

SU(2)₁ chiral edge modes of a critical spin liquid

Didier Poilblanc,¹ Norbert Schuch,^{2,3} and Ian Affleck⁴

¹Laboratoire de Physique Théorique, C.N.R.S. and Université de Toulouse, 31062 Toulouse, France

²Max-Planck-Institut für Quantenoptik, Hans-Kopfermann-Str. 1, D-85748 Garching, Germany

³Institut für Quanteninformatik, RWTH Aachen University, D-52056 Aachen, Germany

⁴Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B.C., Canada, V6T1Z1

(Dated: March 4, 2024)

Protected chiral edge modes are a well-known signature of topologically ordered phases like the Fractional Quantum Hall States. Recently, using the framework of projected entangled pair states (PEPS) on the square lattice, we constructed a family of chiral Resonating Valence Bond states with \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge symmetry. Here we revisit and analyze in full details the properties of the edge modes as given by their Entanglement Spectra on a cylinder. Surprisingly, we show that the latter can be well described by a chiral SU(2)₁ Conformal Field Theory, as for the $\nu = 1/2$ (bosonic) gapped Laughlin state, although our numerical data suggest a critical bulk compatible with an emergent U(1) gauge symmetry. We propose that our family of PEPS may physically describe a boundary between a chiral topological phase and a trivial phase.

PACS numbers: 75.10.Kt, 75.10.Jm

Introduction – Fractional Quantum Hall States (FQHS) are remarkable incompressible phases of electronic matter exhibiting chiral edge modes [1] which are protected by the long-range topological order of the bulk. Famous example of FQHS are the abelian bosonic Laughlin state [2] and the non-Abelian Moore-Read [3] and Read-Rezayi [4] states. Remarkably, their edge physics can be described by chiral $SU(2)_k$ Wess-Zumino-Witten (WZW) [5] Conformal Field Theory (CFT), where $k = 1, 2$ and 3 respectively. In the simplest Abelian case of interest here, the $SU(2)_1$ edge theory simply corresponds to chiral free bosons.

Topological order also exists in quantum spin systems, e.g. in Kitaev’s Toric Code [6] or in short-range (non-chiral) \mathbb{Z}_2 spin liquids as the spin-1/2 Resonating Valence Bond (RVB) state – an equal weight superposition of nearest-neighbor (NN) singlet coverings [7] – on non-bipartite lattices [8, 9]. In contrast, on bipartite lattices like the square lattice, generic dimer or RVB liquids have *power law decay* of dimer correlations [10, 11]. In all cases, the Projected Entangled Paired States (PEPS) framework [12, 13] is a practical formalism to gain a comprehensive understanding of RVB wave functions [14–17].

Long ago, topological chiral spin liquids (CSL), spin analogs of the Laughlin state, have been proposed in seminal work by Kalmeyer and Laughlin [18] and Wen, Wilczek and Zee [19], and more recently, parent Hamiltonians have been constructed for such states [20, 21]. In addition, some quantum antiferromagnets with broken time-reversal symmetry and *local interactions* have been shown to host topological CSL of the same class as the bosonic Laughlin state [21, 22].

Efforts to construct chiral topological states on the lattice within the PEPS framework have been undertaken recently. By Gutzwiller projecting two copies of *non-interacting* Gaussian fermionic PEPS [23], a chiral (crit-




FIG. 1: The RVB state on infinite cylinders. The parity p (even or odd) and the \mathbb{Z}_2 -flux f (0 or π) topological invariant can be switched by inserting/removing “spinon” (s) or “vison” (v) lines through the cylinder (see Ref. [16] for details) as in Kitaev’s code [6].

ical) PEPS with topological order was constructed, from which the edge CFT could be identified using the PEPS bulk-edge correspondence [13]. It was also shown that a simple two-dimensional family of SU(2)-invariant PEPS describe topological chiral spin liquids, although with algebraic (singlet) correlations [24]. In this article, we focus in more details on the properties of the edge states, as defined from the Entanglement Spectrum [25], of such a critical CSL and provide evidence that they can be fully described by a chiral SU(2)₁ Conformal Field Theory.

Chiral RVB – We start by reviewing briefly the construction of the CSL of Ref. 24 which is based on a deformation of the simple PEPS ansatz of the RVB state on the two-dimensional (2d) bipartite square lattice [16, 17]. The RVB PEPS ansatz is based on a tensor network in-

volving a unique rank-5 tensor A assigned to each site of the square lattice. A involves local physical spin-1/2 degrees of freedom (of dimension $d = 2$) and virtual states on the four surrounding bonds belonging to the $1/2 \oplus 0$ spin representation of dimension $D = 3$ [15, 16]. We modify the (non-zero) tensor elements of the real (critical) RVB state [16, 17] as :

$$A = \lambda_1 R_1 + \lambda_2 R_2 + i\lambda_{\text{chiral}} I_c, \quad (1)$$

where the R_l and I_c tensors transform, under point group operations, according to the B_1 ($d_{x^2-y^2}$ -wave orbital symmetry) and B_2 (d_{xy} -wave orbital symmetry) irreducible representations (IRREPs) of the (C_{4v}) point group of the square lattice, respectively, and where λ_1 , λ_2 and λ_{chiral} are free (real) parameters (see Appendix). Note that the gauge symmetry of the tensor A [27] is broken down from $U(1)$ to \mathbb{Z}_2 upon including the R_2 and/or I_c tensors. The resulting complex PEPS wavefunction is found to be a chiral RVB SL [24],

$$|\Psi_{\text{Chiral}}\rangle = |\Psi_s\rangle + i|\Psi_g\rangle. \quad (2)$$

whose real and imaginary components transform according to the A_1 (s -wave orbital symmetry) and A_2 (g -wave orbital symmetry) IRREPs. Hence, under any reflection symmetry of C_{4v} , $|\Psi_{\text{chiral}}\rangle$ transforms into $|\Psi_{\text{chiral}}^*\rangle$, its time-reversed state (possibly up to a sign), which is indeed a necessary condition for a chiral spin liquid. For $\lambda_2 = \lambda_{\text{chiral}} = 0$, one recovers the (non-chiral) NN RVB state [15, 16]. λ_2 and λ_{chiral} introduce longer range (AB) singlet bonds via “teleportation” as in the non-chiral spin liquid of Ref. 17. Here we choose $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_{\text{chiral}} (= 1)$ for simplicity.

