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Abstract. There is a graph reduction system so that every optimal 1-planar graph can be reduced to an irreducible extended wheel graph, provided the reductions are applied such that the given graph class is preserved. A graph is optimal 1-planar if it can be drawn in the plane with at most one crossing per edge and is optimal if it has the maximum of $4n - 8$ edges.

We show that the reduction system is context-sensitive so that the preservation of the graph class can be granted by local conditions which can be tested in constant time. Every optimal 1-planar graph $G$ can be reduced to every extended wheel graph whose size is in a range from the (second) smallest one to some upper bound that depends on $G$. There is a reduction to the smallest extended wheel graph if $G$ is not 5-connected, but not conversely. The reduction system has side effects and is non-deterministic and non-confluent. Nevertheless, reductions can be computed in linear time.

1 Introduction

Coloring graphs is a classical graph problem. The 4-color problem for planar graphs was open for long and was solved by Appel et al. using a compute program. A simpler proof was given by Robertson et al. Ringel studied the coloring problem of 1-planar graphs. A graph is 1-planar if it can be drawn in the plane with at most one crossing per edge. 1-planar graphs appear when a planar graph and its dual are drawn simultaneously. They are 6-colorable and the bound is tight since $K_6$ is 1-planar.

Structural properties of 1-planar graphs were first studied by Bodendiek, Schumacher and Wagner. They observed that 1-planar graphs with the maximum number of edges can be obtained from 3-connected planar quadrangulations by adding a pair of crossing edges in each quadrangular face. Consequently, 1-planar graphs with $n$ vertices have at most $4n - 8$ edges. Bodendiek et al. called 1-planar graphs with $4n - 8$ edges optimal and proved that there are such graphs for $n = 8$ and for all $n \geq 10$, and not for $n \leq 7$ and $n = 9$.

The extended wheel graphs $XW_{2k}$ play an important role for optimal 1-planar graphs. An extended wheel graph $XW_{2k}$ for $k \geq 3$ consists of a circle
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$C = (v_1, \ldots, v_{2k})$ of even length and two distinguished vertices $p$ and $q$, called poles. There is an edge between the vertices at distance two on $C$. In addition, there is an edge between each pole and each vertex on $C$. Note that there is no edge between the poles. Hence, $XW_{2k}$ has $2k + 2$ vertices and $8k$ edges, see Fig. 1. The notation $XW_{2k}$ is taken from Suzuki [28] and is related to Schumacher’s $2 * C_{2k}$.

(a) The smallest extended wheel graph $XW_6$ drawn as a crossed cube. Any two non-adjacent vertices $p$ and $q$ of $XW_6$ can be taken as poles.

(b) The extended wheel graph $XW_{10}$

Fig. 1. Extended wheel graphs $XW_6$ and $XW_{10}$. The vertices of degree six are drawn as hexagons. Planar edges are black and thick and crossed edges are red and thin. If the poles $p$ and $q$ change places this swaps the type of the incident edges between planar and crossed. The picture is unchanged, whereas there is a new embedding.

Schumacher [27] investigated the structure of subgraphs of 5-connected optimal 1-planar graphs that are induced by the vertices of degree six. He showed that these subgraphs are forests of paths or 3-stars, except for extended wheel graphs, and provided the following characterization: a 5-connected optimal 1-planar graph is an extended wheel graph if and only if the subgraph induced by vertices of degree six is a cycle. This result is no longer valid if the precondition on 5-connected graphs is dropped. There are optimal 1-planar graphs with separating 4-cycles and a cycle induced by vertices of degree six, such as the graphs in Figs. 2 and 5.

Schumacher [27] introduced a graph reduction with a single rule, whose augmented version is shown in Fig. 4, and is called $SR$. He proved that the extended wheel graphs are irreducible under $SR$ and that every 5-connected optimal 1-planar graph can be reduced to an extended wheel graph and even to $XW_8$. However, $SR$ must be used with care. A use must preserve the given class. This is stated in [27] and in [11] for the special case of 3-connected planar quadrangulations. It is not said, how to meet this condition. It may need a global test.
for optimal 1-planarity or a 3-connected planar quadrangulation. A false use of SR to an optimal 1-planar graph may leave the class and, in particular, may destroy the 3-connectivity of the underlying planar subgraph and thereby violate the condition imposed by Brinkmann et al. [11] on planar quadrangulations. Moreover, there are uses of SR that preserve optimal 1-planarity but violate the 5-connectivity precondition and introduce a separating 4-cycle, as Example 1 shows. Then Schumacher’s reduction system gets stuck. A subgraph with a 4-cycle of vertices of degree six as in Figs. 2 and 5 is inaccessible to SR. Hence, Schumacher’s result must be read as follows: For every 5-connected optimal 1-planar graph $G_1$ there exist 5-connected optimal 1-planar graphs $G_1, \ldots, G_t$ for some $t \geq 1$ such that $G_{i+1}$ is obtained from $G_i$ by an SR-reduction for $i = 1, \ldots, t-1$ and $G_t$ is an extended wheel graph. It is left open how $G_2, \ldots, G_t$ are computed.

If the precondition on 5-connected graphs is dropped, then a second reduction is necessary, which we call CR. CR is shown in Fig. 5 and is the inverse of the $Q_4$-cycle addition of Suzuki [28] and of the extension of the $P_3$-expansion of Brinkmann et al. [11] to 1-planar graphs. The graph transformation rules of Schumacher and Suzuki are defined on embeddings of 1-planar graphs and need the distinction between planar and crossed edges. We reverse direction and consider reductions. The reductions are constraint and can be used if the given class of graphs is preserved. Then the use is feasible. Fortunately, the feasibility can be checked locally and independent of an embedding, as we shall show. We use the terms “good” and “bad” so that a feasible reduction is applied to a good candidate. Reductions have side effects such that the application of a reduction to a good candidate may change the status of other vertices from candidate to non-candidate and of candidates from good to bad, and vice versa. This is illustrated by shapes and colors for the vertices in Fig. 6. In consequence, the reduction systems with the sets of rules \{SR, CR\} and \{SR\}, respectively, are constraint, context-sensitive, and non-confluent. These terms have been studied in the theories of Formal Languages [20] and Rewriting Systems [3, 7].

