

SU(3) quantum critical model emerging from a spin-1 topological phase

Wen-Jia Rao¹, Guo-Yi Zhu¹, and Guang-Ming Zhang^{1,2*}

¹State Key Laboratory of Low-Dimensional Quantum Physics and

Department of Physics, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China.

²Collaborative Innovation Center of Quantum Matter, Beijing 100084, China.

(Dated: March 7, 2022)

Different from the spin-1 Haldane gapped phase, we propose a novel SO(3) spin-1 matrix product state (MPS), whose parent Hamiltonian includes three-site spin interactions. From the entanglement spectrum of a single block with l sites, an enlarged SU(3) symmetry is identified in the edge states, which are conjugate to each other for the $l = \text{even}$ block but identical for the $l = \text{odd}$ block. By blocking this novel state, the blocked MPS explicitly displays the SU(3) symmetry with two distinct structures. Under a symmetric bulk bipartition with a sufficient large block length $l = \text{even}$, the entanglement Hamiltonian (EH) of the reduced system characterizes a spontaneous dimerized phase with two-fold degeneracy. However, for the block length $l = \text{odd}$, the corresponding EH represents an SU(3) quantum critical point with delocalized edge quasiparticles, and the critical field theory is described by the SU(3) level-1 Wess-Zumino-Witten conformal field theory.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Vf, 75.10.Kt, 05.30.Rt

Topological phases of matter have become one of the most important subjects in physics, because their low-energy excitations have potential use for fault-tolerant quantum computation. Symmetry protected topological (SPT) phases belong to a new type of topological phases with robust gapless edge excitations[1–3]. Without breaking the protecting symmetry or closing the energy gap, these SPT phases can not be continuously connected to the trivial phase. A topological quantum critical point (TQCP) exists to separate an SPT phase from its adjacent trivial phase, and the corresponding critical theory is beyond the Landau-Ginzburg-Wilson paradigm[4, 5]. The simplest example of SPT phases is the Haldane gapped phase of the antiferromagnetic Heisenberg spin-1 chain[6]. Recently it has been shown that the symmetric bulk bipartition of the SO(3) symmetric Affleck-Kennedy-Lieb-Tasaki (AKLT) wave function for the Haldane phase[7] is an effective way to create an array of fractionalized spin-1/2 edge spins in the bulk subsystem, and the corresponding bulk entanglement spectrum (ES) represents a TQCP described by the SU(2) level-1 Wess-Zumino-Witten (WZW) theory with spinon excitations[8, 10]. The TQCP is argued to characterize the quantum critical state between the Haldane gapped phase and its adjacent trivial phase[8–10].

It is well-known that the quantum spin-1 chain may exhibit an SU(3) symmetry. The SU(3) symmetric matrix product state (MPS) has been constructed[11–16]. The on-site physical space is spanned by the SU(3) adjoint representation 8 consisting of a fundamental representation 3 (quarks) and a conjugate representation $\bar{3}$ (anti-quarks), while the adjacent lattice sites are connected by the SU(3) quark-antiquark singlet bonds. Different from the Haldane gapped phase, the zero-energy states localized on the edges are conjugate to each other. The natural question arises whether a distinct spin-1 MPS can be found so that the symmetric bulk bipartition leads to the

SU(3) quantum criticality for the reduced bulk system. According to the complete classification[1–3], such a non-trivial topological phase has to be constructed under a projective group of the SU(3) symmetry.

In this paper, we construct a novel SO(3) symmetric spin-1 MPS with virtual spin-1 triplets, and the determined parent Hamiltonian includes three-site spin interactions. From the ES of a single block with l sites, the edge states exhibit an enlarged SU(3) symmetry: the $l = \text{even}$ block with conjugate edge states while the $l = \text{odd}$ block with the same edges. By blocking this novel SO(3) state, the blocked MPS explicitly displays the SU(3) symmetry with two distinct structures. Under a symmetric bulk bipartition with a sufficiently large block length $l = \text{even}$, the entanglement Hamiltonian (EH) of the reduced system describes a spontaneous dimerized phase with two-fold degeneracy[17, 18]. Such a state may be argued to describe the first-order phase transition between two SU(3) symmetric valence-bond-solid states with conjugate edge states. However, for the block length $l = \text{odd}$, the corresponding EH represents an SU(3) quantum critical state with delocalized edge quasiparticles, characterizing the TQCP between the spin-1 MPS with the same edge states and the trivial phase. The critical field theory is described by the 1+1 (space-time) SU(3) level-1 WZW conformal field theory[19–21].

