

$1/n$ Expansion of Resonant States

U.G. Aglietti

Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma “La Sapienza”

Abstract

We present a general analytic expansion in powers of $1/n$ of the resonant states of quantum-mechanical systems, where $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ is the excitation number. Explicit formulas are obtained for some potential barrier models.

Key words: quantum mechanics, resonance, metastable state, unstable state, $1/N$ expansion, perturbation theory, resummation, non-perturbative effect.

A resonance in quantum mechanics originates from the coupling of a state in the discrete spectrum to a continuum [1, 2, 3], such coupling generally increasing with the energy of the resonance. As well known indeed, decay widths increase with the resonance energy, the reason for that being kinematic: by increasing the energy, the available phase space to the decay products becomes larger. The idea, roughly speaking, is to take advantage of this fact to generate an expansion for high-energy resonances, which actually works also for low-energy ones. Let us consider a Hamiltonian \hat{H} depending, for simplicity's sake, on a single coupling z ,

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}(z), \quad (1)$$

and possessing an infinite tower of resonances $\psi_n(z)$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ going, in the free limit $z \rightarrow 0$, into the discrete spectrum of the limiting Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_0 \equiv \lim_{z \rightarrow 0} \hat{H}(z). \quad (2)$$

As example of a free Hamiltonian, one may consider for example a particle in a box or a harmonic oscillator. Conversely, we may consider a Hamiltonian \hat{H}_0 possessing an infinite discrete spectrum $\{\phi_n\}$, and add to it an interaction Hamiltonian involving a small coupling z ,

$$\hat{H}_0 \rightarrow \hat{H}(z) \equiv \hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_I(z). \quad (3)$$

For a generic interaction, by switching on the coupling from $z = 0$ to $z \neq 0$ ($|z| \ll 1$ always), the discrete states ϕ_n disappear from the spectrum and, according to the adiabatic continuity principle [4], go one-to-one into long-lived resonance states. As we are going to explicitly show in the following examples, an observable O related to the n^{th} resonance, has a general double series expansion of the form:

$$O(n, z) = \alpha n + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^k c_{k,l} n^l z^k = \alpha n + c_{0,0} + c_{1,1} n z + c_{1,0} z + c_{2,2} n^2 z^2 + c_{2,1} n z^2 + c_{2,0} z^2 + \dots, \quad (4)$$

where α and $c_{k,l}$ are complex coefficients. By collecting together all the terms with the same power of z , one can rewrite the above formula as an ordinary series:

$$O(n, z) = \alpha n + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k(n) z^k, \quad (5)$$

where $p_k(n)$ is the polynomial in n of degree k ,

$$p_k(n) \equiv \sum_{l=0}^k c_{k,l} n^l. \quad (6)$$

In the free limit at a fixed resonance,

$$z \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{fixed } n, \quad (7)$$

we obtain for the observable the free value:

$$O(n, z = 0) = \alpha n + c_{0,0}, \quad (8)$$

whose first term has been separated out of the above series. The standard perturbative expansion involves the truncation of the series in z at some (finite) order, let's say $k = N$, together of course with the exact evaluation of the corresponding coefficients:

$$O(n, z) \simeq \alpha n + \sum_{k=0}^N p_k(n) z^k. \quad (9)$$

Let us notice that, in the limit specified in eq.(7), one is perturbing a *free* model, in which resonances are stable, as there is no coupling of the latter with the continuum. Now, the key observation is simply that, in eq.(4), each power k of the coupling z is multiplied by a smaller or equal power l of the excitation number n ,

$$n^l z^k : \quad 0 \leq l \leq k < \infty. \quad (10)$$

Let us then assume we are interested in the study of a high-energy resonance,

$$n \gg 1, \quad (11)$$

in the weakly-interacting domain,

$$0 < |z| \ll 1, \quad (12)$$

such that the product of the above variables is of order one:

$$nz = \mathcal{O}(1). \quad (13)$$

Because of the inequality (11), to a first approximation, the double series in eq.(4) can be replaced by a single series with the highest possible power of n for each power of z , i.e. with $l = k$. Eq.(4) then simplifies to:

$$O(n, z) \approx \alpha n + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k,k} (nz)^k = \alpha n + c_{0,0} + c_{1,1}nz + c_{2,2}(nz)^2 + c_{3,3}(nz)^3 + \dots \quad (14)$$

