Multivariate tests of association based on univariate tests

Ruth Heller[1] and Yair Heller

arXiv:1603.03418v1 [stat.ME] 10 Mar 2016

Abstract. For testing two random vectors for independence, we consider testing whether the distance of one vector from a center point is independent from the distance of the other vector from a center point by a univariate test. In this paper we provide conditions under which it is enough to have a consistent univariate test of independence on the distances to guarantee that the power to detect dependence between the random vectors increases to one, as the sample size increases. These conditions turn out to be minimal. If the univariate test is distribution-free, the multivariate test will also be distribution-free. If we consider multiple center points and aggregate the center-specific univariate tests, the power may be further improved, and the resulting multivariate test may be distribution-free for specific aggregation methods (if the univariate test is distribution-free). We show that several multivariate tests recently proposed in the literature can be viewed as instances of this general approach.

Keywords: High-dimensional response; Independence test; Multivariate data; Random vector; Two-sample problem.

[1] *Address for correspondence:* Department of Statistics and Operations Research, Tel-Aviv university, Tel-Aviv, Israel. E-mail: ruheller@post.tau.ac.il.

1 Introduction

Let $X \in \Re^p$ and $Y \in \Re^q$ be random vectors, where p and q are positive integers. The null hypothesis of independence is $H_0 : F_{XY} = F_X F_Y$, where the joint distribution of (X, Y) is denoted by F_{XY}, and the distributions of X and Y, respectively, by F_X and F_Y. If X is a categorical variable with K categories, then the null hypothesis of independence is the null hypothesis in the K-sample problem, $H_0 : F_1 = \ldots = F_K$, where $F_k, k \in \{1, \ldots, K\}$ is the distribution of Y in category k.

The problem of testing for independence of random vectors, as well as the K-sample problem on a multivariate Y, against the general alternative $H_1 : F_{XY} \neq F_X F_Y$, has received increased attention in recent years. The most common approach is based on pairwise distances or similarity measures. See Székely et al. (2007), Gretton et al. (2008), Sejdinovic et al. (2013), and Heller et al. (2013) for consistent tests of independence, and Hall and Tajvidi (2002), Székely and Rizzo (2004), Baringhaus and Franz (2004), Rosenbaum (2005), Gretton et al. (2007), and Gretton et al. (2012a) for recent K-sample test. Earlier tests based on nearest neighbours include Schilling (1986), and Henze (1988). Another approach is to first reduce the multivariate data to a lower dimensional sub-space by (random) projections, see Cuesta-Albertos et al. (2006) and Wei et al. (2015).

We suggest the following approach: first compute the distances from a fixed center point, then apply any univariate test on the distances. If the univariate test is distribution-free, then so is the multivariate test. In Section 2 we show that a result by Rawat and Sitaram (2000) in integral geometry implies that if H_0 is false, then applying a univariate consistent test on distances from a single center point will result, for almost every center point, in a multivariate test with power increasing to one as the sample size increases.

In Section 3 we show that considering the distances from $M > 1$ points and aggregating the resulting statistics can also result in consistent tests, which may be more powerful than tests that consider a single center point. Both distribution-free and permutation-based tests can be generated, depending on the choice of aggregation method and univariate test.

In section 4 we draw the connection between these results and some known tests mentioned above. The tests of Hall and Tajvidi (2002) and of Heller et al. (2013) can be viewed as instances of this approach, where the center point is a sample point, and all sample points are considered each in turn as a center point, for a particular univariate test.

2 Main results

We use the following result by Rawat and Sitaram (2000). Let $B_d(x, r) = \{y \in \Re^d : \|x - y\| \leq r\}$ be a ball centered at x with radius r. A complex Radon measure μ on $\Re^d$ is said to be of at most exponential-quadratic growth if there exist positive constants A and α such that $|\mu|(B_d(0, r)) \leq Ae^{\alpha r^2}$.

