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#### Abstract

Given a semistable non-isotrivial fibered surface $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ it was conjectured by Tan and Tu that if $X$ is of general type, then $f$ admits at leats 7 singular fibers. In this paper we prove this conjecture in several particular cases, i.e. assuming $f$ is obtained from blowing-up the base locus of a transversal pencil on an exceptional minimal surface $S$ or assuming that $f$ is obtained as the blow-up of the base locus of a transversal and adjoint pencil on a minimal surface.


## 1. Notation

We work on the complex field number $\mathbb{C}$. All the considered varieties will be assumed irreducible and projective. Through the paper we shall use the following notation:
. $X$ will be a general type surface and $S$ its minimal model. $\pi: X \rightarrow S$ will be the associated chain of blowing-downs.
. $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ will be a semi-stable, non-isotrivial fibration, and $F$ the general fibre. We set $g=$ the genus of $F$. By $C$ we will denote the image of $F$ under $\pi$ and

$$
\Lambda: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}
$$

the pencil induced by $f$. We denote by $s$ the number of singular fibers.
. We shall say that $\Lambda$ is transversal if its general member $C \in \Lambda$ is nonsingular and intersects transversally any other general member $C^{\prime} \in \Lambda$.
. We'll freely use the standard notation in surfaces' theory. In particular $q=$ $h^{1}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\right)$ will be the irregularity of $X$ and $p_{g}=h^{0}\left(X, K_{X}\right)$ its geometric genus. By $e(X)$ will be denote the topological Euler characteristic.
. Given divisors $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ in an algebraic surface we denote as usual $D_{1} \equiv$ $D_{2}$ for the numeric equivalence and $D_{1} \sim D_{2}$ for the linear one. Most of the time we will be working on regular surfaces and in this case we indistinctly use both symbols.
. The number $m$ will be:

$$
m:=K_{S}^{2}-K_{X}^{2}=e(X)-e(S)
$$

[^0]Note that in general $m \leq C^{2}$. Adjunction Formula gives the important inequality $C . K_{S}+m \leq 2(g-1)$ with equality holding if $\Lambda$ is transversal.

## 2. Introduction

Let $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be a non-isotrivial semistable fibered surface. It is a classical result that such a fibration admits a certain number of singular fibers (in contrast to the case when the base of the fibration is not rational or elliptic [1]). In the seminal paper [2] it was proved that this number $s$ must be at least 4. Subsequently the bound have been sharpened to $s \geq 5$ if $g \geq 2$ and $s \geq 6$ if the surface $X$ is not birationally ruled ([11] [12, [13]). It was conjectured by Tan and Tu , in a preprint previous to [13] that this bound must raise to 7 if $X$ is of general type (Tan-Tu conjecture for what follows). They also proved the conjecture for genus $2 \leq g \leq 4$ and characterized fibrations of genus 5 with $s=6$ on a general type surface as those obtained from blowing up the base locus of a transversal pencil on a Horikawa surface. Using this characterization the proof of the conjecture for $g=5$ was completed in [15].

Roughly speaking the proof of these bounds are based, in case $g \geq 2$ on the canonical class inequality:

$$
K_{f}^{2}<2(g-1)\left(2 g_{B}-2+s\right)
$$

for any non iso-trivial semi-stable fibration $f: X \rightarrow B$ of genus $g \geq 2$. Here $K_{f}$ is the relatively canonical divisor, $K_{f}:=K_{X}-f^{*} K_{B}$, which turns out to be $K_{X}(-2 F)$ if $B=\mathbb{P}^{1}$. The bounds for $s$ are obtained from the positivity properties of $K_{f}$ and $K_{f}(-F)$.

Unfortunately, this approach is useless for proving Tan-Tu conjecture, since in this case the only relevant information the inequality provides is that $K_{X}^{2}<0$ if $s=6$. However for most of the cases a fibered surface (of general type or not) satisfies $K_{X}^{2}<0$. Indeed, such a surface is obtained by blowing up the base locus of some pencil $\Lambda$ on a minimal surface $S$.

In this paper we deal with Tan-Tu conjecture in some particular cases. We first impose on the minimal model $S$ of $X$ the conditions of being exceptional in the sense that either $K_{S}^{2}=2, p_{g}=3$ or $K_{S}^{2}=1$ and $p_{g}=2$ (see 1], VII.8) and assuming that $f$ is obtained as the blowing-up of the base locus of a transversal pencil $\Lambda$ in $S$. In these cases we are able to prove the conjecture using explicit descriptions of these surfaces as double coverings of rational surfaces, by means of the canonical or bi-canonical map. This is the content of Section 3, Theorems 4.1 and 4.2, In this sense there is some hope of extending the result to a wider class of surfaces.

Next, in section 5 we prove the conjecture assuming that the pencil $\Lambda$ is adjoint, i.e. $C=B+K_{S}$ with $B$ a big and nef divisor in $S$ and $K_{S}^{2} \geq 3$ and for $K_{S}^{2} \leq 2$ assuming not only that $\Lambda$ is adjoint, but also transversal (Theorem 5.3). The case $K_{S}^{2}=p_{g}=1$ is the subtler and is stated and proved in Proposition 5.2.

We list below the cases in which Tan-Tu conjecture have been proved in this article:
. If $\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)=1$ with no extra assumption on $S$ or $\Lambda$.
. If either $K_{S}^{2}=1, p_{g}=2$ or $K_{S}^{2}=2, p_{g}=3$ assuming $\Lambda$ is transversal.
. If $\Lambda$ is transversal and adjoint and $K_{S}^{2} \leq 2$ or if $\Lambda$ is merely adjoint and $K_{S}^{2} \geq 3$.

