Abstract

For $k \geq 1$ an integer, a set $S$ of vertices in a graph $G$ with minimum degree at least $k - 1$ is a $k$-tuple dominating set of $G$ if every vertex of $S$ is adjacent to at least $k - 1$ vertices in $S$ and every vertex of $V(G) \setminus S$ is adjacent to at least $k$ vertices in $S$; that is, $|N_G[v] \cap S| \geq k$ for every vertex $v$ of $G$ where $N_G[v]$ denotes the closed neighborhood of $v$ which consists of $v$ and all neighbors of $v$. A $k$-tuple restrained dominating set of $G$ is a $k$-tuple dominating set $S$ of $G$ with the additional property that every vertex outside $S$ has at least $k$ neighbors outside $S$. The minimum cardinality of a $k$-tuple restrained dominating set of $G$ is the $k$-tuple restrained domination number of $G$. When $k = 1$, the $k$-tuple restrained domination number is the well-studied restrained domination number. In this paper, we determine the $k$-tuple restrained domination number of several classes of graphs. Tight upper bounds on the $k$-tuple restrained domination number of a general graph are established. We present basic properties of the $k$-tuple restrained domatic number of a graph which is the maximum number of the classes of a partition of $V(G)$ into $k$-tuple restrained dominating sets of $G$.
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1 Introduction

The theory of domination in graphs and its variants has been an evergreen topic of research in graph theory over the past few decades. Two vertices in a graph $G$ are neighbors if they are adjacent in $G$. The open neighborhood $N_G(v)$ of a vertex $v$ in $G$ is the set of neighbors of $v$, and its closed neighborhood is $N_G[v] = N_G(v) \cup \{v\}$.

A dominating set of a graph $G$ is a set $S$ of vertices of $G$ such that every vertex not in $S$ has a neighbor in $S$, where two vertices are neighbors if they are adjacent. The domination number of $G$, denoted by $\gamma(G)$, is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set. The domatic number, $d(G)$, of $G$ is the maximum number of disjoint dominating sets in $G$.

A total dominating set of a graph $G$ with no isolated vertex is a set $S$ of vertices such that every vertex in $G$ has a neighbor in $S$. The total domination number, $\gamma_t(G)$, of $G$ is the minimum cardinality of a total dominating set of $G$. The total domatic number, $d_t(G)$, of $G$ is the maximum number of disjoint total dominating sets in $G$. This can also be considered as a coloring of the vertices such that every vertex has a neighbor of every color (and has been called the coupon coloring problem [1]).

A restrained dominating set of $G$ is a dominating set $S$ of $G$ with the additional property that every vertex outside $S$ has a neighbor in $S$. The restrained domination number, $\gamma_r(G)$, of $G$ is the minimum cardinality of a restrained dominating set of $G$. The restrained domatic number, $d_r(G)$, of $G$ is the maximum number of disjoint restrained dominating sets in $G$.

The notion of domination and its variations in graphs has been studied a great deal; a rough estimate says that it occurs in more than 3000 papers to date. We refer the reader to the two so-called domination books by Haynes, Hedetniemi, and Slater [7, 8]. In this paper, we study a variant of domination called $k$-tuple restrained domination in graphs.

Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer and let $G$ be a graph with $\delta(G) \geq k - 1$. Harary and Haynes [6] defined a $k$-tuple dominating set, abbreviated kD-set, in $G$ to be a set $S$ of vertices in $G$ such that every vertex of $S$ has at least $k - 1$ vertices in $S$ and every vertex outside $S$ has at least $k$ neighbors in $S$; that is, $|N_G[v] \cap S| \geq k$ for every vertex $v$ of $G$. The $k$-tuple domination number $\gamma_{xk}(G)$ of $G$ is the minimum cardinality of a kD-set in $G$. As remarked in [6] the 1-tuple domination number is the well-studied domination number. Thus, $\gamma(G) = \gamma_{x1}(G)$. The $k$-tuple domatic number, $d_{xk}(G)$, of $G$ is the maximum number of disjoint kD-sets in $G$. A kD-set with cardinality $\gamma_{xk}(G)$ is called a $\gamma_{xk}$-set of $G$.

Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer and let $G$ be a graph with $\delta(G) \geq k$. A subset $S \subseteq V$ is a $k$-tuple total dominating set, abbreviated kTD-set, in $G$ if every vertex in $G$ has at least $k$ neighbors in $S$; that is, $|N_G(v) \cap S| \geq k$ for every vertex $v$ of $G$. The minimum cardinality of a kTD-set in $G$ is the $k$-tuple total domination number of $G$, denoted by $\gamma_{xk,t}(G)$. As remarked in [10], the 1-tuple total domination number is the well-studied total domination number. Thus, $\gamma_t(G) = \gamma_{x1,t}(G)$. A kTD-set with cardinality $\gamma_{xk,t}(G)$ is called a $\gamma_{xk,t}$-set of $G$. The $k$-tuple total domatic number, $d_{xk,t}(G)$, of $G$ is the maximum number of disjoint kTD-sets in $G$. The concept of $k$-tuple total domination in graphs was first studied by the authors in [10].
Let \( k \geq 1 \) be an integer and let \( G \) be a graph with \( \delta(G) \geq k \). A \( k \)-tuple total restrained dominating set, abbreviated \( k \)-TRD-set, of \( G \) is a \( k \)-TD-set in \( G \) with the additional property that every vertex outside \( S \) has at least \( k \) neighbors outside \( S \). The minimum cardinality of a \( k \)-TRD-set in \( G \) is the \( k \)-tuple total restrained domination number of \( G \), denoted by \( \gamma^r_{x,k,t}(G) \).

