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Abstract

Linear codes with few weights have been an interesting subject of study for many years, as these codes have applications in secret sharing, authentication codes, association schemes, and strongly regular graphs. In this paper, linear codes with a few weights are constructed from inhomogeneous quadratic functions over the finite field GF(p), where p is an odd prime. They include some earlier linear codes as special cases. The weight distributions of these linear codes are also determined.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, let p be an odd prime and let q = p^m for some positive integer m. An [n, k, d] code C over GF(p) is a k-dimensional subspace of GF(p)^n with minimum (Hamming) distance d. Let A_i denote the number of codewords with Hamming weight i in a code C of length n. The weight enumerator of C is defined by 1 + A_1z + A_2z^2 + \cdots + A_nz^n. The weight distribution (A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n) is an important research topic in coding theory, as it contains crucial information as to estimate the error correcting capability and the probability of error detection and correction with respect to some algorithms. A code C is said to be a t-weight code if the number of nonzero A_i in the sequence (A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n) is equal to t.

Let Tr denote the trace function from GF(q) onto GF(p) throughout this paper. Let F(x) ∈ GF(q)[x], D = \{x ∈ GF(q)^n : Tr(F(x)) = 0\} = \{d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n\} ⊆ GF(q) and n = #D. We define a linear code of length n over GF(p) by

\[ C_D = \{(Tr(xd_1), Tr(xd_2), \ldots, Tr(xd_n)) : x ∈ GF(q)\}, \tag{1} \]

and call D the defining set of this code C_D. By definition, the dimension of the code C_D is at most m.

This construction is generic in the sense that many classes of known codes could be produced by properly selecting the defining set D ⊆ GF(q). If the defining set D is well chosen, some optimal linear codes with few weights can be obtained. Based on this construction, many linear codes have been constructed since Ding et al. published their paper in 2014 [2]. We refer interested readers to [1], [3], [8], [9], [15], [4], [10], [12], [13] and the references therein. Particularly, Ding et al. [3] presented the weight distribution of C_D for the case F(x) = x^2 and proposed an open problem on how to determine the weight distribution of C_D for general planar functions F(x). Subsequently, Zhou et al. [15] and Tang et al. [10] solved this open problem and gave the weight distribution of C_D from homogeneous quadratic Bent functions and weakly regular Bent functions with some homogeneous conditions, respectively.
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In this paper, we consider linear codes with few weights from inhomogeneous quadratic functions $\text{Tr}(F(x)) = f(x) - \text{Tr}(\alpha x)$ and determine the weight distributions of these linear codes, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{GF}(q)$, $f(x)$ is a homogeneous quadratic function from $\mathbb{GF}(q)$ onto $\mathbb{GF}(p)$ and defined by

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \text{Tr}(a_i x^{p_i+1}) \quad (a_i \in \mathbb{GF}(q)).$$

They include some earlier linear codes as special cases [14], [11].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section II introduces some basic notations and results of group characters, Gauss sums, exponential sums and cyclotomic fields which will be needed in subsequent sections. Section III constructs linear codes with a few weights from inhomogeneous quadratic functions and settles the weight distributions of these linear codes. Section IV summarizes this paper.

II. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we state some notations and basic facts on group characters, Gauss sums, exponential sums and cyclotomic fields. Moreover, we give and prove some results on exponential sums about homogeneous quadratic functions $f(x)$ defined in (2). These results will be used in the rest of the paper.

A. Some notations fixed throughout this paper

For convenience, we adopt the following notations unless otherwise stated in this paper.

- $p^* = (-1)^{(p-1)/2} p$.
- $\zeta_p = e^{2\pi i/p}$ be the primitive $p$-th root of unity.
- SQ and NSQ denote the set of all squares and nonsquares in $\mathbb{GF}(p)^*$, respectively.
- $\eta$ and $\bar{\eta}$ are the quadratic characters of $\mathbb{GF}(q)^*$ and $\mathbb{GF}(p)^*$, respectively. We extend these quadratic characters by letting $\eta(0) = 0$ and $\bar{\eta}(0) = 0$.

B. Group characters and Gauss sums

An additive character of $\mathbb{GF}(q)$ is a nonzero function $\chi$ from $\mathbb{GF}(q)$ to the set of nonzero complex numbers such that $\chi(x+y) = \chi(x)\chi(y)$ for any pair $(x,y) \in \mathbb{GF}(q)^2$. For each $b \in \mathbb{GF}(q)$, the function

$$\chi_b(c) = \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}(bc)} \quad \text{for all } c \in \mathbb{GF}(q)$$

defines an additive character of $\mathbb{GF}(q)$. When $b = 0$, $\chi_0(c) = 1$ for all $c \in \mathbb{GF}(q)$, and is called the trivial additive character of $\mathbb{GF}(q)$. The character $\chi_1$ in (3) is called the canonical additive character of $\mathbb{GF}(q)$. It is well known that every additive character of $\mathbb{GF}(q)$ can be written as $\chi_b(x) = \chi_1(bx)$ [7, Theorem 5.7].

The Gauss sum $G(\eta, \chi_1)$ over $\mathbb{GF}(q)$ is defined by

$$G(\eta, \chi_1) = \sum_{c \in \mathbb{GF}(q)^*} \eta(c)\chi_1(c) = \sum_{c \in \mathbb{GF}(q)} \eta(c)\chi_1(c)$$

and the Gauss sum $G(\bar{\eta}, \bar{\chi}_1)$ over $\mathbb{GF}(p)$ is defined by

$$G(\bar{\eta}, \bar{\chi}_1) = \sum_{c \in \mathbb{GF}(p)^*} \bar{\eta}(c)\bar{\chi}_1(c) = \sum_{c \in \mathbb{GF}(p)} \bar{\eta}(c)\bar{\chi}_1(c),$$

where $\bar{\chi}_1$ is the canonical additive characters of $\mathbb{GF}(p)$.

The following three lemmas are proved in [7, Theorem 5.15 and Theorem 5.33] and [3, lemma 7], respectively.
Lemma 1. With the symbols and notations above, we have
\[ G(\eta, \chi_1) = (-1)^{m-1} \sqrt{-1} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)^{m-q} \]

and
\[ G(\tilde{\eta}, \tilde{\chi}_1) = \sqrt{-1} \left( \frac{p-1}{2} \right)^{m-p}. \]

Lemma 2. Let \( \chi \) be a nontrivial additive character of \( GF(q) \) with \( q \) odd, and let \( f(x) = a_2x^2 + a_1x + a_0 \in GF(q)[x] \) with \( a_2 \neq 0 \). Then
\[ \sum_{c \in GF(q)} \chi(f(c)) = \chi(a_0 - a_1^2(4a_2)^{-1})\eta(a_2)G(\eta, \chi). \]

C. Cyclotomic fields

In this subsection, we state some basic facts on cyclotomic fields. These results will be used in the rest of this paper.

Let \( \mathbb{Z} \) be the rational integer ring and \( Q \) be the rational field. Some results on cyclotomic field \( Q(\zeta_p) \) are given in the following lemma.

Lemma 3. We have the following basic facts.
1) The ring of integers in \( K = Q(\zeta_p) \) is \( \mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}(\zeta_p) \) and \( \{ \zeta_p^i : 1 \leq i \leq p-1 \} \) is an integral basis of \( \mathcal{O}_K \).
2) The field extension \( K/Q \) is Galois of degree \( p-1 \) and the Galois group \( Gal(K/Q) = \{ \sigma_a : a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^* \} \), where the automorphism \( \sigma_a \) of \( K \) is defined by \( \sigma_a(\zeta_p) = \zeta_p^a \).
3) The field \( K \) has a unique quadratic subfield \( L = Q(\sqrt{p^r}) \). For \( 1 \leq a < p-1 \), \( \sigma_a(\sqrt{p^r}) = \eta(a)\sqrt{p^r} \).

Therefore, the Galois group \( Gal(L/Q) \) is \( \{1, \gamma\} \), where \( \gamma \) is any quadratic nonresidue in \( GF(p) \).

From Lemma 3, the conclusion of the following lemma is straightforward and we omit their proofs.

Lemma 4. With the symbols and notations above, we have the following.

(I) \( \sum_{y \in GF(p)^*} \sigma_y((p^r)^{-\frac{1}{2}}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r \text{ is odd,} \\ (p^r)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(p-1) & \text{if } r \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \)

(II) For any \( z \in GF(p)^* \), then
\[ \sum_{y \in GF(p)^*} \sigma_y((p^r)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \zeta_p^z) = \begin{cases} \eta(z)(p^r)^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } r \text{ is odd,} \\ -(p^r)^{-\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } r \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \]

D. Exponential sums

In this subsection, we give and prove some results on exponential sums about homogeneous quadratic functions \( f(x) \) defined in [2]. Before doing this, we need state some basic facts on linear algebra.

