THE ANALYTIC CLASS NUMBER FORMULA FOR 1-DIMENSIONAL AFFINE SCHEMES

BRUCE W. JORDAN AND BJORN POONEN

Abstract. We derive an analytic class number formula valid for an order in a product of \( S \)-integers in global fields, or equivalently for reduced finite-type affine schemes of pure dimension 1 over \( \mathbb{Z} \).

1. Introduction

Let \( K \) be a finite extension of \( \mathbb{Q} \). Let \( \mathcal{O}_K \) be its ring of integers. Let \( \zeta_K(s) \) be the Dedekind zeta function of \( K \), which is the zeta function of \( \text{Spec} \, \mathcal{O}_K \). Dedekind [Dir94, Supplement XI, §184, IV], generalizing work of Dirichlet, proved the analytic class number formula, which expresses the residue of \( \zeta_K(s) \) at \( s = 1 \) in terms of arithmetic invariants (see also Hilbert’s Zahlbericht [Hil97, Theorem 56]). More precisely, he proved that

\[
\lim_{s \to 1} (s-1) \zeta_K(s) = \frac{2^{r_1} (2\pi)^{r_2} hR}{w|\text{Disc } K|^{1/2}},
\]

where \( r_1 \) is the number of real places, \( r_2 \) is the number of complex places, \( h \) is the class number, \( R \) is the unit regulator, \( w \) is the number of roots of unity, and \( \text{Disc } K \) is the discriminant. F. K. Schmidt [Sch31, Satz 21] proved an analogue for a global function field.

This could be generalized in several ways:

- Replace \( \mathcal{O}_K \) by a non-maximal order.
- Replace \( \mathcal{O}_K \) be a ring of \( S \)-integers for some finite set \( S \) of places of \( K \).
- Allow \( \mathbb{Z} \)-algebras of Krull dimension 1 that are not necessarily integral domains.

We will generalize simultaneously in all of these directions, by proving a version of (1) for an order \( \mathcal{O} \) in a product of \( S \)-integers in global fields, or equivalently for a reduced affine finite-type \( \mathbb{Z} \)-scheme of pure dimension 1 (for the equivalence, see Proposition 4.2). Our main result, expressing the leading term of the arithmetic zeta function of [Ser65, p. 83] in terms of quantities to be defined in Section 5, is this:

**Theorem 1.1** (Generalized analytic class number formula). Let \( X \) be a reduced affine finite-type \( \mathbb{Z} \)-scheme of pure dimension 1, say \( X = \text{Spec} \, \mathcal{O} \). Let \( m \) be the number of irreducible components of \( X \). Then

\[
\lim_{s \to 1} (s-1)^m \zeta_X(s) = \frac{2^{r_1} (2\pi)^{r_2} h(\mathcal{O}) \prod_{v \in S_{\text{monarch}}} ((1 - q_v^{-1}) / \log q_v) \prod_{v \in S_{\text{monarch}}} \prod_{v \in S_{\text{monarch}}} ((1 - q_v^{-1}) / \log q_v)}{w(\mathcal{O}) |\text{Disc } \mathcal{O}|^{1/2}}.
\]
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Remark 1.2. Theorem 1.1 seems to be new even for orders in rings of integers of number fields and for rings of $S$-integers in number fields.

Remark 1.3. More generally, suppose that $X$ is any finite-type $\mathbb{Z}$-scheme of dimension $\leq 1$. Removing a finite set $V$ of closed points from $X$ only multiplies the limit in (2) by the easy factor $\prod_{v \in V} (1 - q_v^{-1})$. By removing such a set, we may assume that $X$ is affine and of pure dimension 1. Also, we may replace $X$ by its reduced subscheme since this does not change $\zeta_X(s)$. Thus we can reduce to the setting of Theorem 1.1.

Remark 1.4. If $X$ is not assumed to be reduced, then $O^\times$ need not be finitely generated, so defining a regulator is problematic: for example, if $O := \mathbb{F}_2[t, \epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)$, then $O^\times$ is isomorphic to the additive group of $\mathbb{F}_2[t]$ via the homomorphism $1 + f\epsilon \mapsto f$.

Remark 1.5. Various authors defined other zeta functions attached to a singular curve over a finite field and computed their leading terms at $s = 0$ or $s = 1$ [Gal73, Gre89, ZG97, ZG97b, Stö98], but these zeta functions are different from the usual zeta function in [Ser65] in general.

1.1. Outline of the article. Section 2 proves Theorem 1.1 for a ring of $S$-integers. Because we want a formula involving the $S$-class group and $S$-regulator instead of the usual class group and regulator, it is not convenient to deduce this from the classical formula for the ring of integers. Instead we redo the calculations of Tate’s thesis for $S$-integers.

Sections 3 and 4 characterize the rings $O$ such that $\text{Spec } O$ is a reduced affine finite-type $\mathbb{Z}$-scheme of pure dimension 1. In particular, the normalization $\tilde{O}$ of such a ring $O$ is a product of rings of $S$-integers.

Section 5 defines all the quantities that appear in (2).

Section 6 proves Theorem 1.1. The formula for $\tilde{O}$ follows from the case proved in Section 2, so our strategy is to determine how each term in (2) changes when $O$ is replaced by $\tilde{O}$. In particular, we use the Leray spectral sequence to determine how the unit group and Picard group change.

Finally Section 7 illustrates (2) in an example exhibiting all the phenomena that can arise in our context: both number fields and function fields, $S$-integers instead of just integers, multiple irreducible components, and singular points.

