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#### Abstract

We consider symmetric (not complete intersection) numerical semigroups $\mathrm{S}_{5}$, generated by five elements, and derive inequalities for degrees of syzygies of $S_{5}$ and find the lower bound $F_{5}$ for their Frobenius numbers. We study a special case $\mathrm{W}_{5}$ of such semigroups, which satisfy the Watanabe Lemma, and show that the lower bound $F_{5 w}$ for the Frobenius number of the semigroup $\mathrm{W}_{5}$ is stronger than $F_{5}$.


## 1. Symmetric numerical semigroups generated by five integers

Let a numerical semigroup $\mathrm{S}_{m}=\left\langle d_{1}, \ldots, d_{m}\right\rangle$ be generated by a set of $m$ integers $\left\{d_{1}, \ldots, d_{m}\right\}$ such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{1}, \ldots, d_{m}\right)=1$, where $d_{1}$ and $m$ denote a multiplicity and an embedding dimension (edim) of $\mathrm{S}_{m}$. There exist $m-1$ polynomial identities [7] for degrees of syzygies associated with the semigroup ring $k\left[\mathrm{~S}_{m}\right]$. They are a source of various relations for semigroups of a different nature. In the case of complete intersection (CI) semigroups, such a relation for the degrees $e_{j}$ of the 1st syzygy was found in [7. The next nontrivial case exhibits a symmetric (not CI) semigroup generated by $m \geq 4$ integers. In [6] such semigroups with $m=4$ were studied and the lower bound for the Frobenius number $F\left(\mathrm{~S}_{4}\right)$ was found. In the present paper we deal with a more difficult case of symmetric semigroups $S_{5}$.

Consider a symmetric numerical semigroup $S_{5}$, which is not CI, and generated by five elements $d_{i}$. Its Hilbert series $H\left(\mathrm{~S}_{5} ; t\right)$ with the 1st Betti number $\beta_{1}$ reads,

$$
\begin{align*}
& H\left(\mathrm{~S}_{5} ; t\right)=\frac{Q_{5}(t)}{\prod_{i=1}^{5}\left(1-t^{d_{i}}\right)}, \\
& Q_{5}(t)=1-\sum_{j=1}^{\beta_{1}} t^{x_{j}}+\sum_{j=1}^{\beta_{1}-1}\left(t^{y_{j}}+t^{g-y_{j}}\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{\beta_{1}} t^{g-x_{j}}+t^{g}, \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x_{j}, y_{j}, g \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, x_{j}, y_{j}<g$, and the Frobenius number is defined as follows: $F\left(\mathrm{~S}_{5}\right)=g-\sigma_{1}$, where $\sigma_{1}=\sum_{j=1}^{5} d_{j}$. There are two more constraints, $\beta_{1}>4$

[^0]and $d_{1}>5$. The inequality $\beta_{1}>4$ holds since the semigroup $S_{5}$ is not CI, and the condition $d_{1}>5$ is necessary since the numerical semigroup $\left\langle m, d_{2}, \ldots, d_{m}\right\rangle$ is never symmetric (5].

Polynomial identities for degrees of syzygies for numerical semigroups were derived in [7], Theorem 1 . In the case of the symmetric (not CI) semigroup $\mathrm{S}_{5}$, they read:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j=1}^{\beta_{1}} x_{j}^{r}-\sum_{j=1}^{\beta_{1}-1}\left[y_{j}^{r}+\left(g-y_{j}\right)^{r}\right]+\sum_{j=1}^{\beta_{1}}\left(g-x_{j}\right)^{r}-g^{r}=0, \quad 1 \leq r \leq 3  \tag{2}\\
& \sum_{j=1}^{\beta_{1}} x_{j}^{4}-\sum_{j=1}^{\beta_{1}-1}\left[y_{j}^{4}+\left(g-y_{j}\right)^{4}\right]+\sum_{j=1}^{\beta_{1}}\left(g-x_{j}\right)^{4}-g^{4}=-24 \pi_{5}, \quad \pi_{5}=\prod_{j}^{5} d_{j} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Only two of the four identities in (23) are not trivial; these are the identities with $r=2,4$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{j=1}^{\beta_{1}} x_{j}\left(g-x_{j}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{\beta_{1}-1} y_{j}\left(g-y_{j}\right)  \tag{4}\\
& \sum_{j=1}^{\beta_{1}} x_{j}^{2}\left(g-x_{j}\right)^{2}+12 \pi_{5}=\sum_{j=1}^{\beta_{1}-1} y_{j}^{2}\left(g-y_{j}\right)^{2} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Denote by $Z_{k}$ the k-th power symmetric polynomial $Z_{k}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{j}^{k}$, $z_{j} \geq 0$, and recall the Newton-Maclaurin inequality [8],