The field \( GF(q) \) is a vector space over \( GF(p) \) with dimension \( m \). We fix a basis \( v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{m-1} \) of \( GF(q) \) over \( GF(p) \). Then each \( x \in GF(q) \) can be uniquely expressed as
\[ x = x_0v_0 + x_1v_1 + \cdots + x_{m-1}v_{m-1} \quad (x_i \in GF(p)), \]

Thus we have the following \( GF(p) \)-linear isomorphism \( GF(q) \cong GF(p)^m \):
\[ x = x_0v_0 + x_1v_1 + \cdots + x_{m-1}v_{m-1} \mapsto X = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{m-1}). \]
With this isomorphism, a function $f : \text{GF}(q) \to \text{GF}(p)$ induces a function $F : \text{GF}(p)^m \to \text{GF}(p)$ where for all $X = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{m-1}) \in \text{GF}(p)^m$, $F(X) = f(x)$ where $x = x_0 v_0 + x_1 v_1 + \cdots + x_{m-1} v_{m-1}$. In this way, the function $f$ defined in (2) induces a quadratic form

$$F(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \text{Tr}(a_i (\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} x_j v_j)^{p^i+1})$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \text{Tr}(a_i (\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} x_j v_j) (\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} x_k v_k))$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \left( \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \text{Tr}(a_i v_j^{p_i} v_k) \right) x_j x_k$$

$$= XHXT,$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $X^T$ is the transposition of $X$, $H = (h_{j,k})$,

$$h_{j,k} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left( \text{Tr}(a_i (v_j^{p_i} v_k + v_j v_k^{p_i})) \right) \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq j, k \leq m - 1,$$

and the rank of $H$ is called the rank of the function $f$ defined in (2). We denote the rank of $f$ by $r_f$. Particularly, $r_f = m$ if and only if $f$ is Bent function.

Since $H$ defined in (6) is a $m \times m$ symmetric matrix over $\text{GF}(p)$ and $r_f = \text{rank} \ H$, there exists $M \in \text{GL}_m(\text{GF}(p))$ such that $H' = MHM^T$ is a diagonal matrix and $H' = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_{r_f}, 0, \cdots, 0)$ where $\lambda_i \in \text{GF}(p)^* (1 \leq i \leq r_f)$. Let $\Delta = \lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_{r_f}$. Then the value of $\eta(\Delta)$ is an invariant of $H$ under the action of $H \mapsto MHM^T$ where $M \in \text{GL}_m(\text{GF}(p))$. We call $\eta(\Delta)$ the sign of the quadratic function $f$ of (2) and is defined by $\varepsilon_f$.

It is clear that the value of $r_f$ is closely related to the value of $\#Z_f$, where the set

$$Z_f = \{ x \in \text{GF}(q) : f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y), \forall y \in \text{GF}(q) \}.$$  

It is well known that $\#Z_f = p^{m-r_f}$. Note that from Equation (2) we have

$$f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) + 2\text{Tr}(L_f(x)y) = f(x) + f(y) + 2\text{Tr}(xL_f(y)),$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $L_f$ is a linear polynomial over $\text{GF}(q)$ defined by

$$L_f(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (a_i + a_i^{p^i}) x^{p^i}.$$

From now on we define $\text{Im}(L_f) = \{ L_f(x) : x \in \text{GF}(q) \}$ and $\text{Ker}(L_f) = \{ x \in \text{GF}(q) : L_f(x) = 0 \}$. If $b \in \text{Im}(L_f)$, we denote $x_b \in \text{GF}(q)$ with satisfying $L_f(x_b) = -\frac{b}{2}$.

From Equation (7), we have

$$\ker(L_f) = \{ x \in \text{GF}(q) : f(x+y) = f(x) + f(y) \quad \text{for all} \quad y \in \text{GF}(q) \}.$$  

Thus $p^{m-r_f} = \#Z_f = \#\text{Ker}(L_f)$, that is, rank $L_f = r_f$. It is obvious that $0 \leq r_f \leq m$.

III. LINEAR CODES FROM INHOMOGENEOUS QUADRATIC FUNCTIONS

We construct linear codes over $\text{GF}(p)$ by using inhomogeneous quadratic functions and determine their parameters in this section.

In this paper, the defining set $D$ of the code $C_D$ of (1) is given by

$$D = \{ x \in \text{GF}(q)^* : f(x) - \text{Tr}(\alpha x) = 0 \}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

where $\alpha \in \text{GF}(q)^*$ and $f$ is defined in (2). It is clear that the function $f(x) - \text{Tr}(\alpha x)$ used in the defining set $D$ is a inhomogeneous quadratic functions.

Before giving and proving the main results of this paper, we firstly prove a few more auxiliary results which will be needed in proving the main results.
A. Some auxiliary results

To prove our main results in this paper, we need the help of a number of lemmas that are described and proved in this subsection.

**Lemma 5.** Let the symbols and notations be as above. Let \( f \) be a homogeneous quadratic function and \( b \in \text{GF}(q) \). Then

(I) \( \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p f(x) = \epsilon_f p^m(p^*)^{-rf} \) and

(II) \( \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p f(x) - \text{Tr}(bx) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } b \not\in \text{Im}(L_f) \\ \epsilon_f p^m(p^*)^{-rf} - f(x_b) & \text{if } b \in \text{Im}(L_f) \end{cases} \), where \( x_b \) satisfies \( L_f(x_b) = -\frac{b}{2} \).

**Proof:** (I) The desired conclusion (I) of this lemma then follows from [6, Lemma 1].

(II) If \( b \not\in \text{Im}(L_f) \), then we have

\[
\left( \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p f(x) \right) \left( \sum_{y \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p f(y) - \text{Tr}(by) \right) = \sum_{x, y \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p f(x+y) - \text{Tr}(b(x+y)) = \sum_{x, y \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p f(x) - \text{Tr}(b(x+y)) = \sum_{y \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p f(y) - \text{Tr}(by) \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p \text{Tr}(L_f(2y)-b)x) = 0. \]

(By Equation (7))

(Since \( b \not\in \text{Im}(L_f) \))

From the conclusion (I) of this lemma, we have \( \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p f(x) \neq 0 \). Therefore, \( \sum_{y \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p f(y) - \text{Tr}(by) = 0 \).

If \( b \in \text{Im}(L_f) \), then there exists \( x_b \in \text{GF}(q) \) such that \( L_f(x_b) = -\frac{b}{2} \). Thus, we have

\[
\sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p f(x) - \text{Tr}(bx) = \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p f(x) + 2\text{Tr}(L_f(x_b)x) = \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p f(x) + f(x_b) + 2\text{Tr}(L_f(x_b)x) - f(x_b) = \zeta_p f(x_b) \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p f(x) \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p f(x) = \epsilon_f p^m(p^*)^{-rf} - f(x_b). \]

(By Equation (7))

(Summarizing all the conclusions above, this completes the proof of this lemma.)

**Lemma 6.** Let \( a, b, c \in \text{GF}(p) \) and

\[
S = \sum_{x, w \in \text{GF}(p)} \zeta_p az^2 + 2bw + cw^2.
\]

Then we have the following.

(I) If \( ac - b^2 \neq 0 \), then \( S = \bar{\eta}(ac - b^2)p^2(p^*)^{-1} \).
(II) If \( ac - b^2 = 0 \) and \( a \neq 0 \), then \( S = \tilde{\eta}(a)p\sqrt{p^*} \).

Proof: (I) The desired conclusion (I) of this lemma then follows from [6, Lemma 1].
(II) If \( ac - b^2 = 0 \) and \( a \neq 0 \), then
\[
S = \sum_{z, w \in \text{GF}(p)} \zeta_p^{\frac{1}{2}(az + bw)^2} = \sum_{w \in \text{GF}(p)} \sum_{z \in \text{GF}(p)} \zeta_p^{\frac{1}{2}(az + bw)^2} = \sum_{w \in \text{GF}(p)} \sum_{z \in \text{GF}(p)} \zeta_p^{z^2} = \tilde{\eta}(a)p\sqrt{p^*},
\]
where the last identity follows from Lemmas [1] and [2].
This completes the proof of this lemma.

Lemma 7. Let \( g \) be a homogeneous quadratic function from \( \text{GF}(q) \) onto \( \text{GF}(p) \) with the rank \( r_g \) and the sign \( \varepsilon_g \). For any \( t \in \text{GF}(p)^* \), let
\[
N(g = t) = \# \{ x \in \text{GF}(q) : g(x) = t \}.
\]
Then
\[
N(g = t) = \begin{cases} 
  p^{m-1} - \varepsilon_g p^{m-1}(p^*)^{-\frac{r_g}{2}} & \text{if } r_g \text{ is even}, \\
  p^{m-1} + \varepsilon_g \tilde{\eta}(-t)p^{m-1}(p^*)^{-\frac{r_g}{2}} & \text{if } r_g \text{ is odd}.
\end{cases}
\]

Proof: By definition, we have
\[
N(g = t) = p^{-1} \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \sum_{y \in \text{GF}(p)} \zeta_p^{y(g(x)-t)}
\]
\[
= p^{-1} \left( \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p^0 + \sum_{y \in \text{GF}(p)^*} \sigma_y \left( \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p^{g(x)-t} \right) \right)
\]
\[
= p^{m-1} + p^{-1} \sum_{y \in \text{GF}(p)^*} \sigma_y \left( \zeta_p^{-t} \varepsilon_g p^{m}(p^*)^{-\frac{r_g}{2}} \right) \quad \text{(By Lemma 5)}
\]
\[
= p^{m-1} + \varepsilon_g p^{m-1} \sum_{y \in \text{GF}(p)^*} \sigma_y \left( \zeta_p^{-t} (p^*)^{-\frac{r_g}{2}} \right).
\]
The desired conclusion then follows from the result (II) of Lemma 4.

