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#### Abstract

We deploy linear stability analysis to find the threshold wavelength $(\lambda)$ and surface tension $(\gamma)$ of Rayleigh-Plateau type "peristaltic" instabilities in incompressible neo-Hookean solids in a range of cylindrical geometries with radius $R_{0}$. First we consider a solid cylinder, and recover the wellknown, infinite wavelength instability for $\gamma \geq 6 \mu R_{0}$, where $\mu$ is the solid's shear modulus. Second, we consider a volume-conserving (e.g. fluid filled and sealed) cylindrical cavity through an infinite solid, and demonstrate infinite wavelength instability for $\gamma \geq 2 \mu R_{0}$. Third, we consider a solid cylinder embedded in a different infinite solid, and find a finite wavelength instability with $\lambda \propto R_{0}$, at surface tension $\gamma \propto \mu R_{0}$, where the constants depend on the two solids' modulus ratio. Finally, we consider an empty cylindrical channel (or filled with expellable fluid) through an infinite solid, and find an instability with finite wavelength, $\lambda \approx 2 R_{0}$, for $\gamma \geq 2.543 \ldots \mu R_{0}$. Using finite-strain numerics, we show such a channel jumps at instability to a highly peristaltic state, likely precipitating it's blockage or failure. We argue that finite wavelengths are generic for elasto-capillary instabilities, with the simple cylinder's infinite wavelength being the exception rather than the rule.


## I. INTRODUCTION

We are used to surface tension influencing the shape of fluids: it makes droplets round, fashions menisci and breaks apart fluid columns via the Rayleigh-Plateau instability [1]. Conventionally we do not think of surface tension as sculpting solids, and with good reason: a solid's surface tension, $\gamma$, can only compete against its elastic shear modulus, $\mu$, at length-scales comparable to or below the solid's elastocapillary length-scale, $l_{\text {cap }}=\gamma / \mu$, which, for most crystalline solids, is subangstrom. However, in suitably soft solids, such as gels and biological tissues, $l_{\text {cap }}$ can be microns or even millimeters, making surface tension-driven distortions important in many biological contexts and readily accessible in laboratories [2]. Recent work has highlighted "peristaltic" surface-tension instabilities in soft solid cylinders [3] 8], elastocapillary modifications to the theory of wetting $9-11$, capillarity-driven bending of wet elastic rods and sheets 1214 , and the inhibitory role of surface tension in elastic creasing [15-17] and cavitation [18]. Elastic analogues of other traditional fluid instabilities, including Saffman-Taylor fingering [19-22] and Rayleigh-Taylor fingering [23, 24], have also recently been reported.

The small soft structures in which elastocapillary effects are important abound in biology. For example, competition between elasticity and surface tension is well documented in pulmonary airways [25], which are only able to inflate when surface tension is reduced by pulmonary surfactant prior to birth [26. Furthermore, a growing number of biological organs, including villi [27, 28] and the mammalian brain [29, 30], are now understood to be sculpted into their complex shapes by elastic instabilities $31+35$. Since developing organs (and tumors) are small and soft, surface tension must also shape organs 36, 37, making elastocapillary effects an essential
component of a mechanical theory of morphogenesis.


FIG. 1. Solid elastic cylinders undergo a peristaltic instability if surface tension, $\gamma$, is sufficient. Top: Experiment in gels from [5. Bottom: Schematic of the instability.

The canonical example of surface tension driven instability in solids is the Rayleigh-Plateau instability in soft-solid cylinders 4. 8 , seen in fig. 1. As in liquids, the instability originates in the geometric fact that a volume preserving peristaltic undulation with wavelength $\lambda$ along a cylinder of radius $R_{0}$ reduces its surface area provided $\lambda>2 \pi R_{0}$. If $R_{0} \lesssim l_{\text {cap }}$ the resultant saving in surface energy exceeds the elastic energy, so the cylinder is unstable. Previous groups have observed this behavior [5], predicted its onset [4, 5, considered stretched cylinders [6] and calculated the high-amplitude behavior of the instability [7, 8. However, all these treatments contain a common surprise: the instability is governed by two length-scales, $R_{0}$ and $l_{\text {cap }}$, which are comparable around threshold, so one expects $\lambda \sim R_{0} \sim l_{\text {cap }}$. Instead the first unstable mode has infinite wavelength. We consider four geometries: a solid cylinder, an incompressible (e.g. fluid filled) cylindrical cavity in a bulk solid, a solid cylinder within a bulk solid, and a hollow cylindrical cavity in a bulk elastic solid. In the latter two cases, we discover peristaltic instabilities with $\lambda \sim l_{\text {cap }}$, demonstrat-
ing that the long-wavelength instability in cylinders is an anomaly rather than a signature of elastocapillary instabilities. Since all four systems are described by the same two length-scales, the finite $\lambda$ is not explicable as longwavelength behavior trivially curtailed by a new lengthscale (such as a finite length or finite outer radius), but rather is a reversion to the $\lambda \sim R_{0} \sim l_{\text {cap }}$ behaviour one might have anticipated in all four cases.

