

Some studies on : quantum equivalents of non-commutative operators via commuting eigenvalue relation: PT-symmetry

Biswanath Rath

Department of Physics, Maharaja Sriram Chandra Bhanj Deo University, Takatpur, Baripada -757003, Odisha, India. e.mail:biswanathrath10@gmail.com

Abstract :

Any matrix "B" satisfying the non-commuting relation $[A, B] \neq 0$ with "A", can be used via $B^{-1}AB$ to reproduce eigenvalues of "A". This universality relation is also equally valid for any matrix in any branch of physical or social science and also any operator involving co-ordinate(x) or momentum(p). Pictorially this is represented in the following fig.

Many interesting models including logarithmic potential have been considered.

PACS no-11.30Pb, 03.65.Ge

Key words: spectral invariance, PT-symmetry, matrix model, operator, broken PT-symmetry, Un-broken PT-symmetry.




FIG.1. Pictorial view

I. Introduction

It is commonly known as any two matrices having the same dimension and non-singular in nature are basically non-commutative in nature[1],

$$AB \neq BA \quad (1)$$

Now we consider an explicit form of A or B as

Non-commutativity of matrices[1]

For example we consider two matrices as A

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 25 & 20 \\ 15 & 18 \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

having eigenvalues $\lambda_{1,2} = 39.1706; 3.8294$ and B

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 10 \\ 13 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \quad (3)$$

Here we do not pay importance to eigenvalues of B (whether reeal or complex) . However, B must be non-singular in nature. Then it is easy to show that .

$$AB \neq BA \quad (4)$$

For a pictorial representation we consider

$$\frac{AB}{10} = \begin{bmatrix} 46 & 35 \\ 35.4 & 24 \end{bmatrix} \quad (5)$$

and

$$\frac{BA}{10} = \begin{bmatrix} 35 & 34 \\ 40 & 35 \end{bmatrix} \quad (6)$$

Pictorially this is represented as in Fig-1.




FIG.1.Non-commutativity nature

As stated above, we have the freedom to change B as B_k , where $k = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$

. Below we consider a few different cases as

Hermiticity

Here we consider B_1 as a Hermitian operator as

$$B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & 10 + 3i \\ 10 - 3i & 8 \end{bmatrix} \quad (7)$$

The corresponding, transformed matrix is

$$B_1^{-1}AB_1 = \begin{bmatrix} -0.8444 + 18.6667i & -10.5556 + 16.2667i \\ 31.5556 - 30.4000i & 43.8444 - 18.6667i \end{bmatrix} \quad (8)$$

whose eigenvalues are the same as A .

PT-symmetry[2]

Here the model matrix satisfies the condition $[B_2, PT] = 0$.

$$B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \pm 2i & 10 \\ 10 & 8 \mp 2i \end{bmatrix} \quad (9)$$

.Here P stands for the parity operator having the nature $PxP^{-1} = -x$; $PpP^{-1} = -p$. Similarly T stands for the time reversal operator having the behaviour: $TxT^{-1} =$

x ; $TpT^{-1} = -p$ and $Ti = -i$ [2].. The model operators, which have been studied for long time by different authors[2-5]

$$B_2^{-1}AB_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -9.3750 + 21.8750i & -8.1250 + 24.3750i \\ 51.8750 - 10.6250i & 52.3750 - 21.8750i \end{bmatrix} \quad (10)$$

Here also , the eigenvalues are the same as A

Arbitrary non-singular matrix

Here the the matrix considered is very arbitrary in nature.For example

$$B_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \pm 2i & 10 + i \\ 10 & 8 \end{bmatrix} \quad (11)$$

$$B_3^{-1}AB_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -9.3750 + 21.8750i & -8.1250 + 24.3750i \\ 51.8750- & 8 \end{bmatrix} \quad (12)$$

In all the cases, we find that eigenvalues remain the same as A.

2. Universality of eigenvalue invariance (via commutative relation)

Let us confine to eigenvalues of $A = H$, using the relation

$$B_k = h_k H h_k^{-1} \quad (13)$$

Here the relation to be read as eigenvalues of LHS = eigenvalues of RHS. Mathematically for eigenvalue determination, it is basically a commutative relation (even though,non-commutative in general).

Below we confine our analysis on complex PT-symmetry operators in matrix as well as operator forms as given below..

