

A NOTE ON THE R_∞ PROPERTY FOR GROUPS

$$\text{FAlt}(X) \leq G \leq \text{Sym}(X)$$

CHARLES GARNET COX

ABSTRACT. Given a set X , the group $\text{Sym}(X)$ consists of all bijective maps from X to X , and $\text{FSym}(X)$ is the subgroup of maps with finite support i.e. those that move only finitely many points in X . We describe the automorphism structure of groups $\text{FSym}(X) \leq G \leq \text{Sym}(X)$ and use this to state some conditions on G for it to have the R_∞ property. Our main results are that if G is infinite, torsion, and $\text{FSym}(X) \leq G \leq \text{Sym}(X)$, then it has the R_∞ property. Also, if G is infinite and residually finite, then there is a set X such that G acts faithfully on X and, using this action, $\langle G, \text{FSym}(X) \rangle$ has the R_∞ property. Finally we have a result for the Houghton groups, which are a family of groups we denote H_n , where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We show that, given any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, any group commensurable to H_n has the R_∞ property.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of twisted conjugacy and its relationship to fixed point theory has attracted significant attention. For any group G and any $\phi \in \text{Aut}(G)$, we say that two elements $a, b \in G$ are ϕ -twisted conjugate (denoted $a \sim_\phi b$) if there exists an $x \in G$ such that

$$(1) \quad (x^{-1})\phi ax = b.$$

Notice that when $\phi = \text{id}_G$ this becomes the equation for conjugacy. Now, given any $\phi \in \text{Aut}(G)$, define the Reidemeister number of ϕ , denoted $R(\phi)$, to be the number of ϕ -twisted conjugacy classes in G . Thus $R(\text{id}_G)$ records the number of conjugacy classes of G and deciding whether this is infinite has been studied for some time (e.g. [HNN49] where an infinite group with $R(\text{id}_G)$ finite was constructed). We say that G has the R_∞ property if $R(\phi) = \infty$ for every $\phi \in \text{Aut}(G)$.

Notation. For a non-empty set X , let $\text{Sym}(X)$ denote the group of all permutations of X . Furthermore, let $\text{FSym}(X)$ denote the group of all permutations of X with finite support, and let $\text{FAlt}(X)$ denote the group of all even permutations of X with finite support.

A first example one may consider for the R_∞ property is \mathbb{Z} . Although this has infinitely many conjugacy classes, the only non-trivial automorphism has Reidemeister number 2. Similarly, for any $m \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, \dots\}$, the automorphism ψ of \mathbb{Z}^m which sends a to a^{-1} for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ has Reidemeister number 2^m . In [JLS17]

Date: June, 2018.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 20E45, 20E36.

Key words and phrases. R infinity property, twisted conjugacy, twisted conjugacy classes, highly transitive groups, infinite torsion groups, Houghton's groups, commensurable groups.

and [GP16] however, the family of Houghton groups, which (for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$) are denoted H_n , act on $\{1, \dots, n\} \times \mathbb{N} =: X_n$, and which lie in the short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \text{FSym}(X_n) \longrightarrow H_n \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} \longrightarrow 1$$

were shown to have the R_∞ property. In this note we start with a simpler, more general proof of their theorem, and then develop this in various directions.

Definition 1.1. A group G *fully contains* $\text{FAlt}(X)$ if $\text{FAlt}(X) \leq G \leq \text{Sym}(X)$. Since we only wish to investigate infinite groups, we will always consider X to be infinite. We do not, however, place any other cardinality assumptions on X .

Note that any Houghton group H_n fully contains $\text{FAlt}(X_n)$, but let us justify that this is a large class of groups, using a construction from [HO16]. For any infinite group G , we have that $G \leq \text{Sym}(X)$ for some X (with the possibility that $X = G$ since G can always be embedded into $\text{Sym}(G)$ using a regular representation of G). Then $\langle G, \text{FAlt}(X) \rangle$ fully contains $\text{FAlt}(X)$.

Conjecture 1.2. Let G be an infinite group that acts faithfully on a set X . Then $\langle G, \text{FAlt}(X) \rangle$ and $\langle G, \text{FSym}(X) \rangle$ both have the R_∞ property.

We make some progress with this conjecture. We first confirm it for the case where G is torsion i.e. we show that, for any infinite set X and any embedding of $\Psi : G \hookrightarrow \text{Sym}(X)$, $\langle (G)\Psi, \text{FAlt}(X) \rangle$ has the R_∞ property for any torsion group G . We then use this work to show that if G is an infinite residually finite group, then there is a set X on which G acts faithfully and, using this action, $\langle G, \text{FAlt}(X) \rangle$ has the R_∞ property. We end by showing that, if G is commensurable to a Houghton group H_n (where $n \in \mathbb{N}$) then G has the R_∞ property.

Let us now describe these results more precisely, and better indicate the path that the paper takes. We start by describing the automorphism group for groups fully containing $\text{FAlt}(X)$, so to approach twisted conjugacy.

Definition. A group G is monolithic if it has a non-trivial normal subgroup that is contained in every non-trivial normal subgroup of G i.e. if it has a minimal non-trivial normal subgroup.

Let $N_{\text{Sym}(X)}(G) := \{\rho \in \text{Sym}(X) \mid \rho^{-1}g\rho \in G \text{ for every } g \in G\}$, the normaliser of G over $\text{Sym}(X)$.