The \( k \)-tuple total restrained domatic number, \( d^r_{x,k,t}(G) \), of \( G \) is the maximum number of disjoint \( k \)-TRD-sets in \( G \). A \( k \)-TRD-set with cardinality \( \gamma^r_{x,k,t}(G) \) is called a \( \gamma^r_{x,k,t} \)-set of \( G \).

The concept of \( k \)-tuple total restrained domination in graphs was first studied by Kazem in \[12\].

In this paper, we introduce and study two new concepts, namely the \( k \)-tuple restrained domination number and the \( k \)-tuple restrained domatic number.

**Definition 1** Let \( k \geq 1 \) be an integer and let \( G \) be a graph with \( \delta(G) \geq k - 1 \). A \( k \)-tuple restrained dominating set, abbreviated \( k \)-RD-set, of \( G \) is a \( k \)-D-set in \( G \) with the additional property that every vertex outside \( S \) has at least \( k \) neighbors outside \( S \); that is, \( |N_G(v)\cap S| \geq k \) for every vertex \( v \in V(G) \) and \( |N_G(v)\cap (V(G)-S)| \geq k \) for every vertex \( v \in V(G) \setminus S \). The minimum cardinality of a \( k \)-RD-set in \( G \) is the \( k \)-tuple restrained domination number of \( G \), denoted by \( \gamma^r_{x,k}(G) \). A \( k \)-RD-set with cardinality \( \gamma^r_{x,k}(G) \) is called a \( \gamma^r_{x,k} \)-set of \( G \).

**Definition 2** Let \( k \geq 1 \) be an integer and let \( G \) be a graph with \( \delta(G) \geq k - 1 \). A \( k \)-tuple restrained domatic partition, abbreviated \( k \)-RD-partition, of \( G \) is a partition of \( V(G) \) into \( k \)-RD-sets. The \( k \)-tuple restrained domatic number, \( d^r_{x,k}(G) \), of \( G \) is the maximum number of disjoint \( k \)-RD-sets in \( G \).

We remark that the 1-tuple restrained domination number is the well-studied restrained domination number. Thus, \( \gamma^r_{1}(G) = \gamma^r_{1,1}(G) \).

### 1.1 Notation

For notation and graph terminology, we will typically follow \[11\]. Throughout this paper, all graphs will be considered undirected, simple and finite. Specifically, let \( G \) be a graph with vertex set \( V(G) \) and edge set \( E(G) \), and of order \( n = |V(G)| \) and size \( m = |E(G)| \). If the graph \( G \) is clear from the context, we simply write \( V \) and \( E \) rather than \( V(G) \) and \( E(G) \), and we write \( G = (V,E) \).

For a set \( S \subseteq V \), its open neighborhood is the set \( N_G(S) = \bigcup_{v \in S} N_G(v) \), and its closed neighborhood is the set \( N_G[S] = N_G(S) \cup S \). For a set of vertices \( S \subseteq V \), the subgraph of \( G \) induced by \( S \) is denoted by \( G[S] \). The subgraph obtained from \( G \) by deleting all vertices in \( S \) and all edges incident with vertices in \( S \) is denoted by \( G - S \). If \( S = \{v\} \), we simply write \( G - v \) rather than \( G - S \). If \( X \) and \( Y \) are vertex disjoint subsets of \( G \), then \( [X,Y] \) denotes the set of edges joining \( X \) and \( Y \) in \( G \).

We denote the degree of a vertex \( v \) in \( G \) by \( d_G(v) \). Thus, \( d_G(v) = |N_G(v)| \). The minimum and maximum degree among the vertices of \( G \) is denoted by \( \delta(G) \) and \( \Delta(G) \), respectively. We denote the complement of \( G \) by \( \overline{G} \). Further, if \( v \) is a vertex in \( G \), then we denote the
corresponding vertex in $\overline{G}$ by $\overline{v}$. We denote the path, cycle, and complete graph on $n$ vertices by $P_n$, $C_n$, and $K_n$, respectively, while $K_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_p}$ denotes a complete $p$-partite graph with partite sets of sizes $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_p$. We use the standard notation $[k] = \{1, \ldots, k\}$. 

# 2 Fundamental Properties of $\gamma^r_{\times k}(G)$

In this section, we present some fundamental properties of the $k$-tuple restrained domination number of a graph. The following observation relates the parameters defined in the introductory Section I.

**Observation 1** If $G$ is a graph with $\delta(G) \geq k \geq 1$, then the following hold.

(a) $d^r_{\times k,t}(G) \leq d^r_{\times k}(G) \leq d_{\times k}(G)$.
(b) $d^r_{\times k,t}(G) \leq d_{\times k,t}(G) \leq d_{\times k}(G)$.
(c) $\gamma_{\times k}(G) \leq \gamma^r_{\times k}(G) \leq \gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G)$.
(d) $\gamma_{\times k}(G) \leq \gamma_{\times k,t}(G) \leq \gamma^r_{\times k,t}(G)$.

**Observation 2** If $G$ is a graph of order $n$ with $\delta(G) \geq k - 1 \geq 1$, then the following hold.

(a) $k \leq \gamma^r_{\times k}(G) \leq n$.
(b) Every vertex of degree at most $2k - 1$ belongs to every $k$RD-set of $G$.
(c) If $\delta(G) \leq 2k - 1$, then $d^r_{\times k}(G) = 1$.
(d) If $\Delta(G) \leq 2k - 1$, then $\gamma^r_{\times k}(G) = n$.
(e) If $\gamma^r_{\times k}(G) < n$, then $\gamma^r_{\times k}(G) \leq n - k - 1$ and $n \geq 2k - 1$.