3. Spectral invariance in PT-symmetry operator

Let us confine our attention to $A = H^{PT} = H$ as a PT-symmetry operator in matrix model.

Here, we consider the Hamiltonian(H) as (2x2) matrix model as

First model

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + \sqrt{3}i & 4 \\ 4 & 1 - \sqrt{3}i \end{bmatrix} \quad (14)$$

The above model has energy levels $\lambda_1 = 4.6056$ and $\lambda_2 = -2.6056$. Let us choose different forms of B_k as

$$h_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 + 4i \\ 3 - 4i & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (15)$$

The corresponding B_1 becomes

$$B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 3.2097i & -1.9107 + 4.4330i \\ -1.9107 - 4.4330i & 1 + 3.2097i \end{bmatrix} \quad (16)$$

Second model

Here we consider h_2 as

$$h_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 + 4i \\ 3 - 4i & 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (17)$$

The corresponding B_2 becomes

$$B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 5.4395i & -3.4149 + 5.5612i \\ -3.4149 - 5.5612i & 1 + 5.4395i \end{bmatrix} \quad (18)$$

Third model

Here we consider h_3 as

$$h_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 3 + 4i \\ 3 - 4i & 3 \end{bmatrix} \quad (19)$$

The corresponding B_3 becomes

$$B_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 9.6806i & -6.5981 + 7.9486i \\ -6.5981 - 7.9486i & 1 + 9.6806i \end{bmatrix} \quad (20)$$

Fourth model

Here we consider h_4 as

$$h_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 + 3i \\ 1 - 3i & 4 \end{bmatrix} \quad (21)$$

The corresponding h_4 becomes

$$B_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 - 22.1127i & -16.3806 + 15.2855i \\ -16.3806 - 15.2855i & 1 - 22.1127i \end{bmatrix} \quad (22)$$

In all the cases, eigenvalues of B_k remain the same as H . Pictorially, we have




FIG.2.Equi-eigenvalue model operators

4a. Operator model involving x and p .

Here we consider different operators as follows.

Hermitian : $h_1 + p^2 + x^2$

Let us consider a simple harmonic oscillator as h_1 i.e

$$h_1 = p^2 + x^2 \quad (23)$$

whose energy levels are real and equispaced.

$$E_n^1 = (2n + 1) \quad (24)$$

Now consider another hermitian operator as

$$h_2 = p^2 + x^4 \quad (25)$$

having real spectra [3]. As stated above h_k can also have broken spectra. Accordingly, we consider a broken spectra h_4 as

$$h_3 = p^2 + ix \quad (26)$$

whose spectra is complex see fig-3.

Similarly, we also consider another broken PT-symmetry operator as[5]

$$h_4 = p^2 + \frac{i}{x} \quad (27)$$

Now use the flowing PT symmetry operator as




FIG3: Spectra of $H = p^2 + ix$




FIG.2.Spectra of $H = p^2 + \frac{i}{x}$

The corresponding B operator is

$$B_k = h_k H_2 h_k^{-1} \quad (28)$$

Let us consider H_2 as [4,5]

$$H_2 = p^2 + ix^3 \quad (29)$$

The energy levels are tabulated in table-1.

Table-1: First five even energy levels of B_k

B_1	B_2	B_3
1.156 267	1.156 267	1.156 267
7.562 273	7.562 273	7.562 267
15.291 553	15.191 553	15.291 553
23.766 740	23.766 740	23.766 740
32.789 082	32.766 082	32.789 082
B_4	Previous[7]	Previous[4]
1.156 267	1.156 2	1.156 267
7.562 273	7.562 1	7.562 267
15.291 553	15.291 6	15.291 553
23.766 740		23.766 740

4b. Inverted quartic operator model[5-7] involving x and p .

$$H_3 = p^2 - x^4$$

The corresponding B operator is

$$B_{k=1,2,3,4} = h_{1,2,3,4} H_3 h_{1,2,3,4}^{-1} \quad (30)$$

Table-2: First five even energy levels of B_k

B_1	B_2	B_3
1.477 149 7	1.477 149 7	1.477 149 7
11.802 433 5	11.802 433 5	11.802 433 5
25.791 792 3	25.791 792 3	25.791 792 3
42.093 807 7	42.093 807 7	42.093 807 7
60.184 331 2	60.184 331 2	60.184 331 2
B_4	exact[5,6]	Previous[4]
1.477 149 7	1.477 149 7	1.477 149 7
11.802 433 5	11.802 433 5	11.802 433 5
25.791 792 3	25.791 792 3	25.791 792 4
42.093 807 7	42.093 807 7	42.093 814 5
60.184 331 2	60.184 331 2	60.185 767 6

4c. Logarithmic model quartic PT-symmetry[8] involving x and p .

$$H_4 = p^2 + x^4 \log(ix)$$

The corresponding B operator is

$$B_{k=1,2,3,4} = h_{1,2,3,4} H_4 h_{1,2,3,4}^{-1} \quad (31)$$

Here, we present results in table3.

