

A Dynamical model for non-geometric quantum black holes

Euro Spallucci^{1,*} and Anaïs Smailagic^{1,†}

¹*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Trieste and INFN, Sezione di Trieste, Trieste, Italy*

It has been recently proposed that quantum black holes can be described as N -graviton Bose-Einstein condensates. In this picture the quantum properties of BHs “... can be understood in terms of the single number N ” [1]. However, so far, the dynamical origin of the occupational number N has not been specified. This description is alternative to the usual one, where black holes are believed to be well described geometrically even at the quantum level. In this paper we pursue the former point of view and develop a non-geometrical, dynamical, model of quantum black holes (BHs). In our model the occupational number N turns out to be the principal quantum number n of a Planckian harmonic oscillator. The so-called “*classicalization*” corresponds to the large- n limit, where the Schwarzschild horizon is recovered.

PACS numbers: 04.60.Bc, 13.85.Lg

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum black holes (BHs) have become an important subject of research since the original paper by Hawking, where the decay, through thermal radiation of nuclear size BHs was shown for the first time. Nevertheless, in spite of their sub-atomic size the widely accepted view is that they remain classical objects described by solutions of the Einstein equations. Only matter is treated in terms of quantum field theory. This is known as the “semi-classical” approach and draws its justification from the common believe that quantum gravity itself is relevant only at the Planck scale. The outcome of this approach is that no plausible description of the final BH phase is available in this scenario, leading to an endless, and unsettled, debate on the “information paradox” [2–5].

In this framework the most promising approach to the resolution of all above problems seemed to be offered by string theory, which is, so far, the only self-consistent, anomaly-free, theory incorporating gravity at the quantum level. Nevertheless, in spite of the successful counting of BH quantum degrees of freedom, consistent with area law, the actual calculations still rely on stringy improved *classical metrics* [6].

A different point of view is based on the idea that QBHs are not describable in terms of classical geometry [1]. A completely new, genuinely quantum, approach is needed which only in, a properly defined classical limit, will reproduce the known geometrical properties. The above point of view has been laid down in [1, 7–11], where a quantum BH is a self-sustaining bound-state of N soft gravitons. This bound state is described as a potential well of width $\sqrt{N}L_P$ and depth $\hbar/\sqrt{N}L_P$. The BH total mass energy is given by $M = \sqrt{N}M_P$ and its Hawking temperature is $\hbar/\sqrt{N}L_P$.

For the sake of chronological record, very similar, border-

ing equivalent ideas, have been already presented, many years ago in [12], where small BHs were described as in a purely quantum mechanical framework in terms of a potential wells of finite depth, and width corresponding to the classical horizon radius.

In this paper, we dig out and update the same idea in view of the recent developments in quantum gravity based on the celebrated *Holographic Principle* [13–15].

It affirms that the dynamics of a general quantum gravitational system *is all encoded into its boundary*. One of the most transparent realization of this principle is through the the famous Area Law relating the entropy of a semi-classical BH to its horizon area.

In this paper, we shall promote a classical horizon to a genuine quantum degree of freedom and construct its wave equation. In other words, a classically non-dynamical, well define, boundary is allowed to undergo quantum fluctuations. One may expect that a genuine quantum mechanical approach will give raise to a suitable quantum number that will be finally identified with the occupational number N in [1].

In Section (II) we formulate a classical model for a microscopic, “breathing” BH, and solve the equation of motion. This model serves as a starting point for the successive formulation of the quantum wave equation.

In Section (III) we analyze the quantum behavior of the BH horizon and obtain the quantized energy levels. Finally, we describe the classical limit of the quantum model.

II. THE “BREATHING” HORIZON

One of the expectations in future LHC experiments is the appearance of signals indicating the presence of Planck scale micro BHs, at least, as virtual intermediate states [4, 16–20]. In other words they will be just another structure in the elementary particle zoo. From this point of view, it is hardly justifiable that these quantum gravitational excitations can somehow defy the law of quantum mechanics and be described on the same geometrical terms as their cosmic cousins of a million, or

*spallucci@ts.infn.it

†anaïs@ts.infn.it

so, solar masses. Oddly enough, so far this has been the dominant point of view. Occasionally, in the distant past a few dissonant ideas have been put forward and largely ignored [21, 22]. Nevertheless, very recently the same line of thinking of non-geometric and purely quantum mechanical description has been proposed as the alternative to the standard view. In order to be completely clear, one is not thinking in terms of any quantum version of Einstein General Relativity, but rather in purely particle like quantum mechanical formulation. The classical geometrical picture is expected to emerge in a suitable classical limit of the quantum picture.