The $k$-join $F \circ_k H$ of a graph $F$ to a graph $H$ of order at least $k$ is defined in [10] to be the graph obtained from the disjoint union of $F$ and $H$ by joining each vertex of $F$ to at least $k$ vertices of $H$. The order of the graph $H$ in the $k$-join $G \circ_k H$ we call the size of the $k$-join. Further if $\delta(F) \geq k$ and $\delta(H) \geq k - 1$, then we call the $k$-join $F \circ_k H$ a good $k$-join. We say that a graph $G$ has a $k$-join if $G \cong F \circ_k H$ for some $k$-join $F \circ_k H$. If $F \circ_k H$ is a $k$-join and every vertex of $F$ is joined to exactly $k$ vertices of $H$, then we denote the $k$-join by $F \circ_{\ast k} H$.

**Theorem 1** Let $G$ be a graph with $\delta(G) \geq k - 1 \geq 1$ and let $\ell$ be an integer such that $k \leq \ell < n$. Then, $\gamma^r_{\times k}(G) = \ell$ if and only if $G$ has a good $k$-join and the minimum size of a good $k$-join in $G$ is $\ell$.

**Proof.** Suppose that $\gamma^r_{\times k}(G) = \ell$. Let $S$ be a $\gamma^r_{\times k}$-set of $G$, and so $S$ is a kRD-set of $G$ and $|S| = \ell$. By supposition, $\ell < n$, and so $S$ is a proper subset of $V(G)$. Letting $H = G[S]$ and $F = G[V(G) \setminus S]$, we note that $F \circ_k H$ is a good $k$-join of size $n(H) = |S| = \ell$ and $G \cong F \circ_k H$. If $F' \circ_k H'$ is an arbitrary good $k$-join in $G$, then $V(H')$ is a $\gamma^r_{\times k}$-set of $G$, implying that $\ell = \gamma^r_{\times k}(G) \leq n(H')$. Thus, the minimum size of a good $k$-join in $G$ is $\ell$.

Conversely, suppose that $G$ has a good $k$-join and the minimum size of a good $k$-join in $G$ is $\ell$. Thus, $G \cong F \circ_k H$ for some good $k$-join $F \circ_k H$ where $n(H) = \ell$. The set $V(H)$ is a
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If \( \gamma_{x,k}(G) < \ell \), then let \( S' \) be a kRD-set of \( G \), and so \( |S| < \ell \). In this case, letting \( H' = G[S] \) and \( F' = G[V(G) \setminus S] \), we note that \( F' \uplus_k H' \) is a good \( k \)-join of size \( n(H') = |S| < \ell \) and \( G \cong F' \uplus_k H' \), contradicting the fact that the minimum size of a good \( k \)-join in \( G \) is \( \ell \). Hence, \( \gamma_{x,k}(G) = \ell \). \( \square \)

As a consequence of Theorem 1, we have the following result.

Corollary 1

Let \( G \) be a graph with \( \delta(G) \geq k - 1 \geq 1 \). Then, \( \gamma_{x,k}(G) = k \) if and only if \( G \cong K_k \) or \( G \cong F \uplus_k K_k \) for some graph \( F \) with \( \delta(F) \geq k \).

Next we present a lower bound on the \( k \)-tuple restrained domination number of a graph in terms of its order and size.

Theorem 2

If \( G \) is a graph of order \( n \) and size \( m \) with \( \delta(G) \geq k - 1 \), then

\[
\gamma_{x,k}(G) \geq \frac{3kn - 2m}{2k + 1},
\]

with equality if and only \( G \cong F \uplus_k H \) for some good \( k \)-join \( F \uplus_k H \) where \( H \) is a \((k-1)\)-regular graph of order \( \gamma_{x,k}(G) \) and \( F \) is a \( k \)-regular graph of order \( n - \gamma_{x,k}(G) \).

Proof.

Let \( S \) be a \( \gamma_{x,k}(G) \)-set of \( G \), and so \( S \) is a kRD-set of \( G \) and \( |S| = \gamma_{x,k}(G) \). Let \( \overline{S} = V(G) \setminus S \), and so \( |\overline{S}| = n - \gamma_{x,k}(G) \). Let \( H = G[S] \) and \( F = G[\overline{S}] \). Since \( S \) is a kRD-set of \( G \), we note that \( \delta(H) \geq k - 1 \), \( \delta(F) \geq k \) and each vertex in \( \overline{S} \) has at least \( k \) neighbors in \( S \). Thus letting \( m_1 = m(H) \), \( m_2 = m(F) \) and \( m_3 = |[S, \overline{S}]| \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
    m_1 & \geq \frac{1}{2} (k - 1) \gamma_{x,k}(G), \\
    m_2 & \geq \frac{1}{2} k (n - \gamma_{x,k}(G)), \\
    m_3 & \geq k (n - \gamma_{x,k}(G)).
\end{align*}
\]

Thus,

\[
m = m_1 + m_2 + m_3 \geq \frac{3}{2} kn - \frac{1}{2} (2k + 1) \gamma_{x,k}(G),
\]

or, equivalently, \( \gamma_{x,k}(G) \geq (3kn - 2m)/(2k + 1) \). This establishes the desired lower bound. Suppose that we have equality in this lower bound. This implies that the above inequalities are all equalities; that is,

\[
\begin{align*}
    m_1 &= \frac{1}{2} (k - 1) \gamma_{x,k}(G), \\
    m_2 &= \frac{1}{2} k (n - \gamma_{x,k}(G)), \\
    m_3 &= k (n - \gamma_{x,k}(G)).
\end{align*}
\]

The first and second equalities implies that \( H \) is a \((k - 1)\)-regular graph of order \( |S| = \gamma_{x,k}(G) \) and \( F \) is a \( k \)-regular graph of order \( n - \gamma_{x,k}(G) \), respectively, while the third equality implies that every vertex of \( F \) is adjacent to exactly \( k \) vertices of \( H \), and so \( G \cong F \uplus_k H \). \( \square \)
Equality in the bound in Theorem 2 is achieved, for example, by the complete graph $K_{2k+1}$ of order $2k+1$ which satisfies $\gamma_{xk}^r(K_{2k+1}) = k$. Recall that $\gamma_r(G) = \gamma_{x1}^r(G)$. Thus in the special case of Theorem 2 when $k = 1$, we have the following lower bound on the restrained domination number of a graph.

