arXiv:1604.04415v1 [math.GR] 15 Apr 2016

THE GROTHENDIECK-TEICHMÜLLER GROUP OF PSL(2, q)

PIERRE GUILLOT

Abstract. We show that the Grothendieck-Teichmüller group of PSL(2, q), or more precisely the group $\mathcal{GT}_1(\mathrm{PSL}(2,q))$ as previously defined by the author, is the product of an elementary abelian 2-group and several copies of the dihedral group of order 8. Moreover, when q is even, we show that it is trivial.

We explain how it follows that the moduli field of any "dessin d'enfant" whose monodromy group is PSL(2, q) has derived length ≤ 3.

This paper can serve as an introduction to the general results on the Grothendieck-Teichmüller group of finite groups obtained by the author.

1. Introduction & Statement of results

In [Gui], we have introduced the *Grothendieck-Teichmüller group* of a finite group G, denoted $\mathcal{GT}(G)$. Motivation for the study of this group stems from the theory of *dessins d'enfants*. Recall that a dessin is essentially a bipartite graph embedded on a compact, oriented surface (without boundary), and that the absolute Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ acts on (isomorphism classes of) dessins. As explained in *loc. cit.*, there is an action of $\mathcal{GT}(G)$ on those dessins whose *monodromy group* is G, and the Galois action on the same objects factors *via* a map $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{GT}(G)$.

Motivation for the study of *all* groups $\mathcal{GT}(G)$, for all groups G, is increased by the fact that the combined map

$$\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{GT} := \lim_{G} \mathcal{GT}(G)$$

is injective.

The group $\mathcal{GT}(G)$ possesses a normal subgroup $\mathcal{GT}_1(G)$, which is such that the quotient $\mathcal{GT}(G)/\mathcal{GT}_1(G)$ is abelian. It follows that the commutator subgroup of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ maps into $\mathcal{GT}_1(G)$, and injects into the inverse limit $\mathcal{GT}_1$ formed by these as G varies. There is little mystery left in $\mathcal{GT}(G)/\mathcal{GT}_1(G)$ (see [Gui] again), and the challenge is in the computation of $\mathcal{GT}_1(G)$.

In this paper we treat the case of $G = \mathrm{PSL}(2, q)$. We obtain the following result.

Theorem 1.1 – *The group $\mathcal{GT}_1(\mathrm{PSL}(2, 2^s))$ is trivial for all $s \geq 1$.*

The group $\mathcal{GT}_1(\mathrm{PSL}(2, q))$, when q is odd, is isomorphic to a product

$$C_2^{n_1} \times D_8^{n_2}\,.$$

Here D_8 is the dihedral group of order 8. Note that this result was observed experimentally for small values of q in [Gui].

This theorem depends crucially on the work of MacBeath in [Mac69], which classifies the triples (x, y, z) in $\mathrm{PSL}(2, q)$ in various ways. Indeed, we feel that the group $\mathcal{GT}_1(\mathrm{PSL}(2, q))$ encapsulates part of this information neatly.

Let us give an application to dessins d'enfants. The first part of the next theorem was implicit in [Gui], and indeed it hardly deserves a proof once the statement is properly explained. However, it seems worth spelling it out for emphasis.

Theorem 1.2 – *Let G be a finite group. There exists a number field K, Galois over $\mathbb{Q}$, such that $\mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{GT}(G)$, and containing the moduli field of any dessin whose monodromy group is G.*

For example, suppose that X is a dessin whose monodromy group is $\mathrm{PSL}(2,q)$. *If q is even, then the moduli field of X is an abelian extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. If q is odd, then the Galois closure $\tilde{F}$ of the moduli field F of X is such that* $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{F}/\mathbb{Q})$ *has derived length* ≤ 3.