In this paper, we generalize the results of Schumacher [27] to arbitrary optimal 1-planar graphs and first show how SR and CR can be applied feasibly. The
feasibility check and an application take only constant time. We thereby translate the general requirement of Schumacher \cite{27} and Brinkmann et al. \cite{11} on the preservation of the given class into an effective procedure. Then we establish that every reducible optimal 1-planar graph can be reduced to every extended wheel $XW_{2k}$ in a range from $s$ to $t$. Here, the upper bound $t$ depends on the given graph $G$ whereas the lower bound is $s = 3$ or $s = 4$, where $s = 3$ if $G$ is not 5-connected. Some 5-connected optimal 1-planar graphs can also be reduced to $XW_6$. 5-connected optimal 1-planar graphs can be reduced using only $SR$ and there are graphs which can be reduced using only by $CR$. The reduction system is non-deterministic and generally admits several reductions of a reducible optimal 1-planar graph to an irreducible extended wheel graph. Each such reduction can be computed linear time.

The paper is organized as follows: In the next Section we recall some basic properties of optimal 1-planar graphs. In Section 3 we introduce the reductions and show how to use them on graphs and derive a simple quadratic-time recognition algorithm. Combinatorial properties of the reduction system are explored in Section 4. We conclude with some open problems on 1-planar graphs in Section 5.

2 Preliminaries

We consider simple undirected graphs $G = (V, E)$ with sets of vertices $V$ and edges $E$. The degree of a vertex is the number of incident edges or neighbors, and the local degree is the number of incident edges or neighbors when restricted to a particular induced subgraph.

A drawing of a graph is a mapping of $G$ into the plane such that the vertices are mapped to distinct points and the edges to simple Jordan curves between the endpoints. It is planar if edges do not cross and 1-planar if each edge is crossed at most once. A drawn graph defines an embedding $E(G)$ which contains all edge crossings and faces. A drawing and an embedding are a witness for planarity and 1-planarity, respectively. For an algorithmic treatment, a planar embedding is given by a rotation system, which describes the cyclic ordering of the edges incident to each vertex, or by the sets of vertices, edges, and faces. A 1-planar embedding is given by an embedding of the planarization of $G$, which is obtained by taking the crossing points of edges as virtual vertices \cite{16}.

A 1-planar embedding partitions the edges into planar and crossing edges. We shall color the planar edges black and the crossing ones red. Other color schemes were used in \cite{15,17,19}. The black or planar skeleton $P(E(G))$ consists of the black (planar) edges and inherits its embedding from the given 1-planar embedding. All crossing edges are removed from $P(E(G))$. A vertex $u$ is called a black (red) neighbor of $v$ if the edge $\{u, v\}$ is black (red) in a 1-planar embedding. A kite is a 1-planar embedding of $K_4$ with a planar quadrilateral $Q$, and a pair of crossing edges inside $Q$ and no other vertices inside $Q$. For example, there are 3 kites in the embedding of the left graph in Fig. 4. The other embedding of $K_4$ is as a tetrahedron, whose edges, however, may be crossed \cite{9,22}.
Every 5-connected optimal 1-planar graph has a unique 1-planar embedding with the exception of the extended wheel graphs, which have two embeddings for graphs of size at least ten [27]. The different embeddings result from exchanging the poles. Suzuki [28] improved Schumacher’s result and dropped the 5-connectivity precondition, which is a restriction, since optimal 1-planar graphs are 4-connected and not necessarily 5-connected. Note that a 1-planar graph is 6-connected if it is 5-connected [27]. All vertices of an optimal 1-planar graph have an even degree of at least six, and there are at least eight vertices of degree six, since in total there are $4n - 8$ edges. For convenience, we shall identify a 1-planar graph and its 1-planar embedding if the embedding is unique or clear from the context.

3 Reductions on Graphs

3.1 Previous Reductions

Brinkmann et al. [11] introduced two graph transformations for the generation and characterization of 3-connected planar quadrangulations. We wish to reduce graphs and consider the inverse relations.

**Definition 1.** The $P_1$-reduction of a 3-connected planar quadrangulation consists of a contraction of a face $f = (u, x, v, z)$ at $x$ and $z$, where $x$ has degree 3 and $u, v, z$ have degree at least 3. The $P_3$-reduction removes the vertices of the inner cycle of a planar cube, where the inner cycle is empty and vertices on the outer cycle have degree at least 4.

The reductions must be applied such that they preserve the class of 3-connected planar quadrangulations.

A $P_1$-reduction is the restriction to the black (planar) edges in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4 shows an augmented version. The $P_3$-reduction is displayed by the black edges in Fig. 5.

By the one-to-one correspondence between 3-connected planar quadrangulations and optimal 1-planar graphs [6], the $P_1$- and $P_3$-reductions are extended straightforwardly to embedded 1-planar graphs, called vertex and face contraction by Suzuki [28]. Their inverse are called $Q_v$-splitting and $Q_4$-cycle addition, respectively, and are used from right to left. The illustration in Fig. 3 is taken from [28].

By the uniqueness of embeddings of 3-connected planar quadrangulations and of optimal 1-planar graphs (except for extended wheel graphs) one can define the graph reductions on their embeddings. Thereby it is assumed that the embedding is given which is not clear for 1-planar graphs. Schumacher [27] defined his “$\hookrightarrow$”-relation on embeddings. Using the embedding in Fig. 4 he said that ”$x$ can be merged with $x_4$ if there is a quadrilateral of black edges and vertices $x, x_3, x_4, x_5$ so that all paths of length four from $x$ to $x_4$ along black edges pass through $x_3$ or $x_5$”. Note that each quadrangle in an extended wheel graph has a path of length four between opposite vertices $(v_{i−1}, v_{i+1})$ of a planar
Fig. 3. Face contraction or vertex splitting on 1-planar embeddings with planar (black and thick) and crossing (red and thin) edges

quadrangle through one of the poles, such that the condition on paths is violated. In consequence, the “↪→”-relation is not applicable. Suzuki [28] observed that Schumacher’s [27] “↪→”-relation is the inverse of the \( Q_v \)-splitting, since it defines the \( P_1 \)-reduction of Brinkmann et al. [11] on the planar skeleton. It is said that a reduction must preserve the given class, whereas it is not specified how this is achieved.

We summarize the previous results.

**Proposition 1.** 1. [27] For every 5-connected optimal 1-planar graph \( G \) (with \( G \neq XW_6 \)) there exists a reduction of \( G \) to an wheel graph \( XW_{2k} \) for some \( k \geq 4 \) by using \( SR \) (or “↪→”) on 5-connected optimal 1-planar graphs. Every reducible 5-connected optimal 1-planar graph can be reduced to \( XW_8 \). The extended wheel graphs are irreducible (or minimum) elements under \( SR \).

2. [11] The class \( Q_3 \) of all 3-connected planar quadrangulations is generated from the pseudo-double wheels by the \( P_1(Q_3) \) and \( P_3(Q_3) \)-expansions. A pseudo-double wheel is the restriction of an extended wheel graph to the planar edges.