Lemma 8. Let \( f \) be a homogeneous quadratic function with the rank \( r_f \) and the sign \( \varepsilon_f \), \( \alpha \in \text{Im}(L_f) \) and \( x_\alpha \in \text{GF}(q) \) with satisfying \( L_f(x_\alpha) = -\alpha \). Let \( f(x_\alpha) = 0 \) and
\[
A = \# \{ x \in \text{GF}(q) : f(x) = a \text{ and } \text{Tr}(\alpha x) = 0 \}
\]
for any \( a \in \text{GF}(p)^* \). Then
\[
A = p^{m-2} + \varepsilon_f \bar{\eta}(-a)p^{m-1}(p^*)^{-\frac{r_f-1}{2}}.
\]
Proof: By definition, we have
\[ A = p^{-2} \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} (\sum_{y \in \text{GF}(p)} \zeta_p^{y(f(x) - a)})(\sum_{z \in \text{GF}(p)} \zeta_p^{z \text{Tr}(\alpha z)}) \]
\[ = p^{-2} \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} (\sum_{z \in \text{GF}(p)} \zeta_p^{	ext{Tr}(\alpha z)}) + p^{-2} \sum_{y \in \text{GF}(p)^*} (\sum_{z \in \text{GF}(p)} \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p^{y(f(x) - a) + z \text{Tr}(\alpha z)}) \]
\[ = p^{m-2} + p^{-2} \sum_{y \in \text{GF}(p)^*} \sigma_y \left( \sum_{z \in \text{GF}(p)} \zeta_p^{-a} \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p^{f(x) + z \text{Tr}(\alpha z)} \right) \]
\[ = p^{m-2} + p^{-2} \sum_{y \in \text{GF}(p)^*} \sigma_y \left( \zeta_p^{-a} \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p^{f(x) + f(x\alpha)} - p - 1 \right) \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p^{p(x) - z \text{Tr}(\alpha z)} \]
\[ = p^{m-2} + p^{-2} \sum_{y \in \text{GF}(p)^*} \sigma_y \left( \zeta_p^{-a} \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p^{p(x) - z \text{Tr}(\alpha z)} \right) \]
\[ = p^{m-2} + p^{-2} \eta(-a) \text{Tr}(\alpha z) - \frac{r_f}{2} \]
\[ = p^{m-2} + \varepsilon_f \eta(-a) p^{m-1}(p^*)^{-\frac{r_f}{2}}. \]

This completes the proof.

Lemma 9. Let the symbols and notations be as above. Let \( f \) be a homogeneous quadratic function, \( \alpha \in \text{GF}(q) \) and
\[ N_f(\alpha) = \#\{x \in \text{GF}(q) : f(x) - \text{Tr}(\alpha x) = 0\}. \]
Then we have the following.
(I) If \( \alpha \notin \text{Im}(L_f) \), then \( N_f(\alpha) = p^{m-1} \).
(II) If \( \alpha \in \text{Im}(L_f) \), then
\[ N_f(\alpha) = \begin{cases} p^{m-1} + \varepsilon_f(p - 1)p^{m-1}(p^*)^{-\frac{r_f}{2}} & \text{if } r_f \text{ is even and } f(x\alpha) = 0, \\ p^{m-1} - \varepsilon_f p^{m-1}(p^*)^{-\frac{r_f}{2}} & \text{if } r_f \text{ is even and } f(x\alpha) \neq 0, \\ p^{m-1} & \text{if } r_f \text{ is odd and } f(x\alpha) = 0, \\ p^{m-1} - 1 + \varepsilon_f \eta(-f(x\alpha)) p^{m-1}(p^*)^{-\frac{r_f-1}{2}} & \text{if } r_f \text{ is odd and } f(x\alpha) \neq 0, \end{cases} \]
where \( x\alpha \) satisfies \( L_f(x\alpha) = -\frac{a}{2} \), \( r_f \) is the rank of \( f \) and \( \varepsilon_f \) is the sign of \( f \).

Proof: By definition, we have
\[ N_f(\alpha) = p^{-1} \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \sum_{y \in \text{GF}(p)} \zeta_p^{y(f(x) - \text{Tr}(\alpha x))} \]
\[ = p^{m-1} + p^{-1} \sum_{y \in \text{GF}(p)^*} \sigma_y \left( \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p^{f(x) - \text{Tr}(\alpha x)} \right) \]

The desired conclusions then follow from Lemma 4 and the result (II) of Lemma 5.

Lemma 10. Let the symbols and notations be as above. Let \( f \) be a homogeneous quadratic function with the rank \( r_f \) and the sign \( \varepsilon_f \), \( \beta \in \text{GF}(q)^* \) and
\[ S_1 = \sum_{z \in \text{GF}(p)} \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p^{-z \text{Tr}(\beta x)}, \]
\[ S_2 = \sum_{z \in \text{GF}(p)} \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p^{f(x) - z \text{Tr}(\beta x)}, \]
\[ S_3 = \sum_{y \in \text{GF}(p)^*} \sigma_y \left( \sum_{z \in \text{GF}(p)} \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p^{f(x) - z \text{Tr}(\beta x)} \right) \].
Then we have the following:

(I) \( S_1 = q \),

(II) \( S_2 = \begin{cases} 
\varepsilon f p^{m+1}(p^*)^{-\frac{rf}{2}} & \text{if } \beta \in \text{Im}(L_f) \text{ and } f(x_\beta) = 0 \\
\varepsilon f \eta (-f(x_\beta)) p^m(p^*)^{-\frac{rf-1}{2}} & \text{if } \beta \in \text{Im}(L_f) \text{ and } f(x_\beta) \neq 0 \\
\varepsilon f p^m(p^*)^{-\frac{rf}{2}} & \text{if } \beta \not\in \text{Im}(L_f) 
\end{cases} \),

(III) if \( r_f \) is even, then

\[ S_3 = \begin{cases} 
\varepsilon f(p - 1)p^{m+1}(p^*)^{-\frac{rf}{2}} & \text{if } \beta \in \text{Im}(L_f) \text{ and } f(x_\beta) = 0, \\
0 & \text{if } \beta \in \text{Im}(L_f) \text{ and } f(x_\beta) \neq 0, \\
\varepsilon f(p - 1)p^m(p^*)^{-\frac{rf}{2}} & \text{if } \beta \not\in \text{Im}(L_f), 
\end{cases} \]

if \( r_f \) is odd, then

\[ S_3 = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{if } \beta \in \text{Im}(L_f) \text{ and } f(x_\beta) = 0, \text{ or } \beta \not\in \text{Im}(L_f) , \\
\varepsilon f \eta (-f(x_\beta))(p - 1)p^m(p^*)^{-\frac{rf-1}{2}} & \text{if } \beta \in \text{Im}(L_f) \text{ and } f(x_\beta) \neq 0. 
\end{cases} \]

where \( x_\beta \in \text{GF}(q) \) satisfies \( L_f(x_\beta) = -\frac{\beta}{2} \) when \( \beta \in \text{Im}(L_f) \).

Proof: (I) Note that

\[ \sum_{z \in \text{GF}(p)^*} \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}(-z\beta x)} = 0, \]

as \( \beta \in \text{GF}(q)^* \). Therefore, we have

\[ S_1 = \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \sum_{z \in \text{GF}(p)^*} \zeta_p^{0} + \sum_{z \in \text{GF}(p)^*} \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p^{-z\text{Tr}(\beta x)} = q. \]

(II) By definitions and the result (II) of Lemma 5, we have

\[ S_2 = \begin{cases} 
\sum_{z \in \text{GF}(p)} \varepsilon f p^m(p^*)^{-\frac{rf}{2}} \zeta_p^{f(x_\beta)z^2} & \text{if } \beta \in \text{Im}(L_f), \\
\sum_{z \in \text{GF}(q)} \varepsilon f(p - 1)p^m(p^*)^{-\frac{rf}{2}} & \text{if } \beta \not\in \text{Im}(L_f). 
\end{cases} \]

The desired conclusion (II) of this lemma then follows from Lemmas 11 and 2 and the result (I) of Lemma 5.

(III) The desired conclusion then follows directly from Lemma 4 and the result (II) of this lemma. This completes the proof.

Lemma 11. Let the symbols and notations be as above. Let \( f \) be a homogeneous quadratic function with the rank \( r_f \) and the sign \( \varepsilon f \), \( \beta \in \text{GF}(q)^* \) and

\[ N_{f,\beta} = \#\{x \in \text{GF}(q) : f(x) = 0 \text{ and } \text{Tr}(\beta x) = 0\}. \]

Then, for the case \( r_f \) being even, we have

\[ N_{f,\beta} = \begin{cases} 
p^{m-2} + \varepsilon f(p - 1)p^{m-1}(p^*)^{-\frac{rf}{2}} & \text{if } \beta \in \text{Im}(L_f) \text{ and } f(x_\beta) = 0, \\
p^{m-2} & \text{if } \beta \in \text{Im}(L_f) \text{ and } f(x_\beta) \neq 0, 
\end{cases} \]

and for the case \( r_f \) being odd, we have

\[ N_{f,\beta} = \begin{cases} 
p^{m-2} & \text{if } \beta \in \text{Im}(L_f) \text{ and } f(x_\beta) = 0, \text{ or } \beta \not\in \text{Im}(L_f) , \\
p^{m-2} + \varepsilon f \eta (-f(x_\beta))(p - 1)p^{m-2}(p^*)^{-\frac{rf-1}{2}} & \text{if } \beta \in \text{Im}(L_f) \text{ and } f(x_\beta) \neq 0, 
\end{cases} \]
where $x_\beta \in \text{GF}(q)$ satisfies $L_f(x_\beta) = -\frac{\beta}{2}$ when $\beta \in \text{Im}(L_f)$.

Proof: By definition, we have

$$N_{f,\beta} = p^{-2} \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \left( \sum_{y \in \text{GF}(p)} \zeta_p^{f(x)} \right) \left( \sum_{z \in \text{GF}(p)} \zeta_p^{-\text{Tr}(\beta z)} \right)$$

$$= p^{-2} \left( \sum_{z \in \text{GF}(p) \times \text{GF}(q)} \sum_{y \in \text{GF}(p)^*} \zeta_p^{-\text{Tr}(\beta z)} + \sum_{y \in \text{GF}(p)^*} \sigma_y \left( \sum_{z \in \text{GF}(p) \times \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p^{f(x)} \right) \right).$$

The desired conclusion then follows from Lemma 10.