**Corollary 2** If $G$ is a graph of order $n$ and size $m$, then $\gamma_r(G) \geq n - \frac{2}{3}m$.

### 3 Special Classes of Graphs

In this section, we determine the $k$-tuple restrained domination number of special classes of graphs. We first consider a complete graph $K_n$ on $n$ vertices. By Observation 2(d), $\gamma_{xk}^r(K_n) = n$ for $n \leq 2k$. For $n \geq 2k+1$, every $k$-element subset of vertices in $K_n$ is a $k$RD-set of $K_n$, and so $\gamma_{xk}^r(K_n) \leq k$. By Observation 2(a), $\gamma_{xk}^r(K_n) = k$. Consequently, in this case $\gamma_{xk}^r(K_n) = k$. We state the result formally as follows.

**Observation 3** For integers $n \geq k \geq 1$,

$$\gamma_{xk}^r(K_n) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } n \leq 2k, \\ k & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

As shown in [4], the restrained domination number of a cycle $C_n$ for all $n \geq 3$ is given by $\gamma_{x1}^r(C_n) = \gamma_r(C_n) = n - 2\lceil n/3 \rceil$. By Observation 2(d), $\gamma_{xk}^r(C_n) = n$ for all $n \geq 3$. We next determine the restrained domination number of the complement $\overline{C}_n$ of a cycle $C_n$. Since $\overline{C}_4 \cong 2K_2$, by Observation 3 we note that $\gamma_r(C_4) = 4$. Since $\overline{C}_5 \cong C_5$, we note that $\gamma_r(C_5) = \gamma_r(C_5) = 3$. Since $n \geq 6$, if we let $V(C_n) = \{i \mid i \in [n]\}$ and $E(C_n) = \{ij \mid i \in [n] \text{ and } j \equiv i + 1 (\text{mod } n)\}$, then $\{1, 4\}$ is a restrained dominating set of $\overline{C}_n$, and so $\gamma_r(C_n) \leq 2$. Noting that in this case $\gamma_r(C_n) \geq \gamma_r(\overline{C}_n) = 2$, we deduce that $\gamma_r(\overline{C}_n) = 2$. We state the result formally as follows.

**Observation 4** For $n \geq 4$ an integer,

$$\gamma_{x1}^r(\overline{C}_n) = \gamma_r(\overline{C}_n) = \begin{cases} 4 & \text{if } n = 4, \\ 3 & \text{if } n = 5, \\ 2 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

We next determine the $k$-tuple restrained domination number of the complement $\overline{C}_n$ of a cycle $C_n$ for $k \geq 2$ and $n \geq 5$.

**Proposition 1** For integers $n \geq k + 3 \geq 5$,

$$\gamma_{xk}^r(\overline{C}_n) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } n \leq 2k + 2, \\ k + 1 & \text{if } n \geq 2k + 3. \end{cases}$$
Proof. Since \( \overline{C}_n \) is \((n-3)\)-regular, Observation 2(d) implies that \( \gamma^r_{x,k}(\overline{C}_n) = n \) for \( n \leq 2k + 2 \). Hence we may assume that \( n \geq 2k + 3 \), for otherwise the desired result follows. By Observation 2(a), \( \gamma^r_{x,k}(\overline{C}_n) \geq k \). Let \( S \) be a \( \gamma^r_{x,k} \)-set of \( \overline{C}_n \), and so \( S \) is a kRD-set of \( \overline{C}_n \) and \( |S| = \gamma^r_{x,k}(\overline{C}_n) \). If \( |S| = k \), then \( G[S] \cong K_k \) and every vertex in \( V(G) \setminus S \) is adjacent to every vertex in \( S \), implying that \( d_{\overline{C}_n}(v) = n - 1 \) for all \( v \in S \), contradicting the fact that \( \overline{C}_n \) is \((n-3)\)-regular. Hence, \( \gamma^r_{x,k}(\overline{C}_n) = |S| \geq k + 1 \). Recall that \( n \geq 2k + 3 \). Let

\[
S^k_{\text{odd}} = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \{4i+1, 4i+2\} \quad \text{and} \quad S^k_{\text{even}} = \{1\} \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \{4i, 4i+1\}.
\]

For \( k \geq 3 \) odd, the set \( S^k_{\text{odd}} \) is a kRD-set of \( \overline{C}_n \), and so \( \gamma^r_{x,k}(\overline{C}_n) \leq |S^k_{\text{odd}}| = k + 1 \). For \( k \geq 2 \) even, the set \( S^k_{\text{even}} \) is a kRD-set of \( \overline{C}_n \), and so \( \gamma^r_{x,k}(\overline{C}_n) \leq |S^k_{\text{even}}| = k + 1 \). In both cases, \( \gamma^r_{x,k}(\overline{C}_n) \leq k + 1 \). Consequently, \( \gamma^r_{x,k}(\overline{C}_n) = k + 1 \). \( \square \)

We next consider the \( k \)-tuple restrained domination number of a bipartite graph.

Theorem 3 Let \( G \) be a bipartite graph of order \( n \) with \( \delta(G) \geq k - 1 \geq 1 \). Further, let \( X \) and \( Y \) be the partite sets of \( G \), and let \( \Delta_X \) and \( \Delta_Y \) be the maximum degree among the vertices of \( X \) and \( Y \), respectively, in \( G \). Then the following holds.