3. [28] Every optimal 1-planar graph can be obtained from an extended wheel graph by a sequence of \( Q_v \)-splittings and \( Q_4 \)-cycle additions. The extended wheel graphs are irreducible under the inverse of \( Q_v \)-splitting and \( Q_4 \)-cycle addition, i.e., under \( SR \) and \( CR \).

Note that Schumacher restricted the “↪→”-relation to 5-connected optimal 1-planar graphs. It is presupposed that \( G' \) is 5-connected if \( G \) is 5-connected and \( G \hookrightarrow G' \) holds. This presupposition is necessary, as Example shows. There, \( G \hookrightarrow G' \) for optimal 1-planar graphs \( G \) and \( G' \), where \( G \) is 5-connected and \( G' \) has a separating 4-cycle.

In full generality, graph reduction systems have been studied in the theory of graph grammars [12,14,26]. A graph grammar or a graph replacement system consists of a finite set of graph transformations or rules. Each rule is a pair of graphs \((L, R)\) and \( L \) is said to be replaced by \( R \). A graph \((L, R)\) occurs in \( G \) and \( L \) is said to match a subgraph \( H \) of \( G \) if there is a graph homomorphism between \( L \) and \( H \), which is one-to-one on the vertices and edges but not necessarily onto for
the edges. Sometimes this is even relaxed. If \( x \) and \( y \) are two vertices of \( L \) with \( x \neq y \) and \( x' \) and \( y' \) are their matched counterparts in \( H \) then \( x' \neq y' \), and there is an edge \( \{x', y'\} \) if there is an edge \( \{x, y\} \). An application of \((L, R)\) to a graph \( G \) replaces an occurrence of \( L \) by an occurrence of \( R \) while the remainder \( G - L \) is preserved. It results in a graph \( G' \) which contains a subgraph \( H' \) matching \( R \). There are edges between vertices of \( G - L \) and \( R \) according to some conditions that are given with \((L, R)\). In our case, the graphs \( L \) and \( R \) have a common outer frame and the edges between the frame and \( G - L \) are kept.

Graph grammars generally operate on labeled graphs where the vertices and edges are labeled by symbols from an alphabet. This resembles context-free grammars on strings. The labels are used to distinguish vertices and edges of a graph and to regulate the application of a graph transformation. A graph grammar is used to generate a graph language, which is a set of labeled graphs. Typical (unlabeled) graph languages are the sets of binary trees, series-parallel graphs, or complete (bipartite) graphs.

3.2 Our Reductions

For our study we augment the relations of Schumacher and Suzuki to small graphs from which a part is removed and a part is kept as context. Thereby, the feasibility of their use on graphs can be expressed on the augmented graph. We make use of the uniqueness of 1-planar embeddings of optimal 1-planar graphs that are not extended wheel graphs and call such graphs reducible.

We reverse the expansions of Brinkmann et al. and Suzuki and call the augmented version \( SR\)-reduction (Schumacher reduction) and \( CR\)-reduction (crossed cube reduction), or just \( SR \) and \( CR \), and the graphs of the left \( CS \) (crossed star) and \( CC \) (crossed cube), respectively. The \( SR\)-reduction augments the vertex splitting of Suzuki and includes the subgraph induced by the center \( x \). The reductions are shown in Figs. 4 and 5 including a 1-planar embedding and an edge coloring. The tiny strokes at the outer vertices indicate further edges which are necessary. These vertices may have even more edges to outer vertices. The reductions should be applied to an optimal 1-planar graph. The reverse transformations (from right to left) are \( SR^{-1} \) and \( CR^{-1} \), respectively.

**Definition 2.** A \( CR\)-reduction consists of a crossed cube as left-hand side \( L \) and replaces it by a kite as right-hand side \( R \), as shown in Fig. 5. Simply speaking the inner cycle is removed, and the outer 4-cycle is the context. Similarly, an \( SR\)-reduction consists of a graph \( CS \) with three kites that meet at a vertex \( x \) of degree six as \( L \) and it removes \( x \) and replaces \( CS \) by two adjacent kites, as shown in Fig. 4. The neighbors of \( x \) are the context.

A graph \( G \) reduces to a graph \( G' \) if there is an induced subgraph \( H \) of \( G \) that matches \( L \) and an induced subgraph \( H' \) of \( G' \) that matches \( R \) so that \( G' = G - L + R \). The context of \( L \) and \( R \) is kept. Let \( G \to G' \) if \( G \) reduces to \( G' \) by \( SR\)- or \( CR\), and let \( \to^* \) denote the reflexive and transitive closure.

Schumacher [27] and Brinkmann et al. [11] require that the use of a reduction preserves the given class. Thereby an application of a reduction is constrained.
An infeasible application destroys the 3-connectivity of the underlying planar skeleton of 1-planar embeddings or introduces multiple edges, which ultimately leads to a violation of 3-connectivity.

As our first result, we show that the feasibility of an SR- or CR-reduction can be expressed by local properties of the matched left hand side graphs and can be checked in constant time.

**Definition 3.** A vertex $x$ of an optimal 1-planar graph $G$ of degree six is called a candidate. A candidate $x$ is “good” if there is a feasible application of a reduction at $x$.

In case of SR, $x$ is the center of a subgraph $H(x)$ of $G$ that matches $CS$, and there is a red neighbor $v$, called a target, so that SR can be applied by merging $x$ with $v$, denoted $SR(x \mapsto v)$. The application is feasible and $SR(x \mapsto v)$ is good if $G$ does not contain any of the edges $\{x_2, x_4\}$, $\{x_6, x_4\}$ and $\{x_1, x_4\}$, where the vertices of $H(x)$ and $CR$ are identified and $v = x_4$. Then $x$ is drawn as a green hexagon and the reduction is indicated by an arrow.

An edge $\{x_2, x_4\}$ or $\{x_6, x_4\}$ in $H(x)$ is called a blocking red edge and $\{x_1, x_4\}$ is called a blocking black edge. Note that a candidate $x$ has three red neighbors for a feasible SR reduction, namely $x_2, x_4$ and $x_6$.

In case of CR there are four candidates $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4$ that are matched by the vertices of the inner cycle of $CC$, and these vertices and their neighbors match $CC$. We denote the use by $CR(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$. An application is feasible if the edges $\{v_1, v_3\}$ and $\{v_2, v_4\}$ are missing in the matched subgraph of $CC$. Then the vertices matched by $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4$ are drawn as blue hexagons. If the subgraph matched by $CC$ also contains an edge $\{v_1, v_3\}$ or $\{v_2, v_4\}$, then these edges are blocking red edges and $CR(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$ is infeasible.