RDM & Entanglement Hamiltonian – Using the standard procedure [13], we have computed the Reduced Density Matrix (RDM) for an infinite cylinder cut into two (semi-infinite) halves. Following the procedure for the RVB state, one can construct *a priori* four sectors labelled by a pair of parity/flux quantum number $\mu = (p, f)$. Even ($p = e$) and odd ($p = o$) parities as well as zero ($f = 0$) or π ($f = \pi$) \mathbb{Z}_2 (“vison”) fluxes can be considered as shown in Fig. 1. The right and left eigenvectors corresponding to the leading (largest) eigenvalues of the TM in each sector μ can be viewed as edge operators σ_R^μ and σ_L^μ acting on the virtual $0 \otimes 1/2$ indices of the edges. One then obtains the RDM (up to an isometry) as $\rho^{(\mu)} = \sqrt{(\sigma_R^\mu)^\dagger} \sigma_L^\mu \sqrt{(\sigma_R^\mu)^\dagger}$ and the Entanglement Hamiltonian $H_E^{(\mu)}$ implicitly defined by $\rho^{(\mu)} = \exp(-H_E^{(\mu)})$. Hence, in this language, the RDM can be viewed as a thermal density matrix at “temperature” $1/\beta = 1$. The Entanglement Hamiltonian is block-diagonal and the blocks are labelled by the edge momentum K and the (modulus of the) virtual edge spin component S_z .

Entanglement Spectrum and CFT’s – The Entanglement Spectrum (ES) is defined as the spectrum of H_E . It inherits from the spin-singlet character of the PEPS

$SU(2)$ Kramer degeneracies so that $SU(2)_k$ WZW theories are the most natural candidate CFT’s to describe the low-energy modes. We first focus on the two topological sectors, even and odd, in the absence of any vison flux ($f = 0$) and we label the two sectors only by their parity (p). The corresponding ES on infinite cylinders with $N_v = 8$ and 12 are displayed in Fig. 2 as a function of the momentum (modulus π) along the edge of the half-cylinder. Low (quasi)energy linearly dispersing chiral modes – with the same velocity u in all sectors – are clearly seen for both values of N_v , in perfect agreement with the prediction of $SU(2)_1$ CFT (free bosons or “Luttinger liquid” at the $SU(2)$ -symmetric point). Indeed, the two spectra in the even and odd topological sectors correspond exactly to the two $SU(2)_1$ conformal towers associated to each WZW primary field (spin-0 and spin-1/2) and its descendants (integer and half-integer spins, respectively) with the right expected degeneracy (or quasi-degeneracy) of the equally-spaced groups of levels. We also observe that the assignment becomes better for increasing cylinder perimeter and that the odd spectrum is exactly *doubly degenerate*.

The low-energy ES can then be represented accurately as :

$$E \equiv N_v e_0 + e_{\text{topo}} + E_{\text{CFT}}, \quad (3)$$

where E_{CFT} is the conventional $SU(2)_1$ CFT spectrum :

$$E_{\text{CFT}}(S_z, \{m_n\}) = \frac{\pi u}{N_v} \left(-\frac{c}{24} + S_z^2 + \sum_{n \geq 1} m_n n \right), \quad (4)$$

Above, the central charge is $c = 1$. Each state is labelled by $S_z, m_1, m_2, m_3, \dots$ where $m_n \geq 0$ is the occupation number of the harmonic oscillator mode with wave vector $\pi n/N_v$ and there is a harmonic oscillator mode for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. The even (odd) sector corresponds to all integer (half-integer) S_z quantum numbers. All these states can be grouped into $SU(2)$ multiplets.

The non-universal constant e_0 in (3) is in fact completely fixed by the normalization of the RDMs and depends only on the chiral mode velocity. To prove this, we conveniently define the “partition function” in each topological sector separately as :

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{(p)}(\beta) &= \tilde{\text{Tr}}[\exp(-\beta H_E^{(p)})] \\ &= \exp(-\beta N_v e_0 - \beta e_{\text{topo}}) Z_{\text{CFT}}^{(p)}(\beta), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where p stands for the parity “e” or “o” and β is a dimensionless parameter. Note that we define here $\tilde{\text{Tr}} \equiv \frac{1}{d^{(p)}} \text{Tr}$ which takes into account possible *exact* degeneracy of the odd (even) chiral mode (we observe $d^{(o)} = 2$ and $d^{(e)} = 1$) and

$$Z_{\text{CFT}}^{(e)}(\beta) = \sum_{S_z \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{\{m_n\}} \exp(-\beta E_{\text{CFT}}(S_z, \{m_n\})), \quad (6)$$

$$Z_{\text{CFT}}^{(o)}(\beta) = \sum_{S_z \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{\{m_n\}} \exp(-\beta E_{\text{CFT}}(S_z + \frac{1}{2}, \{m_n\})), \quad (7)$$