If the reader really believes that a sub-nuclear size BH can be trustfully described as a classical solution of the Einstein equations, he/she is suggested to stop reading this paper to avoid confusion between geometric and non-geometric description of BHs. In what follows we shall introduce a particle-like model of micro BHs, where the only link with the classical geometric description is the linear relation between its size and total mass-energy.

A. Classical model

In the absence of any quantum equation to start with, one has to develop a suitable quantization procedure of some classical, particle-like, model. What should such a classical model represent?

Certainly, not the dynamics of a classical BH seen as a single particle subject to external forces. The main obstacle for quantizing the internal dynamics of a classical BH is that its horizon is a geometrical statical surface. Thus, canonical quantization has no classical counterpart to start with.

Therefore, as a first step, the static horizon has to be given a proper dynamics. In other words, it will be assigned its own kinetic energy and allowed to evolve in time.

Furthermore, in the case of spherically symmetric BH the problem reduces to the single, radial coordinate which now is allowed to “*breath*” achieving maximum “lung capacity” at the classical Schwarzschild radius $r_+ = 2MG_N$.

It should be clear by now, that in order to be able to describe microscopic quantum BHs, which are completely different from cosmic objects, one is forced to leave the safe ground of General Relativity and head towards an “uncharted territory”.

We propose the following relativistic Hamiltonian describing the horizon breathing mode

$$H(r, p) \equiv \sqrt{p^2 + \frac{r^2}{4G_N^2}} \quad (1)$$

where, p is the canonical radial momentum. As the system is conservative for any classical solution the Hamiltonian is a constant of motion:

$$H = E \quad (2)$$

Using the Hamilton equation

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial r} = -\dot{p} = \frac{r}{4G_N^2 E} \quad (3)$$

together with

$$p = \sqrt{E^2 - \frac{r^2}{4G_N^2}} \quad (4)$$

one finds

$$\dot{r}^2 = 1 - \frac{r^2}{4G_N^2 E^2} \quad (5)$$

Choosing the initial condition as:

$$r(t=0) = 0. \quad (6)$$

one finds the solution:

$$r(t) = 2G_N E \sin(\omega t) \quad (7)$$

$$\omega \equiv \frac{2\pi}{T} = \frac{1}{2G_N E} \quad (8)$$

The oscillation starts from the origin $r = 0$ at $t = 0$ and reaches the maximum elongation, at the Schwarzschild radius $r_+ = 2G_N E$, after half a period $t = T/2$. This is possible because we allow a continuous exchange between kinetic and potential energy, contrary to a static, fixed size, solution of the classical Einstein equations.

In order to be able to confront classical and quantum results, to be obtained in the next Section, we calculate the classical mean values for r_+ and r_+^2 defined as time averages over half period $T/2 = \pi G_N E$

$$\hat{r} \equiv \frac{1}{\pi G_N E} \int_0^{\pi G_N E} dt r(t) = \frac{4}{\pi} G_N E = \frac{2}{\pi} r_+ \quad (9)$$

$$\hat{r}^2 \equiv \frac{1}{\pi G_N E} \int_0^{\pi G_N E} dt r^2(t) = 2G_N^2 E^2 = \frac{1}{2} r_+^2 \quad (10)$$

We see that $\hat{r} > r_+/2$ contrary to what one would naively expect. The physical reason is that the particle spends more time close to r_+ where the approaching speed tends to zero.

In order to avoid possible misunderstanding, we remark that the model introduced in this section, is not equivalent to classical BH solution of Einstein equations. It has, however, something in common, i.e. the maximal extension of its boundary is equal to the geometric Schwarzschild radius.

III. QUANTIZATION OF THE BREATHING HORIZON

Equation (2) is the starting point for the quantization of the system. As we were working in a relativistic framework already at the classical level, the corresponding quantum equation will be of relativistic type as well. By applying the canonical substitution rule

$$p \longrightarrow i \frac{d}{dr}, \quad (\hbar \equiv 1) \quad (11)$$

we find the horizon wave equation

$$\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^2 \frac{d\psi}{dr} \right) + \left(E^2 - \frac{r^2}{4G_N^2} \right) \psi = 0 \quad (12)$$

where, ψ is normalized as:

$$4\pi \int_0^\infty dr r^2 \psi^* \psi = 1 \quad (13)$$

Since the intention is to interpret $\psi(r)$ as the wave-function of a quantized Schwarzschild-like horizon, we have limited ourselves to “s-wave” states only. It is in principle possible to allow quantum fluctuations with non-vanishing angular momentum, but since this is the first step towards a non-geometric quantum BHs, we limit ourselves, in this paper, to the simplest possible case. We plan to treat the general model in a future publication. At this point, several comments are in order.