In order for the above approximation to be sensible, one has to assume that the coefficients $c_{k,l}$ do not behave in a wild way with l : that is *a priori* possible, but it turns out to be false *a posteriori* in all the examples (see later). The crucial point is however that the "effective coupling" of the n^{th} resonance ψ_n to the continuum, is actually nz , rather than z . In other words, not one, but two different couplings are involved in resonance phenomena: a state-independent coupling z , entering \hat{H} , and an effective, state-dependent coupling nz , which controls the actual size of the coupling of the n^{th} resonance to the continuum. The physical situation described above formally corresponds to the correlated limit:

$$n \rightarrow \infty, \quad z \rightarrow 0, \quad (15)$$

with the variable product approaching a non-zero constant:

$$nz \rightarrow \text{const} \neq 0, \infty. \quad (16)$$

Let us stress that the limit (15-16) involves a delicate balance of effects: If we send $z \rightarrow 0$ without increasing n , metastable dynamics disappear from the model, because resonances

become stable states, i.e. eigenfunctions of \hat{H} . On the other side, if we send $n \rightarrow \infty$ by keeping z constant (and not zero), resonances become so wide as to lose some meaning and their description becomes less accurate (see eq.(34)). The next step is to notice that eq.(14) can be systematically improved, by thinking to its right-hand-side as the lowest-order term of the following function series:

$$O(n, z) = \alpha n + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^k} \sigma_k(\zeta) = \alpha n + \sigma_0(\zeta) + \frac{1}{n} \sigma_1(\zeta) + \frac{1}{n^2} \sigma_2(\zeta) + \dots, \quad (17)$$

where:

$$\sigma_k(\zeta) \equiv \sum_{l=k}^{\infty} c_{l, l-k} \zeta^l, \quad k \geq 0. \quad (18)$$

We have defined the n^{th} resonance coupling as $\zeta \equiv nz$. The following remarks are in order. Eq.(17) is exact but, as it stands, is just a rearrangement of the z -expansion in eq.(5). The general problem of analytic computations of resonances is that, apart from exceptional cases, one is not able to exactly resum the series for $O(n, z)$ in *any* form, so is forced to use truncated formulas. In our new scheme, we then truncate the function series above to some $k = K < \infty$:

$$O(n, z) \cong \alpha n + \sum_{k=0}^K \frac{1}{n^k} \sigma_k(\zeta). \quad (19)$$

As we will see later, this equation effectively allows for simple analytic results in various models. Roughly speaking, the idea of the $1/n$ -expansion is that eq.(19) provides a better approximation to the exact theory than the standard perturbative expansion, eq.(9). To begin with, the $1/n$ -expansion is obviously better, by construction, at least for high-energy resonances. Because of eq.(10), *any* truncated expansion in z , at whatever order N , cannot describe resonances with excitation number

$$n \gtrsim n_{cr} \equiv \frac{1}{|z|}, \quad (20)$$

as $|nz| \gtrsim 1$ in this case, implying that the neglected terms are of the same size as the computed ones ($|z| \ll 1$, $z \neq 0$ and fixed: "fixed physics"). The critical value of the excitation number, $n_{cr} = n_{cr}(z)$, represents then an "essential barrier" for fixed-order perturbation theory. In order to have a graphical representation of the z and the $1/n$ expansions, let us consider a square plane lattice L , consisting of all points with positive integer coordinates (see fig.1),

$$L \equiv \{(k, l); k, l = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}. \quad (21)$$

We select the points $p = (k, l)$ representing the terms $c_{k, l} z^k n^l$ in eq.(4) lying, according to this equation, below or on the top of the half-line m of equation $l = k \geq 0$, namely the main diagonal. Geometrically, these points p fill the lower half H of L . Each perturbative order, i.e. each power z^s , corresponds to the vertical segment $k = s$, with $0 \leq l \leq s$. The points corresponding to σ_0 lie instead on the main diagonal m . In general, each function $\sigma_s(\zeta)$ corresponds to the half-line of equation $l = k - s$ with $k \geq s$, parallel to and below the main

diagonal m . By means of a (truncated) z -expansion, one then fills a triangle inside H with one vertex at the origin, while with the $1/n$ expansion one fills a tilted infinite strip inside H , with the upper side on the diagonal m . In brief: with the perturbative expansion, one sums the double series of the observable $O(n, z)$ along vertical segments, while with the $1/n$ expansion one sums along diagonals. Let us notice that at the lowest (non-trivial) order