Otherwise, a candidate is “bad” and is drawn orange. Vertices of degree at least eight are non-candidates and are drawn as dark circles.

**Fig. 4.** A SR-reduction for optimal 1-planar graphs. The candidate is drawn as a hexagon and other vertices as circles. Planar edges are drawn black and thick and crossing edges red and thin. A good candidate is colored green. There are three possible SR-reductions at $x$ towards its red neighbors, $SR(x \mapsto x_2)$, $SR(x \mapsto x_6)$ and $SR(x \mapsto x_4)$. Here, the latter is applied which is indicated by the arrow at $x$. The tiny strokes at the outside indicate further necessary edges. The left graph is $CS$ together with its 1-planar embedding.
Fig. 5. The reduction \( \text{CR}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \) for optimal 1-planar graphs with candidates \( x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \). The reduction is good and the candidates are colored light green if there is no edge \((v_1, v_3)\) or \((v_2, v_4)\) in the outer face. The graph on the left is \( CC \) together with its embedding.

An infeasible application of a reduction would introduce a multiple edge, which is illegal, since the graphs are simple. If there is a blocking black edge \( \{x_1, x_4\} \) and \( SR(x \mapsto x_4) \) were applied, then vertices \( x_1 \) and \( x_4 \) are a separation pair for the planar skeleton after the reduction, which violates the 3-connectivity of the planar skeleton as imposed by Brinkmann et al. [11]. Similarly, a blocking red edge \( \{x_2, x_4\} \) and a use of \( SR(x \mapsto x_4) \) implies that vertices \( x_2 \) and \( x_4 \) are a separation pair for the planar skeleton. The red blocking edge \( \{x_2, x_4\} \) is crossed by some red edge \( \{z_1, z_2\} \) such that there is a planar quadrangle with the vertices \( x_2, x_3, x_4, z_1 \) and \( x_3 \) is connected with \( z_1 \) inside the quadrangle. If \( SR(x \mapsto x_4) \) were applied, then all planar paths from \( x_3 \) or \( z_1 \) to \( x_5 \) must pass \( x_2 \) or \( x_4 \). Forthcoming, we assume that the reduction is feasible if \( G \rightarrow G' \) holds.

Surprisingly, an infeasibility is directly related to blocking edges and can be recognized by an inspection of the subgraphs matched by \( CS \) and \( CC \), respectively.

**Lemma 1.** If \( G \) is an optimal 1-planar graph and \( G \rightarrow G' \) is feasible, then \( G' \) is an optimal 1-planar graph.

**Proof.** We use the fact that optimal 1-planar graphs have a unique embedding (except for extended wheel graphs) [27,28] and identify a graph and its 1-planar embedding as well as the graphs of \( CS \) and \( CC \) and their matched subgraphs in \( G \) and \( G' \). Clearly, the embedding of \( G' \) is 1-planar if the embedding of \( G \) is 1-planar. Also, \( G' \) has \( 4n' - 8 \) edges, where \( n' \) is the size of \( G' \).

It remains to show that the planar skeleton \( P(\mathcal{E}(G')) \) remains 3-connected.

Suppose that \( SR(x \mapsto x_4) \) is feasibly applied to a candidate \( x \) of \( G \) with neighbors \( x_1, \ldots, x_6 \) in circular order. First, there are three vertex disjoint paths from \( x_3 \) to \( x_5 \) in \( G' \) using only planar (black) edges, \( p_1 = (x_3, x_4, x_5) \), \( p_2 = (x_3, x_2, x_1, x_6, x_5) \) and a third path \( p_3 = (x_3, z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{2r}, z_{2r+1}, x_5) \) for some \( r \geq 1 \), where \( (x, x_3, z_1, \ldots, z_{2r+1}, x_5) \) are the neighbors of \( x_4 \) in \( G \) in circular order. Since the edges \( \{x_1, x_4\}, \{x_2, x_4\} \) and \( \{x_6, x_4\} \) are missing, the vertices \( z_1, \ldots, z_{k+1} \) are distinct from \( x_1, x_2 \) and \( x_6 \), and therefore path \( p_3 \) is vertex
disjoint to \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \). In retrospect, there are four vertex disjoint paths between \( x_3 \) and \( x_5 \) in \( G \) using only planar (black) edges.

Next, consider two vertices \( u \) and \( v \) with \( \{u, v\} \neq \{x_3, x_5\} \) in \( G \) and \( G' \). By assumption, there are three vertex disjoint paths between \( u \) and \( v \) in \( G \) through planar edges. Suppose that one of them, say \( p_2 \), passes through vertex \( x \). Then \( p_2 \) passes through \( x_3 \) or \( x_5 \) or both. Otherwise, the same paths can be taken in \( G' \). Suppose that \( p_2 \) passes through \( x_1, x \) and \( x_3 \). The other cases are similar. If \( x_2 \) is not passed by \( p_1 \) and \( p_3 \), then reroute \( p_2 \) through \( x_2 \). Otherwise, reroute \( p_2 \) through \( x_4 \), both in \( G \) and \( G' \). If \( x_4 \) is on path \( p_3 \) (or \( p_1 \)) it uses the edge \( \{x_4, x_5\} \) and is rerouted as follows. Path \( p_3 \) and the third path between \( x_3 \) and \( x_5 \) meet at some vertex \( z_i \). Then the segment \( z_i, \ldots, x_4, x_5 \) of \( p_3 \) is replaced by a detour through \( z_i, \ldots, z_{2r+1}, x_5 \). Hence, there are three vertex disjoint paths between \( u \) and \( v \) in \( G' \) using only planar edges, and \( \mathcal{P}(E(G')) \) is 3-connected.

Similarly, if \( CR(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \) is feasible and \( v_1, \ldots, v_4 \) are the vertices of the outer cycle, then there are four vertex disjoint path through planar edges between \( v_i \) and \( v_j \) in \( G \), namely two along the outer cycle, one through vertices of the inner cycle and a forth outside the subgraph matched by \( CC \). If \( v_i \) and \( v_j \) are adjacent on the outer cycle, then there is an outer quadrangle \( (v_i, v_j, u, v) \) since there is no blocking red edge. Otherwise, if \( v_i \) and \( v_j \) are antipodal, then there is a path through planar edges \( v_i, z_1, \ldots, z_{2r+1}, v_j \) where \( v_i, z_1, \ldots, z_{2r+1}, v_j \) are the neighbors of \( v_k \) in circular order excluding the vertices of the inner cycle and \( v_k \) is between \( v_i \) and \( v_j \) on the outer cycle, since there is no edge \( (v_k, v_{k+2}) \). In consequence, there (at least) three vertex disjoint paths through planar edges between \( v_i \) and \( v_j \) in \( G' \).

