

THE TORSION-FREE RANK OF HOMOLOGY IN TOWERS OF SOLUBLE PRO- p GROUPS

MARTIN R. BRIDSON AND DESSISLAVA H. KOCHLOUKOVA

ABSTRACT. We show that for every finitely presented pro- p nilpotent-by-abelian-by-finite group G there is an upper bound on $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p)$, as M runs through all pro- p subgroups of finite index in G .

1. INTRODUCTION

In [4] we examined how betti numbers behave in towers of finitely presented soluble groups and proved that if G is finitely presented and nilpotent-by-abelian-by-finite, then there is an upper bound on $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H_1(M, \mathbb{Q})$, as M runs through all subgroups of finite index in G . In this paper we shall prove the corresponding result for pro- p groups.

By definition, a pro- p group G is finitely presented if $G \cong F/R$, where F is a finitely generated free pro- p group and R is a pro- p subgroup of F generated (as a topological group) by the union of finitely many conjugacy classes in F . For discrete groups, one cannot detect finite presentability using homological conditions, but for pro- p groups one can: G is finitely presented if and only if the homology groups $H_1(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $H_2(G, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ are finitely generated. Moreover, the number of elements required to generate G as a topological group is $d(G) := \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_1(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$.

In [12] John Wilson proved that the Golod-Shafarevich inequality holds for soluble pro- p groups. Using this, he proved [12, Corollary A,(i)] that for every finitely presented soluble pro- p group G there is a constant $k > 0$ such that for any pro- p subgroup U of finite index in G , the inequality $d(U) \leq k[G : U]^{1/2}$ holds. He also proved that every normal pro- p subgroup with quotient \mathbb{Z}_p is finitely generated [12, Corollary A, (ii)]. Note how this contrasts with the discrete case: there are many finitely presented solvable groups $N \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ with N not finitely generated. (In the positive direction, Bieri, Neumann and Strebel [3, Thm. D] proved that if G is finitely presented and soluble group with $\text{rk}(G/G') \geq 2$, then there *exists* a normal finitely generated subgroup N such that $G/N \cong \mathbb{Z}$.)

Our first result generalizes [12, Cor. A,(ii)].

Proposition A. *Let G be a finitely presented soluble pro- p group. Then*

$$\sup_{G/H \cong \mathbb{Z}_p} d(H) < \infty.$$

The following result forms the technical heart of this paper. It is proved using methods from commutative algebra. It will enable us to retain the finiteness in homology given by Proposition A as we extend scalars. We remind the reader that

Date: Oxford, 11 April 2016.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 20J05 Secondary 20E18 .

Key words and phrases. pro- p group, virtual first betti number.

for a pro- p group G which is the inverse limit of its finite p -group quotients G/U , homology is defined in terms of modules over the completed group algebra $\mathbb{Z}_p[[G]]$, which is the inverse limit of the rings $\mathbb{Z}_p[G/U]$. Note that $\mathbb{Z}_p[[G]]$ is a local ring whose unique maximal ideal is the kernel of the natural morphism $\mathbb{Z}_p[[G]] \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$.

The *torsion-free rank* of an abelian pro- p group B is

$$\mathrm{rk} B := \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

Proposition B. *Let Q be a free abelian pro- p group of finite rank and let A be a finitely generated pro- p $\mathbb{Z}_p[[Q]]$ -module. Let \mathcal{B} be the set of pro- p subgroups $H \triangleleft Q$ with $Q/H \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$. If $\sup_{H \in \mathcal{B}} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[H]]} \mathbb{F}_p) < \infty$, then*

$$\sup_{s \geq 1} \mathrm{rk}(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[Q^{p^s}]]} \mathbb{Z}_p) < \infty.$$

To prove the following theorem, we will reduce to the metabelian case and then apply Proposition B.

Theorem C. *Let G be a finitely generated pro- p group that is nilpotent-by-(torsion-free abelian). If $\sup_{G/H \cong \mathbb{Z}_p} d(H) < \infty$, then*

$$\sup_{M \in \mathcal{A}} \mathrm{rk} H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}_p) < \infty,$$

where \mathcal{A} is the set of all pro- p subgroups of finite index in G .

By combining Theorem C with Proposition A and using the fact that a subgroup of finite index in a finitely presented group is finitely presented, we obtain the result stated in the abstract.

Corollary D. *For every finitely presented pro- p nilpotent-by-abelian-by-finite group G , there is an upper bound on $\mathrm{rk} H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}_p)$, as M runs through all pro- p subgroups of finite index in G .*

We separated Theorem C from Corollary D because, as we shall explain in Section 6, there are interesting examples of metabelian pro- p groups, arising in the work of Jeremy King [8], that are not finitely presented but still satisfy the conclusion of Proposition A. In Section 6 we shall also describe examples which show that Theorem C can fail when one changes the field of coefficients from \mathbb{Q}_p to \mathbb{F}_p .

The analogue of Corollary D in the setting of discrete groups is this: if G is finitely presented and nilpotent-by-abelian-by-finite, then there is an upper bound on $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H_1(M, \mathbb{Q})$, where M runs through all subgroups of finite index in G . This was our main result in [4]. It was proved independently by Andrei Jaikin Zapirain [6] in the metabelian case under the weaker hypothesis $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H_0(Q, H_2(N, \mathbb{Q})) < \infty$.

Acknowledgements: We thank Andrei Jaikin Zapirain for a suggestion that allowed us to remove an unnecessary hypothesis from an earlier version of Theorem C and we thank the referee for the helpful comments that improved the paper. The work of the first author was supported by grants from the EPSRC and by a Wolfson Merit Award from the Royal Society; the work of the second author was supported by “bolsa de produtividade em pesquisa”, CNPq, Brazil: we thank all of these organizations.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Generating sets. For a pro- p group G with a subset X denote by $\langle X \rangle$ the pro- p subgroup generated by X i.e. the smallest pro- p subgroup of G that contains X . If $G = \langle X \rangle$ we say that X is a generating set for G .