Figure 1: The (big) points $p = (k, l)$ with $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $0 \leq l \leq k$ on the lattice L represent the terms $z^k n^l$ in the expansion of the observable $O(n, z)$ (see text). The (dotted) vertical segments represent the terms associated to some power of z . The (continuous) main diagonal m , of equation $l = k \geq 0$, contains the points corresponding to the resummed terms in σ_0 . The two (dashed) half-lines parallel to m correspond to the functions σ_1 and σ_2 .

in $1/n$, we obtain a different limiting model compared to the z -expansion, which we may just call the " $n=\infty$ model". This model already contains metastable states, described by the leading-order function $\sigma_0(\zeta)$. One is then perturbing this model by taking n large but finite, obtaining corrections proportional to powers of $1/n$, of the form $\sigma_k(\zeta)/n^k$ according to eq.(19). Contrary to the usual perturbative expansion in z in eq.(9), the $1/n$ -expansion in eq.(19) involves an approximate resummation of the perturbative series to all orders, as each term $\sigma_k(\zeta)$ involves the summation of infinitely many terms in z . Therefore, in this sense, we may say that a (truncated) $1/n$ expansion is non-perturbative in character because, even though it is not exact, it allows to describe some phenomena which are unreachable or invisible to a truncated expansion in z . It is clear that the expansions in z and in $1/n$ of the same model have to be mutually consistent. That implies in particular that, by expanding the $\sigma_k(\zeta)$'s in powers of ζ , one should obtain the standard perturbative expansion. On the contrary, by means of any truncated expansion in z , one is not able to fully reconstruct anyone of the $\sigma_k(\zeta)$'s. Generally speaking, one expects the $1/n$ -expansion to be more difficult to implement than the z -expansion, but at the same time to contain a more rich dynamics.

For simplicity's sake, in order to illustrate the method avoiding asymptotic expansions of special functions, let us analyze some one-dimensional barrier models. We begin with the so-called Winter model, describing a particle on the half-line $x \geq 0$, subjected to a potential spike at some point [5, 6, 8, 9, 10]. The Hamiltonian, after proper rescaling of

space, time and energy, reads:

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{1}{\pi z} \delta(x - \pi) \quad (22)$$

on the positive half line $x \geq 0$ and with vanishing boundary conditions at the origin: $\psi(x = 0, t) = 0$. We may also say that the model describes a particle contained inside a cavity — the segment $(0, \pi)$ in our conventions — with an impermeable wall at $x = 0$ and a permeable one at $x = \pi$. The model therefore implements the coupling of the box eigenfunctions to a set of continuum states. In the free limit $z \rightarrow 0$, the system decomposes into two non-interacting subsystems [10]: (1) a particle in the box $(0, \pi)$, with a discrete spectrum only, composed of the eigenfunctions

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin(nx), \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \quad 0 < x < \pi; \quad (23)$$

(2) a particle on the half line (π, ∞) , having eigenfunctions in the continuum spectrum only, of the form:

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin[k(x - \pi)], \quad k > 0, \quad \pi < x < \infty. \quad (24)$$

As discussed in the Introduction, by switching on the coupling from $z = 0$ to $z \neq 0$, the discrete spectrum above turns into an infinite tower of resonant states, having wavefunctions are of the form:¹

$$\psi_n(x, t) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \exp[-i E_n(z) t] \begin{cases} \sin[k_n(z) x] & \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq \pi; \\ a_n(z) \exp[i k_n(z) x] & \text{for } \pi < x < \infty. \end{cases}$$