SO(3) spin-1 MPS. - The novel SO(3) symmetric spin-1 MPS can be constructed as follows. We assume that each physical site consists of two virtual spin-1 triplets which are projected into a total spin-1 triplet, while the neighboring sites are linked by the spin-1 singlet bonds. Such a state is different from the AKLT types of MPS, where the on-site virtual spins are projected into the maximal total spin multiplets. The ground state wave function for

a closed chain is expressed as

$$|\text{VBS}\rangle = \sum_{\{s_i\}} \text{Tr} \left(A^{[s_1]} A^{[s_2]} \dots A^{[s_N]} \right) |s_1, s_2, \dots, s_N\rangle, \quad (1)$$

where the local matrices are given by

$$A^{[-1]} = S^- / \sqrt{2}, A^{[0]} = S^z / \sqrt{2}, A^{[1]} = -S^+ / \sqrt{2},$$

with $S^\pm = (S^x \pm iS^y) / \sqrt{2}$, and S^α ($\alpha = x, y, z$) denote the spin-1 $\text{SO}(3)$ matrices. From the transfer matrix $T = \sum_s A^{[s]} \otimes \bar{A}^{[s]}$, the spin-spin correlation functions are proved to be exponentially decaying with the correlation length $\xi = 1 / \ln 2$, which is larger than that of the spin-1 AKLT state ($1 / \ln 3$). For a sufficient long chain with open boundary condition, there is an energy gap in the low-energy excitations and the degenerate zero-energy states given by two spin-1 edge triplets. Actually the existence of such an $\text{SO}(3)$ spin-1 MPS had been noticed before [22, 23], however, its properties have not been explored yet.

The first important thing is to find out the parent Hamiltonian. According to the tensor product of two spin-1's: $1 \otimes 1 = 0 \oplus 1 \oplus 2$, there are no null states in the Hilbert space of two sites. So we have to consider the spin interactions among the spin-1 operators (s_1, s_2, s_3) on three successive sites. Under the site-centered inversion symmetry, we first couple s_1 and s_3 and then with s_2 . The resulting spin multiplets are labeled by $|S_{13}; S, M\rangle$, where S_{13} and S are good quantum numbers and $M = -S, \dots, S$. By means of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients $C_{S_{13}}^{[S, M]}$, the local three-spin state can be expanded into

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\{s_i\}} A^{[s_1]} A^{[s_2]} A^{[s_3]} |s_1 s_2 s_3\rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} |1; 0, 0\rangle + \sum_M C_1^{[2, M]} |1; 2, M\rangle \\ & \quad + \sum_M C_0^{[1, M]} (|0; 1, M\rangle + \sqrt{5} |2; 1, M\rangle), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

indicating that the relevant spin multiplets correspond to total spin channels $S = 0, 1, 2$, consistent with the result of two spin-1 edge triplets. The parent Hamiltonian is given by the null states which are absent in the above blocking states, $H = \sum_i h_i$, where

$$\begin{aligned} h_i &= \lambda_1 \sum_M (\sqrt{5} |0; 1, M\rangle - |2; 1, M\rangle) (\sqrt{5} \langle 0; 1, M| - \langle 2; 1, M|) \\ & \quad + \lambda_2 \sum_M |2; 2, M\rangle \langle 2; 2, M| + \lambda_3 \sum_M |2; 3, M\rangle \langle 2; 3, M| \\ & \quad + \lambda_4 \sum_M |1; 1, M\rangle \langle 1; 1, M|, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

with all positive coefficients λ_j . When the above projections are expressed in terms of the physical spin-1 operators, the local parent Hamiltonian becomes