2.2. Completed tensor products. Let R be a profinite ring, V_1 a profinite right R -module and V_2 a profinite left R -module. The profinite tensor product $V_1 \widehat{\otimes}_R V_2$ can be defined either by a universal property or as an inverse limit of finite abstract tensor products [11]. In the case when at least one of V_1 and V_2 is finitely presented as a profinite R -module, there is a natural isomorphism $V_1 \widehat{\otimes}_R V_2 \cong V_1 \otimes_R V_2$ (see [1, Cor. 2.4]). Thus, whenever possible (e.g. Proposition B and Theorem C) we use the notation \otimes , reserving $\widehat{\otimes}$ to emphasize the need for completed tensor products when we do not know whether either of the profinite modules is finitely presented. In this paper, the only profinite modules we consider are pro- p modules over pro- p rings.

2.3. Noetherian completed group algebras. Let Q be a finitely generated pro- p abelian group. $Q \cong Q_0 \oplus K$, where K is a finite abelian p -group and $Q_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ is free-abelian pro- p . Note that $\mathbb{Z}_p[[Q_0]] \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[[t_1, \dots, t_n]]$ is the power series ring in n commuting variables, where under the identification, Q_0 is generated by $\{1 + t_1, \dots, 1 + t_n\}$. In particular, $\mathbb{Z}_p[[Q_0]]$ is abstractly Noetherian. Moreover, since $\mathbb{Z}_p[[Q]]$ is finitely generated as an abstract $\mathbb{Z}_p[[Q_0]]$ -module, it too is abstractly Noetherian.

According to [13, Lemma 7.2.2], for every pro- p group G , every pro- p $\mathbb{Z}_p[[G]]$ -module V that is topologically generated by a finite set X is generated as an abstract $\mathbb{Z}_p[[G]]$ -module by the same set X . In this sense, the topology of V is hidden in the topology of the ring $\mathbb{Z}_p[[G]]$ that acts on V .

Thus we see that for a finitely generated abelian pro- p group Q , the ring $\mathbb{Z}_p[[Q]]$ is Noetherian in both the abstract and pro- p sense. In fact, every abstract ideal (topology ignored) of $\mathbb{Z}_p[[Q]]$ is finitely generated (as an abstract $\mathbb{Z}_p[[Q]]$ -submodule), moreover it is closed ([13, Lemma 7.2.2]), and therefore is a finitely generated pro- p $\mathbb{Z}_p[[Q]]$ -submodule of $\mathbb{Z}_p[[Q]]$. Thus when we work with ideals in $\mathbb{Z}_p[[Q]]$, we do not need to specify that they are closed, because this condition is automatic. This freedom to ignore the topology allows us to apply the methods of commutative algebra for Noetherian rings, exploiting in particular the fact that above every proper ideal of $\mathbb{Z}_p[[Q]]$ there are only finitely many minimal prime ideals.

2.4. Primary decomposition in Noetherian rings. We recall some basic ideas from commutative algebra; see [5, Chapter 3] or [2]. Let R be a commutative Noetherian ring with unit and M a finitely generated R -module. An *associate prime* of M is a prime ideal P of R such that R/P arises as an R -submodule of M (i.e. $P = \text{ann}_R(m)$ for some $m \in M$). The set of associated primes of M is finite and is denoted by $\text{Ass}(M)$. All of the minimal primes among the prime ideals containing $\text{ann}_R(M)$ are associated primes of M . An R -module W is called *P -primary* if $\text{Ass}(W) = \{P\}$. In this case P acts nilpotently on W .

For any R -submodule V of M there is a primary decomposition $V = V_1 \cap V_2 \cap \dots \cap V_s$, where for each V_i we have that M/V_i is P_i -primary and the prime ideals P_i are pairwise distinct (although one can be included in another). The prime ideals

P_1, \dots, P_s are the associated primes of M/V . The component V_i is unique if P_i is minimal (with respect to inclusion) among the associated primes $\text{Ass}(M/V)$.

We will apply the primary decomposition for $R = \mathbb{Z}_p[[Q]]$, $Q = \mathbb{Z}_p^n$ and M a finitely generated pro- p R -module. As explained in the previous subsection, we are free to ignore the topological structure of M and view it as an abstract R -module. All abstract R -submodules of M are finitely generated as abstract R -submodules and are automatically pro- p R -submodules.

3. PROOF OF PROPOSITION A

We have $G = H \rtimes H_0$, where $H_0 = \langle q \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$. As we noted in the introduction, Wilson [12, Corollary A, (ii)] proved that H is finitely generated. On other hand, using that $H/H'H^p$ is a finite $\mathbb{F}_p[[H_0]]$ -module and $\mathbb{F}_p[[H_0]]$ is a principal ideal domain, we get a decomposition

$$H/H'H^p \cong (\mathbb{F}_p[[H_0]]/I_1) \oplus \dots \oplus (\mathbb{F}_p[[H_0]]/I_s),$$

where H' is the pro- p subgroup of H generated by commutators, H^p is the pro- p subgroup of H generated by all the set $\{h^p \mid h \in H\}$, every $\mathbb{F}_p[[H_0]]/I_j$ is finite, and the isomorphism is of $\mathbb{F}_p[[H_0]]$ -modules. There is an isomorphism of modules

$$\mathbb{F}_p[[H_0]]/I_j \cong \mathbb{F}_p[[t]]/(t^{i_j}),$$

with q acting as multiplication by $t + 1$. (Here we have used the fact that every ideal of $\mathbb{F}_p[[H_0]]$ is of the form (t^i) .) Let

$$m = \max\{i_1, \dots, i_s\} \geq 1.$$

By [12, Cor. B], there is a constant k such that for every pro- p subgroup U of finite index in G we have

$$(3.1) \quad d(U) \leq k[G : U]^{1/2}.$$

We apply this to

$$U = \langle H, q^{p^j} \rangle, \text{ where } p^j > m \geq p^{j-1} \geq 1,$$

noting that since U is a split extension of H by the pro- p subgroup generated by q^{p^j} ,