The outside amplitude has the explicit expression:

$$a_n(z) \equiv \frac{\pi z k_n(z)}{2\pi i z k_n(z) + 1}. \quad (25)$$

The n^{th} resonance has the complex energy $E_n(z) = k_n(z)^2$. The quantity

$$k = k_n(z) \quad (26)$$

is the generalized, complex momentum of ψ_n and it is the only non-trivial quantity to compute. In order to simplify the coefficients of the equation determining the allowed k 's, it is convenient to set:

$$w \equiv 2\pi i k, \quad (27)$$

For a fixed coupling z (fixed physics), the allowed w 's satisfy the implicit equation:

$$e^w - zw - 1 = 0. \quad (28)$$

The transcendental character of the above equation in w is related to the fact that the model has an infinite tower of resonances, implying that it must admit an infinite number

¹ Due to the Gamow divergence [1], normalization is conventional (resonance wavefunctions are only locally integrable: they are not even globally integrable in a weak sense).

of solutions: with an algebraic equation, one would obtain only finite-order multivaluedness. The $1/n$ expansion is obtained by setting:

$$w = w_n(z) \equiv 2\pi i n + \sigma(n, \zeta) \quad (29)$$

where $n \neq 0$ is an integer and the effective coupling is defined as:²

$$\zeta \equiv 2\pi i n z. \quad (30)$$

To generate a recursion in $1/n$, eq.(28) is conveniently rewritten as:

$$\sigma = \ln \left[1 + \zeta + \frac{1}{2\pi i n} \zeta \sigma \right]. \quad (31)$$

By formally expanding $\sigma(n, \zeta)$ in inverse powers of $2\pi i n$,

$$\sigma(n, \zeta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2\pi i n)^k} \sigma_k(\zeta), \quad (32)$$

one obtains for the leading-order function:

$$\sigma_0(\zeta) = \ln_0(1 + \zeta), \quad (33)$$

where by $\ln_0(z)$ we denote the principal branch of the complex logarithm of $z \neq 0$, i.e. the one with $-\pi < \arg_0(z) \leq \pi$. The leading-order (*lo*) decay rate reads:

$$\Gamma_n^{lo}(z) = \frac{n}{\pi} \ln [1 + (2\pi z n)^2], \quad (34)$$

where we have assumed z real (the physical case) and $\ln(x)$ is the real-analysis logarithm of x . By expanding eq.(34) in powers of z , one obtains all the $1/n$ -leading contributions to $\Gamma_n(z)$, of the form $n^{2l+1}z^{2l}$, $l \geq 1$. It is remarkable that the lowest-order perturbative result $\Gamma_n(z) \approx n^3 z^2$ is converted, via the resummation implied by the $1/n$ -expansion, into the large- n asymptotic behavior $\Gamma_n(z) \approx n \ln(n|z|)$ [10]. Eq.(34) actually represents an improvement of the leading result in eq.(244) of [10] ($g \equiv -z$). The first few corrections explicitly read:

$$\sigma_1(\zeta) = + \frac{\zeta}{1 + \zeta} \ln_0(1 + \zeta); \quad (35)$$

$$\sigma_2(\zeta) = - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\zeta}{1 + \zeta} \right)^2 \ln_0(1 + \zeta) [\ln_0(1 + \zeta) - 2]. \quad (36)$$

The evaluation of higher-order terms is straightforward. By means of the simple third-order expansion above, one already obtains an excellent approximation to $w_n(z)$, even for small n : for $z = -0.1$, for example, our expansion gives for $n = 1$ a value of $w_n(z)$ with a relative difference with respect the exact value of about 6×10^{-5} , the error decreasing down to 2×10^{-6} for $n = 5$. The reason for the convergence even at $n = 1$ may be that

² The additional factor $2\pi i$ is inserted just for practical convenience.

the expansion parameter is actually $2\pi n$, rather than n . As discussed in the Introduction, since $\sigma_0(\zeta)$ is a truly complex function, resonances already appear at lowest order in $1/n$, while in the standard perturbative treatment, resonances appear only at second order in z [5, 6, 2, 8]. By expanding in powers of z the functions $\sigma_0(\zeta)$, $\sigma_1(\zeta)$ and $\sigma_2(\zeta)$ above, one explicitly obtains all the terms of the form $(nz)^l$, $z(nz)^l$, $z^2(nz)^l$, $l \geq 1$ respectively.