$$\begin{aligned} h_i &= a_0 + a_1 (\mathbf{s}_{i-1} \cdot \mathbf{s}_i + \mathbf{s}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_{i+1}) + a_2 \mathbf{s}_{i-1} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{i+1} \\ & \quad + a_3 [(\mathbf{s}_{i-1} \cdot \mathbf{s}_i)^2 + (\mathbf{s}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_{i+1})^2] + a_4 (\mathbf{s}_{i-1} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{i+1})^2 \\ & \quad + a_5 [(\mathbf{s}_{i-1} \cdot \mathbf{s}_i)(\mathbf{s}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_{i+1}) + (\mathbf{s}_{i+1} \cdot \mathbf{s}_i)(\mathbf{s}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_{i-1})] \\ & \quad + a_6 (\mathbf{s}_{i-1} \cdot \mathbf{s}_i + \mathbf{s}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_{i+1}) (\mathbf{s}_{i-1} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{i+1}) \\ & \quad + a_7 [(\mathbf{s}_{i-1} \cdot \mathbf{s}_i)(\mathbf{s}_{i-1} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{i+1})(\mathbf{s}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_{i+1}) \\ & \quad + (\mathbf{s}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_{i+1})(\mathbf{s}_{i-1} \cdot \mathbf{s}_{i+1})(\mathbf{s}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_{i-1})], \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

with the coupling parameters

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= (15\lambda_1 + 5\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + 9\lambda_4) / 15, \\ a_1 &= (\lambda_3 - \lambda_2) / 6, \\ a_2 &= (10\lambda_2 + 2\lambda_3 - 10\lambda_1 - 7\lambda_4) / 20, \\ a_3 &= (4\lambda_3 - 30\lambda_1 - 10\lambda_2 - 39\lambda_4) / 120, \\ a_4 &= (10\lambda_2 + 2\lambda_3 - 30\lambda_1 + 3\lambda_4) / 60, \\ a_5 &= (2\lambda_3 + 3\lambda_4 - 5\lambda_2) / 30, \\ a_6 &= (\lambda_3 - \lambda_4) / 10, \\ a_7 &= (30\lambda_1 + 4\lambda_3 + 21\lambda_4 - 10\lambda_2) / 120. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The three-site spin interactions are involved! Actually, the most important feature is the spin-1 edge triplets, but we do not know whether these edge states are symmetry protected or not.

Hidden symmetry in the edge states.— In order to reveal the hidden symmetry in the edge spin-1 triplets, we study the entanglement properties of a single block. To this end, it is convenient to introduce three-component fermions to represent the *physical* $s = 1$ triplet

$$|1\rangle = c_1^\dagger c_0^\dagger |vac\rangle, |0\rangle = c_1^\dagger c_{-1}^\dagger |vac\rangle, |-1\rangle = c_0^\dagger c_{-1}^\dagger |vac\rangle, \quad (6)$$

and the $\text{SO}(3)$ spin-1 operators are expressed as $s_i^\alpha = \sum_{\mu, \nu} c_{i\mu}^\dagger S_{\mu\nu}^\alpha c_{i\nu}$. In terms of these fermions, the spin-1 MPS can be written as the product of $\text{SO}(3)$ bond singlets.

$$|\text{VBS}\rangle = \prod_i (c_{i,1}^\dagger c_{i+1,-1}^\dagger - c_{i,0}^\dagger c_{i+1,0}^\dagger + c_{i,-1}^\dagger c_{i+1,1}^\dagger) |vac\rangle. \quad (7)$$

For a quantum spin-1, spin quadruple operators can be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Q^1 &= (S^x)^2 - (S^y)^2, Q^2 = [3(S^z)^2 - 2] / \sqrt{3}, \\ Q^3 &= S^y S^z + S^z S^y, Q^4 = S^z S^x + S^x S^z, \\ Q^5 &= S^x S^y + S^y S^x, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

and then total nine spin multiplets (singlet, triplet, and quintet) are formed by two virtual spin-1 states. The corresponding wave functions in terms of a Nambu spinor $\varphi_j = (c_{j,1}, c_{j,0}, c_{j,-1})^t$ are expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi^-\rangle_{j,j+1} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \varphi_j^\dagger R (\varphi_{j+1}^\dagger)^t |vac\rangle, \\ |\psi^\alpha\rangle_{j,j+1} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \varphi_j^\dagger M^\alpha (\varphi_{j+1}^\dagger)^t |vac\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where $\alpha = 1, \dots, 8$, $R = 1 - 2(S^y)^2$, $M^1 = -Q^5$, $M^2 = Q^1$, $M^3 = Q^4$, $M^4 = Q^3$, $M^5 = Q^2$, $M^6 = -iS^y$, $M^7 = -iS^x$, and $M^8 = iS^z$. The MPS wave function is further transformed into