Proposition 2.1 (Rawat and Sitaram (2000))**.** *Let $\Gamma \subset \Re^d$ be such that the only real analytic function (defined on an open set containing Γ) that vanishes on Γ, is the zero function. Let $\mathcal{C} = \{B_d(x, r) : x \in \Gamma, r > 0\}$. Then for any complex Radon measure μ on $\Re^d$ of at most exponential-quadratic growth, if $\mu(C) = 0$ for all $C \in \mathcal{C}$, then it necessarily follows that $\mu = 0$.*

For the two-sample problem, let $Y \in \Re^q$ be a random variable with cumulative distribution F_1 in category $X = 1$, and F_2 in category $X = 2$. For $z \in \Re^q$, let F'_{iz} be the cumulative distribution function of $\|Y - z\|$ when Y has cumulative distribution

F_i, $i \in \{1, 2\}$. We show that if the distribution of Y differs across categories, then so does the distribution of the distance of Y from almost every point z. Therefore, any univariate consistent two-sample test on the distances from z results in a consistent test of the equality of the multivariate distributions F_1 and F_2, for almost every z. It is straightforward to generalize these results to $K > 2$ categories.

Theorem 2.1. *If $H_0 : F_1 = F_2$ is false, then for every $z \in \Re^q$, apart from at most a set of Lebesgue measure 0, there exists an $r > 0$ such that $F'_{1z}(r) \neq F'_{2z}(r)$.*

Proof. Suppose by contradiction, that there is a set $\Gamma \subseteq \Re^q$ with positive Lebesgue measure, such that for all $z \in \Gamma$, $F'_{1z}(r) = F'_{2z}(r)$ for all $r > 0$. It follows that $\int_{y \in B_q(z,r)} dF_1(y) - \int_{y \in B_q(z,r)} dF_2(y) = 0$ for all $r > 0$ and $z \in \Gamma$. Since $|F_1 - F_2| \leq 1$, clearly $F_1 - F_2$ is of at most exponential-quadratic growth. Moreover, the only real analytic function that vanishes on Γ is the zero function, since Γ has positive Lebesgue measure. Therefore, it follows from Proposition 2.1 that $F_1 - F_2 = 0$, thus contradicting the fact that H_0 is false. □

Corollary 2.1. *For every $z \in \Re^q$, apart from at most a set of Lebesgue measure 0, a consistent two-sample univariate test of the null hypothesis $H'_0 : F'_{1z} = F'_{2z}$ will reject $H_0 : F_1 = F_2$ with a power increasing to one as the sample size increases.*

Proof. If $H_0 : F_1 = F_2$ is false, then Theorem 2.1 guarantees that for every z, apart from at most a set of Lebesgue measure 0, the null univariate hypothesis, $H'_0 : F'_{1z} = F'_{2z}$, is false. Since for such a z the asymptotic power of a false null univariate hypothesis will be one for any consistent two-sample univariate test, the power of the multivariate test will be one. □

For the multivariate test of independence, let $X \in R^p$ and $Y \in \Re^q$ be two random vectors with marginal distributions F_X and F_Y, respectively, and with joint distribution F_{XY}. For $z = (z_x, z_y), z_x \in \Re^p, z_y \in \Re^q$, let F'_{XYz} be the joint distribution of

$(\|X - z_x\|, \|Y - z_y\|)$. Let F'_{Xz} and F'_{Yz} be the marginal distribution of $\|X - z_x\|$ and $\|Y - z_y\|$, respectively.

Theorem 2.2. *If $H_0 : F_{XY} = F_X F_Y$ is false, then for every $z_x \in \Re^p, z_y \in \Re^q$, apart from at most a set of Lebesgue measure 0, there exists $r_x > 0, r_y > 0$, such that $F'_{XYz}(r_x, r_y) \neq F'_{Xz}(r_x)F'_{Yz}(r_y)$.*

Proof. Suppose by contradiction, that there is a set $\Gamma \subseteq \Re^{p+q}$ with positive Lebesgue measure, such that for all $z \in \Gamma$, $F'_{XYz}(r_x, r_y) = F'_{Xz}(r_x)F'_{Yz}(r_y)$ for all $r_x > 0, r_y > 0$. It follows that for all $z \in \Gamma$ and $r_x > 0, r_y > 0$,

$$\int_{(\|x-z_x\|,\|y-z_y\|)\leq(r_x,r_y)} dF_{XY}(x,y) = \int_{\|x-z_x\|\leq r_x} dF_X(x) \int_{\|y-z_y\|\leq r_y} dF_Y(y).$$