Lemma 12. Let $f$ be a homogeneous quadratic function with the rank $r_f$ and the sign $\varepsilon_f$, $\alpha \in \text{GF}(q) \setminus \text{Im}(L_f)$ and $\beta \in \text{GF}(q)^*$. Then we have the following.

- There exists $z_0 \in \text{GF}(p)^*$ such that $\alpha - z_0 \beta \in \text{Im}(L_f)$ if and only if $\beta \in \bigcup_{z \in \text{GF}(p)^*} (z \alpha + \text{Im}(L_f))$.
- Let $\zeta' \in \text{GF}(p)^*$ and $\beta \in \beta' \alpha + \text{Im}(L_f)$. Then $\{z \in \text{GF}(p)^*: \alpha - z \beta \in \text{Im}(L_f)\} = \{\zeta'/\zeta'\}$.

Proof: The desired conclusion is straightforward.

Lemma 13. Let $f$ be a homogeneous quadratic function with the rank $r_f$ and the sign $\varepsilon_f$, $\alpha \in \text{GF}(q)$, $\beta \in \text{GF}(q)^*$ and

$$S_4 = \sum_{z \in \text{GF}(p) \times \text{GF}(q)} \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p^{f(x) - \text{Tr}((\alpha - \beta z)x)}.$$

Then we have the following.

(I) If $\alpha \in \text{Im}(L_f)$, then

$$S_4 = \begin{cases} 
\varepsilon_f p^{m+1}(\beta^*) - \frac{\beta}{2} \zeta_p^{f(x_\beta)} & \text{if } \beta \in \text{Im}(L_f), \ f(x_\beta) = 0 \text{ and } \text{Tr}(\alpha x_\beta) = 0, \\
\varepsilon_f \bar{\eta}(-f(x_\beta)) p^m(\beta^*) - \frac{\beta}{2} \zeta_p^{f(x_\beta)} & \text{if } \beta \in \text{Im}(L_f), \ f(x_\beta) = 0 \text{ and } \text{Tr}(\alpha x_\beta) \neq 0, \\
\varepsilon_f p^m(\beta^*) - \frac{\beta}{2} \zeta_p^{f(x_\beta)} & \text{if } \beta \in \text{Im}(L_f) \text{ and } f(x_\beta) \neq 0, \\
0 & \text{if } \beta \notin \text{Im}(L_f), 
\end{cases}$$

where $x_\alpha \in \text{GF}(q)$ satisfies $L_f(x_\alpha) = -\frac{\alpha}{2}$ and $x_\beta \in \text{GF}(q)$ satisfies $L_f(x_\beta) = -\frac{\beta}{2}$.

(II) If $\alpha \notin \text{Im}(L_f)$, then

$$S_4 = \begin{cases} 
\varepsilon_f p^m(\beta^*) - \frac{\beta}{2} \zeta_p^{f(x')} & \text{if } \beta \in \bigcup_{z \in \text{GF}(p)^*} (z \alpha + \text{Im}(L_f)), \\
0 & \text{otherwise}, 
\end{cases}$$

where $f(x') = -\frac{\alpha - \beta z_0}{2}$ with $\beta \in \frac{1}{z_0} \alpha + \text{Im}(L_f)$ and $z_0 \in \text{GF}(p)^*$.

Proof: (I) It is obvious that there exists $x_\alpha \in \text{GF}(q)$ such that $L_f(x_\alpha) = -\frac{\alpha}{2}$ when $\alpha \in \text{Im}(L_f)$. Let us distinguish the following two cases when $\alpha \in \text{Im}(L_f)$.

- Case $\beta \in \text{Im}(L_f)$.

  It is obvious that there exists $x_\beta \in \text{GF}(q)$ such that $L_f(x_\beta) = -\frac{\beta}{2}$. Thus, $L_f(x_\alpha - x_\beta) = -\frac{\alpha - \beta}{2}$. From
Lemma 5 we have

\[
S_4 = \sum_{z \in \text{GF}(p)} \varepsilon_f p^m(p^*) - \frac{r_f}{2} \zeta_p^{-f(x_\alpha - zx_\beta)}
\]

\[
= \varepsilon_f p^m(p^*) - \frac{r_f}{2} \sum_{z \in \text{GF}(p)} \zeta_p^{-f(x_\alpha - f(x_\beta)z^2 + 2\text{Tr}(L_f(x_\alpha)x_\beta)z}
\]

\[
= \varepsilon_f p^m(p^*) - \frac{r_f}{2} \sum_{z \in \text{GF}(p)} \zeta_p^{-f(x_\beta)z^2 - \text{Tr}(\alpha x_\beta)z - f(x_\alpha)}
\]

\[
= \begin{cases} 
\varepsilon_f p^{m+1}(p^*) - \frac{r_f}{2} \zeta_p^{-f(x_\alpha)} & \text{if } f(x_\beta) = 0 \text{ and } \text{Tr}(\alpha x_\beta) = 0, \\
0 & \text{if } f(x_\beta) = 0 \text{ and } \text{Tr}(\alpha x_\beta) \neq 0, \\
\varepsilon_f \bar{\eta}(f(x_\beta)) p^m(p^*) - \frac{r_f - 1}{2} \zeta_p^{-f(x_\alpha) + \frac{\text{Tr}(\alpha x_\beta)^2}{2r_f(x_\beta)}} & \text{if } f(x_\beta) \neq 0,
\end{cases}
\]

(9)

where the last identity follows by using Lemmas 1 and 2.

- Case $\beta \not\in \text{Im}(L_f)$.

It is clear that $\alpha - \beta z \not\in \text{Im}(L_f)$ for any $z \in \text{GF}(p)^\ast$. Therefore, from Lemma 5 we have

\[
S_4 = \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p^{f(x) - \text{Tr}(\alpha x)}
\]

\[
= \varepsilon_f p^m(p^*) - \frac{r_f}{2} \zeta_p^{-f(x_\alpha)}
\]

(10)

Combining (9) and (10), the result (I) of this lemma follows.

(II) The proof is similar to case (I). The desired conclusion then follows from Lemmas 5 and 12.

**Lemma 14.** Let the symbols and notations be as Lemma 13 and let

\[
S_5 = \sum_{y \in \text{GF}(p)^\ast} \sigma_y \left( \sum_{z \in \text{GF}(p)} \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p^{f(x) - \text{Tr}(\alpha - \beta z) x} \right).
\]

Then we have the following.

(I) When $\alpha \in \text{Im}(L_f)$, we have the following four cases.

- If $r_f$ is even and $f(x_\alpha) = 0$, then

\[
S_5 = \begin{cases} 
\varepsilon_f (p - 1) p^{m+1}(p^*) - \frac{r_f}{2} & \text{if } f(x_\beta) = 0 \text{ and } \text{Tr}(\alpha x_\beta) = 0, \\
0 & \text{if } f(x_\beta) = 0 \text{ and } \text{Tr}(\alpha x_\beta) \neq 0 \\
\varepsilon_f \bar{\eta}(1) p^m(p^*) - \frac{r_f - 2}{2} & \text{if } f(x_\beta) \neq 0 \text{ and } \text{Tr}(\alpha x_\beta) = 0, \\
\varepsilon_f (p - 1) p^m(p^*) - \frac{r_f}{2} & \text{if } \beta \not\in \text{Im}(L_f).
\end{cases}
\]

- If $r_f$ is even and $f(x_\alpha) \neq 0$, then

\[
S_5 = \begin{cases} 
-\varepsilon_f p^{m+1}(p^*) - \frac{r_f}{2} & \text{if } f(x_\beta) = 0 \text{ and } \text{Tr}(\alpha x_\beta) = 0, \\
0 & \text{if } f(x_\beta) = 0 \text{ and } \text{Tr}(\alpha x_\beta) \neq 0 \\
\varepsilon_f \bar{\eta}(f(x_\beta)E) p^m(p^*) - \frac{r_f - 2}{2} & \text{if } f(x_\beta) \neq 0 \text{ and } E = 0, \\
-\varepsilon_f p^m(p^*) - \frac{r_f}{2} & \text{if } \beta \not\in \text{Im}(L_f),
\end{cases}
\]

where $E = -f(x_\alpha) + \frac{(\text{Tr}(\alpha x_\beta))^2}{4f(x_\beta)}$. 


Lemma 15. Let \( f \) be a homogeneous quadratic function with the rank \( r_f \) and the sign \( \varepsilon_f \), \( \alpha \in \text{GF}(q) \), \( \beta \in \text{GF}(q)^* \) and

\[
N_{f, \beta}(\alpha) = \{ x \in \text{GF}(q) : f(x) - \text{Tr}(\alpha x) = 0 \text{ and } \text{Tr}(\beta x) = 0 \}.
\]

Then we have the following.

(I) When \( \alpha \in \text{Im}(L_f) \), we have the following four cases.