Proposition 1. (*Lem. 2.4, Prop. 2.5, Rem. 2.6*). *Let G fully contain $\text{FAlt}(X)$, where X is infinite. Then $\text{FAlt}(X)$ is characteristic in G , $\text{Aut}(G) \cong N_{\text{Sym}(X)}(G)$, and G is monolithic. Moreover, since elements of $\text{Aut}(G)$ preserve the cycle type of elements of G , if $\text{FSym}(X) \leq G$, then $\text{FSym}(X)$ is characteristic in G .*

The following well known lemma implies that if G is a group with the R_∞ property and G acts faithfully on a set X , then $\langle G, \text{FAlt}(X) \rangle$ and $\langle G, \text{FSym}(X) \rangle$ have the R_∞ property (since Proposition 1 states that $\text{FAlt}(X)$ and $\text{FSym}(X)$ are characteristic in $\langle G, \text{FAlt}(X) \rangle$).

Lemma 1.3. [MS14, Lem 2.1] *For any short exact sequence of groups*

$$1 \longrightarrow D \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 1$$

if D is characteristic in E and F has the R_∞ property, then E has the R_∞ property.

We then work with arguments using cycle type (using that the conjugacy classes of $\text{Sym}(X)$ are well known: each consists of all elements of the same cycle type).

Definition. Let $g \in \text{Sym}(X)$. Then an orbit of g is $\{xg^d \mid d \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ where $x \in X$. Also, g has an infinite orbit if there is a $y \in X$ such that $\{yg^d \mid d \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is infinite.

Proposition 3.4. *Let G fully contain $\text{FAlt}(X)$, where X is an infinite set. If for every $\rho \in N_{\text{Sym}(X)}(G)$ there is an $s \in \mathbb{N}$ such that ρ has finitely many orbits of size s , then G has the R_∞ property.*

From the structure of $\text{Aut}(H_n)$, where H_n denotes the n^{th} Houghton group, Proposition 3.4 immediately yields that, for any $n \geq 2$, H_n has the R_∞ property.

Corollary 3.6. *Let G fully contain $\text{FAlt}(X)$, where X is an infinite set. If for every $g \in G$, g does not have an infinite orbit, then G has the R_∞ property.*

Clearly torsion groups satisfy Corollary 3.6.

Corollary 3.7. *Let G be an infinite torsion group which fully contains $\text{FAlt}(X)$. Then G has the R_∞ property.*

This means that any torsion group T can be embedded into an infinite torsion group (of any cardinality greater than or equal to $|T|$) which has the R_∞ property. It is in fact easy to construct an uncountable family of such groups.

Corollary 3.11. *There exist uncountably many countable torsion groups which have the R_∞ property.*

This result can be strengthened by using an already known family of countable, finitely generated, torsion groups.

Corollary 3.12. *There exist uncountably many finitely generated torsion groups which have the R_∞ property.*

Residually finite groups are exactly those that have a faithful action on their finite quotients. This action therefore only has finite orbits, meaning that Corollary 3.6 applies.

Corollary 3.15. *Let G be an infinite residually finite group, and X be the union of the finite quotients of G . Then, using this action, $\langle G, \text{FAlt}(X) \rangle$ has the R_∞ property.*

A few conventions will be used throughout this note:

- i) we shall always work with right actions;
- ii) unless specified, X will refer to an infinite set;
- iii) we shall always consider elements from $\text{Sym}(X)$ to be written in disjoint cycle notation;
- iv) for all of the results in this note, the same proofs can be used if FAlt is replaced with FSym .

Remark. *Let $g \in \text{Sym}(X)$. We shall say ‘a cycle of g ’ to refer, for some $x \in X$, to an orbit $\{xg^d \mid d \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. If there is an $x \in X$ such that this set is infinite, then this is an infinite cycle of g and g contains an infinite cycle. If there is an $x \in X$ such that this set has cardinality r , then this is an r -cycle of g and g contains an r -cycle. If, for some $s \in \mathbb{N}$, there are only finitely many $x \in X$ such that $|\{xg^d \mid d \in \mathbb{Z}\}| = s$, then we shall say that g has finitely many s -cycles. Similarly g may have finitely many infinite cycles.*

Acknowledgements. I thank the authors of [JLS17] and [GP16], whose papers drew my attention to the R_∞ property. I thank my supervisor Armando Martino for his guidance and encouragement. I thank Hector Durham, of the University of Southampton, for the numerous interesting discussions, especially those regarding monolithic groups. I thank Motiejus Valiunas, also of the University of Southampton, for his suggestion to choose X as the union of finite quotients for an action with only finite orbits for residually finite groups, the main ingredient of Corollary 3.15. Finally I thank the referee for their useful comments.

2. PRELIMINARY OBSERVATIONS

The groups $\text{FAlt}(X)$, $\text{FSym}(X)$, and $\text{Sym}(X)$ often arise when considering permutation groups (see, for example, [Cam99] and [DM96]). Note that any countable group can be considered as a subgroup of $\text{Sym}(X)$ where X is countable (for example set $X := G$ and use the regular representation of G). Let us start by recalling some elementary observations about FAlt .

Lemma 2.1. *If G fully contains $\text{FAlt}(X)$ where X is infinite, then G is centreless.*

Lemma 2.2. *For any infinite set X , $\text{FAlt}(X)$ is generated by S , where S is the set of all 3-cycles with support in X .*

Proof. Using S we can produce any element which is a product of two 2-cycles (for example choose $(a_1 a_2)(a_2 b_1)$ and $(a_2 b_1)(b_1 b_2)$ whose product is $(a_1 a_2)(b_1 b_2)$). Now, given an element $\sigma \in \text{FAlt}(X)$, write σ as a product of 2-cycles. By definition this product will consist of an even number of 2-cycles. Now, each pair of 2-cycles will either be: trivial; a 3-cycle; or a product of two 2-cycles. \square

For any infinite set X we therefore have that $|X| = |S| = |\text{FAlt}(X)|$. Moreover $\text{FAlt}(X)$ is an index 2 subgroup of $\text{FSym}(X)$, and so for any infinite set X we also have that $|X| = |\text{FSym}(X)|$.

