

# On the Concavity of Auxiliary Function in Classical-Quantum Channels

Hao-Chung Cheng

National Taiwan University, Taiwan (R.O.C.) &

University of Technology Sydney, Australia

Email: [F99942118@ntu.edu.tw](mailto:F99942118@ntu.edu.tw)

Min-Hsiu Hsieh

University of Technology Sydney, Australia

Email: [Min-Hsiu.Hsieh@uts.edu.au](mailto:Min-Hsiu.Hsieh@uts.edu.au)

## Abstract

The auxiliary function of a classical channel appears in two fundamental quantities that upper and lower bound the error probability, respectively. A crucial property of the auxiliary function is its concavity, which leads to several important results in finite block length analysis. In this paper, we prove that the auxiliary function of a classical-quantum channel also enjoys the same concave property, extending an earlier partial result to its full generality. The key component in our proof is a beautiful result of geometric means of operators.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Denote by  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  the set of probability distributions on a finite set  $\mathcal{X} = \{1, 2, \dots, |\mathcal{X}|\}$ . For any fixed  $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  and  $s \geq 0$ , the *auxiliary function*  $E_0(s, P)$  of a classical communication channel  $Q(y|x)$  with the output set  $\mathcal{Y} = \{1, 2, \dots, |\mathcal{Y}|\}$  is defined as

$$E_0(s, P) \triangleq -\log \left[ \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \left( \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P(x) Q(y|x)^{\frac{1}{1+s}} \right)^{1+s} \right]. \quad (1)$$

This function appears in two fundamental quantities in classical information theory: for any  $R \geq 0$ ,

$$E_r(R) \triangleq \max_{0 \leq s \leq 1} \left\{ \max_{P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} E_0(s, P) - sR \right\}, \quad (2)$$

and

$$E_{sp}(R) \triangleq \sup_{s \geq 0} \left\{ \max_{P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} E_0(s, P) - sR \right\}, \quad (3)$$

where  $E_r(R)$  is called the *random coding exponent* and  $E_{sp}(R)$  is called the *sphere-packing exponent* of the classical channel  $Q$ . These two quantities are critical since, for any block length  $n$  and any rate  $R \geq 0$ , the error probability  $P_e(n, R)$ , minimized over all possible coding strategies, satisfies [1]

$$2^{-nE_{sp}(R)} \leq P_e(n, R) \leq 2^{-nE_r(R)}. \quad (4)$$

Consequently, properties of the auxiliary function  $E_0(s, P)$  reveal important functional behaviour of the two exponents, and lead to a deeper understanding of the error probability of a given classical channel

Q. It is well-known (and easy to show) [1]:  $\forall s \geq 0$ ,

$$E_0(s, P) \geq 0; \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{\partial E_0(s, P)}{\partial s} > 0; \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 E_0(s, P)}{\partial s^2} \leq 0. \quad (7)$$

It turns out that  $E_0(s, P)$  is concave in  $s \geq 0$ . In addition to other important contributions in finite block length analysis, this fact also provides an alternative proof to Shannon's noiseless channel coding theorem [2].

In recent years, much attention has been paid to understanding the error probability of a quantum channel. In this scenario, it suffices to consider a *classical-quantum channel*, which is a mapping  $W : x \in \mathcal{X} \mapsto W_x \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$  from the finite set  $\mathcal{X}$  to  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ , i.e., the set of density operators (positive semi-definite operators with unit trace) on a fixed Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Given a classical-quantum channel  $W$  and a distribution  $P$  on the input  $\mathcal{X}$ , we can similarly define the *auxiliary function*  $E_0(s, P)$ <sup>1</sup> [3, 4]:  $\forall s \geq 0$ ,

$$E_0(s, P) \triangleq -\log \text{Tr} \left[ \left( \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} P(x) \cdot W_x^{\frac{1}{1+s}} \right)^{1+s} \right]. \quad (8)$$

This quantity is a quantum generalization of Eq. (1), and recovers Eq. (1) when all  $\{W_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{X}}$  commute.