- If \( r_f \) is even and \( f(x_\alpha) = 0 \), then

\[
N_{f, \beta}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 
p_{m-2} + \varepsilon_f(p-1)p^{m-1}(p^*)^{-\frac{r_f}{2}} & \text{if } f(x_\beta) = 0 \text{ and } \text{Tr}(\alpha x_\beta) = 0, \\
p_{m-2} & \text{if } f(x_\beta) = 0 \text{ and } \text{Tr}(\alpha x_\beta) \neq 0 \text{ or } f(x_\beta) \neq 0 \text{ and } \text{Tr}(\alpha x_\beta) = 0, \\
p_{m-2} + \varepsilon_f(-1)p^{m-2}(p^*)^{-\frac{r_f}{2}} & \text{if } f(x_\beta) \neq 0 \text{ and } \text{Tr}(\alpha x_\beta) = 0, \\
p_{m-2} + \varepsilon_f(p-1)p^{m-2}(p^*)^{-\frac{r_f}{2}} & \text{if } f(x_\beta) \neq 0 \text{ and } \text{Tr}(\alpha x_\beta) \neq 0. 
\end{cases}
\]

Proof: The desired conclusions then follow from Lemmas [13] and [4].
\[ N_{f, \beta}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 
  p^{m-2} - \varepsilon_f p^{m-1}(p^*)^{-\frac{rf}{2}} & \text{if } f(x_\beta) = 0 \text{ and } \text{Tr}(\alpha x_\beta) = 0, \\
  p^{m-2} + \varepsilon_f \bar{\eta}(f(x_\beta)) p^{m-2}(p^*)^{-\frac{rf-2}{2}} & \text{if } f(x_\beta) = 0 \text{ and } \text{Tr}(\alpha x_\beta) \neq 0 \text{ or } f(x_\beta) \neq 0 \text{ and } E = 0, \\
  p^{m-2} - \varepsilon_f p^{m-2}(p^*)^{-\frac{rf}{2}} & \text{if } f(x_\beta) \neq 0 \text{ and } E \neq 0, \\
  \end{cases} \]

where \( E = -f(x_\alpha) + \frac{(\text{Tr}(\alpha x_\beta))^2}{4f(x_\beta)}. \)

- If \( r_f \) is even and \( f(x_\alpha) \neq 0 \), then

\[ N_{f, \beta}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 
  p^{m-2} - \varepsilon_f p^{m-2}(p^*)^{-\frac{rf}{2}} & \text{if } f(x_\beta) = 0 \text{ or } \beta \notin \text{Im}(L_f), \\
  p^{m-2} + \varepsilon_f \bar{\eta}(f(x_\beta))(p-1)p^{m-2}(p^*)^{-\frac{rf-1}{2}} & \text{if } f(x_\beta) \neq 0 \text{ and } \text{Tr}(\alpha x_\beta) = 0, \\
  p^{m-2} - \varepsilon_f p^{m-2}(p^*)^{-\frac{rf-1}{2}} & \text{if } f(x_\beta) \neq 0 \text{ and } \text{Tr}(\alpha x_\beta) \neq 0. \\
  \end{cases} \]

(II) When \( \alpha \notin \text{Im}(L_f) \), we have the following two cases.

- If \( r_f \) is even, then

\[ N_{f, \beta}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 
  p^{m-2} - \varepsilon_f p^{m-2}(p^*)^{-\frac{rf}{2}} & \text{if } f(x_\beta) = \text{Tr}(\alpha x_\beta) = 0, \\
  p^{m-2} + (p-1)\varepsilon_f p^{m-2}(p^*)^{-\frac{rf-1}{2}} & \text{if } f(x_\beta) = 0 \text{ and } \text{Tr}(\alpha x_\beta) \neq 0, \\
  \end{cases} \]

where \( f(x') = -\frac{\alpha - b z_0}{2} \) with \( \beta \in \frac{1}{z_0} \alpha + \text{Im}(L_f) \) and \( z_0 \in \text{GF}(p)^* \).

- If \( r_f \) is odd, then

\[ N_{f, \beta}(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 
  p^{m-2} + \varepsilon_f \bar{\eta}(f(x')) p^{m-2}(p^*)^{-\frac{rf-1}{2}} & \text{if } \beta \in \bigcup_{\gamma \in \text{GF}(p)^*} (z\alpha + \text{Im}(L_f)) \text{ and } f(x') \neq 0, \\
  \end{cases} \]

where \( f(x') = -\frac{\alpha - b z_0}{2} \) with \( \beta \in \frac{1}{z_0} \alpha + \text{Im}(L_f) \) and \( z_0 \in \text{GF}(p)^* \).

Proof: By definition, we have
\[
N_{f, \beta}(\alpha) = p^{-2} \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \left( \sum_{y \in \text{GF}(p)} \zeta_p^{y(f(x) - \text{Tr}(\alpha x))} \right) \left( \sum_{z \in \text{GF}(p)} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}(z x)} \right) \\
= p^{-2} \left( \sum_{z \in \text{GF}(p)} \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}(z x)} + \sum_{y \in \text{GF}(p)^*} \sigma_y \left( \sum_{z \in \text{GF}(p)} \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p^{f(x) - \text{Tr}((\alpha - \beta z) x)} \right) \right).
\]

The desired conclusion then follows from Lemma [14] and the result (I) of Lemma [10]. This completes the proof.
Lemma 16. Let $f$ be a homogeneous quadratic function with the rank $r_f$ and the sign $\varepsilon_f$, $\alpha \in \text{Im}(L_f)$ and $x_{\alpha} \in \text{GF}(q)$ with satisfying $L_f(x_{\alpha}) = -\frac{q}{2}$. Suppose that $f(x_{\alpha}) \neq 0$, we define

$$S_6 = \sum_{z \in \text{GF}(p)} \sum_{w \in \text{GF}(p)} \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p f(x) \frac{1}{4f(x_{\alpha})^2} + w(z - \text{Tr}(\alpha x))$$

and

$$N_E = \#\{x \in \text{GF}(q) : f(x) - \frac{1}{4f(x_{\alpha})}(\text{Tr}(\alpha x))^2 = 0\}.$$ 

Then we have the following:

(I) $S_6 = \varepsilon_f \bar{\eta}(-f(x_{\alpha})) p^{m+1}(p^*)^{-\frac{r_f-1}{2}}$, 

(II) $\sum_{y \in \text{GF}(p)^*} \sigma_y(S_6) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & \text{if } r_f \text{ is even,} \\ \varepsilon_f \bar{\eta}(-f(x_{\alpha}))(p-1)p^{m+1}(p^*)^{-\frac{r_f-1}{2}} & \text{if } r_f \text{ is odd,} \end{array} \right.$

(III) $N_E = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} p^{m-1} & \text{if } r_f \text{ is even,} \\ p^{m-1} + \varepsilon_f \bar{\eta}(-f(x_{\alpha}))(p-1)p^{m-1}(p^*)^{-\frac{r_f-1}{2}} & \text{if } r_f \text{ is odd.} \end{array} \right.$

Proof: (I) By definition, we have

$$S_6 = \sum_{z \in \text{GF}(p)} \sum_{w \in \text{GF}(p)} \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p f(x) \frac{1}{4z^2} + w(z - \text{Tr}(\alpha x))$$

$$= \varepsilon_f p^n \frac{1}{4f(x_{\alpha})^2} + w(x_{\alpha})$$

(II) The desired conclusion then follows from Lemma 4 and the result (I) of this Lemma.

(III) For any $x \in \text{GF}(q)$, we have

$$p^{-2} \sum_{z \in \text{GF}(p)} \left( \sum_{w \in \text{GF}(p)} \zeta_p w(z - \text{Tr}(\alpha x)) \right) \left( \sum_{y \in \text{GF}(p)} \zeta_p y(f(x) - \frac{1}{4f(x_{\alpha})^2} + w(z - \text{Tr}(\alpha x))) \right)$$

$$= \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1 & \text{if } f(x) - \frac{1}{4f(x_{\alpha})}(\text{Tr}(\alpha x))^2 = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right.$$

Therefore,

$$N_E = p^{-2} \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \sum_{z \in \text{GF}(p)} \left( \sum_{w \in \text{GF}(p)} \zeta_p w(z - \text{Tr}(\alpha x)) \right) \left( \sum_{y \in \text{GF}(p)} \zeta_p y(f(x) - \frac{1}{4f(x_{\alpha})^2} + w(z - \text{Tr}(\alpha x))) \right)$$

$$= p^{-2} \sum_{y \in \text{GF}(p)^*} \sum_{z \in \text{GF}(p)} \sum_{w \in \text{GF}(p)} \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p y^{f(x) - \frac{1}{4f(x_{\alpha})^2} + w(z - \text{Tr}(\alpha x))}$$

$$+ p^{-2} \sum_{y \in \text{GF}(p)^*} \sum_{z \in \text{GF}(p)} \sum_{w \in \text{GF}(p)} \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p f(x) - \frac{1}{4f(x_{\alpha})^2} + w(z - \text{Tr}(\alpha x))$$

Note that

$$\sum_{z \in \text{GF}(p)} \sum_{w \in \text{GF}(p)} \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta_p w(z - \text{Tr}(\alpha x)) = p^{m+1}.$$
The desired conclusion then follows from the result (II) of this lemma.

This completes the proof.

**Lemma 17.** Let \( f \) be a homogeneous quadratic function with the rank \( r_f \) and the sign \( \varepsilon_f, \alpha \in \text{Im}(L_f) \) and \( x_\alpha \in \text{GF}(q) \) with satisfying \( L_f(x_\alpha) = -\frac{q}{2} \). Let \( f(x_\alpha) \neq 0 \),

\[
g(x) = f(x) - \frac{(\text{Tr}(\alpha x))^2}{4f(x_\alpha)}
\]

and \( N(g = t) = \#\{x \in \text{GF}(q) : g(x) = t\} \) for any \( t \in \text{GF}(p) \). Then we have the following results.