FIG. 2: Low-energy Entanglement Spectrum obtained on an infinite cylinder of perimeter N_v vs momentum along the edge (modulus π) in even (a,b) and odd (c,d) sectors with 0-flux. The GS energy has been subtracted out (to ease the comparison between different sizes). The symbol (S) refers to a spin- S multiplet and the correct (slightly incomplete) $SU(2)_1$ counting obtained for each quasi-degenerate group of levels – outlined by boxes when necessary – is indicated in red (orange). (a,c) $N_v = 8$ and exact contractions; (b,d) $N_v = 12$ and approximate contractions done using a $D_c = 36$ iMPS. For each momentum, the lowest 24 quasi-energies are shown. Fits of the linear dispersion in (b) and (d) give the mode velocity $u \sim 2.7$, also consistent with the other data in (a) and (c).

where the second sum runs over all occupations of the harmonic oscillator modes i.e. $\sum_{\{m_n\}} \equiv \prod_{n \geq 1} \sum_{m_n \geq 0}$. We impose that $\text{Tr}[\rho^{(p)}] = 1$ i.e. $Z^{(p)}(1) = 1$ for both $p = e$ and $p = o$. When $N_v \gg \beta u$, one can approximate

$$Z_{\text{CFT}}^{(p)}(\beta) \simeq g \exp(\pi N_v / 6u\beta), \quad (8)$$

where g is a “boundary degeneracy”, $g = 1/\sqrt{2}$ here, and the right-hand side is p -independent. Note that higher order corrections to Eq. (8) are exponentially

small, down by a factor of $\exp(-\pi N_v / u\beta)$. The above result follows from a modular transformation expressing the conformal towers $\chi_s(e^{-\pi u\beta / N_v})$ as a linear combination of $\chi_{s'}(e^{-4\pi N_v / u\beta})$, hence relating the behavior at small $u\beta / N_v$ to the behavior at large $u\beta / N_v$, low temperature, which is easily obtained by considering just a few lowest energy states [26]. Hence, the normalization conditions imply that

$$e_0 = \frac{\pi}{6u}, \quad (9)$$

$$e_{\text{topo}} = \ln g = -\ln 2/2, \quad (10)$$

which are identical in the even and odd sectors.

Entanglement Entropy – The Renyi Entanglement Entropies (EE) are defined by $S_q^{(p)} = \frac{1}{1-q} \ln [\text{Tr}\{(\rho^{(p)})^q\}]$. In the limit $q \rightarrow \infty$, the Renyi EE $S_\infty^{(p)}$ reduces to the ground state energy $E_{\text{gs}}^{(p)}$ of the ES in each parity sector. From the previous analysis, one expects then that, asymptotically for large N_v ,

$$S_\infty^{(p)} \sim e_0 N_v + e_{\text{topo}} + \frac{\pi u}{N_v} \alpha^{(p)}, \quad (11)$$

where e_0 and e_{topo} are given by Eqs. (9),(10). Neglecting subleading terms in Eq. (8), one gets $\alpha^{(e)} = -\frac{1}{24}$ and $\alpha^{(o)} = -\frac{1}{24} + \frac{1}{4}$, where the extra $\frac{1}{4}$ is the conformal weight of the odd sector.

In the limit $q \rightarrow 1$, one gets the Von Neumann (VN) EE $S_{VN}^{(p)} = -\text{Tr}[\rho^{(p)} \ln \rho^{(p)}]$. Its expected scaling behavior can be obtained from $S_{VN}^{(p)} = S_{\text{thermo}}^{(p)}(1)$, where the “thermodynamic” entropy is defined as $S_{\text{thermo}}^{(p)}(\beta) = \beta^2 \frac{\partial F^{(p)}}{\partial \beta}$ with $F^{(p)}(\beta) = -\frac{1}{\beta} \ln [Z^{(p)}(\beta)]$. It is straightforward to get, when $N_v \gg \beta u$, $F^{(p)}(\beta) \simeq (1 - \frac{1}{\beta})e_{\text{topo}} + (1 - \frac{1}{\beta^2})N_v e_0$ and, eventually,

$$S_{VN}^{(p)} \sim (2e_0)N_v + e_{\text{topo}}. \quad (12)$$

where the asymptotic result does not depend on the topological sector. It is interesting to see that the coefficient of the “area law” is twice the one of the $q = \infty$ Renyi EE (11) while the topological entropy (i.e. the constant term) is the same. Note that, strictly speaking, $SU(2)_1$ CFT only gives exponentially small higher order corrections to Eq. (12). However, in application of CFT to the true edge physics, other corrections could arise from irrelevant operators which could be down by only powers of u/N_v , rather than being exponentially small.

We now analytically compute the Renyi entropy for general q . From its definition and from (5) one gets,

$$\begin{aligned} S_q^{(p)} &= \frac{1}{1-q} \ln Z^{(p)}(q) \\ &= \frac{1}{1-q} (-q N_v e_0 - q e_{\text{topo}} + \ln Z_{\text{CFT}}^{(p)}(q)). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$




FIG. 3: VN entanglement entropy, $q = 2$ Renyi entropy and ground state of ES (i.e. $q = \infty$ Renyi entropy) vs cylinder perimeter in the topological even sectors (a) and odd sectors (b) obtained from exact contractions. In (a) results from approximate iMPS methods with $D_c = 12, 36, 60$ are also shown (with symbol size decreasing with increasing D_c). The dashed (black) lines are given by fits according to the analytic linear predictions of Eqs. (12), (11) and (14) for $q = 2$. The extracted constant e_0 gives a velocity $u \sim 2.9$ consistent with the mode dispersion in Fig. 2. The (blue and red) dotted lines are given by Eq. (11), including the $1/N_v$ corrections which differ in the even and odd sectors. In (a) the turquoise dashed lines are the linear behaviors of Eqs. (12) and (11) shifted by $2 \ln 2$.