Our search for finite-wavelength solid RayleighPlateau instabilities is further motivated by the existence of finite-wavelength instabilities in other areas of elasticity 21, 23, 38, 39. The full spectrum of wavelengths is seen in the compressive folding of a growing/swelling elastic layer adhered to an infinite elastic substrate 40 42 . If the layer is stiff, it folds with long but finite wavelength 43, attaining the $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ Euler Buckling limit when the substrate's modulus becomes negligible. In the opposite limit, if the layer has a modulus comparable to [35, 44 or much lower than [15, 16] the substrate's, the layer undergoes the zero-wavelength Biot surface instability [45], leading to the formation of cusped folds known as creases or sulci [34, 46]. These instabilities, like the solid Rayleigh-Plateau instabilities discussed here, are governed by a biharmonic (or similar) bulk equation augmented by boundary conditions at the interfaces. However, there is no obvious mapping between the sets of the problems, and the underlying physics is quite different - compressive folding increases the boundary's area to release compression, whereas Rayleigh-Plateau instabilities are driven by area reduction - so direct calculation in the Rayleigh-Plateau cases are necessary.

Beyond the issue of finite vs infinite wavelength, the final (compressible) cavity geometry explored here mimics channels through soft materials such as capillaries, airways, and those used in microfluidics. For this reason, we verify and extend this result by using finite elements to compute the high-amplitude behavior of this instability. We discover that it is strongly subcritical (like RayleighPlateau in solid-cylinders [8), with the channel jumping to an undulating state with very high strains and apparent channel closure. This suggests that experiencing the instability would be catastrophic for a fluid bearing channel's function, leading directly to blockage and/or material failure. Thus our result gives the lower limit for the radius of an un-reinforced channel through a solid before surface tension precipitates it's collapse.

## II. INSTABILITY IN A SOLID CYLINDER

We first consider a long incompressible neo-Hookean cylinder with shear modulus $\mu$, surface tension $\gamma$ and length $L$, initially occupying $R<R_{0}$ in an $(R, \theta, Z)$ cylindrical coordinate system, as sketched in fig. 1. For peristaltic deformations $(R, \theta, Z)$ is displaced to the final
state coordinates $(r, \theta, z)$, so the deformation gradient is

$$
F=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\left.\frac{\partial r}{\partial R}\right|_{Z} & 0 & \left.\frac{\partial r}{\partial Z}\right|_{R}  \tag{1}\\
0 & r / R & 0 \\
\left.\frac{\partial z}{\partial R}\right|_{Z} & 0 & \left.\frac{\partial z}{\partial Z}\right|_{R}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The total elastic energy of the cylinder is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{e l}=\int_{0}^{L} \int_{0}^{R_{0}} \frac{1}{2} \mu\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left(F F^{T}\right)-3\right) 2 \pi R \mathrm{~d} R \mathrm{~d} Z \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the surface energy is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{s}=\gamma \int_{0}^{L} 2 \pi r\left(R_{0}, z\right) \sqrt{1+\left(\frac{\partial r\left(R_{0}, z\right)}{\partial z}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} z \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\operatorname{Det}(F)=1$ encodes incompressibility. Following Ben-Amar [6] we represent the deformation in a mixed coordinates, specifying $r(R, z)$ and $Z(R, z)$. These mixed coordinates allow us to evaluate the final state surface energy $E_{s}$ directly without requiring $F^{-T}$ as one would working in normal fully-reference state coordinates. Furthermore, they also allow us to implement full rather than linearized incompressibility [6, 47,51]: in this representation the deformation gradient is

