

# Rabi oscillations of two-photon states in nonlinear optical resonators

Y. Sherkunov,<sup>1</sup> David M. Whittaker,<sup>2</sup> and Vladimir Fal'ko<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*National Graphene Institute, University of Manchester, Manchester, M13 9PL, UK*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Sheffield, Sheffield S3 7RH, UK*

We demonstrate that four-wave mixing processes in high-quality non-linear resonators can lead to Rabi-like oscillations in photon occupation numbers and second-order correlation functions, being a characteristic feature of the presence of entangled photon pairs in the optical signal. In the case of a system driven by a continuous coherent pump, the oscillations occur in the transient regime. We show that driving the system with pulsed coherent pumping would generate strongly anti-bunched photon states.

## INTRODUCTION AND MODEL

Four-wave mixing (FWM) is a reversible process, in which a pair of photons, *e.g.*, with a frequency  $\omega_0$  is converted into two photons with frequencies  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$ , and  $\omega_1 + \omega_2 = 2\omega_0$ . As a result, FWM could be used (instead of conventional down-conversion processes [1, 2]) to create entangled photon-pairs [3–7], suitable for practical implementation in quantum cryptography [8].

Here, we study FWM in high-quality resonators with discrete spectra [9] and strong Kerr non-linearity: "zero-dimensional" exciton-polariton microcavities [10–14] and microwave resonators coupled to superconducting qubits [15–18]. In these systems the FWM between photon pairs is reversible, so that quantum oscillations can occur between the initial and final states. We show that for a photon pair in a resonator, its states oscillate between two entangled two-photon configurations with the frequency determined by the strength of Kerr non-linearity. For a pumped system, such Rabi-type oscillations would occur in transient regime after the pump is switched on, until losses damp the oscillations establishing a steady state. We suggest that such oscillations would be a characteristic feature of the presence of entangled photon pairs in the optical signal. We also demonstrate that applying pulsed driving to the system would generate photon states in the anti-bunching regime.

To model two-photon correlations in a non-linear microcavity, we employ the master equation approach to the density matrix of the photon system,  $\rho$ , [19],

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -i[H + H_{pi}, \rho] + \gamma \sum_{i=0,1,2} (2a_i \rho a_i^\dagger - a_i^\dagger a_i \rho - \rho a_i^\dagger a_i), \quad (1a)$$

$$H = \omega_1 a_1^\dagger a_1 + \omega_2 a_2^\dagger a_2 + \omega_0 a_0^\dagger a_0 + H_{nl}, \quad (1b)$$

$$H_{nl} = u a_1^\dagger a_2^\dagger a_0^2 + h.c..$$

In (1), the Hamiltonian  $H$  ( $\hbar = c = 1$ ) describes the conservative part of the photon system [20],  $a_i$  and  $a_i^\dagger$  are annihilation and creation operators of the photons in the mode  $\omega_i$ ,  $u$  is a photon-photon coupling constant due to Kerr non-linearity,  $\gamma$  is the photon decay rate, which is

taken the same for all photon modes, and  $H_{pi}$  describes the photon pump. Below, we consider microcavities excited using one of the two possible pumps sketched in Fig.1,




Figure 1: Schematic diagram for a FWM process in a resonant microcavity with discrete spectrum. (a) Coherent pump of  $\omega_0$  mode with subsequent scattering to the modes  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$ . (b) Coherent pump of two modes  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$ , generating a monochromatic pair in  $\omega_0$  mode.

$$H_{p1} = f(t) \left( e^{i\omega_{p0}t} a_0 + e^{-i\omega_{p0}t} a_0^\dagger \right), \quad (2a)$$

$$H_{p2} = f(t) \sum_{i=1,2} \left( e^{i\omega_{pi}t} a_i + e^{-i\omega_{pi}t} a_i^\dagger \right), \quad (2b)$$

where  $f(t)$  and  $\omega_{pi}$  are the amplitude and frequency of the pumping field. The pump (2a) excites photons in the mode  $\omega_0$ , while pump (2b) corresponds to coherent excitation of both  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  modes. By solving Eq (1a) for the density matrix determined in the Fock space of states with different photon numbers,  $m_i$  and  $n_i$ , in the three modes,