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{VBS}\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{1}{2^{N/2}} \sum_{\{\alpha_i=6,7,8\}} |\psi^{\alpha_1}\rangle |\psi^{\alpha_2}\rangle \dots |\psi^{\alpha_N}\rangle \\ & \quad \times \varphi_0^\dagger M^{\alpha_1} M^{\alpha_2} \dots M^{\alpha_N} R (\varphi_{N+1}^\dagger)^t |vac\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$




FIG. 1: (a) The novel SO(3) symmetric spin-1 MPS. Each blue dot represents a virtual spin-1, yellow circles stand for local spin-1 triplets, and solid lines denote the singlet bonds. A block with the length l is chosen as the subsystem A. (b) The entanglement spectrum of the block with even l (left) and odd l (right).

where we have introduced the boundary Nambu spinors φ_0^\dagger and φ_{N+1}^\dagger to fix the edge spins.

When a block with length $l \geq 2$ is picked out as the subsystem A shown in Fig.1(a), we can trace out the degrees of freedom of the other subsystem and the reduced density matrix is derived

$$\rho_A = \frac{1}{2^l} \sum_{\{\alpha_i, \alpha'_i\}} \frac{1}{9} \text{Tr} \left(\varphi_0^\dagger V'^\dagger V \varphi_0 \right)_{0, N+1} \times |\psi^{\alpha_1}\rangle \langle \psi^{\alpha'_1}| \dots |\psi^{\alpha_l}\rangle \langle \psi^{\alpha'_l}|, \quad (11)$$

where $\alpha_i, \alpha'_i = 6, 7, 8$, $V = M^{\alpha_1} \dots M^{\alpha_l}$ and $V' = M^{\alpha'_1} \dots M^{\alpha'_l}$. Generally there are nine degenerate states with different edge states for the subsystem A. When the reduced density matrix ρ_A is applied to these states, the corresponding eigenvalues are derived

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_s &= \frac{1}{9} \left[1 + 3 \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^l + 5 \left(-\frac{1}{2} \right)^l \right], \\ \lambda_t &= \frac{1}{9} \left[1 + \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^l - \frac{5}{2} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \right)^l \right], \\ \lambda_q &= \frac{1}{9} \left[1 - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^l + \frac{1}{2} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \right)^l \right], \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

for the SO(3) singlet, triplet, and quintet, respectively. However, it is more interesting to notice that the eigenvalues of the triplet and quintet become identical for $l = \text{even}$, while the eigenvalues of the singlet and quintet are the same for $l = \text{odd}$. The single block ES defined by $\xi_i = -\ln \lambda_i$ is displayed in Fig.1(b).

Corresponding to the ES for $l = \text{even/odd}$, the entanglement Hamiltonian (EH), $H_E = -\ln \rho_A$, can be characterized by the two edge spins of the block: $H_E^{\text{even}} = -\frac{3}{2} (\mathbf{t}_L \cdot \mathbf{t}_R)^2$ and $H_E^{\text{odd}} = \frac{3}{2} [(\mathbf{t}_L \cdot \mathbf{t}_R) + (\mathbf{t}_L \cdot \mathbf{t}_R)^2]$. Although the bulk MPS has only the SO(3) symmetry, the edge states display an enlarged SU(3) symmetry. H_E^{even} can be rewritten under the SU(3) fundamental representation 3 (quarks) and its conjugate representation $\bar{3}$ (antiquarks) for each edge spin ($3 \otimes \bar{3} = 1 \oplus 8$), describing an SU(3) singlet and an octet in the ES for $l = \text{even}$. On the other hand, H_E^{odd} can be expressed under either the

SU(3) fundamental or conjugate representation for both edge spins ($3 \otimes 3 = \bar{3} \oplus 6$ or $\bar{3} \otimes \bar{3} = 3 \oplus \bar{6}$), yielding an SU(3) triplet and a sextet in the ES for $l = \text{odd}$. So the two edges are *conjugate* with each other for $l = \text{even}$, while they are the *same* for $l = \text{odd}$. It should be pointed out that the ES of a single block is just identical to the edge excitation spectrum for an open spin chain. The spin-1 edge triplets are thus protected by the enlarged SU(3) symmetry.

