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Summary

The term ‘quc’ is shorthand here for a cosmological—not a physical—‘quantum-universe constituent’. Although self-adjoint Hilbert-space angular-momentum and momentum operators unitarily generate quc rotations and spatial displacements, no single-quc is a physical ‘thing’. Photons, 3 generations of fermions, plus massive vector and scalar bosons—all physicist-deemed ‘elementary’—as well as dark matter, galaxies and black holes, within an evolving universe are, we propose, each a temporary ‘quc family’ that aggregates 8 Noether-conserved quc attributes. No ‘thing’ is elementary.

Here proposed is a thing-devoid ‘von-Neumann big bang’—that established a huge but finite and permanent set of speed-c chiral qucs, with ‘masses’ $Mh/2tc^2$, where $M = 1, 2, ..., M_{max}$ and $\tau$ is ‘universe age’. (‘Quc-mass’, although not physically-meaningful, has the same dimensionality as any thing’s ‘mass’.)

Quc-aggregate (thing) creations and disappearances—i.e., ‘evolution’—are symmetry-governed by an 8-parameter ‘centered-Lorentz’ (CL) Lie group. CL’s 6-parameter SL (2, c) Riemann-geometric ‘exterior’ of quc rotations and hyperbolic spatial displacements, has a conserved algebra of quc angular-momentum together with quc momentum times $\tau$. A 2-parameter non-geometric CL-central algebra comprises a pair of discrete coupled and conserved quc attributes—electric charge and ‘chirality’. Chirality—without any physics counterpart—renders CL a supersymmetry and provides our present universe with nuclear forces—‘strong interactions’.

Aggregate evolution, with a fixed and finite set of qucs, proceeds via a Schrödinger equation whose CL-invariant self-adjoint non-diagonalizable hamiltonian has potential-energies dependent on correlated quc electric-charges and ‘masses’. Hamiltonian expectation specifies total universe energy. Gravitational and electromagnetic quc-pair retarded-potentials have (we propose) led without ‘uncertainty’, from a purely ‘bachelor-quc’ big-bang at $\tau_0 = G^{1/2}$ (if $\hbar = c = 1$) starting-age (~10^{-43} sec), to the currently-evolving thing-assortment (that includes the reader).

Bachelor-quc population continues presently to far-exceed that within things, but the hamiltonian momentarily is binding certain low kinetic-energy quc subsets into ‘families’—e.g., chiral quc-pair vector bosons and semi-chiral quc-pair neutrinos. Physical masses, for 3 generations of quc-trio charged leptons and quarks, relate to ‘Higgs-cores’ that each pairs qucs of zero chirality but nonzero opposite-sign electric charges—of equal 3-valued magnitudes.
Introduction

Hamiltonian-evolving Gelfand-Dirac-Riemann big-bang quantum cosmology differs profoundly from Einstein’s ‘general relativity’, whose gravity emphasis ignored both electromagnetism and geometrical quantum-universe evolution from a beginning. Pursuing Milne’s thinking rather than Einstein’s, we here spatio-temporally locate our universe inside a forward Lorentz-Minkowski light-cone. The latter (cosmological, not physical) notion—a geometrically-curved, hyperbolically-unbounded universe—associates to a remarkable Lie group that first received physicist attention in Faraday-Maxwell (classical) electromagnetic theory.

‘Special-relativistic’ quantum-field-theoretic (QFT) physics-association of 'boosts' to this group, as well as to its SL (2, c) semi-simple counterpart, employs (Feynman) 4-vector momenta—not 4-vector Dirac-coordinates. Cosmological Riemann-geometric (quc—not field) ‘boostable 4-vectors’ comprise a set of Dirac’s coordinates—not of his momenta.

This paper recognizes two different meanings for the term 'momentum'. Dirac meaning (hamiltonian-accompanying) is the Hilbert-space self-adjoint ‘canonical-conjugate’ of a ‘Dirac coordinate’; Riemann meaning is an algebra member of a geometrical Lie group. For the physics-employed (6-parameter) Euclidian geometrical group the two meanings coincide; for the here-employed (6-parameter) geometrical SL (2, c), they do not—due to Riemannian curvature of Milne’s cosmologically-geometrical unbounded hyperbolic ‘base’ 3-space.

A Lie-group contraction as universe age passes to infinity relates cosmological (Milne-Riemann) to physical (Euclidian) geometrical groups. But present universe age, although huge, is finite—our universe’s present character being, still, far from that of its distant future.

‘Age’ of any spacetime location—not Hubble’s photon-redshift-based age, although roughly-relatable thereto via the local-rapidity detected in cosmic background radiation (CBR)—is here (following Milne’s thinking) defined to be the SL (2, c)-invariant ‘Minkowski distance’—not a geometric distance—from the light-cone vertex. Common-age spatial locations occupy a hyperbolic ‘base’ 3-space with curved Riemann geodesics. This curvature is proportional to the inverse of (positive, cosmological) universe age—which here will be denoted by the symbol \( \tau \).

According to this paper, universe-birth-age, \( \tau_0 \), was \( G^{15} \) (roughly \( 10^{-43} \) sec). In the units here-employed, where \( c = \hbar = 1 \), \( G \) is Newton's gravitational constant. (Late in this paper, units will be employed where \( G \) also equals 1.)

Uncovered by CBR measurement (at current age) has been a \( g^2 \) (‘fine-structure constant’)-order of magnitude rapidity for Mach-emphasized angular-momentum 'clumps' (that are huge at earth-scale). Mach never addressed \( g^2 \) issues, which involve cosmology with Hilbert space. The present paper’s hyperbolic 3-space accommodates (retarded) classical gravitational and electromagnetic fields,\(^{1}\) but a ‘hamiltonian quantum universe’ (hqu) might seem impossible. Problematic has been absence of finite-dimensional unitary SL (2, c) Hilbert-space representation—an absence that perplexed and frustrated Dirac--functioning as a physicist.\(^{2}\)

In Ref. (1) the present author has proposed hqu feasibility through a Gell-Mann-evocative electro-extension of the unitary unboundedly-dimensional Hilbert-space SL (2, c)-representation uncovered in 1946 by (mathematician) Gelfand and described (two decades later) in a book by Naimark.\(^{2}\) A finite and fixed (although huge) set of SL (2, c)-governed ‘quantum-universe constituents’—cosmological (not physical) entities—we have dubbed ‘qucs’. Quantum fields enjoy no hqu (cosmological) status.
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We propose that hqu evolution, which includes recent (Darwin) evolution of earth-located conscious matter, has occurred through perpetual rearrange-ability of a huge although finite quc-set by ‘force’-generating, retarded electro and gravitational inter-quc potentials within a non-diagonalizable self-adjoint hamiltonian that is invariant under an 8-parameter ‘centered-Lorentz’ (CL) Lie group.

CL’s 6-element SL (2, c) Riemann-geometric ‘exterior’ combines quc rotations with (fixed-τ) curved (hyperbolic) 3-space quc displacements—a semi-simple analog of the physics-foundational, flat-3-space, 6-element Euclidean group.