$$\rho = \sum \rho(m_1, m_2, m_0, n_1, n_2, n_0) |m_1, m_2, m_0\rangle \langle n_1, n_2, n_0|, \quad (3)$$

we evaluate the occupation numbers of photons and zero time-delay pair correlation functions for each mode  $\omega_i$ ,

$$N_i = \text{Tr} a_i^\dagger a_i \rho, \quad (4)$$

$$g_i^{(2)} = \text{Tr} [(a_i^\dagger)^2 a_i^2] / N_i^2. \quad (5)$$

## RABI OSCILLATIONS OF TWO-PHOTON STATES IN CLOSED CAVITIES

To introduce the idea of Rabi oscillations of two-photon states, let us consider an initial state of the sys-




Figure 2: Evolution of a two-photon state prepared in the mode  $\omega_0$  in the resonator with damping  $\gamma = 0.1u$ ,  $\delta = 0$ , and  $f = 0$ . (a) Probability distribution of two-photon states. (b) Probability distribution of single-photon states. (c) Average number of photons in the modes  $\omega_0$  and  $\omega_1$ , or  $\omega_2$ .

tem with two  $\omega_0$  photons in an isolated ( $f(t) = 0$ ) three-mode ( $\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2$ ) non-dissipative ( $\gamma = 0$ ) cavity, with  $\delta = \omega_1 + \omega_2 - 2\omega_0 \ll \omega_i$ . The Hamiltonian of this system (1b) can be projected onto the reduced Fock space of the two-photon states,  $\{|2\omega_0\rangle, |1\omega_1, 1\omega_2\rangle\}$ ,

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 2\omega_0 & \sqrt{2}u \\ \sqrt{2}u & \omega_1 + \omega_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

with the eigenvalues and eigenstates,

$$E_{\pm} = \omega_0 + (\omega_1 + \omega_2)/2 \pm \Omega/2, \quad \Omega = \sqrt{\delta^2 + 8u^2}, \quad (6a)$$

$$\psi_{\pm} = \frac{\pm 1}{2\sqrt{\Omega}} \left( \sqrt{\Omega \mp \delta} (a_0^{\dagger})^2 \pm \frac{4ua_1^{\dagger}a_2^{\dagger}}{\sqrt{\Omega \mp \delta}} \right) |0\rangle. \quad (6b)$$

Here,  $\Omega(\delta \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow 2\sqrt{2}u$  is the two-photon Rabi frequency, which characterises time-dependent oscillations

of the probabilities  $P(2\omega_0)$  and  $P(1\omega_1, 1\omega_2)$  to find these two photons,

$$P(2\omega_0) = \cos^2\left(\frac{\Omega t}{2}\right) + \frac{\delta^2}{\Omega^2} \sin^2\left(\frac{\Omega t}{2}\right),$$

$$P(1\omega_1, 1\omega_2) = \frac{8u^2}{\Omega^2} \sin^2\left(\frac{\Omega t}{2}\right).$$

## RABI OSCILLATIONS IN OPEN RESONATORS

In the case of a lossy system, with a finite decay rate  $\gamma$ , this result can be generalised into the formulae for probabilities to find two or one photon in the cavity, illustrated in Figs. 2(a) and (b),

$$\begin{aligned} P(2\omega_0) &= e^{-4\gamma t} \left[ \cos^2\left(\frac{\Omega t}{2}\right) + \frac{\delta^2}{\Omega^2} \sin^2\left(\frac{\Omega t}{2}\right) \right], \quad P(1\omega_1, 1\omega_2) = e^{-4\gamma t} \frac{8u^2}{\Omega^2} \sin^2\left(\frac{\Omega t}{2}\right), \\ P(1\omega_0) &= \frac{2e^{-4\gamma t}}{\Omega^2(\Omega^2 + 4\gamma^2)} \left[ (e^{2\gamma t} - 1)(\Omega^2 - 4u^2 + 4\gamma^2)\Omega^2 + 16\gamma u^2 \sin\left(\frac{\Omega t}{2}\right) \left( \Omega \cos\left(\frac{\Omega t}{2}\right) + 2\gamma \sin\left(\frac{\Omega t}{2}\right) \right) \right], \\ P(1\omega_1) &= P(1\omega_2) = \frac{4e^{-4\gamma t}u^2}{\Omega^2(\Omega^2 + 4\gamma^2)} \left[ \Omega^2(e^{2\gamma t} - 1) - 4\gamma \sin\left(\frac{\Omega t}{2}\right) \left( \Omega \cos\left(\frac{\Omega t}{2}\right) + 2\gamma \sin\left(\frac{\Omega t}{2}\right) \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

