

$SU(1, 1)$ Approach to Stokes Parameters and the Theory of Light Polarization

R. D. Mota^a, D. Ojeda-Guillén^b, M. Salazar-Ramírez^b
and V. D. Granados^c

^a Escuela Superior de Ingeniería Mecánica y Eléctrica, Unidad Culhuacan, IPN. Av. Santa Ana No. 1000, Col. San Francisco Culhuacan, Delegación Coyoacan C.P.04430, Ciudad de México, Mexico.

^bEscuela Superior de Cómputo, Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Av. Juan de Dios Batiz, Esq. Av. Miguel Othon de Mendizábal, Col. Lindavista, Del. Gustavo A. Madero, C.P. 07738, Ciudad de México, Mexico.

^c Escuela Superior de Física y Matemáticas, Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Ed. 9, Unidad Profesional Adolfo López Mateos, 07738, Ciudad de México, Mexico.

Abstract

We introduce an alternative approach to the polarization theory of light. This is based on a set of quantum operators, constructed from two independent bosons, being three of them the $su(1, 1)$ Lie algebra generators, and the other one, the Casimir operator of this algebra. By taking the expectation value of these generators in a two-mode coherent state, their classical limit is obtained. We use these classical quantities to define the new Stokes-like parameters. We show that the light polarization ellipse can be written in terms of the Stokes-like parameters. Also, we write these parameters in terms of other two quantities, and show that they define a one-sheet (Poincaré hyperboloid) of a two-sheet hyperboloid. Our study is restricted to the case of a monochromatic plane electromagnetic wave which propagates along the z axis.

Keywords: Quantum optics; Lie algebraic and groups methods; Polarization.

1 Introduction

G. Stokes studied the polarization properties of a quasi-monochromatic plane wave of light in an arbitrary polarization state by introducing four quantities, known since then as the Stokes parameters [1].

The Stokes parameters are a set of four quantities which provide intuitive and practical tools to describe the polarization of light [2]. The stokes parameters give a direct relation between the light (photons) polarization and the polarization of elementary

particles [3]. This fact was widely exploited to study many features of radiation of particles and to the scattering problems [4, 5].

The Stokes parameters are formulated in terms of the observables of the electromagnetic field, namely, the amplitudes and the relative phase difference between the orthogonal components of the field [6]. In fact, the density matrix [3] and the coherence matrix [7] for the case of electromagnetic radiation result to be the same [8], and are written in terms of these observables.

The standard procedure to describe the polarization of an electromagnetic wave is to set the propagation direction along the z -axis, and the two components of the polarization field on the x - and y -directions. However, when the direction of arrival from the source is unknown a priori, the three-dimensional coherence matrix must be used to obtain a complete polarization characterization [9, 10, 11, 12]. In Ref. [13], Jauch and Rohrlich introduced the Stokes parameters in the quantum regime, which are called Stokes operators. It is at the quantum domain where we can see that a symmetry group structure is related to the Stokes operators. When the direction of propagation of light is known, the symmetry is the $SU(2)$ group [13, 14]. However, when the direction of propagation is unknown, the symmetry group is $SU(3)$ [9, 10, 11, 12]. Also, other generalizations of Stokes operators have been reported [15, 16].

In this work we give a new approach to the theory of light polarization. For simplicity, we study the case of a monochromatic plane electromagnetic wave which propagates along the z -axis. Our study is based on a set of quantum operators, constructed from two independent bosons, being three of them the $su(1,1)$ Lie algebra generators, and the other one, the Casimir operator of this algebra. This work is organized as follows. In section 2, we deduce the $su(1,1)$ Lie algebra generators by the Jordan-Schwinger map. In section 3, by taking the expectation value of the algebra generators in a two-mode coherent state, we obtain their classical limit. In section 4, we define our Stokes parameters (we refer to them Stokes-like parameters) and show that the light polarization ellipse can be written in terms of them. In section 5, the Stokes-like parameters are written in terms of two parameters and it is shown that they define a one-sheet (Poincaré hyperboloid) of a two-sheet hyperboloid.

