

ON THE DUALS CODES OF SKEW CONSTACYCLIC CODES

ALEXIS E. ALMENDRAS VALDEBENITO AND ANDREA LUIGI TIRONI

ABSTRACT. Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field with q elements and denote by $\theta : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q$ an automorphism of \mathbb{F}_q . In this paper, we deal with skew constacyclic codes, that is, linear codes of \mathbb{F}_q^n which are invariant under the action of a semi-linear map $\phi_{\alpha, \theta} : \mathbb{F}_q^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^n$, defined by $\phi_{\alpha, \theta}(a_0, \dots, a_{n-2}, a_{n-1}) := (\alpha\theta(a_{n-1}), \theta(a_0), \dots, \theta(a_{n-2}))$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$ and $n \geq 2$. In particular, we study some algebraic and geometric properties of their dual codes and we give some consequences and research results on 1-generator skew quasi-twisted codes and on MDS skew constacyclic codes.

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Notation and background material	3
2. Generator and parity check matrices	6
3. Some remarks and applications	10
3.1. On 1-generator skew quasi-twisted codes	10
3.2. MDS skew (α, θ) -cyclic codes	16
4. Conclusion	23
Appendix: some bounds for the Hamming distance of some skew codes	23
4.1. A BCH lower bound for skew module codes	24
4.2. Upper bounds for some skew module codes	27
References	30

INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{F}_q be a field with q elements. A linear code \mathcal{C} of length n and dimension k , called an $[n, k]_q$ -code, is a k -dimensional subspace of \mathbb{F}_q^n . Moreover, an $[n, k]_q$ -code \mathcal{C} with minimum Hamming distance $d := d(\mathcal{C})$ is denoted as an $[n, k, d]_q$ -code. A fundamental problem in coding theory is to optimize one of the parameters n , k or d of a linear code, given the other two. If $d_q(n, k)$ denotes the largest value of d for which an $[n, k, d]_q$ -code exists, we call an $[n, k, d_q(n, k)]_q$ -code simply a code with best known linear code (BKLC) parameters. It is well known that a large number of new linear codes achieving

Date: December 15, 2021.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 12Y05, 16Z05; Secondary: 94B05, 94B35. Key words and phrases: finite fields, constacyclic codes, dual codes, skew polynomial rings, semi-linear maps.

the best known bounds $d_q(n, k)$, in particular over small fields, have been constructed as cyclic, constacyclic or quasi-cyclic codes, including the corresponding ones in the non-commutative case (e.g., see [1], [6] and [22]). In this paper, by extending some results of [20] to the non-commutative case, we study some algebraic and geometric properties of skew constacyclic codes (Definition 1) and their duals, the latest ones being strongly related to the minimum Hamming distance d which is useful in error-correcting codes and for some decoding algorithms. After then, as applications of the previous results, we give some immediate consequences on 1-generator skew quasi-twisted codes and on Maximum Distance Separable (MDS) skew constacyclic codes. Finally, new constructions of some linear codes, 1-generator skew quasi-cyclic codes and some MDS skew constacyclic codes with the best known parameters for small values of q (Tables 1, 2 and 3) are obtained in the same spirit of [1] and [21] by applying the previous theoretical results and computer programs written in MAGMA [5].

The paper is organized as follows. After some basic notions and remarks, in Section 1 we recall the main properties of skew constacyclic codes and we reprove in an easy way some results which will be useful in the next sections. As a consequence of these results, in Section 2 we show some geometric properties about generator and parity check matrices of skew constacyclic codes (Theorems 10 and 11), whose columns are composed of orbits of points in projective spaces via the action of semi-linear maps, in line with a work of T. Maruta [20] in the commutative case. As an application of these facts, inspired by the works [2], [1] and [22] respectively, in Section 3.1 we study the main properties of 1-generator skew quasi-twisted codes and we show a method for lengthening skew constacyclic codes of small length to construct in an easy way new examples of linear codes over small fields that meet the parameters of some best known linear codes (Table 1). Moreover, we extend to the non-commutative case the main result in [22] about 1-generator quasi-cyclic codes (Theorem 23) and as search results, we give also some new examples of 1-generator skew quasi-cyclic codes with the best known distance d for $q = 4$ and $k = 5$ (Table 2). Finally, in Section 3.2 the existence and the construction of some MDS skew constacyclic codes (Table 3) are completely characterized by simple algebraic conditions (Theorem 28 and Corollary 29).

Note. We add to this revised version, for completeness, an Appendix relative to some bounds for the Hamming distance of some skew codes, in particular for skew constacyclic codes. This material is an extended version of §2 of the previous version of this paper and this topic, along with some related arguments and consequences, will be the main object of an upcoming future note.

Acknowledgements. Both authors would like to express their gratitude to the referees for their careful reading and for many useful suggestions which shortened the proof and improved the presentation of some results of the paper in an earlier version. Moreover, during the preparation of this paper in the framework of the Project Anillo ACT 1415 PIA CONICYT, the authors were partially supported by Proyecto VRID N. 214.013.039- 1.OIN, and the first author was also partially supported by CONICYT-PCHA/Magíster Nacional año 2013 - Folio: 221320380.

1. NOTATION AND BACKGROUND MATERIAL

Along all this paper, we will use the following notation.

Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field with q elements, where $q = p^r$ for some prime p and $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Define $\mathbb{F}_q^* := \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$ and take $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Let θ be an automorphism of \mathbb{F}_q , that is, $\theta(z) := z^{p^t}$ for any $z \in \mathbb{F}_q$, where t is an integer such that $1 \leq t \leq r$.

Let us recall here the definition of the main codes we will treat in the next sections.

Definition 1 ([6],[9],[11]). A linear code $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is called a *skew (α, θ) -cyclic code* if \mathcal{C} is invariant under the semi-linear map

$$\phi_{\alpha, \theta} : (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1}) \mapsto (\alpha\theta(c_{n-1}), \theta(c_0), \dots, \theta(c_{n-2})).$$

Moreover, a skew (α, id) -cyclic code is called simply an *α -constacyclic code* and, for some fixed θ , we will call a linear code $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ a *skew constacyclic code* if \mathcal{C} is a skew (α, θ) -cyclic code for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$.

Remark 2. According to [11, §2], in our situation we have $\phi_{\alpha, \theta} = A \circ \Theta$, where

$$\Theta((c_0, \dots, c_{n-1})) := (\theta(c_0), \dots, \theta(c_{n-1}))$$

and $A(\vec{v}) := \vec{v}A$ for every $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ with

$$A := \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc} 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ \hline \alpha & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

Let us show here some algebraic and geometric properties of the dual codes of skew constacyclic codes.

First of all, with the purpose of giving an algebraic structure to skew (α, θ) -cyclic codes, one defines a ring structure on the set

$$R := \mathbb{F}_q[x; \theta] := \{a_s x^s + \dots + a_1 x + a_0 \mid a_i \in \mathbb{F}_q \text{ and } s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\},$$

where the addition is defined to be the usual addition of polynomials and the multiplication is defined by the basic rule $xa = \theta(a)x$ for any $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$, and extended to all elements of R by associativity and distributivity.

Consider now the following one-to-one correspondence:

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \pi: & \mathbb{F}_q^n & \longrightarrow & R/R(x^n - \alpha) \\ & (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) & \longmapsto & a_0 + a_1 x + \dots + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} \end{array} .$$

Note that π is an \mathbb{F}_q -linear isomorphism of left \mathbb{F}_q -modules. So, we can identify \mathbb{F}_q^n with $R/R(x^n - \alpha)$ and any vector $\vec{a} = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ with the polynomial class $\pi(\vec{a}) := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i x^i \in R/R(x^n - \alpha)$ via π .

Let m be the order of θ . If either $m \nmid n$ or $\alpha \notin \mathbb{F}_q^\theta := \{a \in \mathbb{F}_q : \theta(a) = a\}$, we know that $R/R(x^n - \alpha)$ is not a ring and we can not argue about its ideals, as in the commutative case (e.g., see [16, §2.2]). On the other hand, when $\alpha = 1$ and $m \mid n$, $R/R(x^n - \alpha)$ becomes a ring and one can construct a one-to-one correspondence between

skew cyclic codes (skew $(1, \theta)$ -cyclic codes) and the ideals of $R/R(x^n - 1)$ (see, e.g., [6] and [8] for many results on this topic). Anyway, without any condition on m and α , the set $R/R(x^n - \alpha)$ can be always considered as a left \mathbb{F}_q -module, or a left R -module.

The next two results give an equivalent definition of skew constacyclic codes and some of their well-known properties, respectively.

Theorem 3 (see, e.g., [8] and [24]). *A nonempty subset $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is a skew (α, θ) -cyclic code if and only if $\pi(\mathcal{C})$ is a left R -submodule of the left R -module $R/R(x^n - \alpha)$.*

Theorem 4 (see, e.g., [6], [9] and [11]). *Let $\pi(\mathcal{C})$ be a left R -submodule of $R/R(x^n - \alpha)$, i.e. \mathcal{C} is a skew (α, θ) -cyclic code of \mathbb{F}_q^n . Then there exists a unique monic polynomial $g(x)$ of minimal degree in R , called the generator polynomial of \mathcal{C} , such that*

- (a) $g(x)$ is a right divisor of $x^n - \alpha$;
- (b) $\pi(\mathcal{C}) = Rg(x)/R(x^n - \alpha) =: Rg(x)$;
- (c) every $c(x) \in \pi(\mathcal{C})$ can be written uniquely as $c(x) = f(x)g(x) \in R/R(x^n - \alpha)$, where $f(x) \in R$ has degree less than or equal to $n - \deg g(x)$. Moreover, the dimension of \mathcal{C} is equal to $n - \deg g(x)$;
- (d) if $g(x) := \sum_{x=0}^k g_x x^x$, then \mathcal{C} has a generator matrix G given by

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} g_0 & g_1 & \cdots & g_k & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \theta(g_0) & \theta(g_1) & \cdots & \theta(g_k) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \theta^{n-k-1}(g_0) & \theta^{n-k-1}(g_1) & \cdots & \cdots & \theta^{n-k-1}(g_k) \end{pmatrix}.$$

For skew (α, θ) -cyclic codes of \mathbb{F}_q^n with $\theta(z) := z^{p^t}$, where $q = p^r$ for some prime p , $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ and an integer t such that $1 \leq t \leq r - 1$, type the following MAGMA Program to construct by the command $\text{SD}(n, a)$ the generator polynomials of all skew (a, θ) -cyclic codes of \mathbb{F}_q^n :

Program 1.

```
p:=...; r:=...; t:=...; F<w>:=GF(p^r);
R<X>:=TwistedPolynomials(F;q:=p^t);
SD:=function(n,a)
P:=[]; for i in [1..n-2] do
P:=P cat [0]; end for; T:= [-a] cat P cat [1]; f:=R!T;
V:=VectorSpace(F,n); dd:=[]; E:=[x : x in F | x ne 0];
S:=CartesianProduct(E, CartesianPower(F,n-1));
for s in S do
ll:=[s[1]] cat [p : p in s[2]];
if LeadingCoefficient(R!ll) eq 1 then
q,r:=Quotrem(f,R!ll); if r eq R![0] then
R!ll; dd := dd cat [R!ll]; end if; end if; end for;
return dd; end function;
```

Remark 5. In [19, §2], A. Leroy gives an algorithm to find right divisors of $x^n - \alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q[x; \theta]$ by showing that factorizations of polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[x; \theta']$, where θ' is the Frobenius automorphism, are translated into factorizations in the usual polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$. For further MAGMA Programs about the research of right divisors of $x^n - \alpha$ and the construction of skew (α, θ) -cyclic codes, we refer also to [24] and [25].

Example 6. In \mathbb{F}_4^{14} with $\theta(z) := z^2$ for any $z \in \mathbb{F}_4$, by using the command SD(14,1) of Program 1 we can see that there are 603 different nontrivial right divisors of $x^{14} - 1$, i.e. 603 different nontrivial skew $(1, \theta)$ -cyclic codes of \mathbb{F}_4^{14} instead of 25 different nontrivial cyclic codes of \mathbb{F}_4^{14} in the commutative case.

The following results deal with the (Euclidean) dual code \mathcal{C}^\perp of a code $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$, i.e. the set of words which are orthogonal to the code's words relatively to the Euclidean scalar product. In particular, the next theorem was first presented and proven in very different forms in [7, §4], [8, Theorem 8], [9, Theorem 1] and [13, Theorem 6.1], and here we give a very short and simple proof of it.

Theorem 7. *Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be a linear code and take $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Then*

\mathcal{C} is a skew (α, θ) -cyclic code $\iff \mathcal{C}^\perp$ is a skew (α^{-1}, θ) -cyclic code.