The auxiliary function  $E_0(s, P)$  in Eq. (8) also appears in the random coding exponent  $E_r(R)$  and the sphere-packing exponent  $E_{\text{sp}}(R)$  of a classical-quantum channel  $W$ , which can be similarly defined as that in Eqs. (2) and (3), respectively. However, the relations between these two exponents and the error probability of the underlining classical-quantum channel  $W$  are much harder to obtain. The random coding exponent  $E_r(R)$  is shown to be an upper bound to the error probability of a classical-quantum channel  $W$  when every  $W_x$  is pure (i.e. the density operator  $W_x$  is a rank-one matrix) in Ref. [3], and it is conjectured to hold for general quantum states. Furthermore, the sphere-packing bound that lower bounds the error probability of  $W$  was recently proved in Ref. [5]<sup>2</sup>. These results are highly nontrivial due to the non-commutative nature of the density operators involved in their definitions. Many important questions in quantum information theory are still left open. Notably, it is still unknown whether the auxiliary function  $E_0(s, P)$  in Eq. (8) is concave for all  $s \geq 0$ . This might be one reason that the error probability of any finite block length  $n$  is less understood in the quantum regime. Note that  $E_0(s, P)$  has been shown to be concave in  $0 \leq s \leq 1$  in Ref. [6]. Its proof relies on an *ad-hoc* operator inequality in order to show that the second-order derivative of  $E_0(s, P)$  is non-positive for  $s \in [0, 1]$ . However, this method seems impossible to work for all  $s \geq 0$ .

In this paper, we are able to prove that  $E_0(s, P)$  of a classical-quantum channel  $W$  is concave for all  $s \geq 0$ . Our proof culminates the latest development of operator algebra; in particular, the beautiful theory of a general geometric mean of operators [7]. Our proof can be viewed as a direct generalization of its classical proof in Ref. [1, Theorem 5.6.3].

The paper is organized as follows. Sec II presents the main technical tool, i.e., the “ $s$ -weighted geometric mean”. The main result is presented in Sec III, and our conclusion is given in Sec IV.

## II. TECHNICAL TOOLS

Denote by  $\mathbb{M}_d^+$  and  $\mathbb{M}_d^{++}$  the set of positive semi-definite matrices and positive definite matrices, respectively. For two  $d \times d$  Hermitian matrices  $A$  and  $B$ , we denote by  $A \succeq B$  if  $A - B \in \mathbb{M}_d^+$ . Let

<sup>1</sup>Here, we slightly abuse the notation since it should be clear from the context the underlining channel is quantum or classical.

<sup>2</sup>However, this bound only works in the asymptotic regime  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , unlike the classical case in Eq. (4) that holds for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $R \geq 0$ .

$A, B \in \mathbb{M}_d^{++}$ . Then the “ $s$ -weighted geometric mean” of  $A$  and  $B$  is defined as

$$A \#_s B \triangleq A^{1/2} (A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2})^s A^{1/2}. \quad (9)$$

The geometric mean enjoys following properties [7–9] (see also [10, Chapter 6] and [11, Section 4]).

**Proposition 1** (Properties of Geometric Means). *Let  $A, B, C, D \in \mathbb{M}_d^{++}$  and  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then*

- (a) Commutativity:  $A \#_s B = A^{1-s} B^s$  for  $AB = BA$ ;
- (b) Joint homogeneity:  $(aA) \#_s (bB) = a^{1-s} b^s (A \#_s B)$  for  $a, b > 0$ ;
- (c) Monotonicity:  $A \#_s B \preceq C \#_s D$  for  $A \preceq C$ ,  $B \preceq D$  and  $s \in [0, 1]$ ;
- (d) Congruence invariance: For every non-singular matrix  $M$ ,  $M(A \#_s B)M^\dagger = (MAM^\dagger) \#_s (MBM^\dagger)$ ;
- (e) Self-duality:  $A \#_s B = B \#_{1-s} A$ , and  $(A \#_s B)^{-1} = A^{-1} \#_s B^{-1}$ ;
- (f) Concavity:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\lambda A + (1 - \lambda)B) \#_s (\lambda C + (1 - \lambda)D) \\ & \succeq \lambda (A \#_s C) + (1 - \lambda) (B \#_s D) \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

for all  $\lambda, s \in [0, 1]$ ;

- (g) HM-GM-AM inequality:  $((1 - s)A^{-1} + sB^{-1})^{-1} \preceq A \#_s B \preceq (1 - s)A + sB$  for  $s \in [0, 1]$ .
- (h) Continuity:  $A \#_s B$  is continuous in  $A$  and  $B$ .