SU(3) symmetry in the bulk.— To make the hidden structure of this spin-1 SPT state explicitly, we perform the exact state renormalization. By applying the singular value decomposition to a block with the length l ,

$$\left(A^{[s_1]} A^{[s_2]} \dots A^{[s_l]} \right)_{\alpha, \beta} = X_{\{s_i\}, p} \Lambda_{p, p} Y_{p, (\alpha, \beta)}, \quad (13)$$

we find nine relevant states $|p\rangle$, which can be viewed as the resulting states of two spin-1 edge states. When these relevant states are chosen as the basis of each block, the original MPS can be written into

$$|\text{VBS}\rangle = \sum_{\{p_i\}} \text{Tr} \left(B^{[p_1]} B^{[p_2]} \dots B^{[p_{N/l}]} \right) |p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{N/l}\rangle,$$

where the block matrices are expressed in terms of the generators of the SU(3) group

$$\begin{aligned} B^{[0]} &= \sqrt{\lambda_s} \mathbb{1}, \quad B^{[1]} = \sqrt{3\lambda_t/2} S^-, \quad B^{[2]} = \sqrt{3\lambda_t/2} S^z, \\ B^{[3]} &= -\sqrt{3\lambda_t/2} S^+, \quad B^{[4]} = \sqrt{3\lambda_q/4} (Q^1 - iQ^5), \\ B^{[5]} &= \sqrt{3\lambda_q/4} (Q^4 - iQ^3), \quad B^{[6]} = \sqrt{3\lambda_q/2} Q^2, \\ B^{[7]} &= -\sqrt{3\lambda_q/4} (Q^4 + iQ^3), \quad B^{[8]} = \sqrt{3\lambda_q/4} (Q^1 + iQ^5). \end{aligned}$$

The blocked MPS wave function is displayed in Fig.2(a).

As pointed out in the analysis of edge states, for the even block length, the nine local relevant states $|p\rangle$ are represented by a pair of the SU(3) quark and antiquark, and the resulting blocked wave function is shown in Fig.2(b). Such a state belongs to the same class of the SU(3) symmetric MPS[11–16]. However, for the odd block length, the nine relevant states $|p\rangle$ are represented by two SU(3) quarks 3 in the odd number blocks and two antiquarks $\bar{3}$ in the even number of blocks. Such an SU(3) symmetric blocked MPS has a doubled lattice unit cell, shown in Fig.2(c).

Combining with the analysis of edge states, we can further reveal the hidden structure in the original SO(3) MPS wave function. Under the SU(3) representation, the original lattice is divided into two sublattices. On the odd lattice sites there are two fundamental SU(3) quark states and projected into a conjugate SU(3) triplet $\bar{3}$, while two conjugate SU(3) antiquark states on the even lattice sites which are projected into a fundamental SU(3) triplet 3. The adjacent sites are linked by the SU(3) quark-antiquark singlet bonds. For an open chain with the total length L , two distinct topological states can be classified. For $L = \text{even}$, the representations of two edges




FIG. 2: (a) The blocked MPS wave function with the block length l . (b) For even l , each block contains a pair of SU(3) quark and antiquark. (c) For odd l , a pair of quarks is included in the odd number blocks while a pair of antiquarks in the even number blocks.

are conjugate to each other, while they are identical for $L = \text{odd}$. The former represents the SU(3) valence-bond-solid state, and the latter stands for a novel SU(3) spin-1 SPT phase. To our knowledge, the novel spin-1 MPS has not been found before.