Lemma 2.3. *For any infinite set X , $\text{FAlt}(X)$ is simple.*

Proof. Assume that $1 \neq \sigma \in N$, a non-trivial normal subgroup of $\text{FAlt}(X)$. Then $\sigma \in A_n$ where $n \geq 5$. But $A_n \cap N \trianglelefteq A_n$, and (since N is non-trivial and A_n is simple for $n \geq 5$) we have that $N \cap A_n = A_n$. Thus N contains a 3-cycle and so $N = \text{FAlt}(X)$ by the previous lemma. \square

Note that no infinite simple group can be residually finite, and so if G fully contains $\text{FAlt}(X)$, then G is not residually finite. Also, given any infinite set X , any group G fully containing $\text{FAlt}(X)$ will have $\text{FAlt}(X)$ as a normal subgroup. Thus, unless $G = \text{FAlt}(X)$, G will not be simple.

Notation. Let $G \leq \text{Sym}(X)$. For any given $\rho \in N_{\text{Sym}(X)}(G)$, let ϕ_ρ denote the automorphism of G induced by conjugation by ρ i.e. $(g)\phi_\rho := \rho^{-1}g\rho$ for all $g \in G$.

The three groups $\text{FAlt}(X)$, $\text{FSym}(X)$, $\text{Sym}(X)$ have the property that

$$(2) \quad N_{\text{Sym}(X)}(G) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G), \rho \mapsto \phi_\rho \text{ is an isomorphism.}$$

This means that $\text{Aut}(\text{FAlt}(X)) \cong N_{\text{Sym}(X)}(\text{FAlt}(X)) = \text{Sym}(X) \cong \text{Aut}(\text{FSym}(X))$ and that $\text{FAlt}(X)$ is characteristic in $\text{FSym}(X)$ which is characteristic in $\text{Sym}(X)$. Our first aim is to show that any group G fully containing $\text{FAlt}(X)$ satisfies (2). We do this by showing that $\text{FAlt}(X)$ is characteristic in such a G and then apply the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $G \leq \text{Sym}(X)$ and $\text{FAlt}(X)$ be a characteristic subgroup of G . Then $N_{\text{Sym}(X)}(G) \cong_\Psi \text{Aut}(G)$ where $\Psi : \rho \mapsto \phi_\rho$.*

Proof. Running the proof of [GP16, Cor. 3.3] using 3-cycles rather than 2-cycles yields the result. \square

For any group G satisfying (2), we may use the following reformulation of twisted conjugacy, which has been used extensively by many authors working with the R_∞ property. Recall that ϕ_ρ denotes the automorphism induced by conjugation by $\rho \in \text{Sym}(X)$. Thus,

$$(3) \quad (x^{-1})\phi_\rho ax = b \Rightarrow \rho^{-1}(x^{-1})\rho ax = b \Rightarrow x^{-1}\rho ax = \rho b.$$

We may then show that $R(\phi_\rho) = \infty$ by finding a set of elements $\{a_k \in G \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ such that

$$(4) \quad \rho a_i \sim_G \rho a_j \Leftrightarrow i = j.$$

This is because, if such a set of elements exist, then each a_k lies in a distinct ϕ_ρ -twisted conjugacy class, and so $R(\phi_\rho) = \infty$. Thus showing, for each $\rho \in N_{\text{Sym}(X)}(G)$, that there exists a set of elements $\{a_k \in G \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ where (4) holds is sufficient to show that G has the R_∞ property.

Proposition 2.5. *If G fully contains $\text{FAlt}(X)$, then $\text{FAlt}(X)$ is a unique minimal normal subgroup of G . Moreover $\text{FAlt}(X)$ is a characteristic subgroup of G .*

Proof. We first show that $\text{FAlt}(X)$ is a unique minimal normal subgroup of G , known as the monolithic property. Clearly $\text{FAlt}(X)$ is normal in G , since it is normal in $\text{Sym}(X)$ (conjugation in $\text{Sym}(X)$ preserves cycle type).

Consider $N \trianglelefteq G$. We have $N \cap \text{FAlt}(X) \trianglelefteq \text{FAlt}(X)$, and since $\text{FAlt}(X)$ is simple, $N \cap \text{FAlt}(X)$ must either be trivial or $\text{FAlt}(X)$. Let $g \in N \setminus \{1\}$. This must either: be in $\text{FSym}(X)$; contain infinitely many finite cycles; or contain an infinite cycle. We now show that there exists a $\sigma \in \text{FAlt}(X)$ such that $\sigma^{-1}g\sigma g^{-1} \in \text{FAlt}(X) \setminus \{1\}$. Since N is normal in G , g and $\sigma^{-1}g\sigma$ are in N and so this will prove the claim. For the case where $g \in \text{FSym}(X)$, choose σ so that $\sigma^{-1}g\sigma$ and g have disjoint supports. For the case where g contains infinitely many finite cycles, pick 4 distinct cycles (each of length greater than 1) of g and points b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4 : one from each cycle. A suitable σ is then $(b_1 b_2)(b_3 b_4)$. Finally, assume that g contains an infinite cycle. Let $x_0 \in X$ lie in some infinite cycle of g , and for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ let $x_i := x_0 g^i$. Let $a := (\dots x_{-3} x_{-2} x_{-1} x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 \dots)$ and let $\mu := (x_{-1} x_0 x_1)$. Straightforward computation shows that $\mu^{-1}a\mu a^{-1} = (x_{-2} x_{-1} x_1)$. Moreover, since μ commutes with ga^{-1} , we have that $\mu^{-1}g\mu g^{-1} = (x_{-2} x_{-1} x_1)$. Thus μ is a suitable candidate for σ in this case.