These oscillations can manifest themselves in the temporal evolution of the occupation numbers,  $N_i$ , illustrated in Fig. 2(c),

$$\begin{aligned} N_0 &= \frac{2e^{-4\gamma t}}{(4\gamma^2 + \Omega^2)\Omega} \left[ e^{2\gamma t}\Omega(4\gamma^2 + \Omega^2 - 4u^2) + 4u^2(\Omega \cos(\Omega t) + 2\gamma \sin(\Omega t)) \right], \\ N_1 &= N_2 = \frac{4u^2e^{-4\gamma t}}{(4\gamma^2 + \Omega^2)\Omega} \left[ e^{2\gamma t}\Omega - (\Omega \cos(\Omega t) + 2\gamma \sin(\Omega t)) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

In principle, the quantum-optical Rabi-type oscillations can occur in systems driven by coherent pump-

ing. We demonstrate this for the case of resonant pumping,  $\omega_{pi} = \omega_i$ , and  $\delta = 0$ . In the case of lossless sys-

tem ( $\gamma = 0$ ) and weak continuous pumping switched on at  $t = 0$ ,  $f(t) = (\text{const} \ll u) \times \theta(t)$ , where  $\theta(t)$  is the Heaviside function, Eq. (1a) can be solved analytically by diagonalizing the Hamiltonian (1b) and (2), which in the interaction representation, can be written in the time-independent basis. This procedure leads to the following eigenvalues,  $\{\pm f, \pm\sqrt{2}u\}$  for pump (2a), and  $\{0, \pm\sqrt{2}f, \pm\sqrt{2}u\}$  for pump (2b), and for  $ft \ll 1$  results in the oscillations in occupation numbers ( $\delta = 0$ ),

$$N_1(t) = N_2(t) = \frac{f^4 t^2}{u^2} \left(1 - \frac{\sin(\Omega t/2)}{\sqrt{2}ut}\right)^2 \quad (9)$$

for pump (2a), and

$$N_0(t) = \frac{4f^4 t^2}{u^2} \left(1 - \frac{\sin(\Omega t/2)}{\sqrt{2}ut}\right)^2 \quad (10)$$

for pump (2b). Here, the sizeable part of the oscillations has frequency  $\Omega/2$ .




Figure 3: Numerical results for occupation numbers in the mode  $\omega_0$ ,  $N_0(t)$ , and two-photon correlation function for the mode  $\omega_0$ ,  $g_0^{(2)}$ , in the continuously driven system sketched in Fig 1 (b). In (a) and (c), dots represent numerical results fitted by Eq. (11) (solid line). The fitting parameters are: (a)  $b_1 = 0.383$ ,  $\alpha_1 = 3\gamma$ ,  $\phi_1 = 1.361$ ,  $b_2 = 0$ ; (c)  $b_1 = 0$ ,  $b_2 = 3.023$ ,  $\alpha_2 = 8\gamma$ ,  $\phi_2 = 1.137$ .

For a larger pumping amplitude,  $f = (\text{const} \sim u) \times \theta(t)$ , and for finite losses  $\gamma \neq 0$ , we solve Eq (1a) numerically. We truncate the Fock space photon numbers,  $m_i, n_i \leq N_{\text{max}}$  and check that, for  $f \sim u$ ,  $N_{\text{max}} = 10$  is enough for the convergence (upon the increase of  $N_{\text{max}}$ ) of the numerical results. We find that photon pairs manifest themselves as Rabi-like oscillations between the two




Figure 4: Numerically evaluated occupation number of photons in the mode  $\omega_1$ ,  $N_1(t)$ , and two-photon correlation function in the mode  $\omega_1$ ,  $g_1^{(2)}$ , for the continuously driven system shown in Fig. 1 (a). In (f), the arrow indicates the first minimum of  $g_1^{(2)}$  at  $\tau \approx 2.6/u$ . In (a), we compare the results of numerical simulations (solid line) and Eq.(9) (dashed line).