2. Tate’s thesis for $S$-integers

Let $K$ be a global field. Let $\mu$ be the torsion subgroup of $K^\times$, and let $w = \#\mu$. For each place $v$ of $K$, let $K_v$ be the completion of $K$ at $v$. If $K_v \simeq \mathbb{R}$, equip it with Lebesgue measure. If $K_v \simeq \mathbb{C}$, equip it with 2 times Lebesgue measure. For each nonarchimedean $v$, let $O_v$ be the valuation ring in $K_v$, let $q_v$ be the size of its residue field, and equip $K_v$ with the Haar measure $dx$ for which $\text{vol}(O_v) = 1$; here we follow [Wei67, p. 95] instead of taking the self-dual measure as in [Tat67, p. 310]. We write $\text{vol}(T)$ for the measure of a set $T$ with respect to a measure that is implied by context.

If $a \in K_v$ for some $v$, let $|a|_v \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be the factor by which multiplication-by-$a$ scales the Haar measure on $K_v$. The measure we use on $K_v^\times$ is not the restriction of the measure $dx$ on $K_v$. If $v$ is archimedean, equip $K_v^\times$ with the Haar measure $dx/|x|_v$. If $v$ is nonarchimedean, equip $K_v^\times$ with the Haar measure for which $\text{vol}(O_v^\times) = 1$. 

Let $K_{v,1}^\times := \{ x \in K_v^\times : \|x\|_v = 1 \}$. If $v$ is nonarchimedean, $K_{v,1}^\times = \mathcal{O}_v^\times$, which has volume 1 for the Haar measure on $K^\times_v$. If $v$ is archimedean, then equip $K_{v,1}^\times$ with the Haar measure compatible with the Haar measure on $K_v^\times$ and Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$ in the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow K_{v,1}^\times \longrightarrow K_v^\times \xrightarrow{\log|\cdot|_v} \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow 0$$

(for the notion of compatibility, see, e.g., [DE14, Theorem 1.53]; the notion can be extended to exact sequences of arbitrary finite length by breaking them into short exact sequences).

Define the ad`ele ring $\mathbb{A}$ as the restricted product $\prod_v'(K_v, \mathcal{O}_v)$ with the product measure. Equip the id`ele group $\mathbb{A}^\times = \prod_v'(K_v^\times, \mathcal{O}_v^\times)$ with the product of the multiplicative Haar measures. The field $K$ embeds diagonally in $\mathbb{A}$, and $K^\times$ embeds diagonally in $\mathbb{A}^\times$.

Let $S$ be a finite nonempty set of places of $K$ containing all the archimedean places. Let $S_{\text{nonarch}}$ be the set of nonarchimedean places in $S$. Define the ring of $S$-integers by

$$\mathcal{O} := \{ x \in K : v(x) \geq 0 \text{ for all } v \notin S \}.$$

**Lemma 2.1.** We have a measure-compatible isomorphism

$$\prod_{v \in S} K_v^\times \times \prod_{v \notin S} \mathcal{O}_v \sim \mathbb{A}_K$$

and short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \prod_v \mathcal{O}_v \longrightarrow \prod_{v \in S} K_v^\times \times \prod_{v \notin S} \mathcal{O}_v \longrightarrow \prod_{v \in S} K_v^\times \longrightarrow 0.$$

Define the $S$-Arakelov divisor group (cf. the usual Arakelov divisor group in [Neu99 III.1.8]) by

$$\widehat{\text{Div}} \mathcal{O} := \bigoplus_{v \in S} \mathbb{R} \times \bigoplus_{v \notin S} (\mathbb{Z} \log q_v),$$

where each $\mathbb{R}$ has Lebesgue measure and each $\mathbb{Z} \log q_v$ has the counting measure. We have a homomorphism $\text{deg} : \widehat{\text{Div}} \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that sums the components, and its kernel is denoted $\widehat{\text{Div}}^0 \mathcal{O}$, which acquires a measure compatible with the sequence $0 \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Div}}^0 \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Div}} \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow 0$. The homomorphism $\mathbb{A}^\times \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Div}} \mathcal{O}$ sending $(x_v)$ to $(\log |x_v|_v)$ restricts to a homomorphism $K^\times \rightarrow \widehat{\text{Div}}^0 \mathcal{O}$ whose cokernel is called the $S$-Arakelov class group $\widehat{\text{Pic}}^0 \mathcal{O}$. Equip $\mathbb{R}^S := \bigoplus_{v \in S} \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R}$ with Lebesgue measure. Equip the sum-zero hyperplane $\mathbb{R}_0^S := \ker(\mathbb{R}^S \xrightarrow{\text{sum}} \mathbb{R})$ with the measure compatible with those (equivalently, identify $\mathbb{R}_0^S$ with its projection under the forget-one-coordinate map and use Lebesgue measure on the image).

**Lemma 2.2.** We have a measure-preserving exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{\mathbb{R}_0^S}{\text{im} \mathcal{O}^\times} \longrightarrow \widehat{\text{Pic}}^0 \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \text{Pic} \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow 1.$$

**Proof.** Apply the snake lemma to

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}^\times \longrightarrow K^\times \longrightarrow K^\times/\mathcal{O}^\times \longrightarrow 1$$

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_0^S \longrightarrow \text{Div}^0 \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow \text{Div} \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow 0.$$
If char $K > 0$, let $q$ be the size of the constant field of $K$. In all the formulas below, the term in square brackets involving $\log q$ should be present only if char $K > 0$. Each copy of $\mathbb{R}$ has Lebesgue measure, which induces a measure on quotients such as $\mathbb{R} / (\mathbb{Z} \log q)$.

