



Dubininw2.doc

Dubinin and the Brouers-Sotolongo family isotherms.

F.Brouers (a,b) and F.Marquez-Montesino (b)

a. Department of Chemical Engineering, Liege University, Belgium.

fbrouers@ulg.ac.be

b. Centro de Estudio de Energia y Tecnologias Sostenibles, University of Pinar del Rio, Cuba.

Abstract

We show how the Dubinin isotherm and its extensions can be related to the isotherms derived from the general Brouers-Sotolongo isotherm. We compare them using benzene vapor adsorbed on activated carbon data from one of the original Dubinin's paper. We use the same procedure to analyze data from the thesis of Marquez-Montesino on the adsorption on activated carbon prepared from pinus caribaea saw dust. We conclude by proposing a simple methodology to determine the macroscopic information given by genuine statistical isotherms.

Keywords: Activated carbon, adsorption, Dubinin isotherm, Langmuir isotherm, Sips isotherm, Brouers-Sotolongo isotherm, Brouers-Gaspard isotherm

1.Introduction.

Adsorption isotherm is a curve giving the functional relationship between adsorbate and adsorbent in a constant-temperature adsorption process. Since the discussion is quite general and can be applied to adsorption (a physical process), chemi-sorption and bio-sorption, in the following we will use, when we do not mention explicitly adsorption, the more general term "sorption". One measures the uptake (gas volume or sorbed quantity) as a function of the gas pressure or the solution concentration). Isotherms have been used for decades in the gaseous or aqueous phase with two main objectives, first to obtain information on the nature of the sorbent surface of porous materials (sorption energy, type of porosity and type of heterogeneity) in order to use them, taking advantage of their large specific surface, and secondly to prepare and characterize specific sorbent to eliminate particular molecules in the treatment of water and more generally for the purpose of physical, chemical and biological decontamination. One usual practice is to fit the data to empirical or semi-empirical models in order to elect the best one. Then to use other empirical rules to have access to microscopic and mesoscopic information (type of porosity, sorption energy distribution) and thermodynamic quantities. This is not an easy task because, as discussed theoretically [1-4], macroscopic measurements are generated by the extreme value distribution of microscopic quantities. They can give general scaling tendencies, but cannot yield valid detailed micro or meso information without establishing correlations with independent measurements and direct micrographic analysis.

The long history of sorption is dominated by three names, Freundlich, Langmuir and Dubinin [5-7]. Freundlich [5] showed that the Henry law which predicts a linear behavior between uptake and volume or concentration for initial sorption, and which results from classical thermodynamic, was rarely obeyed and introduced in the law an exponent which he related to the heterogeneity of the surface. This is one of the first apparition, in that field, of the concept of fractality used nowadays in chemical and physico-chemical reactions [1-4, 8-13]. Langmuir [6] using the law of mass action derived his famous isotherm for surface supposed to have a unique sorption energy. Since this is a situation rarely encountered, a number of generalizations, most of them purely empirical, were introduced in the literature. In the case of ultra-porous activated carbon, Dubinin [7] introduced an empirical isotherm which has various variants and using some correlations with geometric observations and statistical hypothesis related this isotherm to the pore distribution.

Ten years ago [3], in order to give a more fundamental basis to the isotherm models and following the work of Zeldovitch [14], we show that the Freundlich exponent was related to the value and the distribution of energies contributing to the sorption, that the power law of the Freundlich isotherm could be obtained by assuming that the scale T-dependent b coefficient of the Langmuir isotherm (eq.4) was distributed as a heavy tail Lévy distribution. In that way, the sorption mechanism was viewed as a birth-death (sorption-desorption) mechanism dominated by the highest values of the random sorption energies. We showed that the exponent of the Freundlich law which appears in generalizations of the Langmuir isotherm (under the generic name “Freundlich-Langmuir isotherms”), was related to the average sorption energy and the width of its distribution. We proposed as a consequence of the discussion, to use a Weibull function as a realistic isotherm which since, has been named Brouers-Sotolongo isotherm (BS) and has been used with some success for example in porous activated carbon and carbon nanotubes [15-20], biosorption [21-22], water treatment [22-24], bacteriology [25-26], geology studies [27].