Using (8) for $N_v \gg qu$, one gets

$$S_q^{(p)} \sim \frac{q+1}{q} e_0 N_v + e_{\text{topo}}, \quad (14)$$

which does not depend on the topological sector and also applies to the previous cases $q = 1$ and $q \rightarrow \infty$ (although $1/N_v$ corrections appear in the last case, see (11)).

To compare with the above CFT predictions, we have numerically computed the EEs i) exactly on infinite cylinders for $N_v = 4, 6, 8$ and ii) using an approximate iMPS

method for $N_v = 8, 10, 12$ (only for S_∞ and S_2 in the even sector for which we get reliable results; see Appendix C for details). As shown in Fig. 3(a), the numerical results for the even sector agree very well with the analytic predictions which depend on a single parameter, the mode velocity u , estimated independently from the slope of the chiral mode in Fig. 2. We note however, that the iMPS EE data depend strongly on the MPS bond dimension D_c , but in a way which is consistent with the CFT predictions. Indeed, the $D_c \rightarrow \infty$ limits of S_∞ and S_2 , for every circumference N_v , seem to coincide with the values given by Eq. (14) (see Appendix C). In addition, we see that the $D_c \rightarrow \infty$ extrapolations of the fitting parameters $e_0(D_c)$ and $e_{\text{topo}}(D_c)$ obtained from linear fits of $S_\infty(N_v)$ and $S_2(N_v)$ for $8 \leq N_v \leq 12$, independently for each value of $D_c = 12, 36$ and 60 , are consistent with $e_0 \sim 0.18$ and $e_{\text{topo}} = -\ln 2/2$ obtained from the analysis of the exact data for $4 \leq N_v \leq 8$. In the odd sector, as seen in Fig. 3(b), large deviations from the analytic predictions are found for small perimeters like $N_v = 4, 6$. However, the (exact) results for $N_v = 8$ become quite close to what is expected from the CFT analysis.

\mathbb{Z}_2 Vison flux – We now investigate the effect on the ES and the EE of inserting a vison flux ($f = \pi$) inside the cylinder. As shown in Fig. 4 for $N_v = 8$, the two spectra in the odd sector with and without flux are very similar and agree with the half-integer spin sector of $\text{SU}(2)_1$. As a result, the corresponding VN and $q = \infty$ Renyi EE for $f = \pi$ are indeed close to the ones for $f = 0$, and not too far from the CFT prediction, as seen in Fig. 3(b). In contrast, we failed to identify a simple CFT characterizing the even sector in the presence of a \mathbb{Z}_2 -flux. By analyzing the VN and $q = \infty$ Renyi EE shown in Fig. 3(a), we found some similarity between the behaviors for $f = 0$ and $f = \pi$ up to a global shift. This suggests that the $f = \pi$ spectrum may be described by the product of either i) the CFT odd sector by a single free spin ($\text{CFT}_{\text{odd}} \otimes \frac{1}{2}$) or ii) the CFT even sector by two free spins ($\text{CFT}_{\text{even}} \otimes \frac{1}{2} \otimes \frac{1}{2}$) so that the boundary degeneracy i) $g \rightarrow 2g$ or ii) $g \rightarrow 4g$ causing a shift in the EE of i) $\ln 2$ or ii) $2 \ln 2$. In such scenario, one expects either i) $(1)+(0)$ ground states and $(1)+(0)$ first excited states or ii) $(1)+(0)$ ground states and $(2)+2(1)+(0)$ first excited states. The even/ π -flux low energy spectrum in Fig. 4 would be more consistent with scenario i) (although there is one extra singlet excitation). However, the shift observed in the EE in Fig. 3(a) is consistent with $2 \ln 2$ which would rather point towards ii). We believe however that the system sizes at hand are still too small to enable to reach a definite conclusion.

Emergent $U(1)$ symmetry and criticality – The existence of the 4 topological sectors is directly linked to the \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge symmetry [27] of the tensor (1). However, a careful (finite size scaling) analysis of the TM's spectrum (see Appendix B) suggests the latter is gapless, implying long range (dimer) correlations, typical of the nearest-




FIG. 4: Comparison between the 4 low-energy ES in the even (left) and odd (right) sectors with 0 or π vison fluxes and for $N_v = 8$. The different symbols correspond to different spin multiplets and the correct $SU(2)_1$ counting obtained for the low-energy group of levels is indicated in red. All multiplets of the odd spectra are exactly doubly degenerate. No simple CFT spectrum could be assigned to the (e, π) sector (see text).

neighbor RVB state which bears an enlarged $U(1)$ gauge symmetry [10, 11]. Such features could nevertheless be reconciled by invoking in our case an “emergent” $U(1)$ symmetry. In other words, due to the “teleportation” of the R_2 and I_c tensors, the chiral PEPS can be viewed as a RVB state with a distribution of singlet bonds extending to all distances (always connecting two different sublattices). However, as for the non-chiral RVB state of Ref. 17, this distribution falls off exponentially fast with the bond length. Therefore, for very wide cylinders, the probability to find a singlet bond winding around the cylinder is exponentially suppressed and the (chiral or non-chiral) RVB states behave effectively as “short-range” RVB states possessing enlarged $U(1)$ gauge symmetry.