$$(3.2) \quad U/U'U^p \cong (H/H'H^p \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\langle q^{p^j} \rangle]]} \mathbb{F}_p) \oplus \mathbb{F}_p,$$

where the second summand \mathbb{F}_p is generated by the image of q^{p^j} . The action of q^{p^j} on $\mathbb{F}_p[[t]]/(t^{i_j})$ is given by multiplication with the image of $(1+t)^{p^j}$ which, since we are in characteristic p , is $1+t^{p^j}$. Since $p^j \geq m \geq i_j$ we see that $(1+t)^{p^j}$ acts as the identity. Thus

$$(H/H'H^p) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\langle q^{p^j} \rangle]]} \mathbb{F}_p \cong H/H'H^p,$$

and by (3.2) we have

$$d(U) = d((H/H'H^p) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[\langle q^{p^j} \rangle]]} \mathbb{F}_p) + 1 = \dim(H/H'H^p) + 1 = i_1 + \dots + i_s + 1.$$

Combining this with (3.1) we get

$$i_1 + \dots + i_s + 1 \leq kp^{j/2},$$

thus

$$(i_1 + \dots + i_s)^2 < k^2 p^j = k^2 p p^{j-1} \leq k^2 p m \leq k^2 p (i_1 + \dots + i_s),$$

and

$$i_1 + \dots + i_s < k^2 p.$$

Therefore,

$$d(H) = i_1 + \dots + i_s < k^2 p.$$

□

4. PROOF OF PROPOSITION B

Throughout this section we write R for the completed group algebra $\mathbb{Z}_p[[Q]]$. This is a local ring whose unique maximal ideal is the kernel P of the natural morphism $\mathbb{Z}_p[[Q]] \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$.

We are given a free abelian pro- p group of finite rank Q and a finitely generated R -module A , which we know to be Noetherian. We assume that as $H < Q$ ranges over the normal pro- p subgroups with $Q/H \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$, $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[H]]} \mathbb{F}_p)$ remains bounded, and from this we must deduce that $\text{rk}(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[Q^{p^s}]]} \mathbb{Z}_p)$ remains bounded as the positive integer s increases. Roughly speaking, the idea of the proof is to reduce to the case of a cyclic module (with prime annihilator), and we do this by examining the primary decomposition. The key gain in finiteness comes in Lemma 4.2, from Noetherian properties of R and A , and from Lazard's bound [10] on the order of finite subgroups in $\text{GL}_d(\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Consider the R -submodule $A_m = A\Omega_m$ in A , where m is a power of p and Ω_m is the augmentation ideal $\ker(\mathbb{Z}_p[[Q^m]] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p)$. Let

$$A_m = N_{m,1} \cap N_{m,2} \cap \dots \cap N_{m,j}$$

be a primary decomposition of A_m in A . Then

$$\text{Ass}(A/N_{m,i}) = \{P_{m,i}\},$$

where $P_{m,i}$ is a prime ideal in R , and

$$\text{Ass}(A/A_m) = \{P_{m,1}, \dots, P_{m,j}\}.$$

Note that, by the definition of an associate prime ideal, $R/P_{m,i}$ embeds in A/A_m , hence $P_{m,i}$ contains $J_m = \text{ann}_R(A/A_m)$. Thus

$$(4.1) \quad Q^m - 1 \subset J_m = \text{ann}_R(A/A_m) \subseteq P_{m,i}.$$

Now, $\mathbb{Z}_p[[Q/Q^m]] = \mathbb{Z}_p[[Q/Q^m]]$ has Krull dimension 1, so either $P_{m,i}$ is the unique maximal ideal $P \triangleleft R$ or else $P_{m,i}$ is a minimal among the prime ideals containing J_m and there is no prime ideal P_0 lying strictly between $P_{m,i}$ and P . Furthermore, by [5, Thm. 3.1], every ideal in R that is minimal among the prime ideals containing J_m is an associated prime ideal of A/A_m .

The following lemma is used to limit the possibilities for the domain $R/P_{m,i}$. Recall that \mathcal{B} is the set of pro- p subgroups $H \triangleleft Q$ with $Q/H \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Lemma 4.1. *For each $P_{m,i}$ there exists $H_0 \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $H_0 - 1 \subseteq P_{m,i}$.*

Proof. Let $F_{m,i}$ be the abstract field of fractions of the (abstract) domain $R/P_{m,i}$. The lemma is equivalent to the assertion that the image of Q in $F_{m,i}$ is a cyclic group. Note that we already know that the image of Q in $F_{m,i}$ is finite.

We consider two cases. First suppose that $F_{m,i}$ has characteristic 0. Then the subfield in $F_{m,i}$ generated by \mathbb{Q} and the image of Q is inside the splitting field $K_{m,i}$ (over \mathbb{Q}) of the polynomial $x^s - 1$, where s is the exponent of the image of Q in $F_{m,i}$. By the uniqueness of the splitting field (up to isomorphism), $K_{m,i}$ is isomorphic to

the subfield of \mathbb{C} generated by \mathbb{Q} and a primitive s -th root of unity. In particular the image of Q in $F_{m,i}$ is cyclic, as claimed.