Recently extending the scope of that paper [28], we demonstrated that some of the commonly used isotherms belong to the family of a generalized Brouers-Sotolongo (GBS) obtained by replacing the exponential in the Weibull function by a deformed exponential, a function now extensively used in econometry, ecology, hydrology and many other complex systems. This function is known in the literature as BurrXII-Singh-Madalla [29-30] or q-Weibull and is a natural extension to natural and physico-chemical systems of the classical concept of exponential and appears naturally in attempts to generalize the classical thermodynamic to complex systems [31,32]. In this paper we want to demonstrate that the Dubinin isotherms belong asymptotically to the same family and analyze the various approximations using data on benzene taken from one of the original Dubinin paper[7] as well as the complete set of data of the Ph.D thesis of F.Marquez-Montesino performed in the Department of Chemical Engineering of the University of Malaga (Spain) [35,36].

2.The generalized Brouers-Sotolongo isotherm

In reference [28], based on statistical and mathematical considerations, we introduce the Generalized Brouers-Sotolongo (GBS) isotherm :

$$\frac{W_{GBS}}{W_{max}} = 1 - [1 + c(K/b)^a]^{-1/c} \quad (1)$$

Where κ is the sorbate pressure or concentration, W the up-take and W_{max} , the saturation up-take in appropriate units. The coefficients c and a are form parameters and b is a scale factors. The r.h.s. of the equation is the Burr-Singh-Maddala cumulative probability distribution function. The knowledge of a , b and c allows the calculation of the usual statistical quantities of the distribution. It obeys a birth and death differential equation which is discussed in [13,33].

As shown in detail in [28], some of the most popular empirical and semi-empirical isotherms can be derived simply from the GBS isotherm, others are purely empirical such as the Redlich-Peterson isotherm. They are not correct asymptotically and, in our opinion, should be discarded.

For $c=0$, one gets the Brouers-Sotolongo isotherm:

$$\frac{W_{BS}(\kappa)}{W_{max}} = 1 - \exp(-(\kappa/b)^a) \quad (2)$$

For $c=1$, one gets the Sips-Hill isotherm:

$$\frac{W_S(\kappa)}{W_{max}} = \frac{(\kappa/b)^a}{1+(\kappa/b)^a} \quad (3)$$

For $c=1$, $a=1$, one recovers the Langmuir isotherm:

$$\frac{W_L(\kappa)}{W_{max}} = \frac{(\kappa/b)}{1+(\kappa/b)} \quad (4)$$

These three isotherms, when $\kappa \rightarrow 0$, give the Freundlich isotherm:

$$\frac{W_F(\kappa)}{W_{max}} = (\kappa/b)^a \quad (5)$$

3. The Dubinin isotherm is a modified form of the Freundlich isotherm.

According to Brouers et al. [3] the Freundlich isotherm can be understood as the asymptotic expression for low κ (pressure or concentration) approximation of heterogeneous Langmuir isotherms (eq. 2, 3)

$$W = W_0 \kappa^{\alpha_B} \quad \alpha_B = \frac{\lambda RT}{\langle E_B \rangle} \quad (6)$$

where $\langle E_B \rangle$ is the average sorption energy and λ a factor of order 1 depending on the distribution of the Langmuir isotherm scale parameter of b (eq.4) see eq.25 in ref. [3]:

We can transform (6) as follows:.

$$W = W_0 \kappa^{\frac{\lambda RT}{\langle E_B \rangle}} \quad (7)$$

$$W = W_0 \exp(\ln(\kappa^{\frac{\lambda RT}{\langle E_B \rangle}})) \quad (8)$$

$$W = W_0 \exp\left(-\alpha_B \ln\left(\frac{1}{\kappa}\right)\right) \quad (9)$$

Where $\lambda \gtrsim 1$

If κ is a relative pressure one has:

$$W = W_0 \exp - (a_B \ln \left(\frac{p_0}{p} \right)) \quad (10)$$

The Dubinin [7] equation reads

$$W_D = W_0 \exp - ((a_D \ln \left(\frac{p_0}{p} \right))^n) \quad \text{with } \alpha_D = \frac{RT}{E_0} \quad (11)$$

Where E_0 is a characteristic adsorption energy and n a purely empirical factor of order 1 ($1.5 < n < 3$).

Dubinin-Radushkevich, for the case of benzene [7], have used $n=2$:

$$W_{DR} = W_0 \exp(- (a_D \ln \left(\frac{p_0}{p} \right))^2) \quad (12)$$

With this formula, Dubinin has established a series of relations with the microscopic geometric and energetic distribution of the micro-pores and meso-pores of the sorbent.