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{C} is a skew (α, θ) -cyclic code. By [24, Proposition 25] and Remark 2 we deduce that \mathcal{C}^\perp is invariant under the semi-linear map $\mathcal{T} : \mathbb{F}_q^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^n$ given by $\mathcal{T}(c_0, \dots, c_{n-1}) := (\theta^{-1}(c_1), \dots, \theta^{-1}(c_{n-1}), \theta^{-1}(\alpha)\theta^{-1}(c_0))$, i.e. $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{C}^\perp = \mathcal{C}^\perp$. Since \mathcal{T} is invertible, then we have also $\mathcal{T}^{-1}\mathcal{C}^\perp = \mathcal{C}^\perp$. Note that

$$\mathcal{T}^{-1}(d_0, \dots, d_{n-1}) = (\alpha^{-1}\theta(d_{n-1}), \theta(d_0), \dots, \theta(d_{n-2})) .$$

Thus $\mathcal{T}^{-1} = \phi_{\alpha^{-1}, \theta}$ and by Definition 1 we conclude that \mathcal{C}^\perp is a skew (α^{-1}, θ) -cyclic code. Finally, having in mind that $(\mathcal{C}^\perp)^\perp = \mathcal{C}$, the converse is immediate. \square

Corollary 8 (see also §§5, 6 of [7], Proposition 13 of [8] and Proposition 1 of [10]). *Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be a skew (α, θ) -cyclic code. If $\{\vec{0}\} \neq \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\perp$ (or $\{\vec{0}\} \neq \mathcal{C}^\perp \subseteq \mathcal{C}$), then $\alpha = \pm 1$ and $\dim \mathcal{C} \leq \frac{n}{2} \leq \dim \mathcal{C}^\perp$ (or $\dim \mathcal{C}^\perp \leq \frac{n}{2} \leq \dim \mathcal{C}$). In particular, if $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}^\perp$ then $\alpha = \pm 1$, n is even and $\dim \mathcal{C} = \frac{n}{2}$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}^\perp)^\perp$, without loss of generality, we can assume that $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\perp$. First of all, observe that $n = \dim \mathcal{C} + \dim \mathcal{C}^\perp$. Hence $n \geq 2 \dim \mathcal{C}$ and $n \leq 2 \dim \mathcal{C}^\perp$. Finally, by Theorems 4 and 7, let $g(x), h(x)$ be the generator polynomials of \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}^\perp , respectively. Thus for some $h_1(x), h_2(x) \in R$ we have

$$h_1(x)h(x) = x^n - \alpha^{-1} = x^n - \alpha + (\alpha - \alpha^{-1}) = h_2(x)g(x) + (\alpha - \alpha^{-1}) .$$

Since $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}^\perp$, we see also that there exists $q(x) \in R$ such that $g(x) = q(x)h(x)$. Thus $(h_1(x) - h_2(x)q(x))h(x) = \alpha - \alpha^{-1} \in \mathbb{F}_q$. If $\alpha - \alpha^{-1} \neq 0$ then $\mathcal{C}^\perp = \mathbb{F}_q^n$, but this gives the contradiction $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}^\perp)^\perp = (\mathbb{F}_q^n)^\perp = \{\vec{0}\}$. So, we get $\alpha = \alpha^{-1}$ and this implies $\alpha^2 = 1$, i.e. $\alpha = \pm 1$. \square

With the next result (see also [8, Theorem 8] and [13, Theorem 6.1]), one can write directly the generator polynomial of the dual code of any skew constacyclic code.

Proposition 9. *Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be a skew (α, θ) -cyclic code generated by $g(x) = g_0 + g_1x + \cdots + g_{n-k-1}x^{n-k-1} + x^{n-k}$ with $\deg g(x) := n - k > 0$. Then the dual code \mathcal{C}^\perp is generated by*

$$h(x) := \theta^k(\bar{h}_0^{-1}) \left[\sum_{i=1}^k \theta^i(\bar{h}_{k-i}) x^i + 1 \right],$$

where $\bar{h}(x) = \bar{h}_0 + \cdots + \bar{h}_{k-1}x^{k-1} + x^k$ is such that $x^n - \theta^{-k}(\alpha) = g(x)\bar{h}(x)$.

Proof. From Theorem 7 it follows that the dual code \mathcal{C}^\perp is a skew (α^{-1}, θ) -cyclic code. Then by Theorem 4 we know that there exists a unique monic polynomial $h(x)$ of minimal degree in R which is the generator polynomial of \mathcal{C}^\perp . So by [8, Theorem 8] we see that there exist $\bar{h}(x) \in R$ and $c \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ such that

$$x^n - c = g(x)\bar{h}(x)$$

and $h(x) = \theta^k(\bar{h}_0^{-1})g^\perp(x)$, where $g^\perp(x) := \sum_{i=0}^k \theta^i(\bar{h}_{k-i}) x^i$ and $\bar{h}(x) = \bar{h}_0 + \cdots + \bar{h}_{k-1}x^{k-1} + x^k$. Since $g(x) \in R$ is monic and $x^n - \alpha = t(x)g(x)$ for some monic $t(x) \in R$, by [9, Lemma 2] we obtain also that

$$x^n - \theta^{-k}(\alpha) = g(x)s(x)$$

for some $s(x) \in R$. Hence $c - \theta^{-k}(\alpha) = g(x)(s(x) - \bar{h}(x))$. Since $\deg g(x) > 0$, this shows that $c = \theta^{-k}(\alpha)$. \square

2. GENERATOR AND PARITY CHECK MATRICES

We will show here a geometric property of the dual codes of skew constacyclic codes. More precisely, we will see first that the columns of a parity check matrix of a skew constacyclic code can be considered as points in a projective space which are particular orbits under the action of a semi-linear map associated to the code.

Denote by $GL(k, q)$ the set of $k \times k$ invertible matrices defined over \mathbb{F}_q . Therefore, in line with [20], in the non-commutative case we obtain the following two results.

Theorem 10. *Let \mathcal{C} be a linear $[n, n - k]_q$ -code. Then \mathcal{C} is a skew (α, θ) -cyclic code if and only if for some $\vec{u} \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$ and $T \in GL(k, q)$, \mathcal{C} has a parity check matrix of the form*

$$[{}^t\vec{u}, {}^t\tau(\vec{u}), {}^t\tau^2(\vec{u}), \dots, {}^t\tau^{n-1}(\vec{u})]$$

such that $\tau^n(\vec{u}) = \alpha\vec{u}$, where $\tau(\vec{v}) := \Theta(\vec{v})T$ for every $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$.

Proof. Let $[{}^tP_1, {}^tP_2, \dots, {}^tP_n]$ be a parity check matrix of \mathcal{C} , where $P_i \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$, and suppose that \mathcal{C} is a skew (α, θ) -cyclic code. Then there exists $S \in GL(k, q)$ such that $S[\alpha^{-1}{}^t\Theta(P_n), {}^t\Theta(P_1), \dots, {}^t\Theta(P_{n-1})] = [{}^tP_1, {}^tP_2, \dots, {}^tP_n]$. This matrix equality gives

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &= \alpha^{-1}\Theta(P_n)S, \quad P_2 = \Theta(P_1)S, \quad P_3 = \Theta(P_2)S = \Theta^2(P_1)S_\theta S, \dots \\ P_n &= \Theta(P_{n-1})S = \cdots = \Theta^{n-1}(P_1)S_{\theta^{n-2}} \cdots S_{\theta^2} S_\theta S, \end{aligned}$$

where $M_{\theta^h} := [\theta^h(m_{ij})]$ for every $h \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and any matrix $M := [m_{ij}]$. Write $\vec{u} := P_1$ and $T := S$. Defining $\tau(\vec{v}) := \Theta(\vec{v})T$ for every $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} {}^tP_1 &= {}^t\vec{u}, \\ {}^tP_2 &= {}^t(\Theta(P_1)S) = {}^t(\Theta(\vec{u})T) = {}^t\tau(\vec{u}), \end{aligned}$$

$${}^tP_3 = {}^t(\Theta^2(\vec{u})T_\theta T) = {}^t(\Theta(\Theta(\vec{u})T)T) = {}^t(\Theta(\tau(\vec{u}))T) = {}^t\tau^2(\vec{u}), \dots$$

$${}^tP_n = {}^t(\Theta^{n-1}(\vec{u})T_{\theta^{n-2}} \cdots T_{\theta^2} T_\theta T) = \dots = {}^t\tau^{n-1}(\vec{u})$$

and $\alpha\vec{u} = \alpha P_1 = \Theta(P_n) {}^tS = \tau(P_n) = \tau^n(\vec{u})$. Conversely, assume that \mathcal{C} has a parity check matrix H of the form

$$[{}^t\vec{u}, {}^t\tau(\vec{u}), {}^t\tau^2(\vec{u}), \dots, {}^t\tau^{n-1}(\vec{u})] = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{h}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \vec{h}_k \end{pmatrix}$$

such that $\tau^n(\vec{u}) = \alpha\vec{u}$, where $\tau(\vec{v}) := \Theta(\vec{v})T$ for every $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$ and $\vec{h}_j \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$ for $j = 1, \dots, k$. Since

$${}^t\vec{u} = \alpha^{-1} {}^t\tau^n(\vec{u}) = \alpha^{-1} {}^t(\Theta(\tau^{n-1}(\vec{u}))T) = {}^tT \alpha^{-1} {}^t\Theta(\tau^{n-1}(\vec{u})),$$

$${}^t\tau(\vec{u}) = {}^tT {}^t\Theta(\vec{u}) \quad \text{and} \quad {}^t\tau^i(\vec{u}) = {}^tT {}^t\Theta(\tau^{i-1}(\vec{u})) \quad \text{for } i = 2, \dots, n-1,$$

we have

$$H = [{}^t\vec{u}, \dots, {}^t\tau^{n-1}(\vec{u})] = {}^tT[{}^t\vec{u}, \dots, {}^t\tau^{n-1}(\vec{u})]_\theta A = {}^tT H_\theta A = {}^tT ({}^tT_\theta H_{\theta^2} A_\theta) A = \dots$$

$$= {}^tT {}^tT_\theta \dots {}^tT_{\theta^s} [{}^t\vec{u}, \dots, {}^t\tau^{n-1}(\vec{u})]_{\theta^{s+1}} A_{\theta^s} \dots A_\theta A = {}^tT {}^tT_\theta \dots {}^tT_{\theta^s} H_{\theta^{s+1}} A_{\theta^s} \dots A_\theta A$$

for any $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, where A is an $n \times n$ matrix as in Remark 2 with α^{-1} instead of α . Moreover, writing $\phi := \phi_{\alpha^{-1}, \theta} = A \circ \Theta$, note that

$$H = {}^tT {}^tT_\theta \dots {}^tT_{\theta^s} (H_{\theta^{s+1}} A_{\theta^s} \dots A_\theta A) = {}^tT {}^tT_\theta \dots {}^tT_{\theta^s} \begin{pmatrix} \phi^{s+1}(\vec{h}_1) \\ \vdots \\ \phi^{s+1}(\vec{h}_k) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since ${}^tT {}^tT_\theta \dots {}^tT_{\theta^s} \in \text{GL}(k, q)$, we see that $\phi^j(\vec{h}_i) \in \mathcal{C}^\perp$ for every $i = 1, \dots, k$ and for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Hence \mathcal{C}^\perp is a skew (α^{-1}, θ) -cyclic code and we conclude by Theorem 7. \square

Theorem 11. *Let $g(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_{k-1}x^{k-1} + x^k$ be a monic skew polynomial of degree k in $\mathbb{F}_q[x; \theta]$ that divides on the right $x^n - \alpha$, where $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Then $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is a skew (α, θ) -cyclic $[n, n-k]_q$ -code with generator polynomial $g(x)$ if and only if \mathcal{C} has a parity check matrix*

$$[{}^tP, {}^t\tau(P), {}^t\tau^2(P), \dots, {}^t\tau^{n-1}(P)],$$

where $P = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$, $\tau(\vec{v}) := \Theta(\vec{v})T_{g(x)}$ for every $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$ and $T_{g(x)}$ is the companion matrix of $g(x)$, i.e.

$$T_{g(x)} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ -a_0 & -a_1 & \cdots & -a_{k-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Consider the linear map π' defined by

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi' : \quad \mathbb{F}_q^k &\longrightarrow R/Rg \\ (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{k-1}) &\longmapsto c_0 + c_1x + \cdots + c_{k-1}x^{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $P = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$, note that $\pi'(\tau^i(P)) = x^i \pi'(P) = x^i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi'(a_0 P + \dots + a_{k-1} \tau^{k-1}(P) + \tau^k(P)) = \\ & = a_0 \pi'(P) + \dots + a_{k-1} \pi'(\tau^{k-1}(P)) + \pi'(\tau^k(P)) = \\ & = a_0(1) + a_1(x) + \dots + a_{k-1}(x^{k-1}) + (x^k) = g(x) = 0 \in R/Rg(x), \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $a_0 P + a_1 \tau(P) + \dots + a_{k-1} \tau^{k-1}(P) + \tau^k(P) = (0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$. This shows that $\pi^{-1}(g(x)) := (a_0, \dots, a_{k-1}, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ is in the kernel K of H , where H is the matrix $[{}^t P, {}^t \tau(P), {}^t \tau^2(P), \dots, {}^t \tau^{n-1}(P)]$ given in the statement and π is the linear map defined by (1). Moreover, as $g(x)$ is a right divisor of $x^n - \alpha$, we deduce that

$$\pi'(\tau^n(P) - \alpha P) = \pi'(\tau^n(P)) - \alpha \pi'(P) = x^n - \alpha = 0 \in R/Rg(x),$$

and this implies that $\tau^n(P) - \alpha P = (0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$, i.e. $\tau^n(P) = \alpha P$. By Theorem 10 we deduce that K is a skew (α, θ) -cyclic code, hence by Theorem 3 $\pi(K)$ is a left R -module which contains $g(x)$. This means that $\pi^{-1}(Rg(x)) \subseteq K$. Since both spaces have dimension $n - k$, we see that K is indeed the skew (α, θ) -cyclic code whose generator polynomial is $g(x)$. \square

As an immediate consequence of Theorems 7, 10, 11 and Proposition 9, we have also the following characterization of a generator matrix of a skew constacyclic code.

Corollary 12. *Let \mathcal{C} be a linear $[n, k]_q$ -code and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Then*

- (a) \mathcal{C} is a skew (α, θ) -cyclic code if and only if for some $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$ and $T \in GL(k, q)$, \mathcal{C} has a generator matrix of the form

$$[{}^t \vec{a}, {}^t \tau(\vec{a}), {}^t \tau^2(\vec{a}), \dots, {}^t \tau^{n-1}(\vec{a})]$$

such that $\tau^n(\vec{a}) = \alpha^{-1} \vec{a}$, where $\tau(\vec{v}) := \Theta(\vec{v})T$ for every $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$.

- (b) \mathcal{C} is a skew (α, θ) -cyclic code with generator polynomial $g(x) = g_0 + g_1 x + \dots + g_{n-k-1} x^{n-k-1} + x^{n-k}$ if and only if \mathcal{C} has a generator matrix of the form

$$[{}^t \vec{b}, {}^t \tau(\vec{b}), {}^t \tau^2(\vec{b}), \dots, {}^t \tau^{n-1}(\vec{b})],$$

where $\vec{b} = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $\tau(\vec{v}) := \Theta(\vec{v})T_{h(x)}$ for every $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$ with $h(x)$ given by Proposition 9.

Remark 13. Comparing the two matrices in Corollary 12 (a) and (b), since they differ by an invertible matrix, it follows that the first k vectors ${}^t \vec{a}, {}^t \tau(\vec{a}), \dots, {}^t \tau^{k-1}(\vec{a})$ generate \mathbb{F}_q^k since they are linearly independent. The same occurs for the first k vectors ${}^t \vec{u}, {}^t \tau(\vec{u}), \dots, {}^t \tau^{k-1}(\vec{u})$ of matrices as in Theorem 10, by comparing these matrices with those in Theorem 11 for the same linear code.

