since they can lead to a multiplicity-dependent hardening of single inclusive hadron spectra without affecting jet spectra. This was the first motivation for the present study. However, we anticipate already here that our study does not identify an effect that could account quantitatively for the observed discrepancy between $R_{pPb}^{h^\pm}(p_\perp)$ and $R_{pPb}^{\text{jet}}(E_\perp)$. We also caution that the CMS collaboration presented recently an updated physics analysis summary that does not exclude a substantial reassessment of the baseline entering their determination of $R_{pPb}^{h^\pm}(p_\perp)$ (see Fig.15 of Ref. [6]). So, it is currently unclear whether a theory explanation of this discrepancy is needed.

Let us consider the simulation of a hadronic collision up to the stage when all partons have been evolved to some hadronic scale Q_0 . The partonic distribution of the entire event can then be grouped into a set of color singlet clusters of known invariant masses M^2 . Most generally, a cluster hadronization model will treat these color singlets as resonance-like states that decay into hadronic distributions according to a model-




Fig. 1. Schematic picture of a simplified cluster hadronization mechanism. Color recombination effects recombine soft partons of the underlying event (green lines) if they are sufficiently close in phase space to high- p_\perp partons. This figure is discussed in more detail in the text.

dependent but process-independent prescription. Since partons of the highest transverse momentum, say p_\perp , are typically the hardest component of a parton shower, they are color connected to partons of subleading energy k_\perp in this shower, and the difference between these partons in momentum space sets the contribution to the invariant mass in the color singlet,

$$M_{\text{inv}}^2 = p_\perp k_\perp \left[\cosh(\eta_p - \eta_k) - \cos(\phi_p - \phi_k) \right] \approx p_\perp k_\perp R^2, \quad (1)$$

where η and ϕ denote the pseudorapidity and the azimuthal angle of the parton's momentum, and $R^2 = (\eta_p - \eta_k)^2 + (\phi_p - \phi_k)^2$ is the standard squared distance between the two partons in the $\Delta\eta - \Delta\phi$ -plane. For clusters of large transverse momentum, one typically obtains in this way an M_{inv}^2 -distribution that is such that some fraction of the clusters are of sufficiently low invariant mass to be mapped directly to a hadron of high transverse momentum (Fig. 1a), while the other fraction of hadrons has a too large invariant mass and will undergo resonance-like decays into at least two hadrons (Fig. 1b). The high- p_\perp hadron spectrum is dominated by hadrons from clusters of low invariant mass, since any hadronic decay will further degrade the transverse momentum of the leading hadron.

Color recombination mechanisms assume that partons of transverse momentum p_\perp can form a color singlet cluster with an arbitrary parton of low- k_\perp (green dashed line in Fig. 1) if their invariant mass (1) is minimal. In pp or pA collisions, the underlying event activity is low and less than one parton is found in a typical opening cone R . The probability of a perturbative color singlet to recombine with a soft component of the underlying event is then much smaller for a singlet of low invariant mass, since the corresponding R is smaller. On the other hand, since color reconnection reduces the invariant mass of the leading cluster, it decreases the probability of further resonance-like decays of this cluster (Fig. 1b); this effect makes the resulting hadron spectrum harder. And since the probability of color reconnection increases proportional to the density of color components in the underlying event, this effect will be enhanced in pPb compared to pp. *We thus expect that a color recombination mechanism invoked in pp-collisions will be enhanced by the ratio of the typical minimum bias multiplicities, i.e. by a factor ≈ 3 , in pPb collisions.*

Colour reconnection or rearrangement effects have been discussed in a variety of contexts over the last decades. It was noted in [9] that a minimisation of the length of colour strings is required to describe the increase of the average transverse momentum $\langle p_\perp \rangle$ with multiplicity in minimum bias events at hadron colliders. A large multiplicity is reached by having more multiple parton interactions (MPI) rather than an increase in hardness of produced jets. Here, two effects have to be distinguished, namely the initial assignment of colour flow to the individual MPI and a rearrangement of colour in the final state prior to or during hadronisation (on which our discussion focusses). Both cannot be derived from first principles but




Fig. 2. **Left:** Charged particle p_{\perp} spectrum with and without colour reconnections in the setting with increased underlying event activity. **Right:** The same for jets (anti- k_{\perp} , $R = 0.4$).

have to be modelled. Modern Monte Carlo event generators [10, 11, 12] follow a principle of minimising string length when assigning colour flow to MPI and include at least one colour reconnection model [13, 14, 15].

SHERPA has a cluster hadronisation model [15, 12], the details of which are not relevant for this study. After the perturbative stage (matrix elements, MPI and parton showers) the produced partons (i.e. (anti)quarks and gluons) are arranged into colour ordered singlets. Then, the gluons are split into quark-antiquark or antiquark-diquark pairs. Pairs of matching colour form the first generation of clusters retaining the same ordering as before. This means that neighbouring clusters each contain one (anti)quark coming from the splitting of the same gluon. This ordering plays a role in the colour reconnection phase, which follows next.