The comparison between equations (8) and (11) shows that the Dubinin equation is an empirical extension of the Freundlich isotherm and therefore can be related to the family of GBS isotherms (1).

4. Comparison of isotherms in the case of benzene.

In the vast literature on sorption two groups of theories have emerged. One mostly influenced by Soviet scientists works using the Dubinin formalism essentially for activated carbons, the other using Langmuir theory and its extensions. Since nowadays exist on the market efficient nonlinear regression numerical programs, a comparison of these theories, which as this has been demonstrated in the previous sections are not unrelated, is in order. We present now such comparison for original data of Dubinin on the adsorption of vapor of benzene onto an activated carbon presenting two types of porosities ultra-micro and micro porosity (Ref 7. Table 4).

In that paper, Dubinin extended its original formula to treat simultaneously the two types of porosity (D2) (micropores and ultramicropores):

$$W_{D2} = m_1 \exp \left(-\alpha_{D1} \ln \left(\frac{p_0}{p} \right) \right)^{n_1} + m_2 \exp \left(-\alpha_{D2} \ln \left(\frac{p_0}{p} \right) \right)^{n_2} \quad \text{with } \alpha_{Di} = RT/E_{0Di} \quad (13)$$

The values chosen to fit the experimental data were:

$$m_1 = 0.2 \frac{cm^3}{g}, m_2 = 0.3 \frac{cm^3}{g}, E_{0D1} = 0.25 \text{ kJ/mole}, E_{0D2} = 0.25 \text{ kJ/mole},$$

corresponding to $\alpha_{D1} = 0.1$, $\alpha_{D2} = 0.2$.

We will use the capabilities of non-linear fitting methods and we will consider the parameters of equation (13) as free parameters which will be determined by a regression method in order to compare the two families of isotherms we have used extensions of the Sips-Hill and Brouers-Sotolongo isotherms to the double porosity Dubinin's model.

The results of the numerical calculations show that in this instance as in many others due to the difficulty of determining the coefficient c for sorption data, which are unique sets with a limited number of experimental points, there is practically no difference between Sips-Hill ($c=1$) and Brouers-Sotolongo ($c=0$) isotherms. In that case the recommended practice [21,34] is to use an intermediate expression the so-called Brouers-Gaspard (BG) isotherm ($c=0.5$)

$$\frac{W_{BG}}{W_{max}} = 1 - [1 + 2(\kappa/b)^a]^{-2} \quad (14)$$

which we have extended to the two-porosity model (BG2).

$$W_{BG2} = m_1 \left(1 - [1 + 2(\kappa/b_1)^{a_1}]^{-2} \right) + m_2 \left(1 - [1 + 2(\kappa/b_2)^{a_2}]^{-2} \right) \quad (15)$$

$$\text{with } \kappa = \frac{p}{p_0} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_i = \frac{\lambda RT}{\langle E_i \rangle}$$

Here are the results:

In Fig.1 we present the fitting of the benzene data of (ref. 7, table 4) with simple Dubinin (eq. 11) isotherm (black), Langmuir (light black), Brouers Sotolongo (dashed) , Sips-Hill isotherms (dashed).


In Table 1a we show the results for the one porosity Dubinin and BG. isotherm (intermediate between BS and Sips. We can see that the BG isotherm is more precise than the simple Dubinin isotherm (D1). It appears that the one-pore Dubinin equation does not fit the data while the Sips-Hill, Brouers-Sotolongo and the intermediate Brouers-Gaspard practically coincide with the data curve.

In Fig.2 we present the double porosity Dubinin isotherm (D2) eq.13 (dotted) and double porosity BG2 (15) isotherm (dashed). They cannot be distinguished and fit both perfectly the data.

In Table 1b we report the results for the two-porosity model. As this can be observed, there is no significant difference between the two approaches and the Dubinin original assumed values of the coefficients. For the two-pores case the values of the parameters chosen by Dubinin are recovered with very small change and great accuracy with the Dubinin two pores equation and the intermediate Brouers-Gaspard isotherm using the “mathematica” recursion program.

The energies obtained are different: in the Dubinin formalism, it is the characteristic adsorption energy. In the BG formalism the energy is related to the averaged sorption energy depending on the energy distribution.