Now, let $\phi \in \text{Aut}(G)$ and consider $\text{FAlt}(X) \cap (\text{FAlt}(X))^\phi$. As above, this must be trivial or $\text{FAlt}(X)$. If it were trivial, this would contradict the uniqueness of $\text{FAlt}(X)$ as a minimal, non-trivial, normal subgroup in G , and hence $\text{FAlt}(X)$ is characteristic in G . \square

Remark 2.6. *We may use Lemma 2.4 and Proposition 2.5 to prove that all automorphisms of $\text{Sym}(X)$ are inner. Also, consider if $\text{FSym}(X) \leq G \leq \text{Sym}(X)$. Then, for all $\rho \in N_{\text{Sym}(X)}(G)$ and all $g \in \text{FSym}(X)$, we have that $(g)\phi_\rho$ has the same cycle type as g . Thus $\text{FSym}(X)$ is characteristic in G .*

We are now ready to produce conditions on the cycle type of elements in G and in $N_{\text{Sym}(X)}(G)$ for automorphisms to have infinite Reidemeister number. In order to do this we will use the condition equivalent to showing that $R(\phi_\rho) = \infty$ (labelled (4) above) and well known facts about $\text{Sym}(X)$ regarding cycle type.

3. RESULTS USING FACTS ABOUT CONJUGACY IN Sym

Lemma 3.1. *Let Y be an infinite set and X be an infinite subset of Y . If $\text{FAlt}(X)$ is a subgroup of $G \leq \text{Sym}(Y)$, then $R(\text{id}_G) = \infty$.*

Proof. We produce an infinite family of elements in G which all lie in distinct conjugacy classes. We have the equation $g^{-1}ag = b$. Conjugation by elements of G cannot change the cycle type of elements of $\text{Sym}(X) \leq \text{Sym}(Y)$. Thus choosing a_k to be a cycle of length $2k + 1$ (or any infinite family of elements of $\text{FAlt}(X)$ with distinct cycle types) proves the claim. \square

The following is well known.

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be any group. Then, for any $\psi \in \text{Aut}(G)$ and $\phi \in \text{Inn}(G)$, we have that $R(\psi\phi) = R(\psi)$.*

Lemma 3.3. *Let G be a group with subgroup $\text{FAlt}(X)$, where X is an infinite set, and with $\text{Aut}(G) = \text{Inn}(G)$. Then G has the R_∞ property.*

Proof. Let $\phi \in \text{Aut}(G)$. By assumption $\text{Aut}(G) = \text{Inn}(G)$. Therefore, by the previous lemma, $R(\phi) = R(\text{id}_G)$. Now $R(\text{id}_G) = \infty$ by Lemma 3.1. \square

Lemma 3.3 implies that, for any infinite set X , $\text{Sym}(X)$ has the R_∞ property.

Notation. For any $g \in \text{Sym}(X)$ and $x \in X$, let $\mathcal{O}_x(g) := \{xg^d : d \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Also, let $\eta_r(g) := |\{x \in X : |\mathcal{O}_x(g)| = r\}| / r$, the number of r -cycles in g . We shall use $\eta_1(g)$ to denote the number of fixed points of g and $\eta_\infty(g)$ to denote the number of distinct infinite orbits induced by g . If any of these values is infinite then, since our arguments will be unaffected by the size of this infinity, we shall write $\eta_r(g) = \infty$.

From the previous section, for any group fully containing $\text{FAlt}(X)$ we have that the map $\Psi : N_{\text{Sym}(X)}(G) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G), \rho \mapsto \phi_\rho$ is an isomorphism. We may therefore consider elements of $\text{Aut}(G)$ as elements of $\text{Sym}(X)$.

Proposition 3.4. *Let G fully contain $\text{FAlt}(X)$, where X is an infinite set, and let $\rho \in N_{\text{Sym}(X)}(G)$. If there is an $r \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\eta_r(\rho) < \infty$, then $R(\phi_\rho) = \infty$.*

Proof. We shall work with the reformulation of twisted conjugacy in (4) above and argue for any $\rho \in N_{\text{Sym}(X)}(G)$ using three cases. Let $s \in \mathbb{N}$ be the smallest number such that $\eta_s(\rho)$ is finite.

Case A: $s = 1$ and $\eta_\infty(\rho) > 0$. As with the proof of Proposition 2.5, let x_0 lie in an infinite cycle of ρ and, for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $x_i := x_0 g^i$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$a_k := \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (x_{2i} \ x_{2i+1}).$$

The set of elements lying in disjoint ϕ_ρ -twisted conjugacy classes is then given by $\{a_{2k} \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \text{FAlt}(X)$. This is because $\eta_1(\rho a_k)$ is finite for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and is strictly increasing as a function of k . Thus, if $i \neq j$, the elements ρa_i and ρa_j have a different number of fixed points and hence are not conjugate in $G \leq \text{Sym}(X)$.

Case B: $s = 1$ and $\eta_\infty(\rho) = 0$. Since ρ has finitely many fixed points and no infinite cycles, ρ contains infinitely many finite cycles. Thus ρ has infinitely many odd length cycles or infinitely many even length cycles. First assume that ρ has infinitely many odd length cycles and index a countably infinite subset of these by the natural numbers. Let $\rho = \rho' \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \rho_i$, where each ρ_i is a finite cycle of odd length and $\rho' \in \text{Sym}(X)$ has cycles with disjoint support from all of the ρ_i 's. Now, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\rho(\rho_m)^{-1}$ has more fixed points than ρ . Defining

$$a_k := \prod_{i=1}^k \rho_i^{-1} \in \text{FAlt}(X)$$

means that $i < j \Rightarrow \eta_1(\rho a_i) < \eta_1(\rho a_j)$ and so $\{a_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ provides our infinite family of elements which are pairwise not ϕ_ρ -twisted conjugate. Similarly, if ρ has infinitely many even length cycles, complete the same construction with $\rho = \rho' \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \rho_i$ where each ρ_i is a finite cycle of ρ of even length and $\rho' \in \text{Sym}(X)$ has cycles with disjoint support from all of the ρ_i 's. Then $\{a_{2k} \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ provides the infinite family in $\text{FAlt}(X)$.