We have shown \([9]\) that a feasible reduction uniquely determines the embedding of the matched graph of \( CS \) and \( CC \) and the feasibility is obtained from the degree vector.

**Definition 4.** Let \( x \) be a candidate of a graph \( G \) and let \( H(x) \) be the subgraph induced by \( x \) and its six neighbors. Let \( \overrightarrow{H(x)} = (d_1, \ldots, d_7) \) be the lexicographically ordered 7-tuple of local degrees restricted to \( H(x) \), and call \( \tau(x) = d_1 \) the type of \( x \).

For example, candidate \( x \) of \( CS \) in Fig. 4 has \( \overrightarrow{H(x)} = (3, 3, 3, 5, 5, 6) \) if there are no additional edges \( \{x_i, x_j\} \) for \( i \neq j \) in the outer face. A candidate \( x \) of the inner cycle of \( CC \) and of the cycle of an extended wheel graph \( XW_{2k} \) for \( k \geq 4 \) has \( \overrightarrow{H(x)} = (4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 6) \).

**Lemma 2.** \([4]\) A candidate \( x \) of an optimal 1-planar graph is good for \( SR \) if and only if \( \tau(x) = 3 \) and \( SR(x \rightarrow v) \) is good for every vertex \( v \) of local degree three.

A candidate \( x_1 \) of an optimal 1-planar graph is good for CR if and only if \( \tau(x_1) = 4 \) and \( x_1 \) has three neighbors \( x_2, x_3, x_4 \) which are candidates and \( H(x_i) = (4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 6) \) for \( i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \).
The existence of a good candidate is granted unless all candidates are bad, as in an extended wheel graph, or if the graph is not optimal 1-planar.

**Lemma 3.** If $G$ is an optimal 1-planar graph, which is not an extended wheel graph, and $C$ is a separating 4-cycle which partitions $G - C$ into $G_{in}$ and $G_{out}$, then there is a good candidate in $G_{in}$ (and in $G_{out}$).

**Proof.** According to Brinkmann et al. [11] there is a good candidate $x$ for their $P_1$- and $P_3$-reductions (or expansions) on 3-connected planar quadrangulations unless the graph is a double-wheel graph. In Lemma 4 they prove that a good candidate lies in the innermost (or outermost) separating 4-cycle. By the one-to-one correspondence between 3-connected planar quadrangulations and optimal 1-planar graphs, this transfers to optimal 1-planar graphs.

From Lemmas 1-3 we obtain a simple quadratic-time recognition algorithm for optimal 1-planar graphs, which searches the graph for a good candidate, checks the feasibility of a reduction, applies it, and thereby removes one or four vertices. Finally, it checks whether the obtained graph is an extended wheel graph. The search for a good candidate has been improved by some book-keeping technique such that there is an asymptotically optimal algorithm for the recognition of optimal 1-planar graphs.

**Proposition 2.** [9] There is a linear-time recognition algorithm for optimal 1-planar graphs.

**Example 1.** For an explanation of the reductions consider the input graph $G_{16}$ as shown in Fig. 6(a) with a 1-planar embedding. The graph does not have a separating 4-cycle and thus is 5-connected and even 6-connected [27]. Initially, vertices $d, e, h, i, j, n, o$ are good candidates for an $SR$-reduction, and the good reductions are indicated by a red arrow. In this example, a candidate is good for an $SR$-reduction if it has two black neighbors of degree at least eight. Vertices $c$ and $m$ are bad candidates, since at least two black neighbors have degree six and there are red blocking edges for $SR(x \mapsto v)$ and each red neighbor.

If we first apply $SR(h \mapsto i)$, then there is a separating 4-cycle $(a, b, i, g)$ and $c, d, e, f$ are good candidates for a $CR$-reduction, as shown in Fig. 6(b). Vertex $l$ becomes a new good candidate and vertex $i$ has degree 8 and is no longer a candidate. Figs. 6(c) and 6(d) show the reductions $SR(n \mapsto l)$ and $SR(k \mapsto j)$. Then $g$ has only degree six and $\{a, i\}$ is a red blocking for $CR$. Therefore, vertices $c, d, e, f$ change from good (green) to bad (orange). The reduction $SR(j \mapsto g)$ in Fig. 6(e) is like an undo for $c, d, e, f$, which are removed by $CR(c, d, e, f)$ and result in the minimum extended wheel graph $XW_6$.

An alternative reduction uses $SR(d \mapsto i), SR(n \mapsto l), SR(h \mapsto k), SR(g \mapsto j), SR(k \mapsto m)$, and finally $SR(l \mapsto f)$ (or $SR(j \mapsto o)$). Then all intermediate graphs are 5-connected and $XW_8$ is the final result.

Example 1 shows that the reductions have side effects. A reduction removes some candidate(s) and may introduce new ones. If an optimal 1-planar graph has several good candidates, then the use of a reduction may turn some good
candidates to bad ones, and vice versa. In Example 1 this effect is due to the alternation of the degree of some vertices. If $SR(x \mapsto x_4)$ is applied as in Fig. 4, then the degree of $x_4$ increases by two and may change $x_4$ from a candidate to a non-candidate. Bad candidates in the neighborhood of $x_4$ may turn from bad to good including four candidates for an application of $CR$. The degree of $x_3$ and $x_5$ decreases by two and they become a candidate if their degree changes from eight to six. Simultaneously, good candidates in their neighborhood from may turn to bad. Similarly, a $CR$-reduction decreases the degrees of the vertices on the outer cycle by two which become a candidate if their degree was eight before the $CR$-reduction.

Example 1 also shows that $SR$-reductions may destroy 5-connectivity which sheds new light on the results of Schumacher [27] as stated in Thm. 4.

### 4 Characterization

Next, we study combinatorial properties of the graph reduction systems with the sets of rules $\{SR, CR\}$ and $\{SR\}$. Unless otherwise stated, both rules can be used.

A pre-extended wheel graph $G$ is an optimal 1-planar graph such that a single reduction results in an extended wheel graph. The respective set is denoted by pre$XW$. In particular, a graph is a $SXW_{2k}$ if $G \to XW_{2k}$ by an $SR$-reduction and a $CXW_{2k}$ if $G \to XW_{2k}$ by a $CR$-reduction. In other words, pre$XW = \cup_{k \geq 4} \{SR^{-1}(XW_{2k}) \cup CR^{-1}(XW_{2k})\} \cup \{CXW_6\}$. Here, $SR^{-1}$ and $CR^{-1}$ are the inverse of $SR$ and $CR$, respectively. Pre-extended wheel graphs $SXW_{2k}$ were used by Schumacher [27] for his reductions of 5-connected optimal 1-planar graphs to $XW_8$. Graph $CXW_6$ is shown in Fig. 6(e).
Fig. 6. A reduction of an input graph to $XW_6$. 