The fact that n_1 and n_2 are larger than n is consistent with the observation [35] that in the case of activated carbons n varies from 3 to 1 as the system becomes more heterogeneous.



In Fig.1 we present the fitting of the benzene data of (ref.7 table 4) with simple one porosity Dubinin D1 (eq. 12) isotherm (black) and one porosity Langmuir (light black), Brouers Sotolongo (dashed) and Sips-Hill isotherms (dot-dashed).

	m	a_D	a_B	E_{0D} kJ/mol	$\langle E \rangle$ kJ/mol	n	R^2
D1	0.646	0.131		1.86		1.42	0.996
BG	0.654		0.292		7.92λ		0.999

Table 1a Results of the one porosity Dubinin D1 (eq.12) and one porosity Brouers-Gaspard isotherms (eq.14) for the benzene data of Ref.7 Table 4



In Fig.2 we present the double porosity Dubinin D2 isotherm eq.13 (dotted) and double porosity BG2 isotherm eq. 15 (dashed).They cannot be distinguished and fit perfectly the data.

	m_1	m_2	E_{D1} kJ/mol	E_{D2} kJ/mol	$\langle E_1 \rangle$ kJ/mol	$\langle E_2 \rangle$ kJ/mol	n_1	n_2	R^2
D2	0.289	0.218	24	12			2.02	1.95	0.99996
BG2	0.322	0.239			8.75λ	4.90λ			0.99994
D1980	0.3	0.2	25	12.5			2.00	2.00	

Table 1b results of the two porosity Dubinin isotherm (D2, eq.13), the two porous Brouers-Gaspard isotherm (BG2,eq.15) and the original Dubinin results (Ref.7 Table 4)


5. Analysis of the Marquez thesis data.

We will now analyze with isotherms (2-5 and 11) and discuss the complete set of data from one of us [35,36] on powered activated carbon from “pinus caribea” saw dust with the use of CO_2 and water vapor as activation agent

Here again, it is difficult to make a distinction between Brouers-Sotolongo and Sips-Hill isotherms and to construct the table and observe the correlation between isotherms coefficients and physical characteristics we have use the intermediate ($c=0.5$) BG isotherm (eq.14). The results obtained with that isotherm are compared with the physical and isotherm data reported in the work of Marquez who had used the Dubinin-Radushkevich ($n=2$) formula.

The results reported here show that there is practically no difference between the two methods. The only one arises from the different interpretation of the obtained energy: the adsorption energy in the Dubinin's approach and a estimation of the average adsorption energy in the second approach. The results are summarized in the Fig3 and the Tables 2- 4 In order to find some useful correlations, the isotherms parameters are presented with the corresponding physical data (degree of activation, BET surface, porosity) taken directly from ref [36].

In order to illustrate these conclusions in Fig.3 we show the fitting of the case with the smallest R^2 (0.99997).



In Fig.3 Fit with the Dubinin isotherm (black), the Brouers-Gaspard isotherm (dashed) and the Freundlich isotherm (grey) corresponding CA S72547 ($R^2 = 0.99997$). They practically coincide with the data. All other fittings have a higher R^2

The fitting with the recursion “mathematica” program gives the following complete set of results? The values are taken from ref. [35,36].

C.A./ H ₂ O	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	<i>W_m</i>	<i>R</i> ²	<i>s.BET m</i> ² /g	<i>burn off</i> %
S72527	0.66	0.022	99.37	0.99998	570	27
S72547	0.67	0.034	123.01	0.99997	732	41
S72561	0.67	0.045	139.57	0.99999	895	61
S72571	0.66	0.239	268.25	0.99998	1038	71

C.A./ H ₂ O	<i>E</i> _{0D} kJ/mol	< <i>E</i> _{BG} > kJ/mol	W(0.03) cm ³ /g	<i>micro p.</i> cm ³ /g	<i>meso p.</i> cm ³ /g	<i>Total p.</i> cm ³ /g
------------------------	----------------------------------	--------------------------------------	-------------------------------	---------------------------------------	--------------------------------------	---------------------------------------

S72527	12.64	3.43 λ	62.	0.28	0.15	1.05
S72547	11.70	3.38 λ	64.9	0.31	0.23	1.15
S72561	11.47	3.38 λ	65.8	0.37	0.35	1.68
S72571	11.14	3.73 λ	57.9	0.43	0.52	2.76