- One may wonder why equation (12) is not written in a Schwarzschild background geometry instead of flat space. The answer is that we are not treating the back-reaction problem of a quantum particle in a classical Schwarzschild space-time. Rather, the “particle” is the horizon itself, and $\psi(r)$ encodes the uncertainty in the horizon radius.
- A second reason justifying the form of the wave equation (12) is the holographic principle. The whole quantum dynamics of the BH must be described in terms of a wave-function for the horizon only, and *not* in terms of any bulk geometry, whatever it is.
- Finally, quantization naturally leads to a “fuzzy” horizon which cannot be meaningfully described in terms of a classical smooth surface. The very distinction between the “interior” and “exterior” of the BH is no more significant than the distinction between the interior/exterior of a quantum wave-packet.

The solution turns out to be:

$$\psi_n(r^2/2G_N) = N_n e^{-r^2/4G_N} L_n^{1/2}(r^2/2G_N) \quad (14)$$

where

$$N_n = \frac{\sqrt{n!}}{2\sqrt{\sqrt{2}\pi G_N^{3/2}} \Gamma(n+3/2)} \quad (15)$$

The corresponding quantum BH mass spectrum [23, 24] is:

$$E_n^2 = \frac{1}{G_N} \left(2n + \frac{3}{2} \right), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (16)$$

First thing to remark is the existence of a *ground state* energy, or zero-point energy, near the Planck mass:

$$E_{n=0} = 1.22 \times M_{Pl}. \quad (17)$$

Contrary to the semi-classical description where the mass can be arbitrary small, we find that in a genuine quantum description the mass spectrum is bounded from below by $E_{n=0}$. In this model the quantization solves the problem of the ultimate stage of any process involving emission or absorption of energy. Neither “naked singularity” nor empty Minkowski space-time are allowed as final stage of the BH decay. The standard thermodynamical picture loses its meaning since we are in a true quantum regime.

The excited states are equidistant much like in the case of an harmonic oscillator.

Having acquired the notion that Planckian BHs are quite different objects from their classical “cousins”, we would like to address the question of how to consistently connect Planckian and semi-classical BHs. As usual, one assumes that the quantum system approaches the semi-classical one in the “large- n ” limit in which the energy spectrum becomes continuous. Before doing so, let us first consider the radial density describing the probability of finding the particle at distance r from the origin, define as $p(r) \equiv 4\pi r^2 |\psi|^2$:

$$p_n(x) = \frac{2n!}{\Gamma(n+3/2)} x^2 e^{-x^2} \left(L_n^{1/2}(x^2) \right)^2, \quad (18)$$

$$x \equiv r/\sqrt{2G_N} \quad (19)$$

The local maxima in figure(1) represent the most probable size of the Planckian BH. These maxima are solutions of the equation

$$(1 - x^2 + 4n) L_n^{1/2}(x^2) - 2(2n+1/2) L_{n-1}^{1/2}(x^2) = 0 \quad (20)$$

Equation (20) cannot be solved analytically, but its large- n limit can be evaluated as follows. First, perform the division $L_n^{1/2}/L_{n-1}^{1/2}$, and then write

$$L_n^{1/2}(x^2) = P_2(x^2) L_{n-1}^{1/2}(x^2) + R_{n-2}(x^2) \quad (21)$$




FIG. 1: Plot of the function $p_{n=60}(x)$, (continuous line) vs classical probability (dashed line). For large n the position of the peak approaches the classical Schwarzschild radius $r_+ = 2EG_N$.

where,

$$P_2 = \frac{a_n}{b_{n-1}} (x^2 - 2n + 1/2) \quad (22)$$

$$R_{n-2} = c_{n-2}x^{2n-4} + \dots, \\ = -(n-1)(n-1/2) a_n x^{2n-4} + \dots \quad (23)$$

By inserting equation (21) in equation (20) and by keeping terms up order x^{2n-2} , the equation for maxima turns into

$$[x^2 - 2n - 1] [x^2 - 2n + 1/2] + [2n + 1] \frac{b_{n-1}}{a_n} = \\ = (n-1)(n-1/2) \quad (24)$$