**Lemma 2.3.** We have a measure-compatible exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \prod_{v} K_{v,1}^\times \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathbb{A}_{1}^\times \rightarrow \hat{\text{Pic}}^0 \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in S_{\text{monarch}}} \frac{\mathbb{R}}{\mathbb{Z} \log q_v} \rightarrow \left[ \frac{\mathbb{R}}{\mathbb{Z} \log q} \right] \rightarrow 0.$$  

**Proof.** We have an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \prod_{v} K_{v,1}^\times \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathbb{A}_{1}^\times \rightarrow \text{Div} \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in S_{\text{monarch}}} \frac{\mathbb{R}}{\mathbb{Z} \log q_v} \rightarrow 0.$$  

Replace $\hat{\text{Div}} \mathcal{O}$ by $\hat{\text{Div}}^0 \mathcal{O}$ and adjust the neighboring terms accordingly; then replace $\mathbb{A}_{1}^\times$ by its quotient by $K^\times$ and take quotients of the neighboring terms accordingly. Every stage of this construction consists of measure-compatible exact sequences. $\square$

If $K$ is a number field, let $(e_i)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ be a basis for the ring of integers of $K$, and define $\text{Disc} K := \det(\text{Tr}(e_i e_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $K$ is a global function field of genus $g$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$, define $\text{Disc} K := q^{2g-2}$; this is so that in both cases it is $|\text{Disc} K|^{s/2}$ times the completed zeta function below that is symmetric with respect to $s \mapsto 1 - s$. Define the $S$-class number $h(\mathcal{O}) := \# \text{Pic} \mathcal{O}$. By the proof of the Dirichlet $S$-unit theorem, The image of $\mathcal{O}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^S_0$ is a full lattice; its covolume is called the $S$-regulator $R(\mathcal{O})$.

**Lemma 2.4.** We have

$$\text{vol} \left( \frac{\mathbb{A}_{1}^\times}{\mathbb{K}} \right) = |\text{Disc} K|^{1/2}$$

$$\text{vol} \left( \prod_{v \in S} K_{v,1}^\times \mathcal{O} \right) = |\text{Disc} K|^{1/2}$$

$$\text{vol} \left( K_{v,1}^\times \mathcal{O} \right) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } K_v \simeq \mathbb{R}; \\ 2\pi, & \text{if } K_v \simeq \mathbb{C}; \\ 1, & \text{if } K_v \text{ is nonarchimedean.} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{vol} \left( \hat{\text{Pic}}^0 \mathcal{O} \right) = h(\mathcal{O}) R(\mathcal{O})$$

$$\text{vol} \left( \frac{\mathbb{A}_{1}^\times}{\mathbb{K}} \right) = \frac{2^{r_1} (2\pi)^{r_2} h(\mathcal{O}) R(\mathcal{O}) [\log q]}{w(\mathcal{O}) \prod_{v \in S_{\text{monarch}}} \log q_v}.$$  

(Again, the term in brackets should be present only if char $K > 0$.)

**Proof.** The first formula can be found in [Wei82, §2.1.3]. It implies the second, by Lemma 2.1. For $\text{vol}(K_{v,1}^\times)$ for archimedean $v$, see Tate’s thesis [Tat67, p. 337]. For nonarchimedean $v$, the group $K_{v,1}^\times = \mathcal{O}_v^\times$ has volume 1 by definition of the measure. Lemma 2.2 computes $\text{vol} \left( \hat{\text{Pic}}^0 \mathcal{O} \right)$. Lemma 2.3 yields the last formula. $\square$
Define gamma factors $\Gamma_R(s) := \pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)$ and $\Gamma_C(s) := (2\pi)^{1-s}\Gamma(s)$, and define the completed zeta function by

$$\hat{\zeta}_K(s) := \Gamma_R(s)^{r_1} \Gamma_C(s)^{r_2} \zeta_K(s).$$

(Warning: We have used the definitions of [Wei67 VII.§6], but other authors use definitions of $\Gamma_R(s)$ and $\Gamma_C(s)$ that are nonzero constant multiples of these; cf. [Del73 §3.2] and [Den91 §2]. Some authors also include a factor $|\text{Disc } K|^{s/2}$ in the definition of $\hat{\zeta}_K(s)$ [Neu99 p. 467].)

**Lemma 2.5.** We have

$$\lim_{s \to 1}(s - 1)\hat{\zeta}_K(s) = \frac{\text{vol} \left( \mathbb{A}_K^x \right)}{|\text{Disc } K|^{1/2} \log q}.$$  

**Proof.** See the proofs of [Wei67 Theorems 3 and 4]. \qed

**Theorem 2.6** (Analytic class number formula for $S$-integers). We have

$$\lim_{s \to 1}(s - 1)\zeta_\mathcal{O}(s) = \frac{2^{r_1} (2\pi)^{r_2} h(\mathcal{O}) R(\mathcal{O}) \prod_{v \in S_{\text{monarch}} \setminus \{S\}} (1 - q_v^{-1})/\log q_v}{w(\mathcal{O}) |\text{Disc } K|^{1/2}}.$$  

**Proof.** The functions $\hat{\zeta}_K(s)$ and $\zeta_\mathcal{O}(s)$ differ only in that the former contains

- gamma factors $\Gamma_R(s)$ and $\Gamma_C(s)$, which take the value 1 at $s = 1$, and
- Euler factors $(1 - q_v^{-s})^{-1}$ for each $v \in S_{\text{monarch}}$, which take the value $(1 - q_v^{-1})^{-1}$ at $s = 1$.