C.A./ H ₂ O	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	W_m cm ³ /g	R^2	<i>s.BET</i> m ² /g	<i>burn off</i> %.
S85029	0.66	0.027	107.51	0.99997	617	29
S85049	0.67	0.042	157.54	0.99998	790	49
S85068	0.68	0.094	190.42	0.99999	937	60
S85075	0.70	0.098	186.10	0.99997	1034	76

C.A./ H ₂ O	E_{0D} kJ/mol	$\langle E_{BG} \rangle$ kJ/mol	W (0.03) cm ³ /g	<i>micro p.</i> cm ³ /g	<i>meso p.</i> cm ³ /g	<i>Total p.</i> cm ³ /g
S85029	12.58	3.43 λ	61.5	0.27	0.11	0.97
S85049	11.56	3.38 λ	67.3	0.34	0.30	1.46
S85068	10.85	3.38 λ	64.2	0.38	0.58	1.65
S85075	10.73	3.84 λ	57.4	0.39	0.60	3.02

Tabla 2. Results of Brouers-Gaspard and Dubinin isotherms analysis of carbon activated with water vapor (data from ref. [35,36] and parameters from eq. 11 and 14)

C.A./ CO ₂	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	W_m cm ³ /g	R^2	<i>ss.BET</i> m ² /g	<i>burn off</i> %
C75029	0.58	0.049	161.80	0.99999	575	29
C75061	0.70	0.054	207.34	0.99999	969	61
C75077	0.71	0.082	245.93	0.99999	1021	77

C.A./ CO ₂	E_{0D} kJ/mol	$\langle E_{BG} \rangle$ kJ/mol	W(0.03) cm ³ /g	<i>micro p.</i> cm ³ /g	<i>meso p.</i> cm ³ /g	<i>Total p.</i> cm ³ /g
C75029	14.47	3.91 λ	76.5	0.27	0.064	0.76
C75061	12.17	2.24 λ	90.8	0.46	0.10	1.26
C75077	11.12	3.18 λ	87.4	0.48	0.17	1.15

C.A./ CO ₂	<i>a</i>	<i>b</i>	W_m cm ³ /g	R^2	<i>s.BET</i> m ² /g	<i>burn off</i> %.
C87528	0.65	0.020	112.78	0.99999	567	28

C87548	0.67	0.028	145.50	0.99998	739	48
--------	------	-------	--------	---------	-----	----

C.A./ CO ₂	E_{0D}	$\langle E_{BG} \rangle$ kJ/mol	W (0.03) cm ³ /g	micro p. cm ³ /g	meso p. cm ³ /g	Total p. cm ³ /g
C87528	19.00	3.49 λ	71.6	0.36	0.075	0.84
C87548	13.8	3.38 λ	83.3	0.38	0.23	1.38

Tabla 3. Results of Brouers-Gaspard and Dubinin isotherms analysis of carbon activated with CO₂ (data from ref. [35,36] and parameters from eq. 11 and 14)

6. Analysis of the results of Tables 2 and 3.

One cannot observe significant variation of the fractal parameter a . This would indicate that the distribution energy width is practically the same in all cases since the temperature is constant during adsorption measurements. The two other parameters, W_{max} and the scale b increases regularly with both % of burn-off and BET specific surface but the adsorption at the maximum relative pressure W(0.03) does not show much variation. The Dubinin characteristic adsorption energy E_0 does not change much either. The same for the average energy $\langle E \rangle$ varying slightly in accordance with E_0 .

7. Conclusions.

The results of our paper show that the Brouers-Sotolongo and the Sips-Hill isotherms which are among the many semi-empirical formulas used in the literature and which are the only one having the good asymptotic behavior and genuine statistical distribution properties [28] give almost undistinguishable results for this type of systems. That important conclusion has been observed in many instances where the isotherm is measured until saturation. The suggestion has been made in practice to use an intermediate isotherm (Brouers-Gaspard isotherm introduced in [21]) by giving the intermediate value $\frac{1}{2}$ to the complexity parameter c in eq.1. It is with this isotherm that we have treated data of ref. [7] from Dubinin and those of [35,36] measured in the Department of Chemical Engineering of the University of Malaga (Spain). We get very close results if we use both Dubinin and Brouers-Sotolongo formalisms. The macroscopic parameters derived from these isotherms are complementary and are compared with the physical characterization of the carbon.