Symmetric bulk bipartition for the blocked MPS. To extract the quantum critical properties encoded in this topological phase, we perform the symmetric bulk bipartition[8, 10]. When the blocked lattice is divided into two subsystems both containing the same number of disjoint blocks, we trace out the degrees of freedom in the sublattice B, i.e., a collection of all even blocks. Then the reduced density matrix ρ_A can be derived in terms of blocked transfer matrix $T_b = \sum_p B^{[p]} \bar{B}^{[p]}$. The full expression for ρ_A shown in Fig.3(a) can be written into the matrix product form $\rho_A = \text{Tr}(\prod_j R_j)$.

Since the original MPS is gapped with short-range spin correlations, the couplings between the edge spins within one block and adjacent disjoint blocks are almost identical for a sufficient large block length l . To the first order of with $\varepsilon = (1/2)^l$ and $\delta = (-1/2)^l$, R_j can be separated into $R_j = \frac{1}{6} \tilde{R}_{2j-1} \tilde{R}_{2j}$, where

$$\tilde{R}_j = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & \varepsilon S_j^z & -\varepsilon S_j^x & -i\varepsilon S_j^y & \delta Q_j^1 & \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\delta Q_j^2 & -\delta Q_j^4 & i\delta Q_j^5 & -i\delta Q_j^3 \\ -S_j^z & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ S_j^x & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -iS_j^y & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ Q_j^1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}Q_j^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -Q_j^4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -iQ_j^5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ iQ_j^3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the corresponding EH can be derived accordingly.

For the block length $l = \text{even}$, the resulting EH is approximated by

$$H_E \simeq -3 \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^l \sum_j (\mathbf{t}_j \cdot \mathbf{t}_{j+1})^2, \quad (14)$$

where the spin exchange interactions transform under the SU(3) fundamental representation 3 and the conjugate representation $\bar{3}$ on every other sites, as displayed in




FIG. 3: (a) The reduced density matrix under symmetric bulk bipartition with the repeating structure R_j . (b) For the block length $l = \text{even}$, the EH describes an array of alternating SU(3) quarks and antiquarks with the nearest neighbor interactions. (c) For the block length $l = \text{odd}$, the EH characterizes an array of quarks with the nearest neighbor interactions.

Fig.3(b). Since this model is exactly solvable, the ground state is given by a gapped dimerized phase with two-fold degeneracy[17, 18]. In the thermodynamic limit, the normalized energy gap is 0.1732, the spin correlation length is $\xi_\lambda \simeq 21.073$, and the translational invariance has been spontaneously broken. Actually, such a state can be regarded as the quark-antiquark singlet bonds of the edge states for each block, and the spontaneously breaking of the bond-centered inversion symmetry gives rise to the two-fold degeneracy. So the EH can be regarded to describe the first-order phase transition between two SU(3) symmetric valence-bond-solid states shown in Fig.4(a).

For the block length $l = \text{odd}$, the corresponding EH can be derived as

$$H_E \simeq 3 \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^l \sum_j [(\mathbf{t}_j \cdot \mathbf{t}_{j+1}) + (\mathbf{t}_j \cdot \mathbf{t}_{j+1})^2], \quad (15)$$

where the spin exchange interactions transform under either the SU(3) fundamental representation 3 or the conjugate representation $\bar{3}$ on every sublattice site, shown in Fig.3(c). Actually, H_E is also an exactly solvable model, corresponding to an SU(3) symmetric quantum critical state[19–21]. The corresponding critical field theory is described by the 1+1 (space-time) dimensional $SU(3)_1$ WZW conformal field theory with the central charge $c = 2$. The primary fields are given by the quantum spin numbers $j = 0, 1, 2$ with the scaling dimensions $\Delta_0 = 0, \Delta_1 = 1/3, \text{ and } \Delta_2 = 1$, respectively. The low-energy elementary excitations have the same nature of the edge states of the blocked MPS. Such a critical state can be argued to characterize the TQCP between the spin-1 SPT with identical edges and the trivial gapped phase, as shown in Fig.4(b).

Conclusion and Outlook. A novel SO(3) symmetric spin-1 MPS has been constructed and its parent Hamiltonian is determined to include three-site interactions.




FIG. 4: The phase diagrams are suggested as the controlling parameter g . (a) The first order phase transition between two SU(3) valence-bond-solid states. (b) The quantum critical point exists between the SU(3) topological nontrivial phase with identical edges and the trivial phase.

