Proof of some divisibility results on sums involving binomial coefficients
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Abstract. By using the Rodriguez-Villegas-Mortenson supercongruences, we prove four supercongruences on sums involving binomial coefficients, which were originally conjectured by Sun. We also confirm a related conjecture of Guo on integer-valued polynomials.
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1 Introduction

In 2003, Rodriguez-Villegas [11] conjectured 22 supercongruences for hypergeometric Calabi-Yau manifolds of dimension \(d \leq 3\). For manifolds of dimension \(d = 1\), associated to certain elliptic curves, four conjectural supercongruences were posed. Mortenson [8, 9] first proved these four supercongruences by using the Gross-Koblitz formula.

Theorem 1.1 (Rodriguez-Villegas-Mortenson) Suppose \(p \geq 5\) is a prime. Then

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(1/2)_k^2}{(1)_k^2} \equiv \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right) \quad (\text{mod } p^2), \\
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(1/3)_k(2/3)_k}{(1)_k^2} \equiv \left( \frac{-3}{p} \right) \quad (\text{mod } p^2),
\]

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(1/4)_k(3/4)_k}{(1)_k^2} \equiv \left( \frac{-2}{p} \right) \quad (\text{mod } p^2), \\
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(1/6)_k(5/6)_k}{(1)_k^2} \equiv \left( \frac{-1}{p} \right) \quad (\text{mod } p^2),
\]

where \(\left( \frac{a}{p} \right)\) denotes the Legendre symbol and \((x)_k = x(x+1) \cdots (x+k-1)\).

Sun [12] introduced the following two kinds of polynomials:

\[ d_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \binom{x}{k} 2^k \quad \text{and} \quad s_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \binom{x}{k} \binom{x+k}{k}. \]

Note that \(d_n(m)\) are the Delannoy numbers, which count the number of paths from \((0,0)\) to \((m,n)\), only using steps \((1,0), (0,1)\) and \((1,1)\). For more information on Delannoy numbers, one can refer to [2].

The first aim of this paper is to prove the following result, which was originally conjectured by Sun [12, Conjecture 6.11].

1
Theorem 1.2 Suppose \( p \geq 5 \) is a prime. Then
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (2k+1)s_k \left( -\frac{1}{2} \right)^2 \equiv \frac{3}{4} \left( -\frac{1}{p} \right) p^2 \pmod{p^4}, \tag{1.1}
\]
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (2k+1)s_k \left( -\frac{1}{3} \right)^2 \equiv \frac{7}{9} \left( -\frac{3}{p} \right) p^2 \pmod{p^4}, \tag{1.2}
\]
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (2k+1)s_k \left( -\frac{1}{4} \right)^2 \equiv \frac{13}{16} \left( -\frac{2}{p} \right) p^2 \pmod{p^4}, \tag{1.3}
\]
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (2k+1)s_k \left( -\frac{1}{6} \right)^2 \equiv \frac{31}{36} \left( -\frac{1}{p} \right) p^2 \pmod{p^4}. \tag{1.4}
\]

Recently, Guo [5, Theorem 5.1] showed that for any odd prime \( p \) and \( p \)-adic integer \( x \),
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (2k+1)s_k(x)^2 \equiv p^2 \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{(-1)^k}{k+1} \left( \frac{x+k}{2k} \right) \left( \frac{x+k}{j} \right) \left( \frac{2k}{j+k} \right) \pmod{p^4}. \tag{1.5}
\]

Recall that a polynomial \( P(x) \) with real coefficients is called integer-valued, if \( P(x) \) takes integer values for all \( x \in \mathbb{Z} \). The second aim of this paper is to prove the following result, which was originally conjectured by Guo [5, Conjecture 5.5].

Theorem 1.3 Let \( n \) and \( m \) be positive integers and \( \varepsilon = \pm 1. \) Then
\[
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon^k (2k+1)d_k(x)^m s_k(x)^m \tag{1.6}
\]
is integer-valued.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.2

We need the following lemma (see [7, Theorem 1.3]).