(I) \( \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta^g(x) = \varepsilon_f \bar{\eta}(-f(x_\alpha))p^m(p^*)^{\frac{r_f-1}{2}} \) if \( r_f \) is even and \( t = 0 \),

(II) \( N(g = t) = \begin{cases} 
p^{m-1} + \varepsilon_f \bar{\eta}(-t)\bar{\eta}(-f(x_\alpha))p^{m-1}(p^*)^{\frac{r_f-1}{2}} & \text{if } r_f \text{ is even and } t \neq 0, \\
p^{m-1} + \varepsilon_f \bar{\eta}(-f(x_\alpha))(p-1)p^{m-1}(p^*)^{\frac{r_f-1}{2}} & \text{if } r_f \text{ is odd and } t = 0, \\
p^{m-1} - \varepsilon_f \bar{\eta}(-f(x_\alpha))p^{m-1}(p^*)^{\frac{r_f-1}{2}} & \text{if } r_f \text{ is odd and } t \neq 0.
\end{cases} \)

**Proof:**

(I) By definition, we have

\[
\sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta^g(x) = \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta^f(x) - \frac{(\text{Tr}(\alpha x))^2}{4f(x_\alpha)}
\]

\[
= \sum_{z \in \text{GF}(p)} \left( \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q), \text{Tr}(x) = z} \zeta^f(x) - \frac{(\text{Tr}(\alpha x))^2}{4f(x_\alpha)} \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{z \in \text{GF}(p)} \left( \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q), \text{Tr}(x) = z} \zeta^f(x) - \frac{(\text{Tr}(\alpha x))^2}{4f(x_\alpha)}(p-1)\sum_{w \in \text{GF}(p)} \zeta^w(z-\text{Tr}(\alpha x)) \right)
\]

\[
= p^{-1} \sum_{z \in \text{GF}(p)} \sum_{w \in \text{GF}(p)} \sum_{x \in \text{GF}(q)} \zeta^f(x) - \frac{(\text{Tr}(\alpha x))^2}{4f(x_\alpha)}(p-1)\zeta^w(z-\text{Tr}(\alpha x))
\]

\[
= \varepsilon_f \bar{\eta}(-f(x_\alpha))p^m(p^*)^{\frac{r_f-1}{2}},
\]

where the last identity follows from the result (I) of Lemma 16.

(II) By the result (I) of this lemma, it is clear that the rank of \( g(x) \) is \( r_g = r_f - 1 \) and the sign of \( g(x) \) is \( \varepsilon_g = \varepsilon_f \bar{\eta}(-f(x_\alpha)) \). Thus the desired conclusion (II) then follows from Lemmas 7 and 16.

This completes the proof.

**Lemma 18.** Let \( f \) be a homogeneous quadratic function with the rank \( r_f \) and the sign \( \varepsilon_f, \alpha \in \text{Im}(L_f) \) and \( x_\alpha \in \text{GF}(q) \) with satisfying \( L_f(x_\alpha) = -\frac{q}{2} \). Let \( f(x_\alpha) \neq 0 \),

\[
g(x) = f(x) - \frac{(\text{Tr}(\alpha x))^2}{4f(x_\alpha)}
\]

and

\[
E = -f(x_\alpha) - \frac{(\text{Tr}(\alpha x))^2}{4f(x)}.
\]

When \( r_f \) is even, we define

\[
I_1 = \#\{x \in \text{GF}(q) : f(x) = \text{Tr}(\alpha x) = 0\}
\]

\[
I_2 = \#\left\{ \{x \in \text{GF}(q) : f(x) = 0 \text{ and } \text{Tr}(\alpha x) \neq 0\} \cup \{x \in \text{GF}(q) : f(x) \neq 0 \text{ and } E = 0\} \right\}
\]

\[
I_3 = \#\{x \in \text{GF}(q) : f(x) \neq 0, E \neq 0 \text{ and } f(x) \cdot E \in \text{NSQ}\}
\]

\[
I_4 = \#\{x \in \text{GF}(q) : f(x) \neq 0, E \neq 0 \text{ and } f(x) \cdot E \in \text{SQ}\}.
\]
When \( r_f \) is odd, we define

\[
\begin{align*}
J_1 &= \#\{x \in GF(q) : f(x) \neq 0, \bar{\eta}(f(x)) = \bar{\eta}(f(x_{\alpha})) \text{ and } E = 0\} \\
J_2 &= \#\{x \in GF(q) : f(x) \neq 0 \text{ and } \bar{\eta}(f(x)) = \bar{\eta}(f(x_{\alpha}))\} \\
J_3 &= \#\{x \in GF(q) : f(x) = \text{Tr}(\alpha x) = 0\} \\
J_4 &= \#\{x \in GF(q) : f(x) = 0 \text{ and } \text{Tr}(\alpha x) \neq 0\} \\
J_5 &= \#\{x \in GF(q) : f(x) \neq 0 \text{ and } E = 0\} \\
J_6 &= \#\{x \in GF(q) : f(x) \neq 0, E \neq 0 \text{ and } \bar{\eta}(f(x)) = -\bar{\eta}(f(x_{\alpha}))\}.
\end{align*}
\]

Then we have the following results.

(I) If \( r_f \) is even, then

\[
\begin{align*}
I_1 &= p^{m-2}, \\
I_2 &= (p-1)p^{m-2}(2 + \epsilon_f \cdot p(p^*)^{-r_f/2}), \\
I_3 &= \frac{p-1}{2}p^{m-1}(1 - \epsilon_f \cdot p(p^*)^{-r_f/2}) \\
I_4 &= \frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{2}p^{m-2}(1 + \epsilon_f \cdot p(p^*)^{-r_f/2}).
\end{align*}
\]

(II) If \( r_f \) is odd, then

\[
\begin{align*}
J_1 &= (p-1)p^{m-2}(1 + \epsilon_f \bar{\eta}(-f(x_{\alpha}))(p-1)(p^*)^{-r_f/2}), \\
J_2 &= \frac{(p-1)(p-2)}{2}p^{m-2}(1 - \epsilon_f \bar{\eta}(-f(x_{\alpha}))(p^*)^{-r_f/2}), \\
J_3 &= p^{m-2} + \epsilon_f \bar{\eta}(-f(x_{\alpha}))(p-1)p^{m-2}(p^*)^{-r_f/2}, \\
J_4 &= (p-1)p^{m-2}(1 - \epsilon_f \bar{\eta}(-f(x_{\alpha}))(p^*)^{-r_f/2}), \\
J_5 &= (p-1)p^{m-2}(1 + \epsilon_f \bar{\eta}(-f(x_{\alpha}))(p-1)(p^*)^{-r_f/2}), \\
J_6 &= \frac{p-1}{2}p^{m-1}(1 - \epsilon_f \bar{\eta}(-f(x_{\alpha}))(p^*)^{-r_f/2}).
\end{align*}
\]

Proof: (I) If \( r_f \) is even, then we have the following.

- It is clear that Equation (11) follows directly from Lemma 11.
- By definition, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
I_2 &= \#\{x \in GF(q) : f(x) \neq 0 \text{ and } g(x) = 0\} + \#\{x \in GF(q) : f(x) = 0 \text{ and } \text{Tr}(\alpha x) \neq 0\} \\
&= \#\{x \in GF(q) : g(x) = 0\} - \#\{x \in GF(q) : f(x) = 0 \text{ and } \text{Tr}(\alpha x) = 0\} \\
&\quad + \#\{x \in GF(q) : f(x) = 0 \text{ and } \text{Tr}(\alpha x) \neq 0\} \\
&= \#\{x \in GF(q) : g(x) = 0\} + \#\{x \in GF(q) : f(x) = 0\} - 2\#\{x \in GF(q) : f(x) = \text{Tr}(\alpha x) = 0\}.
\end{align*}
\]

Then Equation (12) follows from Lemmas 17, 9 and 11.

- In Equations (13) and (14), we only give the proof for the case \(-f(x_{\alpha}) \in SQ\) and omit the proof for the case \(-f(x_{\alpha}) \in NSQ\) whose proof is similar. Suppose that \(-f(x_{\alpha}) \in SQ\), by definition and

\[
-f(x)E = f(x) - \frac{(\text{Tr}(\alpha x))^2}{4f(x_{\alpha})} = g(x)
\]
we get

\[
I_3 = \#\{x \in \text{GF}(q) : f(x) \neq 0 \text{ and } g(x) \in \text{NSQ}\}
= \#\{x \in \text{GF}(q) : g(x) \in \text{NSQ}\} - \#\{x \in \text{GF}(q) : f(x) = 0 \text{ and } g(x) \in \text{NSQ}\}
= \#\{x \in \text{GF}(q) : g(x) \in \text{NSQ}\} - \#\{x \in \text{GF}(q) : f(x) = 0 \text{ and } (\text{Tr}(\alpha x))^2 \in \text{NSQ}\}
= \frac{p-1}{2}p^{m-1}(1 - \varepsilon_f \cdot p(p^*)^{-\frac{m-1}{2}}),
\]

where the last equation follows from Lemma 17. This means that the first equation of (13) follows. Similarly, when \(-f(x_\alpha) \in \text{SQ}\), Equation (14) follows from (11) and (12).

(II) If \(r_f\) is odd, then we give the proofs of the desired conclusions as follows.

- Since \[-\frac{E}{4f(x_\alpha)} = \frac{g(x)}{4f(x)},\]
  we have

\[
J_1 = \#\{x \in \text{GF}(q) : f(x) \neq 0 \text{ and } \bar{\eta}(f(x)) = \bar{\eta}(f(x_\alpha)) \text{ and } E = 0\}
= \#\{x \in \text{GF}(q) : g(x) = 0 \text{ and } f(x) \neq 0\}
= \#\{x \in \text{GF}(q) : g(x) = 0\} - \#\{x \in \text{GF}(q) : g(x) = f(x) = 0\}
= (p-1)p^{m-2}(1 + \varepsilon_f\bar{\eta}(-f(x_\alpha))(p-1)(p^*)^{-\frac{m-1}{2}}),
\]

where the last equation follows from Lemmas 17 and 11. This means that Equation (15) follows.