(a) If \( \min\{\Delta_X, \Delta_Y\} \leq 2k - 1 \), then \( \gamma^r_{x,k}(G) = n \).
(b) \( \gamma^r_{x,k}(G) \geq 2k - 2 \), with equality if and only if \( G \cong K_{k-1,k-1} \).
(c) If \( |X| = k - 1 \), then \( G \cong K_{k-1,|Y|} \).
(d) \( \gamma^r_{x,k}(G) = 2k - 1 \) if and only if \( G \cong K_{k-1,k-1} \).

Proof. (a) Suppose that \( \min\{\Delta_X, \Delta_Y\} \leq 2k - 1 \). Renaming the partite sets if necessary, we may assume that \( \Delta_X = \min\{\Delta_X, \Delta_Y\} \), and so \( \Delta_X \leq 2k - 1 \). Let \( S \) be a \( \gamma^r_{x,k} \)-set of \( G \). If \( X \) contains a vertex \( v \) not in \( S \), then \( v \) would have at least \( k \) neighbor in \( Y \) that belong to the set \( S \) and at least \( k \) neighbors in \( Y \) that do not belong to the set \( S \), implying that \( \Delta_X \geq d_G(v) \geq 2k \), a contradiction. Hence, \( X \subseteq S \). This implies that every vertex in \( Y \) has all its neighbors in the set \( S \), and therefore every vertex of \( Y \) belongs to the set \( S \). Thus, \( S = X \cup Y = V(G) \), and so \( \gamma^r_{x,k}(G) = |S| = n \).

(b) Let \( S \) be a \( \gamma^r_{x,k} \)-set of \( G \), and let \( x \) and \( y \) be arbitrary vertices of \( X \) and \( Y \), respectively. Since \( S \) is a kRD-set of \( G \), we note that \( |S \cap N(x)| \geq k - 1 \) and \( |S \cap N(y)| \geq k - 1 \). Thus since \( N(x) \cap N(y) = \emptyset \), we obtain \( \gamma^r_{x,k}(G) = |S| \geq |S \cap N(x)| + |S \cap N(y)| = 2k - 2 \). If \( \gamma^r_{x,k}(G) = 2k - 2 \), then we must have equality throughout this inequality chain, implying that \( |S| = 2k - 2 \) and \( |S \cap N(x)| = |S \cap N(y)| = k - 1 \). This in turn implies that \( x \in S \) and \( y \in S \). Since \( x \) and \( y \) are arbitrary vertices of \( X \) and \( Y \), respectively, we deduce that \( X \subseteq S \) and \( Y \subseteq S \) and therefore that \( S = V(G) = X \cup Y \). Further, \( |X| = |S \cap X| = k - 1 \) and \( |Y| = |S \cap Y| = k - 1 \). Therefore, \( G \cong K_{k-1,k-1} \). Conversely if \( G \cong K_{k-1,k-1} \), then Observation 2(d) implies that \( \gamma^r_{x,k}(G) = n = 2k - 2 \).
(c) Suppose that $|X| = k - 1$. Let $S$ be a $\gamma_{x,k}^r$-set of $G$, and let $y$ be any vertex of $Y$. Since $S$ is a kRD-set of $G$ and $S \cap N(y) \subseteq X$, we note that $k - 1 = |X| \geq |S \cap N(y)| \geq k - 1$, implying that $|X| = |S \cap N(y)| = k - 1$ and $X \subseteq S$. This in turn implies that $y \in S$ and $y$ is adjacent to every vertex of $X$ in $G$, and so $G \cong K_{k-1,|Y|}$. This completes the proof of Part (c). Part (d) follows readily from Part (c). \hfill $\Box$

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 3(a), we have the following result.

**Corollary 3** For integers $n \geq m \geq k - 1 \geq 1$,

$$\gamma_{x,k}^r(K_n,m) = \begin{cases} 2k & \text{if } m \geq 2k, \\ n + m & \text{if } m < 2k. \end{cases}$$

Next we consider the $k$-tuple restrained domination number of a complete multipartite graph with at least three partite sets. For this purpose, we introduce the following notation. Let $G$ be a complete $p$-partite graph for some $p \geq 3$ and let $S$ be a $\gamma_{x,k}^r$-set of $G$. We say that a partite set $X$ of $G$ is $S$-full if every vertex in $X$ belongs to the set $S$; that is, if $X \subseteq S$. Let $f_s(G)$ be the number of $S$-full partite sets in $G$. We note that if all $p$ partite sets of $G$ are $S$-full, then $f_s(G) = p$. Let

$$f(G) = \min\{p - f_s(G) \mid S \text{ is a } \gamma_{x,k}^r\text{-set of } G \}.$$  

We remark that $f(G) = 0$ if and only if $\gamma_{x,k}^r(G) = n(G)$. Moreover if a partite set $X$ of $G$ is not $S$-full for some $\gamma_{x,k}^r$-set $S$ of $G$, then each vertex in $X \setminus S$ has at least $k$ neighbors that do not belong to $S$. Since these neighbors belong to partite sets different from $X$, this implies that at least one partite set of $G$ different from $X$ cannot be $S$-full. Thus if $f(G) > 0$, then $f(G) \geq 2$. We are now in a position to prove the following result.

**Theorem 4** For $p \geq 3$, if $G$ is a complete $p$-partite graph of order $n$, then the following holds.

(a) $\gamma_{x,k}^r(G) \geq \left\lceil \frac{p(k-1)}{p-1} \right\rceil$.

(b) If $\gamma_{x,k}^r(G) < n$, then $\gamma_{x,k}^r(G) \leq n - k - \left\lceil \frac{k}{f(G)-1} \right\rceil$.