Let  $x \triangleq (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  be a  $d$ -dimensional vector. Denote by  $x^\downarrow \triangleq (x_1^\downarrow, \dots, x_d^\downarrow)$  the decreasing arrangement of  $x$ , i.e.  $x_1^\downarrow \geq \dots \geq x_d^\downarrow$ . We say that  $x$  is weak majorized by  $y$ , denoted by  $x \prec_w y$ , if

$$\sum_{j=1}^k x_j^\downarrow \leq \sum_{j=1}^k y_j^\downarrow, \quad 1 \leq k \leq d. \quad (11)$$

The weak log-majorization is  $x \prec_{\log} y$  is defined when  $\log x \prec_{\log} \log y$ . It is well-known that  $\log x \prec_{\log} \log y$  implies  $x \prec_w y$  [12, Example II.3.5]. For two Hermitian matrices  $A$  and  $B$ , if  $\lambda(A) \prec_w \lambda(B)$ , then  $\|A\| \leq \|B\|$  for any unitarily-invariant norm  $\|\cdot\|$  [13, Theorem 6.18].

In the following, we collect a few lemmas that will be used in the main proof.

**Lemma 2** (Matharu & Aujla [14, Theorem 2.10]). *For any  $A, B \in \mathbb{M}_d^{++}$ , and  $0 \leq s \leq 1$ . Then*

$$\lambda(A \#_s B) \prec_{\log} \lambda(A^{1-s} B^s). \quad (12)$$

**Lemma 3** ([12, Theorem IX.2.10]). *Let  $A, B \in \mathbb{M}_d^+$ . Then for every unitarily-invariant norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , we have*

$$\|B^t A^t B^t\| \leq \|(BAB)^t\|, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 1, \quad (13)$$

$$\|B^t A^t B^t\| \geq \|(BAB)^t\|, \quad \text{for } t \geq 1. \quad (14)$$

$$(15)$$

**Lemma 4** ([12, Example II.3.5]). *Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$  (the set of  $d$ -dimensional vectors of non-negative real numbers). Then*

$$x \prec_w y \text{ implies } x^t \prec_w y^t \quad (16)$$

for all  $t \geq 1$ .

**Lemma 5** (See, e.g. [15, Section 2.2]). *Let  $f$  be a convex function on real lines. Then  $A \preceq B$  implies*

$$\text{Tr}[f(A)] \leq \text{Tr}[f(B)]. \quad (17)$$

**Lemma 6** (Matrix Hölder's Inequality [12, Corollary IV.2.6]). *Let  $A, B \in \mathbb{M}_d^+$ . Then*

$$\mathrm{Tr} [AB] \leq \left( \mathrm{Tr} \left[ A^{\frac{1}{\theta}} \right] \right)^{\theta} \left( \mathrm{Tr} \left[ B^{\frac{1}{1-\theta}} \right] \right)^{1-\theta} \quad (18)$$

for all  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ .

### III. MAIN RESULT

We first recall a few notations. Let  $\mathcal{X} = \{1, 2, \dots, |\mathcal{X}|\}$  be a finite alphabet. Denote by  $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$  the set of probability distributions on  $\mathcal{X}$ . Fix a (separable) Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . The set of density operators (i.e. positive semi-definite operators with unit trace) on  $\mathcal{H}$  is defined as  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$ . Denote the set of all classical-quantum (c-q) channels  $W$  from  $\mathcal{X}$  to  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{H})$  by  $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{X})$ .

**Theorem 7.** *Given a classical-quantum channel  $W \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{X})$  and a distribution  $P \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ , the auxiliary function  $E_0(s, P)$  is concave in  $s \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* We first present the proof that only works when all  $\{W_x\}_{x \in \mathcal{X}}$  are full rank. The proof can then be relaxed to include the non-invertible case.

Let  $X$  be a random variable with distribution  $P$ , and denote by  $\mathbb{E}$  the expectation with respect to  $P$ . Then it suffices to prove the convexity of the map:

$$f : t \mapsto \log \mathrm{Tr} \left[ \left( \mathbb{E} W_X^{\frac{1}{t}} \right)^t \right] \quad (19)$$

for all  $t \geq 1$ .

Before starting the proof, we first prepare the following lemma that is crucial in our derivations.