- By definition, we have

\[
J_2 = \#\{x \in \text{GF}(q) : f(x) \neq 0 \text{ and } \bar{\eta}(f(x)) = \bar{\eta}(f(x_\alpha))\}
- \#\{x \in \text{GF}(q) : f(x) \neq 0 \text{ and } \bar{\eta}(f(x)) = \bar{\eta}(f(x_\alpha)) \text{ and } E = 0\}
= \#\{x \in \text{GF}(q) : f(x) \neq 0 \text{ and } \bar{\eta}(f(x)) = \bar{\eta}(f(x_\alpha))\} - \#\{x \in \text{GF}(q) : E = 0 \text{ and } f(x) \neq 0\}.
\]

Then Equation (16) follows from Lemma 7 and (15).

- Equation (17) follows directly from Lemma 11.

- By definition, we have

\[
J_4 = \#\{x \in \text{GF}(q) : f(x) = 0\} - \#\{x \in \text{GF}(q) : f(x) = \text{Tr}(\alpha x) = 0\}.
\]

The desired conclusion in (18) then follows from Lemma 9 and Equation (17).

- Note that

\[-\frac{E}{4f(x_\alpha)} = \frac{g(x)}{4f(x)}.
\]

Therefore, we have

\[
J_5 = \#\{x \in \text{GF}(q) : f(x) \neq 0 \text{ and } g(x) = 0\}
= \#\{x \in \text{GF}(q) : g(x) = 0\} - \#\{x \in \text{GF}(q) : f(x) = \text{Tr}(\alpha x) = 0\}.
\]

The desired conclusion in (19) then follows from Lemma 17 and Equation (17).

- The desired conclusion in (20) then follows directly from (16), (17), (18) and (19).

This completes the proof of this lemma.

**Lemma 19.** Let \(f\) be a homogeneous quadratic function with the rank \(r_f\) and the sign \(\varepsilon_f\), \(\alpha \in \text{Im}(L_f)\) and \(x_\alpha \in \text{GF}(q)\) with satisfying \(L_f(x_\alpha) = -\frac{q}{2}\) and \(f(x_\alpha) = 0\). Then

\[
\#\{x \in \text{GF}(q) : f(x) \neq 0, \text{Tr}(\alpha x) = 0 \text{ and } -f(x) \in \text{SQ}\} = \frac{p-1}{2}p^{m-2}(1 + \varepsilon_f \cdot p(p^*)^{-\frac{m-1}{2}}).
\]
Theorem 20. Let \( f \) be a homogeneous quadratic function with the rank \( r_f \) and the sign \( \varepsilon_f, \alpha \in \text{Im}(L_f) \) and \( x_\alpha \in \text{GF}(q) \) with satisfying \( L_f(x_\alpha) = -\frac{\alpha}{q} \). Let \( D \) be defined in (8). Then the set \( C_D \) of (1) is a \([n,m]\) linear code over \( \text{GF}(p) \) with the weight distribution in Tables I, II, III and IV where

\[
 n = \begin{cases} 
 p^{m-1}(1 - \varepsilon_f(p^*)^{-\frac{r_f}{2}}) - 1 & \text{if } r_f \text{ is even and } f(x_\alpha) \neq 0, \\
 p^{m-1}(1 + \varepsilon_f(p-1)(p^*)^{-\frac{r_f}{2}}) - 1 & \text{if } r_f \text{ is even and } f(x_\alpha) = 0, \\
 p^{m-1}(1 + \varepsilon_f(-f(x_\alpha))(p^*)^{-\frac{r_f-1}{2}}) - 1 & \text{if } r_f \text{ is odd and } f(x_\alpha) \neq 0, \\
 p^{m-1} - 1 & \text{if } r_f \text{ is odd and } f(x_\alpha) = 0. 
\end{cases} \tag{21}
\]

**Proof:** The desired conclusions then follow from Lemma [8].

B. Main results and their proofs

The following two theorems are the main results of this paper.

**Theorem 20.** Let \( f \) be a homogeneous quadratic function with the rank \( r_f \) and the sign \( \varepsilon_f, \alpha \in \text{Im}(L_f) \) and \( x_\alpha \in \text{GF}(q) \) with satisfying \( L_f(x_\alpha) = -\frac{\alpha}{q} \). Let \( D \) be defined in (8). Then the set \( C_D \) of (1) is a \([n,m]\) linear code over \( \text{GF}(p) \) with the weight distribution in Tables I, II, III and IV where

\[
 n = \begin{cases} 
 p^{m-1}(1 - \varepsilon_f(p^*)^{-\frac{r_f}{2}}) - 1 & \text{if } r_f \text{ is even and } f(x_\alpha) \neq 0, \\
 p^{m-1}(1 + \varepsilon_f(p-1)(p^*)^{-\frac{r_f}{2}}) - 1 & \text{if } r_f \text{ is even and } f(x_\alpha) = 0, \\
 p^{m-1}(1 + \varepsilon_f(-f(x_\alpha))(p^*)^{-\frac{r_f-1}{2}}) - 1 & \text{if } r_f \text{ is odd and } f(x_\alpha) \neq 0, \\
 p^{m-1} - 1 & \text{if } r_f \text{ is odd and } f(x_\alpha) = 0. 
\end{cases} \tag{21}
\]
Lemma 9. This means that Equation (21) follows.

Proof: By definition, the code length of $C_D$ is $n = |D| = N_f(\alpha) - 1$, where $N_f(\alpha)$ was defined by Lemma 9. This means that Equation (21) follows.

For each $\beta \in \text{GF}(q)^*$, define

$$c_\beta = (\text{Tr}(\beta d_1), \text{Tr}(\beta d_2), \ldots, \text{Tr}(\beta d_n)),$$

where $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n$ are the elements of $D$. Then the Hamming weight $\text{wt}(c_\beta)$ of $c_\beta$ is

$$\text{wt}(c_\beta) = N_f(\alpha) - N_{f, \beta}(\alpha),$$

(23)

where $N_f(\alpha)$ and $N_{f, \beta}(\alpha)$ were defined before. By Lemmas 9 and 15, we have $\text{wt}(c_\beta) = N_f(\alpha) - N_{f, \beta}(\alpha) > 0$ for each $\beta \in \text{GF}(q)^*$. This means that the code $C_D$ has $q$ distinct codewords. Hence, the dimension of the code $C_D$ is $m$.

Next we shall prove the the multiplicities $A_{w_i}$ of codewords with weight $w_i$ in $C_D$. Let us give the proofs of four cases, respectively.

1) The case that $r_f$ is odd and $f(x_\alpha) \neq 0$.

We only give the proof for the case $-f(x_\alpha) \in \text{SQ}$ and omit the proof for the case $-f(x_\alpha) \in \text{NSQ}$ whose proof is similar. Suppose that $-f(x_\alpha) \in \text{SQ}$. For each $\beta \in \text{GF}(q)^*$, then from Lemmas 9 and 15 we obtain the Hamming weight

$$\text{wt}(c_\beta) = N_f(\alpha) - N_{f, \beta}(\alpha)$$

(23)

where $B_1 = p^{m-2}(p-1)$ and $B = p^{m-2} \varepsilon_f(p^*)^{-\frac{r_f}{2}}$. Define

$$w_1 = B_1, w_2 = B_1 - B(p), w_3 = B_1 - 2B(p), w_4 = B_1 - 2B(p-1).$$

Let

$$M_1 = \#\{\beta \in \text{GF}(q) : f(x_\beta) = \text{Tr}(\alpha x_\beta) = 0\} + \#\{\beta \in \text{GF}(q) : f(x_\beta) \cdot E \in \text{NSQ}\}$$

Since the rank of linear mapping $\text{GF}(q) \rightarrow \text{GF}(q)$ ($x_\beta \mapsto -2L_f(x_\beta)$) is $r_f$, the dimension of their kernel is $m - r_f$. Therefore,

$$M_1 = p^{r_f - m-2} + p^{r_f - m} \#\{x \in \text{GF}(q) : f(x) = \text{Tr}(\alpha x) = 0\}$$

$$+ p^{r_f - m} \#\{x \in \text{GF}(q) : f(x) \cdot E \in \text{NSQ}\}$$

$$= p^{r_f - m} \frac{p-1}{2} p^{r_f - 1} (1 - \varepsilon_f \cdot p(p^*)^{-\frac{r_f}{2}}). \quad \text{(By Lemma 18)}$$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weight $w$</th>
<th>Multiplicity $A_{w_i}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$p^{m-2}(p-1 - \varepsilon_f(p-1)(p^*)^{-\frac{r_f}{2}})$</td>
<td>$\frac{p-1}{2} p^{r_f - 2}(1 + \varepsilon_f \cdot p(p^*)^{-\frac{r_f}{2}})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p^{m-2}(p-1 + \varepsilon_f(p-1)(p^*)^{-\frac{r_f}{2}})$</td>
<td>$\frac{p-1}{2} p^{r_f - 2}(1 - \varepsilon_f \cdot p(p^*)^{-\frac{r_f}{2}})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p^{m-2}(p-1 + \varepsilon_f(2p^*)^{-\frac{r_f}{2}})$</td>
<td>$\frac{(p-1)^2}{2} p^{r_f - 2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p^{m-2}(p-1 - \varepsilon_f(2p^*)^{-\frac{r_f}{2}})$</td>
<td>$\frac{(p-1)^2}{2} p^{r_f - 2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p^{m-2}(p-1)$</td>
<td>$p^{r_f - 1} + p^{m-2} - \frac{r_f}{2}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Note that \( f(0) = \text{Tr}(\alpha \cdot 0) = 0 \). Then
\[
A_{w_1} = \# \{ \beta \in \text{GF}(q) : \text{wt}(c_\beta) = (p - 1)p^{m-2} \} = M_1 - 1 = p^{r_f - 2} + \frac{p - 1}{2} p^{r_f - 1}(1 - \varepsilon_f \cdot p(p^*)^{-\frac{r_f}{2}}) - 1.
\]

Similarly, the values of \( A_{w_2}, A_{w_3} \) and \( A_{w_4} \) can be calculated. This completes the proof of the weight distribution of Table I.