The formula follows from this, the last formula of Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5. \qed

3. Characterizing rings of $S$-integers

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an integrally closed domain that is finitely generated as a $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra. Let $K = \text{Frac } \mathcal{O}$. If the Krull dimension $\dim \mathcal{O}$ is 1, then $K$ is a global field and $\mathcal{O}$ is a ring of $S$-integers in $K$ in the sense of Section 2.

**Proof.** Case 1: The image of $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O} \to \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ is a closed point. Then $\mathcal{O}$ is a 1-dimensional algebra over $\mathbb{F}_p$, so $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O}$ is a regular curve, equal to $C - S$, where $C$ is the smooth projective curve with function field $K$, and $S$ is a nonempty finite set of places.

Case 2: The morphism $\text{Spec } \mathcal{O} \to \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ is dominant. Then it is of relative dimension 0 since $\dim \mathcal{O} = 1 = \dim \mathbb{Z}$. Thus $K$ is a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. Since $\mathcal{O}$ is integrally closed, $\mathcal{O}$ contains the integral closure $\mathcal{O}_K$ of $\mathbb{Z}$ in $K$. Thus $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_K[S^{-1}]$ for some set $S$ of places of $K$. Since $\mathcal{O}$ is finitely generated, $S$ is finite. \qed

4. Describing 1-dimensional schemes

From now on, $X$ is a reduced affine finite-type $\mathbb{Z}$-scheme of pure dimension 1, say $X = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}$. Let $(C_i)_{i \in I}$ be the 1-dimensional irreducible components of $X$. Let $K_i$ be the function field of $C_i$. Let $K$ be the total quotient ring of $\mathcal{O}$, obtained by inverting all non-zerodivisors, so $K = \prod K_i$.

Let $|X|$ be the set of closed points of $X$. These points correspond to maximal ideals of $\mathcal{O}$, which are exactly the prime ideals with finite residue field since $\mathcal{O}$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$-algebra [EGA IV.3 10.4.11.1(i)].
Let \( \pi: \tilde{X} \to X \) and \( \pi_i: \tilde{C}_i \to C_i \) be the normalization morphisms. Then \( \tilde{X} \) is the disjoint union \( \bigsqcup \tilde{C}_i \). Correspondingly, the integral closure \( \tilde{O} \) of \( O \) in \( K \) is a finite product of rings \( \tilde{O}_i \). By Lemma 3.1, \( K_i \) is a global field and there exists \( S_i \) such that \( \tilde{O}_i \) is the ring of \( S_i \)-integers in \( K_i \). Since \( \pi \) is an isomorphism above the generic point of each \( C_i \), it is an isomorphism above \( X - Z \) for some finite subset \( Z \subset |X| \).

**Lemma 4.1.** The index \((\tilde{O} : O)\) is finite.

*Proof.* Since \( O \) is a finitely generated \( \mathbb{Z} \)-algebra, \( \tilde{O} \) is a finite \( O \)-module. The finite \( O \)-module \( \tilde{O}/O \) is supported on \( Z \), and each \( p \in Z \) has finite residue field. Thus \( \tilde{O}/O \) has a filtration with quotients that are finite as sets, so \( \tilde{O}/O \) is finite as a set. \( \square \)

Our work so far proves the following.

**Proposition 4.2.** A scheme \( X \) is a reduced affine finite-type \( \mathbb{Z} \)-scheme of pure dimension 1 if and only if \( X = \text{Spec} \ O \) for some finite-index subring \( O \) of a finite product of rings of \( S_i \)-integers in global fields \( K_i \).

## 5. Invariants

We retain the notation of Section 4.

**5.1. The invariants \( m, r_1, r_2 \) of \( K \).** Let \( m = m(K) \) be the number of irreducible components of \( X \), so \( m = \#I \).

Define \( r_1 = r_1(K) \) and \( r_2 = r_2(K) \) so that \( r_1 \) is the number of ring homomorphisms \( K \to \mathbb{R} \), and \( 2r_2 \) is the number of ring homomorphisms \( K \to \mathbb{C} \) whose image is not contained in \( \mathbb{R} \). If \( K \) is a number field, these are the usual \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \). If \( K \) is a global function field, then \( r_1 = r_2 = 0 \). In the general case \( K = \prod K_i \), we have \( r_1(K) = \sum r_1(K_i) \) and \( r_2(K) = \sum r_2(K_i) \).

**5.2. The unit group \( O^\times \) and roots of unity.** Let \( O^\times \) be the unit group of \( O \). Later we will prove that \( O^\times \) is a finitely generated abelian group. Let \( \mu(O) \) be the torsion subgroup of \( O^\times \). Let \( w(O) := \#\mu(O) \).

**5.3. The Picard group \( \text{Pic} \ O \) and the class number \( h(O) \).** Let \( \text{Pic} \ O := \text{Pic} X = H^1(X, O_X^\times) \) [Har77, Exercise III.4.5]. Later we will prove that \( \text{Pic} \ O \) is finite. Let \( h(O) := \#\text{Pic} \ O \).