A word of explanation. First, when Γ is a group we write Γ' for the derived (commutator) subgroup, and we say that Γ has derived length ≤ 3 when Γ''' is trivial. Also, the *moduli field* of a dessin is the extension F of $\mathbb{Q}$ such that $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F)$ is the stabilizer of the isomorphism class of X under the Galois action. Note that if we can write down explicit equations for X with coefficients in the number field L, then certainly the moduli field F is a subfield of L. While there are subtle counterexamples of dessins for which there are no equations over F, it is still intuitively helpful to think of F as the smallest field over which the dessin is defined.

For example in [Gui14], Example 4.6 and Example 4.13, we have examined a certain dessin X (a planar tree), whose monodromy group is the simple group of order 168, that is $\mathrm{PSL}(2,7)$ (or $\mathrm{PSL}(3,2)$, as it is written in *loc. cit.*). We found explicit equations with coefficients in a field of the form $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ with the minimal polynomial of α having degree 4 (though not all details are provided); if L is the Galois closure of $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$, then $\mathrm{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$ is a subgroup of S_4, which has derived length 3, confirming the prediction. However, there is even an easier way to see that the moduli field is very simple: there are only two dessins in the Galois orbit of X, so the moduli field is in fact a quadratic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$.

It is an open problem to *explicitly* exhibit a dessin such that $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{F}/\mathbb{Q})$ is non-abelian.

The examples treated in this paper are a less technical illustration of the ideas discussed in [Gui], and may serve as an introduction to the latter. Note that, motivation and background aside, it is not necessary to be familiar with [Gui] in order to follow the arguments we present, leading to the computation of $\mathcal{GT}_1(\mathrm{PSL}(2,q))$.

2. Definitions

We take a definition of $\mathcal{GT}_1(G)$ which is only suitable when G is non-abelian and simple, such as $G = \mathrm{PSL}(2,q)$; see [Gui] for the more general definition.

So let G be such a finite group, and let $\mathscr{T}$ denote the set of triples $(x,y,z) \in G^3$ such that $xyz = 1$ and $\langle x,y,z\rangle = G$. Further, we let $\mathscr{T}/G$ denote the set of orbits in $\mathscr{T}$ under simultaneous conjugation by an element of G. We write $[x,y,z]$ for the class of (x,y,z). (In [Gui] we write $\mathscr{P}$ instead of $\mathscr{T}$, thinking of these elements as pairs (x,y).)

There is a free action of $Out(G)$, the group of outer automorphisms of G, on $\mathscr{T}/G$. Moreover, there is also an action of S_3, the symmetric group of degree 3. This is essentially a permutation of the coordinates, but to be more precise, one usually introduces the permutation θ of $\mathscr{T}/G$ defined by $\theta\cdot[x,y,z] = [y,x,z^x]$, and the permutation δ defined by $\delta\cdot[x,y,z] = [z,y,x^y]$. These are both well-defined, and square to the identity operation of $\mathscr{T}/G$. There is a homomorphism $S_3 \to S(\mathscr{T}/G)$, where $S(\mathscr{T}/G)$ is the symmetric group of the set $\mathscr{T}/G$, mapping (12) to θ and (13) to δ.

The two actions described commute, and together define an action of $H := Out(G)\times S_3$ on $\mathscr{T}/G$.

Let us write $[x,y,z] \equiv [x',y',z']$ when x is a conjugate of x', while y is a conjugate of y', and z is a conjugate of z'. This is an equivalence relation on $\mathscr{T}/G$.

The group $\mathcal{GT}_1(G)$ is defined, in this context, to be the subgroup of the symmetric group $S(\mathscr{T}/G)$ comprised by those permutations φ which:

- commute with the action of H; in other words, if $h \in H$, $t \in \mathscr{T}/G$ then $\varphi(h \cdot t) = h \cdot \varphi(t)$.
- are compatible with $\equiv$; that is, $t \equiv t'$ implies $\varphi(t) \equiv \varphi(t')$, if $t, t' \in \mathscr{T}/G$.

(Somewhat arbitrarily, we write $h \cdot t$ for the action of $h \in H$, and $\varphi(t)$ for the action of $\varphi \in \mathcal{GT}_1(G)$, in order to set the elements of $\mathcal{GT}_1(G)$ apart.)