CL’s 2-element center comprises non-geometric 'electro-chiral' compact quantum-theoretic quc-displacements. Chirality—without physics status—was exposed, although ignored, by Gelfand. (2) Certain chiral-electro, quark-related, forces may be described as 'nuclear'. Quc-mass-generated forces have, within physics history, been called 'gravitational'. Mathematical definition will here be given for chirality—the name we have chosen for the remarkable quantum-cosmological notion uncovered (but ignored) by Gelfand in his ‘regular’ infinite-dimensional Hilbert-space unitary-representation of SL(2,c)---that may be seen as employing (hamiltonian-accompanying) Dirac-coordinates. (2)

The here-proposed CL-invariant hamiltonian adds single-quc kinetic energies (that ignore both chirality and electric charge) to retarded quc-pair gravitational and electromagnetic potential-energies. ‘Super-symmetrically’ (via chirality), the hqu ‘state-vector’ has evolved by a Schrödinger equation, as universe-age τ has advanced from the positive Planck-scale ‘big-bang’ starting-age, τ₀ = G½ (with c = h = 1). Thereby, at any age greater than or equal to τ₀, total universe-energy equals hamiltonian-expectation.

The present paper attends to a correlation with universe start of the hamiltonian (inter-quc, retarded) gravitational potentials. Involved is a finite, although huge, set of von-Neumann phase-space (4) ‘quc-masses’—the quotation marks here emphasizing c-magnitude for any quc velocity. Direction of the latter is specified by a pair of Dirac quc-coordinates.

A large-τ hqu-approximate—because gravity-ignoring—‘conformal’ symmetry allows a renormalizable physical QFT to be based on (hqu-absent) ‘boost-able’ (Feynman) energy-momentum 4-vectors. This physics energy-momentum ‘boost’ notion lacks counterpart in Riemann-geometrically-gravitational, chirally-electromagnetic, Hamilton-dynamical, Gelfand-Dirac (GD) quantum cosmology.

‘Measurement’ is a vague notion that many ‘Copenhagen’ physicists attempted to render quantum-theoretically foundational. Here the author sides with Einstein, Schrödinger and Gell-Mann (disregarding Bohr, Born, Bell, Dirac, Fermi, Oppenheimer, Pauli, Heisenberg, Schwinger, Tomonaga and many distinguished others). Ignoring measurement, Ref. (1) defines ‘hqu reality’ (for τ > τ₀) via universe-ray expectations of certain self-adjoint GD Hilbert-space operators—expectations that represent current-densities of electric charge and energy-momentum.

In defining real energy-momentum current density, Reference (1) erroneously associated 'quc energy' meaning to the symbol, M--here denoting a positive-integer proportional to discrete 'von Neumann quc mass'. (Odd-M values accompany negative quc electric charge while even M accompany positive. The M-integer of an electrically-neutral quc may be either even or odd.)
Spanning a real line is the continuous spectrum of the self-adjoint quc-energy operator—a Dirac-momentum that does not represent an (additive) Noether-conserved quc attribute. Various other correctable errors in Reference (1) are less foundational.

It is pedagogically unfortunate that the mathematical term, ‘expectation’, carries in 'ordinary' language a probabilistic meaning. Confusion has been increased by 'measurement' association with (philosopher-recognized, Darwin-evolution-related) conscious-thing ‘free will’.

In the following section, the magnitude of Noether-conserved electric-charge distinguishes ‘dark’ qucs and ‘baryonic’ qucs from qucs that are ‘bright’. Bridging of ‘quantum-classical gap’ by the bright (although tiny) quc charges within photons and electrons underlay humanity’s glorious (physical) twentieth-century atomic-molecular science.

Long-before human physicists and physics, early-universe collaboration between gravity and chiral-electromagnetism we believe created dark, bright and baryonic energetic quc-aggregates—no aggregates having been present at a von-Neumann-gaussian ‘quantum phase-space’ 4 ‘purely-bachelor-quc’ hqu-beginning—a start we believe to be mathematically specifiable. The present paper offers a math-candidate for ‘big-bang commencement’.

Noether-conserved by the hqu hamiltonian are electric charge, angular-momentum and Riemann-momentum times age—7 aggregate-able quc-attributes with names familiar in human languages. The name 'chirality', as here employed, is not ‘familiar’. (In the past, this word has been assigned a variety of meanings different from that employed here.) Aggregates comprise all ‘things’, including photons, leptons, quarks and dark matter, while not including a bachelor-quc ‘reservoir’—presently still a quc-majority, with high kinetic energies that resist aggregation.

Hqu-aggregate meaning for ‘matter’ accompanies a central non-geometric CL-algebra—of electric charge and chirality—that joins geometric (exterior) Riemann-momentum (times \( r \)) and (Wigner) angular momentum. Quc-aggregates (‘things’) additively carry 8 Noether-conserved quc attributes. The latter differ from (non-conserved) aggregate attributes such as size and shape (e.g., ‘photon double-helix’)—features un-attributable to any single quc.

A discrete von-Neumann quc-attribute, ‘quc-mass’ (non-Noether, not additive), enjoys an extraordinary hqu status that demotes—to large-\( r \), almost-flat 3-space, group-contraction approximations—such QFT concepts as energy conservation, particle mass and quark color. Dirac quantum theory—employing ‘complete’ sets of commuting self-adjoint Hilbert-space operators (csco’s)—3 and Gelfand’s unitary Hilbert-space representation of SL (2, \( c \))—2 have in Ref. (1) led the author to recognize the conserved CL-central non-geometric quc attribute, ‘chirality’, a GD-momentum whose dimensionality—the same as that of (geometric) angular momentum—differs from (and is independent of) the dimensionalities of electric charge and energy.

Notions of electric charge, of energy and of momentum and angular momentum have, in physics history, enjoyed continuous and discrete, classical and quantum, Noether-conserved status. Chirality is a non-geometric, purely-discrete, purely-quantum, conserved hqu feature that facilitates large-age approximate physics notions of particle masses and nuclear forces (‘strong interactions’). Surprising to the author has been (finite-age) cosmological absence of (Noether) energy-conservation.

The following section calls a quc ‘dark’ if its charge-integer \( Q \) is 0 and ‘bright’ if \( Q = \pm 3 \). With \( Q = \pm 1 \) or \( \pm 2 \), perhaps confusingly, we call a quc ‘baryonic’. A pair of zero-chirality while oppositely-charged (bright or baryonic) constituent-qucs gives, to each of 3
different 'massive-elementary-fermion' generations, a 'Higgs core' —that facilitates an approximate (physics) meaning for ‘charged-fermion mass’.

A single charged ‘valence’ quc, of nonzero mass and with chirality either +1 or −1, specifies a massive-fermion electric charge. Dirac-superposition of +1 and −1 valence-quc chiralities (‘Dirac-doubling’) specifies massive-fermion rapidity. In quarks, the (mean) masses of baryonic (not bright) valence-qucs serve ‘color duty’—by locating either 'below', 'comparable to' or 'above' the quark’s Higgs-core mass. [In a charged-lepton, the (mean) mass of the bright valence-quc is, we believe, always smaller than the lepton’s (mean, Higgs) core-mass.]