**5.4. The discriminant \( \text{Disc} \ O \).** For each global field \( K_i \), we defined \( \text{Disc} K_i \) in Section 2. Define \( \text{Disc} O := (\tilde{O} : O)^2 \prod \text{Disc} K_i \); this is so that in the case where \( O \) is an order in the ring of integers of a number field, \( \text{Disc} O = \det (\text{Tr}_{K/Q}(e_i e_j))_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \) for any \( \mathbb{Z} \)-basis \( (e_i) \) of \( O \).

**5.5. The logarithmic embedding and the regulator \( R(O) \).** Let \( S = \bigsqcup S_i \) and \( S_{\text{monarch}} = \bigsqcup (S_i)_{\text{monarch}} \). For \( v \in S_i \subseteq S \), let \( K_v \) be the completion of \( K_i \) at \( v \). Taking the product of the homomorphisms \( K_v^\times \xrightarrow{\log} \mathbb{R} \) yields a homomorphism \( \prod_{v \in S} K_v^\times \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathbb{R}^S \). Let \( \tilde{\phi} \) be the composition

\[
\tilde{O}^\times \xrightarrow{\lambda} \prod_{v \in S} K_v^\times \xrightarrow{\lambda} \mathbb{R}^S.
\]
Let \( \phi = \widetilde{\phi}|_{\mathcal{O}^\times} \). Since \( \ker \lambda \) is bounded in \( \prod_{v \in S} K_v \) while \( \mathcal{O}^\times \) is a discrete closed subset of \( \prod_{v \in S} K_v \), \( \ker \phi \) is finite; on the other hand, the codomain of \( \phi \) is torsion-free; thus \( \ker \phi = \mu(\mathcal{O}) \).

The group \( \widetilde{\phi}(\mathcal{O}^\times) \) is a direct product of lattices in \( \prod \mathbb{R}_0^S \). Later we will prove that \( \mathcal{O}^\times \) is of finite index in \( \mathcal{O}^\times \), so \( \phi(\mathcal{O}^\times) \) is again a full lattice \( L(\mathcal{O}) \) in \( \prod \mathbb{R}_0^S \). The covolume of \( L(\mathcal{O}) \) is called the regulator, \( R(\mathcal{O}) \).

5.6. **The zeta function.** Since \( \text{Spec} \mathcal{O} \) is of finite type over \( \mathbb{Z} \), it has a zeta function defined as an Euler product, as in [Ser65, p. 83]:

\[
\zeta_X(s) := \prod_{p \in |X|} (1 - q_p^{-s})^{-1}.
\]

The product converges only for \( s \in \mathbb{C} \) with sufficiently large real part, but as is well known and as we will explain, \( \zeta_\mathcal{O}(s) \) admits a meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane and has a pole at \( s = 1 \) of order \( m \).

We have now defined all the quantities appearing in Theorem 1.1.

6. **Relating the invariants of \( \mathcal{O} \) and \( \mathcal{O} \)**

Theorem 1.1 for a product of rings of \( S \)-integers follows from Theorem 2.6. In particular, it holds for \( \mathcal{O} \). To prove it for \( \mathcal{O} \), we compare the formulas for \( \mathcal{O} \) and \( \mathcal{O} \) term by term.

For maximal ideals \( p \subseteq \mathcal{O} \) and \( \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathcal{O} \), we write \( \mathfrak{p}|p \) when \( \pi \) maps the closed point \( \mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{X} \) to \( p \in X \).

6.1. **The zeta functions of \( \mathcal{O} \) and \( \mathcal{O} \).** Hecke [Hec17], generalizing Riemann’s work, proved that the Dedekind zeta function of a number field has a meromorphic continuation to the entire complex plane and has a simple pole at \( s = 1 \). The analogous result for global function fields was proved by F. K. Schmidt [Sch31]. These imply the analogue for a ring of \( S \)-integers in a global field. Taking a product yields the corresponding result of products of \( m \) rings of \( S \)-integers, except that now the pole has order \( m \); this applies in particular to \( \mathcal{O} \). Next, by definition,

\[
\frac{\zeta_{\mathcal{O}}(s)}{\zeta_{\mathcal{O}}(s)} = \prod_p \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} (1 - q_p^{-s})^{-1},
\]

where, for all but finitely many \( p \), the fraction on the right is 1; cf. [Jen69, Theorem]. Thus \( \zeta_{\mathcal{O}}(s) \) too is meromorphic with a pole of order \( m \) at 1, and we deduce the following.

**Proposition 6.1.** We have

\[
\lim_{s \to 1} \frac{\zeta_{\mathcal{O}}(s)}{\zeta_{\mathcal{O}}(s)} = \prod_p \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} (1 - q_p^{-1})^{-1}.
\]

6.2. **The discriminants of \( \mathcal{O} \) and \( \mathcal{O} \).** Our definition of \( \text{Disc} \mathcal{O} \) immediately implies the following.

**Proposition 6.2.** We have

\[
\frac{\text{Disc} \mathcal{O}}{\text{Disc} \mathcal{O}} = (\mathcal{O} : \mathcal{O})^{-2}.
\]
6.3. **Local units.** Let \( c \subseteq O \) be the annihilator of the \( O \)-module \( \tilde{O}/O \). Then \( c \) is also an \( \tilde{O} \)-ideal, called the **conductor** of \( O \). It is the largest \( \tilde{O} \)-ideal contained in \( O \).