Outlook – In this paper, we investigated in details the nature of the ES (reflecting the edge physics) of a simple chiral critical spin liquid given by a $D = 3$ PEPS. We numerically observed that the low-energy ES follows the exact counting of a $SU(2)_1$ Wess-Zumino-Witten CFT. We derived analytically the expected behavior of the Renyi and Von Neumann Entanglement Entropies assuming a *perfect* CFT spectrum. A comparison to numerical results confirms the expected topological term of $-\ln 2/2$, as in the half-filled (bosonic) Laughlin state. We also provide some evidence that the bulk is critical. Therefore, we argue that our family of PEPS physically describes a boundary between a trivial phase and a (gapped) chiral topological phase from which it inherits the edge states.

Acknowledgment – This project is supported by the NQPTP ANR-0406-01 grant (French Research Coun-

cil), the ERC Starting Grant No. 636201 WASCOSYS and CIFAR (IA). The numerical computations have been achieved at the CALMIP EOS Supercomputer (Toulouse) and the JARA-HPC cluster (Aachen/Juelich) through grant jara0092 and jara0111. I.A. would like to thank Laboratoire de Physique Théorique, Université de Toulouse for hospitality during the time some of this research was performed. We acknowledge useful conversations with Ignacio Cirac, Jérôme Dubail, Benoît Estienne, Pierre Pujol, Ying Ran, Nicolas Regnault and Guifre Vidal.

-
- [1] X. G. Wen, Chiral Luttinger liquid and the edge excitations in the fractional quantum Hall states, *Phys. Rev. B* **41**, 12838 (1990).
 - [2] R. B. Laughlin, *Phys. Rev. B* **23**, 5632 (1981).
 - [3] G. Moore and N. Read, Nonabelions in the fractional quantum Hall effect, *Nuclear Physics B* **360**, 362 (1991).
 - [4] N. Read and E. Rezayi, Beyond paired quantum Hall states: parafermions and incompressible states in the first excited Landau level, *Phys. Rev. B* **59**, 8084 (1999).
 - [5] For a review on CFTs see e.g. P. Ginsparg, *Applied Conformal Field Theory*, in *Fields, Strings and Critical Phenomena*, Les Houches Summer School, North-Holland, Eds. E. Brézin and J. Zinn-Justin (1988).
 - [6] A. Kitaev, *Ann. Phys.* **303**, 2 (2003), quant-ph/9707021.
 - [7] P. W. Anderson, Resonating valence bonds: A new kind of insulator?, *Mat. Res. Bull.* **8**, 153 (1973); P. W. Anderson, The resonating valence bond state in La_2CuO_4 and superconductivity, *Science* **235**, 1196 (1987).
 - [8] J. Wildeboer and A. Seidel, Correlation Functions in $SU(2)$ Invariant Resonating-Valence-Bond Spin Liquids on Nonbipartite Lattices, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 147208 (2012).
 - [9] F. Yang and H. Yao, Frustrated Resonating Valence Bond States in Two Dimensions: Classification and Short-Range Correlations, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 147209 (2012).
 - [10] A. F. Albuquerque and F. Alet, Critical correlations for short-range valence-bond wavefunctions on the square lattice, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 180408R (2010).
 - [11] Y. Tang, A. W. Sandvik and C. L. Henley, Properties of resonating valence bond spin liquids and critical dimer models, *Phys. Rev. B* **84**, 174427 (2011).
 - [12] F. Verstraete, V. Murg, and J. I. Cirac, *Adv. Phys.* **57**, 143 (2008), arXiv:0907.2796.
 - [13] J. I. Cirac, D. Poilblanc, N. Schuch and F. Verstraete, Entanglement spectrum and boundary theories with projected entangled-pair states, *Phys. Rev. B* **83**, 245134 (2011).
 - [14] F. Verstraete, M. M. Wolf, D. Perez-Pérez-García and J. I. Cirac, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 220601 (2006), quant-ph/0601075.
 - [15] N. Schuch, D. Poilblanc, J. I. Cirac and D. Perez-García, Resonating valence bond states in the PEPS formalism, *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 115108 (2012).
 - [16] D. Poilblanc, N. Schuch, D. Perez-García and J. I. Cirac, Topological and entanglement properties of resonating valence bond wave functions, *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 014404 (2012).

- (2012).
- [17] Ling Wang, Didier Poilblanc, Zheng-Cheng Gu, Xiao-Gang Wen and Frank Verstraete, Constructing gapless spin liquid state for the spin-1/2 J1-J2 Heisenberg model on a square lattice, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 037202 (2013).
 - [18] V. Kalmeyer and R. B. Laughlin, Equivalence of the resonating-valence-bond and fractional quantum Hall states, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 2095 (1987).
 - [19] Xiao-Gang Wen, F. Wilczek, and A. Zee, Chiral Spin States and Superconductivity, Phys. Rev. B **39**, 11413 (1989).
 - [20] D.F. Schroeter, E. Kapit, R. Thomale, and M. Greiter, Spin Hamiltonian for which the chiral spin liquid is the exact ground state, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 097202 (2007).
 - [21] Anne E. B. Nielsen, German Sierra, J. Ignacio Cirac, Fractional quantum Hall states in lattices: Local models and physical implementation, Nature Communications **4**, 2864 (2013).
 - [22] B. Bauer, L. Cincio, B. P. Keller, M. Dolfi, G. Vidal, S. Trebst, and A. W. W. Ludwig, Chiral spin liquid and emergent anyons in a Kagome lattice Mott insulator, Nature Communications **5**, 5137 (2014).
 - [23] Shuo Yang, Thorsten B. Wahl, Hong-Hao Tu, Norbert Schuch, and J. Ignacio Cirac, Chiral projected entangled-pair state with topological order, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 106803 (2015).
 - [24] D. Poilblanc, J. I. Cirac and N. Schuch, Chiral topological spin liquids with projected entangled pair states, Phys. Rev. B **91**, 224431 (2015).
 - [25] Hui Li and F. D. M. Haldane, Entanglement Spectrum as a Generalization of Entanglement Entropy: Identification of Topological Order in Non-Abelian Fractional Quantum Hall Effect States, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 010504 (2008).
 - [26] Ian Affleck, Conformal Field Theory Approach to the Kondo Effect, arXiv:9512.099
 - [27] A full classification of (SU(2)-symmetric) tensor networks based on their gauge (projective) symmetry can be found in Shenghan Jiang and Ying Ran, Phys. Rev. B **92**, 104414 (2015).
 - [28] Norbert Schuch, Didier Poilblanc, J. Ignacio Cirac, and David Perez-Garcia, Topological order in PEPS: Transfer operator and boundary Hamiltonians, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 090501 (2013).
 - [29] G. Vidal, *Efficient classical simulation of slightly entangled quantum computations*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 147902 (2003); arXiv:quant-ph/0301063.
 - [30] G. De las Cuevas, N. Schuch, D. Perez-Garcia, and J.I. Cirac, *Purifications of multipartite states: limitations and constructive methods*, New J. Phys. **15**, 123021 (2013); arXiv:1308.1914.