To conclude we suggest that in usual practice it would be sufficient to restrict oneself with the following isotherms Dubinin (eq.11) Sips-Hill and Brouers-Sotolongo (eq.14). If in one series of data it is not possible to choose between these two last isotherms, for the sake of comparison, as this is done in this paper, one can choose the intermediate Brouers-Gaspard isotherm (eq.14). Non-linear regression methods are a must. The comparison with a great number of empirical isotherms and the use of imprecise linearization methods has become obsolete. We must remember that the isotherms can give only macroscopic information and the correlation of the isotherms parameters with the micro- or meso- pore geometry requires

independent methods like micrography and other physical methods. Only in that way empirical correlations between micro-structure and sorption measures can be done. It must be remembered that the statistics of isotherms are not very precise. Generally one makes a unique measurement for every choice of physical parameters (T, pH, concentration, ...), there is no information on the error-bars, the measurements depend on the experimental conditions [37] and are the result of multi-scale averages.

Acknowledgments.

F.B. is grateful to Professor Jean-Paul Pirard (Liege) who introduced him to the world of adsorption, to Professors Sarra Gaspard (Guadeloupe, France), Mongi Seffen (Sousse, Tunisie) and Al-Musawi (Bagdad, Irak) for useful discussions.

References

1. Jurlewicz, A., and Weron, K. (1999). A general probabilistic approach to the universal relaxation response of complex systems. *Cellular and Molecular Biology Letters*, 1(04).
2. Stanislavsky, A., and Weron, K. (2013). Is there a motivation for a universal behaviour in molecular populations undergoing chemical reactions?. *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics*, 15(37), 15595-15601.
3. Brouers, F., Sotolongo, O., Marquez, F., and Pirard, J. P. (2005). Microporous and heterogeneous surface adsorption isotherms arising from Levy distributions. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 349(1), 271-282.
4. Brouers, F., and Sotolongo-Costa, O. (2005). Relaxation in heterogeneous systems: A rare events dominated phenomenon. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 356(2), 359-374.
5. Freundlich, H. M. F. (1906). Over the adsorption in solution. *J. Phys. Chem.*, 57(385), e470.
6. Langmuir, I. (1918). The adsorption of gases on plane surfaces of glass, mica and platinum. *Journal of the American Chemical society*, 40(9), 1361-1403.
7. Dubinin, M.M. (1989) Fundamentals of the theory of the adsorption in micropores of carbon adsorbents characteristic of their adsorption properties and microporous structure. *Carbon* 27 (1), 457-467.
8. Kopelman, R. (1988). Fractal reaction kinetics. *Science*, 241(4873), 1620-162
9. Savageau, M. A. (1995). Michaelis-Menten mechanism reconsidered: implications of fractal kinetics. *Journal of theoretical biology*, 176(1), 115-124
10. Brouers, F., and Sotolongo-Costa, O. (2003). Universal relaxation in nonextensive systems. *EPL (Europhysics Letters)*, 62(6), 808
11. Brouers, F., and Sotolongo-Costa, O. (2005). Relaxation in heterogeneous systems: A rare events dominated phenomenon. *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 356(2), 359-374