Let \( p \) be a maximal ideal of \( O \). Let \( \tilde{O}_p \) be the localization of the \( O \)-algebra \( \tilde{O} \) at \( p \). Then \( \tilde{O}_p \) is a semilocal ring whose maximal ideals correspond to the finitely many maximal ideals \( \mathfrak{P} \subset \tilde{O} \) lying above \( p \). Since \( \tilde{O}/O \) is finite, \( \tilde{O}/O \simeq \prod_p \tilde{O}_p/O_p \), and \( \tilde{O}_p/O_p \) is nontrivial for only finitely many \( p \). Let \( c_p \) be the localization of \( c \) at \( p \).

**Lemma 6.3.** The natural map

\[
\frac{\tilde{O}_p^\times}{O_p^\times} \to \frac{(\tilde{O}_p/c_p)^\times}{(O_p/c_p)^\times}
\]

is an isomorphism.

**Proof.** **Case 1:** \( c_p = O_p \). Then \( 1 \in c_p \), so \( c_p = \tilde{O}_p \) too; thus both sides are trivial.

**Case 2:** \( c_p \neq O_p \). Then \( c_p \subseteq pO_p \subset \mathfrak{P} \) for every maximal ideal \( \mathfrak{P} \) of \( \tilde{O}_p \). If an element \( \tilde{a} \in (\tilde{O}_p/c_p)^\times \) is lifted to an element \( a \in \tilde{O}_p \), then \( a \) lies outside each \( \mathfrak{P} \), so \( a \in \tilde{O}_p^\times \). Thus \( \tilde{O}_p^\times \to (\tilde{O}_p/c_p)^\times \) is surjective. Similarly, \( O_p^\times \to (O_p/c_p)^\times \) is surjective. Both surjections have the same kernel \( 1 + c_p \), so the result follows. \( \square \)

**Lemma 6.4.** If \( c_p \neq O_p \), then

\[
\# (\tilde{O}_p/c_p)^\times = \# (\tilde{O}_p/c_p) \prod_{\mathfrak{P} | p} (1 - q_\mathfrak{P}^{-1}) ,
\]

\[
\# (O_p/c_p)^\times = \# (O_p/c_p) (1 - q_p^{-1}) .
\]

**Proof.** The maximal ideals of \( \tilde{O}_p/c_p \) are the ideals \( \mathfrak{P}\tilde{O}_p/c_p \) for \( \mathfrak{P} | p \). An element of \( \tilde{O}_p/c_p \) is a unit if and only if it lies outside each maximal ideal. The probability that a random element of the finite group \( \tilde{O}_p/c_p \) lies outside \( \mathfrak{P}\tilde{O}_p/c_p \) is \( 1 - q_\mathfrak{P}^{-1} \), and these events for different \( \mathfrak{P} \) are independent by the Chinese remainder theorem, so the first equation is similar (but easier). \( \square \)

**Lemma 6.5.** We have

\[
\# \prod_p \frac{\tilde{O}_p^\times}{O_p^\times} = \# \frac{\tilde{O}}{O} \cdot \prod p \frac{\prod_{\mathfrak{P} | p} (1 - q_\mathfrak{P}^{-1})}{1 - q_p^{-1}} .
\]

**Proof.** By Lemmas 6.3 and 6.4

\[
\# \frac{\tilde{O}_p^\times}{O_p^\times} = \# \frac{\tilde{O}_p}{O_p} \cdot \frac{\prod_{\mathfrak{P} | p} (1 - q_\mathfrak{P}^{-1})}{1 - q_p^{-1}} ;
\]

this holds even if \( c_p = O_p \) since both sides are 1 in that case. Now take the product of both sides and use the isomorphism of finite groups

\[
\frac{\tilde{O}}{O} \simeq \prod_p \frac{\tilde{O}_p}{O_p} . \square
\]
6.4. Using the Leray spectral sequence to relate units and Picard groups for \( \mathcal{O} \) and \( \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \). Let \( \mathcal{O}_X \) be the structure sheaf of \( X \), and let \( \mathcal{O}_X^\times \) be the sheaf of units of \( \mathcal{O}_X \). Define \( \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_X^\times \) similarly.

**Lemma 6.6.** The sheaf \( R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X^\times \) on \( X \) is 0.

**Proof.** By [Har77, Proposition III.8.1], its stalk \( (R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X^\times)_p \) at a closed point \( p \) of \( X \) is \( \varprojlim_U \text{Pic} \pi^{-1}U \), where \( U \) ranges over open neighborhoods of \( p \) in \( X \). Since \( \pi^{-1}(p) \) is finite, every line bundle on \( \pi^{-1}U \) becomes trivial on \( \pi^{-1}U' \) for some smaller neighborhood \( U' \) of \( p \) in \( X \). Thus \( \varprojlim_U \text{Pic} \pi^{-1}U = 0 \). □

**Lemma 6.7.** We have \( H^1(X, \pi_*\mathcal{O}_X^\times) \simeq \text{Pic} \tilde{X} \).

**Proof.** The Leray spectral sequence

\[
H^p(X, R^q\pi_*\mathcal{F}) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{F})
\]

with \( \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_X^\times \) yields an exact sequence

\[
0 \rightarrow H^1(X, \pi_*\mathcal{O}_X^\times) \rightarrow \text{Pic} \tilde{X} \rightarrow H^0(X, R^1\pi_*\mathcal{O}_X^\times).
\]

Lemma 6.6 above completes the proof. □

**Proposition 6.8.** The following is an exact sequence of finite groups:

\[
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^\times \rightarrow \bigoplus_p \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_p^\times}{\mathcal{O}_p^\times} \rightarrow \text{Pic} \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{Pic} \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow 0.
\]