Appendices

APPENDIX A : $D = 3$ PEPS ANSATZ

The on-site tensor ansatz is a rank-5 tensor A_{lurd}^s assigned to each site of a square lattice. Here, the index $s = 0, 1$ stands for the local physical spin-1/2 degrees of freedom (of dimension $d = 2$) and the subscript indices l, u, r, d label the virtual states on the bonds

as shown in Fig. 5(a). In order to construct a generalized spin-singlet RVB wavefunction, we assume that the virtual states belong to the $1/2 \oplus 0$ spin representation of dimension $D = 3$ (the virtual states are labelled by 0, 1 and 2 and carry $S_z = 1/2, -1/2$ and 0, respectively) [15, 16]. Basically, we can write a general spin-1/2 ansatz wave function on a periodic manifold of N sites as $|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_N} c_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_N} |s_1, s_2, \dots, s_N\rangle$, and $c_{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_N} = \text{Contract}[A^{s_1} A^{s_2} \dots A^{s_N}]$ where all tensors share the same bond variables with their 4 neighbors and “Contract” means that one sums up over all bond variables of the 2d tensor network. Whenever open boundaries are present, one needs to assign the values of the non-contracted virtual indices at the boundaries to fully characterize the PEPS wavefunction. Note that the precise sign structure of the RVB state depends on the convention to orient singlets: hereafter singlets are oriented from one sublattice (A) to the other sublattice (B) as shown in Fig. 5(b). After a 180-degrees spin-rotation on the B sites (see Fig. 5(c)) the RVB state takes the form of a translationally invariant PEPS with *the same* tensor on both sublattices.

Let us write $A = R + iI$, where R and I are two real tensors. We impose that R and I are, under point group operations, of B_1 ($d_{x^2-y^2}$) and B_2 (d_{xy}) symmetries, respectively. These constraints together with the additional ones imposed by the singlet character of the PEPS fully determine the tensor A [24]. We recall here the form of the R and I (real) components:

$$R_{2s22}^{s'} = \lambda_1^{ss'}, \quad (15)$$

$$R_{222s}^{s'} = \lambda_1^{ss'}, \quad (16)$$

$$R_{22s2}^{s'} = -\lambda_1^{ss'}, \quad (17)$$

$$R_{s222}^{s'} = -\lambda_1^{ss'}, \quad (18)$$

where spin SU(2)-invariance implies that,

$$\lambda_1^{ss'} = \delta_{ss'} \lambda_1. \quad (19)$$

These tensor elements correspond exactly to those of the NN RVB wavefunction. The tensor elements of I with the same indices identically vanish by symmetry. Non-zero tensor elements that correspond to quantum teleportation via diagonal bonds are given by :

$$R_{ss2}^{s'} = \lambda_0^{ss'}, \quad (20)$$

$$R_{s2s}^{s'} = \lambda_0^{ss'}, \quad (21)$$

$$R_{2ss}^{s'} = -\lambda_0^{ss'}, \quad (22)$$

$$R_{ss2s}^{s'} = -\lambda_0^{ss'}, \quad (23)$$

where $\lambda_0^{ss'} \in \mathbb{R}$. One also gets (grouping the R and I tensors),

$$A_{ss2}^{s'} = \lambda_2^{ss'} + i\lambda_{\text{chiral}}^{ss'}, \quad (24)$$

$$A_{s2s}^{s'} = \lambda_2^{ss'} + i\lambda_{\text{chiral}}^{ss'}, \quad (25)$$




FIG. 5: (a) Local tensor A . (b) Square lattice with singlet bonds oriented from sublattice A to sublattice B . (c) Under a 180-degrees spin rotation on e.g. the B sublattice, oriented singlets are transformed into symmetric $|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle + |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle$ maximally entangled pair states. (d) Transfer matrix on a periodic ring.

$$A_{s2\bar{s}s}^{s'} = \lambda_2^{ss'} - i\lambda_{\text{chiral}}^{ss'}, \quad (26)$$

$$A_{\bar{s}s2}^{s'} = \lambda_2^{ss'} - i\lambda_{\text{chiral}}^{ss'}, \quad (27)$$

$$A_{ss2\bar{s}}^{s'} = -\lambda_2^{ss'} + i\lambda_{\text{chiral}}^{ss'}, \quad (28)$$

$$A_{2\bar{s}s}^{s'} = -\lambda_2^{ss'} + i\lambda_{\text{chiral}}^{ss'}, \quad (29)$$

$$A_{s\bar{s}2s}^{s'} = -\lambda_2^{ss'} - i\lambda_{\text{chiral}}^{ss'}, \quad (30)$$

$$A_{2ss\bar{s}}^{s'} = -\lambda_2^{ss'} - i\lambda_{\text{chiral}}^{ss'}, \quad (31)$$

where $\lambda_2^{ss'}, \lambda_{\text{chiral}}^{ss'} \in \mathbb{R}$ are independent constants and \bar{s} is the time-reversed of the spin s .