## 3. Some general facts and results

The following inequality will be systematically used: given a semi-stable nonisotrivial fibration of genus $g \geq 2, f: X \rightarrow B$, for any integer $e \geq 2$ :

$$
\frac{1}{3} e^{2}\left(K_{X}^{2}-2(g-1)\left(6\left(g_{B}-1\right)+s-s / e\right)\right) \leq e_{f}
$$

Original formulation involves the number of $(-2)$ vertical curves in $X$, but for our purposes this version will be sufficient. The proof can be founded in 12 and is based on successive changes of the base $B$ of the fibration. We call this Tan's inequality. In particular if $B=\mathbb{P}^{1}$ (our interest's case) and $s=6$ we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{3} e\left(K_{X}^{2} e+12(g-1)\right) \leq e_{f} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Useful forms of this inequality are collected in the following:
Lemma 3.1. Let $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be semistable, non-isotrivial of genus $g \geq 2$. If $s=6$, then evaluating (1) we obtain:
i)

$$
K_{S}^{2}+C . K_{S} \leq 3 \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right) \text { if } e=3,
$$

ii)

$$
19 K_{S}^{2}+18 C . K_{S} \leq m+36 \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right) \text { if } e=4
$$

iii)

$$
7 K_{S}^{2}+6 C . K_{S} \leq m+9 \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right) \text { if } e=5
$$

Proof. Evaluate (1) at the indicated value of $e$ and substitute:

$$
\begin{gathered}
m+C . K_{S} \leq 2(g-1) \\
e(X)=12 \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)-K_{X}^{2}(\text { Noether's Formula }) \\
K_{X}^{2}=K_{S}^{2}-m
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\left.e_{f}=4(g-1)+e(X) \text { (because } f \text { is semistable }\right) .
$$

We start by sharpening the bound for $m$ obtained in [13], inequality (3.2) (compare with the proof of Theorem 2.1(4) in [13]).

Lemma 3.2. Assume $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ is a semistable fibration and the minimal model $S$ of $X$ is a general type surface, then:

$$
m \leq C^{2} \leq \frac{4(g-1)+K_{S}^{2}-\sqrt{8(g-1) K_{S}^{2}+\left(K_{S}^{2}\right)^{2}}}{2}
$$

Proof. From Index Hodge Theorem applied to $K_{S}$ and $C$ we get:

$$
m K_{S}^{2} \leq C^{2} K_{S}^{2} \leq\left(C \cdot K_{S}\right)^{2}
$$

Adjoint formula gives $C \cdot K_{S} \leq 2(g-1)-m$, therefore

$$
0 \leq m^{2}-\left(4(g-1)+K_{S}^{2}\right) m+4(g-1)^{2} .
$$

Consider the right hand term of the previous inequality as a polynomial in $m$. Its discriminant turns out to be

$$
\Delta=8(g-1) K_{S}^{2}+\left(K_{S}^{2}\right)^{2}
$$

Thus its roots are:

$$
m_{ \pm}=\frac{4(g-1)+K_{S}^{2} \pm \sqrt{\Delta}}{2}
$$

It follows that either $m \leq m_{-}$or $m \geq m_{+}$. We claim that $m \leq m_{-}$is the only possible case. Indeed, if

$$
\frac{4(g-1)+K_{S}^{2}+\sqrt{\Delta}}{2} \leq m
$$

then $C . K_{S} \leq 2(g-1)-m \leq 0$ that is impossible.
As a consequence of Lemma 3.2 we obtain our first general fact concerning the number $s$ :

Proposition 3.3. Let $S$ be of general type. If $s=6$, then

$$
K_{S}^{2}+\sqrt{8(g-1) K_{S}^{2}+\left(K_{S}^{2}\right)^{2}} \leq 6 \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)
$$

In particular, if $g \geq 6$ and $\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)=1$, then $s \geq 7$.
Proof. Assume $s=6$, by Tan's inequality:

$$
\frac{1}{3} e\left(K_{X}^{2} e+12(g-1)\right) \leq e_{f}
$$

for any natural number $e \geq 2$. Evaluating in $e=3$ we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 K_{X}^{2}+12(g-1) \leq e_{f} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f$ is semistable $e(X)=-4(g-1)+e_{f}$ and (2) becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
3 K_{X}^{2}+12(g-1) \leq e_{f}=e(X)+4(g-1) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By definition $m=K_{S}^{2}-K_{X}^{2}=e(X)-e(S)$. This, combined with Noether Formula $e(S)=12 \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)-K_{S}^{2}$ leads to:

$$
K_{S}^{2}+2(g-1) \leq 3 \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)+m
$$

The desired inequality follows after applying Lemma 3.2
The importance of this Proposition is that given a family of general type surfaces with given invariants $K_{S}^{2}$ and $\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)$ only a finite numbers of values of $g$ must be discharged in order to conclude that a fibered surface birational to $S$ has at least 7 singular fibers. This principle will be illustrated in the next section.

Note that in Proposition 3.3 the hypothesis of being $S$ of general type is essential ([2], Example 2).

## 4. Fibrations obtained from exceptional surfaces

Surfaces satisfying either $K_{S}^{2}=2$ and $p_{g}=3$ or $K_{S}^{2}=1$ and $p_{g}=2$ are called exceptional because of the behavior of the tri-canonical map (Theorem VII 8.3 in [1]). In this section we study fibrations in these surfaces by means of the canonical and bi-canonical map, respectively.
Theorem 4.1. Assume $S$ satisfies $K_{S}^{2}=2$ and $p_{g}=3$. Let $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be obtained as the blowing-up of a transversal pencil $\Lambda$ in $S$. Then, $s \geq 7$.