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{1}^{2} \leq n Z_{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying (6) to the right-hand side of equality (5) and substituting into the resulting inequality an equality (4), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\beta_{1}} x_{j}\left(g-x_{j}\right)\right)^{2} \leq\left(\beta_{1}-1\right)\left[\sum_{j=1}^{\beta_{1}} x_{j}^{2}\left(g-x_{j}\right)^{2}+12 \pi_{5}\right] \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, according to (6), another inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\beta_{1}} x_{j}\left(g-x_{j}\right)\right)^{2} \leq \beta_{1} \sum_{j=1}^{\beta_{1}} x_{j}^{2}\left(g-x_{j}\right)^{2} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 1. Let a symmetric (not CI) semigroup $\mathrm{S}_{5}$ be given with the Hilbert series $H\left(\mathrm{~S}_{5} ; z\right)$ according to (1). Then the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g \geq g_{5}, \quad g_{5}=\lambda\left(\beta_{1}\right) \sqrt[4]{\pi_{5}}, \quad \lambda\left(\beta_{1}\right)=4 \sqrt[4]{\frac{3}{4} \frac{\beta_{1}-1}{\beta_{1}}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Inequality (8) holds always, while inequality (7) is not valid for every set $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{\beta_{1}}, g\right\}$. In order to make both inequalities consistent, we have to find a range for $g$ where both inequalities (7) and (8) are satisfied. As we will see in (12), this requirement also constrains the admissible values of $x_{j}$. To provide both inequalities to be correct, it is enough to require

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\beta_{1}-1}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\beta_{1}} x_{j}\left(g-x_{j}\right)\right)^{2}-12 \pi_{5} \geq \frac{1}{\beta_{1}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\beta_{1}} x_{j}\left(g-x_{j}\right)\right)^{2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making use of the notation in (6), rewrite inequality (10) for $X_{1}=\sum_{j=1}^{\beta_{1}} x_{j}$ and $X_{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{\beta_{1}} x_{j}^{2}$,

$$
g X_{1}-C \geq X_{2}, \quad C=\sqrt{12 \beta_{1}\left(\beta_{1}-1\right) \pi_{5}}
$$

and combine it with inequality (6), namely, $X_{2} \geq \beta_{1}^{-1} X_{1}^{2}$. Thus, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{1}^{2}-\beta_{1} g X_{1}+\beta_{1} C \leq 0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Represent the last inequality (11) in the following way,

$$
\left(\frac{\beta_{1} g}{2}\right)^{2} \geq \beta_{1} C+\left(X_{1}-\frac{\beta_{1} g}{2}\right)^{2}
$$

and obtain immediately the lower bound $g_{5}$ in accordance with (9).
The lower bound for the Frobenius number is given by $F_{5}=g_{5}-\sigma_{1}$. Inequality (11) constrains the degrees $x_{j}$ of the 1st syzygy for the symmetric (not CI) semigroup $\mathrm{S}_{5}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\beta_{1} g}{2}\left(1-\sqrt{1-\frac{g_{5}^{2}}{g^{2}}}\right) \leq X_{1} \leq \frac{\beta_{1} g}{2}\left(1+\sqrt{1-\frac{g_{5}^{2}}{g^{2}}}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Below we present twelve symmetric (not CI) semigroups $S_{5}$ with different Betti's numbers $\beta_{1}=7,8,9,13$ and give a comparative Table 1 for their largest degree $g$ of syzygies and its lower bound $g_{5}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\beta_{1}=7, & A_{1}^{7}=\langle 6,10,14,15,19\rangle, & A_{2}^{7}=\langle 6,10,14,17,21\rangle, & A_{3}^{7}=\langle 9,10,11,13,17\rangle \\
\beta_{1}=8, & A_{1}^{8}=\langle 6,10,14,19,23\rangle, & A_{2}^{8}=\langle 8,10,13,14,19\rangle, & A_{3}^{8}=\langle 8,9,12,13,19\rangle \\
\beta_{1}=9, & A_{1}^{9}=\langle 7,12,13,18,23\rangle, & A_{2}^{9}=\langle 9,12,13,14,19\rangle, & A_{3}^{9}=\langle 8,11,12,15,25\rangle \\
\beta_{1}=13, & A_{1}^{13}=\langle 19,23,29,31,37\rangle, & A_{2}^{13}=\langle 19,27,28,31,32\rangle, & A_{3}^{13}=\langle 23,28,32,45,54\rangle .
\end{array}
$$