Suppose now that $F_{m,i}$ has positive characteristic. Since the elements of $\mathbb{Z}_p \setminus p\mathbb{Z}_p$ are invertible in \mathbb{Z}_p , the characteristic of $F_{m,i}$ is p . By (4.1) $F_{m,i}$ is a finite field, hence $F_{m,i}^*$ is a cyclic group of order coprime to p . It follows that the image of Q in $F_{m,i}$ is trivial and $F_{m,i} = \mathbb{F}_p$, so $P_{m,i} = P$ and the lemma holds. \square

Lemma 4.2. *Let $X_m = \{P_{m,1}, \dots, P_{m,j}\}$ and define $X = \cup_{m \geq 1} X_m$. Then X is finite.*

Proof. Consider $P_{m,i} \neq P$. Write $W_{m,i} = A/N_{m,i}$ and note that by [5, Prop. 3.9] $P_{m,i}$ acts nilpotently on $W_{m,i}$ i.e. there is some $s_{m,i} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $W_{m,i} P_{m,i}^{s_{m,i}} = 0$. We claim that

$$\text{ann}_R(V_{m,i}) = P_{m,i} \text{ where } V_{m,i} = W_{m,i}/W_{m,i}P_{m,i}.$$

To see this, note that for any ideal $S \triangleleft R$ that strictly contains $P_{m,i}$, the radical \sqrt{S} is the intersection of the minimal elements in the set of prime ideals containing S . We are in Krull dimension one, so $\sqrt{S} = P$. Since R is Noetherian, we have $\sqrt{S}^z \subseteq S$ for some $z \in \mathbb{N}$, and therefore S has finite index in R (as an abelian subgroup). Thus, if $\text{ann}_R(V_{m,i})$ were to contain $P_{m,i}$ as a proper ideal, then $\text{ann}_R(V_{m,i})$ has finite index in R , forcing $V_{m,i}$ and $W_{m,i}$ to be finite. But this would mean that the domain $R/P_{m,i}$ was finite, hence a field. Since P is the unique maximal ideal in R , this would imply $P_{m,i} = P$, contrary to hypothesis.

By Lemma 4.1, there is $H_0 \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $H_0 - 1 \subseteq P_{m,i}$. Then H_0 acts trivially on $V_{m,i}$ and so $V_{m,i} \cong V_{m,i} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[H_0]]} \mathbb{Z}_p$, which is a quotient of $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[H_0]]} \mathbb{Z}_p$. By assumption

$$\sup_{H \in \mathcal{B}} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[H]]} \mathbb{F}_p) < \infty.$$

Recall that the **minimal number of generators** of a pro- p group B is

$$d(B) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} B/B'B^p.$$

Since $P_{m,i}$ is an associated prime for $V_{m,i}$ we deduce that $R/P_{m,i}$ embeds in $V_{m,i}$, so

$$d(R/P_{m,i}) \leq d(V_{m,i}) = d(V_{m,i} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[H_0]]} \mathbb{Z}_p) \leq d(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[H_0]]} \mathbb{Z}_p) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[H_0]]} \mathbb{F}_p).$$

At this stage we have established the following weak form of the conclusion $|X| < \infty$ that we seek:

$$\delta := \sup_{P_{m,i} \in X} d(R/P_{m,i}) \leq \sup_{H \in \mathcal{B}} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[H]]} \mathbb{F}_p) < \infty.$$

As before, we write $F_{m,i}$ for the abstract field of fractions of the domain $R/P_{m,i}$. (Remember that $R = \mathbb{Z}_p[[Q]]$.) Let $Q_{m,i}$ be the image of Q in $R/P_{m,i}$. From Lemma 4.1 we know that $Q_{m,i}$ is finite cyclic, of p -power order.

If the characteristic of $F_{m,i}$ was positive, it would be p . But then, as in the proof of Lemma 4.1, we would get $P_{m,i} = P$, a contradiction. Therefore, $F_{m,i}$ has characteristic 0, in which case $R/P_{m,i} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{d(j)}$ for some $d(j) \leq \delta$, and $Q_{m,i}$ occurs as a finite cyclic subgroup of $\text{GL}_\delta(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. This is a virtually pro- p analytic, and therefore it has finite virtual cohomological dimension [10]. (We refer to [14] for background on pro- p analytic groups.) It follows that there is an upper bound on the finite subgroups of $\text{GL}_d(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, yielding an integer r (a power of p) such that the order of each $Q_{m,i}$ always divides r .

We have proved

$$(Q^r - 1) \subseteq P_{m,i} \quad \text{for all} \quad P_{m,i} \in X,$$

and the lemma now follows from the observation that in $R = \mathbb{Z}_p[[Q]]$ there are only finitely many prime ideals above $(Q^r - 1)$; equivalently, there are only finitely many minimal prime ideals in the local ring $\mathbb{Z}_p[[Q]]/(Q^r - 1) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[Q/Q^r]$. To see that this is the case, note that since $\mathbb{Z}_p[Q/Q^r]$ is finitely generated as a \mathbb{Z}_p -module, its Krull dimension equals that of \mathbb{Z}_p , which is 1. Thus any prime ideal in $\mathbb{Z}_p[Q/Q^r]$ that is not minimal is the unique maximal prime ideal. And there are only finitely many minimal prime ideals. \square

Lemma 4.3. *For each p -power m , define $J_m = \text{ann}_R(A/A_m)$ and $B_m = J_m \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$. Then, there is a p -power $m_0 \geq 1$ such that $B_m = B_{m_0}$ for all $m \geq m_0$.*

Proof. Note that if $m_1 \geq m_2$ are powers of p , then A/A_{m_2} is a quotient of A/A_{m_1} , hence $J_{m_1} \subseteq J_{m_2}$. We claim that

$$(4.2) \quad X_{m_2} \setminus \{P\} \subseteq X_{m_1} \setminus \{P\},$$

where P is the unique maximal ideal of $\mathbb{Z}_p[[Q]]$. Indeed by the paragraph after (4.1) any minimal prime ideal $P_{m_2,i}$ above J_{m_2} such that $P_{m_2,i} \neq P$ belongs to X_{m_2} and any element of $X_{m_2} \setminus \{P\}$ is of this type. Thus there is no prime ideal of $\mathbb{Z}_p[[Q]]$ that contains J_{m_1} and is strictly contained in $P_{m_2,i}$ (otherwise there would be a nested sequence of three prime ideals above J_{m_1} , which would contradict the paragraph after (4.1) with $m = m_1$). Thus $P_{m_2,i}$ is a minimal prime above J_{m_1} , so $P_{m_2,i} \in X_{m_1}$ and (4.2) holds.