It thus follows that for all $z \in \Gamma$ and any $r > 0$,

$$\int_{(\|(x,y)-(z_x,z_y)\|)\leq r} dF_{XY}(x,y) = \int_{\|(x,y)-(z_x,z_y)\|\leq r} dF_X(x)dF_Y(y). \tag{2.1}$$

However, from Theorem 2.1, with $F_1 = F_{XY}$ and $F_2 = F_X F_Y$, it follows that for all $z \in \Gamma$, apart from a set of Lebesgue measure 0, there exists an $r > 0$ such that

$$\int_{(\|(x,y)-(z_x,z_y)\|)\leq r} dF_{XY}(x,y) \neq \int_{\|(x,y)-(z_x,z_y)\|\leq r} dF_X(x)dF_Y(y),$$

thus contradicting (2.1). □

Corollary 2.2. *For every $z \in \Re^{p+q}$, apart from at most a set of Lebesgue measure 0, a consistent univariate test of inependence of the null hypothesis $H'_0 : F'_{XYz} = F'_{Xz}F'_{Yz}$ will reject $H_0 : F_{XY} = F_X F_Y$ with a power increasing to one as the sample size increases.*

Proof. If $H_0 : F_{XY} = F_X F_Y$ is false, then Theorem 2.2 guarantees that for every

z, apart from at most a set of Lebesgue measure 0, the null univariate hypothesis, $H_0' : F'_{XYz} = F'_{Xz}F'_{Yz}$, is false. Since for such a z the asymptotic power of a false null univariate hypothesis will be one for any consistent univariate test of independence, the power of the multivariate test will be one. □

We have N independent copies (x_i, y_i) $(i = 1, \ldots, N)$ from the joint distribution F_{XY}. The above results motivate the following two-step procedure for the multivariate test. For the K-sample test, $x_i \in \{1, \ldots, K\}$ determines the category and $y_i \in \Re^q$ is the observation in category x_i, so the two-step procedure is to first choose $z \in \Re^q$ and then to apply a univariate K-sample consistent test on $(x_1, \|y_1 - z\|), \ldots, (x_N, \|y_N - z\|)$. Examples of such univariate tests include the classic Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Cramer-von Mises tests. For the test of independence, the two-step procedure is to first choose $z_x \in \Re^p$ and $z_y \in \Re^q$, and then to apply a univariate consistent test of independence on $(\|x_1 - z_x\|, \|y_1 - z_y\|), \ldots, (\|x_N - z_x\|, \|y_N - z_y\|)$. An example of such a univariate test is the classic test of Hoeffding (1948a). See Heller et al. (2016) for novel K-sample and independence tests and a review of existing distribution-free univariate tests. Note that the consistency of a univariate test may be satisfied only under some assumptions on the distribution of the distances. For example, the consistency of Hoeffding (1948a) follows if the densities of $\|X - z_x\|$ and $\|Y - z_y\|$ are continuous.

A great advantage of the two-step procedure is the fact that it has the same computational complexity as the univariate test. For example, if one chooses to use Hoeffding's univariate independence permutation test (Hoeffding, 1948a) , then the total complexity is only $O(N \log N)$, which is the cost of computing the test statistic. The p-value can be extracted from a look-up table since Hoeffding's test is distribution-free. For comparison, note that the computational complexity of the multivariate permutation tests of Székely et al. (2007) and Heller et al. (2013) is $O(BN^2)$, and $O(BN^2 \log N)$,

respectively, where B is the number of permutations. For many univariate tests the asymptotic null distribution is known, thus it can be used to compute the significance efficiently without resorting to permutations, which are typically required for assessing the multivariate significance.

Another advantage of the two-step procedure is the fact that the test statistic may be estimating an easily interpretable population value. The univariate test statistics often converge to easily interpretable population values, which are often between 0 and 1. These values carry over to provide meaning to the new multivariate statistics.