2 The Jordan-Schwinger map and the $su(1,1)$ Lie algebra generators

In what follows we will use $\hbar = \mu = 1$, where μ is the mass of each one-dimensional harmonic oscillator and ω is the frequency of either the electromagnetic wave or the harmonic oscillators.

We define the operators

$$\mathcal{K}_i = B^\dagger \frac{\Sigma_i}{2} B \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3 \quad (1)$$

with

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2^\dagger \end{pmatrix}, \quad B^\dagger = (a_1^\dagger, a_2). \quad (2)$$

The operators a_1 and a_2 the left and right annihilation operators of the two-dimensional harmonic oscillator, with the non vanishing commutators $[a_1, a_1^\dagger] = [a_2, a_2^\dagger] = 1$. The

matrices Σ_i are defined as follows: $\Sigma_0 = \sigma_3$, $\Sigma_1 = \sigma_1$, $\Sigma_2 = \sigma_2$ and $\Sigma_3 = 1_{2 \times 2}$, where σ_i are the usual Pauli matrices [18].

Explicitly, the operators \mathcal{K}_0 , \mathcal{K}_1 , \mathcal{K}_2 and \mathcal{K}_3 are given by

$$\mathcal{K}_0 = \frac{1}{2}(a_1^\dagger a_1 - a_2 a_2^\dagger), \quad \mathcal{K}_1 = \frac{1}{2}(a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger + a_2 a_1) \quad (3)$$

$$\mathcal{K}_2 = \frac{i}{2}(-a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger + a_2 a_1), \quad \mathcal{K}_3 = \frac{1}{2}(a_1^\dagger a_1 + a_2 a_2^\dagger). \quad (4)$$

The operator \mathcal{K}_0 can be rewritten as $\mathcal{K}_0 = \frac{1}{2}(a_1^\dagger a_1 - a_2^\dagger a_2 - 1) \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{L}_z - 1)$, being \mathcal{L}_z the z -component of the angular momentum of the two-dimensional harmonic oscillator, whose Hamiltonian is given by $H = \omega(a_1^\dagger a_1 + a_2^\dagger a_2 + 1)$. Therefore, the operator \mathcal{K}_3 is essentially the energy of the two-dimensional harmonic oscillator. It can be shown that

$$[\mathcal{K}_0, H] = 0, \quad (5)$$

Also, by a straightforward calculation, we show that the commutation relations of the operators \mathcal{K}_i are

$$[\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_2] = -i\mathcal{K}_3, \quad [\mathcal{K}_2, \mathcal{K}_3] = i\mathcal{K}_1, \quad [\mathcal{K}_3, \mathcal{K}_1] = i\mathcal{K}_3. \quad (6)$$

Therefore, these operators close the $su(1,1)$ Lie algebra. The Casimir operator $C \equiv \mathcal{K}_3^2 - \mathcal{K}_2^2 - \mathcal{K}_1^2$ for this algebra results to be $C = \mathcal{K}_0(\mathcal{K}_0 + 1)$. Hence, we have obtained the generators of the $su(1,1)$ Lie algebra, equation (1), by the so called Jordan-Schwinger map [17].

3 Classical limit of the $SU(1,1)$ generators

In Ref. [18] the classical states of the two-dimensional harmonic oscillator were calculated. On the other hand, the Stokes parameters were obtained by evaluating the $su(2)$ Lie algebra generators in a two-mode coherent state [14]. The same idea was used to derive one of the Stokes parameters generalizations by evaluating the $su(3)$ Lie algebra generators in a three-mode coherent states [12]. In this paper we take advantage of these facts. Thus, we evaluate the $su(1,1)$ Lie algebra generators \mathcal{K}_i in a two-mode coherent state to obtain their classical limit. The two-mode coherent states are well known to be given by

$$|\alpha_1, \alpha_2\rangle = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}(|\alpha_1|^2 + |\alpha_2|^2)\right] \sum_{n_1, n_2=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_1^{n_1} \alpha_2^{n_2}}{\sqrt{n_1! n_2!}} |n_1, n_2\rangle, \quad (7)$$

which are eigenstates of the annihilation operators a_1 and a_2

$$a_i |\alpha_1, \alpha_2\rangle = \alpha_i |\alpha_1, \alpha_2\rangle. \quad (8)$$

