ENDOSCOPIC LIFTING OF SIMPLE SUPERCUSPIDAL REPRESENTATIONS OF $\text{SO}_{2n+1}$ TO $\text{GL}_{2n}$

MASAO OI

Abstract. We compute the characters of simple supercuspidal representations of the twisted $\text{GL}_{2n}(F)$ and $\text{SO}_{2n+1}(F)$ for a $p$-adic field $F$. Comparing them by the endoscopic character relation, we determine the liftings of simple supercuspidal representations of $\text{SO}_{2n+1}(F)$ to $\text{GL}_{2n}(F)$, under the assumption that $p$ is not equal to 2.

1. Introduction

Let $G$ be a connected reductive group over a $p$-adic field $F$, and $G := G(F)$ its $F$-rational points. Let $\Pi(G)$ be the set of equivalence classes of irreducible smooth representations of $G$, and $\Phi(G)$ the set of $G$-conjugacy classes of $L$-parameters of $G$. Here $\hat{G}$ is the Langlands dual group of $G$ and an $L$-parameter of $G$ is a homomorphism from the Weil-Deligne group $W_F \times \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ to the $L$-group $L^G = \hat{G} \times W_F$ of $G$. Then the conjectural local Langlands correspondence predicts that there exists a natural map from $\Pi(G)$ to $\Phi(G)$ with finite fibers (L-packets).

For $G = \text{GL}_n$, it was established by Harris–Taylor [HT01] and Henniart [Hen00]. In this case, the map from $\Pi(G)$ to $\Phi(G)$ is bijective.

For quasi-split symplectic and orthogonal groups, the correspondence was established recently by Arthur in his book [Art13], under the assumption of the stabilization of twisted trace formulas for general linear groups and even orthogonal groups. He characterized the $L$-packets for $L$-parameters of those groups via the endoscopic character relation, and constructed them in consequence of the comparison of stable trace formulas.

We explain the endoscopic character relation. For simplicity, we consider the special orthogonal group $\text{SO}_{2n+1}$, which is a main object in this paper. This group is a twisted endoscopic group for $\text{GL}_{2n}$, and there exists a natural $L$-embedding

$$\iota: LSO_{2n+1} = \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) \times W_F \hookrightarrow \text{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) \times W_F = L\text{GL}_{2n}.$$  

Hence we can regard an $L$-parameter $\phi'$ of $\text{SO}_{2n+1}(F)$ as an $L$-parameter $\phi$ of $\text{GL}_{2n}(F)$ by composing it with $\iota$. By using the local Langlands correspondence for $\text{GL}_{2n}(F)$, we can get the representation $\pi$ of $\text{GL}_{2n}(F)$ corresponding to $\phi$.

\[\Pi(\text{GL}_{2n}(F)) \ni \pi \quad \text{LLC for } \text{GL}_{2n}(F) \quad W_F \times \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_{2n} \]

\[\Pi(\text{SO}_{2n+1}(F)) \ni \Pi_{\phi'} \quad \text{LLC for } \text{SO}_{2n+1}(F) \quad L\text{SO}_{2n+1} \]
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In this situation, \( \pi \) is called the \textit{lifting} of the \( \mathcal{L} \)-packet \( \Pi_{\phi'} \) of \( \phi' \). When the \( \mathcal{L} \)-
parameter \( \phi' \) is tempered, \( \pi \) and \( \Pi_{\phi'} \) satisfy the endoscopic character relation, which is an equality between the characters of representations in \( \Pi_{\phi'} \) and the twisted character of \( \pi \), and the correspondence \( \pi \leftrightarrow \Pi_{\phi'} \) is characterized by this relation.

Therefore the local Langlands correspondence for \( SO_{2n+1}(F) \) is reduced to determining the liftings of representations of \( SO_{2n+1}(F) \) to \( GL_{2n}(F) \), and it is important to compute the characters of representations for this.

In this paper, we consider this problem for so-called \textit{simple supercuspidal representations} of \( SO_{2n+1}(F) \). These representations are supercuspidal representations which were introduced in \cite{GR10} and \cite{RY14}, and have been studied in the context of finding an explicit description of the local Langlands correspondence.

To state our main result, we explain some notations. From now on, we assume that the residual characteristic of \( F \) is not equal to 2. Roughly speaking, simple supercuspidal representations are obtained by the compact induction of \textit{affine generic} characters, and we can parametrize equivalence classes of such characters explicitly (see Section 2 for the details). Then, for \( SO_{2n+1}(F) \), equivalence classes of simple supercuspidal representations of \( SO_{2n+1}(F) \) are parametrized by the finite set \( k^\times \times \{ \pm 1 \} \), where \( k^\times \) is the multiplicative group of the residue field of \( F \). In a similar way to \( SO_{2n+1}(F) \), equivalence classes of self-dual simple supercuspidal representations of \( GL_{2n}(F) \) with trivial central character are parametrized by \( k^\times \times \{ \pm 1 \} \).

Under these parametrization, for \( (a, \zeta) \in k^\times \times \{ \pm 1 \} \) (resp. \( (b, \xi) \in k^\times \times \{ \pm 1 \} \)), we denote by \( \pi_{a,\zeta} \) (resp. \( \pi_{b,\xi}' \)) the corresponding simple supercuspidal representations of \( GL_{2n}(F) \) (resp. \( SO_{2n+1}(F) \)). Then our main theorem on the liftings of simple supercuspidal representations of \( SO_{2n+1}(F) \) to \( GL_{2n}(F) \) is stated as follows:

**Theorem 1.1** (Theorem 6.15). \textit{We assume \( p \neq 2 \). Let \( b \in k^\times \) and \( \xi \in \{ \pm 1 \} \).}

1. The \( \mathcal{L} \)-packet containing the simple supercuspidal representation \( \pi_{b,\xi}' \) of \( SO_{2n+1}(F) \) is a singleton. In particular, the character of \( \pi_{b,\xi}' \) is stable.

2. The lifting of the simple supercuspidal representation \( \pi_{b,\xi}' \) of \( SO_{2n+1}(F) \) to \( GL_{2n}(F) \) is again simple supercuspidal, and given by \( \pi_{2b,\xi} \).

We remark that the \( \mathcal{L} \)-parameters of simple supercuspidal representations of general linear groups have been described explicitly by the works of \cite{BH05} and \cite{ITT15}. From Theorem 1.1 we know that the \( \mathcal{L} \)-parameter of \( \pi_{b,\xi}' \) is equal to that of \( \pi_{2b,\xi} \). Therefore we get an explicit description of the \( \mathcal{L} \)-parameters of simple supercuspidal representations of \( SO_{2n+1}(F) \).

We explain the outline of our proof. To prove Theorem 1.1, we consider the converse direction. That is, we descend simple supercuspidal representations of \( GL_{2n}(F) \) instead of lifting simple supercuspidal representations of \( SO_{2n+1}(F) \). We first take the self-dual simple supercuspidal representation \( \pi_{2b,\xi} \) of \( GL_{2n}(F) \) for \( b \in k^\times \) and \( \xi \in \{ \pm 1 \} \), and show that the \( \mathcal{L} \)-parameter \( \phi \) of \( \pi_{2b,\xi} \) factors through an \( \mathcal{L} \)-parameter \( \phi' \) of \( SO_{2n+1}(F) \), and that its \( \mathcal{L} \)-packet \( \Pi_{\phi'} \) is a singleton. Then we show that the unique representation in \( \Pi_{\phi'} \) is \( \pi_{b,\xi}' \).

The first step follows from general results. Since the representation \( \pi_{2b,\xi} \) is self-dual, the \( \mathcal{L} \)-parameter \( \phi \) of \( \pi_{2b,\xi} \) is also self-dual by a property of the local Langlands correspondence for \( GL_{2n}(F) \). Hence the image of \( \phi \) in \( GL_{2n} = GL_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) \) is contained in either \( O_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) \) or \( Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) \). By a result in \cite{Mic16}, the image is in \( Sp_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) = SO_{2n+1} \), hence \( \phi \) factors through an \( \mathcal{L} \)-parameter \( \phi' \) of \( SO_{2n+1}(F) \).
Then, by the local classification theorem in [Art13] and the theorem for parametrizing supercuspidal representations of $\text{SO}_{2n+1}(F)$ in [Xu17], we know that the $L$-packet for $\phi'$ is a singleton consisting of a supercuspidal representation $\pi'$.

The key point of the proof is the second step to show that this representation $\pi'$ is in fact simple supercuspidal. Our strategy is to compare characters of $\pi_{2b,\xi}$ and $\pi'$. We first compute the twisted character of the simple supercuspidal representation $\pi_{2b,\xi}$ at special elements, which are called affine generic elements. By using the twisted character formula for supercuspidal representations, we write these character values explicitly in terms of Kloosterman sums. We remark that such a computation was already done by many people in the case of the standard $\text{GL}_n$ (for example, [IT18]), but our computation in this paper is more conceptual and valid for other groups.

Then, by the endoscopic character relation, we can express the character of $\pi'$ in terms of the twisted character of $\pi_{2b,\xi}$, which is already computed. From this relation, we can show that $\pi'$ is either simple supercuspidal or depth-zero supercuspidal. To eliminate the possibility that $\pi'$ is depth-zero supercuspidal, we next compute the character of depth-zero supercuspidal representations, and compare them. Once we know that $\pi'$ is simple supercuspidal, we can show that $\pi' = \pi_{b,\xi}'$ easily by computing the characters of simple supercuspidal representations of $\text{SO}_{2n+1}(F)$ and considering the Fourier transform of Kloosterman sums, and this completes the proof.

We remark that the liftings of simple supercuspidal representations of $\text{SO}_{2n+1}(F)$ to $\text{GL}_{2n}(F)$ were determined in [Adr16] under the assumption that $p \geq (2+e)(2n+1)$, where $e$ is the ramification index of $F$ over $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Hence our results are new for odd primes less than $(2+e)(2n+1)$. In his proof, he uses the stability of the characters of simple supercuspidal representations of $\text{SO}_{2n+1}(F)$ in [Kal15], Mœglin’s result about the stability of $L$-packets in [Mœg14], and special arguments for discrete series representations of $\text{GL}_{2n}(F)$. On the other hand, in our proof, the stability is naturally deduced from Arthur’s theorem.

We also remark that our method based on the endoscopic character relation is basically valid for other classical groups. For example, a quasi-split unitary group $U_{E/F}(N)$ is a twisted endoscopic group for $\text{Res}_{E/F}(\text{GL}_N)$, where $E/F$ is a quadratic extension of $p$-adic fields. The endoscopic classification of representations for these groups has also been established in [Mok15], and we can apply the same argument for them. We proved the same type result when $E$ is unramified over $F$ in [Oh16], and the other cases are in progress now.

Finally, we explain the organization of this paper. In Section 2 we review some fundamental properties about Iwahori subgroups and simple supercuspidal representations. In addition, we introduce the notion of affine genericity for elements in Iwahori subgroups, which will play important roles in a comparison of characters. In Section 3 we compute the characters of simple supercuspidal representations of the twisted $\text{GL}_{2n}(F)$ and $\text{SO}_{2n+1}(F)$ at affine generic elements. In Section 4 we investigate the norm correspondence for $\text{GL}_{2n}$ and $\text{SO}_{2n+1}$. The norm correspondence is used to formulate the endoscopic character relation. We determine norms of some special affine generic elements and compute their transfer factors. In Section 5 we first recall the endoscopic character relation in [Art13]. Then we
determine the liftings of simple supercuspidal representations by combining the e
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Notation. Let \(p\) be an odd prime number. We fix a \(p\)-adic field \(F\). We denote its ring of integers, its maximal ideal, and its residue field by \(\mathcal{O}\), \(p\), and \(k\), respectively.
We fix a uniformizer $\varpi$ of $F$. Let $q$ be the order of $k$. For $x \in \mathcal{O}$, $\bar{x}$ denotes the image of $x$ in $k$.

For an algebraic group $G$ over $F$, we denote its $F$-rational points $G(F)$ by $G$. For an algebraic group $T$, we write $X^*(T)$ for its character group and $X_*(T)$ for its cocharacter group.

Throughout this paper, we fix an additive character $\psi$ on $F$ of level one. Then its restriction $\psi|_\mathcal{O}$ to $\mathcal{O}$ induces a nontrivial additive character on $k$. We denote it by $\psi$ again.

2. Simple supercuspidal representations

2.1. Iwahori subgroups. Let $G$ be a connected split reductive group over $F$, and $Z$ its center. Let $T$ be an $F$-split maximal torus in $G$. We denote the set of roots of $T$ in $G$ by $\Phi$, and the set of affine roots by $\Psi$. For each root $\alpha \in \Phi$, we denote by $U_\alpha$ the corresponding root subgroup of $G$. For each affine root $\alpha \in \Psi$, we denote by $U_\alpha$ the corresponding affine root subgroup of $G = G(F)$.

We fix an alcove $C$ in the apartment $\mathcal{A}(G, T) \cong X_*(T) \otimes \mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ of $T$ in $G$. This determines an affine root basis $\Pi$ of $\Psi$ and the set $\Psi^+$ of positive affine roots. We set the Iwahori subgroup associated to $C$ and its subgroups as follows:

$$I := \langle T_0, U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Psi^+ \rangle,$$
$$I^+ := \langle T_1, U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Psi^+ \rangle,$$ and
$$I^{++} := \langle T_1, U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Psi^+ \setminus \Pi \rangle,$$

where $T_0$ is the maximal compact subgroup of $T = T(F)$, and $T_1 := \{ t \in T_0 \mid \lambda(t) \in 1 + p \text{ for every } \lambda \in X^*(T) \}$. These groups are the first three steps of the Moy-Prasad filtration of the Iwahori subgroup $I$ associated to the barycenter of the alcove $C$ (see [RY14, Section 2.6]).

We define subgroups of $T$ and $Z = Z(F)$ by

$$T(q) := \{ t \in T \mid t^q = t \}$$ and
$$Z(q) := \{ t \in Z \mid t^q = t \},$$

respectivey. These are sets of representatives of $T_0/T_1$ and $(Z \cap T_0)/(Z \cap T_1)$.

Proposition 2.1 ([GR10 Lemma 9.2]). (1) The subgroup $I^+$ is normal in $I$, and we have

$$I/I^+ \cong T_0/T_1 \cong T(q).$$

(2) The subgroup $I^{++}$ is normal in $I^+$, and we have

$$I^+/I^{++} \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Pi} U_\alpha/U_{\alpha+1}.$$

We denote the image of $x$ under the map $I^+ \twoheadrightarrow \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Pi} U_\alpha/U_{\alpha+1}$ by $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Pi}$, and call each $x_\alpha$ the simple affine component of $x$.

Definition 2.2. (1) An element $x \in I^+$ is said to be affine generic if $x_\alpha$ is nonzero for every $\alpha \in \Pi$.

(2) A character $\chi : ZI^+ \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ is called affine generic if $\chi|_{I^+}$ factors through the quotient $I^+/I^{++}$ and is nontrivial on $U_\alpha/U_{\alpha+1}$ for every $\alpha \in \Pi$.
Let $N_T$ be the normalizer of $T$ in $G$, and $\widetilde{W}$ the Iwahori-Weyl group of $T$ defined by
\[ \widetilde{W} := N_T/T_0 = N_T(F)/T_0. \]
Then we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.3** ([HR08 Proposition 8 and Lemma 14]).

1. We have $G = IN_TI$, and the map $InI \mapsto n$ induces a bijection $I\backslash G/I \cong \widetilde{W}$.
2. There exists an exact sequence
\[ 1 \to W_{\text{aff}} \to \widetilde{W} \xrightarrow{\kappa_G} X^*(Z(\hat{G})) \to 1, \]
where $W_{\text{aff}}$ is the affine Weyl group of $T$, $Z(\hat{G})$ the center of the Langlands dual group $\hat{G}$ of $G$, and $\kappa_G$ the Kottwitz homomorphism defined in [Kot97 Section 7]. Moreover the subgroup $\tilde{\Omega} \subseteq \widetilde{W}$ consisting of the elements normalizing $I$ maps isomorphically to $X^*(Z(\hat{G}))$, and we have $\tilde{W} \cong W_{\text{aff}} \times \tilde{\Omega}$.

By the same argument in the proof in [HR08], we can easily generalize this proposition as follows:

**Proposition 2.4.** We have $G = I^+N_TI^+$, and the map $I^+nI^+ \mapsto n$ induces a bijection
\[ I^+\backslash G/I^+ \cong N_T/T_1. \]

We fix a set of representatives $\Omega \subseteq N_T$ of $\tilde{\Omega} \subseteq \widetilde{W} = N_T/T_0$. Let $N_G(I)$ and $N_G(I^+)$ be the normalizers of $I$ and $I^+$ in $G$, respectively.

**Lemma 2.5.** We have
\[ N_G(I) = N_G(I^+) = I\Omega. \]

**Proof.** Since $I^+$ is the pro-unipotent radical of $I$, we have $N_G(I) \subseteq N_G(I^+)$. We prove the other inclusion. Let $g \in N_G(I^+)$. It suffices to prove $I^+gI^+ \subseteq N_G(I)$. By Proposition 2.4, we can replace $g$ with $n \in N_T$. As $nI^+n^{-1} = I^+$ and $nT_0n^{-1} = T_0$, we have $n \in N_G(I)$. Hence $N_G(I) = N_G(I^+)$. We next prove the second equality. The inclusion $N_G(I) \supseteq I\Omega$ follows from the definition of $\Omega$. Let $g \in N_G(I)$. It suffices to prove $IgI \subseteq I\Omega$. By Proposition 2.3 (1), we may assume $g \in N_T$. Then we have $g \in \Omega T_0$ by the definition of $\Omega$. Hence $IgI \subseteq I\Omega$. \qed

The following lemma is a key to compute characters.