(b) Graph $G_{16}$ after $SR(h \mapsto i)$

(c) and after $SR(n \mapsto l)$

(d) and after $SR(k \mapsto i)$.

(e) and after $SR(j \mapsto g)$.

(f) and $XW_6$ after $CR(c, d, e, f)$. 

A Reduction System for Optimal 1-Planar Graphs
Note that the minimum extended wheel graph $XW_6$ cannot be obtained from a pre-extended wheel graph by an $SR$-reduction, since all vertices of $XW_6$ have degree six and an $SR$-reduction introduces a vertex of degree at least eight. In addition, such a graph would have nine vertices, but there is no optimal 1-planar graph with nine vertices [6]. Hence, there is a $CR$-reduction if $G \rightarrow XW_6$.

Pre-extended wheel graphs are characterized as follows:

**Lemma 4.** A pre-extended wheel graph $CXW_{2k}$ is obtained from $XW_{2k}$ by the extraction of a pair of crossing edges in a quadrilateral face with one pole and three vertices on the cycle of $XW_{2k}$ and the insertion of $CC$. The vertices of the outer cycle of $CC$ and the quadrilateral are identified.

A graph $SXW_{2k}$ is obtained from $XW_{2k}$ by replacing a planar edge $(p, v_j)$ and the crossing edges $(p, v_{j-1})$ and $(p, v_{j+1})$ between a pole $p$ and three consecutive vertices $v_{j-1}, v_j, v_{j+1}$ on the cycle of $XW_{2k}$ by $CS$ and identifying the sequence of vertices $(v_j, v_{j+1}, v_{j+2}, p, v_{j-2}, v_{j-1})$ with the vertices on the cycle of $CS$.

**Proof.** Suppose that $G \rightarrow XW_{2k}$ by a $CR$-reduction of an optimal 1-planar graph $G$. Then there are four good candidates $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4$ which together with their neighbors form $CC$. The outer cycle of $CC$ is a separating 4-cycle, which can only be built from three consecutive vertices of the cycle and a pole of the extended wheel graph. Thus, none of the good candidates can be a vertex from the cycle of $XW_{2p}$ for some $p \geq k$. Hence, there is a planar quadrilateral into which $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4$ are inserted to form $CC$. Clearly, the $CR$-reduction $G \rightarrow XW_{2k}$ is feasible.

If $SR$ is applied to yield $XW_{2k}$, then $SR(x \rightarrow p)$ must be applied at some candidate $x$ and a pole $p$, since only a $SR$-reduction increases the degree of a vertex and this were illegal for any vertex on the cycle of $XW_{2k}$. Then there is a planar hexagon $(p, v_{j-2}, v_{j-1}, v_j, v_{j+1}, v_{j+2})$ in $G$ into which a new vertex $x$ is inserted to form $SC$. Clearly, the $SR$-reduction $G \rightarrow XW_{2k}$ is feasible.

A pre-extended wheel graph allows for different reductions: in a single step to an irreducible extended wheel graph and with two $SR$-reductions to the next smaller pre-extended wheel graph. We adopt the terms pole and vertices on a cycle from extended wheel graphs and the construction given in Lemma 4.

**Lemma 5.** For every pre-extended wheel graph $G$, if $G = SXW_{2k}$ with $k \geq 5$, then $G \rightarrow XW_{2k}$ and $G \rightarrow^* SXW_{2k-2}$. If $G = CXW_{2k}$ with $k \geq 4$, then $G \rightarrow XW_{2k}$ and $G \rightarrow^* CXW_{2k-2}$.

**Proof.** Clearly, there is an immediate reduction to an extended wheel graph. Alternatively, consider $G = SXW_{2k}$ with a good candidate $x$ with neighbors $(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, p)$, where $p$ is a pole and $v_1, \ldots, v_5$ are consecutive vertices on the cycle, as described in Lemma 4. Then also $v_2$ and $v_4$ are good candidates for a $SR$-reduction, since their planar neighbors $v_1, q$ and $v_5, q$, respectively, have degree eight, and there is no blocking edge. Apply $SR(v_4 \rightarrow v_6)$ with $(v_3, x, v_5, v_6, q, v_2)$ as cycle around $v_4$. This reduction increases the degree of $v_6$ to eight and introduces $v_5$ as a good candidate with neighbors $(x, v_1, p, v_7, v_6, v_3)$.
if \( k \geq 5 \) and \( p \) still has degree at least eight. Then \( SR(v_5 \mapsto v_7) \) is feasible, which results in \( SXW_{2k-2} \). However, if \( k = 4 \), then \( v_1 \) and \( v_6 \) are the new poles of \( XW_8 \).

Similarly, consider \( CXW_{2k} \) where the inner cycle of \( CC \) is inserted into a quadrangle \((p, v_4, v_5, v_6)\) with a pole and three consecutive vertices \( v_4, v_5, v_6 \) on the cycle of \( CXW_{2k} \), see Fig. 7. In other words, \( CXW_{2k} \) is obtained from \( XW_{2k} \) by \( CR^{-1} \) using \((p, v_4, v_5, v_6)\) as outer cycle of \( CC \). Then \( v_4, v_5, v_6 \) no longer are candidates, whereas the newly inserted vertices \( x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \) are. Each of them is good for \( CR \) and is blocked for \( SR \).

However, \( v_3 \) is a good candidate for \( SR(v_3 \mapsto v_5) \) and, thereafter, \( v_4 \) is a good candidate for \( SR(v_4 \mapsto v_2) \). The use of these reductions removes \( v_3 \) and \( v_4 \). Thereafter, using \( CR(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \) results in \( XW_{2k-2} \).

![Fig. 7. Reducing CXW_{2k} to XW_{2k-2} for k \geq 4 with poles p and q. The dangling edges at v_1, v_2 and v_8 are incident to the next vertices on the cycle.](image)

The reductions \( SR(v_3 \mapsto v_5) \) and \( SR(v_4 \mapsto v_2) \) followed by a \( CR \)-reduction are shown in Fig. 7. By symmetry, \( SR(v_7 \mapsto v_5) \) and \( SR(v_6 \mapsto v_8) \) could be ap-
plied. If \( k \geq 5 \), then both reductions can be applied (sequentially or in parallel), which demonstrates the non-determinism of the reduction system.