The peculiar property of this wave function is that, for an open chain with the total length $L = \text{even}$, the representations of two edges are conjugate to each other, while they are identical for the system length $L = \text{odd}$. Under the SU(3) representation, the original MPS can be transformed as follows: two fundamental SU(3) quark states on the odd lattice sites are projected into a conjugate SU(3) triplet $\bar{3}$, while two conjugate SU(3) antiquark states on the even lattice sites are projected into a fundamental SU(3) triplet 3 . The adjacent sites are linked by the SU(3) quark-antiquark singlet bonds.

With the same strategies, we can construct a generalized novel MPS with hidden $SU(N)$ symmetry ($N \geq 3$) with two virtual $S = (N - 1)/2$ spins which are projected into the sum of antisymmetric channels. For odd N , the antisymmetric channels consist of the total odd spin multiplets, while for even N they are composed of the total even spin multiples. Under the symmetric bulk bipartition with the block length $l = \text{even}$, we have obtained the EH for the reduced system, which describes a gapped dimerized phase with two-fold degenerate ground state. For the block length $l = \text{odd}$, the corresponding EH characterizes the $SU(N)$ quantum critical state with delocalized edge quasiparticles. We will report these results in our future work.

Acknowledgements.- G. M. Zhang would like to thank D. H. Lee for the stimulating discussions and acknowledge the support of NSF-China through Grant No.20121302227.

* Electronic address: gmzhang@tsinghua.edu.cn

- [1] X. Chen, Z. C. Gu and X. G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B **83**, 035107 (2011).
- [2] N. Schuch, D. Perez-Garcia and I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. B **84**, 165139 (2011).
- [3] X. Chen, Z. C. Gu, Z. X. Liu and X. G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B **87**, 155114 (2013).
- [4] X. Chen, F. Wang, Y. M. Lu, and D. H. Lee, Nucl. Phys. B **873** (FS) 248 (2013).
- [5] L. Tsui, H. C. Jiang, Y. M. Lu, and D. H. Lee, Nucl. Phys. B **896** (FS) 330 (2015); L. Tsui, F. Wang and D. H. Lee, arXiv:1511.07460.
- [6] F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Lett. **93A**, 464 (1983); Phys. Rev. Lett. **50**, 1153 (1983).
- [7] I. Affleck, T. Kennedy, E. H. Lieb, and H. Tasaki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 799 (1987); Commun. Math. Phys. **115**, 477 (1988).
- [8] W. J. Rao, X. Wan and G. M. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 075151 (2014).
- [9] T. H. Hsieh, L. Fu and X. L. Qi, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 085137 (2014).
- [10] W. J. Rao, G. M. Zhang, and K. Yang, arXiv:1512.06550.
- [11] M. Greiter, S. Rachel, and D. Schuricht, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 060401 (2007); M. Greiter and S. Rachel, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 184441 (2007).
- [12] H. Kastura, T. Hirano, and V. E. Korepin, J. Phys. A **41**, 135304 (2008).
- [13] S. Rachel, D. Schuricht, B. Scharfenberger, R. Thomale, and M. Greiter, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. **200**, 022049 (2010).
- [14] K. Duivenvoorden and T. Quella, Phys. Rev. B **86**, 235142 (2012).
- [15] T. Morimoto, H. Ueda, T. Momoi, and A. Furusaki, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 235111 (2014).
- [16] A. Roy and T. Quella, arXiv:1512.05229.
- [17] M. N. Barber and M. T. Batchelor, Phys. Rev. B **40**, 4621 (1989).
- [18] A. Klumper, Europhys. Lett. **9**, 815 (1989).
- [19] G. Fath and J. Solyom, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 872 (1993).
- [20] C. Itoi and M. H. Kato, Phys. Rev. B **55**, 8295 (1997).
- [21] A. Lauchli, G. Schmid, and S. Trebst, Phys. Rev. B **74**, 144426 (2006).
- [22] H. H. Tu, G. M. Zhang, T. Xiang, Z. X. Liu and T. K. Ng, Phys. Rev. B **80**, 014401 (2009).
- [23] C. M. Jian and M. Zaletel, arXiv:1507.00361.