Case C: $s > 1$. All we shall use is that ρ has infinitely many fixed points. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let a_k consist of $2k$ s -cycles such that $\text{supp}(a_k) \subset X \setminus \text{supp}(\rho)$. We then have, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$: that $a_k \in \text{FAlt}(X)$; that $\eta_s(\rho a_k)$ is finite; and that $\eta_s(\rho a_k)$ is strictly increasing as a function of k . \square

Proposition 3.5. *Let $a, b \in \text{Sym}(X)$, $\text{supp}(b) \subsetneq \text{supp}(a)$, and $g \in \text{Sym}(X)$ satisfy $g^{-1}ag = b$. Then $\eta_\infty(g) > 0$.*

Proof. We assume, for a contradiction, that $\eta_\infty(g) = 0$. Since $g^{-1}ag = b$, g must restrict to a bijection from $\text{supp}(a)$ to $\text{supp}(b)$ i.e.

$$(\text{supp}(a) \cup \text{supp}(b)) \setminus (\text{supp}(a) \cap \text{supp}(b)) \subseteq \text{supp}(g)$$

which from our hypotheses implies that

$$\text{supp}(a) \setminus \text{supp}(b) \subseteq \text{supp}(g)$$

where $\text{supp}(a) \setminus \text{supp}(b) \neq \emptyset$ since $\text{supp}(b) \neq \text{supp}(a)$. Thus g sends some $n \in \text{supp}(a) \setminus \text{supp}(b)$ to some $m \in \text{supp}(b)$. Now, since all of the cycles in g are finite, there is a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(n)g^k = n$. Therefore g sends a point in $\text{supp}(b)$ to a point in $X \setminus \text{supp}(b)$. This would mean that $\text{supp}(g^{-1}ag) \cap (X \setminus \text{supp}(b)) \neq \emptyset$ and that $g^{-1}ag$ and b have different supports, a contradiction. \square

Corollary 3.6. *Let G be a group fully containing $\text{FAlt}(X)$. If $\eta_\infty(g) = 0$ for all $g \in G$, then G has the R_∞ property.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.4, if $\phi_\rho \in \text{Aut}(G)$ has $\eta_s(\rho) < \infty$ for some $s \in \mathbb{N}$, then $R(\phi_\rho) = \infty$. We may therefore assume that $\eta_r(\rho) = \infty$ for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that $X \setminus \text{supp}(\rho)$ is an infinite set.

Our aim is to show that there is an infinite set of elements in G which are not ϕ_ρ -twisted conjugate. Let $b_0 := 1$, the identity element of G . For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $b_k := b'_k b_{k-1}$ where $\eta_2(b'_k) = 2$, $|\text{supp}(b'_k)| = 4$, $\text{supp}(b'_k) \subset X \setminus \text{supp}(\rho)$, and $\text{supp}(b'_k) \cap \text{supp}(b_{k-1}) = \emptyset$. Thus, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $b_k \in \text{FAlt}(X)$ and $\eta_2(b_k) = 2k$. If $i < j$, then $\text{supp}(b_i) \subsetneq \text{supp}(b_j)$ and so $\text{supp}(\rho b_i) \subsetneq \text{supp}(\rho b_j)$. Since $\eta_\infty(g) = 0$ for all $g \in G$, Proposition 3.5 implies that not two elements in $\{\rho b_k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ are conjugate in G i.e. $R(\phi_\rho) = \infty$. \square

Notice that this provides an alternative proof to [JLS17] and [GP16] that $\text{FSym}(X)$ has the R_∞ property. We also have the following.

Corollary 3.7. *Let G be an infinite torsion group which fully contains $\text{FAlt}(X)$. Then G has the R_∞ property.*

Lemma 3.8. *Let $G \leq \text{Sym}(X)$ be torsion. Then $\langle G, \text{FAlt}(X) \rangle$ is also torsion.*

Proof. Consider an element σg where $\sigma \in \text{FSym}(X)$ and $g \in G$. It suffices to show that σg is torsion. Fix a $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{supp}(\sigma)$ has trivial intersection with all orbits of g of size $r > k$. Since G is torsion, the order of g is one such k (but since $|\text{supp}(\sigma)| < \infty$, we could find a k using only the assumption that all orbits of all elements of G are finite). Let

$$F := \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq k} \text{supp}(g^{-i} \sigma g^i).$$

Now $|F| \leq k|\text{supp}(\sigma)| < \infty$, σg restricts to a bijection on $X \setminus F$, the elements σg and g induce the same permutation on $X \setminus F$, and the orbits of g within $X \setminus F$ are of bounded size (since otherwise g cannot be torsion). Hence the orbits of σg within X are of bounded size and so σg is torsion. \square

Remark 3.9. *A consequence of this proof is that if all elements of $G \leq \text{Sym}(X)$ have only finite orbits, then the elements of $\langle G, \text{FAlt}(X) \rangle$ also only have finite orbits.*

Corollary 3.10. *Let G be a torsion group. For every infinite $\alpha \geq |G|$, there exists a torsion group H_α of cardinality α which has the R_∞ property and contains an isomorphic copy of G .*

Proof. Let G be torsion, \hat{G} denote the right regular representation of G , and let $\alpha \geq |G|$ if G is infinite and $\alpha \geq |\mathbb{Z}|$ otherwise. Then there is a set Y_α such that $|Y_\alpha| = \alpha$. Also $\hat{G} \leq \text{Sym}(G) \hookrightarrow \text{Sym}(G \sqcup Y_\alpha)$ via the natural inclusion of the set G into the set $G \sqcup Y_\alpha$. Let G_α denote the image of \hat{G} in $\text{Sym}(G \sqcup Y_\alpha)$, using the restriction of this map. Now $H_\alpha := \langle G_\alpha, \text{FAlt}(G \sqcup Y_\alpha) \rangle$ has cardinality α . Moreover it is torsion by Lemma 3.8 and so has the R_∞ property by Corollary 3.7. \square