With this procedure, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{K}_0 \rangle_\alpha &= \frac{1}{2} (|\alpha_1|^2 - |\alpha_2|^2), & \langle \mathcal{K}_1 \rangle_\alpha &= \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_1^* \alpha_2^* + \alpha_1 \alpha_2), \\ \langle \mathcal{K}_2 \rangle_\alpha &= \frac{i}{2} (\alpha_1 \alpha_2 - \alpha_1^* \alpha_2^*), & \langle \mathcal{K}_3 \rangle_\alpha &= \frac{1}{2} (|\alpha_1|^2 + |\alpha_2|^2), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where α_i are the classical oscillations $\alpha_i = |\alpha_{0i}| \exp[-i(\omega t - \phi_i)]$ with amplitudes $|\alpha_{0i}|$ and phases ϕ_i . This leads to

$$\langle \mathcal{K}_0 \rangle_\alpha = \frac{1}{2} (|\alpha_{01}|^2 - |\alpha_{02}|^2), \quad \langle \mathcal{K}_1 \rangle_\alpha = |\alpha_{01}| |\alpha_{02}| \cos(2\omega t - \sigma_{21}), \quad (10)$$

$$\langle \mathcal{K}_2 \rangle_\alpha = |\alpha_{01}| |\alpha_{02}| \sin(2\omega t - \sigma_{21}), \quad \langle \mathcal{K}_3 \rangle_\alpha = \frac{1}{2} (|\alpha_{01}|^2 + |\alpha_{02}|^2). \quad (11)$$

where $\sigma_{21} \equiv \phi_2 + \phi_1$.

Thus, the classical limit of the Lie algebra generators in a time-dependent two-mode coherent state is time dependent. This is because the $su(1,1)$ Lie algebra generators do not commute with the Hamiltonian of the two-dimensional harmonic oscillator. It is well known that the standard Stokes parameters obtained as the classical limit of the $su(2)$ generators are time-independent [14]. This is due to the $su(2)$ Lie algebra generators commute with the two-dimensional harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian.

4 Polarization ellipse for an electromagnetic wave and the Stokes-like parameters

Our procedure is based on the experience gained in deducing the time-independence of the polarization ellipse for the superposition of two monochromatic electromagnetic waves, as we can be see, for example, in Ch. 2 of Ref. [19] or in Ch. 8 of Ref. [20]. We notice that in these deductions the reason for this time-independence rests on the mathematical properties of the trigonometric functions and that we are treating with monochromatic waves. Also, in the case when the amplitudes and phases fluctuate slowly (the so-called quasi-monochromatic or nearly monochromatic case) compared to the rapid vibrations of the sinusoid and the cosinusoid functions, it can be derived the same polarization ellipse [5]. In this case, the amplitudes and phases change slowly with time. However, in the present work we are considering monochromatic waves only.

We set an electromagnetic wave with arbitrary polarization, which propagates along the z -axis, given by

$$\vec{E}(z, t) = (\mathbf{i}\alpha_1 + \mathbf{j}\alpha_2)e^{ikz} + C.C. \quad (12)$$

where the complex amplitudes are defined by $\alpha_i = |\alpha_{0i}| \exp[-i(\omega t - \phi_i)]$. It can be written in the form

$$\vec{E}(z, t) = E_1 \mathbf{i} + E_2 \mathbf{j}, \quad (13)$$

where

$$E_1 = A_1 \cos(\omega t - kz) + B_1 \sin(\omega t - kz), \quad (14)$$

$$E_2 = A_2 \cos(\omega t - kz) + B_2 \sin(\omega t - kz), \quad (15)$$

and

$$A_i = 2|\alpha_{0i}| \cos \phi_i \quad B_i = 2|\alpha_{0i}| \sin \phi_i \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (16)$$

From these equations it is easy to obtain the nonparametric quadratic equation

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^2(A_2^2 + B_2^2) + E_2^2(A_1^2 + B_1^2) - 2E_1E_2(A_1A_2 + B_1B_2) \\ = (A_1B_2 - A_2B_1)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