We will give now a criterion in Proposition 15 to determine whether two skew (α, θ) -cyclic codes with some parameters are in fact the same linear code.

Definition 14. A linear code $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ with a parity check matrix of the form

$$[{}^tP, {}^t\tau(P), {}^t\tau^2(P), \dots, {}^t\tau^{n-1}(P)]$$

with $P \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$, $\tau = T \circ \Theta$, $T \in GL(k, q)$ and such that $\tau^n(P) = \alpha P$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ is called a *code \mathcal{C} defined by (τ, P, n)* . Moreover, one can define the following set:

$$\Gamma_k^\alpha := \{(\tau, P, n) \mid \text{the code defined by } (\tau, P, n) \text{ is a skew } (\alpha, \theta)\text{-cyclic } [n, n - k]_q\text{-code}\}.$$

Note that a code \mathcal{C} defined by (τ, P, n) is a skew (α, θ) -cyclic $[n, n - k]_q$ -code if and only if the first k columns of the parity check matrix $[{}^tP, {}^t\tau(P), {}^t\tau^2(P), \dots, {}^t\tau^{n-1}(P)]$ are linearly independent. The next result shows when two codes \mathcal{C}_i defined by $(\tau_i, P_i, n) \in \Gamma_k^\alpha$ for $i = 1, 2$ are the same skew (α, θ) -cyclic code in \mathbb{F}_q^n .

Proposition 15. *Let \mathcal{C}_i be the code defined by $(\tau_i, P_i, n) \in \Gamma_k^\alpha$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then, $\mathcal{C}_1 = \mathcal{C}_2$ if and only if there exists $S \in GL(k, q)$ such that $\tau_2 = \hat{S} \circ \tau_1 \circ \hat{S}^{-1}$ and $\hat{S}(P_1) = P_2$, where $\hat{S}(\vec{v}) := \vec{v}S$ for every $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$.*

Proof. “ \Rightarrow ” Since $\mathcal{C}_1 = \mathcal{C}_2$, there exists $S \in GL(k, q)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} [{}^tP_2, {}^t\tau_2(P_2), {}^t\tau_2^2(P_2), \dots, {}^t\tau_2^{n-1}(P_2)] &= {}^tS[{}^tP_1, {}^t\tau_1(P_1), \dots, {}^t\tau_1^{n-1}(P_1)] \\ &= [{}^t(P_1S), {}^t(\tau_1(P_1)S), \dots, {}^t(\tau_1^{n-1}(P_1)S)] \end{aligned}$$

From the first columns, we deduce that $\hat{S}(P_1) = P_1S = P_2$, i.e. $\hat{S}^{-1}(P_2) = P_1$. Furthermore, $\tau_2^i(P_2) = \tau_1^i(P_1)S = \hat{S}(\tau_1^i(P_1)) = \hat{S}(\tau_1^i(\hat{S}^{-1}(P_2))) = \hat{S} \circ \tau_1^i \circ \hat{S}^{-1}(P_2)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n - 1$, and $\tau_j^n(P_j) = \alpha P_j$ for $j = 1, 2$.

Since the set $\{P_2, \tau_2(P_2), \dots, \tau_2^{n-1}(P_2)\}$ generates \mathbb{F}_q^k , we see that every vector $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$ can be written as $\vec{v} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \lambda_i \tau_2^i(P_2)$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_2(\vec{v}) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \theta(\lambda_i) \tau_2^{i+1}(P_2) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \theta(\lambda_i) \hat{S} \circ \tau_1^{i+1} \circ \hat{S}^{-1}(P_2) + \alpha \theta(\lambda_i) P_2, \\ \hat{S} \circ \tau_1 \circ \hat{S}^{-1}(\vec{v}) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \theta(\lambda_i) \hat{S} \circ \tau_1 \circ \hat{S}^{-1} \circ \tau_2^i(P_2) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \theta(\lambda_i) \hat{S} \circ \tau_1^{i+1} \circ \hat{S}^{-1}(P_2) = \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-2} \theta(\lambda_i) \hat{S} \circ \tau_1^{i+1} \circ \hat{S}^{-1}(P_2) + \alpha \theta(\lambda_i) \hat{S}(P_1), \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $\tau_2(\vec{v}) = \hat{S} \circ \tau_1 \circ \hat{S}^{-1}(\vec{v})$ for any vector $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$. Hence $\tau_2 = \hat{S} \circ \tau_1 \circ \hat{S}^{-1}$.

“ \Leftarrow ” Since there is a matrix $S \in GL(k, q)$ such that $\tau_1 = \hat{S}^{-1} \circ \tau_2 \circ \hat{S}$ and $P_1 = \hat{S}^{-1}(P_2)$, we see that

$$[{}^tP_2, {}^t\tau_2(P_2), \dots, {}^t\tau_2^{n-1}(P_2)] = [{}^t(P_1S), {}^t(\tau_1(P_1)S), \dots, {}^t(\tau_1^{n-1}(P_1)S)].$$

By Theorem 10, the matrices defined by (τ_1, P_1, n) and (τ_2, P_2, n) are parity check matrices of skew (α, θ) -cyclic codes which differ by an invertible matrix tS , i.e. they correspond to the same linear code. Hence $\mathcal{C}_1 = \mathcal{C}_2$. \square

Finally, let \mathcal{C}_i be two codes defined by $(\tau_i, P_i, n) \in \Gamma_k^\alpha$ for $i = 1, 2$. Denote by m_{τ_i} the minimal polynomial of the semi-linear map τ_i , that is, the monic polynomial m_{τ_i} of minimal degree such that $m_{\tau_i}(\tau_i) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$ (see [25, Proposition 3.2]). Let us conclude this section by showing here with an example that in the non-commutative case, i.e. when $\theta \neq id$, we can not obtain a similar result as Theorem 4 in [20]. More precisely, if $\mathcal{C}_1 = \mathcal{C}_2$ then it follows easily that $m_{\tau_1} = m_{\tau_2}$, since $\tau_2 = \hat{S} \circ \tau_1 \circ \hat{S}^{-1}$ for some $S \in GL(k, q)$ by Proposition 15, but the converse of this statement is not true in general, as the following counterexample shows.

Example 16. Consider $q = 4$, $g_1(x) = 1 + ax + x^2 + x^3$ and $g_2(x) = 1 + a^2x + x^2 + x^3$ in R , where $\theta (\neq id)$ is the Frobenius automorphism and a is a root of $y^2 + y + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_2[y]$. Let \mathcal{C}_i be the skew $(1, \theta)$ -cyclic $[14, 11]_4$ -codes with generator polynomial $g_i(x)$ for $i = 1, 2$. Since $g_1(x) \neq g_2(x)$ in $R/R(x^{14} - 1)$, we have $\mathcal{C}_1 \neq \mathcal{C}_2$. On the other hand, we get

$$\tau_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & a & 1 \end{pmatrix} \circ \Theta \quad , \quad \tau_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & a^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \circ \Theta$$

whose minimal polynomials are $m_{\tau_1}(x) = x^6 + x^2 + 1$ and $m_{\tau_2}(x) = x^6 + x^2 + 1$ respectively (see [25] for their construction).

This shows clearly that in general the condition $\mathcal{C}_1 = \mathcal{C}_2$ is not equivalent to $m_{\tau_1} = m_{\tau_2}$, as it happens when $\theta = id$ (e.g., see Theorem 4 in [20]).

3. SOME REMARKS AND APPLICATIONS

In this last section, we will consider two main consequences of some results obtained in Section 2. Let us recall here that $q = p^r$ for some prime p and $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and that θ denotes an automorphism of \mathbb{F}_q given by $\theta(z) := z^{p^t}$ for any $z \in \mathbb{F}_q$, where t is an integer such that $1 \leq t \leq r - 1$.

3.1. On 1-generator skew quasi-twisted codes. The main result of this section (Theorem 23) is a consequence of the previous results and it has been motivated principally by the fact that the class of 1-generator skew quasi-cyclic codes generalizes the class of the 1-generator quasi-cyclic codes by obtaining new examples of linear codes with BKLC parameters (see [1]). This suggests that better codes may be found in this new class, or simply by lengthening skew constacyclic codes.

First of all, let us note here that 1-generator skew quasi-twisted (SQT) codes can be easily defined from the notion of 1-generator QT codes (see, [22, §1]). So, inspired by [1], [2] and [22], we give first the following definition of skew quasi-twisted codes.

Definition 17. Take $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and let θ be an automorphism of \mathbb{F}_q . Denote by $\hat{R}_{\alpha, \theta} := R/R(x^N - \alpha)$ the polynomial ring R modulo $x^N - \alpha$. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and

$$\mathbf{g} = (g_1(x), g_2(x), \dots, g_m(x)) \in \hat{R}_{\alpha, \theta}^m,$$

the set

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{g}} = \{(r(x)g_1(x), r(x)g_2(x), \dots, r(x)g_m(x)) \mid r(x) \in \hat{R}_{\alpha, \theta}\}$$

is called the 1-generator skew quasi-twisted (SQT) code of length mN and index m with generator \mathbf{g} . Moreover, if $\alpha = 1$ then $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{g}}$ is called the 1-generator skew quasi-cyclic (SQC) code of length mN and index m with generator \mathbf{g} .

First of all, observe that by using similar arguments as in [2, Theorem 6], we can easily deduce the following two results.

Theorem 18. *Let \mathcal{C} be a 1-generator SQT code of length mN and index m over \mathbb{F}_q generated by $\mathbf{g} = (g_1(x), g_2(x), \dots, g_m(x)) \in \hat{R}_{\alpha, \theta}^m$ with N a multiple of the order of θ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^\theta$. Then $\{\mathbf{g}, x\mathbf{g}, \dots, x^{N-\deg g(x)}\mathbf{g}\}$ forms a \mathbb{F}_q -basis for \mathcal{C} , where $g(x)$ is the great common left divisor $\text{gclid}(g_1(x), \dots, g_m(x), x^N - \alpha)$.*

Corollary 19. *Let \mathcal{C} be a 1-generator SQT code of length mN and index m over \mathbb{F}_q generated by $\mathbf{g} = (g(x), g(x)p_1(x), \dots, g(x)p_{m-1}(x)) \in \hat{R}_{\alpha, \theta}^m$, where $g(x)$ is a monic divisor of $x^N - \alpha$ with N a multiple of the order of θ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^\theta$. Then \mathcal{C} is an \mathbb{F}_q -free code with rank $N - \deg g(x)$.*

Finally, from Theorem 11 we know that an $[N, N - k]_q$ -code is a skew (α, θ) -cyclic code with generator polynomial $g(x)$ if and only if \mathcal{C} is a linear code with a parity check matrix

$$[g]^N := [{}^tP, {}^tP, {}^t\tau(P), {}^t\tau^2(P), \dots, {}^t\tau^{N-1}(P)],$$

where $P = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$, $\tau(\vec{v}) := \Theta(\vec{v})T_{g(x)}$ for every $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$ and $T_{g(x)}$ is the companion matrix of $g(x)$.

Let $g := x^k - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} a_i x^i \in R$ be a right divisor of the polynomial $x^N - \alpha \in R$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and denote by $\mathcal{T} : \mathbb{P}^{k-1}(\mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{k-1}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ the map defined by τ , i.e. $\mathcal{T}([\vec{x}]_{\sim}) := [\tau(\vec{x})]_{\sim}$ for every $[\vec{x}]_{\sim} \in \mathbb{P}^{k-1}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. We can say that \mathcal{T} is defined by g . Note that the columns of $[g]^N := [a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}]^N$ can be considered as points in $\mathbb{P}^{k-1}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ of an orbit of \mathcal{T} . Conversely, we can obtain similarly as above a skew (α, θ) -cyclic $[N, N - k]_q$ -code from an orbit of length N of the map \mathcal{T} .

So, consider m orbits $\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2, \dots, \mathcal{O}_m$ of \mathcal{T} of length n_i and starting points $P_i \in \mathcal{O}_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, respectively. For simplicity, take $P_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$. Thus $n_1 = N$ and denote by $[g]^N + P_2^{n_2} + \dots + P_m^{n_m}$ the matrix

$$\begin{aligned} & [{}^tP_1, {}^tP_1, {}^t\tau(P_1), {}^t\tau^2(P_1), \dots, {}^t\tau^{N-1}(P_1), {}^tP_2, {}^tP_2, {}^t\tau(P_2), {}^t\tau^2(P_2), \dots, {}^t\tau^{n_2-1}(P_2), \dots \\ & \dots, {}^tP_m, {}^tP_m, {}^t\tau(P_m), {}^t\tau^2(P_m), \dots, {}^t\tau^{n_m-1}(P_m)] . \end{aligned}$$

Note that the matrix $[g]^N + P_2^{n_2} + \dots + P_m^{n_m}$ can be interpreted as a generator matrix of a linear $[N + n_2 + \dots + n_m, k]_q$ -code. This fact gives a simple method to concatenating skew constacyclic codes to obtain new constructions of linear codes that meet the parameters of some best known linear codes (BKLC) for small values of N and q (compare the examples in Table 1 with the corresponding codes in <http://www.codetables.de/>, <http://www.win.tue.nl/~aeb/> and the database of Magma in [5]).

Example 20. Consider $\mathbb{F}_4 = \{0, 1, a, a^2\}$, where a is a root of $x^2 + x + 1 \in \mathbb{F}_2[x]$. Then the polynomial $g(x) = x^6 - (1 + a^2x + x^2 + a^2x^3 + x^4 + a^2x^5) \in \mathbb{F}_4[x; \theta]$ divides $x^7 - a$ ($N = 7, \alpha = a$), where $\theta(z) = z^2$ for every $z \in \mathbb{F}_4$ ($p^t = 2$). Consider the points

$P_1 := [1 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0 : 0]$, $P_2 := [a : a : 0 : a : 1 : 1] \in \mathbb{P}^5(\mathbb{F}_4)$. Then, under \mathcal{T} , the orbit of P_1 is of length 7 and that of P_2 is of length 14. So, we get a linear $[21, 6, 12]_4$ -code whose generator matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & a & 1 & a^2 & 1 & a^2 & a^2 & 1 & 1 & a & a^2 & a & a^2 & a^2 & a \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a^2 & a & 0 & a^2 & 1 & a^2 & 0 & 1 & a & 0 & 1 & a^2 & 1 & 0 & a^2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & a & a^2 & a^2 & a & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & a^2 & a^2 & 1 & a & a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & a^2 & a & a^2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & a & a & 1 & a^2 & a^2 & 0 & a^2 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & a & 1 & 0 & a & a^2 & 0 & a & 1 & a & 0 & 1 & a^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & a^2 & 1 & a & 1 & a & a & 1 & 1 & a^2 & a & a^2 & a & a & a^2 & a^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and for simplicity of notation, the above matrix can be written simply as $[g]^7 + P_2^{14} = [1a^21a^21a^2]^7 + [aa0a11]^{14}$ (see the first case for $q = 4$ and $k = 6$ in Table 1).