Proof. By Debarre's Inequality ([6]) we know that $q=0$ and therefore $\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)=4$. Assume $s=6$, by Proposition 3.3 it is sufficient to consider:

$$
6 \leq g \leq 31
$$

In this case the canonical map $\phi_{K_{S}}$ defines a 2:1 covering:

$$
\phi_{K_{S}}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}
$$

ramified along $R \equiv 4 K_{S}$ ([8]). Consider the restriction $\phi:=\left.\phi_{K_{S}}\right|_{C}$.
First, we consider the case $\phi$ is a $2: 1$ covering. Denote by $G \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ the image of $C$ under $\phi$ and $d$ for its degree. We have $C=\phi^{*} G$ and therefore:

$$
m=C^{2}=\left(\phi^{*} G\right)^{2}=2 G^{2}=2 d^{2}
$$

On the other hand, taking into account that $\phi^{*} H=K_{S}$, with $H$ a hyperplane section (i.e. the divisor associated with $\left.\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(1)\right)$ we obtain:

$$
d=\frac{C \cdot K_{S}}{2} \text { and } m=\frac{\left(C \cdot K_{S}\right)^{2}}{2}
$$

From this we get $2 m=(2(g-1)-m)^{2}$. The possible values of $g$ satisfying such a relation in the range $6 \leq g \leq 31$ are: $g=7,13,21,31$. The corresponding values of $m$ and $C . K_{S}$ are listed below:

| $g-1$ | $C . K_{S}$ | $m$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 4 | 8 |
| 12 | 6 | 18 |
| 20 | 8 | 32 |
| 30 | 10 | 50 |

If $g-1=20$ or 30 we use the inequalities of Lemma 3.1 ii), in order to obtain a contradiction.

Assume $g-1=6$. In this case the number of singular points in the fibers of $f$ will be:

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{f} & =e(X)+4(g-1) \\
& =-K_{X}^{2}+12 \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)+4(g-1) \\
& =6+48+24=78
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $s=6$ there exists at least a singular fiber $F_{0}$ of $f$ containing $\sigma_{0}=13=78 / 6$ singular points. But then, denoting $F_{0}=F_{1}+\ldots+F_{l}$ for the decomposition into irreducible components:

$$
\begin{aligned}
6=(g-1) & =\sum_{i=1}^{l}\left(g_{i}-1\right)+\sigma_{0} \\
& \geq \sum\left(g_{i}-1\right)+13
\end{aligned}
$$

with $g_{i}$ standing for the geometric genus of $F_{i}$ and $\sigma_{0}$ for the number of singular points of $F_{0}$. We have:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{l}\left(g_{i}-1\right) \leq-7
$$

In particular, $F_{0}$ have at least 7 irreducible rational components. Being $\phi$ a $2: 1$ covering and $C$ be applied under $\phi$ to a plane curve $G$ of degree $d=C \cdot K_{S} / 2=2$ we have that the number $l$ of irreducible components of $F_{0}$ is at most 4 . In this way we get a contradiction with the assumption $s=6$.

The case $g-1=12$ follows after similar considerations, this time tanking into account that the covering $\phi$ sends $C$ onto a curve $G$ of degree 3 .

Consider now the case $\phi$ restricted to $C$ is $1: 1$. In this case there exists a curve $C^{\prime}$ such that

$$
C+C^{\prime}=\phi^{*} G
$$

Moreover, since the ramifications of $\phi$ over $C$ occurs exactly on the intersections of $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ we have $C . C^{\prime}=C . R=C .4 K_{S}$. Similarly, we conclude that $C . K_{S}=$ $C^{\prime} . K_{S}$. Also, we have that:

$$
2 C \cdot K_{S}=\phi^{*} G \cdot K_{S}=2 d,
$$

i.e. $d=C . K_{S}$.

It follows that $\phi^{*} G=\phi^{*}\left(\left(C . K_{S}\right) H\right)=\left(C . K_{S}\right) K_{S}$. From

$$
C+C^{\prime}=\left(C \cdot K_{S}\right) K_{S},
$$

we obtain, after intersecting with $C$ :

$$
4 K_{S} \cdot C=C \cdot C^{\prime}=\left(C \cdot K_{S}\right)^{2}-m .
$$

The possibilities for such a relationship are listed below:

| $(g-1)$ | $C . K_{S}$ | $m$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 9 | 6 | 12 |
| 14 | 7 | 21 |
| 20 | 8 | 32 |
| 27 | 9 | 45 |

Using Lemma 3.1 we obtain a contradiction in the following cases: $g-1=20$ and 27 when evaluating at $e=4$, and $g-1=5,9$ when evaluating at $e=5$.

Finally, we must analyze the case $g-1=14$. In this case,

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{f} & =4(g-1)-K_{X}^{2}+12 \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right) \\
& =123 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Assuming $s=6$ there must to exist a singular fiber $F_{0}$ with its number of nodes $\sigma_{0} \geq 21$. Assume $F_{0}=F_{1}+\ldots+F_{l}$ is its decomposition into irreducible components. Denoting by $g_{i}$ the geometric genus of $F_{i}$ we have:

$$
14=\sum_{i=1}^{l}\left(g_{i}-1\right)+\sigma_{0} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{l}\left(g_{i}-1\right)+21
$$

From this we get $l \geq 7$. Now, the image of $F_{0}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ (under the composition $\phi \circ \pi)$ is a degree 7 curve $G_{0}$. It follows that $l=7, G_{0}=L_{1}+. .+L_{7}$ is the sum of seven lines $L_{i}$, and $C_{0}:=\pi\left(F_{0}\right)$ must be the sum of seven irreducible components $C_{0}=C_{1}+\ldots+C_{7}\left(C_{i}=\pi\left(F_{i}\right)\right)$. We have, moreover, $\phi^{*} L_{i}=C_{i}+C_{i}^{\prime} \equiv K_{S}$, $C_{i}^{2}=C_{i}^{\prime 2}=-3$ and $C_{i} C_{i}^{\prime}=4$.

