



Direct-photon+hadron correlations to study parton energy loss with the STAR experiment

Nihar Ranjan Sahoo (for the STAR collaboration)

Cyclotron Institute, Texas A&M University, USA

Email id: nihar@rcf.rhic.bnl.gov

Abstract

We report new results of γ_{dir} +hadron and π^0 +hadron azimuthal correlations as a measure of the away-side jet-like correlated yields in central Au+Au and p+p collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV in the STAR experiment from years 2011 and 2009 of data taking, respectively. The charged-hadron per-trigger yields at mid-rapidity ($|\eta| < 1$) and for transverse momenta $p_T^{assoc} > 1.2$ GeV/c associated with γ_{dir} and π^0 (for triggers $|\eta| < 0.9$, $12 < p_T^{trig} < 20$ GeV/c) in central Au+Au collisions are compared with p+p collisions. The z_T ($= \frac{p_T^{assoc}}{p_T^{trig}}$) dependence, now extending down to $z_T=0.1$, of the suppression of the away-side associated yields is presented. We observed that the suppression of away-side associated yields tends to vanish at lower z_T . The dependence of the suppression on both p_T^{assoc} and p_T^{trig} is also discussed. Finally, these results are compared with various model predictions.

Keywords: Direct-photon, parton energy-loss, jet

1. Introduction

The γ_{dir} +jet analysis has been discussed in many theoretical studies [1, 2, 3, 4] to study parton energy loss as a probe of QCD matter at extreme temperature and pressure. The azimuthal correlations of the charged hadron with a direct photon (γ_{dir}) trigger is considered as a promising probe in heavy-ion collisions for the study of parton energy loss and necessary to understand the jet-quenching mechanisms [4]. In comparison with γ_{dir} triggers, π^0 -triggered charged hadron correlations can reveal path length dependence of energy loss due to the difference in geometrical biases as well as the color factor dependence. From our previous measurement [6], it is observed that suppression of hadrons is independent of whether it is associated with a γ_{dir} - or π^0 -trigger. In this work, we have performed γ_{dir} -hadron and π^0 -hadron correlation study, extending

our kinematic region to lower $z_T = \frac{p_T^{assoc}}{p_T^{trig}}$ (down to $z_T = 0.1$) in order to understand the behavior of the medium modification factor at low z_T .

2. STAR Detector and Transverse Shower Profile (TSP)

The Time Projection Chamber (TPC) is the main charged-particle tracking detector [7] in the STAR detector system. The Barrel Electromagnetic Calorimeter (BEMC) [8] is used both for triggering on the events and for providing an energy measurement for the trigger photon or π^0 in $|\eta| \leq 1.0$. STAR provides full 2π azimuthal coverage and wide pseudo-rapidity ($|\eta| < 1.0$) coverage. The Barrel Shower Maximum Detector (BSMD) provides high spatial resolution (both in the η and ϕ planes). Detailed discussion about the BSMD can be found in Ref [6]. The data were taken by the STAR experiment and amount to an integrated luminosity of 2.8 nb^{-1} of Au+Au collisions and 23 pb^{-1} of p+p collisions.

In order to distinguish π^0 , which at high p_T decay to two photons that are close together, from single γ -clusters, a transverse shower-shape analysis is performed. In this method, the overall BEMC cluster energy ($E_{cluster}$), the individual BSMD strip energies (e_i), and the distances of the strips (r_i) from the center of the cluster are used. To quantify the shower shape, an observable we call the “Transverse Shower Profile” (TSP = $\frac{E_{cluster}}{\sum_i e_i r_i^{1.5}}$)

is used. The π_{rich}^0 (nearly pure sample of π^0) and γ_{rich} (enhanced fraction of γ_{dir}) samples are selected by requiring $\text{TSP} < 0.08$ and $0.2 < \text{TSP} < 0.6$, respectively, in both p+p and Au+Au collisions as shown in Fig. 1. These TSP conditions are decided based on simulation study. The associated charged particles

are selected in range $1.2 \text{ GeV}/c < p_T^{assoc}$ within $|\eta| < 1.0$, whereas π^0 and γ_{dir} are triggered within $12 < p_T^{trig} < 20 \text{ GeV}/c$. The away-side associated charged hadron yield for direct-photon trigger, assuming zero near-side γ_{dir} yield, is estimated using the following expression

$$Y_{\gamma_{dir}-h} = \frac{Y_{\gamma_{rich}-h}^a - R Y_{\pi^0-h}^a}{1-R}. \text{ Here } Y_{\gamma_{rich}-h}^a (Y_{\pi^0-h}^a) \text{ represents the away-side yield of } \gamma_{rich} (\pi^0); \text{ and } R = \frac{Y_{\gamma_{rich}-h}^n}{Y_{\pi^0-h}^n}, \text{ the ratio of near-side yield in the } \gamma_{rich}\text{-triggered correlation function to the near-side}$$

yield in the π^0 -triggered correlation function. Then $1 - R = \frac{N^{\gamma_{dir}}}{N^{\gamma_{rich}}}$, where $N^{\gamma_{dir}}$ ($N^{\gamma_{rich}}$) is the number of γ_{dir} (γ_{rich}) triggers. The values of $1 - R$, representing the fraction of γ_{dir} in the γ_{rich} trigger sample, are found to be 40% and 70% for p+p and the central Au+Au collisions. From the azimuthal angular correlation functions of γ_{rich} - and π_{rich}^0 -triggered associated charged hadrons, away-side and near-side charged hadron yields are calculated after background subtraction and the pair acceptance correction. In Au+Au collisions, the background level is modulated with an elliptic flow component in the azimuthal correlations.