During colour reconnections, pairs of clusters (12) and (34) are allowed to swap colour and thus rearrange themselves. The probability for this to happen has the form

$$P_{\text{swap}} = \mathcal{P}_{\text{cr}} \cdot \frac{w_{1423}}{w_{1234} + w_{1423}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{N_c^2} \right)^d, \quad w_{ijkl} = \frac{t_0}{t_0 + 4m_{ij}m_{kl}}, \quad (2)$$

where m_{ij} is the invariant mass of the pair consisting of partons i and j , d is the number of clusters between the two clusters under consideration in the colour ordered list, t_0 is $O(1\text{GeV}^2)$ and \mathcal{P}_{cr} is a parameter regulating the strength of colour reconnections. The kinematic factor in (2) obviously favours reconnections that decrease the clusters' invariant mass. Clusters sharing a common ancestor gluon thus can reconnect without colour suppression while reconnections among clusters that are further apart in the original colour sequence are increasingly suppressed. SHERPA's model implementation is conservative in that only reconnections within the same (original) colour singlet system are possible. In other colour reconnection models, larger effects are conceivable, but we have not explored other models in the present study.

To simulate hadron spectra and jets with SHERPA¹ not only for pp but also for pPb collisions, we mimic pPb collisions by increasing the underlying event activity in pp collisions by about a factor or 3. All other settings are kept the same, including the pdf set (we do not use a nuclear pdf set, as we want to isolate the effect coming from colour reconnections).

Fig. 2 shows the charged particle and jet p_{\perp} spectra in the set-up with increased underlying event activity

¹We used a developer's version that is for the purpose of this study similar to SHERPA 2.1.1, with the CT10 tune and colour reconnections enabled (they are by default switched off). \mathcal{P}_{cr} is set to 0.25, which was found to be a reasonable value in earlier tuning efforts.




Fig. 3. Charged particle p_{\perp} spectra with increased underlying event divided by those with normal underlying event.

with and without colour reconnections. The hadron spectrum is indeed harder with colour reconnections while the jet spectrum is unaffected. This confirms the previous argumentation.

In Fig. 3, we show the ratio R_{MC} of the spectrum obtained with increased underlying event activity divided by that with normal underlying event activity. Since we mimic pPb collisions by an increased underlying event activity of pp collisions, this ratio is a proxy for the nuclear modification factor R_{pPb} . One sees that this ratio is enhanced by approximately the same factor irrespective of whether colour reconnections are enabled. Therefore, the present model implementation cannot provide a dynamical explanation for the observed discrepancy between $R_{pPb}^{h^{\pm}}(p_{\perp})$ and $R_{pPb}^{jet}(E_{\perp})$. We understand these results as follows: The enhancement of R_{MC} above unity results from event-activity dependent differences in the initial colour correlations on matrix element level that we mentioned earlier. On the other hand, the insensitivity of the colour recombination mechanism to the underlying event activity is likely to be a specific feature of the SHERPA implementation. Namely, since colour reconnection in SHERPA is allowed only within the same original colour singlet system, this model implementation strongly limits the probability of recombining with other partons in the underlying event. Therefore, the specific color recombination model implemented in SHERPA does not realize our expectation that effects of color recombination should increase with underlying event activity. It remains still conceivable that other less conservative color recombination effects show a different behavior.

References

- [1] V. Khachatryan *et al.* [CMS Collaboration], *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75** (2015) 5, 237.
- [2] The ATLAS collaboration [ATLAS Collaboration], ATLAS-CONF-2014-029.
- [3] G. Aad *et al.* [ATLAS Collaboration], *Phys. Lett. B* **748** (2015) 392.
- [4] CMS Collaboration [CMS Collaboration], CMS-PAS-HIN-14-001.
- [5] J. Adam *et al.* [ALICE Collaboration], *Phys. Lett. B* **749** (2015) 68.
- [6] CMS Collaboration [CMS Collaboration], CMS-PAS-HIN-15-004.
- [7] The ATLAS collaboration [ATLAS Collaboration], ATLAS-CONF-2015-022.
- [8] I. Helenius, H. Paukkunen and K. J. Eskola, arXiv:1509.02798 [hep-ph].
- [9] T. Sjostrand and M. van Zijl, *Phys. Rev. D* **36** (1987) 2019.
- [10] M. Bahr *et al.*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **58** (2008) 639.
- [11] T. Sjostrand *et al.*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **191** (2015) 159.
- [12] T. Gleisberg, S. Hoeche, F. Krauss, M. Schonherr, S. Schumann, F. Siegert and J. Winter, *JHEP* **0902** (2009) 007.
- [13] S. Gieseke, C. Rohr and A. Siodmok, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72** (2012) 2225.
- [14] S. Argyropoulos and T. Sjostrand, *JHEP* **1411** (2014) 043.
- [15] J. C. Winter, F. Krauss and G. Soff, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **36** (2004) 381.