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#### Abstract

The identification of orientation relationships (ORs) plays a crucial rôle in the understanding of solid phase transformations. In steels, the most common models of ORs are the ones by Nishiyama-Wassermann (NW) and Kurdjumov-Sachs (KS). The defining feature of these and other OR models is the matching of directions and planes in the parent face-centred cubic $\gamma$-phase to ones in the product body-centred cubic/tetragonal $\alpha / \alpha^{\prime}$-phase.

In this paper a novel method that identifies transformation strains with ORs is introduced and used to develop a new strain-based approach to phase transformation models in steels. Using this approach, it is shown that the transformation strains that leave a close packed plane in the $\gamma$-phase and a close packed direction within that plane unrotated are precisely those giving rise to the NW and KS ORs when a cubic product phase is considered. Further, it is outlined how, by choosing different pairs of unrotated planes and directions, other common ORs such as the ones by Pitsch (P) and Greninger-Troiano (GT) can be derived.

One of the advantages of our approach is that it leads to a natural generalisation of the NW, KS and other ORs for different ratios of tetragonality $r$ of the product bct $\alpha^{\prime}$-phase. These generalised ORs predict a sharpening of the transformation textures with increasing tetragonality and are thus in qualitative agreement with experiments on steels with varying alloy concentration.
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## 1. Introduction

The transformation mechanism from the face-centred cubic (fcc) to the bodycentred cubic/tetragonal (bcc/bct) phase of steel has received widespread attention and the most influential early studies include Bai24, KS30, Nis34, Was35. In his seminal paper, Bain Bai24 proposed a mechanism that transforms the fcc $\gamma$-phase of iron to its bcc $\alpha$-phase "requiring the least temporary distortion". His conceived mechanism, although now widely accepted, was not without criticism from his contemporaries. Among the critics were Kurdjumov and Sachs KS30 who conducted X-ray diffraction measurements on $1.4 \%$ carbon steel and measured the orientation relationships between austenite and pure bcc $\alpha$-iron as well as between austenite and $1.4 \% \mathrm{C} \alpha^{\prime}$-steel ${ }^{1}$ The most important feature of their mechanism was the parallelism between the $(111)_{\gamma}$ and the $(011)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ plane as well as the $[10 \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ and the $[1 \overline{1} 1]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ direction and they explained how these conditions can be satisfied by a combination of three shears. Following their construction step by step one sees that the overall deformation is always one of the Bain strains followed by a rigid body rotation and that the resulting orientation relationship for pure iron differs from the one for $1.4 \% \mathrm{C}$ steel (see Tables 2 in KS30 and Ott60). In 1934, using the same

[^1]methods, Nishiyama Nis34 investigated a Fe-30\% Ni single crystal which, like pure iron, undergoes an fcc to bcc transformation. Based on his observations, Nishiyama proposed a different orientation relationship that has the same parallel planes but the direction $[10 \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ parallel to $[100]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$. One year later, Wassermann Was35 independently postulated the same relationships and also confirmed the earlier results by Kurdjumov and Sachs. Apart from the Nishiyama-Wassermann (NW) and Kurdjumov-Sachs (KS) orientation relationships (ORs) several other ORs, e.g. by Pitsch Pit59 (P) and Greninger-Troiano GT49 (GT), have been proposed and they all share the common feature of matching directions and planes in the parent phase to ones in the product phase.
In the present article, we would like to shift this paradigm towards a derivation of orientation relationships based on the transformation strains. Compared to previous approaches (see e.g. GLMJ04 HGJ05 CBdC10 ), our approach brings the following novelties:

1. The only necessary inputs are the lattice parameters of the two phases and the knowledge of a plane and a direction that is left unrotated.
2. Each derived strain can be uniquely idenfied with an OR and the parallelism between planes and directions in the two phases follows.
3. The additional knowledge of the actual underlying deformation of the material can e.g. be used to unambiguously determine twin relationships (cf. Section 3.2 and generally lay the groundwork for mathematical theories of steels based on energy minimisation (see e.g. Bha03 KM15).
4. Our method takes into account the ratio of tetragonality $r=c / a$ of the bct $\alpha^{\prime}$ phase. Thus, the derived strains and orientation relationships also depend on $r$ and can be expressed explicitly as functions of $r$.