In practice, the choice of the center value from which the distances are measured can be important. In the next Section 3, we suggest generalizations of the above two-step approach that use multiple center points.

3 Pooling univariate tests together

We need not rely on a single $z \in \Re^{p+q}$ (or a single $z \in \Re^q$ for the K-sample problem). If we apply a consistent univariate test using many points z_i for $i = 1, \ldots, M$ as our center points, where the test is applied on the distances of the N sample points from the center point, we obtain M test-statistics and corresponding p-values, $p_1, \ldots, p_M$.

We can use the p-values or the test statistics of the univariate tests to design consistent multivariate tests. We suggest three useful approaches. The first approach is to combine the p-values, using a combining function $f : [0,1]^M \to [0,1]$. Common combining functions include $f(p_1, \ldots, p_M) = \min_{i=1,\ldots,M} p_i$, and $f(p_1, \ldots, p_M) = -2 \sum_{i=1}^{M} \log p_i$. The test statistic $f(p_1, \ldots, p_M) = \max_{i=1,\ldots,M} p_i$ may also have excellent power in applications where the univariate test on the distances from almost all points has power. The second approach is to combine the univariate test statistics, by taking

the average, maximum, or minimum statistic. These aggregation methods can result in test statistics which converge to meaningful population values, see equation (3.3) below for multivariate tests based on the univariate Kolmogorov-Smirnov two sample test (Kolmogorov, 1941). We note that if the univariate tests are distribution-free then taking the maximum (minimum) p-value is equivalent to taking the minimum (maximum) test statistic (when the test rejects for large values of the test statistic). The significance of the combined p-value or the combined test statistic can be computed by a permutation test.

A drawback of the two approaches above is that the distribution-free property of the univariate test does not carry over to the multivariate test. In our third approach, we consider the set of M p-values as coming from the family of M null hypotheses, and then apply a valid test of the global null hypothesis that all M null hypotheses are true. Let $p_{(1)} \leq \ldots \leq p_{(M)}$ be the sorted p-values. The simplest valid test for any type of dependence is the Bonferroni test, which will reject the global null if $Mp_{(1)} \leq \alpha$. Another valid test is the test of Hommel (1983), which rejects if $\min_{j \geq 1}\{M(\sum_{l=1}^{M} 1/l)p_{(j)}/j\} \leq \alpha$. This test statistic was suggested independently in a multiple testing procedure for false discovery rate control under general dependence in Benjamini and Yekutieli (2001). This approach is computationally much more efficient than the first two approaches, since no permutation test is required after the computation of the univariate p-values, but it may be less powerful. Clearly, if the univariate test is distribution free, the resulting multivariate test is also distribution free.

As an example we shall prove that when using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov two sample test as the univariate test, all of the pooling methods above result in consistent multivariate two-sample tests. Let $KS(z) = \sup_{d \in \Re} |F'_{1z}(d) - F'_{2z}(d)|$ be the population value of the univariate Kolmogorov-Smirnov two sample test statistic comparing the

distribution of the distances. Let N be the total number of independent observations, where we assume for simplicity an equal number of observations from F_1 and from F_2.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $z_1, \ldots, z_M$ be a sample of center points from an absolutely continuous distribution with probability measure ν, whose support S has a positive Lebesgue measure in $\Re^q$. Let $KS_N(z_i)$ be the empirical value of $KS(z_i)$ with corresponding p-value p_i, $i = 1, \ldots, M$. Let $p_{(1)} \leq \ldots \leq p_{(M)}$ be the sorted p-values. Assume that the distribution functions F_1 and F_2 are continuous. For $M = o(e^N)$, if $H_0 : F_1 = F_2$ is false, then ν-almost surely, as $N \to \infty$, the power will increase to one for the following level α tests:*

1. *the permutation test using the test statistics $S1 = \max_{i=1,\ldots,M}\{KS_N(z_i)\}$ or $S2 = p_{(1)}$.*
2. *the test based on Bonferroni, which rejects H_0 if $Mp_{(1)} \leq \alpha$.*
3. *for $M \log M = o(e^N)$, the test based on Hommel's global null p-value, which rejects H_0 if $\min_{j=1,\ldots,M} \left\{ M(\sum_{l=1}^{M} 1/l)p_{(j)}/j \right\} \leq \alpha$.*
4. *the permutation tests using the statistics $T1 = \sum_{i=1}^{M} KS_N(z_i)$ or $T2 = -2\sum_{i=1}^{M} \log p_i$.*