**Lemma 2.6.** Let $y \in G$. If $y$ satisfies $ygy^{-1} \in I$ for an affine generic element $g \in I^+$, then $y \in I\Omega$.

**Proof.** Let $y \in G$ satisfying $ygy^{-1} \in I$ for an affine generic element $g \in I^+$. Since affine genericity is preserved by $I^+$-conjugation, any element of $IgI^+$ satisfies the same condition as $y$. Therefore, by Proposition 2.3 we may assume $y \in N_T$.

As $yIy^{-1}$ and $I$ have the same volume for a Haar measure of $G$, we only have to show $yIy^{-1} \subseteq I$.

We recall that the multiplication map
\[ \prod_{a \in \Phi^+} U_a(F) \times T \times \prod_{a \in \Phi^-} U_a(F) \to G \]
is injective in any order (see [BT84 2.2.3]), where $\Phi^+$ (resp. $\Phi^-$) is the set of positive (resp. negative) roots. Moreover, this induces a bijection
\[
\prod_{a \in \Phi^+} U_{a+r_a} \times T_0 \times \prod_{a \in \Phi^-} U_{a+r_a} \to I
\]
in any order, where $r_a \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the smallest integer such that $a + r_a \in \Psi^+$ (see [BT72 6.4]). By using this decomposition, we write
\[
g = \prod_{a \in \Phi^+} x_a \cdot t \cdot \prod_{a \in \Phi^-} x_a,
\]
where $t \in T_0$ and $x_a \in U_{a+r_a}$ for each $a \in \Phi$. Then, since $g$ is affine generic, for a simple affine root $\alpha = a + r_a \in \Pi$, $x_a$ belongs to $U_{a+r_a}$ but not to $U_{a+r_a+1}$. Therefore, a simple affine root $\alpha \in \Pi$ is the maximal affine root such that
- its gradient is $a$ and
- $x_a$ belongs to $U_\alpha$.

Hence, the affine root $yay^{-1} \in \Psi$ is the maximal affine root such that
- its gradient is $yay^{-1}$ and
- $yx_ay^{-1}$ belongs to $U_{yay^{-1}}$.

On the other hand, we have
\[
yay^{-1} = \prod_{a \in \Phi^+} yxa^{-1} \cdot yty^{-1} \cdot \prod_{a \in \Phi^-} yxa^{-1}.
\]
By the above uniqueness of expression, the assumption $yay^{-1} \in I$ implies that $yx_ay^{-1}$ belongs to $U_{yay^{-1} + r_{yay^{-1}}} \subseteq U_{yay^{-1}(F)}$ for every $a \in \Phi$.

Therefore, for every simple affine root $\alpha = a + r_a \in \Pi$, by the maximality of $yay^{-1}$, we get
\[
yay^{-1} \geq yay^{-1} + r_{yay^{-1}} \geq 0.
\]
In particular, $yay^{-1}$ is a positive affine root for every simple affine root $\alpha \in \Pi$. Hence, $yay^{-1}$ is positive for every positive affine root $\alpha \in \Psi^+$. This implies $yay^{-1} \subseteq I$ (note that we have $yTy^{-1} = T_0$ since $y \in N_T$).

\[\square\]

2.2. Simple supercuspidal representations. Let $\chi$ be an affine generic character on $ZI^+$. For $g \in G$ and a subgroup $J$ of $G$, we set $J^g := g^{-1}Jg$. We put
\[
N_G(I^+; \chi) := \{ n \in N_G(I^+) \mid \chi^n = \chi \},
\]
where $\chi^n$ is the character of $(ZI^+)^n = n^{-1}(ZI^+)n = ZI^+$ defined by $\chi^n(g) := \chi(ngn^{-1})$. This subgroup satisfies $ZI^+ \subseteq N_G(I^+; \chi) \subseteq \Omega$ by Lemma 2.3. For an irreducible constituent $\hat{\chi}$ of $c\text{-Ind}_{ZI^+}^{N_G(I^+; \chi)} \chi$, we define $\pi_{\hat{\chi}} := c\text{-Ind}_{N_G(I^+; \chi)}^G \hat{\chi}$.

Remark 2.7. (1) If $G$ is split, semisimple, and simply connected, then the group $\Omega$ is trivial by Proposition 2.3 (2). Hence we have $N_G(I^+; \chi) = ZI$. By using $I = T(q)I^+$, we can check easily
\[
\{ n \in ZI \mid \chi^n = \chi \} = ZI^+.
\]
Thus we get $N_G(I^+; \chi) = ZI^+$.

(2) In this paper, we will consider the cases of $G = GL_N$ and $G = SO_{2n+1}$. For these groups, the quotient $N_G(I^+; \chi) \rightarrow N_G(I^+; \chi)/ZI^+$ splits, and $c\text{-Ind}_{ZI^+}^{N_G(I^+; \chi)} \chi$ decomposes as a direct sum of characters.
We next consider the decomposition of the compact induction of $\chi$ to $G$. The following proposition is proved in [GR10, Proposition 9.3] for $G$ which is split simple simply connected, and in [RY14, Proposition 2.4] for $G$ which is semisimple and tamely ramified. We explain the proof for the sake of completeness.

**Proposition 2.8.**
1. We have a decomposition
   $$c\text{-Ind}_{ZI^+}^G \chi \cong \bigoplus_{\tilde{\chi}} \dim(\tilde{\chi}) \cdot \pi_{\tilde{\chi}},$$
   where the sum is over the set of irreducible constituents of $c\text{-Ind}_{ZI^+}^{NG(I^+;\chi)} \chi$.
2. The representation $\pi_{\tilde{\chi}}$ is irreducible, hence supercuspidal.
3. Let $(\chi', \tilde{\chi}')$ be another pair as above. Then, $\pi_{\tilde{\chi}}$ and $\pi_{\tilde{\chi}'}$ are equivalent if and only if $\chi^n = \chi'$ and $\tilde{\chi}^n = \tilde{\chi}'$ for some $n \in T_0\Omega$.

**Proof.** Since $ZI^+$ is normal in $NG(I^+;\chi)$ and the quotient $NG(I^+;\chi)/ZI^+$ is finite, we have
   $$c\text{-Ind}_{ZI^+}^{NG(I^+;\chi)} \chi \cong \bigoplus_{\tilde{\chi}} \dim(\tilde{\chi}) \cdot \tilde{\chi}.$$  

Hence it suffices to prove (2) and (3) by the transitivity of compact induction. By Mackey’s theorem, we have
   $$\text{Hom}_G(\pi_{\tilde{\chi}}, \pi_{\tilde{\chi}'}) \cong \bigoplus_{n \in NG(I^+;\chi)/NG(I^+;\chi')} \text{Hom}_{NG(I^+;\chi)}(\chi^n, \tilde{\chi}').$$  

Let $n \in G$ such that $\text{Hom}_{NG(I^+;\chi)}(\chi^n, \tilde{\chi}') \neq 0$. Then we may assume $n \in NT$ by Proposition 2.3. Since $(ZI^+)^n \cap ZI^+ \subseteq NG(I^+;\chi)^n \cap NG(I^+;\chi)$, we also have $\text{Hom}_{(ZI^+)^n \cap ZI^+}(\chi^n, \tilde{\chi}') \neq 0$. As $\chi^n|_{ZI^+} = (\chi^n)^{\dim \tilde{\chi}}$, and $\chi'|_{ZI^+} = \chi^{\dim \tilde{\chi}'}$, we have $\chi^n = \chi'$ on $(ZI^+)^n \cap ZI^+$.

We show that the image $w$ of $n$ under
   $$I^+\backslash G/I^+ \to W_{aff} \times \tilde{\Omega}$$
lies in $\tilde{\Omega}$. We assume that $w \notin \tilde{\Omega}$. Then we can take a simple affine root $\alpha \in \Pi$ such that $w(\alpha) \in \Psi^+ \setminus \Pi$ (see [GR10, Lemma 9.1]). By the definition of $I^+$, $U_{w(\alpha)}$ is contained in $I^{++}$. Hence $\chi$ is trivial on $U_{w(\alpha)}$, and $\chi^n$ is trivial on $n^{-1}U_{w(\alpha)}n = U_\alpha$. Since $U_\alpha = n^{-1}U_{w(\alpha)}n \subseteq (ZI^+)^n \cap ZI^+$, we have $\chi^n = \chi'$ on $U_\alpha$. However $\chi'$ is nontrivial on $U_\alpha$ since $\alpha \in \Pi$. This is a contradiction.

As $w \in \Omega$, $n$ normalizes $I$, hence also normalizes $I^+$. Therefore we have $ZI^+ \subseteq NG(I^+;\chi)^n \cap NG(I^+;\chi')$, and $\chi^n = \chi'$. Since $NG(I^+;\chi)^n = NG(I^+;\chi^n) = NG(I^+;\chi')$, we have $\chi^n \cong \tilde{\chi}'$.

We finally show the irreducibility. We take $\tilde{\chi} = \tilde{\chi}'$. Then the above calculation shows that the only contribution to $\text{Hom}_G(\pi_{\tilde{\chi}}, \pi_{\tilde{\chi}})$ comes from the trivial double coset of $NG(I^+;\chi)|G/NG(I^+;\chi)$ and we have
   $$\text{Hom}_G(\pi_{\tilde{\chi}}, \pi_{\tilde{\chi}}) \cong \text{Hom}_{NG(I^+;\chi)}(\tilde{\chi}, \tilde{\chi}).$$

The dimension of the right-hand side is one and therefore $\pi_{\tilde{\chi}}$ is irreducible. □

The irreducible supercuspidal representations $\pi_{\chi}$ constructed in this way are called *simple supercuspidal* representations of $G$. 
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2.3. Parametrization: the case of $\text{GL}_N(F)$. In this subsection, we consider the case of $\text{GL}_N$. Let $G$ be $\text{GL}_N$ over $F$. We choose $T$ to be the subgroup of diagonal matrices. Then we have

$$\Phi = \{ \pm(e_i - e_j) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq N \},$$
and

$$\Psi = \{ a + r \mid a \in \Phi, r \in \mathbb{Z} \}.$$

We take the root basis

$$\Delta = \{ e_1 - e_2, \ldots, e_{N-1} - e_N \}$$
corresponding to the Borel subgroup $B$ consisting of upper triangular matrices. We let $C$ be the fundamental alcove of $A(G, T)$ (i.e., $C$ is contained in the chamber which is defined by $B$, and the closure $\overline{C}$ of $C$ contains 0). Then the corresponding affine root basis is

$$\Pi = \{ e_1 - e_2, \ldots, e_{N-1} - e_N, e_N - e_1 + 1 \},$$
and the Iwahori subgroup and its filtrations are given by

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} O^\times & O \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ p & O^\times \end{pmatrix}, I^+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + p & \cdots & O \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ p & \cdots & 1 + p \end{pmatrix},$$
and

$$I^{++} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + p & p & \cdots & O \\ p & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ p^2 & \cdots & p & 1 + p \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $x = (x_{ij})_{ij} \in I^+$, we regard its simple affine components $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha} \in \bigoplus_\Pi U_\alpha/U_{\alpha+1}$ as an element of $k^{\otimes N}$ by

$$I^+/I^{++} \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Pi} U_\alpha/U_{\alpha+1} \cong k^{\otimes N}$$

$$x_{ij} \mapsto (x_{12}, \ldots, x_{N-1,N}, x_{N1}^{-1}).$$

For $a \in k^\times$, we set

$$\varphi_a := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{N-1} \\ \overline{xa} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in G.$$

Here, we regard $a$ as an element of $F^\times$ by the Teichmüller lift. This element satisfies $\varphi_a^N = \overline{xa}I_N$, and, for any $a \in k^\times$, we can choose a set of representatives $\Omega$ of $\overline{\Omega}$ to be $(\varphi_a)$.

For $a \in k^\times$, we define an affine generic character $\psi_a : ZI^+ \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ by

$$\psi_a(z) = 1 \text{ for } z \in Z,$$
and

$$\psi_a(x) := \psi\left(\overline{x_{12}} + \cdots + x_{N-1,N} + ax_{N1}^{-1}\right) \text{ for } x = (x_{ij})_{ij} \in I^+,$$
where $\psi$ is the fixed additive character on $k$. Then we have $N_G(I^+:\psi_a) = ZI^+(\varphi_a^{-1})$.

For an $N$-th root of unity $\zeta \in \mu_N$, let $\chi_{a,\zeta} : ZI^+(\varphi_a^{-1}) \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be the character defined by

$$\chi_{a,\zeta}(x) = \psi_a(x) \text{ for } x \in ZI^+, \text{ and}$$
$$\chi_{a,\zeta}(\varphi_a^{-1}) = \zeta.$$
Let $\pi_{a, \zeta}$ be the simple supercuspidal representation of $GL_N(F)$ defined by

$$\pi_{a, \zeta} := c \text{-Ind}_{ZI}^{G} \langle \phi_{a} - 1 \rangle \chi_{a, \zeta}.$$  

Then, by Proposition 2.8 (3), we can check that the set

$$\{(a, \zeta) \mid a \in k^\times, \zeta \in \mu_N\}$$

parametrizes the set of equivalence classes of simple supercuspidal representations of $GL_N(F)$ with trivial central character.

2.4. Parametrization: the case of $SO_{2n+1}(F)$. In this subsection, we consider the case of $SO_{2n+1} := \{g \in GL_{2n+1} \mid {}'gJg = J, \det(g) = 1\}$, with

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} \ldots & 1 \\ (-1)^{2n} & \ldots \end{pmatrix}.$$  

Let $H$ be $SO_{2n+1}$ over $F$. Let $T_H$ be the subgroup of diagonal matrices in $H$:

$$T_H := \{\text{diag}(t_1, \ldots, t_n, 1, t_n^{-1}, \ldots, t_1^{-1}) \mid t_i \neq 0\}.$$  

Then we have

$$\Phi_H = \{\pm e_i \pm e_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\} \cup \{\pm e_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\},$$

$$\Psi_H = \{a + r \mid a \in \Phi_H, r \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$  

We take the root basis

$$\Delta_H = \{e_1 - e_2, \ldots, e_{n-1} - e_n, e_n\}$$

corresponding to the Borel subgroup $B_H$ consisting of upper triangular matrices in $H$. We let $C_H$ be the fundamental alcove of $A(H, T_H)$. Then the corresponding affine root basis is

$$\Pi_H = \{e_1 - e_2, \ldots, e_{n-1} - e_n, e_n, -e_1 - e_2 + 1\}.$$  

We denote the Iwahori subgroup and its subgroups by $I_H, I_H^+$, and $I_H^{++}$.

For $y = (y_{ij})_{ij} \in I_H^+$, we regard its simple affine components $(y_{ij})_{\alpha} \in \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Pi_H} U_{\alpha} / U_{\alpha+1}$ as an element of $k^{\oplus(n+1)}$ by

$$I_H^+/I_H^{++} \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Pi_H} U_{\alpha} / U_{\alpha+1} \cong k^{\oplus(n+1)}$$

$$(y_{ij})_{\alpha} \mapsto (\overline{y_{12}}, \ldots, \overline{y_{n,n+1}}, \overline{y_{2n,1}^{-1}}).$$  

For $b \in k^\times$, we set

$$\varphi_b^\prime := \left( \begin{array}{c} I_{2n-1} \omega^{-1}b^{-1} \\ \omega b \end{array} \right) \in H.$$  

Here, we regard $b$ as an element of $F^\times$ by the Teichmüller lift. The order of this element is two, and, for any $b \in k^\times$, we can choose a set of representatives $\Omega$ of $\tilde{\Omega}$ to be $\langle \varphi_b^\prime \rangle$.

For $b \in k^\times$, we define an affine generic character $\psi_b^\prime: I_H^+ \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ by

$$\psi_b^\prime(y) := \psi \left( \overline{y_{12}} + \cdots + \overline{y_{n,n+1}} + by_{2n,1}^{-1} \right) \text{ for } y = (y_{ij})_{ij} \in I_H^+.$$
Then we have \( N_H(I_H^+; \psi'_b) = I_H^+ \langle \varphi'_{b^{-1}} \rangle \).

For \( \xi \in \{ \pm 1 \} \), let \( \chi'_{b,\xi} : I_H^+ \langle \varphi'_{b^{-1}} \rangle \to \mathbb{C}^\times \) be the character defined by
\[
\chi'_{b,\xi}(y) = \psi'_b(y) \quad \text{for } y \in I_H^+ \text{ and }
\chi'_{b,\xi}(\varphi'_{b^{-1}}) = \xi.
\]

Let \( \pi'_{b,\xi} \) be the simple supercuspidal representation of \( \text{SO}_{2n+1}(F) \) defined by
\[
\pi'_{b,\xi} := \text{c-Ind}_{I_H}^H \langle \varphi'_{b^{-1}} \rangle \chi'_{b,\xi}.
\]

Then, by Proposition 2.8 (3), we can check that the set
\[
\{(b, \xi) \mid b \in k^\times, \xi \in \{ \pm 1 \}\}
\]
parametrizes the set of equivalence classes of simple supercuspidal representations of \( \text{SO}_{2n+1}(F) \).

3. Characters of simple supercuspidal representations

3.1. The case of the standard \( \text{GL}_N(F) \). Let us first recall the characters of representations of \( p \)-adic reductive groups. For a connected reductive group \( G \) over \( F \), we write \( G^{rs} \) for the set of regular semisimple elements of \( G \). This is an open subset of \( G \). We denote by \( \mathcal{H}(G) \) the set of compactly supported locally constant functions on \( G \).