By Lemma 4.2 $X = \cup_m X_m$ is a finite set of primes. This together with (4.2) implies the existence of m_0 , a power of p , such that

$$(4.3) \quad X_{m_0} \setminus \{P\} = X_m \setminus \{P\} \quad \text{for } m \geq m_0 \text{ a power of } p.$$

If $m \geq m_0$ is a power of p and $X \neq \{P\}$, then

$$(4.4) \quad \sqrt{J_m} = \cap_{I \in X_m} I = \cap_{I \in X_m \setminus \{P\}} I = \cap_{I \in X_{m_0} \setminus \{P\}} I = \cap_{I \in X_{m_0}} I = \sqrt{J_{m_0}}$$

because, as we noted earlier, that for any ideal $S \triangleleft R$, the radical \sqrt{S} is the intersection of the minimal elements in the set of prime ideals containing S . Note that in the case $X = \{P\}$ we have $X_m = X_{m_0} = X$, so

$$(4.5) \quad \sqrt{J_m} = \cap_{I \in X_m} I = \cap_{I \in X_{m_0}} I = \sqrt{J_{m_0}} \quad \text{for } m \geq m_0 \text{ a power of } p.$$

With equalities (4.4) and (4.5) in hand, the proof of Claim 2 in [4, Thm 3.1] applies verbatim after replacing \mathbb{Q} with \mathbb{Q}_p and \mathbb{Z} with \mathbb{Z}_p . We repeat the argument for the convenience of the reader. It suffices to show that $B_m = B_{m_0}$ for $m \geq m_0$. Fix $q \in Q$ and write y for its image in $R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$. Then $y^m - 1 \in B_m$ and by (4.4) there is a positive integer s such that $(y^m - 1)^s \in B_{m_0}$. The greatest common divisor of $x^{mp} - 1$ and $(x^m - 1)^s$ in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Q}_p[x]$ is $x^m - 1$, because $x^{mp} - 1$ has no repeated roots in a field of characteristic 0. Therefore, $y^m - 1 \in B_{m_0}$, by Bezout's lemma, and hence $B_m = B_{m_0}$. \square

Proof of Proposition B. We fix a finite set of generators a_1, \dots, a_s for A as an R -module. Then A/A_m is generated as an R/J_m -module by the images of a_1, \dots, a_s and we have an epimorphism of R/J_m -modules $(R/J_m)^s \rightarrow A/A_m$ inducing an epimorphism of finite dimensional \mathbb{Q}_p -modules

$$(R/J_m)^s \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow (A/A_m) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

Thus

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(A/A_m) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p \leq s \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}((R/J_m) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p).$$

By Lemma 4.3, for p -powers $m \geq m_0$ the canonical projection $R/J_{mp} \rightarrow R/J_m$ induces an isomorphism $(R/J_{mp}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p \rightarrow (R/J_m) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$, so

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}((R/J_m) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p) = \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}((R/J_{m_0}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p).$$

Thus for $\mathcal{C} = \{p^i \mid i \geq 1\}$ we have

$$\sup_{m \in \mathcal{C}} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}((A/A_m) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p) \leq s \cdot \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}((R/J_{m_0}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p) < \infty.$$

And, by definition, $A/A_m = A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[Q^m]]} \mathbb{Z}_p$. □

5. PROOF OF THEOREM C

We shall see that in the metabelian case, Theorem C can be deduced from Proposition B by means of straightforward calculations in homological algebra. The following proposition reduces the general case to the metabelian case. For a pro- p group H let $\{\gamma_i(H)\}_{i \geq 1}$ be the lower central series of H . By definition $\gamma_1(H) = H$, $\gamma_{i+1}(H)$ is the closed subgroup of H generated by $[\gamma_i(H), H]$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $N \twoheadrightarrow G \twoheadrightarrow Q$ be a short exact sequence of pro- p groups, where N is nilpotent, Q is abelian and G is finitely generated. Let G_n be a pro- p subgroup of finite index in G and let \underline{G}_n be the image of G_n in the metabelian group G/N' . Then*

$$H_1(G_n, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p \cong H_1(\underline{G}_n, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

Proof. We write $Q_n = G_n N / N$ for the image of G_n in G/N . Set $V = N/N'$ and $V_n = (G_n \cap N)N'/N'$. Let $V_{n,i}$ be the image of $G_n \cap \gamma_i(N)$ in $\gamma_i(N)/\gamma_{i+1}(N)$. Note that $V_{n,i} \cong G_n \cap \gamma_i(N)/G_n \cap \gamma_{i+1}(N)$ and the filtration $\{G_n \cap \gamma_i(N)\}_{i \geq 1}$ of $G_n \cap N$ has quotients $V_{n,i}$.

Let $W_{n,i}$ denote the image of $G_n \cap \gamma_i(N)$ in $H_1(G_n, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. Then, for $i \geq 2$, we have that $W_{n,i}/W_{n,i+1}$ is an image of $V_{n,i}/\underline{\gamma_i(N \cap G_n)}$, where $\underline{\gamma_i(N \cap G_n)}$ is the image of $\gamma_i(N \cap G_n)$ in $\gamma_i(N)/\gamma_{i+1}(N)$.