states  $|2\omega_0\rangle$  and  $|1\omega_1, 1\omega_2\rangle$  as we show in Fig.3 for the pumping scheme of Fig. 1(b). Interestingly, the oscillations of the occupation numbers can be well described by the two harmonics with frequencies close to  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega/2$ , as in the case discussed above, and can be fitted by the interpolation formula (Fig.3(a), (c)),

$$N_0 = F(t) \left[ 1 + b_1 e^{-\alpha_1 t} \cos\left(\frac{\Omega t}{2} + \phi_1\right) + b_2 e^{-\alpha_2 t} \cos(\Omega t + \phi_2) \right], \quad (11)$$

where  $F(t)$  is a monotonous (non-oscillating) function. For weak pumping,  $f \ll u$ , the amplitude of the  $\Omega/2$ -harmonic,  $b_1$ , is much larger than the amplitude of the  $\Omega$ -harmonic,  $b_2$ , which is noticeable in Figs. 3(a). As the pumping amplitude  $f$  increases,  $b_2$  goes up and exceeds  $b_1$  at  $f \sim u$ . Thus, the oscillations at  $f \geq u$  have frequency  $\Omega$  (Fig. 3 (b)-(c)). The oscillations with the same frequencies can also be observed in the two-photon correlation function  $g_0^{(2)}$ , Figs. 3(e)-(f).

We find a similar behaviour for the pumping scheme illustrated in Fig. 1(a). In Figs. 4(a)-(d), the oscillation frequency changes from  $\Omega/2$  for  $f = (\text{const} \ll u) \times \theta(t)$  to  $\Omega$  for  $f = (\text{const} \sim u) \times \theta(t)$ . There are also oscillations in the two-photon correlation function in the mode  $\omega_1$ ,  $g_1^{(2)}$ , with the period matching the one of  $N_1$ . Note that, during its cycle,  $g_1^{(2)}$  crosses unit value, indicating switching from bunching to anti-bunching. We note that




Figure 5: Numerically evaluated  $N_1(t)$  and  $g_1^{(2)}$  for the system of Fig. 1(a) with  $\gamma = 0.1u$  driven by the pulses (see inset:  $f(t) = f_0\theta(t)\theta(\tau - t)$  (red solid line);  $f(t) = f_0\theta(t)\exp(-t^2/\tau^2)$  (dashed blue line);  $f(t) = f_0\exp[-4(t - \tau)^2/\tau^2]$  (green dot-dashed line) with amplitude  $f_0 = u$  and  $\tau = 2.6/u$ , corresponding to the minimum of  $g_1^{(2)}$  of a continuously pumped system (Fig.4(f)).

anti-bunching can be promoted, if the system is driven by pulses with a pump of finite duration  $\tau$  corresponding to the minimum of  $g_1^{(2)}$  in a continuously pumped system. As we show in Fig.5 for the cavity driven by  $\omega_0$ -mode pulses,  $f(t) = f_0\theta(\tau - t)\theta(t)$ ,  $g_1^{(2)}$  has a maximum corresponding to the bunching regime at the time when  $N_1$  is small, but then, the system switches into anti-bunching regime. In principle, the form of the ringing tones in oscillations of  $N_1$  and  $g_1^{(2)}$  may depend on the extent and shape of the excitation pulse, however this dependence is weak, as we show in Fig.5, where we compare the systems excited by the pulses of various shapes.

## DISCUSSION

In this paper, we have demonstrated that in non-linear resonators with discrete spectrum correlated photon pairs can manifest themselves as quantum Rabi-like oscillations with the period determined by the strength of non-linearity. In coherently driven systems, these oscillations would occur in the transient regime,  $t < 1/\gamma$ , as shown in Figs. 3 and Fig. 4, thus, they could be observed in a system with sufficiently low damping,  $\gamma \leq u$ . To mention, the proposed theory describes systems with various frequency ranges. It can be applied to the visible-range polaritonic microcavities based on GaAs, where the non-linearity is due to exciton-exciton interaction in GaAs [21]. In these systems, the strength of non-linearity,  $u \sim 10\mu\text{eV}$ , is still too weak as compared to damping,  $\gamma \sim 80\mu\text{eV}$  [13]. However, we suggest that use of two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides [22–24] in microcavities, may improve the  $u/\gamma$  ratio due to stronger exciton-exciton interaction. Moreover, the proposed theory of two-photon oscillations is also applicable to microwave photons in superconducting resonators, where one can achieve quality factors in the range of  $10^6 - 10^9$  [25–27], hence reach the regime  $u \gg \gamma$ .