$$
F=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\left.\frac{\partial r}{\partial R}\right|_{z}-\left.\left.\left.\frac{\partial r}{\partial z}\right|_{R} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial R}\right|_{z} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial z}\right|_{R} ^{-1} & 0 & \left.\left.\frac{\partial r}{\partial z}\right|_{R} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial z}\right|_{R} ^{-1}  \tag{4}\\
0 & r / R & 0 \\
-\left.\left.\frac{\partial Z}{\partial R}\right|_{z} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial z}\right|_{R} ^{-1} & 0 & \left.\frac{\partial Z}{\partial z}\right|_{R} ^{-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and, although this is rather more complicated than the standard representation, its determinant is simply $\operatorname{Det}(F)=\left.r \frac{\partial r}{\partial R}\right|_{z} /\left(\left.R \frac{\partial Z}{\partial z}\right|_{R}\right)$, so we can enforce $\operatorname{Det}(F)=1$ by introducing the mixed-coordinate streamline function $\Phi(R, z)$ and setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\left.\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial R}\right|_{z}, \quad r^{2}=\left.2 \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial z}\right|_{R} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $\Phi=\frac{1}{2} R^{2} z$ gives the undeformed state, so we examine the stability of this state to infinitesimal peristaltic distortions with wavenumber $k$ by considering

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=\frac{1}{2} R^{2} z+\epsilon R f(R) \sin (k z) / k \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that, to leading order, the cylinder adopts the profile $r\left(R_{0}, z\right)=R_{0}+\epsilon f\left(R_{0}\right) \cos (k z)$. Expanding $E_{s}$ to quadratic order in $\epsilon$ and conducting the $z$ integral yields the consequent surface energy,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{s}=\gamma\left(2 \pi R_{0} L+\epsilon^{2} \frac{\pi f\left(R_{0}\right)^{2} L}{2 R_{0}}\left(k^{2} R_{0}^{2}-1\right)\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We immediately see the geometric origin of the instability: the peristaltic shape change reduces the cylinder's area if $k<1 / R_{0}$ and increases it if $k>1 / R_{0}$, with longer wavelengths $(k \rightarrow 0)$ providing the biggest reduction.

Similarly, the linearized deformation gradient is

$$
F=I+\epsilon\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
f^{\prime} \cos k z & 0 & -k f \sin k z  \tag{8}\\
0 & \frac{f \cos k z}{R} & 0 \\
\left(\frac{f}{R^{2}}-\frac{f^{\prime}}{R}-f^{\prime \prime}\right) \frac{\sin k z}{k} & 0 & -\left(\frac{f}{R}+f^{\prime}\right) \cos k z
\end{array}\right)
$$

Substituting this into (22), expanding to quadratic order and conducting the $z$ integral, (neglecting the higherorder difference between $\mathrm{d} Z$ and $\mathrm{d} z$ ) reveals the consequent elastic energy is:

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{e l}=\epsilon^{2} \int_{0}^{R_{0}} \frac{\pi L \mu}{2 k^{2} R^{3}}\left[R^{4} f^{\prime \prime 2}+2 R^{3} f^{\prime} f^{\prime \prime}+R^{2}\left(4 k^{2} R^{2}+1\right) f^{\prime 2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad 2 R f\left[R\left(k^{2} R^{2}-1\right) f^{\prime}\right]^{\prime}+\left(k^{2} R^{2}+1\right)^{2} f^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} R . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Minimizing $E_{e l}+E_{s}$ with respect to variations of $f$ yields a biharmonic-like fourth-order elastic equation [5]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}^{2}[f]=0 \quad \text { with } \quad \mathcal{L}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial R^{2}}+\frac{1}{R} \frac{\partial}{\partial R}-\frac{1}{R^{2}}-k^{2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with two natural boundary conditions, equivalent to imposing zero normal and shear stress on the boundary:

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{0}^{2} f^{\prime \prime}+R_{0} f^{\prime}+\left(k^{2} R_{0}^{2}-1\right) f=0  \tag{11}\\
& R_{0}^{3} f^{\prime \prime \prime}+3 R_{0}^{2} f^{\prime \prime}-3 k^{2} R_{0}^{3} f^{\prime}=\frac{\gamma}{\mu R_{0}} k^{2} R_{0}^{2}\left(k^{2} R_{0}^{2}-1\right) f \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$



FIG. 2. Critical surface tension as a function of $k$ for a solid cylinder. The first unstable mode has infinite wavelength.