2) The case that \( r_f \) is even and \( f(x_\alpha) = 0 \).
The proof is similar to case 1) and we omit it here. The desired conclusion then follows from Lemmas 9 and 11.

3) The case that \( r_f \) is odd and \( f(x_\alpha) \neq 0 \).
The proof is similar to case 1) and we omit it here. The desired conclusion then follows from Lemmas 9 and 18.

4) The case that \( r_f \) is odd and \( f(x_\alpha) = 0 \).
The proof is similar to case 1) and we omit it here. The desired conclusion then follows from Lemmas 9 and 19.

As special cases of Theorem 20, the following two corollaries are direct consequences of Theorem 20.

**Corollary 21.** Let \( u \in \text{GF}(q)^* \), \( f(x) = \text{Tr}(ux^2) \) and \( \alpha \in \text{GF}(q)^* \). Then
- \( \alpha \in \text{Im}(L_f) \),
- \( \varepsilon_f = (-1)^{m-1} \eta(-u) \),
- \( r_f = m \),
- \( L_f(x) = ux \),
- \( x_\alpha = -\frac{\alpha}{2u} \) and \( f(x_\alpha) = \frac{1}{4} \text{Tr}(\frac{\alpha^2}{u}) \).

Thus, by using this function \( f \), we can construct linear code \( C_D \) with the parameter and weight distribution given by Theorem 20.

**Corollary 22.** Let \( v \in \text{GF}(q)^* \), \( \text{Tr}(v^2) \neq 0 \), \( f(x) = \text{Tr}(x^2) - \frac{1}{\text{Tr}(v^2)}(\text{Tr}(vx))^2 \), \( \alpha \in \text{GF}(q)^* \) and \( \text{Tr}(v \alpha) = 0 \). Then
- \( \alpha \in \text{Im}(L_f) \),
- \( \varepsilon_f = (-1)^{m-1} \eta(-1) \eta(-\text{Tr}(v^2)) \),
- \( r_f = m - 1 \),
- \( L_f(x) = x - \frac{v}{\text{Tr}(v^2)} \text{Tr}(vx) \).

Thus, we can construct linear code \( C_D \) with the parameter and weight distribution given by Theorem 20.

As special cases of Corollary 21, we give the following four examples.

**Example 1.** Let \( (u, p, m) = (1, 3, 4) \), \( \alpha \in \text{GF}(q)^* \) and \( \text{Tr}(\alpha) \neq 0 \). Then the code \( C_D \) has parameters \([29, 4, 18]\) and weight enumerator \( 1 + 44z^{18} + 30z^{21} + 6z^{24} \), which is verified by the Magma program.

**Example 2.** Let \( (u, p, m) = (1, 3, 6) \) and \( \alpha \in \text{GF}(p)^* \). Then the code \( C_D \) has parameters \([260, 6, 162]\) and weight enumerator \( 1 + 98z^{162} + 324z^{171} + 306z^{180} \), which is verified by the Magma program.

**Example 3.** Let \( (u, p, m) = (1, 3, 5) \) and \( \alpha \in \text{GF}(p)^* \). Then the code \( C_D \) has parameters \([71, 5, 42]\) and weight enumerator \( 1 + 30z^{42} + 60z^{45} + 90z^{48} + 42z^{51} + 20z^{54} \), which is verified by the Magma program.

**Example 4.** Let \( (u, p, m) = (1, 3, 3) \) and \( \alpha \in \text{GF}(p)^* \). Then the code \( C_D \) has parameters \([8, 3, 4]\) and weight enumerator \( 1 + 6z^4 + 6z^5 + 8z^6 + 6z^7 \), which is verified by the Magma program.

As special cases of Corollary 22, we give the following four examples.
Example 5. Let \((v, p, m) = (1, 3, 5)\), \(g\) be a generator of \(GF(q)^*\) with the minimal polynomial \(x^5 + 2x + 1\). Let \(\alpha = g^2\). Then the code \(\mathcal{C}_D\) has parameters \([89, 5, 54]\) and weight enumerator \(1 + 44z^{54} + 162z^{60} + 30z^{63} + 6z^{72}\) which is verified by the Magma program.

Example 6. Let \((v, p, m) = (1, 3, 5)\), \(g\) be a generator of \(GF(q)^*\) with the minimal polynomial \(x^5 + 2x + 1\). Let \(\alpha = g^3\). Then the code \(\mathcal{C}_D\) has parameters \([62, 5, 62]\) and weight enumerator \(1 + 42z^{36} + 162z^{42} + 36z^{45} + 2z^{54}\) which is verified by the Magma program.

Example 7. Let \((v, p, m) = (1, 3, 4)\), \(g\) be a generator of \(GF(q)^*\) with the minimal polynomial \(x^4 + 2x^3 + 2\). Let \(\alpha = g^5\). Then the code \(\mathcal{C}_D\) has parameters \([17, 4, 6]\) and weight enumerator \(1 + 4z^4 + 8z^9 + 66z^{12} + 2z^{15}\) which is verified by the Magma program.

Example 8. Let \((v, p, m) = (1, 3, 4)\), \(g\) be a generator of \(GF(q)^*\) with the minimal polynomial \(x^4 + 2x^3 + 2\). Let \(\alpha = g^{13}\). Then the code \(\mathcal{C}_D\) has parameters \([26, 4, 12]\) and weight enumerator \(1 + 6z^{12} + 6z^{15} + 62z^{18} + 6z^{21}\) which is verified by the Magma program.

Theorem 23. Let \(f\) be a homogeneous quadratic function with the rank \(r_f\) and the sign \(\varepsilon_f\). Let \(\alpha \notin \text{Im}(L_f)\) and \(D\) be defined in \(\text{(8)}\). Then the set \(\mathcal{C}_D\) of \(\text{(1)}\) is a \([n, m]\) linear code over \(GF(p)\) with the weight distribution in Tables \(V\) and \(VI\) where \(n = p^{m-1} - 1\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weight (w)</th>
<th>Multiplicity (A_w)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(p^{m-2}(p-1))</td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p^{m-2}(p-1))</td>
<td>((p-1)p^{r_f+1}(1+\varepsilon_f(p-1)(p^*)^{-\frac{1}{r_f}}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p^{m-2}(p-1))</td>
<td>((p-1)^2p^{r_f+1}(1+\varepsilon_f(p^*)^{-\frac{1}{r_f}}))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weight (w)</th>
<th>Multiplicity (A_w)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(p^{m-2}(p-1))</td>
<td>((p-1)p^{r_f+1}(1+\varepsilon_f(p^*)^{-\frac{1}{r_f}}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p^{m-2}(p-1))</td>
<td>((p-1)^2p^{r_f+1}(1-\varepsilon_f(p^*)^{-\frac{1}{r_f}}))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Proof:** The proof is similar to case 1) of Theorem 20 and we omit it here. We point out that:
- when \(r_f\) is even, the desired conclusion then follows from Lemma 14
- when \(r_f\) is odd, the desired conclusion then follows from Lemmas 14 and 7

This completes the proof.

As special cases of Theorem 23, the following corollary is a direct consequence of Theorem 23.

**Corollary 24.** Let \(v \in GF(q)^*\), \(\text{Tr}(v^2) \neq 0\), \(f(x) = \text{Tr}(x^2) - \frac{1}{\text{Tr}(v^2)}(\text{Tr}(vx))^2\), \(\alpha \in GF(q)^*\) and \(\text{Tr}(v\alpha) \neq 0\). Then
- \(\alpha \notin \text{Im}(L_f)\),
- \(\varepsilon_f = (-1)^{m-1}\eta(-1)\bar{\eta}(-\text{Tr}(v^2))\),
- \(r_f = m - 1\),
- \(L_f(x) = x - \frac{v}{\text{Tr}(v^2)}\text{Tr}(vx)\).

Thus, we can construct linear code \(\mathcal{C}_D\) with the parameter and weight distribution given by Theorem 23.

As special cases of Corollary 24, we give the following two examples.
Example 9. Let \((v, p, m) = (1, 3, 5)\) and \(\alpha \in \text{GF}(p)^*\). Then the code \(C_D\) has parameters \([26, 5, 15]\) and weight enumerator \(1 + 24z^{15} + 44z^{18} + 12z^{21}\), which is verified by the Magma program.

Example 10. Let \((v, p, m) = (1, 3, 4)\) and \(\alpha \in \text{GF}(p)^*\). Then the code \(C_D\) has parameters \([80, 4, 51]\) and weight enumerator \(1 + 120z^{51} + 80z^{54} + 42z^{60}\), which is verified by the Magma program.

IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper, inspired by the works of [3] and [15], inhomogeneous quadratic functions were used to construct linear codes with few nonzero weights over finite fields. It was shown that the presented linear codes have at most five nonzero weights. The weight distributions of the codes were also determined and some of constructed linear codes are optimal in the sense that their parameters meet certain bound on linear codes. The work of this paper extended the main results in [3] and [15].
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