3. Characteristic two

We start by assuming that q is a power of 2, so that $\mathrm{PSL}(2,q) = \mathrm{SL}(2,q)$.

Following MacBeath [Mac69], we partition the set of triples (x,y,z) of elements of $\mathrm{SL}(2,q)$ satisfying $xyz = 1$ into the subsets $\mathbf{E}(a,b,c)$, where $a,b,c \in \mathbb{F}_q$, by requiring $(x,y,z) \in \mathbf{E}(a,b,c)$ when $\mathrm{Tr}(x) = a$, $\mathrm{Tr}(y) = b$, $\mathrm{Tr}(z) = c$ (here Tr is the trace).

Since elements of $\mathcal{GT}_1(\mathrm{SL}(2,q))$ are assumed to be compatible with the relation $\equiv$, the following observation is trivially true.

Lemma 3.1 – *Suppose $(x,y,z) \in \mathbf{E}(a,b,c)$, with $\langle x,y,z\rangle = \mathrm{SL}(2,q)$, let $\varphi \in \mathcal{GT}_1(\mathrm{SL}(2,q))$, and suppose that x',y',z' satisfy*

$$\varphi([x,y,z]) = [x',y',z']\,.$$

Then $(x',y',z') \in \mathbf{E}(a,b,c)$. □

Note that $\mathrm{SL}(2,q)$ acts on $\mathbf{E}(a,b,c)$ by simultaneous conjugation. The crucial point is this:

Proposition 3.2 (after MacBeath) – *When the set $\mathbf{E}(a,b,c)$ contains a triple (x,y,z) such that $\langle x,y,z\rangle = \mathrm{SL}(2,q)$, it consists of just one conjugacy class.*

Proof. In [Mac69], the triples (a,b,c) are divided into the "singular" ones and the "non-singular" ones ; also, the type of (x,y,z) is the type of $(\mathrm{Tr}(x),\mathrm{Tr}(y),\mathrm{Tr}(z))$ by definition. Theorem 2 asserts that when (x,y,z) is singular, the group $\langle x,y,z\rangle$ is "affine", and in particular it is not all of $\mathrm{SL}(2,q)$. Our hypothesis guarantees thus that (a,b,c) is non-singular.

We may then apply (ii) of Theorem 3 in *loc. cit.*, giving the result. □

Corollary 3.3 – *The group $\mathcal{GT}_1(\mathrm{SL}(2,q))$ is trivial.*

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{GT}_1(\mathrm{SL}(2,q))$. Any $t \in \mathscr{T}/G$ is of the form $t = [x,y,z]$ with $(x,y,z) \in \mathbf{E}(a,b,c)$ for some a,b,c, and $\langle x,y,z\rangle = \mathrm{SL}(2,q)$ by definition. The Lemma applies, showing that $\varphi(t) = [x',y',z']$ with $(x',y',z') \in \mathbf{E}(a,b,c)$, while the Proposition proves that all triples in $\mathbf{E}(a,b,c)$ are in fact conjugate. As a result $\varphi(t) = t$. □

4. Odd characteristics

Now we assume that $q = p^s$ is a power of the odd prime p, and we turn to the description of $\mathcal{GT}_1(G)$ where $G = \mathrm{PSL}(2,q)$.

4.1. **Sets of triples.** As in the previous section, we define $\mathbf{E}(a,b,c)$ to be the set of triples $(x,y,z) \in \mathrm{SL}(2,q)^3$ such that $xyz = 1$ and with $\mathrm{Tr}(x) = a$, $\mathrm{Tr}(y) = b$, $\mathrm{Tr}(z) = c$. We also define $E(a,b,c)$ to be the subset of $\mathbf{E}(a,b,c)$, which may well be empty, of triples generating $\mathrm{SL}(2,q)$ (or equivalently, whose images generate G). Finally, we write $PE(a,b,c)$ for the image of $E(a,b,c)$ in G^3.