A simple cohomological computation shows that $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\left(C_{i_{1}}+C_{i_{2}}+C_{i_{3}}\right)=1\right.$ for any indexes $i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3} \in\{1, \ldots, 7\}$ and $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\left(C_{1}+\ldots+C_{4}\right)\right)=2$. This, together with $\left(C_{1}+\ldots+C_{4}\right)^{2}=0$ means that $\left|C_{1}+\ldots+C_{4}\right|$ is a base point free pencil. Call $\Delta:=C_{1}+\ldots+C_{4}$. We have, $C_{i}^{\prime} . \Delta=0$ for $i=5,6,7$, thus $C_{5}^{\prime}+C_{6}^{\prime}+C_{7}^{\prime}$, being connected, must be a vertical divisor with respect to $|\Delta|$. We conclude the existence of an effective divisor $D^{\prime}$ such that $\Delta \sim C_{5}^{\prime}+C_{6}^{\prime}+C_{7}^{\prime}+D^{\prime}$. It is easy to deduce that $D^{\prime}$ is a rational $(-3)$-curve and $\phi\left(D^{\prime}\right)$ is a line in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$.

From this relation and

$$
\left(C_{1}+C_{1}^{\prime}\right)+\ldots+\left(C_{7}+C_{7}^{\prime}\right) \sim 7 K_{S},
$$

it follows that:

$$
C \sim C_{1}+\ldots+C_{7} \sim 3 K_{S}+D^{\prime}
$$

This gives a contradiction, as the image $\phi(C)$ is a degree 7 curve and the image $\phi\left(3 K_{S}+D^{\prime}\right)$ is a degree 4 curve.

Theorem 4.2. Assume $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ is obtained as a blow up of a transversal pencil $\Lambda$ on a minimal surface $S$ with $K_{S}^{2}=1$ and $p_{g}=2$. Then $s \geq 7$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.3 we must consider only the values $6 \leq g \leq 37$.
Considering the classical Horikawa's construction and notation (9]), let $\Pi: \bar{S} \rightarrow$ $S$ be the blowing up centered in the base point $p$ of $\left|K_{S}\right|$, denote by $E$ its exceptional divisor and consider the ramified double covering:

$$
\phi_{2}: \bar{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{2}
$$

The map $\phi_{2}$ is given as follow: the bicanonical map of $S$ determines a double cover on the singular quadric $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$, the singular point being the image of $p$. $\phi_{2}$ is the induced map on $\bar{S}$ after considering the desingularization $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ of the quadric. The locus branch of $\phi_{2}$ is the divisor $B=6 \Delta+10 \Gamma$, with $\Delta$ and $\Gamma$ denoting respectively the class of the (-2)-section and the class of the fiber in $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ of the structural morphism and the ramification divisor $R$ is $5 K_{S}+E$. Here and in what follows given $D$ any divisor in $S$ we just write $D$ for the divisor $\Pi^{*} D$ in $\bar{S}$.

Denote by $\bar{\Lambda}$ the induced pencil on $\bar{S}$. Depending on whether $p$ is a base point of $\Lambda$ or not we have

$$
\bar{C}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\Pi^{*} C & \text { if } \quad p \notin \Lambda  \tag{4}\\
\Pi^{*} C-E & \text { if } & p \in \Lambda
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $G$ be the image of $\bar{C}$ under $\phi_{2}$. If we denote $G=a \Delta+b \Gamma$ and considering that $\phi^{*} \Delta=2 E, \phi^{*} \Gamma=K_{S}-E$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{*} G=b K_{S}+(2 a-b) E . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let be $\phi:=\left.\phi_{2}\right|_{\bar{C}}: \bar{C} \rightarrow G$ with $\operatorname{deg} \phi=n=1$ or 2 .
We analyze the two cases $n=1$ or 2 and within each one the subcases $p \in \Lambda$ or not.

Case $1 \phi$ is 2:1. In this case $\phi^{*} G=\bar{C}$.
Assume first that $p \notin \Lambda$.
By (4) and (5) we have that $2 a-b=0$, using this $\bar{C}^{2}=m=b^{2}$. On the other hand $m=b C . K_{S}$, therefore $b=C . K_{S}$. The next table shows the possible values of $m, C . K_{S}$ and $g-1$.

| $C . K_{S}$ | $a$ | $m$ | $g-1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 2 | 16 | 10 |
| 6 | 3 | 36 | 21 |
| 8 | 4 | 64 | 36 |

Assume $g-1=10$. In this case the number of singular points in the fibers of $f$ will be:

$$
\begin{gathered}
e_{f}=12 \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)-K_{X}^{2}+4(g-1) \\
=36+15+4(10)=91
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $s=6$ there exists at least a singular fiber $F_{0}$ of $f$ containing 16 singular points. Let $F_{0}=F_{1}+\ldots+F_{l}$ be the decomposition into irreducible components, then:

$$
\begin{align*}
10=g-1 & \geq \sum_{i=1}^{l}\left(g_{i}-1\right)+\sigma_{0} \\
& \geq \sum\left(g_{i}-1\right)+16 \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $g_{i}$ denotes the geometric genus of $F_{i}$. This imply that $l \geq 6$. Moreover there are at least 6 of this components that are rational curves and are mapping onto rational components of $G_{0}$.

From [7] (Corollary V.5.18) we know that the possible irreducible curves in $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ are: $\Gamma, \Delta$ and $\alpha \Delta+\beta \Gamma$ with $\alpha>0, \beta \geq 2 a$. Let $G_{0}=G_{1}+\ldots+G_{s}$ be the decomposition into irreducible components.