The bulk equation, 10, is solved by a sum of four modified Bessel functions,
$f=c_{1} I_{1}(k R)+c_{2} k R I_{2}(k R)+c_{3} K_{1}(k R)+c_{4} k R K_{2}(k R)$,
but for a cylinder we require $c_{3}=c_{4}=0$, so that $f(0)=$ $f^{\prime}(0)=0$ to ensure finite deformation $\left(F_{z R}\right)$ at $R=0$. Imposing the first boundary condition requires

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{1}=\left[1-\frac{k R_{0} I_{0}\left(k R_{0}\right)}{I_{1}\left(k R_{0}\right)}\right] c_{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the second b.c. yields the minimum $\gamma$ for instability:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{c}=\frac{2 \mu R_{0}}{1-k^{2} R_{0}^{2}}\left[-\left(1+k^{2} R_{0}^{2}\right)+k^{2} R_{0}^{2} \frac{I_{0}\left(k R_{0}\right)^{2}}{I_{1}\left(k R_{0}\right)^{2}}\right] . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

As always with linear-stability analysis, this solution marks the point where the $\epsilon^{2}$ term in the total energy is zero, segregating stable cases (positive coefficient) and unstable cases (negative coefficient). Fig. 2 shows this critical surface tension as a function of the wave number. As expected, the threshold surface tension diverges as $k R_{0} \rightarrow 1$. In agreement with previous studies [4] 6 , we find the long-wavelength $(k=0)$ mode becomes unstable first at $\gamma_{c}=6 \mu R_{0}$. The negative $\gamma_{c}$ for $k R_{0}>1$ indicates that instability only occurs with a sufficiently negative surface tension - i.e. an energy reduction for creating surface - impossible for a fluid, since such a fluid would simply vaporize, but possible for an active solid such as a spontaneously folding epithelial sheet [52]. Far above threshold many modes are unstable, and previous authors 4 have argued that due to rate effects such as viscosity, the fastest growing mode may well have finite wavelength, much like in fluids. However, close to threshold such rate effects can only choose between the verylong wavelength modes that are unstable, so, at least in this region, the instability is unambiguously long wavelength.

## III. INCOMPRESSIBLE CYLINDRICAL CAVITY

We next consider a cylindrical cavity, with radius $R_{0}$, running through an infinite incompressible elastic solid. The cavity's surface energy is still eqn. 3 so, as in the solid-cylinder case, peristaltic undulations with $k<1 / R_{0}$ will cause its area to decrease, implying sufficient surface tension will destabilize the cavity as sketched in fig. 3 . The elastic energy density is the same as before, but now integrated from $R_{0}$ to $\infty$. We first consider a cavity that preserves its volume, either by being filled with an incompressible fluid, or because the incompressible bulk solid is clamped at infinity. In this case, prior to instability there is no deformation so, at instability, the elastic fields must still satisfy eqn. 10, and thus still be eqn. 13 However the cavity geometry requires $c_{1}=c_{2}=0$, so that the displacement decays as $R \rightarrow \infty$, reducing the solution to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=c_{3} K_{1}(k R)+c_{4} k R K_{2}(k R) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The boundary conditions at $R=R_{0}$ are almost those in the cylindrical case (eqns. 1112 , except that, since $R_{0}$ is now the lower limit of the elastic energy integral the elastic contributions flip sign. Eqn. 11 simply flips sign entirely, and is thus unchanged, requiring:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{3}=-\left[1+\frac{k R_{0} K_{0}\left(k R_{0}\right)}{K_{1}\left(k R_{0}\right)}\right] c_{4} . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$



FIG. 3. Surface tension driven peristaltic instability in a cylindrical cavity, radius $R_{0}$, through an infinite elastic solid.