**Proof.** Let the complete $p$-partite graph $G$ have partite sets $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p$ where $|X_i| = n_i$ for $i \in [p]$. Thus, $G = K(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_p)$. Let $S$ be a $\gamma_{x,k}^r$-set of $G$, and let $S = V(G) \setminus S$. Further let $S_i = S \cap X_i$ and $\overline{S}_i = X_i \setminus S$, and let $s_i = |S_i|$ for $i \in [p]$. Since every vertex in $X_i$ is adjacent to at least $k - 1$ vertices in $S$ and these vertices all belong to $S \setminus X_i$, we note that

$$|S| - s_i = \left( \sum_{j=1}^p s_j \right) - s_i \geq k - 1$$

for every $i \in [p]$. Thus,

$$p|S| = \sum_{i=1}^p |S_i| \geq \sum_{i=1}^p (k + s_i - 1) = p(k - 1) + |S|,$$
or, equivalently, $|S| \geq p(k-1)/(p-1)$. This establishes Part (a).

To prove Part (b), suppose that $\gamma_{r,k}(G) < n$. Thus, $f(G) > 0$, implying that $f(G) \geq 2$. Let $S$ be a $\gamma_{r,k}$-set of $G$ such that $f(G) = p - f_S(G)$. Renaming the partite sets $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_p$, if necessary, we may assume that $s_i < n_i$ for $i \in [f(G)]$. Thus, each partite sets $X_i$ contains a vertex that belongs to $\overline{S}$ for $i \in [f(G)]$, while each partite sets $X_j$ is $S$-full for $j \in \{f(G) + 1, \ldots, p\}$. For each $j \in [f(G)]$, let $x_j$ be a vertex of $X_j$ that belongs to $S_j$ for some $i \in [f(G)]$, and since $N(w_j) \cap S_i = S_i$, we note that

$$k \leq |N(w_j) \cap \overline{S}|$$

$$= \frac{f(G)}{\sum_{i=1, i \neq j} |N(w_j) \cap S_i|}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1, i \neq j} |S_i|$$

$$= |S| - |S_j|.$$

Thus,

$$k \cdot f(G) = \sum_{j=1}^{f(G)} k \leq \sum_{j=1}^{f(G)} (|\overline{S}| - |S_j|)$$

$$= f(G) \cdot |\overline{S}| - |S|$$

$$= (f(G) - 1)|\overline{S}|$$

$$= (f(G) - 1)(n - |S|),$$

implying that

$$\gamma_{r,k}(G) = |S| \leq n - k - \frac{k}{f(G) - 1},$$

Since $\gamma_{r,k}(G)$ is an integer, we therefore have that

$$\gamma_{r,k}(G) \leq n - k - \left\lfloor \frac{k}{f(G) - 1} \right\rfloor,$$

which completes the proof of Part (b). \(\Box\)

4 Bounds on $d_{r,k}^r(G)$

In this section, we present some fundamental properties of the $k$-tuple restrained domatic number of a graph. We first determine the $k$-tuple restrained domatic number of a complete graph.

Observation 5 For $n \geq 2$ and $n \geq k \geq 1$, we have $d_{r,k}^r(K_n) = \lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor$. 
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We establish next an upper bound on the product of the $k$-tuple restrained domination number and $k$-tuple restrained domatic number of a graph.

**Theorem 5** If $G$ is a graph of order $n$ with $\delta(G) \geq k - 1$, then

$$\gamma_{rk}(G) \cdot d_{rk}(G) \leq n.$$ 

Moreover, if $\gamma_{rk}(G) \cdot d_{rk}(G) = n$, then there exists a partition of $V(G)$ into $d_{rk}(G)$ sets each of which is a $\gamma_{rk}$-set of $G$.

**Proof.** Let $d = \gamma_{rk}(G)$, and so $d$ is the maximum number of disjoint kRD-sets in $G$. Let $(V_1, \ldots, V_d)$ be a partition of $V(G)$ into kRD-sets in $G$. Thus, each set $V_i$ is a kRD-set of $G$ for $i \in [d]$, and so

$$d_{rk}(G) \cdot \gamma_{rk}(G) = d \cdot \gamma_{rk}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \gamma_{rk}(G) = \sum_{i=1}^{d} |V_i| = n.$$ 

If $\gamma_{rk}(G) \cdot d_{rk}(G) = n$, then we must have equality throughout the above inequality chain, implying that $\gamma_{rk}(G) = |V_i|$ for all $i \in [d]$. Hence in this case, each set $V_i$ is a $\gamma_{rk}$-set of $G$. $\square$

As a consequence of Corollary 1 and Theorem 5, we have the following result.

**Corollary 4** If $G$ is a graph of order $n$ with $\delta(G) \geq k - 1 \geq 1$, then $d_{rk}(G) \leq \frac{n}{k}$ with equality if and only if $G \cong K_k$ or $G \cong F \circ_k K_k$ for some graph $F$ with $\delta(F) \geq k$.

As a consequence of Theorem 3, we have the following improvement on the upper bound of Corollary 4 for the class of bipartite graphs.

**Corollary 5** If $G$ is a bipartite graph of order $n$ with $\delta(G) \geq k - 1 \geq 1$, then $d_{rk}(G) \leq \frac{n}{2k}$, unless $G \cong K_{k-1,k-1}$ or $G \cong K_{k-1,k}$, in which case $d_{rk}(G) = 1$.

The following result establishes an upper bound on the $k$-tuple restrained domatic number of a graph in terms of its minimum degree.