Note that even being $\Delta$ a rational curve, is not a possibility for any of the $G_{i}$ 's, that because if $G_{1}=\Delta$ then

$$
\bar{C}_{1}=\phi^{*} G_{0}=2 E
$$

that contradicts the semistability of $f$.
With respect to the components $G_{i}=a_{i} \Delta+b_{i} \Gamma, a_{i}>0, b_{i} \geq 2 a, G_{i}$ is rational if and only if $a_{i}=1$ and $b_{i} \geq 2$. Since $a=\sum_{i=1}^{s} a_{i}$ and $b=\sum_{i=1}^{s} b_{i}$ the only possible
decomposition is $G_{0}=G_{1}+G_{2}$ with $G_{i}=\Delta+2 \Gamma, i=1,2$, i.e. we can't have the 6 needed rational components.

If $g-1=21,36$ we use similar arguments with $\sigma_{0}=26,41$ respectively. In both cases there exist at least 5 rational components and this is not possible because $a<5$.

Now consider the case $p \in \Lambda$.
By (4) and (5) $b=2 a+1$, so $m-1=\bar{C}^{2}=4 a(a+1)$ and $m=b^{2}$. Moreover,

$$
\bar{C} \cdot K_{\bar{S}}=C \cdot K_{S}+1=b+1
$$

Therefore $m=\left(C \cdot K_{S}\right)^{2}$. Keeping in mind the previous notation, we get the next possible values

| $C . K_{S}$ | $a$ | $m$ | $g-1$ | $e_{f}$ | $\sigma_{0}$ | $l \geq$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | 1 | 9 | 6 | 68 | 12 | 6 |
| 5 | 2 | 25 | 15 | 120 | 20 | 5 |
| 7 | 3 | 49 | 28 | 196 | 33 | 5 |

Observe that $l$ is, as before, the minimal number of rational components in $G_{0}$, so analogous to the case $p \notin \Lambda$, all possibilities in the table can't occur because of $a<l$.

Case $2 \phi$ is 1:1. In this case there exists a divisor $\bar{C}^{\prime}$ such that $\phi^{*} G=\bar{C}+\bar{C}^{\prime}$.
Denote as before by $F_{0}$ a singular fiber of $f, C_{0}$ its image under $\pi$. If $F_{0}=$ $F_{1}+\ldots+F_{k}$ is the decomposition of $F_{0}$ into irreducible components, we denote by $C_{0}=C_{1}+\ldots+C_{k}$ the corresponding decomposition for $C_{0}$ and by $\bar{C}_{0}=\bar{C}_{1}+\ldots+\bar{C}_{k}$ the corresponding curves and decomposition in $\bar{S}$ and by $G_{0}=G_{1}+\ldots+G_{k}$ their images in $\mathbb{F}_{2}$.

We begin by stating the following:
Lemma 4.3. In the previous situation, let $G_{1}$ be any irreducible component of $G_{0}$, then neither $G_{1} \sim \Delta$, nor $G_{1} \sim \Gamma$ nor $G_{1} \sim \Delta+2 \Gamma$. In particular, if $G_{0} \sim a \Delta+b \Gamma$, then the number of rational irreducible components of $G_{0}$ is least or equal than a.

Proof. Let $G_{1}$ be equivalent to $\Delta$. Then $\phi_{2}^{*}\left(G_{1}\right) \sim 2 E$. Note that there exists a divisor $\bar{C}_{1}^{\prime}$ such that $\bar{C}_{1}+\bar{C}_{1}^{\prime}=\phi_{2}^{*}\left(G_{1}\right)$. This implies $\bar{C}_{1}=E$, which is impossible by the definition of $\bar{C}$.

Now, assume $G_{1} \equiv \Gamma$, then $\phi^{*} G_{1} \equiv K_{S}-E=C_{1}+C_{1}^{\prime}$. From this, intersecting with $K_{S}$, and using that $K_{S}$ is nef we obtain that either $C_{1} \cdot K_{S}=0$ or $K_{S} . C_{1}^{\prime}=0$. It follows that there exists a curve $D$ on $S$ with $K_{S} \cdot D=0$, which contradicts that ampleness of $\left|K_{S}\right|$.

Finally, suppose that $G_{1}=\Delta+2 \Gamma$, in this case $\phi^{*} G_{1} \equiv 2 K_{S}$.
Note that for any decomposition $2 K_{S}=A+A^{\prime}$ we must have that both, $A$ and $A^{\prime}$ must be irreducible and equivalent to $K_{S}$ and $A \cdot A^{\prime}=1$. Indeed, assume $A$ irreducible, that from $2 K_{S}=A+A^{\prime}$ with easy it follows that $A . K_{S}=A^{\prime} . K_{S}=1$. Therefore, $A^{2}=1$, because $2 K_{S}$ is 1 -connected, $A \equiv K_{S}$ follows from HIT.

One stablished this fact, just note that if $2 K_{S}=C_{1}+C_{1}^{\prime}$, then $\phi: C_{1} \rightarrow G_{1}$ can not be $1: 1$, because $C_{1}$ is a genus 2 curve.

The last assertion follows from the fact that the only irreducible rational curves on $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ are equivalent to either $\Delta, \Gamma$ or $\Delta+b \Gamma$ with $b \geq 2$.