Proof. Proving item 2 will prove item 1 since if the Bonferonni adjusted p-value is consistent then so is the permutation test based on the minimum p-value (or maximum statistic), which has necessarily a smaller p-value than $Mp_{(1)}$. We need to show that the probability of rejection goes to one when H_0 is false. According to Corollary 2.1 when H_0 is false, ν-almost surely any point z_i offers a consistent univariate test. Therefore, ν-almost surely, $\sup_{i=1,\ldots,M} KS(z_i) \geq KS(z_1) > 0$. Let d_0 be a distance such that $|F'_{1z_1}(d_0) - F'_{2z_1}(d_0)| = c > 0$.

Let F'_{iz_1N} be the empirical cumulative distribution function based on $N/2$ sampled distances from F'_{iz_1}, $i \in \{1, 2\}$. The test statistic is bounded away from zero:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{pr}\{\sup_{i=1,\ldots,M} KS_N(z_i) > c/2)\} \geq \text{pr}\{KS_N(z_1) > c/2\} = \text{pr}\{\sup_d |F'_{1z_1N}(d) - F'_{2z_1N}(d)| > c/2\} \\
&\geq \text{pr}\{|F'_{1z_1N}(d_0) - F'_{2z_1N}(d_0)| > c/2\} \\
&\geq \text{pr}\{|F'_{1z_1N}(d_0) - F'_{1z_1}(d_0)| < c/4\}\text{pr}\{|F'_{2z_1N}(d_0) - F'_{2z_1}(d_0)| < c/4\} \geq (1 - 2e^{-Nc^2/8})^2
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last row, the first inequality follows since if $|F'_{1z_1N}(d_0) - F'_{1z_1}(d_0)| < c/4$ and $|F'_{2z_1N}(d_0) - F'_{2z_1}(d_0)| < c/4$, given that $|F'_{1z_1}(d_0) - F'_{2z_1}(d_0)| = c$, it implies that $|F'_{1z_1N}(d_0) - F'_{2z_1N}(d_0)| > c/2$, and the last inequality is the Dvoretzky-Kiefer-Wolfowitz inequality (Dvoretzky et al., 1956). Therefore, when H_0 is false, the probability that the statistic is greater than $c/2$ goes to 1 as $N \to \infty$.

When H_0 is true, let F'_z denote the common distribution function of $\|Y - z\|$. For each $z \in \{z_1, \ldots, z_M\}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{pr}\{KS_N(z) > c/2\} = \text{pr}\{\sup_d |F'_{1zN}(d) - F'_z(d) + F'_z(d) - F'_{2zN}(d)| > c/2\} \\
&\leq \text{pr}\{\sup_d |F'_{1zN}(d) - F'_z(d)| + \sup_d |F'_{2zN}(d) - F'_z(d)| > c/2\} \\
&\leq \text{pr}\{\sup_d |F'_{1zN}(d) - F'_z(d)| > c/4\} + \text{pr}\{\sup_d |F'_{2zN}(d) - F'_z(d)| > c/4\} \leq 4e^{-Nc^2/8} \quad (3.1)
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the Dvoretzky-Kiefer-Wolfowitz inequality. It follows from (3.1) that the Bonferonni adjusted p-value is bounded above by $4Me^{-Nc^2/8}$, and therefore goes to zero as $N \to \infty$ for $M = o(e^N)$, proving consistency.

For item 3, the proof is very similar. Hommel's global null p-value is at most $M(\sum_{l=1}^{M} 1/l)p_{(1)}$, and as in the proof for item 2 it is bounded above by $4M(\sum_{l=1}^{M} 1/l)e^{-Nc^2/8}$, which goes to zero as $N \to \infty$ for $M \log M = o(e^N)$.