Finally, enforcing the invariance under $SU(2)$ spin-rotations (singlet character of the PEPS) one finds that $\lambda_2^{ss'}, \lambda_{\text{chiral}}^{ss'}$ and $\lambda_0^{ss'}$ are diagonal in the spin indices and odd under spin inversion (we thank Dr. Shuo Yang for pointing out a misprint in Eq. (A20) of Ref. 24),

$$\lambda_2^{ss'} = \delta_{ss'}(-1)^s \lambda_2, \quad (32)$$

$$\lambda_{\text{chiral}}^{ss'} = \delta_{ss'}(-1)^s \lambda_{\text{chiral}}, \quad (33)$$

$$\lambda_0^{ss'} = \delta_{ss'}(-1)^s \lambda_0. \quad (34)$$

Note that spin rotation invariance leads also to the relation,

$$\lambda_0 = 2\lambda_2. \quad (35)$$

APPENDIX B : TRANSFER MATRIX AND TOPOLOGICAL SECTORS

We have put the PEPS wavefunction on a (horizontal) cylinder of circumference N_v ($= 4, 6, 8$) and length $N_h \rightarrow \infty$. The normalization takes the form $\langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle = V_{\text{left}} \Gamma^{N_h} V_{\text{right}}$ where Γ is the $D^{2N_v} \times D^{2N_v}$ transfer matrices (TM) and V_{left} and V_{right} stand for left and right




FIG. 6: Finite size scaling of the leading eigenvalues of the transfer matrix vs the inverse of the cylinder perimeter. For each sector (corresponding to a given symbol) the two largest eigenvalues are shown. Dashed lines are guide to the eyes.

vectors (of dimension D^{2N_v} , $D = 3$) defining the boundary conditions. The block structure of the transfer matrix and the leading eigenvalues provide key informations on the nature of the state [28] such as its topological properties, its correlation lengths, etc... To construct Γ one first defines a local rank-4 \mathbb{E} tensor by contracting the physical index of two superposed A tensors, namely

$$\mathbb{E}_{LURD} = \sum_s A_{lurd}^s (A_{l'u'r'd'}^s)^* \quad (36)$$

where the indices of \mathbb{E} combine the indices of the superposed bonds of the top (bra) and bottom (ket) A tensors. Then, one builds a (vertical) periodic array of N_v such \mathbb{E} tensors, contracting over the (vertical) bond indices as shown in Fig. 5(d). The result can indeed be viewed as a $D^{2N_v} \times D^{2N_v}$ matrix connecting the (non-contracted) bra and ket virtual indices on the left of the ring to the ones on its right. Due to \mathbb{Z}_2 symmetry the transfer matrix contains four disconnected blocks associated to the topological sectors.

We have plotted the first two leading eigenvalues (normalized to the absolute largest) of the transfer matrix in each block as a function of the inverse circumference in Fig. 6. As expected the leading eigenvalues in all four sectors seem to approach the same limit ($= 1$) for infinite circumference. The gaps defined by the difference between the two leading eigenvalues of each sector seem also to vanish. However, we note that the finite size gap in the even/0-flux sector is much larger than in the other sectors.

APPENDIX C : IMPS METHOD

In this appendix, we give the details of the iMPS method used to determine the entanglement properties




FIG. 7: Comparison of the low-energy Entanglement Spectrum on an infinite cylinder of perimeter $N_v = 8$ in the even/0-flux sector computed by (a) exact contractions and (b) $D_c = 60$ iMPS method. As in Fig. 2 the GS energy has been subtracted and same symbols are used. In (b), the lowest 24 quasi-energies are shown for each momentum. The linear dispersion (dashed lines) correspond to a mode velocity $u \sim 2.7$.

of the chiral PEPS. We will discuss the general case of a non-hermitian transfer operator (i.e., with different left and right fixed points), even though the examples considered have a Hermitian transfer operator by virtue of their underlying d -wave symmetry.

First, we use infinite translational invariant MPS (iMPS) to approximate the (left and right) fixed point of the transfer operator with an infinite translational invariant MPS, i.e., an ansatz of the form (w.l.o.g. we consider the right fixed point)

$$\sum_{\{L_k\}} (\dots M^{L_{-1}} M^{L_0} M^{L_1} \dots) | \dots, L_{-1}, L_0, L_1, \dots \rangle,$$

where L_k , $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, label the (left-pointing) indices of (right) fixed point, cf. Appendix B, and the M^L are $D_c \times D_c$ matrices. To this end, we start with some initial tensor M^L . Applying the transfer operator [cf. Eq. (36)] yields a new iMPS with matrices

$$\tilde{M}^L = \sum_{L', R} \mathbb{E}_{LURD} M^{L'} \delta_{L'R}$$

with bond dimension $D^2 D_c = 9D_c$. We now truncate the bond dimension by bringing the iMPS into the canonical form of Ref. [29] (i.e., with weights $\dots \Lambda \Gamma^{L_i} \Lambda \Gamma^{L_{i+1}} \dots$, with Λ a diagonal matrix holding the Schmidt coefficients of the bipartition), and discarding all but the D_c largest Schmidt coefficients.