Continuing the proof of the Theorem, assume first that $p \notin \Lambda$. We have the commutative diagram:

therefore $\phi_{2 K}\left(\Pi\left(\bar{C}^{\prime}\right)\right)$ contains the singular point of $Q$ and from this it follows that $\bar{C}^{\prime}=\Pi^{*} C^{\prime}$, for some effective divisor $C^{\prime}$ in $S$. By (5) $b=2 a$ and $\bar{C}+\bar{C}^{\prime} \sim b K_{S}$. Therefore,

$$
C . K_{S}+C^{\prime} \cdot K_{S}=\left(\bar{C}+\bar{C}^{\prime}\right) K_{\bar{S}}=\left(b K_{S}\right)\left(K_{S}+E\right)=b
$$

The ramifications of $\phi_{2}$ occurring on $\bar{C}$ are given by the intersections of $\bar{C}$ and $\bar{C}^{\prime}$, so we have $\bar{C} \cdot \bar{C}^{\prime}=\bar{C} \cdot R=\bar{C}^{\prime} . R$. In particular, the right hand term of the previous equation implies that $C . K_{S}=C^{\prime} . K_{S}$ and $b=2 C . K_{S}$.

Moreover,

$$
b C \cdot K_{S}=\phi^{*} G \cdot \bar{C}=\left(\bar{C}+\bar{C}^{\prime}\right) \cdot \bar{C}=m+\bar{C} \cdot \bar{C}^{\prime}=m+5 C \cdot K_{S} .
$$

We conclude that $m=C . K_{S}\left(2 C . K_{S}-5\right)$ and we get the possible values (keeping in mind the previous notation introduced for $\sigma_{0}$ and $l$ ):

| $b$ | $C . K_{S}$ | $m$ | $g-1$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 4 | 12 | 8 |
| 10 | 5 | 25 | 15 |
| 12 | 6 | 42 | 24 |
| 14 | 7 | 63 | 35 |

The values $g-1=24,35$ are impossible because of the Hodge Index Theorem.
If $g-1=8$ we have that $e_{f}=79$ and then there must exists a singular fiber $F_{0}$ with at least 14 singular points. It follows that $G_{0}$ has 6 or more rational components. Using that $a=4$ and Lemma 4.3, we get a contradiction. The case $g-1=15$ follows after similar considerations.

It remains to analyze the case $p \in \Lambda$.
As in the previous case, like $\bar{C}=C-E$ also $\bar{C}^{\prime}=C^{\prime}-E$. From this we get $2 a-b=-2$. Therefore we have:

$$
\phi_{2}^{*} G=C+C^{\prime}-2 E=b K_{S}-2 E .
$$

Moreover, $\bar{C} \cdot R=\bar{C}^{\prime} \cdot R$ and then $C \cdot K_{S}+1=\bar{C} \cdot K_{\bar{S}}=\bar{C}^{\prime} \cdot K_{\bar{S}}$
We get the next formulas

$$
\phi_{2}^{*} G \cdot K_{\bar{S}}=b+2=2 C \cdot K_{S}+2
$$

and therefore

$$
m+5 C \cdot K_{S}=\phi_{2}^{*} G \cdot \bar{C}=\left(b K_{S}-2 E\right) \cdot(C-E)=b C \cdot K_{S}-2
$$

We conclude that $b=2 C . K_{S}$ and $m=2\left(C . K_{S}\right)^{2}-5 C . K_{S}-2$. The table of possible values is:

| C. $K_{S}$ | $b$ | $a$ | $m$ | $g-1$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 8 | 3 | 10 | 7 |
| 5 | 10 | 4 | 23 | 14 |
| 6 | 12 | 5 | 40 | 23 |
| 7 | 14 | 6 | 61 | 34 |

If $g-1=23,34$ we get a contradiction by Hodge Index Theorem. If $g-1=7$, then $e_{f}=73$, therefore there exists a singular fiber $F_{0}$ with at least 13 singular points and at least 6 rational components. Taking in consideration that $a=3$ and Lemma 4.3 we obtain a contradiction. The case $g-1=14$ is similar.

## 5. The adjoint case

In this section we consider fibrations $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ satisfying the property that $C$ is an adjoint linear system, i.e., $C \equiv B+K_{S}$ with $B$ a big and nef divisor. The typical example for bearing in mind is $C \equiv n K_{S}$, i.e. the fibration $f$ is obtained after blowing up the base locus of a generic pencil of curves $\Lambda \subset\left|n K_{S}\right|$.

We collect, for further use, some general elemental facts in the following:
Lemma 5.1. Assume $C \equiv B+K_{S}$ with $B$ a big and nef divisor, denoting by $g_{B}$ the arithmetic genus of $B$, we have:
i)

$$
2\left(g_{B}-1\right)=B^{2}+B \cdot K_{S}
$$

ii)

$$
m=\left(g_{B}-1\right)+(g-1)
$$

iii)

$$
(g-1)=\left(g_{B}-1\right)+B \cdot K_{S}+K_{S}^{2} .
$$

iv) $2 \leq B . K_{S}$, and if $g \geq 5$, then

$$
g+1 \leq m
$$

Proof. Assertions i)-iii) follow immediately from adjunction formula. As for iv), note that it is enough to prove that $2 \leq B . K_{S}$, because then using i) $g_{B}-1 \geq 2$ and the desired inequality follows from ii). Now, if $B \cdot K_{S}=1$, by Index Hodge Theorem $B^{2}=K_{S}^{2}=1$ and applying from $m=B^{2}+2 B . K_{S}+K_{S}^{2}$ we have $m=4$. On the other hand, from i) and ii) $m=1+(g-1)=g \geq 5$.

We start by studding the case $K_{S}^{2}=1$, which is similar in nature to Theorems 4.1 and 4.2 .

Proposition 5.2. Let $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ be a fibration obtained as the blowing up of the base locus of a transversal and adjoint pencil $\Lambda$ on a minimal surface with $K_{S}^{2}=1$. Then, $s \geq 7$.