Example 21. Consider $\mathbb{F}_8 = \{0, 1, w, w^2, \dots, w^6\}$. Then the polynomial $g(x) = x^3 - (1 + w^6x + w^4x^2) \in \mathbb{F}_8[x; \theta]$ divides $x^4 - w$ ($N = 4, \alpha = w$), where $\theta(z) = z^4$ for every $z \in \mathbb{F}_8$ ($p^t = 2$). Moreover, we can see that the points $P_1 := [1 : 0 : 0]$, $P_2 := [w^6 : w : 1]$, $P_3 := [w^5 : 1 : 0]$, $P_4 := [1 : 1 : 1]$ in $\mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{F}_8)$ have orbits of length 4, 12, 12, 6, respectively. So, we get a linear $[34, 3, 28]_8$ -code whose generator matrix can be written as

$$[g]^4 + P_2^{12} + P_3^{12} + P_4^6 = [1w^6w^4]^4 + [w^6w1]^{12} + [w^510]^{12} + [111]^6$$

which reaches the best known distance for a linear $[34, 3]_8$ -code (see the first case for $q = 8$ in Table 1).

Example 22. Consider $\mathbb{F}_9 = \{0, 1, \beta, \beta^2, \dots, \beta^7\}$, where β is a root of $x^2 + 2x + 2 \in \mathbb{F}_3[x]$. We set that $g(x) = x^4 + (1 + \beta^7x + \beta^4x^2 + \beta^3x^3) = x^4 - (\beta^4 + \beta^3x + x^2 + \beta^7x^3) \in \mathbb{F}_9[x; \theta]$ divides $x^5 - \beta$ ($N = 5, \alpha = \beta$), with $\theta(z) = z^3$ for every $z \in \mathbb{F}_9$ ($p^t = 3$). Let \mathcal{T} be the semi-linear map defined by θ and $g(x)$. Consider the following three points P_1 , P_2 and P_3 of $\mathbb{P}^3(\mathbb{F}_9)$:

$$P_1 = [1 : 0 : 0 : 0], P_2 = [1 : \beta^5 : \beta^7 : 1], P_3 = [\beta^3 : \beta^6 : \beta^3 : 1].$$

Then, under \mathcal{T} , the orbit of P_1 is of length 5 and the orbits of P_2 and P_3 are both of length 10, and the matrix

$$[g]^5 + P_2^{10} + P_3^{10} = [\beta^4\beta^31\beta^7]^5 + [1\beta^5\beta^71]^{10} + [\beta^3\beta^6\beta^31]^{10}$$

generates a linear $[25, 4, 19]_9$ -code (see the first case for $q = 9$ in Table 1).

On the other hand, when $\alpha = 1$, N is a multiple of the order of θ , $n_1 = n_2 = \dots = n_m = N$ and $P_i \in (\mathbb{F}_q^\theta)^k$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, the matrix $[g^N] + P_2^N + \dots + P_m^N$ becomes a generator matrix of a 1-generator *SQC* code of length mN and index m with generator polynomial \mathbf{g} given by the following result which generalizes [22, Theorem 2.3] (see for instance Table 2 for some examples of 1-generator *SQC* codes for $q = 4$).

Theorem 23. *With the same notation as above, if $P_i \in (\mathbb{F}_q^\theta)^k$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, then $[g^N] + P_2^N + \dots + P_m^N$ generates a 1-generator *SQC* $[mN, k]_q$ -code of length mN and index m with generator*

$$\mathbf{g} = (h^*(x), h^*(x)b_2(x^{-1}), \dots, h^*(x)b_m(x^{-1})) \in \hat{R}^m,$$

where

$$h^*(x) := \theta^{N-k}(\bar{h}_0)^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N-k} \theta^i (\bar{h}_{N-k-i}) x^i + 1 \right]$$

with $\bar{h}(x) = \bar{h}_0 + \cdots + \bar{h}_{N-k-1}x^{N-k-1} + x^{N-k}$ such that $x^N - \theta^{k-N}(\alpha) = g(x)\bar{h}(x)$ and $b_i(x)$ is the polynomial given by $(1, x, \dots, x^{k-1})P_i$ for $2 \leq i \leq m$.

Proof. Define $H := [g^N] + P_2^N + \cdots + P_m^N$ and use the same notation as above. Note that

$$H = [{}^tP_1, {}^t\tau(P_1), {}^t\tau^2(P_1), \dots, {}^t\tau^{N-1}(P_1), {}^tP_2, {}^t\tau(P_2), {}^t\tau^2(P_2), \dots, {}^t\tau^{N-1}(P_2), \dots, {}^tP_m, {}^t\tau(P_m), {}^t\tau^2(P_m), \dots, {}^t\tau^{N-1}(P_m)]$$

with $P_1 = \vec{e}_1 := (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in (\mathbb{F}_q^\theta)^k$ and $\tau^N(P_i) = P_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. By putting

$$H_i := [{}^tP_i, {}^t\tau(P_i), {}^t\tau^2(P_i), \dots, {}^t\tau^{N-1}(P_i)]$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, m$, we simply have $H = [H_1|H_2|\cdots|H_m]$.

Claim 1. $\tau^h(P_i) = P_i(\tau)(\tau^h(P_1)) \quad \forall h = 0, \dots, N-1$.

Note that $\tau^{j-1}(P_1) = \vec{e}_j \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$ for all $j = 1, \dots, k$, where \vec{e}_j is the j -th canonical vector of \mathbb{F}_q^k . Therefore, by putting $P_i := (\lambda_{i,0}, \dots, \lambda_{i,k-1}) \in (\mathbb{F}_q^\theta)^k$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, we have

$$P_i = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \lambda_{ij} \tau^j(P_1) = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \lambda_{ij} \tau^j \right) (P_1) =: P_i(\tau)(P_1),$$

where $P_i(z) := \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \lambda_{ij} z^j \in \mathbb{F}_q^\theta[z]$. Thus

$$\tau^h(P_i) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \lambda_{ij} \tau^{h+j}(P_1) = P_i(\tau)(\tau^h(P_1)) \quad \forall h = 0, \dots, N-1. \quad Q.E.D.$$

Define $\tau^s \cdot [{}^tQ_0, {}^tQ_2, \dots, {}^tQ_{N-1}] := [{}^t\tau^s(Q_0), {}^t\tau^s(Q_2), \dots, {}^t\tau^s(Q_{N-1})]$ for any $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Claim 2. $H_i = P_i(\tau) \cdot H_1 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m$.

For $i = 1, \dots, m$, by *Claim 1* we see that

$$\begin{aligned} H_i &= [{}^tP_i, {}^t\tau(P_i), {}^t\tau^2(P_i), \dots, {}^t\tau^{N-1}(P_i)] \\ &= [{}^tP_i(\tau)(P_1), {}^tP_i(\tau)(\tau(P_1)), {}^tP_i(\tau)(\tau^2(P_1)), \dots, {}^tP_i(\tau)(\tau^{N-1}(P_1))] \\ &=: P_i(\tau) \cdot [{}^tP_1, {}^t\tau(P_1), {}^t\tau^2(P_1), \dots, {}^t\tau^{N-1}(P_1)] = P_i(\tau) \cdot H_1. \end{aligned} \quad Q.E.D.$$

Let H_1^* be a parity check matrix of the code \mathcal{C} such that $\pi(\mathcal{C}) = Rg(x)/R(x^N - 1)$, written as

$$H_1^* \equiv \begin{pmatrix} h^*(x) \\ xh^*(x) \\ \vdots \\ x^{k-1}h^*(x) \end{pmatrix} = [J \mid \widehat{H}_1^*]$$

with $\det(J) \neq 0$, where from Proposition 9 it follows that

$$h^*(x) := \theta^{N-k}(\widehat{h}_0)^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N-k} \theta^i (\widehat{h}_{N-k-i}) x^i + 1 \right]$$

with $\widehat{h}(x) = \widehat{h}_0 + \cdots + \widehat{h}_{N-k-1}x^{n-k-1} + x^{N-k}$ such that $x^N - \theta^{k-N}(\alpha) = g(x)\widehat{h}(x)$.

Claim 3. $H_i = J^{-1}H_i^* \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, m$, where $H_i^* := \begin{pmatrix} h^*(x)P_i(x^{-1}) \\ x(h^*(x)P_i(x^{-1})) \\ \vdots \\ x^{k-1}(h^*(x)P_i(x^{-1})) \end{pmatrix}$.

By hypothesis, H_1 is the parity check matrix of \mathcal{C} in the standard form which can be written as $H_1 = [I_k \mid \widehat{H}_1]$, where I_k is the $k \times k$ identity matrix. In particular, this implies that $H_1 = J^{-1}H_1^*$. Now, let A be a matrix with N columns and for any $h \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ define the bilinear map

$$\diamond : \mathbb{F}_q[x^{-1}] \times \text{Mat}(k, N; \mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow \text{Mat}(k, N; \mathbb{F}_q)$$

$\forall \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{F}_q, \forall k, h \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\forall A, B \in \text{Mat}(k, N; \mathbb{F}_q)$ as follows:

$$x^{-h} \diamond A := A \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \vec{0} & 1 \\ \hline I_N & \overleftarrow{0} \end{array} \right)^h = A \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right)^h$$

$$(\lambda x^{-h} + \mu x^{-k}) \diamond A = \lambda(x^{-h} \diamond A) + \mu(x^{-k} \diamond A),$$

$$x^{-h} \diamond (\lambda A + \mu B) = \lambda(x^{-h} \diamond A) + \mu(x^{-h} \diamond B).$$

Moreover, observe that $\lambda x^{-h} \diamond (CD) = C(\lambda x^{-h} \diamond D)$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q, C \in \text{Mat}(k, k; \mathbb{F}_q)$

and $D \in \text{Mat}(k, N; \mathbb{F}_q)$. Furthermore, if $A = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{a}_0 \\ \vdots \\ \vec{a}_{k-1} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} a_0(x) \\ \vdots \\ a_{k-1}(x) \end{pmatrix}$, where $\pi : \mathbb{F}_q^N \rightarrow R/R(x^N - 1)$ and $\pi(\vec{a}_j) = a_j(x)$ for $j = 0, \dots, k-1$, then we have $x^{-1} \diamond A \equiv$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0(x)x^{-1} \\ \vdots \\ a_{k-1}(x)x^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } p(x)x^{-1} = p_1 + p_2x + \dots + p_{N-1}x^{N-2} + p_0x^{N-1} \text{ if } p(x) = p_0 +$$

$p_1x + \dots + p_{N-1}x^{N-1}$, since $x^N = 1$ and $x^{-1} = x^{N-1}$. Hence we get $(\lambda x^{-h} + \mu x^{-k}) \diamond A \equiv$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda a_0(x)x^{-h} \\ \vdots \\ \lambda a_{k-1}(x)x^{-h} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \mu a_0(x)x^{-h} \\ \vdots \\ \mu a_{k-1}(x)x^{-h} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x^{-1} \diamond H_1 &= x^{-1} \diamond [{}^tP_1, {}^t\tau(P_1), {}^t\tau^2(P_1), \dots, {}^t\tau^{N-1}(P_1)] \\ &= [{}^t\tau(P_1), {}^t\tau^2(P_1), \dots, {}^t\tau^{N-1}(P_1), {}^tP_1] \\ &= [{}^t\tau(P_1), {}^t\tau^2(P_1), \dots, {}^t\tau^{N-1}(P_1), {}^t\tau^N(P_1)] \\ &= \tau \cdot H_1 \\ x^{-2} \diamond H_1 &= [{}^t\tau^2(P_1), \dots, {}^t\tau^{N-1}(P_1), {}^tP_1, {}^t\tau(P_1)] = \tau^2 \cdot H_1 \\ &\vdots \\ x^{-h} \diamond H_1 &= \tau^h \cdot H_1. \end{aligned}$$

Then we deduce that $q(\tau) \cdot H_1 = q(x^{-1}) \diamond H_1$ for any polynomial $q(t) \in \mathbb{F}_q[t]$, where $(x^{-1})^h := x^{-h}$. Keeping in mind that $P_i(z) \in \mathbb{F}_q^\theta[z]$, by *Claim 2* we have for $i = 1, \dots, m$

$$\begin{aligned} H_i &= P_i(\tau) \cdot H_1 = P_i(x^{-1}) \diamond (J^{-1}H_1^*) = J^{-1}(P_i(x^{-1}) \diamond H_1^*) = \\ &= J^{-1} \left(P_i(x^{-1}) \diamond \begin{pmatrix} h^*(x) \\ xh^*(x) \\ \vdots \\ x^{k-1}h^*(x) \end{pmatrix} \right) = J^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} (h^*(x))P_i(x^{-1}) \\ (xh^*(x))P_i(x^{-1}) \\ \vdots \\ (x^{k-1}h^*(x))P_i(x^{-1}) \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= J^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} h^*(x)P_i(x^{-1}) \\ x(h^*(x)P_i(x^{-1})) \\ \vdots \\ x^{k-1}(h^*(x)P_i(x^{-1})) \end{pmatrix} =: J^{-1}H_i^*. \end{aligned} \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