In order to extract the entanglement spectrum from the right and left fixed point iMPS M^L and N^R , note first that the spectrum of $\rho = \sqrt{(\sigma_R)^\top} \sigma_L \sqrt{(\sigma_R)^\top}$ equals




FIG. 8: Scaling of the S_∞ (GS energy of the ES) and S_2 Renyi EE computed with iPEPS vs $1/D_c$ for $N_v = 8$ (a,d), $N_v = 10$ (b,e) and $N_v = 12$ (c,f). The exact value (a,d) and the predictions from CFT (b,c,e,f) are also shown for comparison at $1/D_c = 0$.

the spectrum of $\tau = \sigma_R^\top \sigma_L$, and their eigenvectors share the same quantum numbers; we use the latter form as it does not require taking square roots (which cannot be done easily for Matrix Product Operators [30]). We build τ on a cylinder of circumference N_v by putting the fixed point iMPS on a ring of N_v sites and appropriately contracting indices, so that τ is expressed as a Matrix Product Operator (MPO) with tensors $\sum_s M^{ls} N^{sr'}$. We can now use a Krylov method, where the MPO is applied to a vector by sequential contraction (cf. Appendix B) to determine the spectrum of τ , resolved by symmetry sectors and momentum. As the largest object which needs to be stored is of the size of the eigenvectors of ρ (rather than of ρ itself), this allows us to go to significantly larger system sizes.

To determine the entanglement entropies in Fig. 3 from the iMPS simulations, we have used the leading terms in

the entanglement spectrum as determined by the Krylov method (here, 24 eigenvalues per momentum): S_∞ is determined by the leading eigenvalue alone, while S_2 can be approximated using the leading eigenvalues. We find that for S_2 , the data is fully converged in the number of eigenvalues and only needs to be extrapolated in D_c . On the other hand, we have also observed that e.g. for S_{VN} , the convergence in the number of eigenvalues is significantly slower, rendering a reliable extrapolation very difficult.

It should be noted that since the construction involves taking a solution obtained for an *infinite* system as an ansatz for a *finite* system without further optimization, we cannot guarantee the ansatz to exactly reproduce the exact data for finite N_v even as $D_c \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, we observe that the entanglement spectrum for $N_v = 8$ and $D_c = 60$ is very close to the exact data as seen in Fig. 7. In Fig. 8 we plot S_∞ and S_2 vs $1/D_c$, for each circumference $N_v = 8, 10$ and 12 . We observe that, although the EE strongly depend on D_c , the $D_c \rightarrow$ limits of S_∞ and S_2 are consistent with the exact value for $N_v = 8$ or the values given by Eq. (14) for $N_v = 10$ and 12 . In Fig. 9 we plot the fitting parameters $e_0(D_c)$ and $e_{\text{topo}}(D_c)$ obtained from linear fits of $S_\infty(N_v)$ and $S_2(N_v)$ for $8 \leq N_v \leq 12$, independently for each value of $D_c = 12, 36$ and 60 . Again, the $D_c \rightarrow$ limits of $e_0(D_c)$ and $e_{\text{topo}}(D_c)$ are consistent with $e_0 \sim 0.18$ and $e_{\text{topo}} = -\ln 2/2$ obtained from the analysis of the exact data for $4 \leq N_v \leq 8$. Incidentally, since e_0 is directly linked to the mode velocity $u \propto 1/e_0$, we then expect that iMPS gives a *smaller* velocity u of the chiral mode, which we estimate to deviate when $D_c = 60$ by less than 10% from the exact calculation, as shown in Fig. 7 for $N_v = 8$.

While we have not enforced $SU(2)$ symmetry when approximating the fixed point by an iMPS (the only symmetry enforced by the ansatz is translation), the spin multiplets are nevertheless very well resolved in the degeneracies of the entanglement spectrum (at least in the even sector) and can be used to infer the multiplet structure without having to measure the spin, as shown in Fig. 10.

Let us note that an alternative way to approximate the S_2 Renyi entropy, as well as other quantities which can be expressed as polynomials in the left and right boundaries σ^L and σ^R (in particular q -Renyi entropies for integer q and the momentum polarization, including combinations thereof with the even parity projector, but also the normalization, or half-integer Renyi entropies if $\sigma^L = \bar{\sigma}^R$) is to write down the corresponding tensor network for a system on a cylinder of circumference N_v and to subsequently contract it in the “transversal” direction, i.e., around the cylinder, in which it is translationally invariant. This leads to an expression of the form $\text{tr}(M^{N_v})$ which can be computed for arbitrary N_v , either exactly (by taking matrix powers or diagonalizing M), or approximately by again using a Krylov method to determine the




FIG. 9: Parameters e_0 (a) and e_{topo} (b) vs $1/D_c$ obtained by linear fits of $S_\infty(N_v)$ and $S_2(N_v)$ ($8 \leq N_v \leq 12$) independently for each value of $D_c = 12, 36$ and 60 . At $1/D_c = 0$ we report the corresponding values obtained from the analysis of the exact EEs on infinite cylinders with $N_v = 4, 6, 8$.




FIG. 10: Entanglement spectrum obtained by $D_c = 36$ iMPS for $N_v = 12$, sorted by momentum K (in units of $2\pi/12$, and mod π); the insets show zooms into the areas marked with dashed boxes. One can clearly recognize the degeneracies corresponding to the spin multiplet structure (0), (1), (1) + (0), $2(1) + (0)$, $(2) + 2(1) + 2(0)$, $(2) + 4(1) + 2(0)$.

leading eigenvalues of M , which can then be used to approximate $\text{tr}(M^{N_v})$; in particular, the leading behavior is determined by the largest eigenvalue. Let us note, however, that this method yields an approximation of the actual behavior by a sum of exponentials, and thus in order to extract the true scaling, a careful extrapolation in the relevant truncation parameters is required.