| $\mathrm{S}_{5}$ | $A_{1}^{7}$ | $A_{2}^{7}$ | $A_{3}^{7}$ | $A_{1}^{8}$ | $A_{2}^{8}$ | $A_{3}^{8}$ | $A_{1}^{9}$ | $A_{2}^{9}$ | $A_{3}^{9}$ | $A_{1}^{13}$ | $A_{2}^{13}$ | $A_{3}^{13}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $g$ | 87 | 93 | 85 | 99 | 89 | 84 | 102 | 96 | 100 | 240 | 236 | 331 |
| $g_{5}$ | 79.2 | 83.8 | 77.5 | 88.6 | 82.6 | 77.4 | 93.7 | 89.4 | 90.7 | 225.3 | 224.2 | 306.9 |

Table 1. The largest degree $g$ of syzygies and its lower bound $g_{5}$ for symmetric (not CI) semigroups $\mathrm{S}_{5}$ with different Betti's numbers $\beta_{1}=7,8,9,13$.

The semigroups $A_{i}^{13}, i=1,2,3$, were studied by H. Bresinsky [3]; the other semigroups were generated numerically with the help of the package "NumericalSgps" [4] by M. Delgado.

Comparing $F_{5}$ with the two known lower bounds of the Frobenius numbers $F_{C I_{5}}$ and $F_{N S_{5}}$ for the symmetric CI [7] and nonsymmetric [10] semigroups generated by five elements, respectively, we have

$$
F_{C I_{5}}=4 \sqrt[4]{\pi_{5}}-\sigma_{1}, \quad F_{N S_{5}}=\sqrt[4]{24 \pi_{5}}-\sigma_{1}, \quad F_{N S_{5}}<F_{5}<F_{C I_{5}}
$$

## 2. Symmetric numerical (not CI) semigroups $S_{5}$ with $W$ property

Watanabe [11] gave a construction of the numerical semigroup $S_{m}$ generated by $m$ elements starting with a semigroup $S_{m-1}$ generated by $m-1$ elements and proved the following lemma.

Lemma 1. (11]). Let a numerical semigroup $S_{m-1}=\left\langle\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{m-1}\right\rangle$ be given and $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, $a>1$, $d_{m}>m$, such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(a, d_{m}\right)=1, d_{m} \in S_{m-1}$. Consider $a$ numerical semigroup $S_{m}=\left\langle a \delta_{1}, \ldots, a \delta_{m-1}, d_{m}\right\rangle$ and denote it by $S_{m}=\left\langle a S_{m-1}, d_{m}\right\rangle$. Then $S_{m}$ is symmetric if and only if $S_{m-1}$ is symmetric, and $S_{m}$ is symmetric CI if and only if $S_{m-1}$ is symmetric CI.

For our purpose the following Corollary of Lemma 1 is important.
Corollary 1. Let a numerical semigroup $S_{m-1}=\left\langle\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{m-1}\right\rangle$ be given and $a \in$ $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, a>1, d_{m}>m$, such that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(a, d_{m}\right)=1, d_{m} \in S_{m-1}$. Consider a semigroup $S_{m}=\left\langle a S_{m-1}, d_{m}\right\rangle$. Then $S_{m}$ is symmetric (not CI) if and only if $S_{m-1}$ is symmetric (not CI).