By setting the definitions $\mathcal{A} = A_2^2 + B_2^2$, $\mathcal{B} = -2(A_1A_2 + B_1B_2)$ and $\mathcal{C} = A_1^2 + B_1^2$, it is shown that $\mathcal{B}^2 - 4\mathcal{A}\mathcal{C} = -4(A_1B_2 - A_2B_1)^2$. Thus, when $A_1B_2 \neq A_2B_1$, equation (17) represents an ellipse centered at the origin. Equations (14) and (15) allow to write equation (17) as

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^2|\alpha_{02}|^2 + E_2^2|\alpha_{01}|^2 - E_1E_2|\alpha_{01}||\alpha_{02}|\cos(\phi_2 - \phi_1) \\ = 2|\alpha_{01}|^2|\alpha_{02}|^2\sin^2(\phi_2 - \phi_1). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

This is the polarization ellipse described by the plane wave electromagnetic field. Using equations (10) and (11), and the definition of the phase difference $\delta_{21} \equiv \phi_2 - \phi_1$, this equation can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} E_1^2(\langle\mathcal{K}_3\rangle_\alpha - \langle\mathcal{K}_0\rangle_\alpha) + E_2^2(\langle\mathcal{K}_3\rangle_\alpha + \langle\mathcal{K}_0\rangle_\alpha) \\ - E_1E_2\sqrt{\langle\mathcal{K}_1\rangle_\alpha^2 + \langle\mathcal{K}_2\rangle_\alpha^2}\cos\delta_{21} = 2(\langle\mathcal{K}_1\rangle_\alpha^2 + \langle\mathcal{K}_2\rangle_\alpha^2)\sin^2\delta_{21}. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

In this way we have written the polarization ellipse in terms of the classical values of the $su(1,1)$ Lie algebra generators.

We define the classical Stokes-like parameters K_i as follows

$$K_0 = \langle\mathcal{K}_0\rangle_\alpha, \quad K_1 = \sqrt{\langle\mathcal{K}_1\rangle_\alpha^2 + \langle\mathcal{K}_2\rangle_\alpha^2}\sin\delta_{21}, \quad (20)$$

$$K_2 = \sqrt{\langle\mathcal{K}_1\rangle_\alpha^2 + \langle\mathcal{K}_2\rangle_\alpha^2}\cos\delta_{21}, \quad K_3 = \langle\mathcal{K}_3\rangle_\alpha. \quad (21)$$

Thus, the ellipse polarization can be expressed as

$$E_1^2(K_3 - K_0) + E_2^2(K_3 + K_0) - 2E_1E_2K_2 = 2K_1^2. \quad (22)$$

We note that the polarization ellipse, equation (19), depends on the phase difference δ_{21} , whereas the classical limit of the $su(1,1)$ generators do not. This fact has forced us to define the Stokes-like parameters as those of equations (20) and (21), which depend on the phase difference and are time-independent.

5 Stokes-like parameters and the Poincaré hyperboloid

The procedure to map the standard Stokes parameters to the Poincaré sphere is explained in full detail in Sec. 1.4.2 of Ref. [21]. In the present section, we translate the Born and Wolf ideas to our Stokes-like parameters. We shall show that they are mapped to a one-sheet of a two-sheet hyperbolic paraboloid.

We perform an hyperbolic rotation to the fields E_1 and E_2 by an angle ψ , in such a way that the fields E_ξ and E_η are obtained on the principal axis of the ellipse. Thus,

$$E_\xi = E_1 \cosh \psi + E_2 \sinh \psi, \quad (23)$$

$$E_\eta = E_1 \sinh \psi + E_2 \cosh \psi. \quad (24)$$

Equation (13) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{E}(z, t) &= 2|\alpha_{01}|\cos(\omega t - kz - \phi_1)\mathbf{i} + 2|\alpha_{02}|\cos(\omega t - kz - \phi_2)\mathbf{j} \\ &= \tilde{a}_1\cos(\tau - \phi_1)\mathbf{i} + \tilde{a}_2\cos(\tau - \phi_2)\mathbf{j} = E_1\mathbf{i} + E_2\mathbf{j}, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

where $\tau = \omega t - kz$, and $\tilde{a}_i = 2|\alpha_{0i}|$, $i = 1, 2$.