We believe the present-universe content called ‘GUT’ by QFT is provided by ‘chiral-electromagnetic’ hqu 'nuclear forces’, without need for (a ‘foundational’) color. The impressive successes of ‘color physics’ the author expects to be exceeded as understanding of quantum cosmology advances.

Electric-charge extension of Gelfand’s Hilbert space allows gravity plus chirality-guided electromagnetism to be represented by quc-pair retarded-potentials within the hqu hamiltonian. CL-algebra Noether-associates conservation of 8 universe attributes, as evolution proceeds via a Schrödinger equation, to CL-invariance of a (non-diagonalizable) hamiltonian whose expectation specifies total universe-energy. (The physics notion of 'stationary state' is cosmologically untenable.)

Definition of baryon number via quc electric charge (1) maintains Gell-Mann’s relation between a quark’s charge and its baryon-number. But one quc (with kinetic although no potential energy) is not an aggregate; one quark is (approximately) a chiral-electromagnetically-stabilized aggregate of 3 different qucs. (1) Von-Neumann big-bang established a permanent finite set of discretely-different, 2τc2-spaced, positive ‘quc masses’. Speed-c qucs, of common charge and chirality but unequal 'masses', differ from each other (regardless of their continuous positive kinetic energies).

Hyperbolic (geometric) 3-space curvature varies inversely with τ, being completely τ-determined (unrelated to energy-density). Hqu-age τ presently is so large that Euclid’s flat 3-space geometry (used in astronomy, ‘non-relativistic’ physics and QFT) provides an accurate basis for (‘Popper’, human) science. But, for big-bang quantum cosmology, the huge curvature of early-universe non-Euclidean hyperbolic (Riemann-geometric) 3-space was essential.

Although for human astronomy and physics (including ‘black-hole’ description) Euclidean geometry suffices, early-hqu ‘bootstrap’-evolution of bright-quc-pair (‘double-helix’) photon-aggregates occurred when 3-space curvature was enormous compared to that currently prevailing. A ‘theory of everything’ requires attention to our universe’s history at ages when the earliest photons were evolving—via gravity plus chiral-electromagnetism. Photon evolution—to its current foundational status in human-physics—depended on gravity as well as on chiral-electromagnetism.

Risking reader confusion with (non-Riemannian) ‘general relativity’, we apply the term ‘inflation’ to the early hqu era of enormous while rapidly-decreasing 3-space curvature—between big bang at a Planck-scale age τb > 0 with no aggregated matter, and ages by which photon-aggregates had begun to emerge. Absence of early-evolution dynamics has heretofore left mysterious how photons (and QFT’s ‘color’) developed during inflation. Hamiltonian ‘double-helix quc-bootstrap’ photon evolution, we believe, bridged an 'inflation gap'.

QFT has impressively represented human-observed particulate matter as composed of a finite set of ‘elementary’ particles; but because Euclidean geometry and ‘boost-able’(Feynman)
energy-momentum 4-vectors are foundational for QFT, the latter cannot explain *how* (so-called) ‘elementary’ particulate-matter developed. Astronomical observation of *QFT-incomprehensible* non-particulate ‘dark matter’ has encouraged a widely-held opinion (evidently shared by the author) that *arbitrariness* of QFT’s large set of ‘elementary-particle’ masses rules QFT *out* as ‘final theory’.

We expect GD Riemannian-Hamiltonian quc dynamics (via chiral-electro *and* gravitational forces) to elucidate the origin not only of QFT’s photons but of its *massive* ‘elementary’ bosons and 3 ‘generations’ of fermions. Hqu representation of the latter is discussed in a Ref. (1) appendix whose content (including neutrinos) will here be extended. (*Absence* of zero-chirality qucs from *any* of the QFT 'elementary' vector-bosons deserves immediate attention.)

The present paper deals with *quantum*-theoretic aspects of hyperbolic (non-Euclidean) Riemannian geometry. Quc interpretation of Gelfand math allows revival of hamiltonian-based Dirac quantum theory. (3) Appendices of Ref. (1) suggest that ‘elemental’ 7-valued quc electric-charge and 3-valued chirality *together* have allowed evolution of an initially aggregate-free hqu into current *galactic-scale* dark matter plus *micro-scale* particles, *some* of the latter collectively composing *macro-scale* condensed matter. *Here* we refine specification of the hamiltonian *gravitational* potential energy.

We propose an *initial* occupation of quc Hilbert *phase-space*—by a von-Neumann big-bang bachelor-quc collection—that correlated *sign* of quc electric charge with quc *mass*. Subsequent quc ‘marriages’, via a *first-order* (Schrödinger) differential equation, have evolved a cosmological (*not* physical) hqu Hilbert vector—creating not only ‘elementary’ particles (such as photons, leptons and quarks) plus dark matter, but aggregates to which humans have yet to assign names. Perpetual 8-element supersymmetry we believe essential to our universe’s evolution of macro-scale ‘conscious’ condensed-matter aggregates such as this paper’s author and readers.

**CL. Definition: Central-Extension of Gelfand’s Unitary SL(2,c)-Representation**

The initial ($\tau = \tau_0$) hqu Hilbert vector—a von-Neumann phase-space (4) ‘thingless big-bang’—has evolved, we propose, via a $\tau$-dependent non-diagonalizable hamiltonian that is invariant under an 8-parameter Lie group—CL. The latter comprises a semi-simple 6-parameter SL (2, c) ‘Riemann-geometric exterior’—of quc rotations and *curved* 3-space displacements—*plus* a non-geometric 2-parameter 'chiral-electro' center (commuting with full CL). This paper’s present section defines (for any post-big-bang age) the Lie group we call 'CL'.

SL (2, c) lacks *finite*-dimensional *unitary* Hilbert-space representation. Gelfand (2) found a unitary ‘GD’ representation via an *unbounded* set of Hilbert vectors that *each* is a *normed* complex differentiable function of a Dirac *6-coordinate* csco--4 non-compact *geometrical* quc coordinates accompanied by a non-compact ‘semi-geometric’ quc ‘local-time’, *plus* a *non-geometric* quc Dirac coordinate that is compact and canonically-conjugate to ‘chirality’. (Naimark's book *fails* to recognize importance *either* for chirality *or* for the canonical-conjugate thereof.)

The foregoing Dirac-coordinate sextet amounts to a complex $2 \times 2$ unimodular matrix $a$. Gelfand’s *unitary* ‘regular’ SL (2, c) representation multiplies each such csco-sexet-matrix, from the right, by *another* complex 6-parameter $2 \times 2$ unimodular matrix, $P^\dagger$. We shall here *define* an 8-parameter group CL via a *finite*, Gell-Mann-evocative, compact 'electro-extension' of...
Gelfand’s 6-Dirac-coordinate Hilbert space—an extension allowing chirality to ‘team-up’ with electric charge so as to generate what physicists call ‘nuclear’ forces (‘strong’ interactions).