**Theorem 3.1** ([HC70]). Let \( G \) be a connected reductive group over \( F \). Let \( \pi \) be an irreducible smooth representation of \( G \). Then there exists a unique locally constant function \( \Theta_\pi \) on \( G^{rs} \) such that
\[
\text{tr} \pi(f) = \int_{G^{rs}} \Theta_\pi(g) f(g) \, dg
\]
for every \( f \in \mathcal{H}(G) \) satisfying \( \text{supp}(f) \subseteq G^{rs} \), where \( \text{tr} \pi \) is the distribution character of \( \pi \).

We call \( \Theta_\pi \) the character of \( \pi \). This function is invariant under conjugation.

When \( \pi \) is a supercuspidal representation which is compactly induced from an open subgroup, we have the following formula to describe its character.

**Theorem 3.2** (Character formula, [Sal88]). Let \( G \) be a connected reductive group over \( F \) and \( Z \) its center. Let \( K \) be an open subgroup of \( G \) such that \( K \) contains \( Z \) and \( K/Z \) is compact. Let \( \rho \) be a finite-dimensional irreducible smooth representation of \( K \). We assume that the representation \( \pi := \text{c-Ind}_K^G \rho \) is irreducible, so supercuspidal. Then, for every \( g \in G^{rs} \), we have
\[
\Theta_\pi(g) = \sum_{y \in K \setminus G, yy^{-1} \in K} \text{tr} \rho(yy^{-1}),
\]
provided that the sum is finite.

We apply this formula to a computation of the characters of simple supercuspidal representations.

Let \( G \) be \( \text{GL}_N \) over \( F \).

**Lemma 3.3.** Let \( g \in I^+ \subseteq \text{GL}_N(F) \) be an affine generic element. Then \( g \) is strongly regular semisimple elliptic.
Proof. The characteristic polynomial of $g - I_N$ is Eisenstein, hence it is irreducible over $F$. Therefore $g$ is regular semisimple elliptic. Since the derived group of $G$ is given by $SL_N$ and in particular simply connected, the regularity of $g$ implies the strong regularity of $g$. □

Now we compute the characters of simple supercuspidal representations at affine generic elements. We take $a \in k^\times$ and $\zeta \in \mu_N$, and consider the simple supercuspidal representation $\pi_{a,\zeta}$ of $G$ defined in Section 2.3.

**Proposition 3.4.** Let $g \in I^+$ be an affine generic element. Let $(g_1, \ldots, g_N)$ be the simple affine components of $g$. Then we have

$$\Theta_{\pi_{a,\zeta}}(g) = K_{g_1 \cdots g_N}^N(\psi),$$

where the right-hand side is the Kloosterman sum in Definition A.3.

We first prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.5.** Let $g \in I^+$ be an affine generic element. If $y \in G$ satisfies $ygy^{-1} \in ZI^+\langle \varphi_{a^{-1}} \rangle$, then $y \in ZI\langle \varphi_{a^{-1}} \rangle$.

Proof. Assume that $y \in G$ satisfies $ygy^{-1} \in ZI^+\langle \varphi_{a^{-1}} \rangle$. As $\det(ygy^{-1}) = \det(g)$, $ygy^{-1}$ lies in $I$. Thus $y \in N_G(I) = I^0 = ZI\langle \varphi_{a^{-1}} \rangle$ by Lemma 2.6. □

**Proof of Proposition 3.4.** By Lemma 3.5 if $y \in G$ satisfies $ygy^{-1} \in ZI^+\langle \varphi_{a^{-1}} \rangle$, then $y \in ZI\langle \varphi_{a^{-1}} \rangle$. Hence, by the character formula (Theorem 3.2), we have

$$\Theta_{\pi_{a,\zeta}}(g) = \sum_{y \in ZI^+\langle \varphi_{a^{-1}} \rangle \setminus ZI\langle \varphi_{a^{-1}} \rangle} \chi_{a,\zeta}(ygy^{-1}).$$

Since

$$\{t = \text{diag}(t_1, \ldots, t_N) \in T(g) \mid t_N = 1\}$$

is a system of representatives of the set $ZI^+\langle \varphi_{a^{-1}} \rangle \setminus ZI\langle \varphi_{a^{-1}} \rangle$, we have

$$\text{RHS} = \sum_{t_1, \ldots, t_{N-1} \in k^\times} \psi\left(\frac{t_1}{t_2}g_1 + \cdots + \frac{t_{N-1}}{1}g_{N-1} + a \cdot \frac{1}{t_1}g_N\right)$$

$$= \sum_{s_1, \ldots, s_N \in k^\times} \psi(s_1 + \cdots + s_N)$$

$$= K_{a_1 \cdots g_N}^N(\psi).$$

□

### 3.2. The case of the twisted $GL_{2n}(F)$

In this subsection, we compute the twisted characters of self-dual simple supercuspidal representations of $GL_{2n}(F)$ with trivial central characters.

Let us first recall the twisted characters of representations of $p$-adic reductive groups. We consider a connected reductive group $G$ over $F$ and an automorphism $\theta$ of $G$ over $F$. Then $g \in G(F)$ is said to be $\theta$-semisimple if the automorphism $\text{Int}(g) \circ \theta$ of $G$ is quasi-semisimple (i.e., its restriction to the derived group of $G$ is semisimple). Moreover, $\theta$-semisimple element $g \in G(F)$ is said to be $\theta$-regular if the identity component $\text{Cent}_G(g, \theta)^0$ of the $\theta$-twisted centralizer $\text{Cent}_G(g, \theta)$ of $g$ in $G$ is a torus. We write $G^{\theta-\text{rs}}$ for the set of $\theta$-regular $\theta$-semisimple elements of $G$. This is an open subset of $G$. 
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Theorem 3.6 ([Clo87 Theorem 1]). Let $G$ be a connected reductive group over $F$. Let $\theta$ be an automorphism of $G$ defined over $F$. Let $\pi$ be a $\theta$-stable (i.e., $\pi \cong \pi^\theta$) irreducible smooth representation of $G$, and fix an isomorphism $A: \pi \to \pi^\theta$. Then there exists a unique locally constant function $\Theta_{\pi,\theta}$ on $G^{\theta\text{-rs}}$ such that
\[ \text{tr} \pi_\theta(f) = \int_{G^{\theta\text{-rs}}} \Theta_{\pi,\theta}(g)f(g) \, dg \]
for every $f \in \mathcal{H}(G)$ satisfying supp$(f) \subseteq G^{\theta\text{-rs}}$, where $\text{tr} \pi_\theta$ is the $\theta$-twisted distribution character of $\pi$ with respect to $A$, that is
\[ \text{tr} \pi_\theta(f) := \text{tr}(\pi(f) \circ A). \]

We call $\Theta_{\pi,\theta}$ the $\theta$-twisted character of $\pi$. This function is invariant under $\theta$-conjugation, and depends on an isomorphism $A: \pi \cong \pi^\theta$ (determined up to a scalar multiple).

Similarly to the standard case, we have a formula for the twisted character of supercuspidal representations.

Theorem 3.7 (Twisted character formula, [LH17 I.6.2 Théorème]). Let $G$ be a reductive group over $F$ and $Z$ its center. Let $\theta$ be an automorphism of $G$ over $F$. Let $K$ be a $\theta$-stable open subgroup of $G$ such that $K$ contains $Z$ and $K/Z$ is compact. Let $\rho$ be a finite-dimensional $\theta$-stable irreducible smooth representation of $K$. We fix an isomorphism $A: \rho \to \rho^\theta$. We assume that the representation $\pi := \text{c-Ind}_K^G \rho$ is irreducible, so supercuspidal. Then, for $g \in G^{\theta\text{-rs}}$, we have
\[ \Theta_{\pi,\theta}(g) = \sum_{x \in K \setminus G/K} \sum_{y \in K \times K} \text{tr}(\rho(yg\theta(y)^{-1}) \circ A), \]
provided that the sum is finite. Here $\Theta_{\pi,\theta}$ is the $\theta$-twisted character of $\pi$ with respect to the isomorphism $\pi = \text{c-Ind}_K^G \rho \to \text{c-Ind}_K^G (\rho^\theta) \cong \pi^\theta$ induced by $A$.

Let $G$ be $\text{GL}_{2n}$ over $F$. Let $\theta$ be the automorphism of $G$ over $F$ defined by
\[ \theta(g) = J^l g^{-1} J^{-1}, \text{ where } J = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & (-1)^{2n-1} \end{pmatrix}. \]

For $g \in G$, we put
\[ N(g) := g\theta(g) \in G. \]

The automorphism $\theta$ preserves the subgroups $I^+$ and $I^{++}$, and induces the automorphism of $I^+/I^{++} \cong k^{\oplus 2n}$ defined by
\[ (x_1, \ldots, x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) \mapsto (x_{2n-1}, \ldots, x_1, x_{2n}). \]
In particular we have $\psi_a^\theta = \psi_a$ for $a \in k^\times$. On the other hand, by a simple computation, we can check $\theta(\varphi_a) = -\varphi_a^{-1}$. Therefore
\[ a_\alpha \zeta \cong \text{c-Ind}_{Z^I+}^G \chi_{ \alpha, \zeta}, \chi_{ \alpha, \zeta} = \text{c-Ind}_{Z^I+}^G (\varphi_{ \alpha-1}) \chi_{ \alpha, \zeta}^{-1} = \pi_{ \alpha, \zeta}^{-1}, \]
and $\pi_{ \alpha, \zeta}$ is self-dual if and only if $\zeta = \pm 1$.

For $\zeta \in \{ \pm 1 \}$, we take an isomorphism $A: \chi_{ \alpha, \zeta} \to \chi_{ \alpha, \zeta}^\theta = \chi_{ \alpha, \zeta}$ to be the identity map. Then this defines the twisted character $\Theta_{\pi_{ \alpha, \zeta}, \theta}$ of the simple supercuspidal representation $\pi_{ \alpha, \zeta}$ of $G$. 

In this subsection, we focus on the case where \( a = 1 \). First, we compute the twisted character \( \Theta_{\pi_1, \zeta, \theta} \) at \( g \in I^+ \cap G^{\text{rs}} \) such that \( \mathcal{N}(g) = g\theta(y) \in I^+ \) is affine generic.

**Lemma 3.8.** Let \( g \in I^+ \) be an element such that \( \mathcal{N}(g) \) is affine generic. If \( y \in G \) satisfies \( yg\theta(y)^{-1} \in ZI^+(\varphi_1) \), then \( y \in ZI(\varphi_1) \).

**Proof.** As \( yg\theta(y)^{-1} \in ZI^+(\varphi_1) \), \( \mathcal{N}(yg\theta(y)^{-1}) = y\mathcal{N}(g)y^{-1} \) is in \( \mathcal{N}(ZI^+(\varphi_1)) = ZI^+(\varphi_1) \). By the assumption and Lemma 3.5, \( y \) must lie in \( ZI(\varphi_1) \).

**Lemma 3.9.** Let \( g \in I^+ \) be an element such that \( \mathcal{N}(g) \) is affine generic. Then a system of representatives of the set

\[
\{ y \in ZI^+(\varphi_1) \backslash ZI(\varphi_1) \mid yg\theta(y)^{-1} \in ZI^+(\varphi_1) \}
\]

is given by

\[
T'(q) := \{ \text{diag}(t_1, \ldots, t_{2n}) \in T(q) \mid t_1t_{2n} = \cdots = t_nt_{n+1}, t_n = 1 \}.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( y := \text{diag}(t_1, \ldots, t_{2n}) \in T(q) \) satisfying \( t_n = 1 \). Since

\[
\text{val}\left( \det(yg\theta(y)^{-1}) \right) = 0,
\]

we have

\[
yg\theta(y)^{-1} \in ZI^+(\varphi_1) \Rightarrow yg\theta(y)^{-1} \in Z(q)I^+
\]

(note that \( Z(q)I^+ \) is the kernel of \( \text{val} \circ \det : ZI^+(\varphi_1) \to \mathbb{Z} \).

Thus we have \( yg\theta(y)^{-1} \in ZI^+(\varphi_1) \) if and only if the diagonal part of

\[
yg\theta(y)^{-1} = \text{diag}(t_1, \ldots, t_{2n}) \left( \begin{array}{ccc} g_{1,1} & \cdots & g_{1,2n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g_{2n,1} & \cdots & g_{2n,2n} \end{array} \right) \text{diag}(t_{2n}, \ldots, t_1)
\]

lies in \( Z(q)T_1 \). Therefore the condition \( yg\theta(y)^{-1} \in ZI^+(\varphi_1) \) is equivalent to the condition \( t_1t_{2n} = \cdots = t_nt_{n+1} \).

**Proposition 3.10.** Let \( g \in I^+ \cap G^{\text{rs}} \) be an element such that \( \mathcal{N}(g) \) is affine generic. Let \( (g_1, \ldots, g_{2n}) \) be the simple affine components of \( g \). Then we have

\[
\Theta_{\pi_1, \zeta, \theta}(g) = \text{Kl}_{g_1}^{n+1}(g_1 + g_{2n-1})^{2} \cdots (g_{n-1} + g_{n+1})^{2} g_{2n}^{2} \psi(1, 2, \ldots, 2, 1),
\]

where the right-hand side is the Kloosterman sum in Definition A.3

**Proof.** Note that the simple affine components of \( \mathcal{N}(g) \) are given by

\[
(g_1 + g_{2n-1}, \ldots, g_{2n-1} + g_1, 2g_{2n}),
\]

and the affine genericity of \( \mathcal{N}(g) \) means that none of them is zero.
By the twisted character formula (Theorem 3.7 and Lemma 3.9) we can compute the twisted character as follows:

\[
\Theta_{\pi_1, \chi}(y) = \sum_{y \in T^{(q)}} \chi_1(\gamma \theta(y)^{-1})
\]

\[
= \sum_{z \in k^\times} \sum_{t_1, \ldots, t_n \in k^\times} \psi \left( \frac{t_1 t_2 \cdots g_{n-1}}{z} + \frac{t_n}{z} g_{2n} \right)
\]

\[
= \sum_{z \in k^\times} \sum_{t_1, \ldots, t_n \in k^\times} \psi \left( \frac{t_1 t_2 (g_1 + g_{2n-1}) + \cdots + t_n g_{2n}}{z} \right) + \frac{t_n}{z} g_{2n} \]

\[
= \sum_{t_1, \ldots, t_n \in k^\times} \psi \left( \frac{t_1 t_2 (g_1 + g_{2n-1}) + \cdots + t_n g_{2n}}{t_1 t_n} \right)
\]

\[
= K^\prime \sum_{t_1, \ldots, t_n \in k^\times} \left( \psi \left( g_1 + g_{2n-1} \right) + \cdots + \psi \left( g_{2n} \right) \right)
\]

Here, for the 4th equality we replaced \( z \) by \( t_1 / t_n \), and for the 5th equality we permuted the indices of the \( t_i \) by \( (1, 2, \ldots, n) \).

Next, we compute the twisted character \( \Theta_{\pi_1, \chi} \) at \( \varphi_u g \), where \( g \in I^+ \) and \( u \in k^\times \) such that \(-N(\varphi_u g) = \varphi_u g \varphi_u^{-1} \theta(g) \in I^+ \) is affine generic.

**Lemma 3.11.** Let \( g \in I^+ \) be an element such that \(-N(\varphi_u g) \in I^+ \) is affine generic. If \( y \in G \) satisfies \( y \varphi_u g \theta(y)^{-1} \in ZI^+ \langle \varphi_1 \rangle \), then \( y \in ZI^+ \langle \varphi_1 \rangle \).

**Proof.** Since \( y \varphi_u g \theta(y)^{-1} \in ZI^+ \langle \varphi_1 \rangle \), \( N(y \varphi_u g \theta(y)^{-1}) = yN(\varphi_u g)g \) belongs to \( N(ZI^+ \langle \varphi_1 \rangle) = ZI^+ \langle \varphi_1 \rangle \). By the assumption and Lemma 3.3, \( y \) must lie in \( ZI^+ \langle \varphi_1 \rangle \).

**Lemma 3.12.** Let \( g \in I^+ \) be an element such that \(-N(\varphi_u g) \in I^+ \) is affine generic. Then a system of representatives of the set

\[
\{ y \in ZI^+ \langle \varphi_1 \rangle \setminus ZI^+ \langle \varphi_1 \rangle : y \varphi_u g \theta(y)^{-1} \in ZI^+ \langle \varphi_1 \rangle \}
\]

is given by

\[
T''(q) := \{ \text{diag}(t_1, \ldots, t_{2n}) \in T(q) \mid t_1 t_{2n-1} = \cdots = t_{n-1} t_{n+1} = t_n = u, t_{2n} = 1 \}\}
\]

**Proof.** Let \( y = \text{diag}(t_1, \ldots, t_{2n}) \in T(q) \) satisfying \( t_{2n} = 1 \). Since

\[
\text{val}(\det(y \varphi_u g \theta(y)^{-1})) = \text{val}(\det(\varphi_1)),
\]

we have

\[
y \varphi_u g \theta(y)^{-1} \in ZI^+ \langle \varphi_1 \rangle \Rightarrow \varphi_1^{-1} y \varphi_u g \theta(y)^{-1} \in Z(q)I^+.
\]

Thus we have \( y \varphi_u g \theta(y)^{-1} \in ZI^+ \langle \varphi_1 \rangle \) if and only if the diagonal part of

\[
\varphi_1^{-1} y \varphi_u \cdot g \cdot \theta(y)^{-1}
\]
Lemma 3.14. The case of 2.4. and consider the simple supercuspidal representation \( \pi \) and the affine genericity of \( Z \).