Lemma 4.1 – *The notation behaves as follows.*

(1) *If $PE(a,b,c)$ and $PE(a',b',c')$ are not disjoint, then they are equal, and $(a',b',c') = (\pm a, \pm b, \pm c)$ for some choices of signs.*

(2) *We have*

$$PE(a,b,c) = PE(-a,-b,c) = PE(-a,b,-c) = PE(a,-b,-c)\,.$$

In other words, the set $PE(a,b,c)$ is not altered when an even number of signs are introduced.

(3) *When $abc = 0$, all choices of signs give the same set $PE(\pm a, \pm b, \pm c)$.*

(4) *When $abc \neq 0$, the sets $PE(a,b,c)$ and $PE(a,b,-c)$ are disjoint.*

Proof. (1) An element $(g,h,k) \in PE(a,b,c)$ is of the form $(\overline{x},\overline{y},\overline{z})$, where $x,y,z \in \mathrm{SL}(2,q)$ and the bar denotes the morphism to G, where the traces of these elements are a,b,c respectively. If (g,h,k) also belongs to $PE(a',b',c')$, given that the possible lifts of g,h,k are $\pm x, \pm y, \pm z$ respectively, we see that $a' = \pm a$, $b' = \pm b$, $c' = \pm c$. The fact that $PE(a,b,c) = PE(a',b',c')$ will follow from (2)-(3)-(4) (since these properties imply that $PE(a,b,c)$ and $PE(\pm a, \pm b, \pm c)$ are either equal or disjoint).

(2) If $(x,y,z) \in E(a,b,c)$, then $(-x,-y,z) \in E(-a,-b,c)$, and these two triples map to the same element in G^3. This shows that an element of $PE(a,b,c)$ also belongs to $PE(-a,-b,c)$, and conversely. The other arguments are similar.

(3) If $abc = 0$, then one of a,b,c is 0, say $a = 0$, so that $a = -a$. We are thus free to change the sign of a, and an even number of other signs, which gives the result.

(4) If $x' = \pm x$, and $\mathrm{Tr}(x') = \mathrm{Tr}(x) \neq 0$, then $x' = x$. We see thus that, whenever two triples $(x,y,z) \in E(a,b,c)$ and $(x',y',z') \in E(a,b,-c)$ map to the same element of G^3, we must have $x' = x$ and $y' = y$, so that $z' = z$ since $xyz = 1 = x'y'z'$. This is a contradiction since the traces of z and z' are $c \neq 0$ and $-c$. As a result, $PE(a,b,c)$ and $PE(a,b,-c)$ are disjoint in this case. □

Example 4.2 – Trying the example of $\mathrm{PSL}(2,5)$, one finds that $PE(0,2,3)$ is non-empty, showing that the case $abc = 0$ does occur non-trivially. The set $PE(2,2,4)$ is also non-empty, as is $PE(2,2,-4)$, so the case $abc \neq 0$ occurs and states here the disjointness of non-empty sets. However, $PE(1,2,4)$ is non-empty, but $PE(1,2,-4)$ *is* empty, an instance where (4) still holds, but in a degenerate way.

We define finally

$$\mathscr{T}(a,b,c) = \bigcup_{\text{signs}} PE(\pm a, \pm b, \pm c) = PE(a,b,c) \cup PE(a,b,-c)\,.$$

This is a subset of $\mathscr{T}$, and $\mathscr{T}(a,b,c)/G$ is a subset of $\mathscr{T}/G$. As (a,b,c) varies, the subsets $\mathscr{T}(a,b,c)/G$ are disjoint, and constitute an initial partition of $\mathscr{T}/G$.

Lemma 4.3 – *The subset $\mathscr{T}(a,b,c)/G$ is stable under the action of $\mathcal{GT}_1(G)$.*

Proof. Suppose $\varphi \in \mathcal{GT}_1(G)$, and $\varphi([g,h,k]) = [g',h',k']$, with $g,h,k,g',h',k' \in G$. Since φ is compatible with $\equiv$ by definition, we see that g' is conjugate to g within G; writing $g = \overline{x}$ for $x \in \mathrm{SL}(2,q)$, and similary $g' = \overline{x'}$, we conclude that x' is a conjugate of $\pm x$, so $\mathrm{Tr}(x') = \pm\,\mathrm{Tr}(x)$. Similar considerations apply to h and h', and to k and k'.