However, the second boundary condition changes to

$$
\begin{equation*}
-R_{0}^{3} f^{\prime \prime \prime}-3 R_{0}^{2} f^{\prime \prime}+3 k^{2} R_{0}^{3} f^{\prime}=\frac{\gamma}{\mu R_{0}} k^{2} R_{0}^{2}\left(k^{2} R_{0}^{2}-1\right) f \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which we deduce the critical $\gamma$ for instability is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{c}=\frac{2 \mu R_{0}}{1-k^{2} R_{0}^{2}}\left(1+k^{2} R_{0}^{2}-k^{2} R_{0}^{2} \frac{K_{0}\left(k R_{0}\right)^{2}}{K_{1}\left(k R_{0}\right)^{2}}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

We plot this critical surface tension as a function of wavelength in Fig. 4. As expected, sufficient surface tension will generate instability for any peristaltic undulation with $k<1 / R_{0}$. As before the first unstable mode is the long wavelength $k \rightarrow 0$ mode, but instability now occurs for $\gamma_{>} 2 \mu R_{0}$, just one-third of the surface tension required to destabilize a solid cylinder.


FIG. 4. Critical surface tension as a function of $k$ for an incompressible cylindrical cavity. The first unstable mode again has infinite wavelength.

## IV. CONCENTRIC CYLINDERS

We next consider a solid cylinder with modulus $\mu_{i}$ running through an infinite solid with modulus $\mu_{o}$. The two solids are materially connected, but the interface has a surface energy $\gamma$. Again the surface energy is eqn. 3, but the elastic energy now is the sum of contributions from the cylinder and the bulk. Prior to instability incompressibility guarantees there is no displacement, so the
elastic fields for the instability are once again described by eqn. 10 , and thus of the form

$$
f= \begin{cases}c_{1} I_{1}(k R)+c_{2} k R I_{2}(k R) & R<R_{0}  \tag{20}\\ c_{3} K_{1}(k R)+c_{4} k R K_{2}(k R) & R>R_{0}\end{cases}
$$

Material continuity requires continuity of $Z(R, z)$ and $r(R, z)$, and hence $\llbracket f \rrbracket=0$ and $\llbracket(R f)^{\prime} \rrbracket=0$, where we define $\llbracket \Psi \rrbracket=\Psi\left(R_{0}^{+}\right)-\Psi\left(R_{0}^{-}\right)$as the jump in $\Psi$ over the $R_{0}$ interface. In full these two conditions are

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{1} I_{1}\left(k R_{0}\right)+c_{2} k R_{0} I_{2}\left(k R_{0}\right)=c_{3} K_{1}\left(k R_{0}\right)+c_{4} k R_{0} K_{2}\left(k R_{0}\right), \\
& c_{1} I_{0}\left(k R_{0}\right)+c_{2} k R_{0} I_{1}\left(k R_{0}\right)=c_{3} K_{0}\left(k R_{0}\right)+c_{4} k R_{0} K_{1}\left(k R_{0}\right) . \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, the two natural boundary conditions, equivalent to requiring mechanical equilibrium in the radial and normal directions on the boundary, are

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\left[\mu\left(R^{2} f^{\prime \prime}+R f^{\prime}+\left(k^{2} R^{2}-1\right) f\right)\right]\right]=0,}  \tag{22}\\
& {\left[\left[\mu\left(R^{3} f^{\prime \prime \prime}+3 R^{2} f^{\prime \prime}-3 k^{2} R^{3} f^{\prime}\right)\right]\right]=\frac{\gamma}{R} k^{2} R^{2}\left(k^{2} R^{2}-1\right),}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\mu= \begin{cases}\mu_{i} & R<R_{0}  \tag{23}\\ \mu_{o} & R>R_{0}\end{cases}
$$

The four algebraic equations $21 \| 22$ are linear in the $c_{i}$ and $\gamma$, so it is easy to solve them for $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ and the critical surface tension for instability, $\gamma_{c}$, as in the previous cases. However, since the resulting expression is very long for arbitary $\mu_{i}, \mu_{o}$, we display here only the result for the special case, $\mu_{i}=\mu_{o}=\mu$, which gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{c}=\frac{2 \mu}{k\left(k^{2} R_{0}^{2}-1\right)\left[I_{0}\left(k R_{0}\right) K_{1}\left(k R_{0}\right)-I_{1}\left(k R_{0}\right) K_{2}\left(k R_{0}\right)\right]} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

As seen in fig. 5 a the surface tension required for instability diverges as $k R_{0} \rightarrow 1$, in accordance with the previous two cases. However, now the critical surface tension also diverges in the long-wavelength limit $k R_{0} \rightarrow 0$, whereas in the previous two cases this limit is the first unstable mode. Rather, in the case of concentric cylinders with equal modulus, the first unstable mode has $k R_{0}=0.561992 \ldots$, and consequently a finite wavelength $\lambda \approx 11 R_{0}$. The wavenumber and surface tension of the first unstable mode are shown as a function of modulus ratio in figs 5b\&c. In general, the first unstable mode remains at finite wavelength provided both $\mu_{i}$ and $\mu_{o}$ are finite, and remains in the range $11<\lambda / R_{0}<25$ over five magnitudes of modulus ratios.