There are also groups which are not torsion and have no infinite cycles. Consider an element $\rho \in \text{Sym}(X)$ with $\eta_r(\rho)$ non-zero for infinitely many $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Then ρ has infinite order, but need not contain an infinite cycle. Therefore ρ generates an infinite cyclic group, but $\langle \rho, \text{FSym}(X) \rangle$ is not finitely generated. This is an interesting example since $\text{FSym}(\mathbb{Z}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$, which also consists of the group FSym together with a single element of infinite order, is 2-generated (being the second Houghton group H_2). For another example, consider $G = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_2$. This can be seen as a subgroup G_1 of $\text{Sym}(\{1, 2\} \times \mathbb{N})$ where the i th C_2 transposes the points $(1, i)$ and $(2, i)$ and fixes all other points of $\{1, 2\} \times \mathbb{N}$. Now $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} C_2 \cong \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{R}} C_2$ (both are vector spaces of rank $|\mathbb{R}|$ over F_2) and so G can also be seen as a subgroup G_2 of $\text{Sym}(\{1, 2\} \times \mathbb{R})$ with generators g_i (for each $i \in \mathbb{R}$) that transpose the points $(1, i)$ and $(2, i)$ and fix all other points of $\{1, 2\} \times \mathbb{R}$. But $\langle G_1, \text{FAlt}(\{1, 2\} \times \mathbb{N}) \rangle \not\cong \langle G_2, \text{FAlt}(\{1, 2\} \times \mathbb{R}) \rangle$, since $\langle G_1, \text{FAlt}(\{1, 2\} \times \mathbb{N}) \rangle \leq \text{Sym}(\{1, 2\} \times \mathbb{N})$ and $\text{FAlt}(\{1, 2\} \times \mathbb{R})$ does not embed into $\text{Sym}(\{1, 2\} \times \mathbb{N})$ by [BH16].

Corollary 3.11. *There exist uncountably many countable torsion groups which have the R_∞ property.*

Proof. We will work within $\text{Sym}(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$. For each $n \geq 2$, define

$$\phi^{(n)} : C_n \hookrightarrow \text{Sym}(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}), (1 \dots n) \mapsto \rho_n$$

where $\text{supp}(\rho_n) = \{(m, n) \mid m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and

$$(m, n)\rho_n := \begin{cases} (m - n + 1, n) & \text{if } m \equiv 0 \pmod n \\ (m + 1, n) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

i.e. ρ_n consists of n -cycles ‘all the way along’ the n^{th} copy of \mathbb{N} .

Let \mathbb{P} denote the set of all prime numbers. Then, for any subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{P}$, let $G_S := \bigoplus_{p \in S} C_p$. Note that there are uncountably many choices for S . Also,

$$\bigoplus_{p \in S} C_p \hookrightarrow \text{Sym}(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$$

by using the maps $\phi^{(n)}$ defined above. For any $S \subseteq \mathbb{P}$, let $\tilde{G}_S := \langle G_S, \text{FAlt}(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}) \rangle$, which fully contains $\text{FAlt}(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$ and, by Lemma 3.8, is torsion. Hence Corollary 3.7 applies to \tilde{G}_S and it has the R_∞ property. Our final aim is therefore to show that if $S \neq S'$, then \tilde{G}_S and $\tilde{G}_{S'}$ are not isomorphic. By Proposition 2.5, \tilde{G}_S and $\tilde{G}_{S'}$ each have $\text{FAlt}(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$ as a unique minimal normal subgroup. Since G_S and $G_{S'}$ contain no non-trivial elements of finite support,

$$\tilde{G}_S / \text{FAlt}(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}) \cong G_S \text{ and } \tilde{G}_{S'} / \text{FAlt}(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}) \cong G_{S'}.$$

Hence if \tilde{G}_S and $\tilde{G}_{S'}$ are isomorphic, then G_S and $G_{S'}$ are isomorphic. But since $S \neq S'$, there is a $p \in \mathbb{P}$ in one set that is not in the other. Without loss of generality let $p \in S \setminus S'$. By construction, G_S has p -torsion but $G_{S'}$ does not. Hence $\tilde{G}_S \not\cong \tilde{G}_{S'}$. \square

Corollary 3.12. *There exist uncountably many finitely generated torsion groups which have the R_∞ property.*

Proof. In [Ols82] the Tarski monsters, an uncountable family of finitely generated infinite p -groups, are described. Let M_1 and M_2 be non-isomorphic Tarski monsters. For any group G , let \hat{G} denote the right regular representation of G and let $\tilde{G} := \langle \hat{G}, \text{FSym}(G) \rangle$. By Lemma 3.8, \tilde{M}_1 and \tilde{M}_2 are torsion. By [HO16, Prop 5.10], \tilde{M}_1 and \tilde{M}_2 are finitely generated. Moreover $\tilde{M}_1 \not\cong \tilde{M}_2$ since they are both monolithic (by Proposition 2.5) but if we quotient by this unique minimal normal subgroup then we obtain non-isomorphic groups. \square

There are many equivalent definitions of the following.

Definition 3.13. A group G is residually finite if for each non-trivial element $g \in G$ there exists a finite group F_g and a homomorphism $\phi_g : G \rightarrow F_g$ such that $(g)\phi_g \neq 1$.

It is the following well known reformulation that shall be of use to us.