We conclude that if $(g,h,k) \in PE(a,b,c)$, then $(g',h',k') \in PE(\pm a, \pm b, \pm c)$, as we wanted. □

Remark 4.4. Similar arguments show that $\mathscr{T}(a,b,c)/G$ is a union of equivalence classes for $\equiv$.

4.2. **Number of conjugacy classes of triples.** The action of G on $\mathscr{T}$ by (simultaneous) conjugation restricts to an action on each set $PE(a,b,c)$, clearly. Moreover, let us introduce the automorphism α of G induced by conjugation by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}(2,q) \smallsetminus \mathrm{SL}(2,q)\,.$$

One verifies that α is not inner (below we recall the description of $Out(G)$). Moreover, since conjugate matrices have the same trace, we see that the action of α on the triples in $\mathscr{T}$ also preserves the sets $PE(a,b,c)$.

PROPOSITION 4.5 (AFTER MACBEATH) – *When $PE(a,b,c)$ is non-empty, it is made of precisely two conjugacy classes, which are exchanged by α.*

Proof. First we argue as in Proposition 3.2, relying on (i) of Theorem 3 in [Mac69]. The conclusion is that when $E(a,b,c)$ is non-empty, that is when $\mathbf{E}(a,b,c)$ contains a triple generating $\mathrm{SL}(2,q)$, then $\mathbf{E}(a,b,c)$ consists of two conjugacy classes exactly.

If $(x,y,z) \in E(a,b,c)$, then $(\alpha(x),\alpha(y),\alpha(z))$ cannot be in the conjugacy class of (x,y,z), lest we should conclude that α is inner (here we view α as an automorphism of $\mathrm{SL}(2,q)$, rather than G). However $(\alpha(x),\alpha(y),\alpha(z)) \in E(a,b,c)$, showing that $E(a,b,c)$ intersects both conjugacy classes in $\mathbf{E}(a,b,c)$, and that $E(a,b,c) = \mathbf{E}(a,b,c)$.

When α is viewed as an automorphism of G, it is still non-inner. So the same reasoning applies, showing that there are triples in $PE(a,b,c)$ which are not conjugate to one another, and more precisely that (g,h,k) and $(\alpha(g),\alpha(h),\alpha(k))$ are never conjugate. The Proposition has been proved. □

The cardinality of $PE(a,b,c)/G$ is thus 2, when it is not 0; and $\mathscr{T}(a,b,c)/G$ contains 2 or 4 elements (or 0). These sets are unions of orbits of α (recall that $Out(G)$ acts freely on $\mathscr{T}/G$).

4.3. **The action of H.** Recall that we write $H = Out(G) \times S_3$. According to [Wil09], Theorem 3.2, when $G = \mathrm{PSL}(2,p^s)$ with p odd, we have $Out(G) = \langle\alpha\rangle \times \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{p^s}/\mathbb{F}_p) \cong C_2 \times C_s$. Here α is as above, and the Galois group acts on matrix entries in the obvious way. In particular, note that α is central in H.

Now suppose that (a,b,c) is a fixed triple, and let H_0 denote the subgroup of H leaving the subset $\mathscr{T}(a,b,c)/G$ stable, assuming the latter is non-empty. Note that $\alpha \in H_0$.

LEMMA 4.6 – *The permutation group induced by H_0 on the set $\mathscr{T}(a,b,c)/G$ is isomorphic to either C_2, or C_2^2, or D_8. The same can be said of the centralizer of this permutation group in the symmetric group $S(\mathscr{T}(a,b,c)/G)$.*

Proof. If $\mathscr{T}(a,b,c)/G$ has only 2 elements, there is nothing to prove, so we turn to the alternative, namely, we assume that this set has 4 elements. These are freely permuted by α, which has order 2, so they may be numbered $1,2,3,4$ in such a way that α acts as $(12)(34)$.