Note that the simple affine components of \( \Theta_{\pi,\zeta} \Theta_{\pi,\zeta}^{\dagger} \zeta \) lies in \( \mathbb{Z}(q)T_1 \). Therefore the condition \( \psi \varphi_u y \theta(y)^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}I^{+}(\varphi_1) \) is equivalent to the condition \( t_1 t_{2n-1} = \cdots = t_{n-1} t_{n+1} = t_n^2 = u \).

\[ \square \]

Proposition 3.13. Let \( g \in I^+ \) be an element such that \( \varphi_u g \in G^{\text{rs}}(F) \) and \(-N(\varphi_u g)\) is affine generic. Let \((g_1, \ldots, g_{2n})\) be the simple affine components of \( g \).

1. If \( u \notin k^{\times 2} \), then we have

\[ \Theta_{\pi,\zeta} \Theta_{\pi,\zeta}^{\dagger} \zeta = 0. \]

2. If \( u = v^2 \) for some \( v \in k^{\times} \), then we have

\[ \Theta_{\pi,\zeta} \Theta_{\pi,\zeta}^{\dagger} \zeta = \zeta \left( \mathbb{K}l_{(v^2 g_1 + g_{2n}) - (g_n + g_{n+1})/v}(\psi) + \mathbb{K}l_{(v^2 g_1 + g_{2n}) - (g_n + g_{n+1})/v}(\psi) \right). \]

Proof. Note that the simple affine components of \(-N(\varphi_u g)\) are given by

\[ (g_2 + g_{2n-1}, g_1 + g_{2n-2}, \ldots, g_{2n-1} + g_2, u^{-1} g_2, g_1, u g_1 + g_2), \]

and the affine genericity of \(-N(\varphi_u g)\) means that none of them is zero.

We use the twisted character formula (Theorem 3.7) and Lemma 3.12. If \( u \notin k^{\times 2} \), then the set \( T''(q) \) is empty. Hence the sum in the twisted character formula is zero.

If \( u = v^2 \) for some \( v \in k^{\times} \), then we can compute the twisted character as follows:

\[ \Theta_{\pi,\zeta} \Theta_{\pi,\zeta}^{\dagger} \zeta = \sum_{y \in T''(q)} \chi_{1,\zeta}(\varphi_1) \chi_{1,\zeta}(\varphi_1^{-1} y \varphi_u y \theta(y)^{-1}) \]

\[ = \zeta \sum_{t_1, \ldots, t_n \in k^{\times}} \psi \left( \frac{t_2 t_{2n-1} v^2}{v^2} + \frac{t_1 t_{2n-2} g_2}{v^2} + \cdots + \frac{t_2 t_{2n-2} t_1 g_{2n-1}}{v^2} + \frac{t_2 t_{2n-1} t_2 g_{2n}}{v^2} \right) \]

\[ = \zeta \sum_{t_1, \ldots, t_n \in k^{\times}} \psi \left( \frac{1}{t_1} (v^2 g_1 + g_{2n}) + \frac{1}{t_2} (g_2 + g_{2n-1}) + \cdots + \frac{1}{t_n} (g_n + g_{n+1}) \right) \]

\[ = \zeta \left( \mathbb{K}l_{(v^2 g_1 + g_{2n}) - (g_n + g_{n+1})/v}(\psi) + \mathbb{K}l_{(v^2 g_1 + g_{2n}) - (g_n + g_{n+1})/v}(\psi) \right). \]

\[ \square \]

3.3. The case of SO_{2n+1}(F). Let \( H \) be SO_{2n+1}. We take \( b \in k^{\times} \) and \( \xi \in \{ \pm 1 \} \) and consider the simple supercuspidal representation \( \pi_{b,\xi} \) of \( H \) defined in Section 2.4.

First, we compute the character of \( \pi_{b,\xi} \) at an affine generic element \( h \in I_H^+ \cap H^{\text{rs}} \).

Lemma 3.14. Let \( h \in I_H^+ \) be an affine generic element. If \( y \) satisfies \( y h y \theta^{-1} \in I_H^+ \langle \varphi_{b-1} \rangle \), then \( y \in I_H^+ \langle \varphi_{b-1} \rangle \).
Proof. If $yhy^{-1} \in I_H^+(\varphi_u^{'}\cdot)$, then $(yhy^{-1})^2 = yh^2y^{-1} \in I_H^+$. Since we assumed that the characteristic of $k$ is not equal to 2, $h^2$ is also affine generic. Therefore $y \in I_H(\varphi_u^{'}\cdot)$ by Lemma 2.6.

**Proposition 3.15.** Let $h \in I_H^+ \cap H^\text{rs}$ be an affine generic element with its simple affine components $(h_1, \ldots, h_n, h_{2n})$. Then we have

$$\Theta_{\pi_{b, \xi}}(h) = Kl_{b_h1h_2^2\cdots h_{2n}^2}(\psi; 1, 2, \ldots, 2, 1).$$

Proof. By the character formula (Theorem 3.2) and Lemma 3.14, we can compute the character as follows:

$$\Theta_{\pi_{b, \xi}}(h) = \sum_{y \in I_H^+(\varphi_u^{'}\cdot) \setminus I_H(\varphi_u^{'}\cdot)} \chi_{b, \xi}(yhy^{-1}) = \sum_{t \in T_H(q)} \chi_{b, \xi}(th^t)^{-1}$$

$$= \sum_{t_1, \ldots, t_n \in k^\times} \psi \left( \frac{t_1}{t_2}h_1 + \cdots + t_{n-1}h_{n-1} + \frac{t_n}{1}h_n + \frac{b}{t_1t_2}h_{2n} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{s_1, \ldots, s_n, s_{2n} \in k^\times} \psi (s_1 + \cdots + s_n + s_{2n})$$

$$= Kl_{b_h1h_2^2\cdots h_{2n}^2}(\psi; 1, 2, \ldots, 2, 1).$$

**Remark 3.16.** Later, we will prove that every affine generic element of $H$ is strongly regular semisimple (Proposition 4.7).

Next, we compute the character of $\pi_{b, \xi}$ at $\varphi_u h$, where $h \in I_H^+$ and $u \in k^\times$ such that $(\varphi_u h)^2 \in I_H^+$ is affine generic.

**Lemma 3.17.** Let $h \in I_H^+$ be an element such that $(\varphi_u h)^2$ is affine generic. If $y \in H$ satisfies $y\varphi_u h y^{-1} \in I_H(\varphi_u^{'}\cdot)$, then $y \in I_H(\varphi_u^{'}\cdot)$.

Proof. If $y\varphi_u h y^{-1} \in I_H^+(\varphi_u^{'}\cdot)$, then $(y\varphi_u h y^{-1})^2 = y(\varphi_u h)^2 y^{-1} \in I_H^+$. By the assumption and Lemma 2.6, $y$ belongs to $I_H(\varphi_u^{'}\cdot)$.

**Lemma 3.18.** Let $h \in I_H^+$ be an element such that $(\varphi_u h)^2$ is affine generic. Then the set

$$\{ y \in I_H^+(\varphi_u^{'}\cdot) \setminus I_H(\varphi_u^{'}\cdot) \mid y\varphi_u h y^{-1} \in I_H^+(\varphi_u^{'}\cdot) \}$$

is represented by

$$T_H(q) := \{ \text{diag}(t_1, \ldots, t_n, 1, t_n^{-1}, \ldots, t_1^{-1}) \in T_H(q) \mid t_1^2 = bu \}.$$
from the set of semisimple conjugacy classes in $H$ of triple $(\varphi')$. Our basic reference in this section is [KS99].

4.1. Proof. Let $\theta$ be a connected quasi-split reductive group over $\mathbb{F}$ and $\varphi'$ an automorphism of $\mathbb{G}$ defined over $\mathbb{F}$. Let $(\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{K}, \mathbb{L}, \mathbb{S}, \xi)$ be a quadruple of endoscopic data for the triple $(\mathbb{G}, \theta, 1)$. Then we have the map

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{H}/\mathbb{G}} : Cl_{ss}(\mathbb{H}) \to Cl_{ss}(\mathbb{G}, \theta)$$

from the set of semisimple conjugacy classes in $\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{F})$ to the set of $\theta$-semisimple $\theta$-conjugacy classes in $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F})$ (see Section 3.3 in [KS99]).

A $\theta$-semisimple element $g \in \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F})$ is said to be strongly $\theta$-regular if the $\theta$-centralizer $\text{Cent}_{\mathbb{G}}(g, \theta)$ of $g$ in $\mathbb{G}$ is abelian. Let $\mathbb{G}^{\theta,ss}$ be the set of strongly $\theta$-regular $\theta$-semisimple elements in $\mathbb{G}$, and $\mathbb{H}^{ss}$ the set of strongly regular semisimple elements in $\mathbb{H}$.

Proposition 3.19. Let $h \in I^+_H(\varphi'_{b-1})$ be an element such that $\varphi'_{b}h \in H^{ss}$ and $(\varphi'_{b}h)^2$ is affine generic. Let $(h_1, \ldots, h_n, h_{2n})$ be the simple affine components of $h$.

1. If $bu \notin k^{\times 2}$, then we have

$$\Theta_{\pi_{b}, \xi} (\varphi'_{b}h) = 0.$$

2. If $bu = v^2$ for some $v \in k^{\times}$, then we have

$$\Theta_{\pi_{b}, \xi} (\varphi'_{b}h) = \xi \left( K^{n}_{(h_1v^2+bbh_{2n})h_2\cdots h_n/v(\psi)} + K^{n}_{-h_1u+h_2\cdots h_n/v(\psi)} \right).$$

Proof. Note that the simple affine components of $(\varphi'_{b}h)^2$ are given by

$$(h_{2n}u^{-1} + h_1, 2h_2, \ldots, 2h_n, h_1u + h_{2n}),$$

and the affine genericity of $(\varphi'_{b}h)^2$ means that none of them is zero.

We use the character formula (Theorem 3.2) and Lemma 3.18. If $bu \notin k^{\times 2}$, then the set $T_H(q)$ is empty. Hence the sum in the character formula is zero.

If $bu = v^2$ for some $v \in k^{\times}$, then we can compute the character as follows:

$$\Theta_{\pi_{b}, \xi} (\varphi'_{b-1,v^2}h) = \sum_{\gamma \in T_H(q)} \chi_{b, \xi}(\varphi'_{b-1}) \chi_{b, \xi}((\varphi'_{b-1})^{-1}y\varphi'_{b-1,v^2}h\gamma^{-1})$$

$$= \xi \sum_{t_1, \ldots, t_n \in k^{\times} \atop t_1 = \pm v} \psi \left( \frac{t_1h_1v^2 + t_2h_2 + \cdots + t_nh_n}{t_1t_2h_{2n}} \right)$$

$$= \xi \left( K^{n}_{(h_1v^2+bbh_{2n})h_2\cdots h_n/v(\psi)} + K^{n}_{-h_1u+h_2\cdots h_n/v(\psi)} \right).$$

4. Norm correspondences

4.1. Norm correspondences. Let us first recall the norm correspondence for twisted endoscopy. Our basic reference in this section is [KS99].

Let $\mathbb{G}$ be a connected quasi-split reductive group over $\mathbb{F}$, and $\theta$ an automorphism of $\mathbb{G}$ defined over $\mathbb{F}$. Let $(\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{K}, \mathbb{L}, \mathbb{S}, \xi)$ be a quadruple of endoscopic data for the triple $(\mathbb{G}, \theta, 1)$. Then we have the map

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbb{H}/\mathbb{G}} : Cl_{ss}(\mathbb{H}) \to Cl_{\theta,ss}(\mathbb{G}, \theta)$$

from the set of semisimple conjugacy classes in $\mathbb{H}(\mathbb{F})$ to the set of $\theta$-semisimple $\theta$-conjugacy classes in $\mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F})$ (see Section 3.3 in [KS99]).

A $\theta$-semisimple element $g \in \mathbb{G}(\mathbb{F})$ is said to be strongly $\theta$-regular if the $\theta$-centralizer $\text{Cent}_{\mathbb{G}}(g, \theta)$ of $g$ in $\mathbb{G}$ is abelian. Let $\mathbb{G}^{\theta,ss}$ be the set of strongly $\theta$-regular $\theta$-semisimple elements in $\mathbb{G}$, and $\mathbb{H}^{ss}$ the set of strongly regular semisimple elements in $\mathbb{H}$.
Lemma 4.1. For a \( \theta \)-semisimple element \( g \) of \( \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{F}) \) and a semisimple element \( h \) of \( \mathbf{H}(\mathcal{F}) \), let \( \text{Eig}(\mathcal{N}(g)) \) and \( \text{Eig}(h) \) be the multi-sets consisting of eigenvalues of \( \mathcal{N}(g) = g\theta(g) \) and \( h \), respectively. Then \( h \) corresponds to \( g \) via \( \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{H}/\mathbf{G}} \) if and only if we have
\[
\text{Eig}(\mathcal{N}(g)) \sqcup \{1\} = \text{Eig}(h).
\]

Proof. We take \( t = \text{diag}(t_1, \ldots, t_{2n}) \in \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{F}) \) which is \( \theta \)-conjugate to \( g \) in \( \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{F}) \), and \( s = \text{diag}(s_1, \ldots, s_n, 1, s_n^{-1}, \ldots, s_1^{-1}) \in \mathbf{T}_H(\mathcal{F}) \) which is conjugate to \( h \) in \( \mathbf{H}(\mathcal{F}) \). Then, by the above description of \( \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{H}/\mathbf{G}} \), \( h \) corresponds to \( g \) if and only if \( s \) is conjugate to
\[
\text{diag}\left( t_1, \ldots, t_n, \frac{t_{n+1}}{t_n}, \ldots, \frac{t_{2n}}{t_1} \right)
\]
in \( \mathbf{H}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathbf{SO}_{2n+1}(\mathcal{F}) \). However, this is equivalent to saying that they are conjugate in \( \mathbf{GL}_{2n+1}(\mathcal{F}) \) (see, for example, [SS70 IV.2.15]), hence equivalent to saying that we have the following equality of multi-sets:
\[
\left\{ \frac{t_1}{t_{2n}}, \ldots, \frac{t_n}{t_{n+1}}, \frac{t_{n+1}}{t_n}, \ldots, \frac{t_{2n}}{t_1} \right\} = \left\{ s_1, \ldots, s_n, 1, s_n^{-1}, \ldots, s_1^{-1} \right\} = \text{Eig}(h).
\]
If we take \( x \in \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{F}) \) such that \( xg\theta(x)^{-1} = t \), then we have
\[
\mathcal{N}(xg\theta(x)^{-1}) = xg\theta(x)^{-1} \cdot \theta(xg\theta(x)^{-1}) = x\mathcal{N}(g)x^{-1}.
\]
On the other hand, we have

$$\mathcal{N}(t) = \text{diag}(\frac{t_1}{t_2}, \ldots, \frac{t_n}{t_{n+1}}, \ldots, \frac{t_{2n}}{t_1}).$$

Thus the multi-set Eig($\mathcal{N}(g)$) is given by

$$\{\frac{t_1}{t_2}, \ldots, \frac{t_n}{t_{n+1}}, \ldots, \frac{t_{2n}}{t_1}\},$$

and we get the assertion.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let $g$ be a $\theta$-semisimple element in $G(\overline{F})$ and $h$ a semisimple element in $H(\overline{F})$. If $h$ is conjugate to a matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & \mathcal{N}(g) \end{pmatrix}$$

in $\text{GL}_{2n+1}(\overline{F})$, then $h$ corresponds to $g$ via $\mathcal{A}_{H/G}$.

**Proof.** This follows from Lemma 4.1 immediately. \qed

Let us prove some lemmas needed later.

**Lemma 4.3.** Let $g$ be a $\theta$-semisimple element in $G(\overline{F})$ and $h$ a semisimple element in $H(\overline{F})$ which corresponds to $h$ via $\mathcal{A}_{H/G}$. If $\mathcal{N}(g) = g\theta(g) \in \mathcal{G}(\overline{F})$ is strongly regular semisimple, then $g$ is strongly $\theta$-regular and $h$ is strongly regular.

**Proof.** As $\mathcal{N}(g)$ is strongly regular semisimple, the centralizer $\text{Cent}_G(\mathcal{N}(g))$ of $\mathcal{N}(g)$ in $G$ is a maximal torus of $G$, in particular abelian. Since $\text{Cent}_G(g, \theta)$ is contained in $\text{Cent}_G(\mathcal{N}(g))$, $\text{Cent}_G(g, \theta)$ is abelian. Thus $g$ is strongly $\theta$-regular. By the assumption that $h$ corresponds to $g$, $h$ is also strongly regular ([KS99, Lemma 3.3.C.]). \qed

**Lemma 4.4.** Let $g \in \mathcal{G}^{\theta, \text{srs}}$. Then $g$ has at most one norm in $H^{\text{srs}}$ up to stable conjugacy.

**Proof.** Let $h, h' \in H^{\text{srs}}$ be norms of $g$. In our situation, the map $\mathcal{A}_{H/G}$ is bijective, hence $h$ and $h'$ are conjugate in $H(\overline{F})$. Since $h$ and $h'$ are strongly regular, they are stably conjugate. \qed

**Lemma 4.5.** Let $h$ be a strongly regular semisimple elliptic element in $H$. Then there exists a strongly $\theta$-regular $\theta$-semisimple $\theta$-elliptic element $g \in G$ such that $h$ is a norm of $g$.

**Proof.** This follows from the adjoint relation of the transfer factor for strongly regular semisimple elliptic elements (see the proof of [Art13 Proposition 2.1.1]). \qed

4.2. Norm correspondences for affine generic elements. In this subsection, we study the norm correspondence for affine generic elements. We use the same notations as in Subsections 2.3 and 2.4.