For $r=1$, corresponding to bcc, we recover the original NW, KS and P ORs. However, for $r>1$, our approach predicts a deviation from the original ORs. We show how this leads to a sharpening of the transformation textures and how it can be used to explain the deviation from the exact parallelism condition in the GT ORs.

The structure of the paper is as follows: at the end of this section we clarify the notation that will be used throughout. In Section 2, we introduce a unified approach for the derivation of phase transformation models in steels which entails a general method to identify transformation strains with orientation relationships. In Section 3 we apply our unified approach to deduce the KS and NW transformation strains and orientation relationships; we also comment on how the obtained ORs relate to other common descriptions of the NW and KS ORs and show how the additional knowledge of the strains can be used to unambiguously determine twin relationships between KS variants. At the end of Section 3, we illustrate how according to our unified approach the KS and NW ORs change with increasing ratio of tetragonality $r$ of the $\alpha^{\prime}$ phase. In Section 4 we indicate how the same
methods can be used to explain and generalise the Pitsch (P), Greninger- Troiano (GT) and inverse Greninger-Troiano (GT') OR models.

## Preliminaries

Let us consider an orthonormal basis $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \mathbf{f}_{2}, \mathbf{f}_{3}\right\}$. By $[a b c]=\frac{a \mathbf{f}_{1}+b \mathbf{f}_{2}+c \mathbf{f}_{3}}{\sqrt{a^{2}+b^{2}+c^{2}}}$ we denote a normalised direction expressed in this basis. ${ }^{2}$ Similarly, by ( $a b c$ ) we denote a normal in the same basis ${ }^{3}$ For $\mathbf{u}=\left[u_{1} u_{2} u_{3}\right]$ and $\mathbf{v}=\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right]$ we denote by $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}$ the inner product, by $|\mathbf{u}|$ the norm and by $\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}$ the cross product. That is $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}=u_{1} v_{1}+u_{2} v_{2}+u_{3} v_{3},|\mathbf{u}|=\sqrt{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}}$ and $\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}=\left(u_{2} v_{3}-u_{3} v_{2}\right) \mathbf{f}_{1}+\left(u_{3} v_{1}-u_{1} v_{3}\right) \mathbf{f}_{2}+$ $\left(u_{1} v_{2}-u_{2} v_{1}\right) \mathbf{f}_{3}$. We also recall the identities

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{u}) \cdot(\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{v})=(\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{n})(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v})-(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n})(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{m}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \mathbf{u} \times A \mathbf{v}=\operatorname{cof} A(\mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{v}) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is a $3 \times 3$ matrix. In particular, the matrix of cofactors, cof $A$, measures how a vector normal to $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ deforms whenever $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ are deformed by $A$. If $A$ is invertible it holds that $\operatorname{cof} A=A^{-T} \operatorname{det} A$, where as usual $A^{-T}$ denotes the inverse of the transpose.

We end this section by summarising some important properties of rotation matrices, i.e. $3 \times 3$ matrices $R$ such that $R^{T} R=\mathbb{I}$ and $\operatorname{det} R=1$. Any rotation matrix $R$ can be uniquely identified as a counterclockwise rotation by an angle $\phi$ about a vector $\mathbf{u}$ and we write $R=R[\phi, \mathbf{u}]$, where $\mathbf{u}$ is always expressed in the standard basis $\mathbf{e}_{1}=(1,0,0)^{T}, \mathbf{e}_{2}=(0,1,0)^{T}, \mathbf{e}_{3}=(0,0,1)^{T}$. The magnitude of the angle of rotation is given by $|\phi|=\arccos ((\operatorname{Tr} R-1) / 2)$, where $\operatorname{Tr} R=\sum_{i=1}^{3} R_{i i}$ is the trace of the matrix $R$ and the sign of $\phi$ is given by $\operatorname{sgn}(\phi)=\operatorname{sgn}((\mathbf{n} \times R \mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{u})$, where $\mathbf{n}$ is any vector that is not parallel to the axis of rotation $\mathbf{u}$. In particular, reversing the sign of the axis $\mathbf{u} \rightarrow-\mathbf{u}$ is equivalent to reversing the sign of the angle of rotation $\phi \rightarrow-\phi$. Finally, by $\mathcal{P}^{24}$ we denote the group of rotations that map a cube to itself (see Appendix) and we call two vectors $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}^{\prime}$ crystallographically equivalent iff $\mathbf{n}^{\prime}=P \mathbf{n}$ for some $P \in \mathcal{P}^{24}$.