Hqu ‘inhabits’ a Hilbert-space tensor-product of seven GD 6-coordinate wave functions, \( \Psi_0(a) \)--associating to qucs each of whose electric charge (in later-specified units) is proportional to an integer \( Q \) that takes one of 7 different possible values. According to Ref. (1), a quc ‘charge integer’ \( Q \) may be 0, ±1, ±2, or ±3. This \( Q \)-septet represents dark matter as aggregates of \( Q = 0 \) qucs. Pairs and trios of electrically-charged qucs represent all QFT ‘elementary’ particles.

At any post-big-bang age \( \tau \) a tensor-product, \( \Psi \{\{a_0\}\} \), completely specifies hqu. The hamiltonian—Formula (13) of the present paper—determines the \( \tau > \tau_0 \) hqu ‘state-vector’, by the Schrödinger equation (14), from a quc-defining von Neumann phase-space initial-state Hilbert-vector (at \( \tau = \tau_0 \)). Formulas (2) and (5) below address Hilbert-vector norm. The hamiltonian potential energy sums electromagnetic and gravitational quc-pair retarded potentials—specified by Formulas (11) and (12). Inter-quark ‘nuclear’ forces are ‘chiral-electro’.

How does the 8-parameter group CL relate to the foregoing? Exteriorly, Gelfand’s 'regular' SL (2, c) representation displaces each \( a_0 \) via right unimodular 2×2 matrix \( \Gamma^{-1} \) multiplication (6 ‘exterior’ Riemann-geometric parameters). A central hqu CL element is a 2-parameter non-geometric chiral-electro shift (commuting with all CL elements). The two central (CL sub-group) parameters, one of these a 'gauge' angle \( \omega \), are both compact.

Centrally, CL left-multiplies \( a_0 \) by a 1-parameter unimodular diagonal 2×2 matrix, \( \gamma \). Under a (fixed-age) \( \omega, \gamma, \Gamma \)-specified 8-parameter CL-element (preserving Hilbert-vector norm), any fixed-\( Q \) factor, \( \Psi(a_0) \), within a tensor product, changes to an equivalent factor according to

\[
\Psi(a_0) \rightarrow \langle \omega, \tau, \Gamma \rangle e^{i Q \omega} \Psi(\gamma a_0 \Gamma^{-1}) \tag{1}
\]

Essential is commutativity in (1) of the left (\( \gamma \), non-geometrically chiral) and right (\( \Gamma^{-1} \), geometric) multiplications of \( a_0 \). The 2-parameter CL center is coordinated by the ‘gauge’ angle \( \omega \) and by the 1-parameter diagonal unimodular 2×2 matrix \( \gamma \)—writeable as \( \exp (-\sigma_3 \Delta) \) with imaginary \( \Delta \) and with \( \sigma_3 \) the diagonal Pauli hermitian traceless 2×2 matrix. The electro-chiral group center is non-geometric, whereas CL’s Riemann-geometric exterior is isomorphic to SL (2, c).

The 8-member CL algebra comprises a Riemann-geometric Lorentz 6-vector, associable to quc momentum times age plus quc angular momentum (see the section below on a quc kinetic-energy), supplemented by a central chiral-electro non-geometric 2-vector.

Remarks

With respect both to qucs and to aggregates thereof, the pair of compact Noether-conserved central-algebra (non-geometric) ‘quality-space’ components we describe as: 'chirality' in units \( \hbar/2 \) and electric charge, in \( g \)-proportional units later specified whose dimensionality (because of a factor \( c^3 \)) is inexpressible through that of chirality and energy.
What we call ‘dimensionality space’ is spanned by the 3 dimensionalities of energy, electric charge and that either of age or of angular-momentum. Chirality-dimensionality is the same as that of the latter. The full CL algebra comprises 8 (diagonalizable) self-adjoint Hilbert-space operators.

Ref. (1) explains how dimensionless baryon number, conserved although not a CL-algebra member, is specified (following Gell-Mann) via \( Q = \pm 1, \pm 2 \), by 4 components of our 7-fold electric-charge extension of Gelfand’s Hilbert space. ‘Three-dimensionality of quality-space’ is displayed by independence between the dimensionalities of electric-charge, energy and that shared by angular-momentum and chirality.

The mostly non-commuting (see later section on hamiltonian kinetic energy) members of CL’s exterior 6-element algebra invoke only the dimensionalities of momentum times age and angular momentum—2 special ‘directions within quality 3-space’. Eight (diagonalizable) self-adjoint Hilbert-space ‘quc-momentum’ operators Noether-associate to conserved single-quc attributes that are additively transmittable to aggregates.

Single-quc energy, although definable and proportional to a Dirac momentum, is not additively ‘Noether-conserved’. Total universe energy, represented by hamiltonian expectation, is not conserved. Physics energy-conservation Noether-associates to Wigner’s (huge-age, particle-physics-meaningful, but gravity and big-bang-ignoring) 10-parameter ‘Poincare group’—with its Euclidean geometry and ‘conformal invariance’.

Although an expectation definition of ‘reality’ is provided by self-adjoint quc 4-vector Dirac-coordinate operators (without using the canonical-conjugate of chirality), \(^{(1)}\) plus CL-invariant quc masses, there are no quc 4-vector Dirac-momentum operators. There is a self-adjoint quc-momentum-direction unirrep-operator-pair—discussed in the later section on quc kinetic energy. This remarkable pair associates neither with Riemann nor with Dirac—only with Gelfand.

The 3-dimensional ‘quality space’ spanned by CL’s algebra is occupied not only by conserved momenta of qucs and quc aggregates but also by non-conserved aggregate attributes of spatial or temporal dimensionality—attributes that individual qucs are incapable of carrying. (The present age of any ‘thing’—the reader, for example, or the author—although never sharply-definable is always smaller than \( \tau_{\text{present}} - \tau_0 \).)

Conservation of chirality and electric charge, together with conservation of momentum times \( \tau \) and of angular-momentum, follow (Noether) from hqu-hamiltonian CL invariance. Mach’s rotational universe symmetry is extended—not only dimensionally (3 to 8) but from ‘classical’ to ‘quantum’. Chirality absence (in contrast to electric charge), from the Reference (1) set of reality-defining self-adjoint current-density operators, has obscured chirality conservation. [The foregoing assertion seems to the author to be justified, despite the errors in Reference (1).]

Hqu ‘initial condition’ implies not only zero total-universe angular momentum but zero total chirality. Hqu’s ‘hyperbolic’ although globally-conserved 3-momentum times \( \tau \) is, for any individual quc, not only continuous but unbounded—a feature essential to unitarity of Gelfand’s Hilbert-space SL (2, c) representation. Expectation is \( \tau \)-independent for all 3 components of continuous total hqu momentum times \( \tau \). These 3 expectations vanish for the big-bang Hilbert-vector—an illustrative possibility for which will be given by Formula (10).