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $A, B \in \mathbb{M}_d^{++}$ . Then, for every  $t \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ , we have*

$$\mathrm{Tr} [(A \#_{\lambda} B)^t] \leq \mathrm{Tr} [A^{t(1-\lambda)} B^{t\lambda}]. \quad (20)$$

*Proof.* From Lemma 2, we have

$$\mathrm{Tr} [A \#_{\lambda} B] \leq \mathrm{Tr} \left[ A^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}} B^{\lambda} A^{\frac{1-\lambda}{2}} \right] \quad (21)$$

$$\leq \mathrm{Tr} \left[ \left( A^{\frac{t(1-\lambda)}{2}} B^{t\lambda} A^{\frac{t(1-\lambda)}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \right], \quad (22)$$

where the last inequality follows from Lemma 3. Next, applying Lemma 4 on the above inequality yields

$$\mathrm{Tr} [(A \#_{\lambda} B)^t] \leq \mathrm{Tr} \left[ \left( \left( A^{\frac{t(1-\lambda)}{2}} B^{t\lambda} A^{\frac{t(1-\lambda)}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{t}} \right)^t \right], \quad (23)$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

We now begin the proof of Theorem 7. These steps follow closely with those in Ref. [1, Theorem 5.6.3]. Let  $l, r$ , and  $\theta$  be arbitrary numbers  $1 \leq l \leq r$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ , and define

$$t = \theta l + (1 - \theta)r. \quad (24)$$

Let  $t \equiv 1 + s \geq 1$ . Then we prove the convexity of the map  $f$ , i.e.

$$f(t) \leq \theta f(l) + (1 - \theta)f(r). \quad (25)$$

Define the number  $\lambda$  by

$$\lambda = \frac{l\theta}{t}; \quad 1 - \lambda = \frac{r(1 - \theta)}{t}. \quad (26)$$

Then it follows that

$$\frac{1}{t} = \frac{\theta}{t} + \frac{1-\theta}{t} = \frac{\lambda}{l} + \frac{1-\lambda}{r}. \quad (27)$$

The convexity of the geometric means (see item (f) in Proposition 1) implies that

$$\mathbb{E} [W^{1/t}] = \mathbb{E} [W^{\lambda/l} W^{(1-\lambda)/r}] \quad (28)$$

$$= \mathbb{E} [W^{1/l} \#_{1-\lambda} W^{1/r}] \quad (29)$$

$$\preceq \mathbb{E} [W^{1/l}] \#_{1-\lambda} \mathbb{E} [W^{1/r}]. \quad (30)$$

Now let  $A \equiv \mathbb{E} [W^{1/l}]$  and  $B \equiv \mathbb{E} [W^{1/r}]$ . Since  $x \mapsto x^t$  for  $t \geq 1$  is a convex function, Lemma 5 leads to

$$\text{Tr} \left[ (\mathbb{E} [W^{1/t}])^t \right] \leq \text{Tr} [(A \#_{1-\lambda} B)^t] \quad (31)$$

$$\leq \text{Tr} [A^{t\lambda} B^{t(1-\lambda)}] \quad (32)$$

$$= \text{Tr} [A^{l\theta} B^{r(1-\theta)}], \quad (33)$$

where Eq. (32) follows from Eq. (8). Finally, applying matrix Hölder's inequality, Lemma 6, on the right-hand side of Eq. (33), we have

$$\text{Tr} \left[ (\mathbb{E} [W^{1/t}])^t \right] \leq (\text{Tr} [A^l])^\theta (\text{Tr} [B^r])^{1-\theta} \quad (34)$$

$$= \left( \text{Tr} \left( \mathbb{E} [W^{1/l}]^l \right) \right)^\theta \left( \text{Tr} \left( \mathbb{E} [W^{1/r}]^r \right) \right)^{1-\theta}. \quad (35)$$

Taking logarithm on the above inequality arrives at  $f(t) \leq \theta f(l) + (1-\theta)f(r)$ . This completes the proof for the special case of invertible channel outputs.