The centraliser of α in S_4 is isomorphic to D_8, generated, say, by (12) and $(13)(24)$. Since α is central in H, we have a map $H_0 \longrightarrow D_8$, and the first part of the Lemma is about its image. The non-trivial subgroups of D_8 are all of the form indicated, *except* for the presence of cyclic groups of order 4.

So we assume that

$$h = \alpha^i\sigma\pi \in \langle\alpha\rangle \times \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_p) \times S_3 = H$$

belongs to H_0 and acts as a 4-cycle on $\mathscr{T}(a,b,c)/G$, and work towards a contradiction.

First, we may replace h by αh if necessary, and assume that $i = 0$, that is $h = \sigma\pi$. The element $\pi \in S_3$ has order 1, 2 or 3; if it has order 3, we replace h by $h^3 = \sigma^3\pi^3 = \sigma^3$ and we are reduced to the case when $\pi = 1$. So we assume that the order of π divides 2.

Elements of order 4 in D_8, when squared, give the non-trivial central element, here $(12)(34)$. Thus $h^2 = \sigma^2$ acts as α does. However, this is a contradiction,

since α and σ belong to $Out(G)$, which acts *freely* on $\mathscr{T}/G$, while $\alpha = \sigma^2$ does not hold.

This proves the first part. For the second part, since $\alpha \in H_0$, we note that the centralizer in question must centralize $(12)(34)$, so it is a subgroup of the D_8 under consideration. The centralizer, in D_8, of a subgroup which is not cyclic of order 4 is again not cyclic of order 4, as is readily checked. □

4.4. **The partition of $\mathscr{T}/G$.** We now let

$$X(a,b,c) = \bigcup_{h\in H} h \cdot \mathscr{T}(a,b,c)/G\,.$$

As a, b, c vary, the subsets $X(a,b,c)$ provide a partition of $\mathscr{T}/G$. Note that, given the description of H (and $Out(G)$), we certainly have, for any $h \in H$,

$$h \cdot \mathscr{T}(a,b,c)/G = \mathscr{T}(a',b',c')/G$$

for some a', b', c'.

LEMMA 4.7 – *Let $\mathcal{GT}_1(G)_{abc}$ be the permutation group on $X(a,b,c)$, consisting of those permutations commuting with the action of H, and compatible with the relation $\equiv$. Then $\mathcal{GT}_1(G)$ is the direct product of the various groups $\mathcal{GT}_1(G)_{abc}$.*

Proof. This is a completely general fact: when $\mathscr{T}/G$ is partitioned into subsets which are stable under the action of H, and which are unions of equivalence classes for $\equiv$, then $\mathcal{GT}_1(G)$ splits as a corresponding direct product, as one sees from the definition. □

Now suppose a, b, c are fixed, and resume the notation H_0 from the previous section.

LEMMA 4.8 – *The permutation group $\mathcal{GT}_1(G)_{abc}$ is isomorphic to one of $\{1\}$, C_2, C_2^2, or D_8.*

Proof. Since the action of $\mathcal{GT}_1(G)_{abc}$ commutes with that of H, it is determined by its restriction to $\mathscr{T}(a,b,c)/G$. In other words, the map $\mathcal{GT}_1(G)_{abc} \to S(\mathscr{T}(a,b,c)/G)$, which is well-defined since $\mathscr{T}(a,b,c)/G$ is stable under $\mathcal{GT}_1(G)$, is injective.

The image Γ of that map is a permutation group which commutes with the action of H_0, and so by Lemma 4.6 it is a subgroup of either C_2, C_2^2 or D_8. Thus it remains to prove that Γ is not cyclic of order 4, which potentially could happen when the centralizer C of H_0 is isomorphic to D_8.