## 2. A unified approach to phase transformation models in steels

Since Bain's seminal paper Bai24 (see also KM16 for a rigorous mathematical justification) it is well known that the pure stretches required to transform an fcc

[^2]lattice to a bcc/bct lattice are given by the three Bain strains
\[

B_{1}=\left($$
\begin{array}{lll}
\beta & 0 & 0  \tag{3}\\
0 & \alpha & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \alpha
\end{array}
$$\right), B_{2}=\left($$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \beta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \alpha
\end{array}
$$\right), B_{3}=\left($$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \alpha & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \beta
\end{array}
$$\right),
\]

where $\alpha=\frac{\sqrt{2} a}{a_{0}}$ and $\beta=\frac{c}{a_{0}}$. Here $a_{0}$ is the lattice parameter of the fcc phase and $c \geq a$ are the lattice parameters of the bct phase ( $a=c$ for bcc ). An additional rigid body rotation $R$ does not change the bcc/bct lattice structure and hence any lattice transformation $T$ from fcc to bcc/bct is of the form

$$
T=R B_{i} \text { for some } i=1,2,3 .
$$

Now suppose that the transformation $T$ leaves a plane with normal $\mathbf{n}$ and a direction $\mathbf{v}$ within that plane unrotated, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{cof} T \mathbf{n}}{|\operatorname{cof} T \mathbf{n}|}=R \frac{\operatorname{cof} B_{i} \mathbf{n}}{\left|\operatorname{cof} B_{i} \mathbf{n}\right|}=\mathbf{n} \text { and } \frac{T \mathbf{v}}{|T \mathbf{v}|}=R \frac{B_{i} \mathbf{v}}{\left|B_{i} \mathbf{v}\right|}=\mathbf{v} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Defining $\mathbf{m}_{i}=\operatorname{cof} B_{i} \mathbf{n} /\left|\operatorname{cof} B_{i} \mathbf{n}\right|, \mathbf{u}_{i}=B_{i} \mathbf{v} /\left|B_{i} \mathbf{v}\right|$, we observe that

$$
\mathbf{m}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{i} \propto \operatorname{cof} B_{i} \mathbf{n} \cdot B_{i} \mathbf{v}=B_{i}^{T} \operatorname{cof} B_{i} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v} \propto \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{v}=0,4
$$

where we have used that $\operatorname{cof} B_{i} \propto B_{i}^{-T}$ and that $\mathbf{v} \perp \mathbf{n}$. In particular, the pairs $\mathbf{m}_{i}, \mathbf{u}_{i}$ and $\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{v}$ are both orthonormal and thus there is a unique rotation $R=R_{i}$ such that $R_{i} \mathbf{m}_{i}=\mathbf{n}$ and $R_{i} \mathbf{u}_{i}=\mathbf{v}$ given by

$$
R_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mid & \mid & \mid  \tag{5}\\
\mathbf{n} & \mathbf{v} & \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{v} \\
\mid & \mid & \mid
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
- & \mathbf{m}_{i} & - \\
- & \mathbf{u}_{i} & - \\
-\mathbf{m}_{i} \times \mathbf{u}_{i} & -
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Consequently, for each $i=1,2,3$ there is exactly one transformation strain, $T_{i}=$ $R_{i} B_{i}$, from fcc to bcc/bct that leaves the plane with normal $\mathbf{n}$ and the direction $\mathbf{v}$ within that plane unrotated.