Proof. By Noether Inequality $p_{g} \leq 2$, and by Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 4.2, we can assume $p_{g}=1$. It is well known that for a surface with such invariants the bicanonical map $\phi_{2 K_{S}}$ defines a $4: 1$ morphism onto $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ (5], 10], 14]):

$$
\phi_{2 K_{S}}: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}
$$

ramified along a divisor $R \equiv 7 K_{S}$. We consider, as before, the restriction of this map to $C$ :


In this case $n$ is a divisor of $4, G \subset \mathbb{P}^{2}$ is the image of $C$ and $j$ denotes its normalization. Denote by $d$ the degree of $G$.

If we assume $s=6$ we only need, according to Proposition 3.3 to consider $6 \leq g \leq 16$.

We start by analyzing the case $n=4$ : in this case we have $C=\phi^{*} G$ and therefore:

$$
m=C^{2}=\left(\phi^{*} G\right)^{2}=4 G^{2}=4 d^{2}
$$

On the other hand, taking in account that $\phi^{*} H \equiv 2 K_{S}$, with $H$ hyperplane section (i.e the divisor associated with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(1)$ ) we obtain:

$$
C \cdot K_{S}=\phi^{*} G \cdot K_{S}=\phi^{*}(d H) \cdot K_{S}=2 d .
$$

Adjunction formula gives $2(g-1)=2 d(2 d+1)$. The only value of $g$ that satisfies the relation in the range $6 \leq g \leq 16$ is $g-1=10$ with $d=2$ and $m=16$. Evaluating in Tan's inequality for $e=4$ (Lemma 3.1 ii))we obtain a contradiction.

If $n<4$, then there exists an effective divisor $C^{\prime}>0$ such that:

$$
C+C^{\prime} \equiv \phi_{2 K_{S}}^{*} G \equiv 2 d K_{S}
$$

Note that:

$$
C^{\prime} \cdot K_{S}=2 d-C \cdot K_{S}
$$

and

$$
C^{2}=4 d^{2}-4 d C \cdot K_{S}+C^{2}
$$

Moreover, since $h^{1}(C)=h^{2}(C)=0$,

$$
h^{0}(C)=\frac{C^{2}-C \cdot K_{S}}{2}+2
$$

Next, the only possibility for $h^{2}\left(C^{\prime}\right)=h^{0}\left(K_{S}-C^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$ is $C^{\prime} \equiv K_{S}$, because $p_{g}=1$. This would imply $C \equiv K_{s}$, which is impossible.

It is easy to prove that $H^{0}(C) \simeq H^{0}\left(C^{\prime}\right)$ and by Riemann-Roch we get:

$$
h^{0}(C)=h^{0}\left(C^{\prime}\right) \geq \frac{C^{\prime 2}-C^{\prime} . K_{S}}{2}+2
$$

and substituting the values of $C^{\prime 2}$ and $C^{\prime} \cdot K_{S}$ :

$$
h^{0}(C) \geq h^{0}(C)+\frac{4 d^{2}-(4 d-2) C . K_{S}-2 d}{2}
$$

This implies,

$$
2 d^{2}-(2 d-1) C . K_{S}-d \leq 0
$$

that is equivalent to $d \leq C . K_{S}$.
Now, assume $n=1$. The linear system $\left.\left|2 K_{S}\right|\right|_{C}$ defines a base point free linear system on $C$ and the associated map a $1: 1$ cover onto a plane degree $d$ curve.

Thus, we have $d=2 C . K_{S}$ and we obtain a contradiction with the just obtained bound $d \leq C . K_{S}$.

The case $n=2$ remains to be analyzed: in this case we have $C . K_{S}=d$. Note that the intersections of $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ gives place to ramifications points of $\phi_{2 K_{S}}$. Therefore:

$$
2 d C . K_{S}-m=C . C^{\prime} \leq C . R=7 C . K_{S}
$$

From this we get:

$$
d(2 d-7) \leq m \leq 2 d^{2}-2
$$

It follows that $d \leq 5$. In general we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
e_{f} & =e(X)+4(g-1) \\
& =24-(1-m)+4(g-1)  \tag{7}\\
& =23-d+6(g-1)
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, assuming $s=6$ and $d \leq 3$, there must exits a singular fiber $F_{0}$ of $f$ having at least $(g-1)+4$ nodes. Call $\sigma_{0}$ the number of nodes of $F_{0}$. Note that the number of nodes of $C_{0}=\pi\left(F_{0}\right)$ is also $\sigma_{0}$. Then, $\sigma_{0} \geq 9$. On the other hand, the plane curve $G_{0}=\phi_{2 K_{S}}\left(C_{0}\right)$, being of degree $d \leq 3$ admits at most 3 nodes. In this way we get the contradiction $\sigma_{0} \leq 6$.

Similar argumentations lead to contradictions for the cases $d=4,5$. Indeed, if $d=4$, then $\sigma_{0} \geq g+3$. We have:

$$
g-1=\sum_{i=1}^{l}\left(g_{i}-1\right)+\sigma_{0} \geq-l+g+3
$$

with $g_{i}$ standing for the geometric genus of the components $F_{i}$ of $F_{0}$. It follows that $l \geq 4$ and therefore $F_{0}$ (and in consequence $C_{0}$ ) has at least 4 rational components. From this it follows that $G_{0}$ has at least 2 irreducible rational components. Taking in account that $G_{0}$ is a degree 4 curve we have that, either $G_{0}$ contains a line as an irreducible component or it is the product of two irreducible conics. If $G_{0}$ is the product of two irreducible conics then it has only 4 nodes and we get, as before a contradiction, in any other case, if $L$ is an irreducible component of $G_{0}$ and $C_{0}=C_{1}+\ldots+C_{l}$, then

$$
\phi_{2 K_{S}}^{*} L=C_{i}+C_{i}^{\prime}
$$

for $C_{i}$ some rational components of $C_{0}$. But then:

$$
2 K_{S} \equiv C_{i}+C_{i}^{\prime}
$$

and it follows (4 Lemma 1, page 181) that $C_{i}=\Delta$, the only effective divisor in $\left|K_{S}\right|$. This give a contradiction, since $\Delta$ is a curve of geometric genus 2.