**Lemma 4.6.** Let $h \in I_H^{n} \subseteq H$ be an affine generic element with its simple affine components $(h_1, \ldots, h_n, h_{2n})$. Then $h$ is conjugate to a matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & h' \end{pmatrix}$$

in $\text{GL}_{2n+1}(F)$, where $h'$ is an affine generic element of $G$ with its simple affine components $(h_2, \ldots, h_n, h_{n+1}, \ldots, h_{1}, 2h_{2n})$. 
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Proof. Let
\[ h := \begin{pmatrix} x_{1,1} & \cdots & x_{1,2n+1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{2n+1,1} & \cdots & x_{2n+1,2n+1} \end{pmatrix} \in I_H^+ \]
be an affine generic element with its simple affine components \((h_1, \ldots, h_n, h_{2n})\), then we have
\[
\begin{align*}
x_{1,2} &\equiv x_{2n,2n+1} \equiv h_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \\
& \quad \vdots \\
x_{n,n+1} &\equiv x_{n+1,n+2} \equiv h_n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \text{ and} \\
x_{2n,1} &\equiv x_{2n+1,2} \equiv h_{2n} \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.
\end{align*}
\]
Since \(h\) is an element of \(\text{SO}_{2n+1}(F)\), \(h\) has an eigenvector with eigenvalue 1. We take such an eigenvector satisfying
\[
v = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_{2n+1} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{O}^{\oplus(2n+1)} \setminus p^{\oplus(2n+1)}.
\]
Then we have
\[
\begin{pmatrix} x_{1,1} - 1 & \cdots & x_{1,2n+1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{2n+1,1} & \cdots & x_{2n+1,2n+1} - 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_{2n+1} \end{pmatrix} = 0.
\]
In particular, this gives
\[
\begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_{1,2} & \cdots & x_{1,2n+1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & x_{2n,2n+1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_{2n+1} \end{pmatrix} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.
\]
Hence we have
\[
v_2 \equiv \cdots \equiv v_{2n+1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.
\]
As \(v \not\in p^{\oplus(2n+1)}\), we may assume that \(v_1 = 1\).
Consider the following matrix:
\[
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & x_{1,2} \\ v_2 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & 0 & x_{2,2} - v_2x_{1,2} \\ v_{2n+1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & x_{2n+1,2} - v_{2n+1}x_{1,2} & \cdots & x_{2n,2n+1} - v_{2n+1}x_{1,2n+1} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} h
\]
\[
= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_{1,2} & \cdots & x_{1,2n+1} \\ 0 & x_{2,2} - v_2x_{1,2} & \cdots & x_{2,2n+1} - v_2x_{1,2n+1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & x_{2n+1,2} - v_{2n+1}x_{1,2} & \cdots & x_{2n+1,2n+1} - v_{2n+1}x_{1,2n+1} \end{pmatrix}.
\]
Then it suffices to show that the matrix
\[
h' := \begin{pmatrix}
  x_{2,2} - v_2x_{1,2} & \cdots & x_{2,2n+1} - v_2x_{1,2n+1} \\
  \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  x_{2n+1,2} - v_{2n+1}x_{1,2} & \cdots & x_{2n+1,2n+1} - v_{2n+1}x_{1,2n+1}
\end{pmatrix}
\]
is a desired affine generic element in \( G \). As \( h' \) is an element of \( I^+ \), our task is to compute the simple affine components of \( h' \).

First, as
\[
v_2 \equiv \cdots \equiv v_{2n+1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p},
\]
the first \((2n - 1)\)-simple affine components of \( h' \) are \((h_2, \ldots, h_n, h_1)\).

Second, by the \((2n)\)-th row of the equation (\( \ast \)), we have
\[
x_{2n,1} + x_{2n,2}v_2 + \cdots + (x_{2n,2n} - 1)v_{2n} + x_{2n,2n+1}v_{2n+1} = 0.
\]
Thus we have
\[
x_{2n,1} + x_{2n,2n+1}v_{2n+1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^2},
\]
so the last simple affine component of \( h' \) is
\[
(x_{2n+1,2} - v_{2n+1}x_{1,2})^{c_1} \equiv h_{2n} - v_{2n+1}c_1^{-1}h_1 \\
\equiv 2h_{2n} \pmod{p}.
\]

\( \square \)

**Proposition 4.7.** Let \( h \in I_H^+ \subseteq H \) be an affine generic element with its simple affine components \((h_1, \ldots, h_n, h_{2n})\). Then \( h \) is strongly regular semisimple elliptic, and there exists \( g \in G \) satisfying the following conditions:

- \( g \) is strongly \( \theta \)-regular \( \theta \)-semisimple \( \theta \)-elliptic,
- \( h \) is a norm of \( g \), and
- \( N(g) \) is an affine generic element of \( G \) with simple affine components \((h_2, \ldots, h_n, h_1, 2h_{2n})\).

**Proof.** From Lemma 4.6 and the proof of Lemma 3.3, it follows that \( h \) has \((2n + 1)\)-distinct eigenvalues. Hence \( h \) is semisimple. Since the centralizer of \( h \) in \( H \) is compact by Lemma 4.14, \( h \) is elliptic.

We next show that \( h \) is strongly regular. Let \( h' \) be the affine generic element of \( G \) defined in Lemma 4.6. We take a \( \theta \)-semisimple element \( g' \in G(\mathcal{F}) \) corresponding to \( h \) via \( A_{H/G} \). Then, by Lemma 4.1 we have
\[
\text{Eig}(N(g')) = \text{Eig}(h').
\]
In particular, \( N(g') \) and \( h' \) are conjugate in \( G(\mathcal{F}) \). Since \( h' \) is an affine generic element of \( G \), \( h' \) is a strongly regular semisimple element of \( G(\mathcal{F}) \) by Lemma 3.3. Thus so is \( N(g') \). By applying Lemma 4.8 to \( g' \in G(\mathcal{F}) \) and \( h \in H \), we can conclude that \( h \) is a strongly regular element of \( H \).

Finally, we take a strongly \( \theta \)-regular \( \theta \)-semisimple \( \theta \)-elliptic element \( g'' \in G \) such that \( h \) is a norm of \( g'' \). Such an element exists by Lemma 4.5. Then, by the same argument as above, \( N(g'') \) and \( h' \) are conjugate in \( G(\mathcal{F}) \). However, since \( N(g'') \) and \( h' \) belong to \( G = G(F) \), they are conjugate in \( G \). Let \( x' \) be an element of \( G \) satisfying \( x'N(g'')x'^{-1} = h' \). Then \( x'g''\theta(x')^{-1} \) belongs to \( G \) and is strongly \( \theta \)-regular \( \theta \)-semisimple \( \theta \)-elliptic. Moreover \( h \) is a norm of \( x'g''\theta(x')^{-1} \). Finally, since we have
\[
N(x'g''\theta(x')^{-1}) = x'N(g'')x'^{-1} = h',
\]
$x'g''\theta(x')^{-1}$ satisfies the third condition in the assertion. This element is as desired. \hfill $\square$

4.3. Transfer factors. As in the previous subsection, we put $G = \text{GL}_{2n}$ and $H = \text{SO}_{2n+1}$. We fix the following $\theta$-stable Whittaker datum $(B, \lambda)$ of $G$:

- $B$ is the subgroup of upper triangular matrices in $G$, and
- $\lambda$ is the character of the unipotent radical $U = U(F)$ of $B(F)$ defined by

$$\lambda(x) = \psi(x_{12} + \cdots + x_{2n-1,2n})$$

for $x = (x_{ij}) \in U$, where $\psi$ is the fixed nontrivial additive character of $F$.

Then we have the normalized absolute transfer factor $\Delta_{H,G}$ for $G$ and $H$ with respect to $(B, \lambda)$. This is a function

$$\Delta_{H,G} : H^{\text{srs}} \times G^{\theta\text{-srs}} \to \mathbb{C},$$

which has the following properties.

- The value $\Delta_{H,G}(h, g)$ is nonzero only if $h$ is a norm of $g$.
- If $h_1, h_2 \in H^{\text{srs}}$ are stably conjugate, then $\Delta_{H,G}(h_1, g) = \Delta_{H,G}(h_2, g)$.
- If $g_1, g_2 \in G^{\theta\text{-srs}}$ are $\theta$-conjugate, then $\Delta_{H,G}(h, g_1) = \Delta_{H,G}(h, g_2)$.

Our purpose in this subsection is to show the following proposition (the triviality of $\Delta_{H,G}$):

**Proposition 4.8.** Let $h \in H^{\text{srs}}$ and $g \in G^{\theta\text{-srs}}$. If $h$ is a norm of $g$, then the transfer factor $\Delta_{H,G}(h, g)$ is equal to 1.

The transfer factor $\Delta_{H,G}$ is defined as the product of $\Delta_I$, $\Delta_H$, $\Delta_{II}$ and $\Delta_{IV}$ (since $G$ and $H$ are split, the contribution of the local $\varepsilon$-factor is trivial). However, by using Waldspurger’s formula for the transfer factors for classical groups ([Wal10 1.10 Proposition]), we know that the product of the three factors $\Delta_I$, $\Delta_{II}$, and $\Delta_{III}$ is trivial. Indeed, an elliptic endoscopic group of the twisted $\text{GL}_{2n}$ is in the form of

$$\text{SO}_{d^+} \times \text{SO}_{d^-},$$

where

- $d^+ \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a non-negative odd number and $d^- \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a non-negative even number such that $d^+ + d^- = 2n + 1$,
- $\text{SO}_{d^+}$ is the split odd special orthogonal group, and
- $\text{SO}_{d^-}$ is a quasi-split even special orthogonal group

and only $\text{SO}_{d^-}$ contributes to the product $\Delta_I \cdot \Delta_{II} \cdot \Delta_{III}$ nontrivially (see [Wal10 1.8 and 1.10] for details). In our setting, $d^+ = 2n + 1$ and $d^- = 0$. Hence the product $\Delta_I \cdot \Delta_{II} \cdot \Delta_{III}$ is trivial, and Proposition 4.8 is reduced to showing the triviality of $\Delta_{IV}$.

We recall the definition of $\Delta_{IV}$. Let $D_H$ be the Weyl discriminant:

$$D_H(h) := |\det(\text{Ad}(h) - 1 | h/t_{H,h})|^{1/2} \text{ for } h \in H^{\text{srs}},$$

where $h$ and $t_{H,h}$ are the Lie algebras of $H$ and $T_{H,h} := \text{Cent}_H(h)$, respectively. Let $D_{G,\theta}$ be the $\theta$-twisted Weyl discriminant:

$$D_{G,\theta}(g) := |\det(\text{Ad}(g) \circ \theta - 1 | g/t_{g})|^{1/2} \text{ for } g \in G^{\theta\text{-srs}},$$

where $\theta$ is the character of $G$. Then

$$
\Delta_{IV}(h, g) = \frac{D_H(h) \cdot D_{G,\theta}(g)}{D_H(h^{-1}g) \cdot D_{G,\theta}(g^{-1}h)}.
$$

By the definition of $\Delta_{H,G}$ and the triviality of $\Delta_I \cdot \Delta_{II} \cdot \Delta_{III}$, it follows that

$$\Delta_{H,G}(h, g) = 1$$

for $h$ a norm of $g$. This completes the proof of Proposition 4.8.
where \( \mathfrak{g} \) and \( t_g \) are the Lie algebras of \( G \) and \( T_g := \text{Cent}_G(\text{Cent}_G(g, \theta)^0) \), respectively. Here \( T_g \) is a maximal torus of \( G \). Indeed, since \( g \) is \( \theta \)-semisimple, we can find \( x \in G(F) \) such that \( xg\theta(x)^{-1} \in T(F) \). As we have

\[
T_{xg\theta(x)^{-1}} = \text{Cent}_G(\text{Cent}_G(xg\theta(x)^{-1}, \theta)^0) = \text{Cent}_G(x \text{Cent}_G(g, \theta)^0 x^{-1}) = x \text{Cent}_G(\text{Cent}_G(g, \theta)^0) x^{-1} = xT_g x^{-1},
\]

it suffices to show that \( T_{xg\theta(x)^{-1}} \) is a maximal torus. This follows from the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.9.** If a \( \theta \)-regular \( \theta \)-semisimple element \( g \) of \( G(F) \) belongs to the diagonal maximal torus \( T \) of \( G \), then we have \( T_g = T \).

**Proof.** As \( g \) belongs to \( T \), every element \( x \) of the \( \theta \)-fixed part \( T^\theta \) of \( T \) satisfies

\[
xg\theta(x)^{-1} = xgx^{-1} = g.
\]

Thus the \( \theta \)-twisted centralizer \( \text{Cent}_G(g, \theta) \) of \( g \) contains \( T^\theta \). Since the action of \( \theta \) on \( T \) is given by

\[
\text{diag}(t_1, \ldots, t_{2n}) \mapsto \text{diag}(t_1^{-1}, \ldots, t_{2n}^{-1}),
\]

\( T^\theta \) is a torus of rank \( n \) given by

\[
\{\text{diag}(t_1, \ldots, t_{2n}) \in T \mid t_1 = t_2^{-1}, \ldots, t_n = t_{n+1}^{-1}\}.
\]

In particular \( T^\theta \) is connected, hence \( \text{Cent}_G(g, \theta)^0 \) contains \( T^\theta \). Therefore we have

\[
\text{Cent}_G(\text{Cent}_G(g, \theta)^0) \subseteq \text{Cent}_G(T^\theta).
\]

Since \( T^\theta \) contains a regular semisimple element of \( G \), \( \text{Cent}_G(T^\theta) \) is equal to \( T \). On the other hand, as \( g \) is \( \theta \)-regular semisimple, \( \text{Cent}_G(g, \theta)^0 \) is a torus of \( G \). In particular, \( \text{Cent}_G(\text{Cent}_G(g, \theta)^0) \) contains a maximal torus of \( G \). Therefore we get

\[
\text{Cent}_G(\text{Cent}_G(g, \theta)^0) = \text{Cent}_G(T^\theta) = T.
\]

\( \square \)

We take \( g \in G^{\theta, \text{str}} \), and let \( h \in H^{\text{str}} \) be a norm of \( g \). Then the fourth factor is defined by

\[
\Delta_{IV}(h, g) = \frac{D_{G, \theta}(g)}{D_H(h)}.
\]

**Lemma 4.10.** Let \( h \in H^{\text{str}} \) and \( g \in G^{\theta, \text{str}} \). If \( h \) is a norm of \( g \), then we have

\[
D_{G, \theta}(g) = D_H(h).
\]

In particular, we have \( \Delta_{IV}(h, g) = 1 \).

**Proof.** First, we compute \( D_{G, \theta}(g) \). As \( g \) is \( \theta \)-semisimple, we can find \( x \in G(F) \) such that \( xg\theta(x)^{-1} \in T(F) \). Since the \( \theta \)-twisted Weyl discriminant is invariant under \( \theta \)-conjugation, it suffices to compute \( D_{G, \theta}(xg\theta(x)^{-1}) \). We put

\[
t := xg\theta(x)^{-1} = \text{diag}(t_1, \ldots, t_{2n}).
\]

Then we have \( T_t = T \) by Lemma 4.9.
Now we compute $D_{G,\theta}(t) := |\det (\Ad(t) \circ \theta - 1 \mid g/t) |^\frac{1}{2}$, where $t$ is the Lie algebra of $T$. We write $X_{i,j}$ for the root vector of the root $e_i - e_j$ whose $(i,j)$-th entry is given by 1 and all of the other entries are given by 0. Then we have

$$\theta(X_{i,j}) = -J^t X_{i,j} J^{-1} = (-1)^{i+j+1} X_{2n+1-j,2n+1-i}.$$ 

Thus $X_{i,j}$ is an eigenvector of $\theta$ if and only if $i + j = 2n + 1$. In this case we have

$$\Ad(t) \circ \theta - 1(X_{i,2n+1-i}) = (t_i/t_{2n+1-i} - 1)X_{i,2n+1-i}.$$ 

On the other hand, for $i + j \neq 2n + 1$, the $T$-vector space spanned by $X_{i,j}$ and $X_{2n+1-j,2n+1-i}$ is stable under $\Ad(t) \circ \theta - 1$, and the representation matrix of $\Ad(t) \circ \theta - 1$ with respect to $X_{i,j}$ and $X_{2n+1-j,2n+1-i}$ is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix}
-1 & \cdots \\
\cdots & -1
\end{pmatrix}.$$ 

Therefore if we set

$$\tilde{t_i} := \frac{t_i}{t_{2n+1-i}},$$

then $D_{G,\theta}(g) = |\det (\Ad(t) \circ \theta - 1 \mid g/t) |^\frac{1}{2}$ is given by the product of

$$D_{G,\theta}(g)_1 := \prod_{1 \leq i \leq 2n} |\tilde{t_i} - 1|^\frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$D_{G,\theta}(g)_2 := \prod_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2n, i > 2n+1-j} |\tilde{t_i} \tilde{t}_{2n+1-j} - 1|^\frac{1}{2}.$$ 

Note that we have

$$(D_{G,\theta}(g)_2)^2 = \prod_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2n} |\tilde{t_i} \tilde{t}_{2n+1-j} - 1|^\frac{1}{2} = \prod_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2n} |\tilde{t_i} \tilde{t}_{j} - 1|^\frac{1}{2}.$$ 

We next compute $D_{H}(h)$. Let $s = \text{diag}(s_1, \ldots, s_n, 1, s_1^{-1}, \ldots, s_n^{-1}) \in T_{H}(F)$ be an element which is conjugate to $h$ in $H(F)$. By a similar, but simpler, argument to that above, $D_{H}(h) = |\det (\Ad(s) - 1 \mid h/t_{H}) |^\frac{1}{2}$ is given by the product of

$$D_{H}(h)_1 := \prod_{i=1}^{n} |s_i - 1|^\frac{1}{2} \cdot |s_i^{-1} - 1|^\frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$D_{H}(h)_2 := \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} |s_i s_j - 1|^\frac{1}{2} \cdot |s_i s_j^{-1} - 1|^\frac{1}{2} \cdot |s_i - 1|^\frac{1}{2} \cdot |s_i s_j - 1|^\frac{1}{2}.$$ 

Note that

$$(D_{H}(h)_2)^2 = \prod_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} |s_i s_j - 1|^\frac{1}{2} \cdot |s_i s_j - 1|^\frac{1}{2} \cdot |s_i - 1|^\frac{1}{2} \cdot |s_i s_j - 1|^\frac{1}{2}.$$ 

On the other hand, by Lemma 4.3, the multi-set \{s_i^{\pm1} \mid s_n^{\pm1}\} is equal to the multi-set of eigenvalues $\text{Eig}(N(g))$ of $N(g)$. Since we have $N(t) = xN(g)x^{-1}$, $\text{Eig}(N(g))$ is equal to $\text{Eig}(N(t)) = \{\tilde{t}_1, \ldots, \tilde{t}_{2n}\}$. Hence we have

$$\{s_i^{\pm1} \mid s_n^{\pm1}\} = \{\tilde{t}_1, \ldots, \tilde{t}_{2n}\}. $$
From this, we get $D_{G, \theta}(g)_1 = D_{H}(h)_1$.