## Identifying strains with orientation relationships

Given the transformation strain $T_{i}$, we show how to compute the corresponding orientation relationship (OR). For simplicity, we focus on the case $i=2$; the remaining two cases can be treated analogously. From the pure Bain mechanism it is clear that the transformation $B_{2}$ results in a bcc/bct unit cell with edges along the directions $\mathbf{e}_{1}-\mathbf{e}_{3}, \mathbf{e}_{2}$ and $\mathbf{e}_{1}+\mathbf{e}_{3}$ (see Figure 1). The additional rotation $R_{2}$ in the transformation $T_{2}$ then results in a bcc/bct unit cell with edges along the directions

$$
R_{2}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}-\mathbf{e}_{3}\right), R_{2} \mathbf{e}_{2} \text { and } R_{2}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}+\mathbf{e}_{3}\right),
$$

[^3]which form the natural basis for the bcc/bct lattice. Noting that $\mathbf{e}_{1}-\mathbf{e}_{3}=R\left[45^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right] \mathbf{e}_{1}$


Figure 1: The green vectors $\mathbf{e}_{1}-\mathbf{e}_{3}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, \mathbf{e}_{1}+\mathbf{e}_{3}$ are along the edges of the tetragonal bct cell that is contained in the fcc lattice and the red vectors are obtained through the rotation $R_{2}$.
and $\mathbf{e}_{1}+\mathbf{e}_{3}=R\left[45^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right] \mathbf{e}_{3}$ we see that the change of basis matrix between fcc and $\mathrm{bcc} / \mathrm{bct}$ is given by $R_{2} R\left[45^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right]$, i.e. $\mathbf{x}=\left[x_{1} x_{2} x_{3}\right]_{\gamma}=\left[\hat{x}_{1} \hat{x}_{2} \hat{x}_{3}\right]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$, where

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\hat{x}_{1}  \tag{6}\\
\hat{x}_{2} \\
\hat{x}_{3}
\end{array}\right)=R\left[-45^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right] R_{2}^{T}\left(\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right)=: O_{2}\left(\begin{array}{l}
x_{1} \\
x_{2} \\
x_{3}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

In particular, through the matrix $O_{2}=R\left[-45^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right] R_{2}^{T}$ one can express the coordinates of the unrotated plane $\mathbf{n}$ and direction $\mathbf{v}$ in the new bcc/bct ( $\alpha^{\prime}-$ ) basis and hence determine the orientation relationship. In general, the orientation relationship corresponding to $T_{i}=R_{i} B_{i}$ is given through the matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
O_{i}=R\left[-45^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{i}\right] R_{i}^{T} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which we henceforth call the orientation relationship matrix. We note that $R\left[45^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{i}\right]=$ $R\left[90^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{i}\right] R\left[-45^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{i}\right]$ with $R\left[90^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{i}\right] \in \mathcal{P}^{24}$, i.e. choosing the opposite sign for the $45^{\circ}$ rotation about $\mathbf{e}_{i}$ simply leads to a crystallographically equivalent normal and direction. In summary, starting from the transformation $T_{i}$, we obtain the orientation relationship

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(n_{1} n_{2} n_{3}\right)_{\gamma} \|\left(\hat{n}_{1} \hat{n}_{2} \hat{n}_{3}\right)_{\alpha^{\prime}} \text { and }\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right]_{\gamma} \|\left[\hat{v}_{1} \hat{v}_{2} \hat{v}_{3}\right]_{\alpha^{\prime}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coordinates $\hat{n}_{i}$ and $\hat{v}_{i}$ are obtained by using the orientation relationship matrix $O_{i}$ from (7) in (6).

Conversely, suppose that an OR of the form (8) is given with the property that the normal $\left(n_{1} n_{2} n_{3}\right)_{\gamma}$ and the direction $\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right]_{\gamma}$ are left unrotated by the transformation. By the above process, we can compute three possible transformation strains $T_{i}$ and corresponding OR matrices $O_{i}$. For each OR matrix $O_{i}$ we can calculate the bcc/bct coordinates of $\left(n_{1} n_{2} n_{3}\right)_{\gamma}$ and $\left[v_{1} v_{2} v_{3}\right]_{\gamma}$. For one of the ma-
trices $O_{i}$, the calculated coordinates must agree, up to crystallographic equivalence, with the given OR and, hence, we may uniquely identify the Bain variant $B_{i}$, and the corresponding transformation strain $T_{i}$, that gives rise to the OR. If the coordinates do not agree for any $O_{i}$, then the OR cannot be compatible with the Bain mechanism.