As a more elaborate application of the $1/n$ -expansion, let us investigate the resonance structure of a system consisting of a particle on the real line subjected to a double δ -potential with general couplings z_0 and z_+ . By a proper shift and rescaling of the x -coordinate, we can assume the δ 's to be centered at $x = 0$ and at $x = \pi$, so that the Hamiltonian reads:

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{1}{\pi z_0}\delta(x) - \frac{1}{\pi z_+}\delta(x - \pi). \quad (37)$$

Unlike previous case, the coordinate x has range in the entire real line in this model. We may also say that the Hamiltonian in eq.(37) describes a particle in a cavity — the segment $(0, \pi)$ — with permeable walls on both sides, rather than just at one side as in the case of the Winter model. The model therefore implements a coupling of the box eigenfunctions to *two* sets of continuum states. By introducing w as in the previous case, the non-trivial part of the evaluation of the n^{th} resonance wavefunction involves again the evaluation of this quantity, which satisfies in this case the equation:

$$e^w - (1 + z_0 w)(1 + z_+ w) = 0, \quad (38)$$

The $1/n$ expansion is derived similarly to the case of the Winter model, so we report the final results only. In lowest order we find:

$$\sigma_0(\zeta_0, \zeta_+) = \ln_0[(1 + \zeta_0)(1 + \zeta_+)], \quad (39)$$

and in next-to-leading order:

$$\sigma_1(\zeta_0, \zeta_+) = \left(\frac{\zeta_0}{1 + \zeta_0} + \frac{\zeta_+}{1 + \zeta_+} \right) \ln_0[(1 + \zeta_0)(1 + \zeta_+)], \quad (40)$$

where $\zeta_i \equiv 2\pi i n z_i$ for $i = 0, +$. Let us observe that the leading function is, for real z_0 and z_+ , the sum of two Winter-model leading functions,

$$\sigma_0(\zeta_0, \zeta_+) = \sigma_0(\zeta_0) + \sigma_0(\zeta_+), \quad (41)$$

implying that the leading-order decay rate is the sum of the corresponding Winter model contributions (see eq.(34)):

$$\Gamma_n^{lo}(z_0, z_+) = \Gamma_n^{lo}(z_0) + \Gamma_n^{lo}(z_+). \quad (42)$$

The physical interpretation is that the amplitude inside the cavity flows through each one of the barriers, *as if* the other one was impermeable.

As a final application of the $1/n$ expansion, let us consider the 3- δ model with general couplings z_- , z_0 and z_+ and equal spacing between them, described by the Hamiltonian:

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{1}{\pi z_-}\delta(x + \pi) - \frac{1}{\pi z_0}\delta(x) - \frac{1}{\pi z_+}\delta(x - \pi), \quad (43)$$

with the coordinate x ranging in the real line. This model involves two cavities: the segments $(-\pi, 0)$ and $(0, \pi)$, and two continuum sets of states, describing a particle lying in the half lines $(-\infty, -\pi)$ and (π, ∞) . Each cavity is coupled to the other one for $z_0 \neq 0$, as well as to the continuum states on the same side of the real axis for $z_-, z_+ \neq 0$. Resonance wavevectors satisfy the transcendental equation:

$$a e^{2w} - b e^w + c = 0, \quad (44)$$

where a , b and c are the following functions of z_-, z_0, z_+ and w :

$$\begin{aligned} a &\equiv 1 - z_0 w; & b &\equiv 2 + (z_- + z_+) w; \\ c &\equiv 1 + (z_- + z_0 + z_+) w + (z_- z_0 + z_- z_+ + z_0 z_+) w^2 + z_- z_0 z_+ w^3. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

In eq.(44), powers of w up to the third one included are involved, each multiplied by a symmetric polynomial in z_-, z_0 and z_+ of the same degree. Unlike previous cases, where only the exponential term e^w was involved, two exponential terms, e^w and e^{2w} , are present in this case; we will see later that this fact is the "analytic source" of the degeneracy in the resonance spectrum for $z_- = z_+$ in the limit $z_0 \rightarrow 0$. In order to generate the $1/n$ -expansion in this case, the idea is to treat e^w and e^{2w} as unknown quantities, while treating the terms containing powers of w as known quantities. By setting $\xi = e^w$, with $w = 2\pi i n + \sigma^\pm$, we obtain the implicit equation on σ^\pm :

$$\sigma^\pm = \log_0 \left\{ \frac{2 + (2\pi i n + \sigma^\pm) [z_+ + z_- \pm H(z_-, z_0, z_+, \sigma^\pm)]}{2 [1 - (2\pi i n + \sigma^\pm) z_0]} \right\}, \quad (46)$$

where we have defined:

$$H(z_-, z_0, z_+, \sigma^\pm) \equiv \left\{ (z_+ - z_-)^2 + 4z_0^2 \left[1 + (2\pi i n + \sigma^\pm) z_+ \right] \left[1 + (2\pi i n + \sigma^\pm) z_- \right] \right\}^{1/2}. \quad (47)$$