**Dirac Quc-Coordinate Csco-Sextet (for each of 7 different values of quc electric charge)**
Any complex repeat-ably-differentiable wave-function, $\Psi(a_Q)$, unitarily representing (fixed-age) CL by Formula (1), is a Hilbert vector with the CL-invariant (finite) norm,

$$\left|da_Q\right|\left|\Psi(a_Q)\right|^2.$$  

(2)

The 6-dimensional CL-invariant volume element (Haar measure) $da_Q$ is below expressed for each $Q$ [Formula (5)], through a trio, $s_\theta, y_\theta, z_\theta$, of complex continuous coordinates—a Dirac-coordinate (not Dirac-momentum) csco sextet collectively equivalent to the matrix $a_Q$.

Through some special [such as Formula (10)] $\Psi(a_Q)$ dependence on $\text{Re} s_\theta$—i.e., on each quc's fixed-age 'local time'—at big bang, a von Neumann-phase-space gives discretized meaning to a finite huge set of positive ‘quc-masses’. Because the present section considers separately each fixed (fixed) value of the integer $Q$, within the remainder of this section we often omit the 7-valued electric-charge subscript. (The positive quc-mass integer will here below emerge.)

A (dimensionless) sextet of (commuting) GD quc coordinates, the csco, $Re s, Im s, Re y, Im y, Re z, Im z$, is definable through a Pauli-matrix formula for a general $2\times2$ unimodular matrix,

$$a = \exp (-\sigma_j s) \times \exp (\sigma_y y) \times \exp (\sigma_z z),$$

(3)

each member of the real-matrix pair, $\sigma_j \equiv \frac{1}{2} (\sigma \pm i\sigma_2)$, squaring to a zero matrix. According to Formula (3), displacement by an imaginary increment, $\Delta$, of the (complex) coordinate $s$, at fixed $Re s, y, z$, follows (with exterior $I$ fixed) when $a$ is multiplied, from the left, by $\exp (-\sigma_j \Delta)$.

Each of the 3 anti-commuting Pauli matrices, $\sigma_j, \sigma_k, \sigma_3$ (none of which here functions as a GD self-adjoint Hilbert-space operator), is hermitian, self-inverse and traceless, with determinant $\pm1$. The matrix $\sigma_j$ is real and diagonal while $\sigma_k$ and $\sigma_3$ are both off-diagonal, with $\sigma_k$ real and $\sigma_3$ imaginary—equal to $i\sigma_k \sigma_3$.

Left multiplication of $a$ by $\gamma$ in Formula (1)—shifting $s$ by the imaginary increment $\Delta$—leaves unchanged Re $s, y$ and $z$. Right multiplication of $a$ by $I^{\pm \ell}$ shifts all quc Dirac-coordinates, as Formulas (6), (7) and (8) below make explicit, the various right shifts differing importantly.

Periodicity of $\Psi$-dependence on $Im s$—the latter thereby a compact Dirac coordinate,

$$\Psi (s, y, z) = \Psi (s \pm 2\pi i, y, z),$$

(4)

is implied by Formula (3). The norm (2) is correspondingly to be understood as integration over any continuous $2\pi$ interval of $Im s$. The constraint (4) specifies integer eigenvalues for $N$—the Dirac-momentum self-adjoint chirality-operator canonically-conjugate to the Dirac-coordinate operator, $Im s$. Compactness of $Im s$, as well as of $\omega$, allows the pair of central conserved universe attributes, chirality and electric charge, to play a ‘united role’ in universe evolution.

The quc-energy self-adjoint Hilbert-space operator, $E$, is the (Dirac-momentum) canonical conjugate of $\tau Re s$—that we shall call 'quc local time'. A von Neumann quc phase space is that of the pair, $E$ and $\tau Re s$. Arbitrariness at $\tau = \tau_0$ of $\Psi$ ($a_Q$) will allow [as in Formula (10)] von-Neumann 'quantum phase space' big-bang-definition of hamiltonian-essential finitely-positive CL-invariant 'quc masses'--$\hbar/2\pi c$ (trivial operators--neither 'Dirac
coordinates’ nor ‘Dirac momenta’). Big-bang-upper-bounded by a huge but finite integer, \( M_{\text{max}} \), is a set of \( M \)-labeled adjacent positive 'quc-mass' integers.

For each \( Q \) there is an \( E, N, y, z \) csco with the Dirac-momenta, (continuous) \( E \) and (discrete) \( N \), canonically conjugate, respectively, to the (continuous) Dirac coordinates \( \tau \text{ Re } s \) and \( \text{Im } s \). A finite set of quc-mass integers \( M \) in the hamiltonian, Formula (13), collectively specifies (together with CL-invariant quc-pair spatial separations) retarded gravitational potential energy.

Accompanying the positive von Neumann quc-integer \( M \) are the paired quc-chirality-specifying integer-eigenvalue \( N \) and the quc-electric-charge-specifying integer, \( Q \). The latter chiral-electro integer-pair will below be seen correlated so as to take (collectively) 19 different values. In Formula (10) negative (positive) \( Q \) values accompany odd (even) \( M \) values.

A continuous non-compact \( \text{Re } s, y, z \) Dirac-coordinate quintet attaches to each different \( M, N, Q \) integer-trio—the boldface index, \( q \), designating the latter. CL-algebra commutation-relations distinguish the pair of CL-invariant \( N, M, Q \) different values. In Formula (10) negative (positive) \( Q \) values accompany odd (even) \( M \) values.

Because the foregoing infinitesimal spatial-displacement directions are specified in some ‘local’ Lorentz frame, whereas each geodesic follows a curved path with parallel transport of any locally-perpendicular axis trio, the later-defined invariant positive self-adjoint quc kinetic energy—a \((Q, N\text{-independent})\) function of Casimir geodesic-associated second derivatives—is not proportional to the inner product with itself of Riemannian 3-vector quc momentum. The later-specified positive continuous-spectrum CL-invariant (electro-chiral-independent) self-adjoint quc kinetic energy is consistent with Riemannian curvature of ‘base’ 3-space.

The 6-dimensional Haar measure,

\[
d\alpha = ds \, dy \, dz, \tag{5}
\]

is CL-invariant, as are each of the 3 separate factors, \( d (\text{Re } s), d (\text{Im } s) \) and \( dy \, dz \). [Any ‘volume-element’ symbol \( d\xi \) in (5), with \( \xi \) complex, means \( d \text{ Re } \xi \times d \text{ Im } \xi \).]

Left CL transformation, \( \gamma a \), we have seen to be \( s \rightarrow s + \Delta, y \rightarrow y, z \rightarrow z \), with imaginary \( \Delta \). Right (exterior) transformation, \( a\Gamma^{-1} \), by a straightforward computation, leads to

\[
z^R = (\Gamma_{22}z - \Gamma_{21}) / (\Gamma_{11} - \Gamma_{12}z), \tag{6}
\]

\[
y^R = (\Gamma_{11} - \Gamma_{12}z)(\Gamma_{11} - \Gamma_{12}z)y - \Gamma_{12}, \tag{7}
\]

\[
s^R = s + \ln (\Gamma_{11} - \Gamma_{12}z). \tag{8}
\]

Notice how \( z \) transformation fails to depend on \( y \) or \( s \), how \( y \) transformation is \( s \)-independent and linear in \( y \), how \( \text{Re } s \) and \( \text{Im } s \) transform independently—by \( \Gamma_{11} - \Gamma_{12}z \), \( z \)-specified displacements—and how the linear \( z \)-polynomial, \( \Gamma_{11} - \Gamma_{12}z \), appears repeatedly.