For item 4, let $z_0 \in \Re^q$ be a center point sampled from ν. When H_0 is false, ν-almost surely $KS(z_0) = c > 0$. By Lebesgue's density theorem ν-almost surely there exists an ϵ such that if $r < \epsilon$ then at least half of the ball $B_q(z_0, r)$ is within the support S. Since F_1 and F_2 are continuous, $KS(z)$ is a continuous function of z. Therefore, there exists an $\epsilon' < \epsilon$ such that $KS(z) > c/2$ for all $z \in B_q(z_0, \epsilon') \cap S$. Similar arguments to those for item 2 show that ν-almost surely for any $z_i \in S \cap B_q(z_0, \epsilon')$,

$$Pr(KS_N(z_i) < c/4) < 4e^{-Nc^2/32}. \tag{3.2}$$

Therefore, $Pr(\cup_{z_i \in S \cap B_q(z_0,\epsilon')} KS_N(z_i) < c/4) < 4Me^{-Nc^2/32}$. Since ν-almost surely $\mathrm{pr}\{Z \in S \cap B_q(z_0, \epsilon')\} > 0$, then ν-almost surely with probability going to one $T1$ is $O(M)$, as long as $M = o(e^N)$. On the other hand when H_0 is true, $E(KS_N(z)) = O(1/\sqrt{N})$, see for example Marsaglia et al. (1983). Therefore, $E(T1) = O(M/\sqrt{N})$, and by Markov's inequality the permutation test based on $T1$ will have ν-almost surely power increasing to one as the sample size increases. For the test based on T_2, from equations (3.2) and (3.1) it follows that for N large enough $p_i < 4e^{-Nc^2/32}$ for $z_i \in S \cap B_q(z_0, \epsilon')$, $i = 1, \ldots, M$. Therefore, for N large enough $-2\sum_{i=1}^{M} \log p_i$ is greater than $O(NM)\mathrm{pr}\{Z \in S \cap B_q(z_0, \epsilon')\}$. On the other hand, when H_0 is true P_i is uniformly distributed, so $E(-2\sum_{i=1}^{M} \log P_i)$ is $O(M)$. By Markov's inequality the permutation test based on $-2\sum_{i=1}^{M} \log p_i$ will have ν-almost surely power increasing to one as the sample size increases. □

The test statistics $S1$ and $T1/M$ converge to meaningful population quantities,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N,M\to\infty} S_1 &= \lim_{M\to\infty} \max_{z_1,\ldots,z_M} KS(z) = \sup_{z\in S} KS(z), \\ \lim_{N,M\to\infty} T_1/M &= \lim_{M\to\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{M} KS(z_i)/M = E\{KS(Z)\}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where the expectation is over the distribution of the center point Z.

Arguably, the most natural choice of center points is the sample points themselves. Interestingly, if the univariate test is a U-statistic (Hoeffding, 1948b) of order m, then the resulting multivariate test is a U-statistic of order $m+1$, if each sample point acts as a center point, and the univariate test statistics are averaged. The proof is as follows. Denote $B(a,b)$ for the binomial coefficient a choose b. If the univariate test statistic T_{N-1} is a U-statistic, then it can be written as $T_{N-1} = \sum_{C_{N-1,m}} h\{(u_{j_1}, v_{j_1}), \ldots, (u_{j_m}, v_{j_m})\}/B(N-1,m)$, where h is a symmetric function, $(u_{j_1}, v_{j_1}), \ldots, (u_{j_m}, v_{j_m})$ is a subset of size m from a sample of size $N-1$, and $C_{N-1,m}$ is the set of all such subsets of size m. The multivariate test statistic is therefore

$$\sum_{C_{N,m+1}} f\{(x_{j_1}, y_{j_1}), \ldots, (x_{j_{m+1}}, y_{j_{m+1}})\}/B(N, m+1),$$

where $f\{(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_{m+1}, y_{m+1})\}$ is the symmetric function

$$\frac{1}{m+1}[h\{(\|x_k - x_1\|, \|y_k - y_1\|), k = 2, \ldots, m+1\} + \ldots + h\{(\|x_k - x_{m+1}\|, \|y_k - y_{m+1}\|), k = 1, \ldots, m\}].$$