## V. SQUEEZABLE CAVITY

Finally, we return to a long cylindrical cavity in a bulk elastic solid, as in section III, but no longer require that the cavity conserve its volume, instead imagining that it


FIG. 5. Peristaltic instability in a solid cylinder of modulus $\mu_{i}$ through a bulk solid with modulus $\mu_{o}$. (a) Critical surface tension for instability for concentric cylinders with $\mu_{i}=\mu_{o}$. The first unstable mode has finite wavelength with $k R_{0}=0.561992 \ldots$. (b) Surface tension for first instability as a function of modulus ratio. (c) First unstable wavelength as a function of modulus ratio.
is completely empty, or filled with a fluid that can be squeezed out. In this case, even when surface tension is insufficient to cause a peristaltic instability, it will cause the radius of the cavity to decrease, reducing its surface area and its volume. There is only one deformation that is purely radial, independent of $z$ and volume conserving in the solid, $r=\sqrt{R^{2}-\alpha R_{0}^{2}}$ or, equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(R, z)=\frac{1}{2}\left(R^{2}-\alpha R_{0}^{2}\right) z \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the dimensionless parameter, $0<\alpha<1$, describes the degree of contraction, with $\alpha=1$ indicating the cavity has completely closed. This deformation leads to a surface energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{s}=\gamma L 2 \pi R_{0} \sqrt{1-\alpha} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and an elastic energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{e l}=\mu \pi R_{0}^{2} L \alpha \log (1-\alpha)^{-1 / 2} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Minimizing $E_{e l}+E_{s}$ with respect to $\alpha$, we find that the surface tension required to produce a given $\alpha$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\gamma}{\mu R_{0}}=\frac{\alpha-(1-\alpha) \log (1-\alpha)}{2 \sqrt{1-\alpha}} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

a continuous and monotonic relationship, with $\alpha=0$ when there is no surface tension, and $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ (i.e. full closure of the cavity) as $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$.

We next add an infinitesimal peristaltic perturbation to this base state:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(R, z)=\frac{1}{2}\left(R^{2}-\alpha R_{0}^{2}\right) z+\epsilon g(R) \sin (k z) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

which generates the following leading order corrections to the surface energy:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta E_{s}=\epsilon^{2} \frac{\gamma L \pi k^{2} g\left(R_{0}\right)^{2}}{2\left((1-\alpha)^{3 / 2} R_{0}^{3}\right)}\left((1-\alpha) k^{2} R_{0}^{2}-1\right) . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

This correction is negative (i.e. the perturbation reduces area) if $k<1 /\left(R_{0} \sqrt{1-\alpha}\right)$ since the base deformation
leads to a reduction of the cavity's radius to $R_{0} \sqrt{1-\alpha}$. With the aid of a computer algebra package, it is also possible to evaluate the correction to the elastic energy:

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta E_{e l} & =\int_{R_{0}}^{\infty} \epsilon^{2} \frac{\pi L \mu}{2 R^{3} r^{6}}\left(R^{2} r^{6} g^{\prime \prime 2}+r^{4} g^{2}\left(k^{2} R^{2}\left(3 R^{2}+r^{2}\right)+r^{2}\right)\right. \\
& +2 k^{2} r^{2} g\left(R^{4} r^{2} g^{\prime \prime}+R\left(-3 R^{4}-R^{2} r^{2}+r^{4}\right) g^{\prime}\right) \\
& \left.-2 R r^{6} g^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}+g^{2}\left(k^{4} R^{4} r^{4}+4 k^{2} R^{6}\right)\right) \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

where we use $r=\sqrt{R^{2}-R_{0}^{2} \alpha}$ to denote the base-state radius of material originally at $R$. Minimizing the total energy variationally with respect to $g(R)$ requires