Indeed, suppose Γ contains a 4-cycle. We infer that $\mathcal{GT}_1(G)$ acts transitively on $\mathscr{T}(a,b,c)/G$. It follows that the equivalence relation $\equiv$, preserved by $\mathcal{GT}_1(G)$, is trivial, in the sense that it has just one class in this set: all the triples in $\mathscr{T}(a,b,c)$ are "coordinate-wise conjugate". Thus the same can be said of $\equiv$ on all the translates $h \cdot \mathscr{T}(a,b,c)/G$, easily. As a result, these translates are precisely the equivalence classes of $\equiv$ on $X(a,b,c)$ (see Remark 4.4).

However, let us now consider the action of the full centralizer $C \cong D_8$, extended to all of $X(a,b,c)$ by requiring commutation with the action of H. Given the description of the classes of $\equiv$, it is clear that C is compatible with this equivalence relation. We conclude that $\mathcal{GT}_1(G)_{abc}$ contains a copy of D_8, and in particular it is not cyclic of order 4. □

The last two lemmas establish that, as announced:

THEOREM 4.9 – *When q is a power of an odd prime, there exist integers n_1, n_2 such that*

$$\mathcal{GT}_1(\mathrm{PSL}(2,q)) \cong C_2^{n_1} \times D_8^{n_2}\,.$$

In [Gui], explicit examples have been computed (with the help of the GAP software). We found the following table.

q	n_1	n_2
5	0	0
7	3	2
9	12	1
11	27	7
13	54	17
17	104	50
19	133	74

The first line is in accordance with the isomorphism $\mathrm{PSL}(2,5) \cong \mathrm{PSL}(2,4)$.

5. Application to dessins

We will conclude the paper with a proof of Theorem 1.1. Recall that $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ acts on the isomorphism classes of dessins, and that the action on those dessins with monodromy group G factors via a certain map

$$\lambda_G \colon \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{GT}(G)\,.$$

If K is that field such that $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/K) = \ker(\lambda_G)$, then $K/\mathbb{Q}$ is Galois and $\mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ is identified with a subgroup of $\mathcal{GT}(G)$.

The moduli field of the dessin X is that field F such that $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/F)$ is the subgroup of elements stabilizing X (up to isomorphism). This subgroup contains $\ker(\lambda_G)$ if the monodromy group of X is G, so that $F \subset K$. This proves the first part of the Theorem.

Now we specialize to $G = \mathrm{PSL}(2,q)$. If q is even, then $\mathcal{GT}_1(G) = 1$, so that $\mathcal{GT}(G)$ is abelian (since the commutators belong to $\mathcal{GT}_1(G)$). In this case $K/\mathbb{Q}$ is an abelian extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, as is $F/\mathbb{Q}$ in the notation above.

When q is odd, we can at least state that $\mathcal{GT}_1(G)$ is of derived length ≤ 2. As a result, the derived length of $\mathcal{GT}(G)$ is ≤ 3. The same can be said of $\mathrm{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ and of $\mathrm{Gal}(\tilde{F}/\mathbb{Q})$, where $\tilde{F} \subset K$ is the Galois closure of F.

References

[Gui] Pierre Guillot, *The Grothendieck-Teichmüller group of a finite group and G-dessins d'enfants*, to appear in the proceedings volume Symmetry in Graphs, Maps and Polytopes 2014, arXiv 1407.3112.

[Gui14] ———, *An elementary approach to dessins d'enfants and the Grothendieck-Teichmüller group*, Enseign. Math. **60** (2014), no. 3-4, 293–375. MR 3342648

[Mac69] A. M. Macbeath, *Generators of the linear fractional groups*, Number Theory (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XII, Houston, Tex., 1967), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1969, pp. 14–32. MR 0262379

[Wil09] Robert A. Wilson, *The finite simple groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 251, Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2009. MR 2562037

Université de Strasbourg & CNRS, Institut de Recherche Mathématique Avancée, 7 Rue René Descartes, 67084 Strasbourg, France

E-mail address: guillot@math.unistra.fr