4 Connection to existing methods

We are aware of two multivariate test statistics of the above-mentioned form: aggregation of the univariate test statistics on the distances from center points. The tests are the two sample test of Hall and Tajvidi (2002) and the independence test of Heller et al. (2013). Both these tests use the second pooling method mentioned above by summing up the univariate test statistics. Furthermore, both these tests use the N sample points as the center points (or z's) and perform a univariate test on the remaining $N-1$ points. Indeed, Hall and Tajvidi (2002) recognized that their test can be viewed as summing up univariate Cramer von-Mises tests on the distances from each sample point. We shall show that the test statistic of Heller et al. (2013)

can be viewed as aggregation by summation of the univariate weighted Hoeffding independence test suggested in Thas & Ottoy (2004).

Heller et al. (2013) presented a permutation test based on the test statistic $\sum_{i=1}^{N}\sum_{j=1,j\neq i}^{N} S(i,j)$, where $S(i,j)$ is the Pearson test score for the 2×2 contingency table for the random variables $I(\|X-x_i\| \leq \|x_j - x_i\|)$ and $I(\|Y-y_i\| \leq \|y_j - y_i\|)$, where $I(\cdot)$ is the indicator function. Since $\|X-x_i\|$ and $\|Y-y_i\|$ are univariate random variables, $S(i,j)$ can also be viewed as the test statistic for the test of independence between $\|X-x_i\|$ and $\|Y-y_i\|$, based on the 2×2 contingency table induced by the 2×2 partition of $\Re^2$ about the point $(\|x_j-x_i\|, \|y_j-y_i\|)$ using the $N-2$ sample points $(\|x_k-x_i\|, \|y_k-y_i\|), k=1,\ldots,N, k\neq i, k\neq j$. Thas & Ottoy (2004) showed that the statistic that sums the Pearson test statistics over all 2×2 partitions of $\Re^2$ based on the observations, results in a consistent test of independence for univariate random variables. The test statistic of Thas & Ottoy (2004) on the sample points $(\|x_k-x_i\|, \|y_k-y_i\|), k=1,\ldots,N, k\neq i$, is therefore $\sum_{j=1,j\neq i} S(i,j)$. The multivariate test statistic of Heller et al. (2013) aggregates by summation the univariate test statistics of Thas & Ottoy (2004), where the ith univariate test statistic is based on the $N-1$ distances of x_k from x_i, and the $N-1$ distances of y_k from y_i, for $k=1,\ldots,N, k\neq i$.

Of course, not all known consistent multivariate tests belong to the framework defined above. As an interesting example we discuss the energy test of Székely and Rizzo (2004) and Baringhaus and Franz (2004) for the two-sample problem. Without loss of generality, let $y_1,\ldots,y_{N_1}$ be the observations from F_1, and $y_{N1+1},\ldots,y_N$ be the observations from F_2. The test statistic is

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{N_1 N_2}{N_1+N_2}\left(\frac{2}{N_1 N_2}\sum_{l=1}^{N_1}\sum_{m=N_1+1}^{N}\|y_l-y_m\| - \frac{1}{N_1^2}\sum_{l=1}^{N_1}\sum_{m=1}^{N_1}\|y_l-y_m\| - \frac{1}{N_2^2}\sum_{l=N_1+1}^{N}\sum_{m=N_1+1}^{N}\|y_l-y_m\|\right),$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is the Euclidean norm. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{E} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} S_i$, where the univariate score is $S_i = \left\{ \frac{1}{N_1} \sum_{m=1}^{N_1} \|y_i - y_m\| - \frac{1}{N_2} \sum_{m=N_1+1}^{N_2} \|y_i - y_m\| \right\} w(i)$, $w(i) = -\frac{N_2}{N}$ if $i \leq N_1$ and $w(i) = \frac{N_1}{N}$ if $i > N_1$, for $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$. The statistic S_i is not an omnibus consistent test statistic, since a test based on S_i will have no power to detect difference in distributions with the same expected distance from y_i across groups. However, the energy test is omnibus consistent.

Acknowledgement

We thank Elchanan Mossel for useful discussions of the main results.

