

Comment on the paper "The finite square well:
 whatever is worth teaching at all is worth
 teaching well", *arXiv:1505.03376v2*
 [physics.ed-ph] by K. Razi Naqvi and S.
 Waldenstrøm

Victor Barsan
 IFIN-HH, 30 Reactorului Str., Magurele-Bucharest, 077125, Romania

December 22, 2021

Abstract

In the aforementioned paper, the authors claim that my results concerning the consequent application of Garrett's method for obtaining approximate expressions of the bound states energy of a particle in a finite rectangular well are incorrect. I shall show that this is not the case, and demonstrate that both their and my results lead to the same conclusion, i.e. that the consequent application of Garrett's method is equivalent to Barker's approximation.

In [1], Razi Naqvi and Waldenstrøm discuss the results published in [2] on the consistent application of Garrett's method for evaluation of the energy of a particle in a finite square well [3], claiming that they are incorrect. We shall explain that this is not the case, and clarify in part the origin of Razi Naqvi and Waldenstrøm's confusion.

In fact, Garrett noticed that the energy of the n -th bound state of a particle in a finite square well can be approximated by the energy of the n -th state of same particle, in a somewhat large infinite well; the width of this infinite well is n -dependent. Garrett used this remark to get "a simple iterative approximation for the energy states of the finite well"; he applied this scheme in a two-step iteration. Our contribution in [2] was to apply Garrett's approach in an iteration with an infinite number of steps, and our main result is that, in this way, the energy of the n -th bound state of a particle in a deep finite well, for small values of n , written as a power series in the inverse of the potential strength, coincides with the Barker approximation.

Some of the results from [2] have been recently presented and expanded in a form more suited to teaching quantum mechanics at an elementary level in

[4]. This is why I shall discuss the objections of Razi Naqvi and Waldenstrøm to our results using their most recent form, i.e. [4].

Razi Naqvi and Waldenstrøm make a detailed analysis of the numerical example discussed by Garrett [3], notice that their accuracy is quite modest, and conclude that "Garrett's *scheme* does not provide useful results". We are also doing an analysis of the same numerical example, which does not actually correspond to a deep well (the potential strength is $P = 4$, so the condition for a deep well, $P \gg 1$ is hardly fulfilled), but we focus on the physical significance of the errors ([4], Sections 5 and 6). As far as we obtain the equivalence between Garrett's approach (consistently applied, i.e. with an infinite number of iterations) and Barker approximation, we do not discuss anymore its accuracy, as Barker approximation is well understood (in fact, the formula giving this approximation is extremely simple (see eq. (34) in [4]) and already used in several papers of applied physics (see for instance ref. [16] in [4]).

However, Razi Naqvi and Waldenstrøm consider that Garrett's idea "can be placed on a sound basis", replacing the n -dependent infinite well associated to the n -th level of the finite well with a unique infinite well, having a width conveniently chosen. More exactly, for a finite well of width L , one can choose a quantity Δ so that the energy of the n -th state of the infinite well of width $L + \Delta$ coincides with the energy of the n -th state of the finite well, in the Barker approximation.

So, Razi Naqvi and Waldenstrøm re-obtain our result, claiming that it is incorrect! Let us explain, in part, the origin of their conclusion. The expansion of the ratio $k_n/k_n^{(\infty)}$, where k_n is the wave vector corresponding to the n -th bound state in the finite well (it corresponds to the roots of the equation (37) of [4] for k), and $k_n^{(\infty)}$ - to the n -th state of an infinite well having the same width, in powers of $p = 1/P$, was given in [6], eq. (52). The first two terms of this expansion, corresponding to the Barker approximation, do not depend on n , according to eqs. (53-55) of [6]; this is why the n -dependence of the energy of the n -th bound state in a finite well has the same form. However, the cubic and all the other higher order terms are n -dependent. In fact, Razi Naqvi and Waldenstrøm's main conclusion is that, with a convenient choice (of the parameter Δ in eq. (35) of [1], the one-iteration Garrett's scheme is equivalent to Barker approximation. This conclusion is correct, but the authors give no explanation for the fact that the same equivalence cannot be extended to higher orders. Let us mention also that, contrary to the Razi Naqvi and Waldenstrøm's opinion, our quantity of $\delta_n^{(\infty)}$ is both n - and p -dependent, as a root of eq. (21) of [4], but the n -dependence drops in the approximation used in solving this equation.

A final remark: Razi Naqvi and Waldenstrøm give an incorrect relation for the number of roots of the eigenvalue equations for the wavevector (or energy) of a bound state, taking into consideration, for their conclusion, the extremum points of the functions $\sin x$, $\cos x$, instead of $\sin x/x$, $\cos x/x$. The correct relation is given in [6], eq. (28) and the subsequent discussion.

References

- [1] Razi Naqvi K and Waldenstrøm S (2015) The finite square well: whatever is worth teaching at all is worth teaching well *arXiv:1505.03376v2* [physics.ed-ph]
- [2] Barsan V and Dragomir R 2012 A new approximation for the quantum square well problem *Optoelect. Adv. Mater.-Rapid Commun.* **6** 917-25
- [3] Garret S 1979 Bound state energy of a particle in a finite square well *Am. J. Phys.* **47** 195-6
- [4] Barsan V 2015 Understanding quantum phenomena without solving the Schrödinger equation: the case of the finite square well, *Eur. J. Phys* **36** 065009
- [5] Barker B I, Rayborn G H, Ioup J W, Ioup G E 1991 Approximating the finite square well with an infinite well: Energies and eigenfunctions *Am.J.Phys.* **59**, 1038- 1042
- [6] Barsan V 2014 Square wells, quantum wells and ultra-thin metallic films *Philos.Mag.* **94** 190 - 207