## Generating variants through crystallographic equivalence in the $\gamma$ phase

Given a transformation strain $T$ (or equivalently the corresponding OR matrix $O$ ) we are able to generate further variants of $T$ through the application of $\mathcal{P}^{24}$ in the reference configuration. To this end, we recall that given the fcc basis $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, \mathbf{e}_{3}\right\}$, all crystallographically equivalent fcc bases are given by $\left\{P \mathbf{e}_{1}, P \mathbf{e}_{2}, P \mathbf{e}_{3}\right\}$ for $P \in \mathcal{P}^{24}$. Thus, letting $T$ as in (4) and using the identity $P_{i}^{T} P_{i}=\mathbb{I}$ we infer that

$$
\frac{\operatorname{cof}\left(P_{i} T P_{i}^{T}\right) P_{i} \mathbf{n}}{|\operatorname{cof} T \mathbf{n}|}=P_{i} \mathbf{n} \text { and } \frac{\left(P_{i} T P_{i}^{T}\right) P_{i} \mathbf{v}}{|T \mathbf{v}|}=P_{i} \mathbf{v}
$$

That is, for each $i=1,2, \ldots, 24$, the deformation $P_{i} T P_{i}^{T}$ leaves the plane with normal $P_{i} \mathbf{n}$ and the direction $P_{i} \mathbf{v}$ within that plane unrotated and thus describes a strain variant of the original transformation strain $T$. Similarly, $P_{i} O P_{i}^{T}$ describes the corresponding orientation relationship variant. We note that in general, it may happen (see e.g. the NW model) that $P_{i} T P_{i}^{T}=P_{j} T P_{j}^{T}$ for some $i \neq j$ and thus there can be less than 24 distinct variants for a given transformation strain (or equivalently for a given OR ).

## 3. The NW and KS models

In this section, we derive the NW and KS models. Both models have the attractive feature of leaving a close-packed $\{111\}_{\gamma}$ plane and a close-packed $\langle\overline{1} 10\rangle$ direction within that plane unrotated. Owing to this feature they seem to be the most natural candidates for OR models. To carry out the derivation we apply our unified approach from Section 2 with

$$
\mathbf{n}=(111)_{\gamma} \text { and } \mathbf{v}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
1
\end{array}\right]_{\gamma} .
$$

## The transformation with stretch component $B_{2}$

Let us consider the second Bain variant $B_{2}$. Noting that $\mathbf{v}$ is an eigenvector of $B_{2}$, we immediately deduce that, by (4), $R_{2} \mathbf{v}=\mathbf{v}$ and thus $\mathbf{v}$ is the axis of rotation. Regarding the angle of rotation we calculate

$$
\operatorname{Tr} R_{2}=\mathbf{m}_{2} \cdot \mathbf{n}+\mathbf{u}_{2} \cdot \mathbf{v}+\left(\mathbf{m}_{2} \times \mathbf{u}_{2}\right) \cdot(\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{v})=2 \mathbf{m}_{2} \cdot \mathbf{n}+1,
$$

where we used that $\mathbf{u}_{2}=\mathbf{v}$ and (11). Hence, the angle of rotation is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\arccos \left(\frac{\operatorname{cof} B_{2} \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n}}{\left|\operatorname{cof} B_{2} \mathbf{n}\right|}\right) \operatorname{sgn}\left(\left(\mathbf{m}_{2} \times \mathbf{n}\right) \cdot v\right)=\arccos \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{2} r}{\sqrt{3} \sqrt{1+r^{2}}}\right)=: \phi(r) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r=c / a=\sqrt{2} \beta / \alpha$ is the ratio of tetragonality of the bct cell. In particular, for $r=1$ corresponding to a bcc product lattice we obtain $\phi(1)=\arccos \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{6}}\right) \approx$ $9.7356^{\circ}$.