On the other hand, the oscillations on the principal axis of the ellipse are given by

$$E_\xi = a \cos(\tau + \delta_0), \quad (26)$$

$$E_\eta = \pm b \sin(\tau + \delta_0). \quad (27)$$

being δ_0 a constant phase. The signs \pm are for right and left polarization on the coordinates system $\xi - \eta$. From the substitution of equations (25) and (26) into equation (23), we deduce the equalities

$$a \cos \delta_0 = \tilde{a}_1 \cosh \psi \cos \phi_1 + \tilde{a}_2 \sinh \psi \cos \phi_2, \quad (28)$$

$$a \sin \delta_0 = -\tilde{a}_1 \cosh \psi \sin \phi_1 - \tilde{a}_2 \sinh \psi \sin \phi_2. \quad (29)$$

Similarly, by substituting equations (25) and (27) into equation (24), we deduce

$$\pm b \cos \delta_0 = \tilde{a}_1 \sinh \psi \sin \phi_1 + \tilde{a}_2 \cosh \psi \sin \phi_2, \quad (30)$$

$$\pm b \sin \delta_0 = \tilde{a}_1 \sinh \psi \cos \phi_1 + \tilde{a}_2 \cosh \psi \cos \phi_2. \quad (31)$$

Dividing (30) by (28) and (31) by (29), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \pm \frac{b}{a} &= \frac{\tilde{a}_1 \sinh \psi \sin \phi_1 + \tilde{a}_2 \cosh \psi \sin \phi_2}{\tilde{a}_1 \cosh \psi \cos \phi_1 + \tilde{a}_2 \sinh \psi \cos \phi_2} \\ &= \frac{\tilde{a}_1 \sinh \psi \cos \phi_1 + \tilde{a}_2 \cosh \psi \cos \phi_2}{-\tilde{a}_1 \cosh \psi \sin \phi_1 - \tilde{a}_2 \sinh \psi \sin \phi_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

The second equality and some elementary hyperbolic identities lead to

$$\tanh(2\psi) = -\frac{2\tilde{a}_1\tilde{a}_2}{\tilde{a}_1^2 + \tilde{a}_2^2} \cos \delta_{21}. \quad (33)$$

The definition $\tanh \alpha \equiv -\frac{\tilde{a}_2}{\tilde{a}_1}$ and the identity for $\tanh(2\alpha)$ permit to write this equation as

$$\tanh(2\psi) = \tanh(2\alpha) \cos \delta_{21} \quad (34)$$

On squaring and adding (28) and (29), we obtain

$$a^2 = \tilde{a}_1^2 \cosh^2 \psi + \tilde{a}_2^2 \sinh^2 \psi + 2\tilde{a}_1\tilde{a}_2 \sinh \psi \cosh \psi \cos \delta_{21}. \quad (35)$$

Also, on squaring and adding (30) and (31):

$$b^2 = \tilde{a}_1^2 \sinh^2 \psi + \tilde{a}_2^2 \cosh^2 \psi + 2\tilde{a}_1\tilde{a}_2 \sinh \psi \cosh \psi \cos \delta_{21}. \quad (36)$$

The subtracting of equation (36) from equation (35) allows to obtain

$$a^2 - b^2 = \tilde{a}_1^2 - \tilde{a}_2^2 \quad (37)$$

If we multiply (28) by (30), and (29) by (31) and adding the respective results, we get

$$\mp ab = \tilde{a}_1\tilde{a}_2 \sin \delta_{21} \quad (38)$$

From the last two equalities it is implied that

$$\pm \frac{2ab}{a^2 - b^2} = -\frac{2\tilde{a}_1\tilde{a}_2}{\tilde{a}_1^2 - \tilde{a}_2^2} \sin \delta_{21}. \quad (39)$$

If we introduce the definition $\tanh \chi \equiv \pm \frac{b}{a}$, this equation result to be

$$\sinh(2\chi) = -\frac{2\tilde{a}_1\tilde{a}_2}{\tilde{a}_1^2 - \tilde{a}_2^2} \sin \delta_{21}. \quad (40)$$