**Schrödinger-Perpetuated Quc-Specification (through an \( M, N, Q \) integer trio)**
CL-invariance of hqu hamiltonian, plus the latter’s failure ever to ‘create’ or ‘annihilate’ a quc specified by the 3 integers $M, N, Q$, implies that any quc--identifiable by a boldface index, $q$, shorthand for the foregoing integer trio--‘lives forever’ in a ‘post-big-bang’ universe. ‘Evolution’ is merely ongoing quantum-theoretic quc ‘redistribution’. How many different qucs are perpetually being ‘Schrödinger-rearranged’? The number is huge although finite.

Extensively discussed by now have been the 7 possible values of the quc-electric-charge-specifying integer $Q$. The following section addresses the quc-chirality-specifying integer, $N$. What about the integer $M$? Formula (10) illustrates how a ‘von-Neumann big-bang’ correlates sign of quc electric charge with oddness or evenness of 'quc-mass' integers $M$ within a finite set of adjacent positive integers, $1, 2...M_{max}$. Throughout this paper’s remainder, a fixed (perpetual) finite set of different qucs will be receiving attention.

‘Dirac-Occam’ Chirality Hilbert-Space

Canonically-conjugate to the Dirac coordinate $Im \ s$ is the self-adjoint Dirac-momentum operator whose integer-eigenvalues we denote by the symbol $N$ and, in $\hbar/2$ units, call ‘quc chirality’. Integer spectrum for chirality (‘compact’ $Im \ s$) was implicit in Gelfand’s SL (2, c) representation, although GN made no note thereof. (2) Our (immediately-below) big-bang restriction (1) of quc chirality $N$ to only 3 integer-values, joining quc electric-charge restriction to 7 possibilities, is in ‘Dirac-Occam’ spirit.

We propose big-bang assignment, to any charged quc, one of the $N$-value chirality-trio, 0 and ±1. To any charge-less quc we assign zero-chirality. The total number of different $Q, N$ combos is then 19. Furthermore, odd and even values of $N$, accompanied by common $M,Q$ values, are never superposed in hqu Hilbert-space--a ‘quantum-statistical' chirality constraint that is remindful of, while distinct from, electric-charge ‘super-selection’--long a celebrated feature of all quantum theories.

When only odd total quc-chirality values are superposed, an aggregate is ‘fermionic’; if all superposed totals are even, the aggregate is 'bosonic'. Except via the quc-specifying subscript, $\varphi$, the hamiltonian will be seen to ignore chirality—to be ‘GD-super-symmetric’.

For $Q = 0$ qucs, irrelevant to particles but composing galactic-scale gravity-sustained ‘dark-matter’ aggregates, we (in Occam spirit) allow only the $N$-value 0, while both even and odd (positive-integer) $M$ values. Dirac never contemplated chirality—a notion uncovered by Gelfand’s unitary SL (2, c) representation.

An appendix of Ref. (1) applies $Q \neq 0$ ‘chirality-tripling’ ($N = 0, \pm 1$) to an electron 3-quc Hilbert-vector where $N = 0$ for both qucs of a 2-quc electron-mass-determining (approximately) 'Higgs core', while $N$ is either +1 or –1 for a Dirac-doubling ‘valence’ electron-quc. (Valence-chirality wave-function ‘Dirac-determines’ electron rapidity!) Electron-core qucs have $Q = +3$ and $Q = –3$ while valence-quc has $Q = 0$--all electron qucs being ‘bright’. [See discussion following Formula (10) concerning negativity of (total) electron charge.]

Physics anti-symmetry of a multi-electron wave function is currently under study. We conjecture that physics 'quantum statistics' accompanies QFT's (huge-age) disregard of quantum gravity--allowing Wigner's 10-parameter 'Poincare group' and 'conformal invariance'.

Valence quc of muon or tau (as well as that of electron) is ‘brightly negative’ but (‘Higgs’) muon-core has $Q = +2$ and –2, while tau-core has $Q = +1$ and –1.

Each of 3 Dirac neutrino or antineutrino quc-pairs is a (core-less) aggregate of 2 oppositely-charged qucs, exactly-one of which has $N = 0$. Representable by nonzero-chirality
charged-quc pairs, in a variety of charge pairings, are all-three 'QFT-elementary' vector bosons. (Mass of reported Higgs-scalar boson suggests a \( Q = \pm 1 \) pair of zero-chirality qucs.)

**Further Remarks**

The duo--\( E_{q}^\dagger N_{q} \)--of quc-\( q \) 'Dirac momenta' commutes with the quartet of 'exterior' continuous-spectrum Dirac-coordinate operators \( y_{q}, z_{q} \) (whose canonical-conjugates this paper never addresses). The self-adjoint operator \( E_{q}^\dagger \) canonically-conjugate to \( \tau \) \( Re \) \( s_{q} \) has a continuous spectrum spanning the real line. [Remember that 'quc-mass'--neither a Dirac coordinate nor a Dirac momentum--is discretely big-bang defined via von Neumann's 'quantum phase space'.]

Each hqu Hilbert vector specifies a reality via expectations of quc Dirac-coordinate 4-vector current densities of discrete electric charge and continuous energy-momentum. \(^{1}\) Micro-scale particles, macro-scale condensed matter and galactic-scale dark matter are all 'Popper-discernible'. The bachelor-quc reservoir is not.

Any 'particle-aggregate' is a micro-scale 'spatial clump' of energy and momentum with integral total charge, integral or half-integral helicity and matching evenness or oddness of total chirality. Meaning for 'particle mass' (including that of electron or photon) can only be approximate. Low physics 'uncertainty' for a particle-mass value is rendered possible in a few cases by hugeness, at human-scale lab, of present universe age.

Particle-aggregate physical ('observable') location is never absolute but, rather, is relative to 'nearby' condensed-matter aggregates of approximately-zero total charge. Hamiltonian electrodynamics blurs classical charge discreteness for aggregates of condensed-matter, plasma, stars or galaxies. But spanning 'quality space' are the dimensionalities of quc energy, \( \hbar/2\tau \), of quc chirality, \( \hbar/2 \), and of quc electric charge, \( (\hbar c)^{1/2} \).

Energy, chirality and electric charge span a 3-dimensional 'quality space' which the author believes never to have been mathematically recognized despite being in the toolkits of physicists and astronomers. A familiar device to simplify formulas employs units such that \( c = \hbar = 1 \). We have done so here. Our hamiltonian gravitational and electromagnetic quc-pair retarded-potential energies will below be seen proportional, respectively, to dimension-ful \( G \) and dimensionless \( g^{2} \)—the celebrated fine-structure constant whose smallness enabled the spectroscopy that led physicists to quantum theory.