Confluence is an important property of rewriting systems, and it is independent of the objects, i.e., whether they are strings, terms, polynomials \([3,4,7]\), or graphs \([18]\). A rewriting system is confluent if \( x \rightarrow^\ast u \) and \( x \rightarrow^\ast v \) implies that there is a common descendant \( z \) with \( u \rightarrow^\ast z \) and \( y \rightarrow^\ast z \). In consequence, if two rules can be applied at different places of \( x \) starting two reductions, then the reductions join at a common descendant. In particular, if \( x \) reduces to an irreducible element \( y \), then \( y \) is unique.

Example 1 and Lemma 5 show that reductions to different extended wheel graphs are possible, and extended wheel graphs are irreducible.

**Corollary 1.** The reduction system with \( SR, CR \) (\( SR \)) is non-confluent on (5-connected) optimal 1-planar graphs.

In Example 1 we have shown that the given graph \( G_{16} \) can be reduced to \( XW_8 \) and \( XW_6 \). Next, we show that every reducible optimal 1-planar graph \( G \) can be reduced to every extended wheel graph in a range from \( XW_{2s} \) to \( XW_{2t} \) where \( s = 3 \) if \( G \) is not 5-connected and \( s = 3 \) or \( s = 4 \) if \( G \) is 5-connected.

**Theorem 1.** For every reducible optimal 1-planar graph \( G \) there is some upper bound \( t \) with \( t \leq (2n + p + q - 4)/8 \), where \( n \) is the size of \( G \) and \( p \) and \( q \) are the two largest degrees of the vertices of \( G \) and \( s \in \{3,4\} \), so that for all \( i \) and \( s \leq i \leq t \) there is a reduction \( G \rightarrow^\ast XW_{2i} \).

**Proof.** There is a reduction \( G \rightarrow^\ast P \rightarrow XW_{2u} \) for some \( u \), where \( P \) is a pre-extended wheel graph. If \( u > 4 \), then \( P \rightarrow P' \) for a pre-extended wheel graph \( P' \) with \( |P'| = |P| - 2 \) by Lemma 5. By induction, there is a reduction to a pre-extended wheel graph of any smaller size, which reduces to \( XW_8 \) and \( XW_6 \), respectively, if the pre-extended wheel graph of size 12 is \( SXW_8 \) and \( CXW_6 \), respectively. Therefore, we have \( s \in \{3,4\} \).

The upper bound on \( u \) is due to the fact that the poles of an extended wheel graph \( XW_{2k} \) have degree 2\( k \) and the degree of a vertex can only be increased by two by \( SR \), which, however, removes one vertex. Hence, it takes at least \( (2k - p)/2 + (2k - q)/2 \) reductions to increase the degree of the two vertices with the highest degree to two poles of degree 2\( k \). Then, at most \( n - (2k - p)/2 + (2k - q)/2 \) vertices remain, which is 2\( k + 2 \) for the resulting \( XW_{2k} \). Hence, it takes at least \( j = (2n - p - q - 4)/4 \) \( SR \)-reductions to transform the two highest degree vertices of \( G \) into poles of degree 2\( k \), which results in an \( XW_{2k} \) with \( k \leq (2n + p + q - 4)/8 \).

**Corollary 2.** Every reducible optimal 1-planar graph can be reduced to \( XW_6 \) or \( XW_8 \).

**Corollary 3.** Every pre-extended wheel graph \( SXW_{2k} \) can be reduced to every extended wheel graph \( XW_{2i} \) with \( i = 4, \ldots, 2k \) using only \( SR \)-reductions. Every pre-extended wheel graph \( CXW_{2k} \) can be reduced to every extended wheel graph \( XW_{2i} \) with \( i = 3, \ldots, 2k \).
Schumacher [27] proved that for every 5-connected optimal 1-planar graph $G$ there exists a reduction to an extended wheel graph, even to $XW_8$, using only $SR$-reductions. Corollary 2 extend this result to all optimal 1-planar graphs.

There exists a good candidate for $SR$ in the interior of $G$ if $G$ has no separating 4-cycle and $G$ is reducible, as proved in Lemma 4 of [11]. In consequence, if an optimal 1-planar graph $G$ has separating 4-cycles and partitions into $G_{in}$ and $G_{out}$, such that $C$ is an innermost (or outermost) separating 4-cycle, then $G_{in} + C$ is 5-connected and there exists a good candidate for an $SR$-reduction in $G_{in}$. The candidate is not on the 4-cycle $C$. Recall that a completion of $G_{in} + C$ and $G_{out} + C$ adds the diagonals of the separating 4-cycle and we obtain $G_{in} + C = XW_6$ if $G_{in}$ has only four vertices.

On the other hand, the four candidates on the inner cycle of $CC$ mutually block each other for a $SR$-reduction. There are no means to raise the blockade by an $SR$-reduction. Only $CR$ can do. As an extension thereof, if $C$ is an innermost (outermost) separating 4-cycle of an optimal 1-planar graph $G$, then the vertices of $C$ are “frozen” for $SR$-reductions and remain if $G_{in} + C$ is reduced to an extended wheel graph, say $XW_8$. However, further reductions are possible after a recombination of the results. This leads to the following facts:

**Theorem 2.** If a reducible optimal 1-planar graph has a separating 4-cycle, then there is a reduction to $XW_6$.

**Proof.** Let $C = (v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)$ be an innermost separating 4-cycle such that $G - C$ is partitioned into $G_{in}$ and $G_{out}$. Then there is a good candidate $x$ for $SR$ in $G_{in} + C$ and $x$ is not on $C$, as proved in [11], Lemma 4. Hence, there is an $SR$-reduction of $G_{in} + C$ to $XW_8$ preserving $C$. Exactly one pole of $XW_8$ is in $C$. If all vertices of $C$ were candidates, then $XW_8$ cannot be realized. Both poles cannot be in $C$, since the poles are not connected by an edge whereas the subgraph induced by the vertices of $C$ is $K_4$, since a pair of crossing edges is added in the outer face.

Consider graph $H$ obtained from $G$ by replacing $G_{in}$ by $XW_8$. Then $G \rightarrow^* H$ where the $SR$-reduction steps of $G_{in} + C \rightarrow^* XW_8$ are applied. Now, we can remove $G_{in}$ from $H$ by two $SR$-reductions and a final $CR$-reduction. The vertices on $C$ have degree at least eight, since they have two black neighbors on $C$ and at least one black neighbor in $G_{out}$ and $XW_8$ obtained from $G_{in}$, and there exists a candidate for $SR$ in the interior of $C$ according to [11].