**Proof.** View \( \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_p^\times / \mathcal{O}_p^\times \) as a skyscraper sheaf on \( X \) supported at \( p \). Then we have an exact sequence of sheaves on \( X \)

\[
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^\times \rightarrow \pi_*\mathcal{O}_X^\times \rightarrow \bigoplus_p \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_p^\times}{\mathcal{O}_p^\times} \rightarrow 0.
\]

The corresponding long exact sequence in cohomology is

\[
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^\times \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{O}}^\times \rightarrow \bigoplus_p \frac{\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_p^\times}{\mathcal{O}_p^\times} \rightarrow \text{Pic} X \rightarrow H^1(X, \pi_*\mathcal{O}_X^\times) \rightarrow 0.
\]

By definition, \( \text{Pic} X = \text{Pic} \mathcal{O} \). By Lemma 6.7, the last term is \( \text{Pic} \tilde{X} = \text{Pic} \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \).

The second term in \( [3] \) is finite by Lemma 6.5. Finally, \( \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \) is a finite product of rings of \( S \)-integers, each of which has finite Picard group, so \( \text{Pic} \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \) is finite. Thus all four groups in \( [3] \) are finite. □

**Remark 6.9.** For a more elementary derivation of \( [3] \), at least in the case where \( \mathcal{O} \) is an integral domain, see [Nen99, Proposition I.12.9].

**Proposition 6.10.** We have

\[
\frac{\# \tilde{\mathcal{O}}^\times}{\# \mathcal{O}^\times} = \frac{h(\tilde{\mathcal{O}})}{h(\mathcal{O})} \cdot \frac{\# \tilde{\mathcal{O}} \cdot \prod_p \frac{1}{1 - q_p^{-1}}}{\prod_p \frac{1}{1 - d_p^{-1}}}.
\]
Proof. Take the alternating product of the orders of the groups in (3) and use Lemma 6.3. □

Remark 6.11. Finiteness of $(\tilde{O}^\times : O^\times) < \infty$ can also be viewed as a consequence of the finiteness of $(\tilde{O} : O)$, by [BL17, Theorem 1.3].

6.5. The regulators of $O$ and $\tilde{O}$. Let $L = L(O)$ be as in Section 5.5 and define $\tilde{L} = L(\tilde{O})$ similarly. The group $\tilde{L}$ is a full lattice in $\prod \mathbb{R}^S_i$. By Proposition 6.8, $(\tilde{O}^\times : O^\times)$ is finite, so $L$ is a full lattice in $\prod \mathbb{R}^S_i$ too.

Proposition 6.12. We have

$$\frac{R(\tilde{O})}{R(O)} \cdot \frac{\tilde{O}^\times}{O^\times} = \frac{w(\tilde{O})}{w(O)}.$$

Proof. Applying the snake lemma to

$$1 \rightarrow \mu(O) \rightarrow O^\times \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$$

$$1 \rightarrow \mu(\tilde{O}) \rightarrow \tilde{O}^\times \rightarrow \tilde{L} \rightarrow 0$$

yields an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \frac{\mu(\tilde{O})}{\mu(O)} \rightarrow \frac{\tilde{O}^\times}{O^\times} \rightarrow \frac{\tilde{L}}{L} \rightarrow 0$$

of finite groups, the last of which has order $R(O)/R(\tilde{O})$. □

6.6. Conclusion of the proof. To complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 we compare (2) for $\tilde{O}$ to (2) for $O$. The ratio of the left side of (2) for $\tilde{O}$ to the left side of (2) for $O$ is

$$\lim_{s \to 1} \frac{\zeta_{\tilde{O}}(s)}{\zeta_O(s)}.$$

The ratio of the right sides is

$$\left| \frac{\text{Disc } \tilde{O}}{\text{Disc } O} \right|^{-1/2} \left( \frac{w(\tilde{O})}{w(O)} \right)^{-1} \frac{h(\tilde{O})}{h(O)} \cdot \frac{R(\tilde{O})}{R(O)}.$$

By Propositions 6.1, 6.2, 6.10 and 6.12 and the definition of Disc $O$, both ratios equal

$$\prod_p \frac{\prod_{q | p} (1 - q^{-1})^{-1}}{(1 - q^{-1})^{-1}}.$$

7. An example with Spec $O$ singular: a fiber product of rings

Let $p$ be an odd prime. Consider the ring

$$O := \mathbb{Z}[1/2] \times_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathbb{F}_p[t] = \{(a, f) \in \mathbb{Z}[1/2] \times \mathbb{F}_p[t] : a \equiv f(0) \pmod{p}\}.$$
Then $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}[1/2] \times \mathbb{F}_p[t] \text{ inside } K = \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{F}_p(t)$. Thus $\tilde{X} := \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}$ is the disjoint union of two “curves” $\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[1/2] \amalg \text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_p[t]$, and $X := \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}$ is the same except that the points $(p) \in \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}[1/2]$ and $(t) \in \text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_p[t]$ are attached. Define
\begin{align*}
p := \{ (a, f) \in \mathbb{Z}[1/2] \times \mathbb{F}_p[t] : a \equiv f(0) \equiv 0 \text{ (mod } p) \} \\
\mathfrak{P} := \{ (a, f) \in \mathbb{Z}[1/2] \times \mathbb{F}_p[t] : a \equiv 0 \text{ (mod } p) \} \\
\mathfrak{P}' := \{ (a, f) \in \mathbb{Z}[1/2] \times \mathbb{F}_p[t] : f(0) \equiv 0 \text{ (mod } p) \}.
\end{align*}

Then $p$ is a prime of $\mathcal{O}$ (the point of attachment), and $\mathfrak{P}$ and $\mathfrak{P}'$ are the primes of $\mathcal{O}$ lying above $p$. The conductor of $\mathcal{O}$ is $p$ viewed as an $\mathcal{O}$-ideal.