We finally compare $D_{G, \theta}(g)_2$ with $D_{H}(h)_2$. By the assumption of the regularity of $h$, we have $s_i \neq s_j^{\pm 1}$ for every $j \neq i$. Moreover, by the definition of $\tilde{t}_i$, we have

$$\tilde{t}_i^{-1} = \tilde{t}_{2n+1-i}.$$ 

Thus, by permuting the indices of the $s_i$ appropriately, we may assume that

$$s_1 = \tilde{t}_1, \ s_1^{-1} = \tilde{t}_2,$$

$$
\vdots
$$

$$s_n = \tilde{t}_n, \ s_n^{-1} = \tilde{t}_{n+1}.
$$

Then we have

$$\prod_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} |s_is_j^{-1} - 1|^\frac{1}{2} \cdot |\frac{s_i}{s_j} - 1|^\frac{1}{2} \cdot |\frac{s_j}{s_i} - 1|^\frac{1}{2} = \prod_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq n} |\tilde{t}_i\tilde{t}_j - 1|^\frac{1}{2} \cdot |\tilde{t}_i\tilde{t}_{2n+1-j} - 1|^\frac{1}{2} \cdot |\tilde{t}_j\tilde{t}_{2n+1-i} - 1|^\frac{1}{2} \cdot |\tilde{t}_{2n+1-i}\tilde{t}_{2n+1-j} - 1|^\frac{1}{2}$$

$$= \prod_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq 2n} |\tilde{t}_i\tilde{t}_j - 1|^\frac{1}{2}.$$ 

Thus we get $(D_{G, \theta}(g)_2)^2 = (D_{H}(h)_2)^2$, hence $D_{G, \theta}(g)_2 = D_{H}(h)_2$, $\square$

4.4. Norms of $1 + \varphi_u$ and $\varphi_u(1 + \varphi_u)$. We first prove a lemma about $\theta$-semisimplicity of semisimple elements in $G$.

**Lemma 4.11.** Let $g \in G(F)$ be a semisimple element such that $N(g) = g\theta(g) \in G(F)$ is strongly regular semisimple. If $g\theta(g) = \theta(g)g$, then $g$ is $\theta$-semisimple.

**Proof.** Since $F$ has characteristic zero, $g$ is $\theta$-semisimple if and only if $\text{Int}(g) \circ \theta$ preserves a pair $(B', T')$ in $G$ (see Section 1.1 in [KS99]). If there exists $x \in G(F)$ such that $xg\theta(x)^{-1}$ belongs to the diagonal torus $T(F)$, then the pair $(x^{-1}Bx, x^{-1}Tx)$ is $\text{Int}(g) \circ \theta$-invariant. Therefore it suffices to prove the existence of such $x \in G(F)$.

As $g$ is semisimple, $\theta(g)$ is also semisimple. Since $g\theta(g) = \theta(g)g$, we can take $x \in G(F)$ such that $xg\theta(x)^{-1} \in T(F)$ and $x\theta(g)x^{-1} \in T(F)$. Note that this element also satisfies $xN(g)x^{-1} \in T(F)$. On the other hand, since the element $N(g)$ is $\theta$-invariant, $JN(g)^{-1}J^\dagger$ equals $N(g)$. In particular, if we let $\{t_1, \ldots, t_{2n}\}$ be the multi-set $\text{Eig}(N(g))$ of eigenvalues of $N(g)$, then we have

$$\{t_1, \ldots, t_{2n}\} = \{t_1^{-1}, \ldots, t_{2n}^{-1}\}.$$ 

By combining with the assumption that $N(g)$ is strongly regular semisimple (i.e., $\text{Eig}(N(g))$ is multiplicity-free), we have

$$\text{Eig}(N(g)) = \{t_1, \ldots, t_n, t_n^{-1}, \ldots, t_1^{-1}\}.$$ 

Therefore, by replacing $x$ with $wx$ for an appropriate element $w$ of the Weyl group $\Omega_T$, we may assume that

$$xN(g)x^{-1} = \text{diag}(t_1, \ldots, t_n, t_n^{-1}, \ldots, t_1^{-1}) \in T^\theta(F) \subseteq T(F).$$ 

Then we have

$$xN(g)x^{-1} = \theta(xN(g)x^{-1}) = \theta(x)N(g)\theta(x)^{-1},$$
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hence \( x^{-1} \theta(x) \) belongs to \( \text{Cent}_G(\mathcal{N}(g)) \). Since \( \mathcal{N}(g) \) belongs to \( x^{-1} \mathbf{T}(\overline{F})x \) and \( \mathcal{N}(g) \) is strongly regular semisimple, we have \( \text{Cent}_G(\mathcal{N}(g)) = x^{-1} \mathbf{T}x \). Therefore \( x\theta(x)^{-1} \in \mathbf{T}(\overline{F}) \), and \( xg\theta(x)^{-1} = xgx^{-1} \cdot x\theta(x)^{-1} \in \mathbf{T}(\overline{F}) \). This element \( x \) is as desired. \( \square \)

For \( u \in k^x \), we consider the following element:

\[
\mathcal{N}(1 + \varphi_u) := (1 - \varpi u)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 + \varpi u & 2 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots \\
\varpi u & 2\varpi u & 1 + \varpi u \\
(\varpi u)^2/2 & \varpi u & \ldots & \varpi u & 1
\end{pmatrix} \in I_H^+ \subseteq H.
\]

Here, the big 2 means that all upper triangular entries are given by 2, and the big 2 \( \varpi u \) means that all lower triangular entries which lie in neither the first column nor the last row are given by 2\( \varpi u \).

**Proposition 4.12.**

(1) The element \( 1 + \varphi_u \in G \) is strongly \( \theta \)-regular and \( \mathcal{N}(1 + \varphi_u) \in H \) is strongly regular semisimple. Moreover \( \mathcal{N}(1 + \varphi_u) \) is a norm of \( 1 + \varphi_u \).

(2) The element \( \varphi_u(1 + \varphi_u) \in G \) is strongly \( \theta \)-regular and \( \varphi_u(1 + \varphi_u) \in H \) is strongly regular semisimple. Moreover \( \varphi_u(1 + \varphi_u) \) is a norm of \( \varphi_u(1 + \varphi_u) \).

**Proof.** Let us prove (1). We first show that \( 1 + \varphi_u \) is \( \theta \)-semisimple. By Lemma 3.3

\[
\theta(1 + \varphi_u) = J(1 + t \varphi_u)^{-1}J^{-1}
\]

\[
= J(1 - t \varphi_u + t^2 \varphi_u^2 - \cdots)J^{-1}
\]

\[
= 1 + \varphi_u + \varphi_u^2 + \cdots,
\]

\( 1 + \varphi_u \) commutes with \( \theta(1 + \varphi_u) \). Moreover we can check that

\[
\mathcal{N}(1 + \varphi_u) = (1 + \varphi_u)\theta(1 + \varphi_u) = (1 - \varpi u)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix}
1 + \varpi u & 2 \\
\vdots & \ddots \\
(\varpi u)^2/2 & \varpi u & \ldots & \varpi u & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Since the characteristic of \( k \) is not equal to 2, this is an affine generic element of \( G \). Hence this is strongly regular semisimple by Lemma 3.3. Therefore \( 1 + \varphi_u \) is \( \theta \)-semisimple by Lemma 4.11.

We next show \( \mathcal{N}(1 + \varphi_u) \) is semisimple and corresponds to \( 1 + \varphi_u \) via \( A_{H/G} \).

Let \( X \) be the matrix

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
& \ddots \\
-\varpi u/2 & 1
\end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_{2n+1}(F),
\]

then we have

\[
X^{-1}\mathcal{N}(1 + \varphi_u)X = (1 - \varpi u)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix}
1 - \varpi u & 0 & 2 \\
0 & 1 + \varpi u & \ddots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots \\
0 & 2\varpi u & 1 + \varpi u
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
By the affine genericity of $N(1 + \varphi_u)$, this element is semisimple. Moreover $N(1 + \varphi_u)$ corresponds to $1 + \varphi_u$ via $A_{H/G}$ by Lemma 4.2.

Finally, by Lemma 4.3, $1 + \varphi_u$ is strongly $\theta$-regular and $N(1 + \varphi_u)$ is strongly regular.

For (2), by using the following equalities, we can apply the same argument as in (1):

\[
\theta(\varphi_u(1 + \varphi_u)) = \theta(\varphi_u)\theta(1 + \varphi_u) = -\varphi_u^{-1}(1 + \varphi_u + \varphi_u^2 + \cdots),
\]

\[
N(\varphi_u(1 + \varphi_u)) = \varphi_u(1 + \varphi_u)\theta(\varphi_u(1 + \varphi_u)) = -N(1 + \varphi_u), \text{ and}
\]

\[
X^{-1}\varphi_{u/2}N(1 + \varphi_u)X = (-1 + \varphi_u)^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}
-1 + \varphi u & 2 & \cdots & 2/(\varphi u) \\
0 & 1 + \varphi u & & 2 \\
\vdots & & \ddots & \\
0 & 2\varphi u & & 1 + \varphi u
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

\[\Box\]

5. Main theorem

5.1. Endoscopic character relation. In this subsection we recall the endoscopic classification of representations of classical groups in [Art13], for the cases of odd special orthogonal groups.

As in previous sections, let $G$ be $GL_{2n}$ over $F$, and $\theta$ the automorphism of $G$ over $F$ defined by

\[
g \mapsto J^t g^{-1} J^{-1}, \text{ where } J = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & & & \\
& -1 & & \\
& & \ddots & \\
& & & (-1)^{2n-1}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Let $H$ be $SO_{2n+1}$ over $F$.

We write $\Phi(G)$ (resp. $\Phi(H)$) for the set of $\widehat{G}$-conjugacy classes of $L$-parameters of $G$ (resp. $\widehat{H}$-conjugacy classes of $L$-parameters of $H$). For $\phi_H \in \Phi(H)$, we set

\[
S_{\phi_H} := \text{Cent}_{\widehat{H}}(\text{Im}(\phi_H)), \text{ and}
\]

\[
S_{\phi_H} := S_{\phi_H}/S_{\phi_H}^0 Z(\widehat{H}).
\]

Here, we fix a representative of $\phi_H$ and denote it again by $\phi_H$.

Before we state Arthur’s theorem, we explain the normalizations of $\theta$-twisted distribution characters of self-dual irreducible smooth representations of $G$ determined by the Whittaker datum. In the following, we fix the $\theta$-stable Whittaker datum $(B, \lambda)$ defined in Section 4.3. Let $\pi$ be a self-dual irreducible tempered representation of $G$. Then $\pi$ is generic for $(B, \lambda)$ (hence for every Whittaker datum), that is

\[
\text{Hom}_U(\pi, \lambda) \neq 0.
\]

In general, it is known that the dimension of $\text{Hom}_U(\pi, \lambda)$ is not greater than one. Therefore $\text{Hom}_U(\pi, \lambda)$ is 1-dimensional if $\pi$ is generic. If we take a nonzero element $\Lambda$ of $\text{Hom}_U(\pi, \lambda)$, then it also belongs to $\text{Hom}_U(\pi^\theta, \lambda^\theta) = \text{Hom}_U(\pi^\theta, \lambda)$. On the other hand, since $\pi$ is self-dual, there exists a $G$-equivariant isomorphism $A : \pi \cong \pi^\theta$ (this is unique up to a scalar multiple). Then the composite $A \circ A$ is again a nonzero
element of $\text{Hom}_G(\pi, \lambda)$, hence equals $c \cdot \Lambda$ for a scalar $c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, which does not depend on $\Lambda$.

In the following, for a self-dual irreducible tempered representation $\pi$ of $G$, we take the unique intertwiner $A: \pi \cong \pi^\theta$ such that $\Lambda \circ A$ is equal to $\Lambda$. We note that, for a simple supercuspidal representation of $G$, this normalization coincides with that of Section 3.2. Namely the following proposition holds.

**Proposition 5.1.** Let $a \in k^\times$ and $\zeta \in \{\pm 1\}$, and we consider the simple supercuspidal representation $\pi_{a, \zeta}$. The intertwiner $A: \pi_{a, \zeta} \cong \pi_{a, \zeta}^\theta$ induced from the identity map $\chi_{a, \zeta} \mapsto \chi_{a, \zeta}$ ($= \chi_{a, \zeta}^\theta$) satisfies $\Lambda = \Lambda \circ A$ for every $\Lambda \in \text{Hom}_U(\pi_{a, \zeta}, \lambda)$.

**Proof.** Since the ratio of $\Lambda$ to $\Lambda \circ A$ does not depend on $\Lambda$, it suffices to find one homomorphism $\Lambda \in \text{Hom}_U(\pi_{a, \zeta}, \lambda)$ which is nonzero and satisfies $\Lambda = \Lambda \circ A$.

We first note that the intertwiner

$$A: \pi_{a, \zeta} = c \cdot \text{Ind}_{ZI}^G(\varphi_{a-1}) \chi_{a, \zeta} = c \cdot \text{Ind}_{ZI}^G(\varphi_{a-1}) \chi_{a, \zeta}^\theta \cong \pi_{a, \zeta}^\theta$$

induced from the identity map of $\chi_{a, \zeta}$ is given by

$$f \mapsto \theta^*(f) = f \circ \theta.$$

Here we regard $f \in \pi_{a, \zeta}$ as a function on $G$. Since $\Lambda$ is a scalar multiple of $\Lambda \circ A$, it is enough to find $\Lambda \in \text{Hom}_U(\pi_{a, \zeta}, \lambda)$ satisfying

$$\Lambda(\tilde{\chi}_{a, \zeta}) = (\Lambda \circ A)(\tilde{\chi}_{a, \zeta}) \neq 0,$$

where $\tilde{\chi}_{a, \zeta} \in \pi_{a, \zeta}$ is the zero extension of $\chi_{a, \zeta}$ to $G$:

$$\tilde{\chi}_{a, \zeta}(g) := \begin{cases} \chi_{a, \zeta}(g) & (g \in ZI^+(\varphi_{a-1})), \\ 0 & \text{(otherwise)}. \end{cases}$$

However, for every $\Lambda \in \text{Hom}_U(\pi_{a, \zeta}, \lambda)$, we have

$$(\Lambda \circ A)(\tilde{\chi}_{a, \zeta}) = \Lambda(\tilde{\chi}_{a, \zeta} \circ \theta) = \Lambda(\tilde{\chi}_{a, \zeta}).$$

Thus we are reduced to finding $\Lambda \in \text{Hom}_U(\pi_{a, \zeta}, \lambda)$ such that $\Lambda(\tilde{\chi}_{a, \zeta}) \neq 0$.

By the Frobenius reciprocities for the compact and smooth inductions, we have

$$\text{Hom}_{ZI^+(\varphi_{a-1})}(\chi_{a, \zeta}, \text{Ind}_U^G \lambda) \cong \text{Hom}_G(\pi_{a, \zeta}, \text{Ind}_U^G \lambda) \cong \text{Hom}_U(\pi_{a, \zeta}, \lambda).$$

If we write $\Lambda'$ for the element of $\text{Hom}_{ZI^+(\varphi_{a-1})}(\chi_{a, \zeta}, \text{Ind}_U^G \lambda)$ corresponding to $\Lambda$ under these isomorphisms, then, by chasing the constructions of the isomorphisms of the Frobenius reciprocities, we have

$$\Lambda(\tilde{\chi}_{a, \zeta}) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow (\Lambda'(1))(1) \neq 0.$$

Thus it suffices to construct $\Lambda' \in \text{Hom}_{ZI^+(\varphi_{a-1})}(\chi_{a, \zeta}, \text{Ind}_U^G \lambda)$ satisfying $(\Lambda'(1))(1) \neq 0$.

We put

$$W(g) := \begin{cases} \lambda(u)\chi_{a, \zeta}(x) & (g = ux \text{ for } u \in U, x \in ZI^+(\varphi_{a-1})), \\ 0 & \text{(otherwise)}. \end{cases}$$

This is well-defined since $\lambda$ coincides with $\chi_{a, \zeta}$ on $U \cap ZI^+(\varphi_{a-1})$, and an element of $\text{Ind}_U^G \lambda$. We define a $\mathbb{C}$-linear map $\Lambda'$ from $\chi_{a, \zeta}$ to $\text{Ind}_U^G \lambda$ by $\Lambda'(1) := W$. Then $\Lambda'$ is $ZI^+(\varphi_{a-1})$-equivariant and satisfies $(\Lambda'(1))(1) \neq 0$. Thus $\Lambda'$ is as desired. \(\square\)

Now we state Arthur’s local classification theorem.
Theorem 5.2 ([Art13 Theorems 1.5.1 and 2.2.1]). For every tempered $L$-parameter $\phi_H \in \Phi(H)$, there is a finite set $\Pi_{\phi_H}$ (which is called an $L$-packet) consisting of irreducible tempered representations of $H$, and the following properties hold.