Lemma 3.14. *A group G is residually finite if and only if it can be embedded inside the direct product of a family of finite groups. Moreover the family comprises of the finite quotients of G .*

Corollary 3.15. *Let G be an infinite residually finite group, and X be the union of the finite quotients of G . Then, using this action, $\langle G, \text{FAlt}(X) \rangle$ has the R_∞ property.*

Proof. Since G is residually finite, it can be embedded inside the direct product of a family of finite groups (which are those groups appearing as finite quotients of G). Therefore any element $g \in G$ has only finite orbits, and by Remark 3.9, any element in $\langle G, \text{FAlt}(X) \rangle$ also only has finite orbits. Hence Corollary 3.6 applies, and $\langle G, \text{FAlt}(X) \rangle$ has the R_∞ property. \square

4. THE R_∞ PROPERTY AND COMMENSURABLE GROUPS

This final section involves results for commensurable groups.

Notation. Let $N \trianglelefteq_f G$ denote that N is normal and finite index in G .

Definition 4.1. Let G and H be groups. We say that G is commensurable to H if and only if there exist $N_G \cong N_H$ with $N_G \trianglelefteq_f G$ and $N_H \trianglelefteq_f H$. Note that if G is finitely generated then so is N_G , which then implies that H is finitely generated.

We will work towards Theorem 4.6 which applies to the Houghton groups, a family of groups H_n indexed over \mathbb{N} where, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, H_n acts on a set X_n and $\text{FSym}(X_n) \leq H_n \leq \text{Sym}(X_n)$. Each group H_n therefore fully contains $\text{FAlt}(X_n)$. These were first introduced in [Hou78], but we rely heavily on [Cox17] where an introduction to these groups can be found and a description, for all $n \geq 2$, of the structure of the automorphism group for all finite index subgroups of H_n is given. We start with three well known results.

Lemma 4.2. If $H \leq_f G$, then $\exists N \leq_f H$ which is normal in G .

Proof. Let H have index n in G and let $N := \bigcap_{g \in G} (g^{-1}Hg)$. Then G acts on $H \backslash G$ by right multiplication, and so there is a homomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow S_n$. Now $h \in \ker(\phi)$ if,

$$\begin{aligned} Hgh &= Hg \text{ for all } g \in G \\ \Leftrightarrow ghg^{-1} &\in H \text{ for all } g \in G \\ \Leftrightarrow h &\in g^{-1}Hg \text{ for all } g \in G. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\ker(\phi) = N$ and N is normal. Moreover $G / \ker(\phi) \cong \text{Im}(\phi) \leq S_n$, and so N has index m in G where $m \leq n!$ and m divides $n!$. \square

Lemma 4.3. If $H \leq_f G$ and G is finitely generated, then $\exists K \leq_f H$ which is characteristic in G .

Proof. Suppose $H \leq_n G$. We first show that there exist only finitely many subgroups of G of a given index. As in the previous lemma, right multiplication by G on $H \backslash G$ gives a homomorphism $\phi_H : G \rightarrow S_n$. Note that $\text{Stab}(H) = H$ since $g \in \text{Stab}(H) \Leftrightarrow Hg = H$. Thus, by choosing $1 \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ to correspond to the coset H in $H \backslash G$, the preimage of $\text{Stab}(1)$ in S_n is H . Hence $H = H' \Leftrightarrow \phi_H = \phi_{H'}$.

But G finitely generated $\Rightarrow \exists$ only finitely many homomorphisms $G \rightarrow S_n$

(there are $(n!)^{|S|}$ maps from S to S_n) and so there can only be finitely many index n subgroups. Now let

$$(5) \quad K := \bigcap_{\phi \in \text{Aut}(G)} (H)\phi$$

and note that, for any $\phi \in \text{Aut}(G)$, $(H)\phi \leq_n G$. But there are only finitely many possible images for H in (5), and so (since the intersection of finitely many subgroups of finite index is of finite index) K is finite index in G . Finally, K is characteristic in G since the image of K under $\psi \in \text{Aut}(G)$ is contained within

$$\bigcap_{\phi \in \text{Aut}(G)} ((H)\phi\psi)$$

which is equal to K . □

Lemma 4.4. [MS14, Lem 2.2(ii)] *Let D be a group with the R_∞ property and*

$$1 \longrightarrow D \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 1$$

be a short exact sequence of groups. If D is characteristic in E and F is any finite group, then E has the R_∞ property.

Combining the previous two results provides an easier condition to check in order to show that all commensurable groups have the R_∞ property.

Lemma 4.5. *Let G be a finitely generated group. If G and all finite index subgroups of G have the R_∞ property, then all groups commensurable to G have the R_∞ property.*

Proof. Let H be commensurable to G . Then $\exists N \trianglelefteq_f G, H$. By Lemma 4.3, there exists a group U which is characteristic in H and such that $U \leq_f G, H$. From our assumption that all finite index subgroups of G have the R_∞ property, U has the R_∞ property. Hence, by Lemma 4.4, H has the R_∞ property. □

Our final aim is the following. Although it was done independently, our argument has similarities to [GP16, First proof of Thm. 3.8]. Their argument produces elements of different orders, whilst we produce elements of different cycle types. The flexibility that this affords allows our arguments to generalise from H_n to certain subgroups $U_p \leq H_n$.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If G is any group commensurable to H_n , the n^{th} Houghton group, then G has the R_∞ property.*

Proof. We first work with FAlt . If G is commensurable to $\text{FAlt}(X)$, then there exists $N \trianglelefteq_f \text{FAlt}(X), G$. Now, since $\text{FAlt}(X)$ is simple and infinite, $N = \text{FAlt}(X)$. Hence we have the short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \text{FAlt}(X) \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow 1$$

where F is some finite group. Let $\phi \in \text{Aut}(G)$ and consider $\text{FAlt}(X) \cap (\text{FAlt}(X))\phi$. This has finite index in $\text{FAlt}(X)$. Using Lemma 4.2 and that $\text{FAlt}(X)$ is simple, we have $(\text{FAlt}(X))\phi = \text{FAlt}(X)$ i.e. that $\text{FAlt}(X)$ is characteristic in G . Since $\text{FAlt}(X)$ is torsion, Corollary 3.7 states that it has the R_∞ property. Hence Lemma 4.4 applies to G implying that G has the R_∞ property.