Large  $u/\gamma$  ratio can also be achieved by resonantly coupling atoms with level structure allowing for electro-




Figure 6: Numerically evaluated (a)  $N_1(t)$  and (b)  $g_1^{(2)}$  for a high-quality toroidal microcavity coupled to atoms in an EIT regime driven by resonant coherent pumping of Fig. 1(a) for an experimentally achievable set of parameters:  $u = 1.25 \times 10^7 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ,  $\gamma = 2 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , and  $f = 1.25 \times 10^7 \text{ s}^{-1}$  [29, 30, 32, 33].

magnetically induced transparency (EIT) [28, 29] and microcavities with high quality factors,  $Q$ , such as toroidal ( $Q > 10^8$ ) [30] or microrod ( $Q > 10^9$ ) [31] resonators. In these systems, the strength of non-linearity can reach  $u \sim 1.25 \times 10^7 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , while the losses can be as low as  $\gamma \sim 2 \times 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1}$  [29, 30, 32, 33], hence reaching the desirable regime  $u \gg \gamma$  leading, as we show in Fig.6, to Rabi oscillations in photon occupation numbers and two-photon correlation function.

We have also shown, that application of pulsed pumping to the system could lead to the generation of squeezed states of strongly anti-bunched photons.

We thank D. Krizhanovskii, E. Cancellieri and M. Skolnick for useful discussions. This work was supported by EPSRC Programme Grant EP/J007544.

- 
- [1] P. G. Kwiat, K. Mattle, H. Weinfurter, A. Zeilinger, A. V. Sergienko, and Y. Shih, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **75**, 4337 (1995).
  - [2] I. Carusotto and G. C. La Rocca, *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, 4907 (1999).
  - [3] L. J. Wang, C. K. Hong, and S. R. Friberg, *Journal of Optics B: Quantum and Semiclassical Optics* **3**, 346 (2001).
  - [4] X. Li, P. L. Voss, J. E. Sharping, and P. Kumar, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**, 053601 (2005).
  - [5] J. Fulconis, O. Alibart, W. J. Wadsworth, and J. G. Rarity, *New Journal of Physics* **9**, 276 (2007).
  - [6] O. Cohen, J. S. Lundeen, B. J. Smith, G. Puentes, P. J. Mosley, and I. A. Walmsley, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 123603 (2009).
  - [7] R. Kumar, J. R. Ong, M. Savanier, and S. Mookherjea, *Nat Commun* **5**, 5489 (2014).
  - [8] N. Gisin and R. Thew, *Nat Photon* **1**, 165 (2007).
  - [9] By now, the studies of FWM processes have been focused on quasi-one-dimensional systems, such as optical fibres [34].
  - [10] O. El Daif, A. Baas, T. Guillet, J.-P. Brantut, R. I. Kaitouni, J. L. Staehli, F. Morier-Genoud, and B. Deveaud, *Applied Physics Letters* **88**, 061105 (2006).
  - [11] L. Ferrier, E. Wertz, R. John, D. D. Solnyshkov, P. Senellart, I. Sagnes, A. Lemaître, G. Malpuech, and J. Bloch, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 126401 (2011).
  - [12] S. Azzini, D. Gerace, M. Galli, I. Sagnes, R. Braive,