Lemma 2.1 Suppose \( p \geq 5 \) is a prime. Then
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{2p-1} \frac{(1/2)_k^2}{(1)_k^2} \equiv \frac{5}{4} \left( -\frac{1}{p} \right) \pmod{p^2}, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{2p-1} \frac{(1/3)_k(2/3)_k}{(1)_k^2} \equiv \frac{11}{9} \left( -\frac{3}{p} \right) \pmod{p^2},
\]
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{2p-1} \frac{(1/4)_k(3/4)_k}{(1)_k^2} \equiv \frac{19}{16} \left( -\frac{2}{p} \right) \pmod{p^2}, \quad \sum_{k=0}^{2p-1} \frac{(1/6)_k(5/6)_k}{(1)_k^2} \equiv \frac{41}{36} \left( -\frac{1}{p} \right) \pmod{p^2}.
\]
**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** We begin with the following identity \[4, (2.5)\]:

\[
\binom{x}{k} \binom{x+k}{j} \binom{x+j}{j} = \sum_{s=0}^{j+k} \binom{j+k}{s} \binom{s}{j} \binom{x}{s} \binom{x+s}{s}.
\] (2.1)

Note that

\[
\binom{x+k}{2k} \binom{x+j}{j} = \binom{x}{k} \binom{x+k}{j} \binom{x+j}{j} / \binom{2k}{k}.
\] (2.2)

Substituting (2.1) and (2.2) into the right-hand side of (1.5) and then exchanging the summation order gives

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (2k+1)s_k(x)^2 \equiv p^2 \sum_{s=0}^{2p-2} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^k \binom{2k}{j+k} \binom{j+k}{s} \binom{s}{j} \binom{x}{s} \binom{x+s}{s} / \binom{2k}{k} \pmod{p^4}.
\] (2.3)

By the Chu-Vandermonde identity, we have

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{2k}{j+k} \binom{j+k}{s} \binom{s}{j} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{2k}{s} \binom{2k-s}{k-j} = \binom{2k}{k} \binom{2k}{2k}.
\] (2.4)

It follows from (2.3) and (2.4) that

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (2k+1)s_k(x)^2 \equiv p^2 \sum_{s=0}^{2p-2} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (-1)^k \binom{2k}{s} \binom{s}{k} \binom{x}{s} \binom{x+s}{s} \pmod{p^4}.
\] (2.5)

Applying the following identity \[6, (2.6)\]:

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{s} (-1)^k \binom{2k}{s} \binom{s}{k} = (-1)^s,
\]

we obtain

\[
p^2 \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (-1)^k \binom{2k}{s} \binom{s}{k} \binom{x}{s} \binom{x+s}{s} = p^2 \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} (-1)^s \binom{x}{s} \binom{x+s}{s}.
\] (2.6)

On the other hand, we have the following supercongruence \[6, (3.2)\]:

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (-1)^k \binom{2k}{s} \binom{s}{k} \equiv (-1)^s \left(-1 + \frac{2p}{s+1}\right) \pmod{p^2}
\] (2.7)
for \( p \leq s \leq 2p - 2 \). It follows that

\[
p^2 \sum_{s=p}^{2p-2} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^k}{s+1} \binom{2k}{s} \left( \frac{x}{s} \right) \left( \frac{x+s}{s} \right) = 2p^3 \sum_{s=p}^{2p-1} \frac{(-1)^s}{s+1} \left( \frac{x}{s} \right) \left( \frac{x+s}{s} \right) - p^2 \sum_{s=p}^{2p-1} (-1)^s \left( \frac{x}{s} \right) \left( \frac{x+s}{s} \right) \pmod{p^4},
\]

where we have used the fact that \( \left( \frac{x}{s} \right) \left( \frac{x+s}{s} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2} \) for \( s = 2p-1 \) and \( x = -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{6} \).

Note that

\[
\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \frac{(-1)^s}{s+1} \left( \frac{x}{s} \right) \left( \frac{x+s}{s} \right) = \frac{n(-1)^{n+1}}{x(x+1)} \left( \frac{x}{n} \right) \left( \frac{x+n}{n} \right),
\]

which can be easily proved by induction on \( n \). So we have

\[
\sum_{s=p}^{2p-1} \frac{(-1)^s}{s+1} \left( \frac{x}{s} \right) \left( \frac{x+s}{s} \right) = \sum_{s=0}^{2p-1} \frac{(-1)^s}{s+1} \left( \frac{x}{s} \right) \left( \frac{x+s}{s} \right) - \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \frac{(-1)^s}{s+1} \left( \frac{x}{s} \right) \left( \frac{x+s}{s} \right) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}
\]

for \( x = -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{6} \).