Now, the definition $\tanh \alpha = -\frac{\tilde{a}_2}{\tilde{a}_1}$ above, leads to

$$\sinh(2\chi) = \sinh(2\alpha) \sin \delta_{21} \quad (41)$$

Equation (40) can be written in terms of equations (10), (11) and (25) as

$$\sinh(2\chi) = -\frac{\sqrt{\langle \mathcal{K}_1 \rangle_\alpha^2 + \langle \mathcal{K}_2 \rangle_\alpha^2} \sin \delta_{21}}{\langle \mathcal{K}_0 \rangle_\alpha} = -\frac{K_1}{K_0}, \quad (42)$$

where for the last equality, we have used equation (20). This equation leads to

$$K_1 = -K_0 \sinh(2\chi), \quad (43)$$

or depending on the sign of the K_0 :

$$K_1 = \pm |K_0| \sinh(2\chi). \quad (44)$$

Similarly, from equations (33), (10), (11), and (21), we can show that

$$K_2 = -K_3 \tanh(2\psi). \quad (45)$$

On the other hand, from equations (10) and (11) it is immediate to show that

$$\langle \mathcal{K}_3 \rangle_\alpha^2 - \langle \mathcal{K}_0 \rangle_\alpha^2 = \langle \mathcal{K}_1 \rangle_\alpha^2 + \langle \mathcal{K}_2 \rangle_\alpha^2, \quad \text{or} \quad K_3^2 - K_0^2 = K_1^2 + K_2^2, \quad (46)$$

where for the last equality we have used equations (20) and (21). Equations (44), (45) and (46) lead to

$$K_3 = \pm |K_0| \cosh(2\chi) \cosh(2\psi). \quad (47)$$

However, because of equation (21), $K_3 \geq 0$, thus

$$K_3 = +|K_0| \cosh(2\chi) \cosh(2\psi). \quad (48)$$

Substituting this equation into equation (45) we have

$$K_2 = \pm |K_0| \cosh(2\chi) \sinh(2\psi). \quad (49)$$

Equations (44), (48) and (49) are the Stokes-like parameters written in terms of χ and ψ . We have plotted the vector function

$$H_p = (\pm |K_0| \sinh(2\chi), \pm |K_0| \sinh(2\chi) \cosh(2\psi), \\ + |K_0| \cosh(2\chi) \cosh(2\psi)) \quad (50)$$

with the different setting of signs: a) (+,+,+), b) (+,-,+), c) (-,+,+) and d) (-,-,+). It must be emphasized that for each of these setting, the polarization surface generated for the Stokes-like parameters results to be as that shown in Fig. 1, been $|K_0|$ the distance from the origin of coordinates to the minimum of the hyperboloid sheet. Hence, because of the plus sign in equation (48), the Stokes-like parameters generate a




Figure 1: It shows how the Poincaré hyperboloid changes as a function of $|K_0|$. The greater one is for $|K_0| = 1$ and the little one is for $|K_0| = 0.3$.

one-sheet (Poincaré hyperboloid) of a two-sheet hyperboloid, instead an sphere. Fig. 1 shows how the Poincaré hyperboloid varies as a function of $|K_0|$.

Now, we are interested in investigating the regions on the Poincaré hyperboloid in which occurs the different polarizations: I) linear polarization *LP*, II) circular polarization *CP* and III) elliptical polarization *EP*.

I) For linear polarization *LP*, $\delta_{21} = m\pi$, $m = 0, \pm 2, \pm 4, \dots$ [20, 21], equations (20) and (21) imply that $K_1 = 0$ and $K_2 =$ to a real quantity. Also, for $\delta_{21} = m\pi$, $m = \pm 1, \pm 3, \pm 5, \dots$ a linear polarization holds. For this case, $K_1 = 0$ and $K_2 =$ to a real quantity. Geometrically speaking, the straight line with $K_1 = 0$ is equal to the K_2 -axis. Therefore, the projection of the K_2 -axis on the one-sheet hyperboloid represents the curve where *LP* occurs, as it is shown in Fig. 2.