For the determination of $z^{1/2}$, let us take the principal branch, i.e. the one with $-\pi < \arg(z) \leq \pi$. The equation above is solved recursively by setting as usual:

$$\sigma^\pm(n; \zeta_-, \zeta_0, \zeta_+) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2\pi i n)^k} \sigma_k^\pm(\zeta_-, \zeta_0, \zeta_+), \quad (48)$$

where $\zeta_i \equiv 2\pi i n z_i$ for $i = -, 0, +$. At the lowest order in $1/n$, we obtain the rather compact formula:

$$\sigma_0^\pm(\zeta_-, \zeta_0, \zeta_+) = \log_0 \left[\frac{2 + \zeta_+ + \zeta_- \pm \Delta(\zeta_-, \zeta_0, \zeta_+)}{2(1 - \zeta_0)} \right], \quad (49)$$

where:

$$\Delta(\zeta_-, \zeta_0, \zeta_+) \equiv \left[(\zeta_+ - \zeta_-)^2 + 4\zeta_0^2 (1 + \zeta_+)(1 + \zeta_-) \right]^{1/2}. \quad (50)$$

By means of the Mathematica system [11], the next-to-leading-order correction is obtained:

$$\sigma_1^\pm(\zeta_-, \zeta_0, \zeta_+) = \frac{N^\pm(\zeta_-, \zeta_0, \zeta_+)}{D^\pm(\zeta_-, \zeta_0, \zeta_+)} \log_0 \left[\frac{2 + \zeta_+ + \zeta_- \pm \Delta(\zeta_-, \zeta_0, \zeta_+)}{2(1 - \zeta_0)} \right], \quad (51)$$

where N^\pm , D^\pm are the following algebraic functions:

$$N^\pm(\zeta_-, \zeta_0, \zeta_+) \equiv -2\zeta_0^3(\zeta_- + \zeta_+ + 2\zeta_-\zeta_+) + \zeta_0^2(4 + 6\zeta_- + 6\zeta_+ + 8\zeta_-\zeta_+) + (\zeta_- - \zeta_+)^2 + \pm(2\zeta_0 + \zeta_- + \zeta_+)\Delta(\zeta_-, \zeta_0, \zeta_+), \quad (52)$$

and

$$D^\pm(\zeta_-, \zeta_0, \zeta_+) \equiv (1 - \zeta_0) \left[(\zeta_- - \zeta_+)^2 + 4\zeta_0^2(1 + \zeta_+)(1 + \zeta_-) \pm (2 + \zeta_- + \zeta_+)\Delta(\zeta_-, \zeta_0, \zeta_+) \right]. \quad (53)$$

As expected on the basis of physical intuition, eqs.(49) and (51) exhibit resonance degeneracy for $\zeta_0 \rightarrow 0$ and $\zeta_- = \zeta_+$. The expressions above largely simplify in particular cases, such as for example equal couplings of the side barriers, $\zeta_- = \zeta_+$, or small coupling of the intermediate barrier, $|\zeta_0| \ll |\zeta_-|, |\zeta_+|$. A plot of the resonance poles, in the next-to-leading approximation specified by the σ_0^\pm and σ_1^\pm above, is given in fig.2.




Figure 2: Plot of the resonance poles $k_n = w_n/(2\pi i)$ in the fourth quadrant of the complex k -plane for the triple-barrier model for $z_- = 0.1$, $z_0 = -0.05$, $z_+ = 0.15$. The pairs of close poles correspond to the two determinations of the square root, i.e. to σ^+ and σ^- for the same n (see text).