Furthermore, combining (2.5), (2.6), (2.8) and (2.9), we get

\[
p^2 \left( \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} (-1)^s \left( \frac{x}{s} \right) \left( \frac{x+s}{s} \right) \right) - \sum_{s=0}^{2p-1} (-1)^s \left( \frac{x}{s} \right) \left( \frac{x+s}{s} \right) \pmod{p^4}
\]

for \( x = -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{3}, -\frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{6} \).

Finally, noting that

\[
(-1)^s \left( \frac{x}{s} \right) \left( \frac{x+s}{s} \right) = \frac{(-x)_s(1+x)_s}{(1)_2^s},
\]

and then using Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 2.1, we obtain (1.1)-(1.4). This completes the proof. \( \Box \)

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.3

Chen and Guo [3] defined the following multi-variable Schmidt polynomials:

\[
S_n(x_0, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n+k}{k} \binom{2k}{k} x_k.
\]
The following lemma is a special case of [3 Theorem 1.1]. It has already been used by Guo [5] to prove Sun’s conjectures on integer-valued polynomials.

**Lemma 3.1** Let \( n \) and \( m \) be positive integers. Then all the coefficients in

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varepsilon^k (2k + 1) S_k(x_0, \ldots, x_k)^m
\]

are multiples of \( n \).

**Lemma 3.2** For any non-negative integer \( n \), we have

\[
d_n(x)s_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n+k}{2k} \binom{2k}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \sum_{i=0}^{j} \binom{x+j}{i} \binom{k}{j} (i) 2^i. \tag{3.1}
\]

**Proof.** Since both sides of (3.1) are polynomials in \( x \) of degree \( 3n \), it suffices to prove that for any non-negative integers \( n \) and \( m \),

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{i} \binom{m}{i} \binom{n+j}{j} \binom{m+j}{j} 2^i \\
= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{j} \binom{n+k}{2k} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{m+j}{k+j} \binom{m}{i} \binom{k}{j} (i) 2^i. \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
\]

Clearly, (3.2) is equivalent to

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \binom{n}{i} \binom{m}{i} \binom{n+j}{j} \binom{m+j}{j} 2^i \\
= \sum_{k=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{i=0}^{j} \binom{n+k}{2k} \binom{2k}{k} \binom{m+j}{k+j} \binom{m}{i} \binom{k}{j} (i) 2^i. \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
\]

Let \( A_m^{(1)}(n) \) and \( A_m^{(2)}(n) \) denote the left-hand side and the right-hand side of (3.3), respectively. Applying the multi-Zeilberger algorithm [110], we find that \( A_m^{(1)}(n) \) and \( A_m^{(2)}(n) \) satisfy the same recurrence of order 4:

\[
\begin{align*}
(m + 1)^3(m + 2)(3m^2 + 18m + 26)A_m^{(s)}(n) - 2(m + 2)(12m^3n^2 + 12m^3n + 90m^2n^2 \\
+ 3m^3 + 90m^2n + 212mn^2 + 23m^2 + 212mn + 156n^2 + 55m + 56n + 41)A_{m+1}^{(s)}(n) \\
- 2(3m^6 + 30m^4n^2 + 45m^5 + 30m^4n + 300m^3n^2 + 287m^4 + 300m^3n + 1094m^2n^2 \\
+ 995m^3 + 1094m^2n + 1720mn^2 + 1964m^2 + 1720mn + 978n^2 + 2070m + 978n \\
+ 898)A_{m+2}^{(s)}(n) - 2(m + 3)(12m^3n^2 + 12m^3n + 90m^2n^2 + 3m^3 + 90m^2n + 212mn^2 \\
+ 22m^2 + 212mn + 154n^2 + 50m + 154n + 34)A_{m+3}^{(s)}(n) + (m + 3)(m + 4)^3 \\
\times (3m^2 + 12m + 11)A_{m+4}^{(s)}(n) = 0, \quad \text{for } s = 1, 2.
\end{align*}
\]
It is easily checked that $A^{(1)}_m(n) = A^{(2)}_m(n)$ for $0 \leq m \leq 3$. This proves (3.3). □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We can rewrite (3.1) as

$$d_n(x)s_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n + k}{2k} \binom{2k}{k} f_k(x), \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$f_k(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \sum_{i=0}^{j} \binom{x + j}{k + j} \binom{x}{i} \binom{k}{j} \binom{j}{i} 2^i.$$

Clearly, these $f_k(x)$ are integer-valued polynomials for $0 \leq k \leq n$. From Lemma 3.1 and the identity (3.4), we immediately conclude that (1.6) is integer-valued. □
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