In this paragraph all completed tensor products are over \mathbb{Z}_p . We think of $\gamma_i(N)/\gamma_{i+1}(N)$ as a quotient of $\widehat{\otimes}^i V$ via the map that sends $g_1 N' \widehat{\otimes} \dots \widehat{\otimes} g_i N'$ to $[g_1, \dots, g_i]_{\gamma_{i+1}(N)}$, where the commutator is left-normed. Thus we get

$$(\gamma_i(N)/\gamma_{i+1}(N))/\underline{\gamma_i(N \cap G_n)}$$

as an image of $\widehat{\otimes}^i V / \widehat{\otimes}^i \underline{V_n}$, where $\widehat{\otimes}^i \underline{V_n}$ is the image of $\widehat{\otimes}^i V_n$ in $\widehat{\otimes}^i V$. Since V_n has finite index in V , by induction on i we see that $\widehat{\otimes}^i V / \widehat{\otimes}^i \underline{V_n}$ has finite exponent as an abelian group, hence $(\gamma_i(N)/\gamma_{i+1}(N))/\underline{\gamma_i(N \cap G_n)}$ and its abelian subgroup $V_{n,i}/\underline{\gamma_i(N \cap G_n)}$ have finite exponent as abelian groups for $i \geq 2$. Then $(V_{n,i}/\underline{\gamma_i(N \cap G_n)}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p = 0$, hence $(W_{n,i}/W_{n,i+1}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p = 0$ for $i \geq 2$. Then $W_{n,2} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p = 0$, so the image of $G_n \cap \gamma_2(N)$ in $H_1(G_n, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$ is trivial. And for the image \underline{G}_n of G_n in G/N' we have $H_1(G_n, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p \cong H_1(\underline{G}_n, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$. □

Lemma 5.2. *Let $N \twoheadrightarrow G \twoheadrightarrow Q$ be a short exact sequence of pro- p groups with G finitely generated. Then*

- (i) $d(G) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_0(Q, H_1(N, \mathbb{F}_p)) + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_1(Q, \mathbb{F}_p) \leq d(G) + \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H_2(Q, \mathbb{F}_p)$;
- (ii) $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} H_1(G, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \leq \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} H_0(Q, H_1(N, \mathbb{Z}_p)) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p + \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p} H_1(Q, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$,

where all tensor products are over \mathbb{Z}_p .

Proof. Recall that for any finitely generated pro- p group, $d(G) = \dim H_1(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$. Part (i) follows immediately from the following exact sequence (which is part of the 5-term exact sequence in homology)

$$H_2(Q, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H_0(Q, H_1(N, \mathbb{F}_p)) \rightarrow H_1(G, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow H_1(Q, \mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow 0.$$

Part (ii) follows from the exact sequence (which is also part of a 5-term exact sequence) $H_0(Q, H_1(N, \mathbb{Z}_p)) \rightarrow H_1(G, \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow H_1(Q, \mathbb{Z}_p) \rightarrow 0$. \square

Proof of Theorem C. We start with a short exact sequence of pro- p groups $M \twoheadrightarrow G \twoheadrightarrow G/M$ with M nilpotent and G/M torsion-free and abelian. Since G/G' is a finitely generated abelian pro- p group, it is the direct sum of a finite p -group T and a free pro- p abelian group \mathbb{Z}_p^n . Let N be the full pre-image of T in G . Since G/M is torsion-free abelian, $N \subseteq M$; in particular N is nilpotent. Thus we have a short exact sequence of pro- p groups $N \twoheadrightarrow G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^n$. And by construction, $N \subset H$ for every normal $H < G$ with $G/H \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Let $A = N/N'$. If we write \underline{H} for the image of $H < G$ in $\underline{G} = G/N'$, then $d(\underline{H}) \leq d(H)$, hence $\sup_{G/H \cong \mathbb{Z}_p} d(\underline{H}) < \infty$. This bound tells us that our hypothesis on G is preserved if we replace G by G/N' , and Proposition 5.1 assures us that if we can prove the theorem for $\underline{G} = G/N'$, then the result will follow for G . Thus we assume henceforth that $A = N$ and $G = \underline{G}$.

By applying Lemma 5.2(i) to the short exact sequence $A \twoheadrightarrow H \twoheadrightarrow H/A \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{n-1}$ we get

$$\sup_{G/H \cong \mathbb{Z}_p} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[H]]} \mathbb{F}_p) \leq \sup_{G/H \cong \mathbb{Z}_p} [d(H) + \binom{n-1}{2} - n + 1] < \infty,$$

since $H_0(H, H_1(A, \mathbb{F}_p)) = A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[H]]} \mathbb{F}_p$ and $\dim H_1(H/A, \mathbb{F}_p) = n - 1$, and the binomial coefficient is the dimension of $H_2(H/A, \mathbb{F}_p)$, which (as with discrete groups) is the exterior square of the abelian group $(H/A)/p(H/A) \cong \mathbb{F}_p^{n-1}$.

From Proposition B we deduce that

$$(5.1) \quad \sup_{i \geq 1} \text{rk}(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[Q^{p^i}]]} \mathbb{Z}_p) < \infty.$$

Let G_m be a pro- p subgroup of finite index in G and consider the following exact sequence¹

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}_p[[G_m/A \cap G_m]]}(A/A \cap G_m, \mathbb{Z}_p) &\rightarrow (A \cap G_m) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[G_m/A \cap G_m]]} \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \\ A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[G_m/A \cap G_m]]} \mathbb{Z}_p &\rightarrow (A/A \cap G_m) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[G_m/A \cap G_m]]} \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Because $A/A \cap G_m$ is finite, the first and last groups in this sequence are torsion, so

$$\text{rk}((A \cap G_m) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[G_m/A \cap G_m]]} \mathbb{Z}_p) = \text{rk}(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[G_m/A \cap G_m]]} \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

Then, by applying Lemma 5.2(ii) to $A \cap G_m \twoheadrightarrow G_m \twoheadrightarrow G_m/(A \cap G_m)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(H_1(G_m, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p) &\leq n + \text{rk}((A \cap G_m) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[G_m/A \cap G_m]]} \mathbb{Z}_p) \\ &= n + \text{rk}(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[G_m/A \cap G_m]]} \mathbb{Z}_p), \end{aligned}$$

¹The use of \otimes rather than $\hat{\otimes}$ is permitted because the trivial module \mathbb{Z}_p is finitely presented over $\mathbb{Z}_p[[G]]$ for every finitely generated pro- p group G .

where n is the rank of $G_m/(A \cap G_m)$, which has finite index in $Q = G/A$. Finally, taking a p -power s such that $Q^s \subseteq G_m/(A \cap G_m) \subseteq Q$, we have

$$\mathrm{rk}(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[G_m/A \cap G_m]]} \mathbb{Z}_p) \leq \mathrm{rk}(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[Q^s]]} \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

and hence

$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(H_1(G_m, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p) \leq n + \mathrm{rk}(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[[Q^s]]} \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

Then (5.1) completes the proof. \square

6. EXAMPLES

In this section we shall present two examples to show that Theorem C fails if the coefficient field \mathbb{Q}_p is replaced by \mathbb{F}_p . We shall then explain an example due to Jeremy King [9] which shows that Theorem C is of interest beyond the setting of finitely presented groups.