12. Brouers, F., and Sotolongo-Costa, O. (2006). Generalized fractal kinetics in complex systems (application to biophysics and biotechnology). *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, 368(1), 165-175.
13. Brouers, F. (2014). The Fractal (BSf) Kinetics Equation and Its Approximations. *Journal of Modern Physics*, 5(16), 1594.
14. Zeldovitch, J.R. (1934) Acta Physiochem. (USSR) 1, 961 Ncibi, M. C., Altenor, S., Seffen, M., Brouers, F., and Gaspard, S. (2008). Modelling single compound adsorption onto porous and non-porous sorbents using a deformed Weibull exponential isotherm. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 145(2), 196-202
15. Altenor, S., Carene, B., Emmanuel, E., Lambert, J., Ehrhardt, J. J., and Gaspard, S. (2009). Adsorption studies of methylene blue and phenol onto vetiver roots activated carbon prepared by chemical activation. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 165(1), 1029-1039.
16. Ncibi, M. C., and Sillanpää, M. (2015). Optimized removal of antibiotic drugs from aqueous solutions using single, double and multi-walled carbon nanotubes. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*.
17. G. Z., Deliyanni, E. A., and Lazaridis, N. K. (2014). Magnetic modification of microporous carbon for dye adsorption. *Journal of colloid and interface science*, 430, 166-173.
18. Kyzas, G. Z., Deliyanni, E. A., and Lazaridis, N. K. (2014). Magnetic modification of microporous carbon for dye adsorption. *Journal of colloid and interface science*, 430, 166-173
19. Njoku, V. O., Asif, M., and Hameed, B. H. (2014). 2, 4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid adsorption onto coconut shell-activated carbon: isotherm and kinetic modeling. *Desalination and Water Treatment*, (ahead-of-print), 1-10.
20. Hamissa, A. M. B., Brouers, F., Mahjoub, B., and Seffen, M. (2007). Adsorption of textile dyes using Agave americana (L.) fibres: Equilibrium and Kinetics Modelling. *Adsorption Science and Technology*, 25(5), 311-325.
21. Brouers, F., and Al-Musawi, T. (2015). On the Optimal Use of Isotherm Models for the Characterization of Biosorption of Lead Onto Algae. *Journal of Molecular Liquids* 212 (2015) 46– 52.
22. Figaro, S., Avril, J. P., Brouers, F., Ouensanga, A., and Gaspard, S. (2009). Adsorption studies of molasse's wastewaters on activated carbon: Modelling with a new fractal kinetic equation and evaluation of kinetic models. *Journal of hazardous materials*, 161(2), 649-656.
23. Sobhanardakani, S., and Zandipak, R. (2015). 2, 4-Dinitrophenylhydrazine functionalized sodium dodecyl sulfate-coated magnetite nanoparticles for effective removal of Cd (II) and Ni (II) ions from water samples. *Environmental monitoring and assessment*, 187(7), 1-14
24. Podder, M. S., and Majumder, C. B. (2015). SD/MnFe₂O₄ composite, a biosorbent for As (III) and As (V) removal from wastewater: Optimization and isotherm study. *Journal of Molecular Liquids*, 212, 382-404. Kyzas,
25. Nai doo, R., Singh, A., Arya, S. K., Beadle, B., Glass, N., Tanha, J. and Evoy, S. (2012). Surface-immobilization of chromatographically purified bacteriophages for the optimized capture of bacteria. *Bacteriophage*, 2(1), 15-24.
26. Singh, A., Poshtiban, S., and Evoy, S. (2013). Recent advances in bacteriophage based biosensors for food-borne pathogen detection. *Sensors*, 13(2), 1763-1786.

27. Masjkur, M. (2012). Fitting Various Three Parametric Models of Phosphate Sorption on Kaolinitic and Smectitic Soils. *Journal Basic Science And Technology*, 1(2), 13-19.
28. Brouers, F. (2014). Statistical Foundation of Empirical Isotherms. *Open Journal of Statistics*, 4(09), 687.
29. Burr, I.W. (1942) Cumulative Frequency Functions. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 13 , 215-232.
30. Singh, S., and G. Maddala (1976): "A function for the size distribution of income," *Econometrica*, 44, 963–970.
31. Tsallis, C. (1988). Possible generalization of Boltzmann-Gibbs statistics. *Journal of statistical physics*, 52(1-2), 479-487.
32. Brouers, F. (2013). Sorption Isotherms and Probability Theory of Complex Systems. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1309.5340*
33. Ranguin R., Brouers F. and Gaspard S. (2016) Discussion on the use of Generalized kinetic and isotherm equations for modelling adsorption data. in preparation.
34. Stoeckli, H. F., Kraehenbuehl, F., Ballerini, L., and De Bernardini, S. (1989). Recent developments in the Dubinin equation. *Carbon*, 27(1), 125-128.
35. Marquez F. Estudio de las posibilidades del aserin de pinus caribaea en la obtención de carbón activado, Ph.D. thesis, University of Pinar del Rio (Cuba)
36. Marquez-Montesino, F., Cordero, T., Rodriguez-Mirasol, J., and Rodriguez, J. J. (2001). Powdered activated carbons from Pinus caribaea sawdust. *Separation Science and Technology*, 36(14), 3191-3206
37. Douven, S., Paez, C. A., & Gommès, C. J. (2015). The range of validity of sorption kinetic models. *Journal of colloid and interface science*, 448, 437-450.