We now work with $n \geq 2$. From Lemma 4.5, it is sufficient to show that, for any $n \geq 2$, all finite index subgroups of H_n have the R_∞ property.

Fix an $n \geq 2$. There are a family of finite index, characteristic subgroups of H_n defined in [BCMR16] and denoted U_p where $p \in \mathbb{N}$. They showed that, for any $U \leq_f H_n$, there exists a $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $U_p \leq_f U$. This was strengthened in

[Cox17, Prop. 5.12] by showing that, for any $U \leq_f H_n$, there exists an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $U_m \leq_f U$ and

$$\text{Aut}(U) \cong_{\Psi} N_{\text{Sym}(X_n)}(U) \leq N_{\text{Sym}(X_n)}(U_m) \cong_{\Psi} \text{Aut}(U_m)$$

where $\Psi : N_{\text{Sym}(X_n)}(G) \mapsto \text{Aut}(G)$ is defined by $(g)\Psi = \phi_g$. Furthermore, by [Cox17, Lem. 5.9], there is a monomorphism $\mu : N_{\text{Sym}(X_n)}(U_m) \hookrightarrow N_{\text{Sym}(X_{nm})}(H_{nm})$ and, for any $k \geq 2$, $N_{\text{Sym}(X_k)}(H_k) = H_k \rtimes S_k$. Importantly, this monomorphism preserves cycle type. We shall apply Proposition 3.4 to show that any group with automorphism group contained within $N_{\text{Sym}(X_k)}(H_k)$ for some $k \geq 2$ has the R_{∞} property.

Fix a $k \geq 2$. Notice that for all $r \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ and for all $g \in H_k$, $\eta_r(g)$ is finite. Given a $\rho \in H_k \rtimes S_k$, which is isomorphic to $\text{Aut}(H_k)$ via the map $\rho \mapsto \phi_{\rho}$, we have that $\eta_r(\rho)$ is infinite if and only if ρ induces a cyclic permutation of r branches of X_k . Thus, for all $\rho \in N_{\text{Sym}(X_k)}(H_k)$ and all $r > k$ we have that $\eta_r(\rho)$ is finite. Now, for any $U \leq_f H_n$, there exists an $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $N_{\text{Sym}(X_n)}(U) \leq N_{\text{Sym}(X_n)}(U_m)$. Consider if $\rho \in N_{\text{Sym}(X_n)}(U_m)$. Using the above homomorphism $\mu : N_{\text{Sym}(X_n)}(U_m) \rightarrow N_{\text{Sym}(X_{nm})}(H_{nm})$, we have that $\eta_r((\rho)\mu)$ is finite for all $r > nm$. Since μ preserves cycle type, $\eta_r(\rho)$ is also finite for all $r > nm$. Hence, by Proposition 3.4, $R(\phi_{\rho}) = \infty$ and so all automorphisms of U have infinite Reidemeister number. Thus all finite index subgroups of H_n have the R_{∞} property and so Lemma 4.5 yields the result. \square

REFERENCES

- [BCMR16] J. Burillo, S. Cleary, A. Martino, and C. E. Röver, *Commensurations and Metric Properties of Houghton's Groups*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, **285**(2) (2016), 289–301, MR 3575568
- [BH16] T. Banach and H. Mildenerger, *Cardinal invariants distinguishing permutation groups*, European Journal of Mathematics, **2** (2016), 493–507, MR 3498994
- [Cam99] Peter J. Cameron, *Permutation groups*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts, vol. 45, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999, MR 1721031
- [Cox17] C. G. Cox, *Twisted Conjugacy in Houghton's groups*, Journal of Algebra, **490** (2017), 390–436, MR 3690340
- [DM96] John D. Dixon and Brian Mortimer, *Permutation groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 163, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996, MR 1409812
- [Fel10] Alexander Fel'shtyn, *New directions in Nielsen-Reidemeister theory*, Topology Appl. **157** (2010), no. 10–11, 1724–1735, MR 2639839
- [GP16] D. Gonçalves and S. Parameswaran, *Sigma theory and twisted conjugacy-II: Houghton groups and pure symmetric automorphism groups*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, **280**(2) (2016), 349–369, MR 3453976
- [Hou78] C. H. Houghton, *The first cohomology of a group with permutation module coefficients*, Archiv der Mathematik **31** (1978), 254–258, MR 521478
- [HNN49] Graham Higman, B. H. Neumann, and Hanna Neumann, *Embedding theorems for groups*, J. London Math. Soc. **24** (1949), 247–254. MR 0032641 (11,322d)
- [HO16] M. Hull and D. Osin, *Transitivity degrees of countable groups and acylindrical hyperbolicity*, Israel Journal of Mathematics (Oct. 2016), **216**(1), 307–353, MR 3556970
- [JLS17] J. H. Jo, J. B. Lee, and R. L. Sang, *The R_{∞} property for Houghton's groups*, Journal “Algebra and Discrete Mathematics”, Vol. **23** (2017), no. 2, 249–262, MR 3668980
- [MS14] T. Mubeena and P. Sankaran, *Twisted conjugacy classes in abelian extensions of certain linear groups*, Canad. Math. Bull. **57** (2014), no. 1, 132–140 MR 3150726
- [Ols82] A. Yu. Olshanskii, *Groups of bounded period with subgroups of prime order*, Algebra and Logic **21** (1983), 369–418; translation of Algebra i Logika **21** (1982), 553–618.