As we noted in the introduction, finite presentability for pro- p groups is essentially a homological condition. More precisely, a pro- p group G is finitely presented if and only if it is of type FP_2 . In the pro- p setting, a group G is defined to be of type FP_m if, as a trivial $\mathbb{Z}_p[[G]]$ -module, \mathbb{Z}_p has a projective resolution with the modules finitely generated in dimensions $\leq m$. Since $\mathbb{Z}_p[[G]]$ is a local ring, it is easy to see that G is of type FP_m if and only if the pro- p homology groups $H_i(G, \mathbb{F}_p)$ are finite for $i \leq m$.

In [8] King showed that if N is a normal pro- p subgroup of G , with G/N of finite rank (in which case $\mathbb{Z}_p[[G/N]]$ is left and right Noetherian), then G is of type FP_m if and only if $H_i(N, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ is finitely generated as a pro- p $\mathbb{Z}_p[[G/N]]$ -module for $i \leq m$, where the action of G/N is induced by conjugation. In particular, if G is a finitely generated metabelian pro- p group, i.e. there is a short exact sequence of pro- p groups $A \rightarrow G \rightarrow Q$ with A and Q abelian, then G will be finitely presented if and only if $H_2(A, \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong A \hat{\wedge} A$ is finitely generated as a $\mathbb{Z}_p[[Q]]$ -module, where Q acts diagonally on the completed exterior square $A \hat{\wedge} A$.

This criterion for finite presentability of metabelian pro- p groups plays a crucial role in our examples: it is hidden in our appeals to King's papers [7], [9].

6.1. The first example: switching to \mathbb{F}_p when p is odd. Suppose $p > 2$ and consider the pro- p group $G = A \rtimes Q$ where $A = \mathbb{F}_p[[t]]$ and $Q = \langle x, y \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$, with x acting as multiplication by $1+t$ while y acts as multiplication by $1+2t$. By [9, Thm. A], G is finitely presented. And since $(1+\tau)^{p^i} = 1 + \tau^{p^i}$ in characteristic p , we have

$$A \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[Q^{p^i}]]} \mathbb{F}_p \cong \mathbb{F}_p[[t]] / ((1+t)^{p^i} - 1, (1+2t)^{p^i} - 1) = \mathbb{F}_p[[t]] / (t^{p^i}).$$

Hence

$$\sup_{M \in \mathcal{A}} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{F}_p) \geq \sup_{i \geq 1} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(H_1(A \rtimes Q^{p^i}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{F}_p) =$$

$$2 + \sup_{i \geq 1} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(A \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p[[Q^{p^i}]]} \mathbb{F}_p) = 2 + \sup_{i \geq 1} p^i = \infty,$$

where \mathcal{A} is the set of all pro- p subgroups of finite index in G .

6.2. The second example: switching to \mathbb{F}_p when $p = 2$. Consider the pro-2 group $G = A \rtimes Q$, where $A = \mathbb{F}_2[[t]]$ and $Q = \langle x, y \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, with x acting as multiplication by $1 + t$ while y acts as multiplication by $1 + t + t^2$. Again, G is finitely presented by [9, Thm. B] and

$$A \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_2[[Q^{2^i}]]} \mathbb{F}_2 \cong \mathbb{F}_2[[t]] / ((1+t)^{2^i} - 1, (1+t+t^2)^{2^i} - 1) = \mathbb{F}_2[t] / (t^{2^i}).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{M \in \mathcal{A}} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{F}_2) &\geq \sup_{i \geq 1} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(H_1(A \rtimes Q^{2^i}, \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \mathbb{F}_2) = \\ &2 + \sup_{i \geq 1} \dim_{\mathbb{F}_2}(A \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_2[[Q^{2^i}]]} \mathbb{F}_2) = 2 + \sup_{i \geq 1} 2^i = \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{A} is the set of all pro-2 subgroups of finite index in G .

6.3. King's example. Let p be an odd prime, let $Q = \langle x, y \rangle = \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$, let $A = \mathbb{F}_p[[Q]] / (x + x^{-1} + y + y^{-1} - 4)$ and, following [7], consider the pro- p group $G = A \rtimes Q$. By [7, Prop. 3.4] G is not finitely presented and by [7, Prop. 3.5] for every normal pro- p subgroup N of G such that $G/N \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ we have that N is finitely generated i.e. $d(N) < \infty$. Our aim is to show that

$$\sup_{G/N \cong \mathbb{Z}_p} d(N) < \infty.$$

Theorem C can then be applied to G , even though G is not finitely presented.