So, by *Claim 3* we have

$$H = [H_1|H_2|\dots|H_m] = [J^{-1}H_1^*|J^{-1}H_2^*|\dots|J^{-1}H_m^*] = J^{-1}[H_1^*|H_2^*|\dots|H_m^*],$$

where $H_i^* := \begin{pmatrix} h^*(x)P_i(x^{-1}) \\ x(h^*(x)P_i(x^{-1})) \\ \vdots \\ x^{k-1}(h^*(x)P_i(x^{-1})) \end{pmatrix}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Finally, by [Corollary 19](#) we

can conclude that $H = [H_1|H_2|\dots|H_m]$ is a generator matrix of a 1-generator SQC $[mN, k]_q$ -code of length mN and index m with generator polynomial

$$\mathbf{g} = (h^*(x), h^*(x)P_2(x^{-1}), \dots, h^*(x)P_m(x^{-1})) \in \hat{R}^m,$$

where $h^*(x)$ is given by [Proposition 9](#). □

$[n, k, d]_q$	Generator Matrix	N	α	p^t
$[25, 4, 17]_4$	$[1a^21a^2]^5 + [a^2a^2a^21]^{10} + [aa^210]^{10}$	5	a	2
$[35, 4, 24]_4$	$[1a1a]^5 + [0a^2a1]^{10} + [10a^21]^{10} + [1a^201]^{10}$	5	a^2	2
$[40, 4, 28]_4$	$[1a^21a^2]^5 + [0a^2a1]^{10} + [a^2a^201]^{10} + [1a^201]^{10} + [1010]^5$	5	a	2
$[45, 4, 32]_4$	$[1a1a]^5 + [a100]^{10} + [1a^211]^{10} + [0a01]^{10} + [a^2a^2a1]^{10}$	5	a^2	2
$[50, 4, 36]_4$	$[1a1a]^5 + [1aa^21]^{10} + [1101]^{10} + [aaa^21]^{10} + [a^2a^2a1]^{10} + [a^2100]^5$	5	a^2	2
$[60, 4, 44]_4$	$[1a^21a^2]^5 + [1110]^{10} + [0aa^21]^{10} + [00a^21]^{10} + [a^2111]^{10} + [a^2a^211]^{10} + [a0a1]^5$	5	a	2
$[65, 4, 48]_4$	$[1a1a]^5 + [aa01]^{10} + [1011]^{10} + [a1a^21]^{10} + [1a^210]^{10} + [0a11]^{10} + [a^2100]^5 + [a^20a^21]^5$	5	a^2	2
$[85, 4, 64]_4$	$[1a1a]^5 + [a^2a11]^{10} + [0011]^{10} + [a^2a^201]^{10} + [1a^201]^{10} + [0a^2a^21]^{10} + [a^21a1]^{10} + [1a^2a^21]^{10} + [1010]^5 + [0a10]^5$	5	a^2	2
$[12, 5, 6]_4$	$[a^21a^21a^2]^6 + [1a^2a^2aa^2]^6$	6	1	2
$[18, 5, 10]_4$	$[a^21a^21a^2]^6 + [01a^201]^6 + [a^20a^2aa]^6$	6	1	2
$[20, 5, 12]_4$	$[aa110]^{10} + [a^20a^2aa]^{10}$	10	1	2
$[30, 5, 20]_4$	$[11a^2a1]^{10} + [a^2aaa^21]^{10} + [01a^201]^{10}$	10	1	2
$[32, 5, 21]_4$	$[a^2aa^2a^20]^8 + [aa1a^20]^8 + [0a^20a^2a]^8 + [1001a^2]^8$	8	1	2
$[36, 5, 24]_4$	$[a^2aa^20a^2]^{12} + [a^2aaa^21]^{12} + [01a^201]^{12}$	12	1	2
$[48, 5, 33]_4$	$[1101a^2]^{12} + [a^20a^2aa]^{12} + [0a^20a^2a]^{12} + [a^2a^2a^21a]^{12}$	12	1	2
$[120, 5, 88]_4$	$[a^21a1a^2]^{30} + [a^2aaa^21]^{30} + [a^20a^2aa]^{30} + [0a^200a]^{30}$	30	1	2
$[248, 5, 184]_4$	$[aa^2a^2aa]^{62} + [a^2aaa^21]^{62} + [aa1a^20]^{62} + [0a^200a]^{62}$	62	1	2
$[21, 6, 12]_4$	$[1a^21a^21a^2]^7 + [aa0a11]^{14}$	7	a	2
$[49, 6, 32]_4$	$[1a^21a^21a^2]^7 + [aa^2a^2101]^{14} + [a^21aaa1]^{14} + [aa^21a^210]^{14}$	7	a	2
$[34, 3, 28]_8$	$[1w^6w^4]^4 + [w^6w1]^{12} + [w^510]^{12} + [111]^6$	4	w	2
$[50, 4, 41]_8$	$[w^51w^4w^5]^5 + [w^4w^2w^21]^{15} + [w^4w^510]^{15} + [w^5w^2w1]^{15}$	5	w	2
$[65, 4, 56]_8$	$[w^51w^4w^5]^5 + [w^4w^4w^31]^{15} + [w^6w^210]^{15} + [w^2110]^{15} + [1w^4w^21]^{15}$	5	w	2
$[25, 4, 19]_9$	$[\beta^4\beta^31\beta^7]^5 + [1\beta^5\beta^71]^{10} + [\beta^3\beta^6\beta^31]^{10}$	5	β	3
$[42, 4, 34]_9$	$[10\beta^20]^6 + [\beta^71\beta1]^{12} + [\beta^5\beta^7\beta1]^{12} + [0\beta^4\beta^21]^{12}$	6	β^2	3

TABLE 1. New constructions of some linear codes with BKLC parameters.

3.2. MDS skew (α, θ) -cyclic codes. First of all, let us observe that in the commutative case, from [20, Theorem 6] it is known that there exists a MDS α -constacyclic $[n, k]$ -code over \mathbb{F}_q with $(n, q) \neq 1$ and $2 \leq k \leq n - 2$, if and only if $n = p$.

Let us show here that in the non-commutative case, there exist MDS skew constacyclic $[n, k]_q$ -codes with $(n, q) \neq 1$ and $2 \leq k \leq n - 2$ also when $n \neq p$.

$[n, k, d]_q$	Generator Matrix	N	α	p^t
$[12, 5, 6]_4$	$[a^2 1a^2 1a^2]^6 + [01111]^6$	6	1	2
$[20, 5, 12]_4$	$[aa110]^{10} + [01011]^{10}$	10	1	2
$[30, 5, 20]_4$	$[aa110]^{10} + [11011]^{10} + [10011]^{10}$	10	1	2
$[36, 5, 24]_4$	$[1101a^2]^{12} + [01011]^{12} + [10001]^{12}$	12	1	2
$[48, 5, 33]_4$	$[10a^2a0]^{12} + [11010]^{12} + [00111]^{12} + [10111]^{12}$	12	1	2
$[90, 5, 64]_4$	$[a^2 10a1]^{30} + [01011]^{30} + [00111]^{30}$	30	1	2
$[120, 5, 88]_4$	$[a^2 0aa^2a]^{30} + [00011]^{30} + [10111]^{30} + [10010]^{30}$	30	1	2
$[248, 5, 184]_4$	$[10a^2 10]^{62} + [00011]^{62} + [11010]^{62} + [11101]^{62}$	62	1	2

TABLE 2. Some 1-generator SQC codes for $q = 4$ and $k = 5$ with BKLC parameters.

Example 24. Over $\mathbb{F}_4 = \mathbb{F}_2[\alpha]$ with $\alpha^2 + \alpha + 1 = 0$ and $\theta(z) = z^2$, consider the skew (α, θ) -cyclic $[4, 2]_4$ -code \mathcal{C} generated by $g(x) = \alpha x^2 + \alpha^2 x + \alpha^2$. Then \mathcal{C} is a MDS code with parity check matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha^2 & \alpha^2 & \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & \alpha & \alpha^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example 25. Over $\mathbb{F}_9 = \mathbb{F}_3[\omega]$ with $\omega^3 + \omega + 2 = 0$ and $\theta(z) = z^2$, consider the skew $(1, \theta)$ -cyclic $[6, 4]_9$ -code \mathcal{C} generated by $g(x) = \omega^5 x^2 + \omega^7 x + \omega^7$. Then \mathcal{C} is a MDS code with parity check matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \omega^7 & \omega^7 & \omega^5 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^5 & \omega^5 & \omega^7 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega^7 & \omega^7 & \omega^5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega^5 & \omega^5 & \omega^7 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By Theorem 10, we recall that the parity check matrix of a MDS skew (α, θ) -cyclic $[n, n - k]_q$ -code has the form $[{}^tP, {}^t\tau(P), {}^t\tau^2(P), \dots, {}^t\tau^{n-1}(P)]$, where $P \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$, $\tau(\vec{v}) := \Theta(\vec{v})T$ for every $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$ with $T \in GL(k, q)$ and $\tau^n(P) = \alpha P$ with $n \leq \text{ord}(\tau)$.

Therefore, under certain conditions on q , k and n , the existence of MDS skew (α, θ) -cyclic $[n, k]_q$ -codes is strictly related to some algebraic conditions, as it is shown in the following results.

Proposition 26. *Assume that $q > 2$, $k \geq 4$ and*

$$\begin{cases} q + k - \frac{\sqrt{q} + 5}{4} < n & , \text{ for } q \text{ odd} \\ q + k - \frac{2\sqrt{q} + 7}{4} < n & , \text{ for } q \text{ even} . \end{cases}$$

If there exists a MDS skew (α, θ) -cyclic $[n, n - k]_q$ -code, then $n \leq q + 1$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be a MDS skew (α, θ) -cyclic $[n, n - k]_q$ -code. By Theorem 10, a parity check matrix of \mathcal{C} has the form $[{}^tP, {}^t\tau(P), {}^t\tau^2(P), \dots, {}^t\tau^{n-1}(P)]$, where $P \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$ and $\tau = T \circ \Theta$ for some $T \in GL(k, q)$ with $\tau(\vec{v}) := \Theta(\vec{v})T$ for every $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$ and such

that $\tau^n(P) = \alpha P$. Note that the set $\mathcal{K} := \{[\tau^i(P)]: i = 0, \dots, n-1\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{k-1}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, defines an n -arc in the finite projective space $\mathbb{P}^{k-1}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Thus, by [3, 4, 17, 23, 26] and the hypothesis

$$\begin{cases} q - \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{q} + (k-1) - \frac{1}{4} < n & , q \text{ odd} \\ q - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{q} + (k-1) - \frac{3}{4} < n & , q \text{ even} , \end{cases}$$

we deduce that \mathcal{K} lies on a unique rational normal curve. Hence $n = |\mathcal{K}| \leq q+1$. \square

Let us note here that for some $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, a skew monic polynomial $F(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x; \theta]$ could not divide $x^m - a$ on the right for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Nevertheless, in [24, §3.2.1] it was shown that any skew polynomial $F(x)$ as above with a regular constant term always divides the polynomial $x^m - 1$ on the left for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Observe that the proof of this fact works well also for right divisions with only slight modifications.

So, in line with the classical definition of subexponent of a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ (see, e.g., [15, pp. 6–7]), let us give here a similar definition for the non-commutative ring $\mathbb{F}_q[x; \theta]$ (see also [12, Definitions 2.1(a) and 3.1]).

Definition 27. Let $F(x)$ be a skew monic polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_q[x; \theta]$. If $F(0) \neq 0$, then $F(x)$ has *right exponent* $e(F)$ if $e(F)$ is the smallest positive integer such that $F(x)$ is a right divisor of $x^{e(F)} - a$ for some $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Similarly, one can define the notion of *left exponent* of $F(x)$.

The following result is an algebraic characterization of the existence of some MDS skew (α, θ) -cyclic codes with certain parameters.

Theorem 28. *Assume that $q > 2$, $k \geq 4$ and*

$$\begin{cases} q + k - \frac{\sqrt{q} + 5}{4} < n \leq q + 1 & , \text{ for } q \text{ odd} \\ q + k - \frac{2\sqrt{q} + 7}{4} < n \leq q + 1 & , \text{ for } q \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

Then, there exists a MDS skew (α, θ) -cyclic $[n, n-k]_q$ -code if and only if there exists a polynomial $x^2 + ax + b \in \mathbb{F}_q[x; \theta]$ with $b \neq 0$ such that $e(x^2 + ax + b) = n$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be a MDS skew (α, θ) -cyclic $[n, n-k]_q$ -code. By using the same notation as in Proposition 26, through a projectivity given by $A \in GL(k, q)$, we can send the points of \mathcal{K} onto the canonical rational normal curve which is the image of the Venonese map $\nu_k: \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{k-1}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ given by

$$\nu_k[x_0 : x_1] = [x_0^{k-1} : x_0^{k-2}x_1 : \dots : x_0x_1^{k-2} : x_1^{k-1}] .$$

By taking $\tau' := A \circ \tau \circ A^{-1}$ given by $\tau'(Q) := A \circ \tau \circ A^{-1}(Q) = A \circ \tau(QA^{-1}) = \tau(QA^{-1})A$ for every $Q \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$, we can define the set

$$\mathcal{K}' := \{[\tau^i(P)A]: i = 0, \dots, n-1\} = \{[(\tau')^i(PA)]: i = 0, \dots, n-1\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{k-1}(\mathbb{F}_q),$$

where $\tau' = M' \circ \Theta$ for some $M' \in GL(k, q)$. Now, consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}^{k-1}(\mathbb{F}_q) & \xrightarrow{\tau' := M' \circ \Theta} & \mathbb{P}^{k-1}(\mathbb{F}_q) \\ \nu_k \uparrow & & \uparrow \nu_k \\ \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q) & \xrightarrow{\sigma := M \circ \Theta} & \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q) \end{array},$$

where $M \in GL(2, q)$ is such that $\nu_k \circ \sigma = \tau' \circ \nu_k$. In this way, we can identify the elements of \mathcal{K}' with the elements of $\{[(\sigma)^i(\vec{p})] = [(M \circ \Theta)^i(\vec{p})] : i = 0, \dots, n-1\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$, where $[\vec{p}] := \nu_k^{-1}([PA])$. Consider a projectivity of $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ given by $B \in GL(2, q)$ such that $(1, 0)B = \vec{p}$. Let $M'' = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}$ be a 2×2 matrix with $\beta \neq 0$ such that the following diagram commutes,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q) & \xrightarrow{\sigma := M \circ \Theta} & \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q) \\ B \uparrow & & \uparrow B \\ \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q) & \xrightarrow{\sigma'' := M'' \circ \Theta} & \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q) \end{array},$$

where $[(1, 0)]B = [\vec{p}]$. Define $C := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \alpha & \beta \end{pmatrix}$ and observe that $C^{-1} = \beta^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \beta & 0 \\ -\alpha & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Then

$$C^{-1} \circ (M'' \circ \Theta) \circ C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \theta(\alpha) & \theta(\beta) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\alpha\beta^{-1} & \beta^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \circ \Theta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -b & -a \end{pmatrix} \circ \Theta$$

for some $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q$ with $b \neq 0$. This gives the further commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q) & \xrightarrow{\sigma'' := M'' \circ \Theta} & \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q) \\ C \uparrow & & \uparrow C \\ \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q) & \xrightarrow{A \circ \Theta} & \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q) \end{array},$$

where $A := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -b & -a \end{pmatrix}$ and $[(1, 0)]C = [(1, 0)]$. Combining the previous commutative diagrams, we obtain the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}^{k-1}(\mathbb{F}_q) & \xrightarrow{\tau' := M' \circ \Theta} & \mathbb{P}^{k-1}(\mathbb{F}_q) \\ \nu_k \circ B \circ C \uparrow & & \uparrow \nu_k \circ B \circ C \\ \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q) & \xrightarrow{A \circ \Theta} & \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q) \end{array}$$

such that the elements of \mathcal{K}' can be identified with the elements of

$$\{[(A \circ \Theta)^i(1, 0)] : i = 0, \dots, n-1\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q).$$

Let $f(x) := x^2 + ax + b$ be the characteristic polynomial of A and define the bijective map

$$\pi: \mathbb{F}_q^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_q[x; \theta]/(f(x)) =: R$$

defined by $\pi(\vec{v}) := v_0 + v_1x$, where $\vec{v} := (v_0, v_1)$.