To utilize this construction we define the following property.
Definition 1. A symmetric (not CI) semigroup $S_{m}$ has the property $W$ if there exists another symmetric (not CI) semigroup $S_{m-1}$ giving rise to $S_{m}$ by the construction, described in Corollary 1 .

Note that in Definition 1 the semigroup $S_{m-1}$ does not necessarily possess the property $W$. The symbol $W$ stands for Kei-ichi Watanabe. The next Proposition distinguishes the minimal edim of symmetric CI and symmetric (not CI) numerical semigroups with the property $W$.

Proposition 2. A minimal edim of symmetric (not CI) semigroup $S_{m}$ with the property W is $m=5$.

Proof. All numerical semigroups of $e d i m=2$ are symmetric CI, and all symmetric numerical semigroups of $e$ dim $=3$ are CI 9. Therefore, according to Definition 1 , a minimal edim of symmetric CI semigroups with the property $W$ is edim $=3$. A minimal edim of numerical semigroups, which are symmetric (not CI), is edim $=4$. Therefore, according to Definition 1 and Corollary 1, a minimal edim of symmetric (not CI) semigroups with the property $W$ is edim $=5$.

According to Proposition 2, let us choose a symmetric (not CI) semigroup of edim $=5$ with the property $W$ and denote it by $\mathrm{W}_{5}$ in order to distinguish it from other symmetric (not CI) semigroups $\mathrm{S}_{5}$ (irrespective of the $W$ property). Then the following containment holds: $\left\{\mathrm{W}_{5}\right\} \subset\left\{\mathrm{S}_{5}\right\}$. A minimal free resolution associated with semigroups $W_{5}$ was described recently ([1], section 4), where the degrees of all syzygies were also derived (1] Corollary 12), e.g., its 1st Betti number is $\beta_{1}=6$.

Lemma 2. Let two symmetric (not CI) semigroups $\mathrm{W}_{5}=\left\langle a \mathrm{~S}_{4}, d_{5}\right\rangle$ and $\mathrm{S}_{4}=$ $\left\langle\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \delta_{3}, \delta_{4}\right\rangle$ be given and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(a, d_{5}\right)=1$, $d_{5} \in \mathrm{~S}_{4}$. Let the lower bound $F_{5 w}$ of the Frobenius number $F\left(\mathrm{~W}_{5}\right)$ of the semigroup $\mathrm{W}_{5}$ be represented as, $F_{5 w}=g_{5 w}$ $\left(a \sum_{j=1}^{4} \delta_{j}+d_{5}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{5 w}=a\left(\sqrt[3]{25 \pi_{4}(\delta)}+d_{5}\right), \quad \pi_{4}(\delta)=\prod_{j=1}^{4} \delta_{j} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Consider a symmetric (not CI) numerical semigroup $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ generated by four integers (without the $W$ property), and apply the recent result [6] on the lower bound $F_{4}$ of its Frobenius number, $F\left(\mathrm{~S}_{4}\right)$, to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(\mathrm{~S}_{4}\right) \geq F_{4}, \quad F_{4}=h_{4}-\sum_{j=1}^{4} \delta_{j}, \quad h_{4}=\sqrt[3]{25 \pi_{4}(\delta)} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following relationship between the Frobenius numbers $F\left(\mathrm{~W}_{5}\right)$ and $F\left(\mathrm{~S}_{4}\right)$ was derived in [2]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(\mathrm{~W}_{5}\right)=a F\left(\mathrm{~S}_{4}\right)+(a-1) d_{5} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting two representations $F\left(\mathrm{~S}_{4}\right)=h-\sum_{j=1}^{4} \delta_{j}$ and $F\left(\mathrm{~W}_{5}\right)=g-a \sum_{j=1}^{4} \delta_{j}-d_{5}$ into equality (15), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
g-a \sum_{j=1}^{4} \delta_{j}-d_{5}=a h-a \sum_{j=1}^{4} \delta_{j}+(a-1) d_{5} \quad \rightarrow \quad g=a\left(h+d_{5}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing the last equality in (16) with the lower bound of $h$ in (14), we arrive at (13).