**Theorem 6** If $G$ is a graph with $\delta = \delta(G) \geq k - 1 \geq 1$, then $d_{rk}(G) \leq \frac{\delta + 1}{k}$.

**Proof.** Let $d = \gamma_{rk}(G)$ and let $(V_1, \ldots, V_d)$ be a partition of $V(G)$ into kRD-sets in $G$. If $d = 1$, then the result is immediate since $d = 1 = \frac{k}{k} \leq \frac{\delta + 1}{k}$. Hence we may assume that $d \geq 2$. Let $v$ be a vertex of minimum degree in $G$, and so $d_G(v) = \delta$. Renaming the sets $V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_d$
if necessary, we may assume that \(v \in V_k\). Thus, \(|N_G(v) \cap V_k| = k - 1\) and \(|N_G(v) \cap V_i| = k\) for all \(i \in [d - 1]\). Thus, 
\[
\delta = |N_G(v)| = \sum_{i=1}^{d} |N_G(v) \cap V_i| 
\geq (d - 1)k + (k - 1) 
= dk - 1,
\]
and so \(d^r_{x,k}(G) = d \leq (\delta + 1)/k\). \(\square\)

We next obtain Nordhaus-Gaddum type results on the \(k\)-tuple restrained domatic number.

**Theorem 7** If \(G\) is a graph of order \(n\) such that \(\min\{\delta(G), \delta(\overline{G})\} \geq k - 1 \geq 1\), then 
\[
d^r_{x,k}(G) + d^r_{x,k}(\overline{G}) \leq \frac{n + 1}{k}.
\]

Further if \(d^r_{x,k}(G) + d^r_{x,k}(\overline{G}) = \frac{n + 1}{k}\), then the following holds.

(a) \(d^r_{x,k}(G) = d^r_{x,k}(\overline{G}) = \frac{n + 1}{2k}\).

(b) Both \(G\) and \(\overline{G}\) are \((\frac{n - 1}{2})\)-regular graphs.

**Proof.** Let \(d = d^r_{x,k}(G)\), \(\delta = \delta(G)\) and \(\Delta = \Delta(G)\), and let \(\overline{d} = d^r_{x,k}(\overline{G})\) and \(\overline{\delta} = \delta(\overline{G})\).

Applying Theorem 6 to the graphs \(G\) and \(\overline{G}\) we have \(d \leq (\delta + 1)/k\) and \(\overline{d} \leq (\overline{\delta} + 1)/k\). Thus since \(\delta + \overline{\delta} = n - 1\), we note that \(d + \overline{d} \leq (n + 1)/k\). This establishes the desired upper bound. Suppose that \(d + \overline{d} = (n + 1)/k\). This implies that \(d = (\delta + 1)/k\) and \(\overline{d} = (\overline{\delta} + 1)/k\). Without loss of generality, we may assume that \(d \geq \overline{d}\). Thus,
\[
d \cdot k - 1 \geq \overline{d} \cdot k - 1 = \overline{\delta} = \Delta \geq \delta = d \cdot k - 1. \tag{2}
\]

Hence we must have equality throughout inequality chain (2), implying that \(d = \overline{d}\) and therefore that \(d = (n + 1)/(2k)\). Further, \(\overline{\delta} = \Delta = \delta\). This in turn implies that 
\[
\overline{d} \cdot k - 1 = d \cdot k - 1 = \overline{\delta} = \Delta \geq \delta = \overline{d} \cdot k - 1. \tag{3}
\]
Hence we must have equality throughout inequality chain (3), implying that \(\Delta = \delta = \overline{\delta} = \overline{\delta}\). Thus since \(2\delta = \delta + \overline{\delta} = n - 1\), both \(G\) and \(\overline{G}\) are \((\frac{n - 1}{2})\)-regular graphs. \(\square\)

**Theorem 8** If \(G\) is a graph of order \(n\) with \(\delta(G) \geq k - 1 \geq 1\), then 
\[
\gamma^r_{x,k}(G) + d^r_{x,k}(G) \leq n + 1.
\]

**Proof.** Let \(\gamma = \gamma^r_{x,k}(G)\) and let \(d = d^r_{x,k}(G)\). By Theorem 5, \(\gamma + d \leq \frac{n}{\sqrt{r}} + d\). By Corollary 4, we note that \(1 \leq d \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2} - \sqrt{r}\). Using the fact that the function \(f(d) = \frac{n}{\sqrt{r}} + d\) is decreasing for \(1 \leq d \leq \sqrt{n}\) and increasing for \(\sqrt{n} \leq d \leq n/2\), the function \(f(d)\) attains its maximum value
at one of its end points, namely at \( d = 1 \) or \( d = n/k \), implying that \( f(d) \leq n + 1 \). Thus, 
\[
\gamma + d \leq \frac{n}{d} + d \leq n + 1. \quad \Box
\]

We remark that the upper bound in Theorem 9 is achieved, for example, by all graphs \( G \) satisfying \( \gamma_{\kappa k}^{r}(G) = n \). The following result establishes a lower bound on the \( k \)-tuple restrained domatic number of a graph in terms of its order and minimum degree.

**Theorem 9** If \( G \) is a graph of order \( n \) with \( \delta = \delta(G) \geq k - 1 \geq 1 \), then

\[
d_{x_k}^{r}(G) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{k(n-\delta)} \right\rfloor.
\]

**Proof.** If \( n < k(n-\delta) \), then the result is immediate since in this case \( \left\lfloor \frac{n}{k(n-\delta)} \right\rfloor \leq 1 \leq d_{x_k}^{r}(G) \). Hence we may assume that \( n \geq k(n-\delta) \). Thus, \( n = pk(n-\delta) + r \) for some integers \( p \geq 1 \) and \( 0 \leq r \leq k(n-\delta) - 1 \). Let \( S \) be an arbitrary subset of vertices of \( G \) with \( |S| \geq k(n-\delta) \) and let \( \overline{S} = V(G) \setminus S \). We note that \( |S| \geq (n-\delta) + (k-1)(n-\delta) \geq n - \delta + k - 1 \), implying that \( |\overline{S}| = n - |S| = n - (n - \delta + k - 1) = \delta - k + 1 \). If \( v \in S \), then \( |N(v) \cap S| \geq \delta - |\overline{S}| \geq \delta - (\delta - k + 1) = \delta - k \). Therefore, the set \( S \) is a \( k \)RD-set of \( G \). This is true for every set of subset of vertices of \( G \) of size at least \( k(n-\delta) \). Thus letting \( (S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_p) \) be a partition of \( V(G) \) where \( |S_i| = k(n-\delta) \) for \( i \in [p-1] \) and letting \( |S_p| = k(n-\delta) + r \), we produce a \( k \)RD-partition of \( G \) into \( p \) \( k \)RD-sets. Thus, \( d_{x_k}^{r}(G) \geq p = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{k(n-\delta)} \right\rfloor \). \( \Box \)