References

Baringhaus, L. & Franz, C. (2004). On a new multivariate two-sample test. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 88:190–206.

Benjamini, Y. & Yekutieli, D. (2001). The control of the false discovery rate in multiple testing under dependency. *The Annals of Statistics*, 29 (4):1165–1188.

Cuesta-Albertos, J. A., Freiman, R. & Ransford, T. (2006). Random projections and goodness-of-fit tests in infinite-dimensional spaces. *Bull. Braz. Math. Soc.* **37**(4), 1–25.

Dvoretzky, A., Kiefer, J. & Wolfowitz, J. (1956). Asymptotic Minimax Character of the Sample Distribution Function and of the Classical Multinomial Estimator. *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 27 (3):642–669.

Gretton, A., Bogwardt, K.M., Rasch, M.J., Scholkopf, B & Smola, A.

(2007). A kernel method for the two-sample problem. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS)*, 19.

Gretton, A., Fukumizu, K., TEO, C.H., Song, L., Scholkopf, B. & Smola, A. (2008). A kernel statistical test of independence. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 20:585–592.

Gretton, A. & Gyorfi, L. (2010). Consistent nonparametric tests of independence. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 11:1391–1423.

Gretton, A., Borgwardt, K.M., Rasch, M.J. Scholkopf, B. & Smola, A. (2012). A kernel two-sample test. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 13: 723–773.

Hall, P. & Tajvidi, N. (2002). Permutation tests for equality of distributions in high-dimensional settings. *Biometrika*, 89 (2):359–374.

Heller, R., Heller, Y., Kaufman, S., Brill, B. & Gorfine, M. (2016). Consistent distribution-free K-sample and independence tests for univariate random variables *Journal of Machine Learning, accepted.* arXiv:1410.6758.

Heller, R., Heller, Y. & Gorfine, M. (2013). A consistent multivariate test of association based on ranks of distances. *Biometrika*, 100(2):503–510.

Henze, N.(1988). A multivariate two-sample test based on the number of nearest neighbor type coincidences. *The Annals of Statistics*, 16(2): 772–783.

Hoeffding, W.(1948a). A non-parametric test of independence. *Ann. Math. Stat.*, **19** (4), 546–557.

Hoeffding, W.(1948b). A class of statistics with asymptotically normal distributions. *Annals of Statistics*, **19**, 293-325.

Hommel, G. (1983). Tests of the overall hypothesis for arbitrary dependence structures *Biom. J.* 25:423–430

Kolmogorov, A. N.(1941). Confidence limits for an unknown distribution function. *Ann. Math. Stat.* **12**, 461–463.

Marsaglia, G., Tsang, W. & Wang, J. (2003). Evaluating Komogorov's distribution *Journal of Statistical Software*, 8(18), 1–4

Rawat, R. & Sitaram, A. (2000). Injectivity sets for spherical means on R^n and on symmetric spaces *Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications*, 6(3):343–348.

Rosenbaum, R. (2005). An exact distribution-free test comparing two multivariate distributions based on adjacency. *Journal of the Royal Statitistical Society B*, 67:515–530.

Schilling, M. F. (1986). Multivariate two-sample tests based on nearest neighbors. *J. Am. Statist. Assoc.* **81**, 799–806.

Sejdinovic, D., Sriperumbudur, B., Gretton, A. & Fukumizu, K. (2013). Equivalence of distance-based and rkhs-based statistics in hypothesis testing. *Annals of Statistics*, 41 (5):2263–2291.

Székely, G. & Rizzo, M. (2004). Testing for equal distributions in high dimensions. *InterStat*.

Székely, G., Rizzo, M. & Bakirov, N. (2007). Measuring and testing dependence by correlation of distances. *The Annals of Statistics*, 35:2769–2794.

Thas, O. & Ottoy, J.P. (2004). A nonparamteric test for independence based on sample space partitions.. *Communcations in Statistics - Simulation and Computation* **33** (3), 711–728.

Wei, S., Lee, C., Wichers, L. & Marron, J. S. (2015). Direction-Projection-Permutation for High Dimensional Hypothesis Tests. *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statisitcs*, doi: 10.1080/10618600.2015.1027773.