So we proceed towards the outermost separating 4-cycle $C_{out}$ and reduce the inner and the outer components $H_{in}$ and $H_{out}$ to $XW_8$ preserving $C_{out}$. The poles of $XW_8$ from the inner and outer components on $C_{out}$ may or may not coincide. Reduce the inner subgraph of $C_{out}$ by two $SR$-reductions to a single 4-cycle which together with $C_{out}$ forms $CC$, and similarly for the outer subgraph. A final $CR$-reduction to the inner 4-cycle yields $XW_6$.

Next, consider the “nested extended quadrangles” in Fig. 2 which are optimal 1-planar graphs and can be reduced to $XW_6$ by $CR$-reductions. The vertices on the innermost and outermost cycles have degree six and thus are candidates, whereas the other vertices have degree eight. However, the candidates have type
4 and an SR-reduction is infeasible whereas CR can be applied. This property remains if a CR-reduction is used and, thereby, there is a unique reduction to XW₆. In consequence, we obtain:

**Theorem 3.** There is an infinitely many optimal 1-planar graphs G such that a reduction of G to an extended wheel graph XW₂ᵏ implies k = 3 and only CR-reductions can be applied.

The nested extended quadrangles are inaccessible to SR-reductions, since the candidates pairwise block each other by red blocking edges. In Example [1], we have shown that SR-reductions may introduce a separating 4-cycle and destroy 5-connectivity. For Schumacher’s reduction system we obtain:

**Theorem 4.** Suppose that only SR-reductions are used.

1. For every 5-connected optimal 1-planar graph G there exists a reduction to an extended wheel graph, and even to XW₈.
2. There are 5-connected optimal 1-planar graphs G that are reduced to optimal 1-planar graphs with separating 4-cycles.
3. If G has a separating 4-cycle and G → G’ by an SR-reduction, then G’ has a separating 4-cycle.

**Proof.** The first statement has been proved by Schumacher [27]. Statement (2) is shown by Example [1]. Finally, suppose there is a separating 4-cycle C = (a, b, c, d) in G. For a removal, an SR-reduction must be applied to a vertex of C. Suppose that a is a candidate with neighbors b, v, d, w₁, w₂, w₃ and SR(a → v). Thereafter, C’ = (w₂, b, c, d) is a separating 4-cycle.

In consequence, the following properties hold for Schumacher’s reduction:

**Corollary 4.** (1) The 5-connected optimal 1-planar graphs are not closed under SR-reductions.
(2) If G is an optimal 1-planar graph G with a separating 4-cycle and H is obtained from G by using only SR-reductions, then H is not an extended wheel graph.

Hence, the extended wheel graphs are not the set of irreducible optimal 1-planar graphs under SR-reductions. Schumacher’s presupposition [27] and restriction of SR-reductions to 5-connected optimal 1-planar graphs is necessary. Reductions towards an extended wheel graph using only SR get stuck if there is a 4-cycle.

The extended wheel graphs constitute an infinite set of irreducible graphs for SR and CR, however, the optimal 1-planar graphs constitute a single equivalence class with XW₆ as a representative, even if the equivalence relation is defined only by SR. Let G₁ ∼ G₂ if and only if G₁ can be transformed into G₂ by a sequence of feasible applications of SR, SR⁻¹, CR and CR⁻¹, respectively. Here, G → G’ by SR⁻¹ is feasible if G’ → G by SR is feasible, and similarly for CR⁻¹. Recall that the inverse reductions are the Q₄-splitting and the Q₄-cycle addition of Suzuki [28].
Theorem 5. A graph $G$ is optimal 1-planar if and only if $G$ is equivalent to the minimum extended wheel graph $XW_6$, where the equivalence relation is defined by feasible applications of $SR$, $CR$, and their inverse.

Proof. First, reduce $G$ to a small $XW_{2k}$ using $SR$ and $CR$-reductions, where $k = 3, 4$. If $k \geq 4$ then expand $XW_{2k}$ to a pre-extended wheel graph $CXW_{2k}$, which is then reduced to $XW_6$ according to Corollary 2.

Although $SR$-reductions may get stuck on 4-connected graphs, they can cope with them under equivalence.

Theorem 6. A graph $G$ is optimal 1-planar if and only if $G = XW_6$ or $G$ is $SR$-equivalent to $XW_8$, where the $SR$-equivalence relation is defined by feasible applications of $SR$ and $SR^{-1}$.

Proof. The “if” direction follows from the fact that feasible applications of $SR$ and $SR^{-1}$ preserve optimal 1-planar graphs. For the “only if” direction, first resolve all separating 4-cycles by an $SR^{-1}$-reduction as in Example 1. For $C = (a, b, c, d)$ consider the planar quadrangles defined by $a, b, u_1, u_2$ and $a, b, v_1, v_2$. Then $SR^{-1}$ introduce a center $x$ with neighbors $a, u_1, u_2, b, v_2, v_1$ in circular order and $SR(x \mapsto a)$ or $SR(x \mapsto b)$ is feasible. Thereafter, there is a 5-connected optimal 1-planar graph $H$ that can be reduced to $XW_8$ by $SR$-reductions.

Corollary 5. The equivalence problem $G_1 \sim G_2$ can be solved in linear time. In addition, if $G_1 \sim G_2$ then a transformation of $G_1$ into $G_2$ can be computed in linear time.

Proof. Two graphs are equivalent if and only if both are optimal 1-planar which can be solved in linear time [9]. For the transformation, an extended wheel graph is first transformed into a pre-extended wheel graph $CXW_{2k}$ using $CR^{-1}$. Thereafter, we use Lemma 5 and transform both graphs into $XW_8$ and then concatenate the transformations taking the inverse of the rules from the reduction of $G_2$.

5 Conclusion and Perspectives

We have shown that the required feasible use of $SR$ and $CR$ reductions can be expressed by local conditions on the context of the removed vertices. The reduction system with the rules $SR$ and $CR$ is context-sensitive, non-deterministic and non-confluent, but, nevertheless, reductions can be computed in linear time [9]. Moreover, every reducible optimal 1-planar graph can be reduced to any irreducible extended wheel graph $XW_{2k}$ in a range from $s \leq k \leq t$, where $s = 3$ or $s = 4$ and $t$ depends on the given graph. Our results generalize similar ones of Schumacher [27] who used only $SR$-reductions that are restricted to 5-connected optimal 1-planar graphs, but $SR$ reductions do preserve this class.

It would be interesting to see whether similar results hold for other classes of optimal graphs such as optimal $k$-planar graphs, which allow up to $k$ crossings...
per edge \[23\], or optimal IC planar graphs, which are the restriction of optimal 1-planar graphs to independent crossings where each vertex is incident to at most one crossing edge \[10\,21\] and have the maximum of \(13/4n - 6\) edges.
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