Finally the case $d=5$ follows after similar considerations. In this case $C_{0}$ admits at least 3 irreducible rational components and $G_{0}$ at least 2 irreducible rational components. The only subtle case to be treated careful being the possibility that $G_{0}=Q+E$, with $Q$ an irreducible conic and $E$ a singular irreducible cubic. But in this case either $Q$ or $E$ must satisfies that it pull back under $\phi_{2 K_{S}}$ is the sum of two irreducible components $C_{i}+C_{j}$ of $C_{0}$.

Note that $\phi_{2 K_{S}}^{*} E=C_{i}+C_{j}$ is impossible, because then

$$
6 K_{S} \equiv C_{i}+C_{j}
$$

and then $K_{S} \cdot\left(C_{i}+C_{j}\right)=6$, that contradicts $K_{S} \cdot C_{0}=5$. On the other hand

$$
4 K_{S}=\phi_{2 K_{S}}^{*} Q=C_{i}+C_{j}
$$

implies that $C_{0}$ has exactly 3 irreducible components: $C_{0}=C_{1}+C_{2}+C_{3}$. Suppose $i=1$ and $j=2$, then $C_{3} . K_{S}=1$ and

$$
\phi_{2 K_{S}}: C_{3} \rightarrow E
$$

must be a 2:1 map onto a degree cubic and we obtain the contradiction

$$
2 K_{S} . C_{3}=6
$$

Finally we have:
Theorem 5.3. Let $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$ a semi-stable non-isotrivial fibration obtained as the blow-up of the base locus of an adjoint pencil $\Lambda$ on the minimal surface $S$. Then if $K_{S}^{2} \geq 3$ the number $s$ of singular fibers of $f$ is at least 7 .If $K_{S}^{2} \leq 2$ and $\Lambda$ is also transversal, then $s \geq 7$.
Proof. We assume $s=6$ and $2 \leq K_{S}^{2}$. From Noether's inequality:

$$
p_{g} \leq \frac{K_{S}^{2}}{2}+2
$$

we have:

$$
\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right) \leq \frac{K_{S}^{2}}{2}+3
$$

Applying Lemma 3.3

$$
K_{S}^{2}+\sqrt{8 K_{S}^{2}(g-1)+\left(K_{S}^{2}\right)^{2}} \leq 3 K_{S}^{2}+18
$$

which implies

$$
8 K_{S}^{2}(g-1)+\left(K_{S}^{2}\right)^{2} \leq 4\left(K_{S}^{2}\right)^{2}+72 K_{S}^{2}+18^{2}
$$

Substituting $2(g-1) \geq C \cdot K_{S}+m=B \cdot K_{S}+K_{S}^{2}+m$, we get:

$$
m \leq \frac{72-4 B \cdot K_{S}}{4}+\frac{18^{2}-\left(K_{S}^{2}\right)^{2}}{4 K_{S}^{2}}
$$

Now, combine the previous bound for $m$ with Lemma 3.1 ii), in order to deduce:

$$
19 K_{S}^{2}+19 B \cdot K_{S} \leq 18+\frac{18^{2}-\left(K_{S}^{2}\right)^{2}}{4 K_{S}^{2}}+108
$$

Using again the adjoint hypothesis, this amount to:

$$
\frac{\left(K_{S}^{2}\right)}{4}+19 C \cdot K_{S} \leq 166
$$

that is,

$$
C . K_{S} \leq 8
$$

Now, use Hodge Index Theorem:

$$
m \leq \frac{\left(C \cdot K_{S}\right)^{2}}{K_{S}^{2}} \leq \frac{64}{K_{S}^{2}}
$$

and apply one more time Lemma 3.1 ii ):

$$
\begin{gathered}
K_{S}^{2}+18 C \cdot K_{S} \leq \frac{64}{K_{S}^{2}}+108 \\
19 K_{S}^{2}+18 B \cdot K_{S} \leq \frac{64}{K_{S}^{2}}+108
\end{gathered}
$$

Finally, using $2 \leq B . K_{S}$, (Lemma 5.1 iv$)$ ) we arrive to:

$$
19 K_{S}^{2} \leq \frac{64}{K_{S}^{2}}+72
$$

This implies $K_{S}^{2} \leq 4$. Thus, only the cases $K_{S}^{2}=2,3,4$ remains to be discharged. This is easy and essentially is a reproduction of the previous argument.

For instance, for case $K_{S}^{2}=2$ we have by Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 3.3 that $g \leq 16$ and $m \leq 26$, moreover, assuming $\Lambda$ is transversal, we can apply Theorem 4.1 and assume that $\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right) \leq 3$. Evaluating Tan's Inequality at $e=5$ (Lemma 3.1 iii)) we get:

$$
7 K_{S}^{2}+6 C . K_{S} \leq m+9 \chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)
$$

that, under our fixed values becomes $C . K_{S} \leq 6$. Using Hodge Index Theorem we obtain $m \leq 18$. Evaluating again Tan's Inequality at $e=4$ we have $C . K_{S} \leq 4$ and $m \leq 8$. Finally, we evaluate once again Tan's Inequality at $e=5$ and get the final contradiction $C . K_{S} \leq 3$ and $g+1 \leq m \leq 4$.

Cases $K_{S}^{2}=3,4$ are quite analogous, only that in these cases we don't need Theorem4.1, and in consequence the transversality hypothesis can be avoided.
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