Observe that $\pi((A \circ \Theta)^j(\vec{v})) = x^j \cdot \pi(\vec{v}) = x^j(v_0 + v_1x)$ for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and that π induces the bijective map

$$\widehat{\pi}: (\mathbb{F}_q^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}) / \mathbb{F}_q^* \longrightarrow (R \setminus \{0\}) / \mathbb{F}_q^*$$

given by $\widehat{\pi}[(v_0, v_1)] := [\pi(v_0, v_1)]$. So, $\widehat{\pi}[(A \circ \Theta)^j(\vec{v})] = [x^j(v_0 + v_1x)]$ for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Moreover, since $(\mathbb{F}_q^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}) / \mathbb{F}_q^* \cong \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$, for all $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [(1, 0)] \neq [(A \circ \Theta)^i(1, 0)] &\implies \widehat{\pi}[(1, 0)] \neq \widehat{\pi}[(A \circ \Theta)^i(1, 0)] \implies [1] \neq [x^i] \\ (\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*) &\implies \lambda \neq x^i \\ (\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*) &\implies x^i - \lambda \not\equiv 0 \pmod{f(x)} \end{aligned}$$

i.e., there exists $f(x) = x^2 + ax + b \in \mathbb{F}_q[x; \theta]$ with $b \neq 0$ such that $x^i - \lambda \not\equiv 0 \pmod{f(x)}$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Since $[1] = [x^n]$, we conclude that there exists $x^2 + ax + b \in \mathbb{F}_q[x; \theta]$ with $b \neq 0$ such that $e(x^2 + ax + b) = n$.

Looking closely the above proof, by construction the converse of the statement becomes at this point easy to prove. \square

Finally, observe that the above result provides a method to construct via Veronese embeddings some MDS skew (α, θ) -cyclic $[n, n-k]_q$ -code.

Corollary 29. *If a polynomial $x^2 + ax + b \in \mathbb{F}_q[x; \theta]$ with $b \neq 0$ has right exponent $e(x^2 + ax + b) = n \leq q+1$, then for any integer h such that $1 \leq h \leq n-1$ there exists a MDS skew (α, θ) -cyclic $[n, h]_q$ -code for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$.*

Proof. Let k be an integer such that $2 \leq k \leq n-1$ and define $A := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -b & -a \end{pmatrix}$. Note that there exists a matrix $M \in GL(k, q)$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}^{k-1}(\mathbb{F}_q) & \xrightarrow{M \circ \Theta} & \mathbb{P}^{k-1}(\mathbb{F}_q) \\ \nu_k \uparrow & & \uparrow \nu_k \\ \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q) & \xrightarrow{A \circ \Theta} & \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q) \end{array},$$

where $\nu_k[x_0 : x_1] = [x_0^{k-1} : x_0^{k-2}x_1 : \dots : x_0x_1^{k-2} : x_1^{k-1}]$. Thus the set

$$\{[(A \circ \Theta)^i(1, 0)]: i = 0, \dots, n-1\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_q)$$

provides a set $\{[(M \circ \Theta)^i(1, 0, \dots, 0)]: i = 0, \dots, n-1\} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{k-1}(\mathbb{F}_q)$ of points lying on a rational normal curve in $\mathbb{P}^{k-1}(\mathbb{F}_q)$. This gives a matrix of type

$$[{}^tP, {}^t\tau(P), {}^t\tau^2(P), \dots, {}^t\tau^{n-1}(P)],$$

where $P = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{F}_q^k$ and $\tau := M \circ \Theta$ is such that $\tau^n(P) = \beta P$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. We conclude by Theorems 7, 10 and Corollary 12. \square

When θ is the Frobenius automorphism of \mathbb{F}_q , in [12, Theorem 3.1] the authors show that the right and left exponents of a skew polynomial $g(x)$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[x; \theta]$ are equal and they provide an algebraic method to compute the right exponent of $g(x)$. On the other hand, the following MAGMA program defines a command $\text{EXP}(p^t, [b, a, 1])$ which determines the right exponent of any skew polynomial $x^2 + ax + b \in \mathbb{F}_q[x; \theta]$ with $b \neq 0$, when $\theta(z) = z^{p^t}$:

Program 2.

```

c:= ... ; F<w>:=GF(c);
B:=[x : x in F | x ne 0];

Exp:=function(qq,g,t)
R<x>:=TwistedPolynomials(F:q:=qq);
f:=R!g; n:=Degree(f)-1;
repeat n:=n+1;
v:=[t];
for i in [1..n-1] do
v:=v cat [0];
end for;
s:=v cat [1];
g:=R!s; _,r:=Quotrem(g,f);
until r eq R![0] or n ge c+2;
if r eq R![0] then
return n;
end if;
if n ge c+2 then
return c^Degree(f);
end if;
end function;

EXP:=function(aa,h)
BB:=[x : x in F | x ne 0]; C:={};
for j in BB do
C:= C join {Exp(aa,h,j)};
end for;
return Minimum(C);
end function;

```

Example 30. Let $\mathbb{F}_q := \{0, 1, w, w^2, \dots, w^{q-2}\}$ be a field with q elements. By using Program 2 and Corollary 29, we can deduce the existence Table 3 of some MDS skew (α, θ) -cyclic $[n, k]_q$ -codes whose parameters are not met in the commutative case by any MDS α -constacyclic code (compare this table with Tables 1, 2 and 3 in [21]):

q	n	k	α	p^t for θ	Polynomial $x^2 + ax + b$
8	6	3, 4	1, 1	2	$x^2 + x + w^3$
9	6	3, 4	$1, w^4$	3	$x^2 + x + w^2$
16	8	3, 4, 5	1, 1, 1	2	$x^2 + x + w$
16	12	3, 4, 5	1, 1, 1	2	$x^2 + wx + w$
25	10	3, 4, 5	$w^{12}, w^6, 1$	5	$x^2 + x + w^2$
32	10	3, 4, 5	1, 1, 1	2	$x^2 + x + w$
32	15	3, 4, 5	1, 1, 1	2	$x^2 + x + w^7$
49	14	3, 4, 5	w^{32}, w^{24}, w^{16}	7	$x^2 + x + w^2$
64	12	3, 4, 5	1, 1, 1	2	$x^2 + x + w$
64	18	3, 4, 5	1, 1, 1	2	$x^2 + x + w^3$

TABLE 3. Existence of some MDS skew (α, θ) -cyclic $[n, k]_q$ -code.

For instance, consider the first case $q = 8$ in Table 3 and, for simplicity, let $k = 3$. When $\theta = id$, the only possible exponents less or equal to $q + 1 = 9$ of polynomial of type $x^2 + ax + b$ with $b \neq 0$ are 2, 3, 7 and 9. On the other hand, Table 3 shows that one can construct a MDS skew $(1, \theta)$ -cyclic $[6, 3]_8$ -code. Indeed, by using the same notations as in Corollary 29, we have

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -w^3 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ w^3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_3[x_0 : x_1] = [x_0^2 : x_0x_1 : x_1^2].$$

Thus we obtain the set

$$\{[(1, 0)], [(0, 1)], [(w^3, 1)], [(w^3, w^2)], [(1, w^3)], [(1, 1)]\} \subset \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_8)$$

which gives via ν_3 the following set of points in $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_8)$:

$$\mathcal{K} := \{[(1, 0, 0)], [(0, 0, 1)], [(w^6, w^3, 1)], [(w^6, w^5, w^4)], [(1, w^3, w^6)], [(1, 1, 1)]\}.$$

By $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{F}_8)$ one can construct the following matrix

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & w^6 & w^6 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & w^3 & w^5 & w^3 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & w^4 & w^6 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

which can be interpreted as a parity check matrix of a MDS skew $(1, \theta)$ -cyclic $[6, 3]_8$ -code whose generator matrix G in standard form is

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & w^5 & w & w^6 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & w^2 & w^2 & w^4 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & w^5 & w^2 & w^5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly, one can construct all the MDS skew (α, θ) -cyclic $[n, k]_q$ -codes of Table 3.

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we consider skew constacyclic codes \mathcal{C} (called also skew (α, θ) -cyclic codes) and some algebraic and geometric properties of their dual codes. After proving again in an easy way that the dual code of \mathcal{C} is a skew (α^{-1}, θ) -cyclic code with an explicit generator polynomial, we show that the columns of the generator and the parity check matrices of \mathcal{C} are orbits of points in projective spaces via semi-linear maps. Two main applications of this property are given. The first application consists of some results on 1-generator skew quasi-twisted codes which prove that a suitable concatenation of skew (α, θ) -cyclic codes gives in fact a 1-generator skew quasi-cyclic code. The second one shows that under certain conditions on the parameters n, k and q of a code, the existence of MDS skew (α, θ) -cyclic $[n, k]_q$ -codes is strictly related to some algebraic conditions which are explicitly determined.

APPENDIX: SOME BOUNDS FOR THE HAMMING DISTANCE OF SOME SKEW CODES

A linear code \mathcal{C} of length n and dimension k , called an $[n, k]_q$ -code, is a k -dimensional subspace of \mathbb{F}_q^n , where \mathbb{F}_q is a field with q elements. Moreover, an $[n, k, d]_q$ -code is an $[n, k]_q$ -code \mathcal{C} with minimum Hamming distance $d = d(\mathcal{C})$, where

$$d(\mathcal{C}) := \min\{d(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) : \vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathcal{C}, \vec{x} \neq \vec{y}\}$$

and $d(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$ is the Hamming distance between the two vectors \vec{x}, \vec{y} .

Let us denote by $d_q(n, k)$ the largest value of d for which an $[n, k, d]_q$ -code exists. It is well known that a large number of new linear codes over small fields achieving the best known bounds $d_q(n, k)$ have been constructed as cyclic, constacyclic and quasi-cyclic in the non-commutative case (see e.g. [1], [6]).

In this Appendix, after recalling some basic notions, by using a factorization algorithm of A. Leroy [19], we give in §4.1 a BCH lower bound for the minimum Hamming distance d of skew module codes (Theorem 38) which can be useful in error-correcting codes and for some decoding algorithms. Furthermore, an immediate application of this general result to skew constacyclic codes is shown (Proposition 41) and two upper bounds for d are given in §4.2 (see Corollaries 44 and 46) as consequences of a remark and of a result which characterizes some Maximum Distance Separable (MDS) skew constacyclic codes (Theorem 45).

With the same notation as in Section 1, consider $f \in R$ of degree $n := \deg f$ and the following \mathbb{F}_q -linear isomorphism of left \mathbb{F}_q -modules (or \mathbb{F}_q -vector spaces):

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi: \quad \mathbb{F}_q^n &\longrightarrow R/Rf \\ (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}) &\longmapsto [a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_{n-1}x^{n-1}] . \end{aligned}$$

Observe that f can be assumed to be a monic polynomial in R and let m be the order of θ . Without any condition on m , note that the set R/Rf can be always considered also as a left R -module.

Let us recall here the definitions of the main skew codes we will treat in this Appendix.

Definition 31 ([9], [24]). An f -module θ -code \mathcal{C} is a linear code in \mathbb{F}_q^n which corresponds via φ to a left R -submodule $Rg/Rf \subset R/Rf$ in the basis $1, x, \dots, x^{n-1}$, where g is a right divisor of f in R . The length of the code \mathcal{C} is $n = \deg f$ and its dimension $k = n - \deg g$. For simplicity, we will denote this code $\mathcal{C} = (g)_{n,\theta}^k \subset \mathbb{F}_q^n$ and we will say that \mathcal{C} is generated by $g \in R$. Furthermore, if $\theta = id$ then an f -module θ -code is called simply an f -module code.

By specializing the above general definition, we have another version of Definition 1 (cf. Theorem 3).

Definition 32 ([6],[9]). A linear code $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is called a *skew (α, θ) -cyclic code* (or simply, a *skew α -constacyclic code*) if $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$ and \mathcal{C} is a $(x^n - \alpha)$ -module θ -code.

Finally, we will need also the following basic notion.

Definition 33. ([18]) For $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we define recursively $\mathcal{N}_i^\theta(a)$ for any $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ as

$$\mathcal{N}_0^\theta(a) = 1, \quad \mathcal{N}_{i+1}^\theta(a) = \theta(\mathcal{N}_i^\theta(a))a .$$

Furthermore, if $q(x) = \sum_j a_j x^j \in R$, then by [18, Proposition 2.9] the skew evaluation of $q(x)$ in $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ is given by $q(a) = \sum_j a_j \mathcal{N}_j^\theta(a)$.