- A. Lemaitre, J. Bloch, and D. Bajoni, *Applied Physics Letters* **99**, 111106 (2011).
- [13] S. Dufferwiel, F. Fras, A. Trichet, P. M. Walker, F. Li, L. Giriunas, M. N. Makhonin, L. R. Wilson, J. M. Smith, E. Clarke, et al., *Applied Physics Letters* **104**, 192107 (2014).
- [14] B. Besga, C. Vaneph, J. Reichel, J. Estève, A. Reinhard, J. Miguel-Sánchez, A. Imamoğlu, and T. Volz, *Phys. Rev. Applied* **3**, 014008 (2015).
- [15] A. Wallraff, D. I. Schuster, A. Blais, L. Frunzio, R.-S. Huang, J. Majer, S. Kumar, S. M. Girvin, and R. J. Schoelkopf, *Nature* **431**, 162 (2004).
- [16] L. S. Bishop, J. M. Chow, J. Koch, A. A. Houck, M. H. Devoret, E. Thuneberg, S. M. Girvin, and R. J. Schoelkopf, *Nat Phys* **5**, 105 (2009).
- [17] M. H. Devoret and R. J. Schoelkopf, *Science* **339**, 1169 (2013).
- [18] R. Barends, J. Kelly, A. Megrant, A. Veitia, D. Sank, E. Jeffrey, T. C. White, J. Mutus, A. G. Fowler, B. Campbell, et al., *Nature* **508**, 500 (2014).
- [19] Y. Sherkunov, D. M. Whittaker, H. Schomerus, and V. Fal'ko, *Phys. Rev. A* **90**, 033845 (2014).
- [20] In our analysis we neglect the effects of self- and cross phase modulation, which occur in a system with Kerr non-linearity [35], although, we do not expect that these will affect the frequency of the oscillations but may enhance damping.
- [21] V. Timofeev and D. Sanvitto, *Exciton Polaritons in Microcavities* (Springer, Berlin, 2012).
- [22] L. Britnell, R. M. Ribeiro, A. Eckmann, R. Jalil, B. D. Belle, A. Mishchenko, Y.-J. Kim, R. V. Gorbachev, T. Georgiou, S. V. Morozov, et al., *Science* **340**, 1311 (2013).
- [23] M. Palummo, M. Bernardi, and J. C. Grossman, *Nano Letters* **15**, 2794 (2015).
- [24] S. Schwarz, S. Dufferwiel, P. M. Walker, F. Withers, A. A. P. Trichet, M. Sich, F. Li, E. A. Chekhovich, D. N. Borisenko, N. N. Kolesnikov, et al., *Nano Letters* **14**, 7003 (2014).
- [25] R. Barends, N. Vercruyssen, A. Endo, P. J. de Visser, T. Zijlstra, T. M. Klapwijk, P. Diener, S. J. C. Yates, and J. J. A. Baselmans, *Applied Physics Letters* **97**, 023508 (2010).
- [26] K. Geerlings, S. Shankar, E. Edwards, L. Frunzio, R. J. Schoelkopf, and M. H. Devoret, *Applied Physics Letters* **100**, 192601 (2012).
- [27] M. Reagor, H. Paik, G. Catelani, L. Sun, C. Axline, E. Holland, I. M. Pop, N. A. Masluk, T. Brecht, L. Frunzio, et al., *Applied Physics Letters* **102**, 192604 (2013).
- [28] A. Imamoğlu, H. Schmidt, G. Woods, and M. Deutsch, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 1467 (1997).
- [29] M. J. Hartmann and M. B. Plenio, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 103601 (2007).
- [30] D. K. Armani, T. J. Kippenberg, S. M. Spillane, and K. J. Vahala, *Nature* **421**, 925 (2003).
- [31] P. Del'Haye, S. A. Diddams, and S. B. Papp, *Applied Physics Letters* **102**, 221119 (2013).
- [32] T. Aoki, B. Dayan, E. Wilcut, W. P. Bowen, A. S. Parkins, T. J. Kippenberg, K. J. Vahala, and H. J. Kimble, *Nature* **443**, 671 (2006).
- [33] S. M. Spillane, T. J. Kippenberg, K. J. Vahala, K. W. Goh, E. Wilcut, and H. J. Kimble, *Phys. Rev. A* **71**, 013817 (2005).
- [34] R. Z. Vered, Y. Shaked, Y. Ben-Or, M. Rosenbluh, and A. Pe'er, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 063902 (2015).
- [35] L. Mandel and E. Wolf, *Optical Coherence and Quantum Optics* (Cambridge University Press, New York, 1995).