II) The circular polarization *CP*, occurs when $\delta_{21} = \pm \frac{\pi}{2} + 2m\pi$, (\pm represents right (left) circular polarization, respectively), $m = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ and $|\alpha_{01}| = |\alpha_{02}|$. In order to have a graphical interpretation to this case, we consider a limit process $|\alpha_{01}| \rightarrow |\alpha_{02}|$ and $|\alpha_{01}| \neq |\alpha_{02}|$. Under this assumption: a) the minimum of the Poincaré hyperboloid approaches to the origin of coordinates, as shown in Fig. 1, and b) with $\delta_{21} = \pm \frac{\pi}{2} + 2m\pi$, equations (10), (11), (20) and (21) implies that $K_1 = \pm |\alpha_{01}| |\alpha_{02}|$ and $K_2 = 0$. In fact, for right circular polarization, $\delta = \frac{\pi}{2} + 2m\pi$, $K_1 > 0$ and $K_2 = 0$, and for left circular polarization, $\delta = -\frac{\pi}{2} + 2m\pi$, $K_1 < 0$ and $K_2 = 0$. Thus, circular polarization is characterized by $K_2 = 0$. In this case, the straight line $K_2 = 0$ coincides with the K_1 -axis. Hence, as it is shown in Fig. 2, the projection of the K_1 -axis on the one-sheet hyperboloid represents the points where *CP* occurs.

III) It is well known that right elliptical polarization *REP* holds for $0 < \delta_{21} < \pi$,

and left elliptical polarization *LEP* holds for $\pi < \delta_{21} < 2\pi$ [20, 21]. Since for *REP*, $\sin \delta_{21} > 0$, from equations (20) and (21) we deduce that $K_1 > 0$ whereas K_2 can takes positive and negative values. Similarly, for *LEP*, $K_1 < 0$ and K_2 can takes both positive and negative values. In Fig. 2, if we look at the $K_1 - K_2$ plane as the one-sheet hyperboloid domain, then, the *REP* region is that on the hyperboloid which is on the half-plane for $K_1 > 0$. Similarly, the hyperboloid surface on the half-plane for $K_1 < 0$, corresponds to the region where *LEP* occurs.




Figure 2: One-sheet Poincaré hyperboloid. It is plotted the surface generated by the vector function $H_p = (|K_0| \sinh(2\chi), |K_0| \sinh(2\chi) \cosh(2\psi), |K_0| \cosh(2\chi) \cosh(2\psi))$. We have set $K_0 = \frac{3}{2}$, $-0.4 \leq 2\chi \leq 0.4$ and $-0.4 \leq 2\psi \leq 0.4$. The curve *LP* represents the points where linear polarization occurs, and in each point on the curve *CP* there exists a circular polarization. Each point on the region *REP* represents a right elliptical polarization, and the points on the region *LEP* are those on which left elliptical polarization holds.

6 Concluding Remarks

We have given an alternative definition of the Stokes parameters (Stokes-like parameters) and showed that the polarization ellipse can be written in terms of these parameters. Based on the procedure to map the standard Stokes parameters to the Poincaré sphere [21], we achieved to map our Stokes-like parameters to a one-sheet (Poincaré hyperboloid) of a two-sheet hyperboloid. We note that in the equations (20) and (21), the definitions of K_1 and K_2 can be interchanged without any essential change in the results.

The standard Stokes parameters have been deduced from the quantum regime from the $su(2)$ Lie algebra, whereas the so-called generalized Stokes parameters have been deduced from the Kemmer algebra and the $su(3)$ Lie algebra. In each of these algebras, all the corresponding Lie algebra generators commute with the Hamiltonian of the two- or three-dimensional harmonic oscillator (the algebra is called a symmetry

algebra). The existence of a symmetry algebra is the responsible that at the classical limit the phase difference emerge naturally in the standard or in the generalized Stokes parameters [12, 14]. This result (although it was not in the modern language) between observables (symmetry algebra) and the standard Stokes parameters was discovered many years ago by Wolf [6]. However, in the present work we have used the $su(1, 1)$ Lie algebra, being the Hamiltonian of the two-dimensional harmonic oscillator one of its three generators. This feature has as consequence that at the classical limit the $su(1, 1)$ algebra generators (Equations (10) and (11)) do not depend on the phase difference. Thus, the use of the non-compact Lie algebra is the reason why in our definition of the Stokes-like parameters we have attached them a phase difference. However, in spite of this, the results reported in the present paper formally arise in a natural way and are the fundamental ones to be described by Stokes parameters.