- There is a bijection from $\Pi_{\phi_H}$ to the group $\hat{S}_{\phi_H}$ of characters of $S_{\phi_H}$.
- The distribution $\sum_{\pi \in \Pi_{\phi_H}} \text{tr} \pi_H$ on $\mathcal{H}(H)$ is stable.
- For every $f \in \mathcal{H}(G)$, we have the following equality:

$$\text{tr} \pi(f) = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_{\phi_H}} \text{tr} \pi_H(f^H),$$

where $\pi$ is the self-dual irreducible tempered representation of $G$ corresponding to $\xi \circ \phi_H \in \Phi(G)$ via the local Langlands correspondence for $G$, $\text{tr} \pi$ is its $\theta$-twisted distribution character with respect to the normalization determined by the fixed Whittaker datum $(B, \lambda)$, and $f^H \in \mathcal{H}(H)$ is a transfer of $f$ to $H$.

We remark that in [Art13] this theorem is stated in terms of the twisted space (for example, the $\theta$-twisted distribution of $\pi$ is regarded as a $\mathbb{C}$-linear functional on $\mathcal{H}(G \rtimes \theta)$). We can translate this formalism into our language (see e.g. [LH17 1.2.6 and I.5.11]).

By using a stable version of the twisted Weyl integration formula, we can rewrite the relation in Theorem 5.2 in terms of the characters $\Theta_{\pi, \theta}$ and $\Theta_{\pi_H}$ as follows (see e.g. Section 5 in [Li13]).

Theorem 5.3. Let $\phi_H \in \Phi(H)$, $\Pi_{\phi_H}$ the $L$-packet of $\phi_H$ defined in Theorem 5.2, and $\pi$ the irreducible smooth representation of $G$ which corresponds to $\xi \circ \phi_H \in \Phi(G)$ under the local Langlands correspondence for $G$. Then, for every $g \in G^{\theta \text{strs}}$, we have the following equality:

$$\Theta_{\pi, \theta}(g) = \sum_{h \mapsto g} \frac{D_H(h)^2}{D_G(g)^2} \Delta_{H,G}(h, g) \sum_{\pi_H \in \Pi_{\phi_H}} \Theta_{\pi_H}(h),$$

where the sum is over stable conjugacy classes of norms $h \in H^{\text{strs}}$ of $g$.

We next specialize this relation to the case of simple supercuspidal representations. We use the following result on the parity of simple supercuspidal representations.

Proposition 5.4 ([Mie16 Corollary 4.6 (iii)]). Let $\pi$ be a self-dual simple supercuspidal representation of $G$ with trivial central character. Then, up to $\hat{G}$-conjugation, the $L$-parameter of $\pi$ factors through the $L$-group $L_H$ of $H$.

Let $\pi$ be a self-dual simple supercuspidal representation of $G$ with trivial central character, and $\phi$ the $L$-parameter of $\pi$. Then, by Proposition 5.4, $\phi$ induces an $L$-parameter $\phi_H \in \Phi(H)$. By Theorem 5.2, $\phi_H$ defines a finite set $\Pi_{\phi_H}$ consisting of irreducible tempered representations of $H$. Since $\pi$ is supercuspidal, the corresponding $L$-parameter $\phi: W_F \to \hat{G} = \text{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ is irreducible as a representation of $W_F$. Therefore $\text{Cent}_{\hat{G}}(\text{Im}(\phi))$ consists of scalar matrices, and so does $\text{Cent}_G(\text{Im}(\phi_H))$. Hence the group $S_{\phi_H}$ is trivial and $\Pi_{\phi_H}$ is a singleton by Theorem 5.2. We denote by $\pi_H$ the unique representation in $\Pi_{\phi_H}$ and say that $\pi_H$ is associated to $\pi$. We remark that the character $\Theta_{\pi_H}$ of $\pi_H$ is stable by Theorem
Our purpose is to determine $\pi_H$ by using the relation in Theorem 5.3. We can simplify this equality by Lemma 4.4 and the computation of the transfer factor $\Delta_{H,G}$ in Section 4.3.

**Corollary 5.5.** Let $\Theta_{\pi,\theta}$ be the twisted character of $\pi$ with respect to the normalization determined by the fixed Whittaker datum $(B, \lambda)$, and $\Theta_{\pi_H}$ the character of $\pi_H$. Let $g \in G^{\text{srs}}$ and $h \in H^{\text{srs}}$ such that $h$ is a norm of $g$. Then we have

$$\Theta_{\pi,\theta}(g) = \Theta_{\pi_H}(h).$$

**Proof.** Combining Theorem 5.3 with Lemma 4.4 we have

$$\Theta_{\pi,\theta}(g) = \frac{D_H(h)^2}{D_G(g)^2} \Delta_{H,G}(h, g) \Theta_{\pi_H}(h).$$

Moreover, by Proposition 4.8 and Lemma 4.10 we have

$$\Theta_{\pi,\theta}(g) = \Theta_{\pi_H}(h).$$

\[\square\]

In particular, for affine generic elements considered in Section 4.4, we get the following equalities of characters:

**Corollary 5.6.** Let $\zeta \in \{\pm 1\}$ and $\pi_H$ the irreducible smooth representation of $H$ associated to the simple supercuspidal representation $\pi_{1,\zeta}$ of $G$.

1. For $u \in k^\times$, we have

$$\Theta_{\pi_H}(\Omega(1 + \varphi_u)) = \Theta_{\pi_{1,\zeta},\theta}(1 + \varphi_u) = K_{2(2n-1)-1}^{n+1}(\psi; 1, 2, \ldots, 2, 1).$$

2. For $u \in k^\times$, we have

$$\Theta_{\pi_H}(\varphi_{u^2/2}\Omega(1 + \varphi_u)) = \Theta_{\pi_{1,\zeta},\theta}(\varphi_{u^2}(1 + \varphi_u)) = \zeta \left( K_{2n}^n(\psi) + K_{2n}^{-n}(\psi) \right).$$

**Proof.** By Proposition 4.12, $\Omega(1 + \varphi_u) \in H^{\text{srs}}$ (resp. $\varphi_{u^2}(1 + \varphi_u^2) \in H^{\text{srs}}$) is a norm of $1 + \varphi_u \in G^{\theta,\text{srs}}$ (resp. $\varphi_{u^2}(1 + \varphi_u^2) \in G^{\theta,\text{srs}}$). Therefore we get the first equalities by Corollary 5.5.

On the other hand, since $\mathcal{N}(1 + \varphi_u)$ (resp. $-\mathcal{N}(\varphi_{u^2}(1 + \varphi_u^2))$) is an affine generic element of $G$ (see the proof of Proposition 4.12), $1 + \varphi_u$ (resp. $1 + \varphi_u^2$) satisfies the assumption of Proposition 8.10 (resp. 8.13). The simple affine components of $1 + \varphi_u$ and $1 + \varphi_u^2$ are given by $(1, \ldots, 1, u)$ and $(1, \ldots, 1, u^2)$, respectively. Therefore, by Propositions 8.10 and 8.13 we have

$$\Theta_{\pi_{1,\zeta},\theta}(1 + \varphi_u) = K_{2(2n-1)-1}^{n+1}(\psi; 1, 2, \ldots, 2, 1),$$

$$\Theta_{\pi_{1,\zeta},\theta}(\varphi_{u^2}(1 + \varphi_u)) = \zeta \left( K_{2n}^n(\psi) + K_{2n}^{-n}(\psi) \right).$$

Hence we get the second equalities. \[\square\]
5.2. Supercuspidality of $\pi_H$.

**Proposition 5.7.** Let $\pi$ be a self-dual simple supercuspidal representation of $G$ with trivial central character. Let $\pi_H$ be the irreducible smooth representation of $H$ associated to $\pi$. Then $\pi_H$ is supercuspidal.

**Proof.** We use the theorem on a parametrization of supercuspidal representations of classical groups in [Xu17]. When viewed as a representation of $W$, $\phi$ is irreducible by the supercuspidality of $\pi$. Therefore the set $\text{Jord}(\phi_H)$ is a singleton $\{ (\pi, 1) \}$ (see Section 2 in [Xu17] for the definition of the set $\text{Jord}(\phi_H)$). This satisfies the properties in Theorem 3.3], therefore $\pi_H$ is supercuspidal.

\[ \square \]

5.3. Existence of $I^+_H$-fixed vector. Let $\zeta \in \{ \pm 1 \}$ and $\pi_H$ the irreducible smooth representation of $H$ associated to $\pi_{1, \zeta}$.

**Lemma 5.8.** Let $h \in H$ be an affine generic element with its simple affine components $(h_1, \ldots, h_n, h_{2n})$. Then $\Theta_{\pi_H}(h)$ is equal to either 0 or

$$K_{h_1 h_2^{\pm 1} \cdots h_{2n-1}^{\pm 1}}(\psi; 1, 2, \ldots, 2, 1).$$

**Proof.** For such $h$, we take $g \in G$ satisfying the conditions in Proposition 4.7. Since $h \in H_{\text{rs}}$, $g \in G^{\text{rs}}$, and $h$ is a norm of $g$, we have

$$\Theta_{\pi_{1, \zeta}}(g) = \Theta_{\pi_H}(h)$$

by Corollary 5.5. We compute the left-hand side of this equality.

If there is no $x \in G$ such that $xg\theta(x)^{-1} \in ZI^+(\varphi_1)$, then $\Theta_{\pi_{1, \zeta}}(g) = 0$ by the twisted character formula (Theorem 3.7).

Let us consider the case where there exists $x \in G$ such that $xg\theta(x)^{-1} \in ZI^+(\varphi_1)$. By Lemma 5.5, we may assume $x \in T(q)$. By replacing $g$ with $gz$ for some $z \in Z$, we may assume that $xg\theta(x)^{-1} \in I^+(\varphi_1)$. Since $\theta(\varphi_1) = -\varphi_1^{-1}$ and $\varphi_1$ normalizes $I^+$, we have

$$(-1)^k \varphi_1^k xg\theta(\varphi_1^k x)^{-1} \in I^+ \cup I^+ \varphi_1$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. By replacing $g$ with $(-1)^k g$ again, we may assume that

$$\varphi_1^k xg\theta(\varphi_1^k x)^{-1} \in I^+ \cup I^+ \varphi_1.$$

If $\varphi_1^k xg\theta(\varphi_1^k x)^{-1}$ lies in $I^+ \varphi_1$, then

$$N(\varphi_1^k xg\theta(\varphi_1^k x)^{-1}) = \varphi_1^k xN(g)(\varphi_1^k x)^{-1} \in N(I^+ \varphi_1) \subseteq -I^+,$$

and this contradicts the assumption that $N(g) \in I^+$. Therefore $\varphi_1^k xg\theta(\varphi_1^k x)^{-1} \in I^+$.

Since the twisted character is invariant under $\theta$-conjugacy, it suffices to compute

$$\Theta_{\pi_{1, \zeta}}(\varphi_1^k xg\theta(\varphi_1^k x)^{-1}).$$

Let $x = \text{diag}(t_1, \ldots, t_{2n})$. Let $(g_1, \ldots, g_{2n})$ be the simple affine components of $\varphi_1^k xg\theta(\varphi_1^k x)^{-1}$. Then the simple affine components of $N(\varphi_1^k xg\theta(\varphi_1^k x)^{-1})$ are

$$(g_1 + g_{2n-1}, \ldots, 2g_n, \ldots, g_{2n-1} + g_1, 2g_{2n}).$$

On the other hand, since the simple affine components of $N(g)$ are

$$(h_2, \ldots, h_n, h_n, \ldots, h_1, 2h_{2n}),$$

those of $N(\varphi_1^k xg\theta(\varphi_1^k x)^{-1}) = \varphi_1^k xN(g)(\varphi_1^k x)^{-1}$ are a cyclic permutation of

$$\left( \frac{t_1 h_2}{t_2}, \ldots, \frac{t_{2n-1} h_1}{t_1}, 2 \frac{t_{2n}}{t_1} h_{2n} \right) .$$
Therefore $\phi x g \theta (\phi x) -1$ satisfies the assumption of Proposition 3.10 and we have

$$
\Theta_{\pi \cdot \phi} (\phi x g \theta (\phi x) -1) = K_{1}^{1} \cdot \phi x g \theta (\phi x) -1 = K_{H_{1}}^{1} (\psi; 1, 2, \ldots, 2, 1).
$$

\[\square\]

**Corollary 5.9.** The representation $(\pi_{H}, V_{H})$ has a nonzero $I_{H}^{++}$-fixed vector.

**Proof.** We take $u \in k^\times$ such that $K_{1/2}^{1} u (\psi; 1, 2, \ldots, 2, 1) \neq 0$ (such $u \in k^\times$ exists by Corollary A.1). Let $h := \mathcal{N}(1 + \phi u) \in I_{H}^{+}$ and $f := 1_{h_{1}^{++}}$ the characteristic function of $h_{1}^{++}$. Since the subgroup $I_{H}^{++}$ is normal in $I_{H}^{+}$, we have $I_{H}^{++} h_{1}^{++} = h_{1}^{++}$. Moreover $h_{1}^{++}$ is contained in $H^{++}$ by Proposition 1.7. Therefore $f$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}(H, I_{H}^{++})$ and satisfies $supp(f) \subseteq H^{++}$.

By a property of the character (Theorem 3.1), we have

$$
tr \pi_{H} (f) = \int_{H^{++}} f(y) \Theta_{\pi_{H}} (y) dy = \int_{h_{1}^{++}} \Theta_{\pi_{H}} (y) dy.
$$

For $y \in h_{1}^{++}$, $\Theta_{\pi_{H}} (y)$ is equal to either $K_{1/2}^{1} u (\psi; 1, 2, \ldots, 2, 1)$ or 0, by Lemma 5.8. Moreover we have

$$
\Theta_{\pi_{H}} (h) = \Theta_{\pi_{H}} (1 + \phi u) = K_{1/2}^{1} u (\psi; 1, 2, \ldots, 2, 1) \neq 0
$$

by Corollary 5.6. Since the character $\Theta_{\pi_{H}}$ is locally constant on $H^{++}$, we have

$$
\int_{h_{1}^{++}} \Theta_{\pi_{H}} (y) dy \neq 0.
$$

On the other hand, by the definition of the distribution character,

$$
tr \pi_{H} (f) = tr \left( \pi_{H} (f) \big| V_{H}^{++} \right).
$$

Therefore we have $V_{H}^{++} \neq 0$. \[\square\]

**5.4. Simple supercuspidality of $\pi_{H}$.** Let $\pi_{1, \xi}$ and $\pi_{H}$ be as in the previous subsection. In this subsection, we prove that $\pi_{H}$ is simple supercuspidal.

By Corollary 5.9, the finite abelian group $I_{H}^{+}/I_{H}^{++}$ acts on the nonzero subspace $\pi_{H}^{I_{H}^{++}}$ of $\pi_{H}$, and it decomposes into a direct sum of characters of $I_{H}^{+}/I_{H}^{++}$. We take a character $\eta$ of $I_{H}^{+}$ which is contained in it.

**Lemma 5.10.** If $\eta$ is an affine generic character of $I_{H}^{+}$, then $\pi_{H}$ is simple supercuspidal.

**Proof.** By the Frobenius reciprocity, we have

$$
Hom_{H} \left( c-\text{Ind}_{I_{H}^{+}}^{H} \eta, \pi_{H} \right) \cong Hom_{I_{H}^{+}} \left( \eta, \pi_{H} \right) \neq 0.
$$

By Proposition 2.8 and Remark 2.7, the representation $c-\text{Ind}_{I_{H}^{+}}^{H} \eta$ is a direct sum of two simple supercuspidal representations. Since $\pi_{H}$ is irreducible, it is equivalent to one of them. \[\square\]

From this lemma, we are reduced to proving the affine genericity of $\eta$. We first prove two technical lemmas which will be needed in the proof of the affine genericity of $\eta$. 
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Lemma 5.11. Let \( H_0 := SO_{2n+1}(O) \) be a hyperspecial subgroup of \( H \). Let \( y \in H \). If \( y \) satisfies \( yhy^{-1} \in H_0 \) for an affine generic element \( h \in H \), then \( y \) belongs to \( H_0 \sqcup H \varphi'_1 \).

Proof. Let \( y \in H \) satisfying \( yhy^{-1} \in H_0 \) for an affine generic element \( h \in I_H^+ \). As affine genericity is preserved by \( I_H^+ \)-conjugation, any element of \( H_0 y H_0^+ \) satisfies the same condition as \( y \). It suffices to show \( H_0 y I_H^+ \subseteq H_0 \sqcup H \varphi'_1 \).

The isomorphism in Proposition 2.4 induces an isomorphism
\[
H_0 \backslash H / I_H^+ \cong ((N_T \cap H_0) / (T_H)^1_i) \backslash (N_T / (T_H)^1_i)
\]
(see [HR08, Proposition 8]) and the set
\[
T_H(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) := \{ \text{diag}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{r_1}, \ldots, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{r_n}, 1, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{-r_n}, \ldots, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{-r_1}) \mid r_1, \ldots, r_n \in \mathbb{Z} \}
\]
contains a set of representatives of the right-hand side. Hence we may assume
\[
y = \text{diag}(t_1, \ldots, t_{2n+1})
\]
\[
y = \text{diag}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{r_1}, \ldots, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{r_n}, 1, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{-r_n}, \ldots, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{-r_1}) \in T_H(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}).
\]
Since \((yhy^{-1})_{ij} = t_i/t_j \cdot h_{ij}\) and \( yhy^{-1} \in H_0 \), we have the following inequalities:
\[
r_1 - r_2 \geq 0,
\]
\[
:\vdots
\]
\[
r_{n-1} - r_n \geq 0,
\]
\[
r_n \geq 0, \text{ and }
\]
\[
r_1 - r_2 \geq -1.
\]
Therefore \((r_1, \ldots, r_n)\) is either \((0, \ldots, 0)\) or \((1, 0, \ldots, 0)\).