$$
\begin{align*}
& R^{3} r^{4} g^{(4)}-2 R^{2} r^{4} g^{(3)}-R r^{2} g^{\prime \prime}\left(k^{2} R^{2}\left(R^{2}+r^{2}\right)-3 r^{2}\right) \\
& +g^{\prime}\left(k^{2} R^{2}\left(2 R^{4}-R^{2} r^{2}+r^{4}\right)-3 r^{4}\right) \\
& +k^{2} R g\left(R^{4}\left(k^{2} r^{2}-2\right)+2 r^{4}\right)=0 \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

in the bulk and the natural boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\alpha-1)\left(g^{\prime}-R_{0} g^{\prime \prime}\right)+k^{2} R_{0} g=0 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\alpha^{2}-2\right) k^{2} R_{0}^{2} g+(\alpha-1)\left((\alpha-3) k^{2} R_{0}^{2}+1-\alpha\right) g^{\prime} \\
& +(\alpha-1)^{2} R_{0}\left(g^{\prime \prime}-R_{0} g^{(3)}\right) \\
& =\frac{\gamma}{\mu R_{0}} \sqrt{1-\alpha} k^{2} R_{0}^{2}\left((\alpha-1) k^{2} R_{0}^{2}+1\right) g \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

on the inner $R_{0}$ surface. The final two boundary conditions are simply that $g(R)$ and $g^{\prime}(R)$ tend to zero as $R \rightarrow \infty$, so the displacements vanish at infinity. The above system of equations do not admit an analytic solution, but we are able to solve them numerically using the MATLAB routine bvp4c, to find the threshold surface tension required to trigger instability at each wavelength, which we plot in fig. 6a. Minimizing over wavelengths, we see that the first unstable mode has $k R_{0}=3.145 \ldots$ and is triggered when $\gamma \approx 2.543 \ldots \mu R_{0}$.

Since this geometry directly mimics a micro-fluidic channel through a soft solid, we verify and extend our


FIG. 6. (a) Critical surface tension as a function of the axial wave number for a squeezed cavity: the threshold surface tension is minimized at $\gamma_{c} \approx 2.543 \ldots \mu R_{0}$ by $k R_{0}=3.145 \ldots$ (b) Finite element analysis of the squeezed cavity instability. The minimum (final state) radius of the cavity, $R_{\text {min }}$, falls as $\gamma$ is increased. As shown in the insets on the right, for $\gamma$ below threshold, the radius contracts homogeneously along the whole channel as described by eqn. 28. At threshold, the instability proceeds sub-critically and the system jumps to a highly peristaltic state with a zero (or almost zero) minimum radius. Inset color indicates isotropic stress (dark red $<-1.25 \mu$, dark blue $>1.25 \mu$ ) and the lines indicate every 8 th mesh line.
threshold result via cylindrically-symmetric large-strain finite-element simulation (details in appendix A) Figure 6 b shows the minimum radius of the channel, $R_{\text {min }}$, as surface tension is increased. Before threshold the channel contracts homogeneously so it's radius ( $R_{\text {min }}$ ) falls but the channel remains cylindrical. The simulation points are in excellent agreement with the solid theoretical curve derived from eqn. 28 . At threshold, the instability occurs with the expected wavelength and $\gamma$, and the channel jumps sub-critically to a highly deformed peristaltic state with a negligible minimum radius, effectively closing the channel. In our simulations the peristaltic deformation closed the channel to within $0.01 R_{0}$, a length-scale commensurate with our mesh, and generated compressive stresses $>100 \mu$ at the inner radius. This suggests that, within the neo-Hookean cylindrically symmetric framework, the channel probably closes completely; this is plausible since the inward capillary stress, $\gamma / R$, grows as material moves inward, whilst the neo-Hookean constitutive law has no strain-stiffening behavior. Of course, in real materials divergent elastic stresses are impossible, so real channels will avoid them by plastic deformation, fracture, strain-stiffening or breaking cylindrical symmetry. However, suffering the instability is assured to be a dramatic sub-critical event leading to almost or complete channel closure, so we propose that $R_{0}=0.393 \ldots \gamma / \mu$ is the smallest possible radius for an unreinforced open channel through an elastic solid.