Fig. 1. The probability distribution for TSP for Au+Au (upper panel) at central 0 – 12% collisions and p+p collisions (lower panel) are shown. The red and blue shaded regions represent the π_{rich}^0 and γ_{rich} samples, respectively.




Fig. 2. Left panel: The z_T dependence of π^0 - h^\pm away-side (upper) and near-side (lower) associated charged-hadron yields per trigger for Au+Au at 0-12% centrality (filled symbols) and p+p (open symbols) collisions in left panel. Right panel: The γ_{dir} - h^\pm away-side associated charged-hadron yields per trigger for Au+Au at 0-12% centrality (filled circles) and p+p (open circles) collisions. Vertical lines represent the statistical errors, and the boxes represent systematic uncertainties.




Fig. 3. The $I_{AA}^{\gamma_{\text{dir}}}$ (red squares) and $I_{AA}^{\pi^0}$ triggers (blue circles) are plotted as a function of $z_T = p_T^{\text{assoc}}/p_T^{\text{trig}}$. The points for $I_{AA}^{\gamma_{\text{dir}}}$ are shifted by +0.03 in z_T for visibility. The vertical lines represent statistical error bars and boxes represent systematic errors. The lines represent theoretical model predictions [5, 2].




Fig. 4. The values of $I_{AA}^{\gamma_{\text{dir}}}$ are plotted as a function of p_T^{assoc} (left panel) and p_T^{trig} (right panel). The solid line (broken line) represents Wang [2] (Qin [5]) model prediction. The vertical line and shaded boxes represent statistical and systematic errors, respectively.

3. Results and Discussion

The away- ($|\Delta\phi - \pi| < 1.4$) and near-side ($|\Delta\phi| < 1.4$) charged hadron associated yields for π^0 triggered are plotted as a function of z_T for Au+Au at 0-12% central and p+p collisions in Fig. 2. It is observed that away-side associated hadrons are highly suppressed at high z_T whereas that of near-side show no suppression in Au+Au relative to p+p collisions. The away-side $D(z_T)$ for γ_{dir} triggers as a function of z_T for central Au+Au and p+p collisions are plotted in Fig. 2 (right panel), which shows the associated yields are suppressed more at high- z_T compared with low- z_T .

The medium modification for γ_{dir} - and π^0 -triggered recoil jet production as a function of z_T is defined as $I_{AA} = D(z_T)^{AA}/D(z_T)^{pp}$, of the per-trigger conditional yields in Au+Au to those in p+p collisions. The away-side medium modification factor for γ_{dir} ($I_{AA}^{\gamma_{dir}}$) and π^0 ($I_{AA}^{\pi^0}$)-triggers as a function of z_T are plotted in Fig. 3. At low z_T ($0.1 < z_T < 0.3$), both $I_{AA}^{\gamma_{dir}}$ and $I_{AA}^{\pi^0}$ show less suppression than at high z_T . $I_{AA}^{\pi^0}$ and $I_{AA}^{\gamma_{dir}}$ show similar suppression within uncertainties. The theoretical model predictions, labeled as Qin [5] and Wang [2], using the same kinematic coverage for γ_{dir} -tagged away-side charged hadron yields are compared with the data. Neither model includes a redistribution of the lost energy to the lower p_T jet fragments. The $I_{AA}^{\gamma_{dir}}$ are plotted as a function of p_T^{assoc} and p_T^{trig} (for $0.3 < z_T < 0.4$) in Fig. 4. It suggests that at low- p_T^{assoc} hadrons on the away-side are not as suppressed as those at high p_T^{assoc} , whereas the away-side parton energy loss is less sensitive to the initial parton energy at p_T^{trig} range of 8 to 20 GeV/c. Both models predict the data quite well.

4. Summary

The correlation studies of γ_{dir} -hadron and π^0 -hadron are performed to understand the effect of parton energy loss in the medium formed in Au+Au, at 0-12% central collisions by comparing Au+Au with p+p collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV. It is observed that $I_{AA}^{\gamma_{dir}}$ and $I_{AA}^{\pi^0}$ show similar suppression. At low z_T , both $I_{AA}^{\gamma_{dir}}$ and $I_{AA}^{\pi^0}$ results show less suppression compared with high z_T . $I_{AA}^{\gamma_{dir}}$ doesn't show dependence on the initial parton energy in the range of $8 < p_T^{trig} < 20$ GeV/c. Two model predictions are compared with the data, and neither model includes redistribution of the lost energy to the lower p_T jet fragments.

Acknowledgments

This conference proceedings is supported by the US Department of Energy under the grant DE-FG02-07ER41485. We thank X. N. Wang and G.-Y. Qin for providing their model predictions.

References

- [1] Xin-Nian Wang and Yan Zhu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 062301 (2013). X.-N. Wang, Z. Huang, Phys. Rev. C **55** (1997) 3047.
- [2] X.-F. Chen, C. Greiner, E. Wang, X.-N. Wang and Z. Xu, Phys. Rev. C **81**, 064908 (2010); X.-N. Wang, private communication.
- [3] T. Renk, Phys. Rev. C, **74** (2006).
- [4] X.-N. Wang, Z. Huang, and I. Sarcevic, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 231 (1996).
- [5] G.-Y. Qin *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **80**, 054909 (2009).
- [6] B. I. Abelev *et al.* (STAR Collaboration), Phys. Rev. C **82**, 034909 (2010).
- [7] M. Anderson *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. **A 499**, 659 (2003).
- [8] M. Beddo *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. **A 499**, 725 (2003).