In the former case, we have \( H_0 y I_H^+ = H_0 \).

In the latter case, we have
\[
H_0 y I_H^+ = H_0 \varphi'_1 I_H^+ = H_0 I_H^+ \varphi'_1 = H_0 \varphi'_1
\]
(recall that \( \varphi'_1 \) normalizes \( I_H^+ \)). This completes the proof.

Lemma 5.12. Let \( \beta \in \Pi_\mathfrak{H} \) be a simple affine root of \( \mathfrak{T}_\mathfrak{H} \) in \( \mathfrak{H} \). Then the subgroup \( \langle I_H^+, U_\beta \rangle \) of \( \mathfrak{H} \) contains \( \mathfrak{H}^x_\mathfrak{x} \) for some point \( \mathfrak{x} \) of the apartment \( \mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{T}_\mathfrak{H}) \), where \( \mathfrak{H}^x_\mathfrak{x} \) is the pro-unipotent radical of the parahoric subgroup of \( \mathfrak{H} \) associated to \( \mathfrak{x} \).

Proof. We recall that the pro-unipotent radical of the parahoric subgroup associated to a point \( \mathfrak{x} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{T}_\mathfrak{H}) \) and \( I_H^{++} \) are given by
\[
H^+_\mathfrak{x} = \langle T_1, U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Psi_\mathfrak{H}, \alpha(\mathfrak{x}) > 0 \rangle, \text{ and }
\]
\[
I_H^{++} = \langle T_1, U_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Psi_\mathfrak{H} \backslash \Pi_\mathfrak{H} \rangle,
\]
respectively. Therefore we have to find a point \( \mathfrak{x} \) satisfying the following condition:
\[
(*) \quad \text{If an affine root } \alpha \text{ satisfies } \alpha(\mathfrak{x}) > 0, \text{ then we have } \alpha \in (\Psi^+_\mathfrak{H} \backslash \Pi_\mathfrak{H}) \cup \{ \beta \}.
\]

We first consider the case where \( \beta = -e_1 - e_2 + 1 \). In this case, we take \( \mathfrak{x} = 0 \). If \( \alpha = a + r \in \Psi_\mathfrak{H} \) satisfies \( \alpha(\mathfrak{x}) > 0 \), then we have \( r > 0 \). Hence \( \alpha \in \Psi^+_\mathfrak{H} \backslash \Pi_\mathfrak{H} \) or \( \alpha = \beta \).

We next consider the case where \( \beta = e_1 - e_2 \). However, since \( \varphi'_1 U_{e_1-e_2} \varphi'_1^{-1} = U_{-e_1-e_2+1} \), this case is reduced to the previous case.
We finally consider the other cases. We define a point \( x \in \mathcal{A}(H, T_H) \) as follows:
\[
x := \begin{cases} 
(\hat{e}_1 + \cdots + \hat{e}_i)/2 & (\beta = e_i - e_{i+1} \text{ for } 1 < i < n), \\
(\hat{e}_1 + \cdots + \hat{e}_n)/2 & (\beta = e_n).
\end{cases}
\]
It is enough to prove \((*)\) for \( a = a + r_a \in \Psi_H \), where \( r_a \in \mathbb{Z} \) is the smallest integer satisfying \( a(x) + r_a > 0 \).

- If \( a \in \Phi_H^+ \setminus \Delta_H \), then we have \( 0 \leq a(x) \leq 1 \). Hence \( a(x) > 0 \) implies that \( r_a > 0 \). Therefore \( a = a + r_a \) belongs to \( \Psi_H^+ \setminus \Pi_H \).
- If \( a \in \Delta_H \), then we have
\[
a(x) = \begin{cases} 
0 & (a \neq \beta), \\
1/2 & (a = \beta).
\end{cases}
\]

Hence we have
\[
a(x) > 0 \iff \begin{cases} 
r_a > 0 & (a \neq \beta), \\
r_a \geq 0 & (a = \beta).
\end{cases}
\]

Therefore we have either \( \alpha \in \Psi_H^+ \setminus \Pi_H \) or \( \alpha = \beta \).

- If \( a \in \Phi_H^- \setminus \{-e_1 - e_2\} \), then we have \(-1 \leq a(x) \leq 0 \). Hence \( a(x) > 0 \) implies that \( r_a \geq 1 \). Therefore \( \alpha = a + r_a \) belongs to \( \Psi_H^+ \setminus \Pi_H \).
- If \( a = -e_1 - e_2 \), then we have \( a(x) = r_a - 1 \). Hence \( a(x) > 0 \) implies that \( r_a \geq 2 \), and we have \( \alpha \in \Psi_H^+ \setminus \Pi_H \).

\[\Box\]

**Proposition 5.13.** Every character \( \eta \) of \( I_H^+ \) which is contained in \( \pi_H^{I_H^+} \) is affine generic. In particular, the representation \( \pi_H \) is simple supercuspidal.

*Proof.* We suppose that \( \eta \) is not affine generic.

Since \( \eta \) is not affine generic, there exists a simple affine root \( \beta \in \Pi_H \) such that its affine root subgroup \( U_\beta \) is contained in \( \text{Ker}(\eta) \). Hence \( \pi_H \) has a nonzero \( (I_H^+, U_\beta) \)-fixed vector. Then, by Lemma 5.12, \( \pi_H \) also has a nonzero \( H_x^+ \)-fixed vector for some point \( x \in \mathcal{A}(H, T_H) \), where \( H_x^+ \) is the pro-unipotent radical of the parahoric subgroup associated to \( x \). Therefore the depth of \( \pi_H \) is zero.

On the other hand, \( \pi_H \) is generic with respect to any Whittaker datum. Indeed, by Proposition 8.3.2 in [Art13], the \( L \)-packet \( \Pi_{\phi_H} \) of \( \phi_H \) contains a generic representation. However \( \Pi_{\phi_H} \) is a singleton consisting of \( \pi_H \). Thus \( \pi_H \) is generic.

Then, by Lemma 6.1.2 in [DR09], the generic depth-zero supercuspidal representation \( \pi_H \) can be obtained by the compact induction of a representation of the reduction of a hyperspecial subgroup of \( H \). Since \( H = SO_{2n+1} \) is an adjoint group, all hyperspecial subgroups of \( H \) are conjugate (see 2.5 in [Tit79]). Thus we may assume that the hyperspecial subgroup is \( H_0 = SO_{2n+1}(O) \).

Let \( H_0^+ \) be the pro-unipotent radical of \( H_0 \). Let \( \pi_H \cong c\text{-Ind}_{H_0}^{H} \hat{\rho} \), where \( \hat{\rho} \) is the inflation of a representation \( \rho \) of \( H_0/H_0^+ \cong SO_{2n+1}(k) \) to \( H_0 \). Then, by the character formula (Theorem 8.5), we have
\[
\Theta_{\pi_H}(\mathfrak{N}(1 + \varphi_u)) = \sum_{y \in H_0 \setminus H} \text{tr} \left( \hat{\rho}(y\mathfrak{N}(1 + \varphi_u)y^{-1}) \right)
\]
for any \( u \in k^\times \). By Lemma 6.13, we have
\[
\Theta_{\pi_H}(\mathfrak{N}(1 + \varphi_u)) = \text{tr}(\hat{\rho}(\mathfrak{N}(1 + \varphi_u))) + \text{tr}(\hat{\rho}'(\mathfrak{N}(1 + \varphi_u)\varphi_1^{-1})).
\]
As
\[ t\varphi^* M(1 + \varphi_u)\varphi^{-1} t^{-1} = M(1 + \varphi_u), \]
where \( t = \text{diag}(2/u, 1, \ldots, 1, u/2) \in H_0 \), we have
\[ \Theta_{\pi_H}(M(1 + \varphi_u)) = 2\text{tr}(\rho(M(1 + \varphi_u))). \]
The image of \( M(1 + \varphi_u) \) in \( H_0/H_0^\times \) is independent of \( u \), hence we can conclude that \( \Theta_{\pi_H}(M(1 + \varphi_u)) \) is independent of \( u \). However we have
\[ \Theta_{\pi_H}(M(1 + \varphi_u)) = K_1^{n+1}_{22(n-1)u}\psi(1, 2, \ldots, 2, 1) \]
by Corollary [6.6] and this is not constant on \( u \) by Corollary [A.6]. This is a contradiction.

5.5. Main theorem.

Proposition 5.14. Let \( a \in k^\times, \zeta \in \{\pm 1\} \). Then the representation \( \pi_H \) associated to \( \pi_{a, \zeta} \) is given by \( \pi_{a/2, \zeta} \).

Proof. By replacing the fixed uniformizer \( \varpi \), we may assume that \( a = 1 \). By Proposition 5.13, \( \pi_H \) is simple supercuspidal. Let \( \pi_H \) be \( \pi_{b, \xi} \), where \( b \in k^\times \) and \( \xi \in \{\pm 1\} \). Our task is to determine \( b \) and \( \xi \).

First, we consider \( b \). For \( u \in k^\times \), we have
\[ \Theta_{\pi_H}(M(1 + \varphi_u)) = \Theta_{\pi_{1, \zeta}}(1 + \varphi_u) = K_1^{n+1}_{22(n-1)u}\psi(1, 2, \ldots, 2, 1) \]
by Corollary 5.6 (1). On the other hand, since \( M(1 + \varphi_u) \in I_H^+ \) is an affine generic element with its simple affine components \((2, \ldots, 2, u)\), we have
\[ \Theta_{\pi_H}(M(1 + \varphi_u)) = K_1^{n+1}_{22(n-1)+1bu}\psi(1, 2, \ldots, 2, 1) \]
by Proposition 5.13. Hence \( b = 1/2 \) by Proposition A.6.

Next, we consider \( \xi \). For \( u \in k^\times \), we have
\[ \Theta_{\pi_H}(\varphi_{u^2/2} M(1 + \varphi_u)) = \Theta_{\pi_{1, \zeta}}(\varphi_{u^2}(1 + \varphi_u)) = \zeta \left( K_1^u\psi(1 + \varphi_u) + K_2^u\varphi_{u^2}\psi(1 + \varphi_u) \right) \]
by Corollary 5.6 (2). On the other hand, \( \varphi_{u^2/2} M(1 + \varphi_u) \) is strongly regular semisimple (by Proposition 1.12 (2)) and the simple affine components of \( (\varphi_{u^2/2} M(1 + \varphi_u))^2 \) in \( I_H^+ \) are given by \((4, \ldots, 4, 2u^2)\). Hence \( \varphi_{u^2/2} M(1 + \varphi_u) \) satisfies the assumption of Proposition 5.13 and we have
\[ \Theta_{\pi_H}(\varphi_{u^2/2} M(1 + \varphi_u)) = \xi \left( K_1^u\psi(1 + \varphi_u) + K_2^u\varphi_{u^2}\psi(1 + \varphi_u) \right). \]

By summing up over \( u \in k^\times \), we have
\[ 2\zeta \sum_{u \in k^\times} K_1^u\psi = 2\xi \sum_{u \in k^\times} K_1^u\psi. \]
Since \( \sum_{u \in k^\times} K_1^u\psi = (-1)^n \neq 0 \) by Proposition A.4, we have \( \xi = \zeta \).

In summary, we get the following result.

Theorem 5.15 (Main theorem). Let \( b \in k^\times \) and \( \xi \in \{\pm 1\} \). Under the parametrizations as in Sections 2.3 and 2.4, we have the following:

1. The \( L \)-packet containing the simple supercuspidal representation \( \pi_{b, \xi} \) of \( SO_{2n+1}(F) \) is a singleton. In particular, the character of \( \pi_{b, \xi} \) is stable.
2. The lifting of the simple supercuspidal representation \( \pi_{b, \xi} \) of \( SO_{2n+1}(F) \) to \( GL_{2n}(F) \) is again simple supercuspidal, and given by \( \pi_{2b, \xi} \).
Remark 5.16. From this result, we know that the \( L \)-parameter of \( \pi'_{b,\xi} \) is equal to that of \( \pi_{2b,\xi} \). On the other hand, \( L \)-parameters of simple supercuspidal representations of general linear groups have been determined explicitly by the works of [BH05] and [IT15]. Therefore we can get an explicit description of \( L \)-parameters of simple supercuspidal representations of \( \text{SO}_{2n+1}(F) \).

Appendix A. Gauss and Kloosterman sums

Definition A.1 (Gauss sum). Let \( \chi: \mathbb{F}_q^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times \) be a multiplicative character and \( \psi: \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{C}^\times \) a nontrivial additive character. We define the Gauss sum with respect to the pair \((\chi, \psi)\) by

\[
G(\chi, \psi) := \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \chi(t)\psi(t).
\]

The following properties are well-known (see e.g. [Wei49, page 501]).

Proposition A.2. (1) If \( \chi \) is trivial, then \( G(\chi, \psi) = -1 \).

(2) If \( \chi \) is nontrivial, then \( |G(\chi, \psi)| = \sqrt{q} \).

In particular, the sum \( G(\chi, \psi) \) is nonzero.

Definition A.3 (Kloosterman sum, [Kat88]). Let \( \psi: \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{C}^\times \) be a nontrivial additive character, \( a \) an element of \( \mathbb{F}_q \), and \( b_1, \ldots, b_n \) positive integers. We define the Kloosterman sum with respect to \((\psi, a, b_1, \ldots, b_n)\) by

\[
\text{Kl}_n^a(\psi; b_1, \ldots, b_n) := \sum_{x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{F}_q} \psi(x_1 + \cdots + x_n).
\]

When \( b_1 = \cdots = b_n = 1 \), we denote it simply by \( \text{Kl}_n^a(\psi) \).

Proposition A.4. For a nontrivial additive character \( \psi \), positive integers \((b_1, \ldots, b_n)\), and a multiplicative character \( \chi: \mathbb{F}_q^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times \), the following properties hold:

(1) \( \text{Kl}_n^a(\psi; b_1, \ldots, b_n) = (-1)^{n-1} \),

(2) \( \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \text{Kl}_n^a(\psi; b_1, \ldots, b_n) = 0 \), and

(3) \( \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \chi(a) \text{Kl}_n^a(\psi; b_1, \ldots, b_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n G(\chi^{b_i}, \psi) \).

Proof. (1) By the inclusion-exclusion principle,
\[
\text{Kl}_n^a(\psi; b_1, \ldots, b_n) = \sum_{x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{F}_q \atop x_1^{b_1} \cdots x_n^{b_n} = a} \psi(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)
\]
\[
= \sum_{I \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}} \sum_{x_i = 0 \atop x_i \neq 0, i \in I} (-1)^{|I|-1} \psi(x_1 + \cdots + x_n)
\]
\[
= (-1)^{n-1}.
\]
(2) We have
\[\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q} K^n_a(\psi; b_1, \ldots, b_n) = \sum_{x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{F}_q} \psi(x_1 + \cdots + x_n) = \left(\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q} \psi(t)\right)^n = 0.\]

(3) We have
\[\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \chi(a) K^n_a(\psi; b_1, \ldots, b_n) = \sum_{x_1, \ldots, x_n \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \chi(x_1^{b_1} \cdots x_n^{b_n}) \psi(x_1 + \cdots + x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{x_i \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \chi(x_i) \psi(x_i)\right) = \prod_{i=1}^n G(\chi^{b_i}, \psi) = 0.\]

Corollary A.5. (1) The sum $K^n_a(\psi; b_1, \ldots, b_n)$ is not constant on $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$.
(2) For some $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, $K^n_a(\psi; b_1, \ldots, b_n) \neq 0$

Proof. Assume that the sum $K^n_a(\psi; b_1, \ldots, b_n)$ is constant on $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. If we take a nontrivial multiplicative character $\chi$, then by Proposition A.4 (3) we have
\[\prod_{i=1}^n G(\chi^{b_i}, \psi) = 0.\]
However this contradicts Proposition A.2. Thus we get (1), and (2) immediately follows from (1).

The following proposition is a slight generalization of [IT18, Lemma 3.4]:

Proposition A.6. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. If
\[K^n_{ta}(\psi; b_1, \ldots, b_n) = K^n_t(\psi; b_1, \ldots, b_n)\]
for every $t \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$, then we have $a = b$.

Proof. We may assume $b = 1$. It suffices to show that if $a \neq 1$, then there exists $t \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ such that $K^n_{ta}(\psi; b_1, \ldots, b_n) \neq K^n_t(\psi; b_1, \ldots, b_n)$. Let us assume $a \neq 1$, then we can take a multiplicative character $\chi$ satisfying $\chi(a) \neq 1$. Then we have
\[\sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \chi(t) \left(K^n_{ta}(\psi; b_1, \ldots, b_n) - K^n_t(\psi; b_1, \ldots, b_n)\right) = \left(\chi(a)^{-1} - 1\right) \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \chi(t) K^n_t(\psi; b_1, \ldots, b_n) = \left(\chi(a)^{-1} - 1\right) \prod_{i=1}^n G(\chi^{b_i}, \psi) \neq 0.\]
Hence $K^n_{ta}(\psi; b_1, \ldots, b_n) \neq K^n_t(\psi; b_1, \ldots, b_n)$ for some $t \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. □
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