## VI. CONCLUSIONS

The main result of this paper is that the infinite wavelength surface tension driven instability of a soft incompressible cylinder is an anomaly; we should normally expect such instabilities in soft solids to have, at threshold,
wavelengths $\lambda \sim l_{\text {cap }}$. To demonstrate this, we have calculated the threshold wavelength for two new geometries with cylindrical symmetry, a solid cylinder embedded in a bulk solid, and a cylindrical cavity through a bulk neoHookean solid, and shown that, in both cases, the instability does indeed proceed with $\lambda \sim l_{\text {cap }}$. We anticipate these geometries will find direct relevance in biology since filaments and pipes through soft-solid tissues abound in physiology, are sculpted during development and fail during disease.

There remains the questions of why the simple cylinder and the incompressible cylindrical cavity produce infinite wavelength instabilities. Looking at the surface energy for a peristaltic distortion, eqn. 7, we see that surface tension certainly favors long wavelength instabilities: the smaller $k$ is, the more surface energy is reduced for a given amplitude. We would normally expect distortions with infinite or vanishing wavelength to generate infinite shears, and hence to be suppressed elastically, and indeed if we look at the form of the peristaltic deformation gradient, eqn. 8, we see that the $r-z$ component diverges at short wavelengths, and the $z-r$ component diverges at long wavelengths, so we generically expect an intermediate wavelength to be preferred. However, the $z-r$ shears can also be suppressed, even at long wavelength and finite amplitude, if $\frac{f}{R^{2}}-\frac{f^{\prime}}{R}-f^{\prime \prime}=0$, requiring

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(R)=c_{1} \frac{R}{R_{0}}+c_{2} \frac{R_{0}}{R} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$ displacements

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{z} \equiv z-Z=-\epsilon \frac{2 c_{1}}{k R_{0}} \sin (k z) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first of these solutions $\left(c_{1}\right)$ generates the long wavelength solution for the simple cylinder, and the second
$\left(c_{2}\right)$ generates the analogous solution for the incompressible cavity. The solutions have markedly different character. The $c_{2}$ cavity solution has $Z=z$ and is thus a plane-strain solution associated with a radial displacements of the form $u_{R} \sim 1 / R$, which do decay as $R \rightarrow \infty$ but are nevertheless able to bring volume in from infinity since $R u_{R}$ remains finite. The $c_{1}$ solid cylinder solution is associated with diverging $z$ displacements (though not shears) as $k \rightarrow 0$, which are generated because the cylinder breaks into long regions which alternate between getting thinner and longer and getting fatter and shorter, generating big longitudinal displacements. Clearly these two solutions, with such different $u_{z}$, are not kinematically compatible with each other, so it is no surprise that when we consider a solid cylinder through a bulk solid these solutions are not accessed and the instability has finite wavelength.

More generally, a full solution to a fourth-order elasticity problem requires four boundary conditions, and thus a solution with four constants of integration. Here we only have two compatible with long wavelength instabilities, so we generically expect surface tension instabilities, even in cylindrical geometries, to not be described by this simple form, and thus have finite wavelength.
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the problem has cylindrical symmetry, we construct the body from constant-strain triangular elements in the $r-z$ plane, each of which represents a triangularly crosssectioned torus of the elastic body. The triangles form a rectangular mesh spanning from the inner radius, $R_{0}$, to an outer radius of $30 R_{0}$ and from $z=0$ to $z=\lambda=$ $1.99 \ldots R_{0}$, with periodicity enforced in the $z$ direction. The mesh contains around 21000 nodes, and spans $z$ in 121 equally spaced segments, while in the radial direction it coarsens from a spacing of $R_{0} / 6400$ at the inner boundary to a spacing of $1.5 R_{0}$ at the outer boundary. Each triangle is assigned a compressible neo-Hookean elastic energy (within a quasi-incompressible nodal pressure formulation) with a bulk modulus $K=2 \times 10^{3} \mu$. The force on each node is calculated as the gradient of the total energy with respect to nodal position, including surface energy at the inner surface, and the nodes are moved according to damped Newtonian dynamics. Surface tension was increased sufficiently slowly as to be quasi-static, so although the simulation uses Newtonian dynamics, the states reported are converged energy minima. The good agreement between the predicted and observed threshold $\gamma$ (error in threshold $<0.2 \%$ ) for instability suggests the outer radius is large enough, the bulk modulus high enough, and the mesh fine enough to mimic an incompressible infinite continuum material. The extremely fine mesh at the inner boundary is required to capture the extreme strain gradients at the inner points of the peristaltic state.

## Appendix A: Details of numerical simulations

Our simulations use an explicit finite-element method, based on the same code used in [30] and 35]. Since
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