Proposition 3. Let two symmetric (not CI) semigroups $\mathrm{W}_{5}=\left\langle a \mathrm{~S}_{4}, d_{5}\right\rangle$ and $\mathrm{S}_{4}=$ $\left\langle\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}, \delta_{3}, \delta_{4}\right\rangle$, where $\operatorname{gcd}\left(a, d_{5}\right)=1, d_{5} \in \mathrm{~S}_{4}$, be given with the Hilbert series $H\left(\mathrm{~W}_{5} ; z\right)$ according to (1). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{5 w}>g_{5} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Keeping in mind that $\beta_{1}=6$ (see [1], Corollary 12), we determine $g_{5}$ for the semigroup $\mathrm{W}_{5}$ according to (9),

$$
g_{5}=a \lambda(6) \sqrt[4]{\pi_{4}(\delta) d_{5}}, \quad \lambda(6)=4 \sqrt[4]{5 / 8} \simeq 3.556
$$

and calculate the following ratio:

$$
\rho=\frac{g_{5 w}}{g_{5}}=\frac{1}{\lambda(6)} \frac{\sqrt[3]{25 \pi_{4}(\delta)}+d_{5}}{\sqrt[4]{\pi_{4}(\delta) d_{5}}}=\frac{1}{\lambda(6)}\left(\sqrt[3]{25 \eta}+\frac{1}{\eta}\right), \quad \eta=\sqrt[4]{\frac{\pi_{4}(\delta)}{d_{5}^{3}}} .
$$

The function $\rho(\eta)$ is positive for $\eta>0$ and has an absolute minimum

$$
\rho\left(\eta_{m}\right) \simeq 1.1033, \quad \eta_{m} \simeq 1.01943, \quad \pi_{4}(\delta) \simeq 1.08 d_{5}^{3}
$$

In other words, we arrive at $\rho\left(\eta_{m}\right)>1$, which proves the Proposition.
We present ten symmetric (not CI) semigroups $A_{j}^{6}$ with the $W$ property and the Betti number $\beta_{1}=6$. All semigroups $A_{j}^{6}$ are built according to Lemma 1 and based on symmetric (not CI) semigroups $S_{4}$, generated by four integers [7]. We give a comparative Table 2 for their $g$, lower bounds $g_{5 w}$ and $g_{5}$, and the parameter $\eta$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}^{6}=\langle 14,15,16,18,26\rangle, \quad A_{2}^{6}=\langle 20,21,24,27,39\rangle, \quad A_{3}^{6}=\langle 10,11,12,14,16\rangle, \\
& A_{4}^{6}=\langle 14,16,17,18,26\rangle, \quad A_{5}^{6}=\langle 16,21,26,30,34\rangle, \quad A_{6}^{6}=\langle 23,24,39,45,51\rangle, \\
& A_{7}^{6}=\langle 35,40,41,45,65\rangle, \quad A_{8}^{6}=\langle 302,305,308,314,316\rangle \\
& A_{9}^{6}=\langle 302,308,314,315,316\rangle, \quad A_{10}^{6}=\langle 453,462,469,471,474\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

| $\mathrm{W}_{5}$ | $A_{1}^{6}$ | $A_{2}^{6}$ | $A_{3}^{6}$ | $A_{4}^{6}$ | $A_{5}^{6}$ | $A_{6}^{6}$ | $A_{7}^{6}$ | $A_{8}^{6}$ | $A_{9}^{6}$ | $A_{10}^{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $g$ | 142 | 228 | 92 | 146 | 218 | 333 | 485 | 9120 | 9140 | 14172 |
| $g_{5 w}$ | 139.4 | 224.1 | 91.5 | 143.4 | 216.4 | 330.6 | 478.6 | 5478.1 | 5498.1 | 8709.2 |
| $g_{5}$ | 125.9 | 203.0 | 82.9 | 129.9 | 194.3 | 298.2 | 404.8 | 4606.8 | 4644.1 | 7695.0 |
| $\eta$ | 1.180 | 0.951 | 1.060 | 1.075 | 1.301 | 1.215 | 0.555 | 2.123 | 2.073 | 1.538 |

Table 2. The largest degree $g$ of syzygies, its lower bounds $g_{5}$ and $g_{5 w}$ and the parameter $\eta$ for symmetric (not CI) semigroups $W_{5}$ with the Betti number $\beta_{1}=6$.
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