The above proof assumes that every realization of the density operator  $W_x$  is positive definite. Hence, each density operator  $W_x^{\lambda/l} W_x^{(1-\lambda)/r}$  can be expressed as a geometric mean  $W_x^{1/l} \#_s W_x^{1/r}$ . However, if  $W_x$  is not invertible for some  $x \in \mathcal{X}$ , then consider a sequence of positive definite operators  $W_{x,\epsilon} \triangleq W_x + \epsilon I$  that approximate  $W_x$ , i.e.,  $\lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} W_{x,\epsilon} = W_x$ . The geometric mean of  $W_x^{1/l}$  and  $W_x^{1/r}$  is defined as

$$W_x^{1/l} \#_s W_x^{1/r} \triangleq \lim_{\epsilon \searrow 0} W_{x,\epsilon}^{1/l} \#_s W_{x,\epsilon}^{1/r}, \quad (36)$$

by the continuity of the geometric means (see item (h) in Proposition 1). Note that the concavity of the geometric means, and Lemmas 2 and 8 still hold if we use the definition in Eq. (36). We can thus obtain a complete proof.  $\square$

#### IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we proved an open question that was originally raised in [4]. A partial result to this question was obtained in [6]; however, we can extend the concavity of the auxiliary function  $E_0(s, P)$  for all  $s \geq 0$ . Consequently, the definition of auxiliary function (8) of a classical-quantum channel exactly recovers its classical counterpart [1], a quantity that plays a crucial role in classical information theory. We hope that this concave property will also allow us to better characterize the error probability of a classical-quantum channel in the finite regime.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

MH is supported by an ARC Future Fellowship under Grant FT140100574.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. Gallager, *Information Theory and Reliable Communication*. Wiley, 1968, ISBN: 978-0-471-29048-3.
- [2] C. E. Shannon, “A mathematical theory of communication,” *The Bell System Technical Journal*, vol. 27, pp. 379–423, 623656–, 1948.
- [3] M. V. Burnashev and A. S. Holevo, “On the reliability function for a quantum communication channel,” *Problems of information transmission*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 97–107, 1998. arXiv:[quant-ph/9703013](#).
- [4] A. Holevo, “Reliability function of general classical-quantum channel,” *IEEE Transaction on Information Theory*, vol. 46, no. 6, pp. 2256–2261, 2000. DOI: [10.1109/18.868501](#).
- [5] M. Dalai, “Lower bounds on the probability of error for classical and classical-quantum channels,” *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 59, no. 12, pp. 8027–8056, 2013. DOI: [10.1109/tit.2013.2283794](#). arXiv:[1208.1924 \[cs.IT\]](#).
- [6] J. I. Fujii, R. Nakamoto, and K. Yanagi, “Concavity of the auxiliary function appearing in quantum reliability function,” *IEEE Transaction on Information Theory*, vol. 52, no. 7, pp. 3310–3313, 2006. DOI: [10.1109/tit.2006.876248](#).
- [7] F. Kubo and T. Ando, “Means of positive linear operators,” *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 246, no. 3, pp. 205–224, 1980. DOI: [10.1007/bf01371042](#).
- [8] G. Corach, H. Porta, and L. Recht, “Convexity of the geodesic distance on spaces of positive operators,” *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 38, pp. 87–94, 1994.
- [9] J. Lawson and Y. Lim, “Metric convexity of symmetric cones,” *Osaka Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 795–816, 2007. [Online]. Available: <http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.ojm/1199719405>.
- [10] R. Bhatia, *Positive Definite Matrices*. Princeton University Press, 2009. DOI: [10.1515/9781400827787](#).
- [11] F. Hiai, “Log-majorizations and norm inequalities for exponential operators,” *Banach Center Publications*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 119–181, 1997.
- [12] R. Bhatia, *Matrix Analysis*. Springer New York, 1997, ISBN: 978-0387948461.
- [13] E. Hamza and A. Joye, “Spectral transition for random quantum walks on trees,” *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, vol. 326, no. 2, pp. 415–439, 2014. DOI: [10.1007/s00220-014-1882-7](#).
- [14] J. S. Matharu and J. S. Aujla, “Some inequalities for unitarily invariant norm,” *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, vol. 436, pp. 1623–1631, 2012. DOI: [10.1016/j.laa.2010.08.013](#).
- [15] E. Carlen, “Trace inequalities and quantum entropy: an introductory course,” in *Contemporary Mathematics*, vol. 529, American Mathematical Society, 2010, pp. 73–140. DOI: [10.1090/conm/529/10428](#).