The 'quality 3-vector' component \( q_{3} = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \text{ or } \pm 3 \) (7 options), specifies not only Quc-\( q \)'s electric charge, via a factor \( g \), but its baryon number—both ignored by GN. \(^{2}\) The Formula (10)-appearing quality-3-vector components \( q_{1} = 1, 2...M_{\text{max}} \) and \( q_{z} = 0, \pm 1 \) associate respectively to 'quc-mass' and quc-chirality Hilbert-sectors un-emphasized in Ref. (2) although definable through von-Neumann and Gelfand math. Our quality-space notation allows electro-chiral appreciation of GD Hilbert-space features that Gelfand did not pursue—big-bang quc-electric-charge enlarge-ability and quc-chirality shrink-ability.

Although the reality specification proposed by Reference (1) involves positive-lightlike and positive-timelike 4-vector self-adjoint single-quc Dirac-coordinate operators, absent from hqu are Feynman’s energy-momentum 4-vectors! In contrast, QFT and S-matrix energy-momentum (boostable) 4-vectors are algebra members of Wigner’s 10-parameter 'Poincare group' (flat physical 3-space--without cosmological status).

Contraction of CL-exterior—SL (2, c)--to Euclidean group, in a \( \tau \to \infty \) limit where hqu’s hamiltonian becomes a physics time-displacement generator, promises approximate huge-age
lab-space hqu status for a QFT based on gravity-neglect with consequent scale invariance and boost-able ‘elementary-particle’ energy-momentum 4-vectors. A quark’s QFT 'color' we are expecting to associate (via geometrical-group contraction) to its baryonic valence-que mass.

**Quc Kinetic Energy—Proportional to a Member of Gelfand’s Unirrep Cso**

The present section returns to the original (Q-absent) Gelfand Hilbert-space—functions either of a Dirac-coordinate sextet \( a \) (called ‘regular basis’ by Naimark \(^2\)) or of 2 Dirac-momentum operators—continuous-spectrum \( E \) and discrete-spectrum \( N \)--plus 4 continuous noncompact Dirac coordinates, \( y, z \). Physicists may find what follows a challenge, although Wigner’s celebrated treatment of the Lie group SU(2) provides a parallel to Gelfand’s SL(2, c) ‘unirrep’. \(^2\)

The algebra (not a cso and not Dirac-momenta) of the 6-parameter semi-simple non-abelian geometric exterior-SL(2, c)\(_r\) CL-subgroup \(^2\) comprises the conserved components of a ‘6-vector’—a second-rank antisymmetric Lorentz tensor. Three of these 6-vector members correspond to quc angular momentum, \( J \) (a ‘Wigner 3-vector’), and three to curved-geometrical Riemann-3-space quc momentum, \( K/\tau \)—also a 3-vector with non-commuting components. Each exterior-algebra member is representable by a self-adjoint operator on the quc Hilbert space. In the Dirac-coordinate (GN—regular’ \( s, y, z \) basis each of these 6 Lorentz-algebra members linearly and homogeneously superposes first (partial) derivatives. \(^2\)

Two (invariant) CL Casimirs, commuting with each other and with all 8 of the CL algebra members, are the (‘ordinary 3-vector’) operator inner product \( K \cdot J \) and the difference, \( K \cdot K - J \cdot J \), of two such products—both these Casimirs being homogeneous in Dirac-coordinate-basis (partial) second-derivatives. \(^2\) Neither of the foregoing forms is positive, but Ref. \(^2\) displays algebraic equivalence to another pair of invariant self-adjoint operators, one of which has (positive-negative) integral eigenvalues while its companion enjoys a continuous positive spectrum. Denoting (as did GN) the former by the symbol \( m \) and the latter by the symbol \( \rho \), the algebraic relations are

\[
K \cdot J = (\rho/2) (m/2) \quad \text{and} \quad K \cdot K - J \cdot J = (\rho/2)^2 - (m/2)^2 + 1. \quad (9)
\]

The positive continuous-spectrum, chiral-electro algebra disregarding, hqu single-quc-\( q \) kinetic-energy operator we postulate to be \( \rho/2\tau \). (Following therefrom will be ‘hamiltonian scale invariance’ when gravity is ignored. See paragraph preceding this paper’s conclusion.) We call (chirality-correlated) \( m/2 \) ‘quc helicity’.

A 4-element Quc-\( q \) unirrep comprises \( \rho_q, m_q \) and an operator-pair \( z_{iq} \)--representing quc ‘momentum-direction’. This quartet commutes with \( E_q \) and \( N_q \); the two integers \( m_q \) and \( N_q \) are either both even or both odd.

[The big-bang (von-Neumann) ‘phase-space’—that defines the positive quc-mass-integer \( M \)—allows thinking of a ‘conjugate’, although not ‘canonical’, relationship between the (mutually-commuting) unirrep (non-conserved, non-Dirac) ‘Gelfand-momentum’ quartet \( \rho_q, m_q, z_{iq} \) and the (mutually-commuting, ‘geometrical’) Dirac-coordinate quartet \( y_q, z_q \).]

The GD quc-coordinate self-adjoint operator-pair \( z_q \) corresponds to direction of quc-\( q \) movement through curved Riemannian 3-space. \(^2\) Failure of quc-\( q \)’s ‘velocity-direction’ \( z_q \) to commute with this quc’s momentum-direction \( z_{iq} \) is remindful of Schrödinger’s term,
‘zitterbewegung’—describing Dirac’s early unsuccessful (although Nobel-prize-winning) effort to ‘relativize’ the electron by a ‘doubling’ of Hilbert space through electric-charge sign reversal.

‘Quc-Mass’ Positive Integers, $0 < M \leq M_{\text{max}}$ -- Completing Quc-Specification

Anticipating a CL-invariant hqu-hamiltonian that ‘Noether-conserves’ 8 aggregate-able quc attributes, we now focus on the ‘one dimensional’ Gelfand subspace spanned by the (common $Q$ and common $N$) canonically-conjugate pair, $\tau \ Re s$ and $E$, of self-adjoint (Dirac) operators. The Dirac coordinate here is $\tau \ Re s$ (‘quc local time’) while the Dirac momentum is $E$ (quc energy). Von Neumann (4) called such a space a ‘Hilbert phase space’ and, by employing (in concert) gaussian functions of the continuous spectra of two canonically-conjugate (non-commuting) operators, defined a ‘2-dimensional’ discrete Hilbert-Dirac ‘phase-space’ (‘imitating’, while avoiding, Dirac’s Hilbert-space-inadmissible ‘delta-function’).