Finally, we emphasize that the results of the present paper are under the assumption that the direction of arrival of the electromagnetic wave is known a priori. When the direction of arrival of the electromagnetic wave is unknown a priori, a generalization of our results could related with the generators of the $so(3, 1)$ Lie algebra. Applications of our definition to describe the polarization process in particular optical systems is a work in progress.

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by SNI-México, COFAA-IPN, EDI-IPN, EDD-IPN and CGPI-IPN Project Numbers 20161727 and 20160108.

References

- [1] G. G. Stokes, On the composition and resolution of streams of polarized light from different sources, Trans. Cambridge Philos., **9**, 399 (1852).
- [2] W. A. Shurcliff and S. S. Ballard, Polarized Light, Van Nostrand Company, Princeton, N.J., 1964.
- [3] W. H. McMaster, Polarization and the Stokes Parameters, Am. J. Phys. **22**, 351(1954).
- [4] W. H. McMaster, Matrix Representation of Polarization, Rev. Mod. Phys. **33**, 8(1961).
- [5] E. Collett, The Description of Polarization in Classical Physics, Am. J. Phys. **36**, 713(1968).
- [6] E. Wolf, Optics in Terms of Observable Quantities, Nuovo Cimento **12**, 884(1954).
- [7] E. Wolf, Coherence Properties of partially Polarized Electromagnetic Radiation, Nuovo Cimento, **13**, 1165(1959).
- [8] S. Blaskal and Y. S. Kim, Symmetries of the Poincaré Sphere and Decoherence Matrices, arXiv:quant-ph/0501050.
- [9] P. Roman, Generalized Stokes Parameters for Waves with Arbitrary Form, Nuovo Cimento **13**, 974(1959).

- [10] G. Ramachandran, M. V. N. Murthy and K. S. Malleesh, SU(3) Representation for the Polarisation of Light, *Pramana* **15**, 357(1980).
- [11] T. Carozzi, R. Karlsson and J. Bergman, Parameters Characterizing Electromagnetic Wave Polarization, *Phys. Rev. E* **65**, 2024(2000).
- [12] R. D. Mota, M. A. Xicoténcatl and V. D. Granados, Jordan-Schwinger map, 3D Harmonic Oscillator Constants of Motion, and Classical and Quantum Parameters Characterizing Electromagnetic Wave Polarization, *J. Phys A: Math.and Gen.* **37**, 2835(2004).
- [13] J. M. Jauch and F. Rohrlich, *The Theory of Photons and Electrons*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
- [14] R. D. Mota, M. A. Xicoténcatl and V. D. Granados, 2D Isotropic Harmonic Oscillator Approach to the Classical and Quantum Stokes Parameters, *Can. J. Phys.* **82**, 767(2004).
- [15] A. F. Abouraddy, A. V. Sergienko, B. E. A. Saleh and M. C. Teich, Quantum Entanglement and the Two-Photon Parameters, *Opt. Commun.* **201**, 93(2002).
- [16] G. Jaeger, M. Teodorescu-Frumosu, A. B. Sergienko, B. E. A. Saleh and M. C. Teich, Multiphoton Stokes-Parameter Invariant for Entangled States, *Phys. Rev. A* **67**, 032307–(2003).
- [17] L. C. Biedenharn and J. D.Louck, *Angular Momentum in Quantum Physics*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Massachusetts, 1981.
- [18] C.Cohen-Tannoudji, B.Diu and F. Lalöe, *Quantum Mechanics*, John Wiley & Sons, Paris, 1977.
- [19] R.Guenther, *Modern Optics*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1990.
- [20] E.Hecht, *Optics*, Addison Wesley, San Francisco, 2002.
- [21] M. Born and E. Wolf, *Principles of Optics*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1975.