where the coefficients are given by

$$a_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!}, \quad (25)$$

$$b_{n-1} = \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \quad (26)$$

Equation (24), for large n reduces to

$$3n^2 = (x^2 - 2n)^2 \\ x^2 = 2n + \sqrt{3}n = 3.73n$$

On the other hand, the classical radius of the horizon is obtained as

$$\frac{r_+^2}{2G_N} = 2G_N E^2 = 4n \quad (27)$$

which leads to

$$x_+^2 = 4n \quad (28)$$

Thus, we find that most probable value of r approaches the horizon radius r_+ for $E \gg M_p$, restoring the (semi)classical picture of BH.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have presented the dynamics of a genuine quantum BH in a non-geometrical framework. First, in the absence of non-geometrical description of classical BHs, we have envisaged a classical model for an harmonically oscillating spherical surface whose maximum radius turns out to be the classical Schwarzschild radius. This model was necessary as a starting point to obtain its quantum version through a canonical quantization procedure. We would like to stress that the world “classical” refers still to a small object compared to cosmic BHs of astronomical size. In this way we have realized a dynamical that naturally incorporates the qualitative ideas underlying the non-geometrical approach by Dvali and co-workers. To be more precise one can identify their characteristic occupational number N with our principal quantum number n in (17) as

$$N = 4n \quad (29)$$

This identification allows to set the analogy between graviton BEC and excited states in our model. Equation (17) describes a spectrum of equidistant energy levels as in the quantum harmonic oscillator case. This admits a re-interpretation as *many-body* system, e.g. “ N -gravitons” rather than a single-particle spectrum. Furthermore, both in our case as well as in [1] the mass of the QBH is

$$E \propto \sqrt{N} \quad (30)$$

and the quantum behavior is characterized by a single integer N , or n , counting the number of “constituents”.

Our model confirms, in a surprisingly simple manner, the growing believe that Planckian scale BHs behave in a profoundly different way from classical gravitationally collapsed objects. Finally, an unexpected feature of the quantum behavior is to turn the “dreadful” classical BHs into an harmless quantum “black” particles.

- tion Versus the Information Paradox,” arXiv:1509.04645 [hep-th].
- [3] S. W. Hawking, “The Information Paradox for Black Holes,” arXiv:1509.01147 [hep-th].
 - [4] X. Calmet, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **32**, no. 4, 045007 (2015)
 - [5] S. D. Mathur, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **462**, no. 1, 012034 (2013).
 - [6] T. Ortin “Gravity and Strins”, Cambridge Univ. Press (2004), pp.405-590
 - [7] G. Dvali and C. Gomez, *JCAP* **1207**, 015 (2012)
 - [8] G. Dvali and C. Gomez, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74**, 2752 (2014)
 - [9] R. Casadio and A. Orlandi, “Quantum Harmonic Black Holes,” *JHEP* **1308**, 025 (2013)
 - [10] R. Casadio and A. Orlandi, “Quantum Harmonic Black Holes (Proceeding of the Karl Schwarzschild Meeting 2013),” arXiv:1310.6216 [gr-qc].
 - [11] G. Dvali, C. Gomez, R. S. Isermann, D. Lst and S. Stieberger, *Nucl. Phys. B* **893**, 187 (2015)
 - [12] E. Spallucci, *Lett. Nuovo Cim.* **20**, 391 (1977).
 - [13] L. Susskind, “The World as a hologram,” *J. Math. Phys.* **36**, 6377 (1995)
 - [14] L. Susskind and E. Witten, “*The Holographic bound in anti-de Sitter space*” hep-th/9805114.
 - [15] G. ’t Hooft, “*The Holographic principle: Opening lecture*” hep-th/0003004.
 - [16] X. Calmet, W. Gong and S. D. H. Hsu, *Phys. Lett. B* **668**, 20 (2008)
 - [17] X. Calmet, D. Fragkakis and N. Gausmann, “Non Thermal Small Black Holes,” chapter 8 in A.J. Bauer and D.G.Eiffel ed. “Black Holes: Evolution, Theory and Thermodynamics”, Nova Publishers, New York, (2012). [arXiv:1201.4463 [hep-ph]].
 - [18] X. Calmet and N. Gausmann, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **28**, 1350045 (2013) [arXiv:1209.4618 [hep-ph]].
 - [19] A. Belyaev and X. Calmet, *JHEP* **1508**, 139 (2015)
 - [20] X. Calmet, *Fundam. Theor. Phys.* **178**, pp. (2015).
 - [21] M. A. Markov, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **24**, no. 3, 584 (1967) [*Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **51**, no. 3, 878 (1967)].
 - [22] M. A. Markov and V. P. Frolov, *Teor. Mat. Fiz.* **13**, 41 (1972).
 - [23] E. Spallucci and A. Smailagic, *Phys. Lett. B* **743**, 472 (2015)
 - [24] E. Spallucci and A. Smailagic, “Semi-classical approach to quantum black holes”, arXiv:1410.1706 [gr-qc].