We now illustrate the notion of a $\tau = \tau_0$ purely-bachelor-quc wave function in an $s_q \ y_q \ z_q$ basis, the $Q$ integer taking 7 possible values and the $Q, N$ combo 19. A von-Neumann-imitating, $M$-$N$-defining (and thereby $q$-defining) big-bang, that may require refinement, is

\[ \Psi_{\tau_0}(s_q, y_q, z_q) = \Pi_q |y_q s_q|^\dagger \exp \{i [M \ Re s_q + N \ Im s_q] - \frac{1}{2} \left[ (Re s_q)^2 + Im|y_q| + Im|z_q| \right] \}. \tag{10} \]

$M$ here may take any positive-integer value not exceeding $M_{\text{max}}$. A colleague--Jerry Finkelstein--proposes that even (odd) $M$ values accompany positive (negative) $Q$ values. Cosmologically, where ‘CPT’ and ‘anti’ dark matter’ lack meaning, there is no parallel to the equivalence, in physics-status, between ‘particles’ and ‘antiparticles’. The present-universe (where most qucs reside in a ‘bachelor reservoir’) contains more electrons than positrons. Our discussion of the charged-lepton trio has employed the familiar supposition of negative electron charge. Then (according to Jerry), $M_{\text{max}}$ is an odd integer.

‘Quality Space’--Spanning 3 Independent Dimensionalities

The algebra of the symmetry group CL spans a ‘quality space’ associating to the mysterious ‘threeness’ in the number of independent dimensionalities displayed by our universe—a feature that delighted Planck and has long been familiar to astronomers and physicists.

Hqu perennially comprises a fixed finite set of $D$ different qucs—quc creation or annihilation never occurring, merely ‘rearrangement’ as varying aggregates dynamically form and dissolve (no meaning for ‘vacuum’). The hamiltonian-evolved hqu wave function superposes, for $\tau > \tau_0$, indefinitely-many products of normed functions, each product with $D$ factors. The purely-bachelor-quc big-bang starting wave function at $\tau = \tau_0$ is a single product [Formula (10)]?

Quc-Pair Retarded-Potential Energy

The hqu hamiltonian potential-energy is a sum over $\frac{1}{2} \ D \times [D - 1]$ quc pairs of CL-invariant electromagnetic-gravitational retarded potential energies, $V_{qq}(\tau), q \neq q'$, whose status resembles that in non-relativistic physics of a (slowly-moving) charged, massive particle pair.

Reference (1) proposes inverse potential-proportionality to the retardation-representing factor, $e^{-\beta_{qq}}-1$. The positive-spectrum self-adjoint geometrical operator, $\beta_{qq}$, that fails to depend
on the non-geometrical indices, $Q, Q', N$ and $N'$), when multiplied by $\tau$ is CL-invariant shortest (curved, positive) distance between spatial locations of qucs $q$ and $q'$. Elsewhere given is $\beta_{qq'}$ relation to $Re s_q, Re s_{q'}, y_q, y_{q'}$, and $z_{q'} - z_q$.

Beginning with electromagnetism, Ref. (1) postulates the retarded potential,

$$\tau V^{cl}_{qq}(\tau) = g^2[(QQ'/G)[e^{i\beta_{qq'}}-1]^{-1}. \quad (11)$$

The corresponding CL-invariant while ‘more geometrical’ (via dependence on the indices, $M$ and $M'$) gravitational retarded potential-energy operator is, in units where $G = 1$,

$$\tau V^{gr}_{qq}(\tau) = -(M/2\tau)(M/2\tau) [e^{i\beta_{qq'}}-1]^{-1}. \quad (12)$$

The full ($q \neq q'$) quc-pair retarded-potential operator is the sum, $V^{cl}_{qq'}(\tau) + V^{gr}_{qq'}(\tau)$. Notice how (11) and (12) exhibit ‘Newton-Coulomb’ dependence on $\beta_{qq'}$ for $\beta_{qq'} << 1$ but exponentially-decreasing dependence for $\beta_{qq'} >> 1$. Curvature of 3-space plus retardation leads to this behavior.\(^{(1)}\)

**Hqu Hamiltonian and Schrödinger Equation**

As the case for Schrödinger in 1927, the hqu hamiltonian sums symmetry-group-invariant kinetic-energy and potential-energy operators that do not commute. Hqu $\tau$-increasing dynamics proceeds through a multi-quc Schrödinger (first-order) differential equation where, at each post-big-bang age, a CL-invariant although age-dependent hamiltonian generates an infinitesimal wave-function change that prescribes the ‘immediately-subsequent’ universe wave function. Schrödinger’s 1927 equation was similar although without potential-energy retardation, with a diagonalizable hamiltonian and based on a 6-parameter Euclidean group with flat 3-space displacements (instead of the 8-parameter CL group with curved 3-space displacements).

The CL-invariant age-dependent retarded-potential non-diagonalizable hamiltonian operator is

$$H(\tau) = \sum_q \rho_{q}/2\tau + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{q\neq q'} V^{ret}_{qq'}(\tau), \quad (13)$$

the evolution equation for the SMU state-vector being

$$i\partial\Psi(\tau)/\partial\tau = H(\tau)\Psi(\tau). \quad (14)$$

The initial state vector [exemplified by Formula (10)] at $\tau = \tau_0$ of unaggregated (single-product, ‘bachelor’) qucs, ‘super symmetrically’ accords chirality a role in universe history that precludes ‘anti-galaxies’ (where 'anti-dark-matter' would accompany antiparticles).

Notice how, in absence of gravitational potential energy, our Schrödinger equation becomes conformally (‘scale’) invariant—dependent only on age ratios and thereby manifesting a QFT feature allowing renormalization. Related may be present accuracy of Maxwell’s equations for (expectation-defined) classical electromagnetic fields.

**Conclusion**

Here advanced is a theory of all ‘things’--packaging ‘Mach’ cosmology, Gelfand’s Hilbert-space unitary representation of the Lorentz group and Schrödinger-Dirac hamiltonian quantum dynamics. ‘Thing’ means any (expectation-specifiable) spatial aggregation of energy
and electric-charge carried by ‘qucs’—an $M_{\text{max}}$-order of magnitude set of different quantum-universe constituents, uncorrelated at a ‘von-Neumann big-bang’.

Riemannian-Hamiltonian quantum dynamics, we suggest, has by now correlated some ‘low kinetic-energy’ qucs to build aggregates that include macro-scale ‘conscious’ condensed matter. Application of our proposal to particle physics has explained the 3 observed ‘generations’ of ‘elementary’ fermions. Explanation of all ‘elementary-particle’ masses is promised. Predicted is absence of ‘anti dark matter’.

Although there has here been no mention of number theory, our ‘Occam’ guesses have involved the Mersenne primes $2^2 - 1$ and $2^3 - 1$. In units where $G = \hbar = c = 1$, the age $\tau_0$ of big bang is 1. We shall be unsurprised if dimensionless $g^2$ and $M_{\text{max}}$ turn out related, respectively, to the Mersenne primes $2^7 - 1$ and $2^{127} - 1$. The latter might be the huge integer $M_{\text{max}}$—upper-bounding the ‘masses’ of an un-aggregated ‘bachelor-quc reservoir’ where, we suppose, most universe energy currently resides.

Early-universe ‘Darwinian-bootstrap’ evolution of bright-quc-pair double-helix photon-aggregates stands at the top of the author’s personal priority list, although he expects ‘himself’ no longer to be a ‘definable aggregate’ when the ‘miracle of light’ becomes understood by humanity. Understanding may involve the fine-structure-constant mystery.
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