4.1. A BCH lower bound for skew module codes. In [19], A. Leroy showed how a factorization of a skew polynomial can be made in a suitable commutative polynomial ring. By this method, we can transfer for instance some properties of constacyclic codes to skew constacyclic codes and vice versa. More in general, a factorization of a skew polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_q[x; \theta]$ can be made in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$ by a Leroy's algorithm [19, Theorem 2.5] and, in the same spirit of Hartmann–Tzeng bounds [14], a lower bound for the minimum Hamming distance can be found.

For any prime p and $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, A. Leroy defines $[i] := \frac{p^i - 1}{p - 1}$ and

$$\mathbb{F}_q[x^{\square}] := \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^m \alpha_i x^{[i]} \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] : m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\} .$$

Let us note here that the Leroy's algorithm and the above definitions can be generalized by considering a power of the Frobenius automorphism. More precisely, consider the automorphism $\theta(a) := a^{p^s}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ with $1 \leq s \leq r$. By putting $[i]_s := \frac{(p^s)^i - 1}{p^s - 1}$ instead of $[i]$, the set $\mathbb{F}_q[x^{\square}]$ can be replaced with

$$\mathbb{F}_q[x^{\square^s}] := \left\{ \sum_{i \geq 0} \alpha_i x^{[i]_s} \in \mathbb{F}_q[x] : m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q[x] .$$

For any polynomial $p(x) := \sum_{i=0}^m \alpha_i x^i \in R$, one can define

$$p^{\square^s}(x) := \sum_{i=0}^m \alpha_i x^{[i]_s} \in \mathbb{F}_q[x^{\square^s}]$$

the $[p^s]$ -polynomial associated to $p(x)$ and $\mathbb{F}_q[x^{\llbracket s \rrbracket}]$ the set of $[p^s]$ -polynomials.

Moreover, note that $[i]_s = [i]$ and $\mathbb{F}_q[x^{\llbracket s \rrbracket}] = \mathbb{F}_q[x^{\llbracket 1 \rrbracket}]$ for $s = 1$ and observe that a similar result as [19, Theorem 2.5, (5)] holds, that is, for any $b(x) \in R$ we have

$$(*) \quad t(x) \in Rb(x) \iff t^{\llbracket s \rrbracket}(x) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x]b^{\llbracket s \rrbracket}(x).$$

Putting $R' := \mathbb{F}_q[x]$, we can consider the following \mathbb{F}_q -linear isomorphism $\varphi^{\llbracket s \rrbracket}$ of (left) \mathbb{F}_q -vector spaces associated to φ :

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi^{\llbracket s \rrbracket}: \quad \mathbb{F}_q^{\llbracket n \rrbracket s} &\longrightarrow R'/R'f^{\llbracket s \rrbracket} \\ (b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{\llbracket n \rrbracket s - 1}) &\longmapsto [b_0 + b_1x + \dots + b_{\llbracket n \rrbracket s - 1}x^{\llbracket n \rrbracket s - 1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, note that the following \mathbb{F}_q -linear morphism of left \mathbb{F}_q -vector spaces

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_s: \quad R &\longrightarrow R' \\ a(x) := a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_mx^m &\longmapsto a^{\llbracket s \rrbracket}(x) := a_0 + a_1x^{\llbracket 1 \rrbracket s} + \dots + a_mx^{\llbracket m \rrbracket s} \end{aligned}$$

allows us to define by (*) an injective \mathbb{F}_q -linear morphism i between left \mathbb{F}_q -vector spaces

$$\begin{aligned} i: \quad R/Rf &\longrightarrow R'/R'f^{\llbracket s \rrbracket} \\ [a(x)] &\longmapsto i([a(x)]) := [a^{\llbracket s \rrbracket}(x)] \end{aligned}$$

This gives the following commutative diagram:

$$(**) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{F}_q^n & \xrightarrow{j} & \mathbb{F}_q^{\llbracket n \rrbracket s} \\ \varphi \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi^{\llbracket s \rrbracket} \\ R/Rf & \xrightarrow{i} & R'/R'f^{\llbracket s \rrbracket} \end{array}$$

where $j := (\varphi^{\llbracket s \rrbracket})^{-1} \circ i \circ \varphi$ is an injective \mathbb{F}_q -linear morphism of left \mathbb{F}_q -vector spaces.

Remark 34. From (**) it follows that $wt_{\llbracket n \rrbracket s}(j(\vec{c})) = wt_n(\vec{c})$ for any $\vec{c} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$, where $wt_m(\vec{e})$ denotes the weight of $\vec{e} \in \mathbb{F}_q^m$.

Definition 35. Let $\mathcal{C} := (g)_{n,\theta}^k \subset \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be an f -module θ -code generated by $g \in R$. Then $\mathcal{C}^{\llbracket s \rrbracket}$ denotes the linear code given by $(\varphi^{\llbracket s \rrbracket})^{-1}(g^{\llbracket s \rrbracket})_{\llbracket n \rrbracket s}^h$ with $h := \llbracket n \rrbracket s - [\deg g]_s$, i.e. $\mathcal{C}^{\llbracket s \rrbracket} = (g^{\llbracket s \rrbracket})_{\llbracket n \rrbracket s, id}^{\llbracket n \rrbracket s - \deg g^{\llbracket s \rrbracket}}$, where $\deg g^{\llbracket s \rrbracket} = [\deg g]_s$.

Proposition 36. Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be a skew (α, θ) -cyclic code for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Then $\mathcal{C}^{\llbracket s \rrbracket}$ is an α -constacyclic code in $\mathbb{F}_q^{\llbracket n \rrbracket s}$.

Proof. By Theorem 4, let $g \in R$ be the generator polynomial of \mathcal{C} . Since g is a right divisor of $x^n - \alpha$, by (*) we see that the $[p^s]$ -polynomial $g^{\llbracket s \rrbracket} \in \mathbb{F}_q[x^{\llbracket s \rrbracket}]$ associated to g is a right divisor of $x^{\llbracket n \rrbracket s} - \alpha$. Therefore, the linear code $\mathcal{C}^{\llbracket s \rrbracket} := (\varphi^{\llbracket s \rrbracket})^{-1}(g^{\llbracket s \rrbracket})_{\llbracket n \rrbracket s}^{\llbracket n \rrbracket s - [\deg g]_s}$ is an α -constacyclic code in $\mathbb{F}_q^{\llbracket n \rrbracket s}$. \square

Remark 37. More generally, if $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is an f -module θ -code generated by $g \in R$, then still using (*), we see that the linear code $\mathcal{C}^{\llbracket s \rrbracket}$ is an $f^{\llbracket s \rrbracket}$ -module code in $\mathbb{F}_q^{\llbracket n \rrbracket s}$ generated by $g^{\llbracket s \rrbracket} \in R'$. Furthermore, it is known that \mathcal{C}^\perp is again a module θ -code if and only if \mathcal{C} is a skew constacyclic code (see [9, Theorem 1]).

Let us prove now the following general result.

Theorem 38. *Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be an f -module θ -code generated by $g \in R$. Then*

$$d(\mathcal{C}) \geq d(\mathcal{C}^{\llbracket s \rrbracket})$$

and equality holds if and only if there exists a vector $\vec{v} \in \mathcal{C}^{\llbracket s \rrbracket}$ of minimal weight such that $\varphi^{\llbracket s \rrbracket}(\vec{v}) = [v^{\llbracket s \rrbracket}]$ with $v^{\llbracket s \rrbracket} \in \mathbb{F}_q[x^{\llbracket s \rrbracket}]$.

Proof. Note that from (**) it follows that $j(\mathcal{C})$ is a linear code in $\mathbb{F}_q^{\llbracket n \rrbracket s}$ such that $j(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}^{\llbracket s \rrbracket}$. Moreover, by Remark 34 we have $wt_n(\vec{v}) = wt_{\llbracket n \rrbracket s}(j(\vec{v}))$ for any $\vec{v} \in \mathcal{C}$, that is, $d(\mathcal{C}) = d(j(\mathcal{C}))$.

Therefore, we get $d(\mathcal{C}) = d(j(\mathcal{C})) \geq d(\mathcal{C}^{\llbracket s \rrbracket})$ and by (*) we conclude that

$$d(\mathcal{C}) = d(\mathcal{C}^{\llbracket s \rrbracket}) \iff d(j(\mathcal{C})) = d(\mathcal{C}^{\llbracket s \rrbracket}) \iff \exists \vec{c} \in \mathcal{C} : wt_{\llbracket n \rrbracket s}(j(\vec{c})) = d(\mathcal{C}^{\llbracket s \rrbracket})$$

i.e. $d(\mathcal{C}) = d(\mathcal{C}^{\llbracket s \rrbracket}) \iff \exists \vec{v} \in \mathcal{C}^{\llbracket s \rrbracket} : wt_{\llbracket n \rrbracket s}(\vec{v}) = d(\mathcal{C}^{\llbracket s \rrbracket})$ and $\vec{v} \in j(\mathcal{C})$. \square

Proposition 39. *Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be an f -module θ -code generated by $g \in R$ with $\deg(g) > 0$. Then $\mathcal{C}^{\llbracket s \rrbracket} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{\llbracket n \rrbracket s}$ is not a Maximum Distance Separable (MDS) linear code.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{C}^{\llbracket s \rrbracket} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{\llbracket n \rrbracket s}$ is a MDS linear code. Then

$$d(\mathcal{C}^{\llbracket s \rrbracket}) = \llbracket n \rrbracket_s - \dim(\mathcal{C}^{\llbracket s \rrbracket}) + 1 = \deg(g^{\llbracket s \rrbracket}) + 1 = \llbracket \deg(g) \rrbracket_s + 1,$$

where $g^{\llbracket s \rrbracket}$ is the generator polynomial of $\mathcal{C}^{\llbracket s \rrbracket}$. So by Theorem 38 we conclude that

$$\llbracket \deg(g) \rrbracket_s + 1 = d(\mathcal{C}^{\llbracket s \rrbracket}) \leq d(\mathcal{C}) \leq n - \dim \mathcal{C} + 1 = \deg(g) + 1,$$

but this gives a contradiction because $\deg(g) \geq 1$ and $\llbracket l \rrbracket_s > l$ for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. \square

Lemma 40. *Let $\mathbb{F}_{q^{\llbracket k \rrbracket s}}^* = \langle w \rangle$ and $\mathbb{F}_{q^{\llbracket k \rrbracket s}} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^{\llbracket n \rrbracket s}}$. Then*

$$\llbracket n \rrbracket_s \leq q^{\llbracket k \rrbracket s} - 1 \iff \text{rank}(V(w, w^2, \dots, w^{\llbracket n \rrbracket s - 1})) = \llbracket n \rrbracket_s,$$

where

$$V(w, w^2, \dots, w^{\llbracket n \rrbracket s - 1}) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & w & w^2 & \dots & w^{\llbracket n \rrbracket s - 1} \\ 1 & w^2 & (w^2)^2 & \dots & (w^{\llbracket n \rrbracket s - 1})^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ 1 & w^{\llbracket n \rrbracket s - 1} & (w^2)^{\llbracket n \rrbracket s - 1} & \dots & (w^{\llbracket n \rrbracket s - 1})^{\llbracket n \rrbracket s - 1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Write $\mathcal{M} := V(w, w^2, \dots, w^{\llbracket n \rrbracket s - 1})$.

“ \Rightarrow ” Suppose that $\text{rank}(\mathcal{M}) \neq \llbracket n \rrbracket_s$. Then we have

$$(\#) \quad \det(\mathcal{M}) := \prod_{0 \leq i < j \leq [n]_s - 1} (w^j - w^i) = 0,$$

that is, $w^{j-i} = 1$ for some i, j such that $0 \leq i < j \leq [n]_s - 1$. So the order of w , denoted by $\text{ord}(w)$, must be less than or equal to $[n]_s - 1$. Thus we get $[n]_s \leq q^{[k]_s} - 1 = \text{ord}(w) \leq [n]_s - 1$, which is impossible. Hence $\det(\mathcal{M}) \neq 0$, that is, $\text{rank}(\mathcal{M}) = [n]_s$.

“ \Leftarrow ” Note that $\text{rank}(\mathcal{M}) = [n]_s$ implies by $(\#)$ that $w^{j-i} \neq 1$ for any i, j such that $0 \leq i < j \leq [n]_s - 1$. Thus $q^{[k]_s} - 1 = \text{ord}(w) > [n]_s - 1$, i.e. $[n]_s \leq q^{[k]_s} - 1$. \square

By way of example, let us give here an application of the above arguments to obtain a lower bound for the minimum Hamming distance of skew constacyclic codes by finding roots of polynomials in R' instead of R .

Proposition 41. *Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be an f -module θ -code generated by $g \in R$ of degree k . Let $\mathbb{F}_{q^{[k]_s}}^* = \langle w \rangle$ and $\mathbb{F}_{q^{[k]_s}} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^{[n]_s}}$ with $q = p^r$ for some prime $p \geq 2$. Assume that*

$$k \geq \log_{p^s} \left[1 + \left(\frac{p^s - 1}{r} \right) \cdot \log_p \left(\frac{(p^s)^n + p^s - 2}{p^s - 1} \right) \right].$$

If $g^{\llbracket s}(\omega^{l+ci}) = 0$ for $i = 0, \dots, \Delta - 2$, some $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and c such that $(c, q^{[k]_s} - 1) = 1$, then $d(\mathcal{C}) \geq \Delta$.

Proof. Note that

$$k \geq \log_{p^s} \left[1 + \left(\frac{p^s - 1}{r} \right) \cdot \log_p \left(\frac{(p^s)^n + p^s - 2}{p^s - 1} \right) \right] \iff$$

$$r \left(\frac{(p^s)^k - 1}{p^s - 1} \right) \geq \log_p(1 + [n]_s) \iff p^{r \cdot [k]_s} \geq (1 + [n]_s) \iff q^{[k]_s} - 1 \geq [n]_s.$$

Thus $\text{ord}(\omega) = q^{[k]_s} - 1 \geq [n]_s$. Furthermore, since $(c, q^{[k]_s} - 1) = 1$, we have

$$(\omega^c)^j \neq 1, \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, [n]_s - 1.$$

From Remark 37 we know that $\mathcal{C}^{\llbracket s} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^{[n]_s}$ is an $f^{\llbracket s}$ -module code with generator polynomial $g^{\llbracket s} \in R'$. Since $g^{\llbracket s}(\omega^{l+ci}) = 0$, for $i = 0, \dots, \Delta - 2$ and some $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, by Theorem 38 and [25, Theorem 3.9] we conclude that $d(\mathcal{C}) \geq d(\mathcal{C}^{\llbracket s}) \geq \Delta$. \square

Remark 42. In the same spirit of Proposition 41, any result which gives a lower bound for the minimum Hamming distance $d(\mathcal{C}^{\llbracket s})$ of $\mathcal{C}^{\llbracket s}$ in the commutative case can be used to get a lower bound for $d(\mathcal{C})$ by Theorem 38.