Propositions 7.1 and 7.2 below verify Theorem 1.1 for $\mathcal{O}$ by computing the two sides of 2 independently.

**Proposition 7.1.** We have
\[ \lim_{s \to 1}(s - 1)^2 \zeta_X(s) = \frac{1 - p^{-1}}{2 \log p}. \]

**Proof.** We have
\[ \lim_{s \to 1}(s - 1)\zeta(s) = 1, \]
\[ \lim_{s \to 1}(s - 1)\zeta_{\mathbb{F}_p[t]}(s) = \lim_{s \to 1} \frac{s - 1}{1 - p^{1-s}} = \frac{1}{\log p}. \]

Taking zeta functions of
\[ X - \{p\} = \tilde{X} - \{\mathfrak{P}, \mathfrak{P}'\} = (\text{Spec } \mathbb{Z} - \{(2), (p)\}) \amalg (\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_p[t] - \{(t)\}) \]
yields
\begin{align*}
(1 - p^{-s}) \zeta_X(s) &= (1 - 2^{-s})(1 - p^{-s}) \zeta(s) \cdot (1 - p^{-s}) \zeta_{\mathbb{F}_p[t]}(s) \\
(s - 1)^2 \zeta_X(s) &= (1 - 2^{-s})(1 - p^{-s}) ((s - 1) \zeta(s)) \cdot (s - 1) \zeta_{\mathbb{F}_p[t]}(s) \\
\lim_{s \to 1}(s - 1)^2 \zeta_X(s) &= (1 - 2^{-1})(1 - p^{-1}) \cdot 1 \cdot \frac{1}{\log p} = \frac{1 - p^{-1}}{2 \log p}. \quad \square
\end{align*}

**Proposition 7.2.** We have
\[ \frac{2^{r_2} (2\pi)^2 h(\mathcal{O}) R(\mathcal{O}) \prod_{v \in S_{\text{nonarch}} \setminus \{ \infty \}} \frac{(1 - q_v^{-1}) / \log q_v}{w(\mathcal{O}) |\text{Disc } \mathcal{O}|^{1/2}}} \approx \frac{1 - p^{-1}}{2 \log p}. \]

**Proof.** First, $r_1 = 1$ and $r_2 = 0$. The set $S_{\text{nonarch}}$ consists of the place 2 of $\mathbb{Q}$ and the place $\infty$ of $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$. By definition,
\[ \text{Disc } \mathcal{O} = (\tilde{\mathcal{O}} : \mathcal{O})^2 (\text{Disc } \mathbb{Q}) (\text{Disc } \mathbb{F}_p(t)) = p^2 \cdot 1 \cdot p^{2^{0-2}} = 1. \]

Inside $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}^\times = \mathbb{Z}[1/2]^\times \times \mathbb{F}_p^\times = \pm \{2^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \times \mathbb{F}_p^\times$, we have
\[ \mathcal{O}^\times = \{ \pm (2^n \cdot n \text{ mod } p) : n \in \mathbb{Z} \}. \]

In particular, $\mu(\mathcal{O}) = \{\pm 1\}$, so $w(\mathcal{O}) = 2$. Since $\mathcal{O}^\times$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}^\times$ agree modulo torsion,
\[ R(\mathcal{O}) = R(\tilde{\mathcal{O}}) = R(\mathbb{Z}[1/2]) R(\mathbb{F}_p[t]) = (\log 2) \cdot 1 = \log 2. \]
By Lemma 6.3,
\[
\frac{\tilde{O}_p^\times}{O_p^\times} \simeq \frac{(\tilde{O}/\mathfrak{P})^\times \times (\tilde{O}/\mathfrak{P}')^\times}{(O/p)^\times} \simeq \frac{\mathbb{F}_p^\times \times \mathbb{F}_p^\times}{\mathbb{F}_p^\times},
\]
in which the denominator $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$ is embedded diagonally in $\mathbb{F}_p^\times \times \mathbb{F}_p^\times$. Substituting into (3) yields
\[
1 \rightarrow \tilde{O}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^\times \rightarrow \text{Pic } O \rightarrow \text{Pic } \tilde{O} \rightarrow 1.
\]
The subgroup $1 \times \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ of $\tilde{O}^\times$ surjects onto $\frac{\mathbb{F}_p^\times \times \mathbb{F}_p^\times}{\mathbb{F}_p^\times}$, and $\text{Pic } \tilde{O} = \text{Pic } \mathbb{Z}[1/2] \times \text{Pic } \mathbb{F}_p[t] = \{1\}$, so $\text{Pic } O = \{1\}$. Thus $h(O) = 1$.

Substituting all these values shows that the expression to be computed equals
\[
\frac{2^1 (2\pi)^0 \cdot 1 \cdot (\log 2) \cdot ((1 - 2^{-1})/\log 2) ((1 - p^{-1})/\log p)}{2 \cdot 1^{1/2}} = \frac{1 - p^{-1}}{2 \log p}.
\]
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