Table-3: Energy levels of B_k

Quantum no	B_1	B_2
0	1.249 08	1.249 08
3	13.738 27	13.738 27
6	31.665 82	31.665 82
9	52.993 79	52.993 79
12	76.976 08	76.976 08
B_3	B_4	Previous[6]
0	1.249 08	1.249 08
3	13.738 27	13.738 27
6	31.665 82	31.665 82
9	52.993 79	52.993 79
12	76.976 08	76.976 08

5. Method of calculation

Here we solve the eigenvalue relation[9-11]

$$H|\Psi\rangle = E|\Psi\rangle \quad (32)$$

where

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum A_m |m\rangle \quad (33)$$

where $|m\rangle$ satisfies the eigenvalue relation[8]

$$[p^2 + x^2]|m\rangle = (2m + 1)|m\rangle \quad (34)$$

6. Conclusion

Here, we have focussed attention on PT-symmetry model both matrix and operator involving (x, p) and presented a unique spectral of Hamiltonian under the transformation. In fact, "intertwining" operators $B_k; h_k; H$. It should be borne in mind that the operators h_k be non-singular. The eigenvalues of h_k are not an important criteria on selection of B_k . It can have broken spectra also. To justify this, we have considered two potentials $V_1 = ix$ and $V_2 = \frac{i}{x}$. Lastly one can have many more operators like this, in which no specific conditions to be imposed on h_k .

Conflict of interest:

Author declares there are no conflicts of interest.

DATA Requirement : No additional data of any kind is required.

References

- [1] E.Kreyszig," Advanced Engineering Mathematics" John Wiley and Sons.Inc,Eighth Edition,India,(2011).
- [2] C.M.Bender, D.C.Brody and H.F.Jones,Complex extension of quantum mechanics,Phys.Rev.Lett.**92**,270401, (2002) ; Erratum **94**,119902, (2004).

- [3] B.Rath and H.Mavromatis,"Energy-level calculation through modified Hill determinant approach" Ind.J.Phys.**73(4)**,641-649.(1999).
- [4] C.M.Bender,Making sense of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians,Rep.Prog.Phys.Phys.**70**,947-1014, (2007).
- [5] H.F.Jones and J.Mateo, Equivalent Hermitian Hamiltonian for the non-Hermitian $-x^4$ potential, Phys.Rev.**D73**,085002 (2006).
- [6] A.Nanayakkara and T.Mathanaranjan,Equivalent Hermitian Hamiltonians for the non-hermitian Hamiltonians, Phys.Rev.**A86**,022106 (2012).
- [7] C.M.Bender and S.Boettcher,Real spectra in non-Hermitian Hamiltonians having PT-symmetry; Phys.Rev.Lett.**80(24)**,5243-5246,(1998).
- [8] C.Bender,A.Felski,S.P.Klevansky and S.Sarkar,J.Phys, PT-symmetry and renormalisation in quantum field theory, Conference Series,**2038**,012004 (2012).
- [9] B.Rath,A.Nanayakkara,P.Mallick and P.K.Samal,SUSY Quantum Mechanics for PT symmetric systems, Proc.Nat.Acad.Sci.A Phys.Sci,**88(4)**,633,(2015).
- [10] B.Rath and M.K.A.Kaabar,Math. and Comp.Sci **2(1)**,11(2021); method on generation of finite levels in Real and Complex systems, B.Rath and P.Mohapatra, Remarks on Geound state eigenvalue of the anharmonic potential $x^4 + \lambda x^6$ by high accuracy analytic functions Pablo Martin, Daniel-Diaz-Almeida and Fernando Mass [Results. Physys **18**, (2020), 103291],Results.in.Phys.**25**,104197 (2021).
- [11] B.Rath(unpublished).