4.2. Upper bounds for some skew module codes. First of all, let us give here the following

Remark 43. If $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ is a linear code, then $d(\mathcal{C}) + d(\mathcal{C}^\perp) \leq (n - k + 1) + [n - (n - k) + 1] = n + 2$. So, if we know that $d(\mathcal{C}^\perp) \geq \delta$ for some $\delta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, then we have $d(\mathcal{C}) \leq \min\{n - \delta + 2, n - k + 1\}$, which gives an easy upper bound for $d(\mathcal{C})$.

From Theorem 7 we know that the dual code \mathcal{C}^\perp of a skew (α, θ) -cyclic code is a skew (α^{-1}, θ) -cyclic code. The first upper bound for the minimum Hamming distance is obtained by the following result.

Corollary 44. *Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be a skew (α, θ) -cyclic code generated by $g \in R$. Then*

$$d(\mathcal{C}^{\llbracket s \rrbracket}) \leq d(\mathcal{C}) \leq \min\{n - d(\mathcal{C}^{\perp \llbracket s \rrbracket}) + 2, \deg g + 1\},$$

where $\mathcal{C}^{\llbracket s \rrbracket} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^{\llbracket n \rrbracket s}$ is an α -constacyclic code generated by $g^{\llbracket s \rrbracket} \in R'$ and $\mathcal{C}^{\perp \llbracket s \rrbracket} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^{\llbracket n \rrbracket s}$ is an α^{-1} -constacyclic code generated by the $[p^s]$ -polynomial $h^{\llbracket s \rrbracket} \in R'$ associated to $h \in R$ given by Proposition 9.

Proof. The statement follows from Theorem 7, Remark 43 and Theorem 38 applied to \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}^\perp . \square

Before to give another upper bound of the minimum Hamming distance for some special skew module codes (e.g., see [11]), we prove the following result which extends [22, Theorem 2.10] to the non-commutative case.

Proposition 45. *Let $q = p^r$ for some prime p and $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be an f -module θ -code generated by $g(x) \in R$. Suppose that $\deg g(x) = k$ with $0 < k < n$ and that there exist $\eta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}^*$ and $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $1 \leq t \leq r$ such that*

$$g(x) = \text{l.l.c.m.} \left\{ x - \eta^{p^{it}} : i = 0, \dots, k-1 \right\} \in R.$$

Then

\mathcal{C} is a MDS code $\iff \det \left[\mathcal{N}_{i_j}^\theta \left(\eta^{p^{(l-1)t}} \right) \right]_{1 \leq j, l \leq k} \neq 0$, for any subset of indexes $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subset \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ with $i_1 < \dots < i_k$, where $\mathcal{N}_{i_j}^\theta$ is as in Definition 33.

Proof. Note that, for any $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}$, θ can be extended to \mathbb{F}_{q^k} by $\theta(a) := a^{p^s}$ and that $\mathcal{N}_j^\theta(a) = a^{\llbracket j \rrbracket s}$ for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Write

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{T}_{n,k} := \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{N}_0^\theta(\eta) & \mathcal{N}_1^\theta(\eta) & \cdots & \mathcal{N}_{n-1}^\theta(\eta) \\ \mathcal{N}_0^\theta(\eta^{p^t}) & \mathcal{N}_1^\theta(\eta^{p^t}) & \cdots & \mathcal{N}_{n-1}^\theta(\eta^{p^t}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \mathcal{N}_0^\theta(\eta^{p^{(k-1)t}}) & \mathcal{N}_1^\theta(\eta^{p^{(k-1)t}}) & \cdots & \mathcal{N}_{n-1}^\theta(\eta^{p^{(k-1)t}}) \end{pmatrix},$$

and observe that

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{T}_{n,k} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \eta & \eta^{\llbracket 2 \rrbracket s} & \cdots & \eta^{\llbracket n-1 \rrbracket s} \\ 1 & (\eta)^{p^t} & (\eta^{\llbracket 2 \rrbracket s})^{p^t} & \cdots & (\eta^{\llbracket n-1 \rrbracket s})^{p^t} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & (\eta)^{p^{(k-1)t}} & (\eta^{\llbracket 2 \rrbracket s})^{p^{(k-1)t}} & \cdots & (\eta^{\llbracket n-1 \rrbracket s})^{p^{(k-1)t}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define $\Theta(z) := z^{p^t}$ for any $z \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}$ and note that $\Theta^j(\eta^{[i]_s}) = (\eta^{[i]_s})^{p^{jt}}$ for any $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Hence

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{T}_{n,k} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \eta & \eta^{[2]_s} & \cdots & \eta^{[n-1]_s} \\ \Theta(1) & \Theta(\eta) & \Theta(\eta^{[2]_s}) & \cdots & \Theta(\eta^{[n-1]_s}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \Theta^{k-1}(1) & \Theta^{k-1}(\eta) & \Theta^{k-1}(\eta^{[2]_s}) & \cdots & \Theta^{k-1}(\eta^{[n-1]_s}) \end{pmatrix},$$

and by (3), (4) and [18, Corollary 4.13], we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \det \left[\mathcal{N}_{i_j}^\theta \left(\eta^{p^{(l-1)t}} \right) \right]_{1 \leq j, l \leq k} &= 0, \quad \text{for some } \{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subseteq \{0, \dots, n-1\} \iff \\ \iff \exists \vec{c} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n : \mathcal{T}_{n,k} \cdot {}^t \vec{c} &= {}^t \vec{0}, \quad wt(\vec{c}) \leq k \iff \exists \vec{c} \in \mathcal{C} : wt(\vec{c}) \leq k \iff \\ \iff \mathcal{C} \text{ is not a MDS code, since } \dim \mathcal{C} &= n - \deg g(x) = n - k. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Finally, a second upper bound for the minimum Hamming distance of some skew module codes can be given as a consequence of the proof of Proposition 45.

Corollary 46. *Let $q = p^r$ for some prime p and $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be an f -module θ -code generated by $g(x) \in R$. Suppose that $\deg g(x) = k$ with $0 < k < n$ and that there exist $\eta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^k}^*$ and $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $1 \leq t \leq r$ such that*

$$g(x) = \text{l.l.c.m.} \left\{ x - \eta^{p^{it}} : i = 0, \dots, k-1 \right\} \in R.$$

Then

$$d(\mathcal{C}) \leq \min \{ \text{rank}(\mathcal{M}_{i_1, \dots, i_k}) : 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n \} + 1,$$

where $\mathcal{M}_{i_1, \dots, i_k}$ is a $k \times k$ submatrix of the matrix $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}$ defined by (3).

Proof. With the same notation as in the proof of Proposition 45, we have

$$(6) \quad \vec{c} \in \mathcal{C} \iff \vec{c} \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{T}_{n,k} \cdot {}^t \vec{c} = {}^t \vec{0}.$$

Let $\delta := \min \{ \text{rank}(\mathcal{M}_{i_1, \dots, i_k}) : 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n \}$ and note that $\delta \leq k$. If $\delta = k$, then $d(\mathcal{C}) \leq k + 1 = \delta + 1$, i.e. $d(\mathcal{C}) \leq \delta + 1$.

Thus, assume that $\delta \leq k - 1$ and let $\mathcal{M}_{j_1, \dots, j_k}$ be a submatrix of $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}$ such that $\delta = \text{rank}(\mathcal{M}_{j_1, \dots, j_k})$. In particular, this gives

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \eta^{[j_1]_s} & \cdots & \eta^{[j_{\delta+1}]_s} \\ \Theta(\eta^{[j_1]_s}) & \cdots & \Theta(\eta^{[j_{\delta+1}]_s}) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \Theta^\delta(\eta^{[j_1]_s}) & \cdots & \Theta^\delta(\eta^{[j_{\delta+1}]_s}) \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

By [18, Corollary 4.13], we deduce that $\eta^{[j_1]_s}, \dots, \eta^{[j_{\delta+1}]_s}$ are linearly dependent over \mathbb{F}_q . So $(\eta^{[j_1]_s})^{p^{jt}}, \dots, (\eta^{[j_{\delta+1}]_s})^{p^{jt}}$ are linearly dependent over \mathbb{F}_q for any $j, t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Thus it turns out that the columns $j_1, \dots, j_{\delta+1}$ of $\mathcal{T}_{n,k}$ are linearly dependent over \mathbb{F}_q , that is, there exists a non-zero vector

$$\vec{c} = (0, \dots, 0, c_{j_1}, 0, \dots, 0, c_{j_2}, 0, \dots, 0, c_{j_{\delta+1}}, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n$$

such that $\mathcal{T}_{n,k} \cdot {}^t\vec{c} = {}^t\vec{0}$. Therefore, from (6) it follows that there exists a non-zero vector $\vec{c} \in \mathcal{C}$ with $wt(\vec{c}) \leq \delta + 1$, i.e. $d(\mathcal{C}) \leq \delta + 1$. \square

Remark 47. Let $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$ be a linear $[n, n - k]_q$ -code with parity check matrix H . From the proof of Corollary 46, one can easily deduce the obvious upper bound

$$d(\mathcal{C}) \leq \min \{ \text{rank}(\mathcal{M}_k) : \mathcal{M}_k \text{ is a } k \times k \text{ submatrix of } H \} + 1 .$$

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Abualrub, A. Ghayeb and N. Aydin, I. Siap, *On the construction of skew quasi-cyclic codes*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **56** (2010), no. 5, 2081–2090.
- [2] M. Bhaintwal, *Skew quasi-cyclic codes over Galois rings*, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **62** (2012), 85–101.
- [3] A. Blokhuis, A.A. Bruen and J.A. Thas, *Arcs in $PG(n, q)$, MDS-codes and three fundamental problems of B. Segre - some extensions*, Geom. Dedic. **35** (1990), 1–11.
- [4] A. Blokhuis, A.A. Bruen and J.A. Thas, *On MDS-codes, arcs in $PG(n, q)$ with q even, and a solution of three fundamental problems of B. Segre*, Invent. Math. **92** (1988), 441–459.
- [5] W. Bosma, J. Cannon and C. Playoust, *The Magma algebra system. I. The user language*, J. Symbolic Comput. **24** (1997), no. 3-4, 235–265.
- [6] D. Boucher, W. Geiselmann and F. Ulmer, *Skew-cyclic codes*, Appl. Algebra Engrg. Comm. Comput. **18** (2007), no. 4, 379–389.
- [7] D. Boucher, P. Solé and F. Ulmer, *Skew constacyclic codes over Galois rings*, Adv. Math. Commun. **2** (2008), no. 3, 273–292.
- [8] D. Boucher and F. Ulmer, *Codes as modules over skew polynomial rings*, Cryptography and coding, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci. **5921**, Springer, Berlin, 2009, 38–55.
- [9] D. Boucher and F. Ulmer, *A note on the dual codes of module skew codes*, Cryptography and coding, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci. **7089**, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011, 230–243.
- [10] D. Boucher and F. Ulmer, *Self-dual skew codes and factorization of skew polynomials*, J. Symbolic Comput. **60** (2014), 47–61.
- [11] M’Hammed Boulagouaz, A. Leroy, *(σ, δ) -codes*, Adv. Math. Commun. **7** (2013), no. 4, 463–474.
- [12] A. Cherchem, A. Leroy, *Exponents of skew polynomials*, Finite Fields and Their Appl. **37** (2016), 1–13.
- [13] N. Fogarty and H. Gluesing-Luerssen, *A circulant approach to skew-constacyclic codes*, Finite Fields Appl. **35** (2015), 92–114.
- [14] C.R. Hartmann, K.K. Tzeng, *Generalizations of the BCH bound*, Information and Control **20** (1972), 489–498.
- [15] J.W.P. Hirschfeld, *Projective geometries over finite fields*, Clarendon Press - Oxford, 1979.
- [16] S. Jitman, S. Ling and P. Udomkavanich, *Skew constacyclic codes over finite chain rings*, Adv. Math. Commun. **6** (2012), no. 1, 39–63.
- [17] H. Kaneta, T. Maruta, *An elementary proof and extension of Thas’ theorem on k -arcs*, Mat. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. **105** (1989), 459–462.
- [18] T.Y. Lam and A. Leroy, *Vandermonde and Wronskian Matrices over Division Rings*, Journal of Algebra **119** (1988), 308–336.
- [19] A. Leroy, *Noncommutative polynomial maps*, J. Algebra Appl. **11** (2012), no. 4, 16 pp.
- [20] T. Maruta, *A geometric approach to semi-cyclic codes*, Advances in finite geometries and designs (Chelwood Gate, 1990), Oxford Sci. Publ., Oxford Univ. Press, New York (1991), 311–318.
- [21] T. Maruta, *On the existence of cyclic and pseudo-cyclic MDS codes*, Europ. J. Combinatorics **19** (1998), 159–174.

- [22] T. Maruta, M. Shinohara and M. Takenaka, *Constructing linear codes from some orbits of projectivities*, Discrete Math. **308** (2008), no. 5–6 , 832–841.
- [23] L. Storme, J.A. Thas, *M.D.S. codes and arcs in $PG(n, q)$ with q even: an improvement of the bounds of Bruen, Thas, and Blokhuis*, J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A **62** (1993), 139–154.
- [24] L.F. Tapia Cuitiño and A.L. Tironi, *Dual codes of product semi-linear codes*, Linear Algebra Appl. **457** (2014), 114–153.
- [25] L.F. Tapia Cuitiño and A.L. Tironi, *Some properties of skew codes over finite fields*, Des. Codes Cryptogr. **85** (2017), no. 2, 359–380.
- [26] J.A. Thas, *Normal rational curves and $(q+2)$ -arcs in a Galois space $S_{q-2, q}$ ($q = 2^h$)*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, Rend. **47** (1969), 249–252.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDAD DE CONCEPCIÓN, CASILLA 160-C,
CONCEPCIÓN, CHILE

E-mail address: alexisalmendras@udec.cl, atironi@udec.cl