Let us conclude by saying that the calculation of resonant state parameters, such as energy distributions, decay widths, branching ratios, etc., is of primary importance in applications of non-relativistic quantum mechanics [2, 3]. This problem is generally treated, apart from the simplest cases, with specific numerical methods [12]. We have presented in this letter a general analytic expansion in $1/n$ for resonance states, where $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ is the excitation number, which substantially simplifies the relevant equations. In order to avoid asymptotic expansions of special functions and obtain compact analytic formulas, we applied the $1/n$ -expansion to some simple one-dimensional barrier systems, namely particles subjected to δ -like potentials. Let us remark however that the expansion presented is quite general and can be applied to any systems containing an infinite tower of resonances. On the mathematical side, the $1/n$ expansion can also be applied to solve transcendental equations involving exponential and power functions of the unknown variable.

Expansions of similar form as the one presented in this paper are well known in statistical physics and quantum field theory [13], the main difference being that in the latter cases the

parameter $n \gg 1$ denotes the number of components of a field or the order of some symmetry group, i.e. a state-independent quantity entering the Hamiltonian of the system. The idea of an approximate, all-order, resummation of the perturbative series — as opposed to an exact, fixed-order, perturbative calculation — is basic in the studies of the current theory of strong interactions, the so-called Quantum-Chromodynamics (QCD). In the latter case, the role of our small quantity z is played by the coupling constant at a large momentum transfer Q , $\alpha_S(Q) \ll 1$, while the role of the large parameter n is played by the square of a large logarithm L^2 of infrared (soft and collinear) origin, or by a large logarithm L of infrared or ultraviolet origin.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank M. Bochicchio for discussions.

References

- [1] G. Gamow, “*Zur Quantentheorie der Atomkernes*”, Z. Phys. 51, p. 204 (1928).
- [2] “*Unstable States in the Continuous Spectra, Part I: Analysis, Concepts, Methods and Results*”, in Advances in Quantum Chemistry, vol.60 (2010), Elsevier, volume edited by C. A. Nicolaides and E. Brändas (Series Editors J. S. Sabin and E. Brändas).
- [3] “*Unstable States in the Continuous Spectra, Part II: Interpretations, Theory and Applications*”, in Advances in Quantum Chemistry, vol.63 (2012), Elsevier, volume edited by C. A. Nicolaides and E. Brändas (Series Editors J. S. Sabin and E. Brändas).
- [4] See for example: P. W. Anderson, “*Basic Notions of Condensed Matter Physics*”, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company (1984), chap. 3; see also: P. W. Anderson, *Concepts in Solids*, World Scientific, Singapore (1997), chap. 3.
- [5] S. Flügge, “*Practical Quantum Mechanics*”, Springer-Verlag (Berlin), Second Edition, 1994 (translated from the original German 1947 edition): problem n. 27, “*Virtual levels*”.
- [6] R. G. Winter, “*Evolution of a Quasi-Stationary State*,” Phys. Rev. **123**, n. 4, pag. 1503 (1961). There is a mixing phenomenon of resonances which has not been identified in this paper and has been revealed in [8]
- [7] E. Segre, “*Nuclei e Particelle*”, Zanichelli Ed. (1982), chap. 7.
- [8] U.G. Aglietti and P.M. Santini, “*Analysis of a Quantum Mechanical Model for Unstable Particles*”, arXiv:1010.5926v2 [quant-ph].
- [9] U.G. Aglietti and P.M. Santini, “*Renormalization in the Winter Model*”, Phys. Rev. A 89, 022111 (2014).
- [10] U.G. Aglietti and P.M. Santini, “*Geometry of Winter Model*”, JMP 56, 062104 (2015).

- [11] “*Mathematica 6.0*” (2007), a Mathematical Software System by Wolfram Research, Inc. (1987).
- [12] N. Hatano, K. Sasada, H. Nakamura and T. Petrosky, “*Some Properties of the Resonant State in Quantum Mechanics and Its Computation*”, Prog. Theor. Phys. Vol. 119 n. 2 pag. 187 (2008) and references therein.
- [13] See for example the lecture on the $1/N$ expansion in: S. Coleman, “*Aspects of Symmetry — Selected Erice Lectures*”, Cambridge University Press (1985), and references therein.
- [14] For an introduction to perturbative QCD, see for example: B. Webber, K. Ellis and W. Stirling, “*QCD and Collider Physics*”, Cambridge University Press (1996).