To this end, observe first that $N = A \rtimes H$, where H is a pro- p subgroup of Q with $Q/H \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$. According to Lemma 5.2(i), we will be done if we can bound the dimension of

$$H_0(H, H_1(A, \mathbb{F}_p)) = A \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[H]]} \mathbb{F}_p.$$

Since the relation that defines A is symmetric with respect to x and y , we can assume that $H = \langle xy^{-\lambda} \rangle$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Indeed we have that $H = \langle x^i y^j \rangle$ with $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that the ideal of \mathbb{Z}_p generated by i and j is the whole ring \mathbb{Z}_p . Then $i \notin p\mathbb{Z}_p$ or $j \notin p\mathbb{Z}_p$. Since $\mathbb{Z}_p \setminus p\mathbb{Z}_p$ is the set of invertible elements in \mathbb{Z}_p we can assume that i or j is invertible; say i is invertible. Then for $\lambda = -ji^{-1}$

$$H = \langle x^i y^j \rangle = \langle (xy^{ji^{-1}})^i \rangle = \langle xy^{ji^{-1}} \rangle = \langle xy^{-\lambda} \rangle.$$

Then

$$A \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[H]]} \mathbb{F}_p \cong \mathbb{F}_p[[Q]] / (x + x^{-1} + y + y^{-1} - 4, xy^{-\lambda} - 1) \cong \mathbb{F}_p[[\langle y \rangle]] / (y^\lambda + y^{-\lambda} + y + y^{-1} - 4)$$

where the last isomorphism sends x to y^λ . Since $\mathbb{F}_p[[\langle y \rangle]]$ is the power series ring $\mathbb{F}_p[[t]]$ with $y = 1 + t$ we deduce that

$$A \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[H]]} \mathbb{F}_p \cong \mathbb{F}_p[[t]] / (f_\lambda)$$

where

$$f_\lambda = (1+t)^\lambda + (1+t)^{-\lambda} + (1+t) + (1+t)^{-1} - 4.$$

We claim that as λ varies, the first non-zero coefficient of f_λ appears in degree less than p , in other words,

$$(6.1) \quad \sup_{\lambda} \inf \{i \mid f_\lambda \notin t^{i+1} \mathbb{F}_p[[t]]\} < p.$$

With this bound in hand, writing $f \in zt^i + t^{i+1} \mathbb{F}_p[[t]]$ with $z \in \mathbb{F}_p \setminus \{0\}$, we have $(f) = (t^i)$ and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} A \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{F}_p[[H]]} \mathbb{F}_p = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathbb{F}_p[[t]] / (t^i) = i < p.$$

The rest of the proof is devoted to establishing the bound in (6.1). Given $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, we write

$$\lambda = z_0 + p\lambda_1 \text{ and } -\lambda = a_0 + p\lambda_2$$

where $z_0, a_0 \in \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$ and $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. As $(1+t)^{p\lambda_j} = (1+t^p)^{\lambda_j}$ in $\mathbb{F}_p[[t^p]]$, we have

$$(1+t)^\lambda = (1+t)^{z_0}(1+t)^{p\lambda_1} \in (1+t)^{z_0} + t^p\mathbb{F}_p[[t]]$$

and

$$(1+t)^{-\lambda} = (1+t)^{a_0}(1+t)^{p\lambda_2} \in (1+t)^{a_0} + t^p\mathbb{F}_p[[t]].$$

Note that if $z_0 > 0$ then $a_0 + z_0 = p$. In particular, taking $\lambda = z_0 = 1$ we have

$$(1+t)^{-1} \in (1+t)^{p-1} + t^p\mathbb{F}_p[[t]].$$

In general,

$$(6.2) \quad f_\lambda \in g_\lambda + t^p\mathbb{F}_p[[t]],$$

where $g_\lambda = (1+t)^{z_0} + (1+t)^{a_0} + (1+t) + (1+t)^{p-1} - 4$. To establish (6.1) we must argue that g_λ is a non-zero polynomial. If $z_0 = 0$ then $a_0 = 0$ and $g_\lambda = t + (1+t)^{p-1} - 1$, which is a non-zero element of $\mathbb{F}_p[t]$ because $p > 2$. If $z_0 > 0$ then

$$g_\lambda = (1+t)^{z_0} + (1+t)^{p-z_0} + (1+t) + (1+t)^{p-1} - 4,$$

which is again non-zero. This completes the proof.

By calculating with binomial coefficients, one can replace (6.1) by a bound that is independent of $p > 2$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Corob Cook, Ged; Bieri-Eckmann Criteria for Profinite Groups, to appear in Israel Journal of Mathematics, arXiv 1412.1703v2
- [2] Bourbaki, Nicolas; Commutative algebra. Chapters 1 - 7. Elements of Mathematics (Berlin). Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [3] Bieri, Robert; Neumann, Walter D.; Strebel, Ralph; A geometric invariant of discrete groups. Invent. Math. **90** (1987), no. 3, 451–477.
- [4] Bridson M.R.; Kochloukova D.; The virtual first Betti number of soluble groups, Pacific J. Math., **274** (2015), 497–510.
- [5] Eisenbud, D.; Commutative algebra: With a view toward algebraic geometry. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 150. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [6] Jaikin Zapirain, A. Unpublished note
- [7] King, Jeremy D.; A geometric invariant for metabelian pro- p groups. J. London Math. Soc. (2) **60** (1999), no. 1, 83–94.
- [8] King, Jeremy D.; Homological finiteness conditions for pro- p groups. Comm. Algebra **27** (1999), no. 10, 4969–4991.
- [9] King, Jeremy D.; Embedding theorems for pro- p groups. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **123** (1998), no. 2, 217–226.
- [10] Lazard, M.; Groupes analytiques p -adiques, Publ. Math. IHES, **26** (1965), 389–603.
- [11] Ribes, L.; Zalesskii, P. Profinite groups. Second edition. 40. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010
- [12] Wilson, John S. Finite presentations of pro- p groups and discrete groups. Invent. Math. **105** (1991), no. 1, 177–183
- [13] Wilson, John S. Profinite groups. London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, 19. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1998
- [14] Dixon, J. D.; du Sautoy, M. P. F.; Mann, A.; Segal, D. Analytic pro- p -groups. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 157. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003

THE TORSION-FREE RANK OF HOMOLOGY IN TOWERS OF SOLUBLE PRO- p GROUPS 13

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, ANDREWS WILES BUILDING, UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD, OXFORD
OX2 6GG, UK

E-mail address: `bridson@maths.ox.ox.uk`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, STATE UNIVERSITY OF CAMPINAS (UNICAMP), 13083-859,
CAMPINAS, SP, BRAZIL

E-mail address: `desi@ime.unicamp.br`