We remark that the lower bound of Theorem 9 is achieved, for example, when \( G = K_n \) where \( n \geq 2 \) and \( n \geq k \) as shown by Observation 5. We next present a sufficient condition for the \( k \)-tuple restrained domatic number of a graph be equal to its \( k \)-tuple domatic number. For this purpose, we first recall a result from [12].

**Proposition 2** ([12]) If \( G \) is a graph with \( \delta(G) \geq k \geq 1 \), then \( d_{x_k,t}^{r}(G) = d_{x_k,t}(G) \).

**Theorem 10** If \( G \) is a graph with \( \delta(G) \geq k \geq 1 \) and \( (d_{x_k}(G), d_{x_k,t}(G)) \neq (2,1) \), then \( d_{x_k}^{r}(G) = d_{x_k}(G) \).

**Proof.** By Observation 1(a), \( d_{x_k}(G) \geq d_{x_k}^{r}(G) \geq d_{x_k,t}^{r}(G) \). If \( d_{x_k}(G) = 1 \), then \( d_{x_k}^{r}(G) = 1 \). If \( d_{x_k}(G) = 2 \) and \( d_{x_k}^{r}(G) = 1 \), then \( d_{x_k,t}^{r}(G) = 1 \), contradicting the assumption that \( (d_{x_k}(G), d_{x_k,t}(G)) \neq (2,1) \). Hence if \( d_{x_k}(G) = 2 \), then \( d_{x_k}^{r}(G) = 2 \). Therefore we may assume that \( d_{x_k}(G) \geq 3 \), for otherwise \( d_{x_k}^{r}(G) = d_{x_k}(G) \) as desired.

Let \( d = d_{x_k}(G) \) and let \( (V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_d) \) be a partition of \( V(G) \) into disjoint \( k \)D-sets in \( G \), where by assumption \( d \geq 3 \). Let \( D \) be an arbitrary set in the partition \( (V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_d) \), and so \( D = V_i \) for some \( i \in [d] \). We show that \( D \) is a \( k \)RD-set of \( G \). For notational simplicity, we may assume that \( D = V_1 \). Let \( \overline{D} = V(G) \setminus D \) and let \( v \) be an arbitrary vertex in \( \overline{D} \). Renaming the sets \( V_2, \ldots, V_d \) if necessary, we may assume that \( v \in V_2 \). Since \( V_1 \) and \( V_2 \) are \( k \)D-sets in \( G \), there exist \( k \)-element subsets \( D_1^v \) and \( D_2^v \) such that \( D_1^v \subseteq N(v) \cap D_1 \) and \( D_2^v \subseteq N(v) \cap D_2 \). We
Corollary 6 If $G$ is a graph with $\delta(G) \geq 1$ and $(d(G),d_t(G)) \neq (2,1)$, then $d_r(G) = d(G)$.

We close with a sufficient condition for $d_{x,k}(G) = d_{x,k}(G)$. For a graph $G$ with $\delta(G) \geq k - 1 \geq 1$, we denote by $d^*_{x,k}(G)$ the maximum number of disjoint kD-sets in $G$ such that at least one set in the partition is a $\gamma_{x,k}$-set of $G$.

Theorem 11 If $G$ is a graph with $\delta(G) \geq k-1 \geq 1$ and $d^*_{x,k}(G) \geq 3$, then $\gamma^r_{x,k}(G) = \gamma_{x,k}(G)$.

Proof. Let $d = d^*_{x,k}(G) \geq 3$ and let $(V_1,V_2,\ldots,V_d)$ be a partition of $V(G)$ into disjoint kD-sets in $G$, where the set $V_1$ is a $\gamma_{x,k}$-set of $G$. Let $D = V_1$ and let $\overline{D} = V(G) \setminus D$. Proceeding exactly as in the proof of Theorem 10, the set $D$ is a kRD-set of $G$, implying that $\gamma^r_{x,k}(G) \leq |D| = \gamma_{x,k}(G)$. Conversely since every kRD-set of $G$ is also a kD-set of $G$, we have $\gamma^r_{x,k}(G) \geq \gamma_{x,k}(G)$. Consequently, $\gamma^r_{x,k}(G) = \gamma_{x,k}(G)$. $\square$

For integers $k$ and $n$ where $5 \leq 2k+1 \leq n \leq 3k-1$, we note that $\gamma^r_{x,k}(K_n) = \gamma_{x,k}(K_n) = k$ and $d^*_{x,k}(K_n) = 2$, and therefore the converse of Theorem 11 does not hold. We also remark that the condition $d^*_{x,k}(G) \geq 3$ in the statement of Theorem 11 cannot be replaced by the condition $d_{x,k}(G) \geq 3$, as may be seen by considering the graph $G$ illustrated in Figure 4.

In this example, $\gamma(G) = 3$ and the set of three vertices of degree 5 is the unique $\gamma$-set of $G$. Further, $\gamma_r(G) = 4$ and the set of the dark vertices shown in Figure 4 is an example of a $\gamma_r$-set of $G$. Therefore, $\gamma_r(G) > \gamma(G)$. However, $d(G) \geq 3$.

![Figure 1: A graph $G$ with $\gamma(G) = 3$, $\gamma_r(G) = 4$ and $d(G) \geq 3$](image-url)
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