Hence, the only transformation from fcc to bcc/bct with stretch component $B_{2}$ which leaves the plane $(111)_{\gamma}$ and the direction $[10 \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ unrotated is

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{2}=R_{2} B_{2}=R[\phi(r),[10 \overline{1}]] B_{2} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Regarding the orientation relationships corresponding to $T_{2}$, through (10) and 77, we infer that $O_{2}=R\left[-45^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right] R[-\phi(r),[10 \overline{1}]]$ (cf. Figure 1 ). Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(111)_{\gamma} \|(01 r)_{\alpha^{\prime}} \text { and }[10 \overline{1}]_{\gamma} \|[100]_{\alpha^{\prime}} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, as expected, the latter is a closest packed plane in the resulting bct lattice containing the bct direction $[100]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$. Thus for $r=1$ (bcc) the transformation $T_{2}$ gives rise to the OR NW1 (see Table 1) and henceforth we denote $T_{2}=T_{\mathrm{NW} 1}$. The OR matrix $O_{\text {NW1 }}$ between fcc and bcc is given by

$$
O_{\mathrm{NW} 1}=R\left[-45^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right] R\left[-9.7356^{\circ},[10 \overline{1}]\right] \approx\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0.7071 & 0 & -0.7071 \\
0.1196 & 0.9856 & 0.1196 \\
0.6969 & -0.1691 & 0.6969
\end{array}\right),
$$

and the corresponding transformation $T_{\mathrm{NW} 1}$ is given by

$$
T_{\mathrm{NW} 1}=R\left[9.7356^{\circ},[10 \overline{1}]\right] B_{2} \approx\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1.1144 & 0.0949 & -0.0081 \\
-0.1342 & 0.7823 & -0.1342 \\
-0.0081 & 0.0949 & 1.1144
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Next, we characterize the remaining NW variants. Following our unified approach, they are given by $P_{i} T_{\mathrm{NW} 1} P_{i}^{T}$. Since $T_{\mathrm{NW} 1}=R[\phi(r),[10 \overline{1}]] B_{2}, P_{2}[10 \overline{1}]_{\gamma}=$ [10 $\overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ and $P_{2} B_{2} P_{2}^{T}=B_{2}$ we deduce that $P_{2} T_{\mathrm{NW} 1} P_{2}^{T}=T_{\mathrm{NW} 1}$ and similarly that $P_{2 j} T_{\mathrm{NW} 1} P_{2 j}^{T}=P_{2 j-1} T_{\mathrm{NW} 1} P_{2 j-1}^{T}$ for any $j=2, \ldots, 12$. Thus there are only 12 NW strain variants given by

$$
T_{\mathrm{NW} j}:=P_{2 j-1} T_{\mathrm{NW} 1} P_{2 j-1}^{T}=R\left[\phi(r), P_{2 j-1}[10 \overline{1}]\right] P_{2 j-1} B_{2} P_{2 j-1}^{T}
$$

for $j=1,2, \ldots, 12$. In particular, $T_{\mathrm{NW} j}$ has a stretch component $P_{2 j-1} B_{2} P_{2 j-1}^{T}$ followed by a rotation of $\phi(r)$ about $P_{2 j-1}[10 \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$. The corresponding OR matrices are obtained by the same conjugation. That is

$$
O_{\mathrm{NW} j}=P_{2 j-1} O_{\mathrm{NW} 1} P_{2 j-1}^{T}=R\left[-45^{\circ}, P_{2 j-1} \mathbf{e}_{2}\right] R\left[-\phi(r), P_{2 j-1}[10 \overline{1}]\right],
$$

for $j=1,2, \ldots, 12$. Thus, by $11, O_{\mathrm{NW} j}$ maps the fcc normal $P_{2 j-1} \mathbf{n}$ and fcc vector $P_{2 j-1} \mathbf{v}$ to the bcc/bct normal $P_{2 j-1}(01 r)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ and the bcc/bct direction $P_{2 j-1}[100]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ (see Table A1 in the Appendix). It is easy to verify that, for $r=1$, the resulting bcc vectors are crystallographically equivalent (through $P_{2 j-1}^{T}$ ) to the bcc vector [100] $]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ and the bcc normal $(011)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$, giving the NW variants as in Table 1 . We note that the choice of sign for the $45^{\circ}$ rotation about $\mathbf{e}_{2}$, as well as the enumeration of $\mathcal{P}^{24}$, has been carefully made so that the OR NW $j$ is obtained through $P_{2 j-1}^{T}$. A choice of the opposite sign and/or a different enumeration of $\mathcal{P}^{24}$, will not alter the result but will lead to bcc/bct coordinates that are crystallographically equivalent to the ones in Table 1 through different elements of $\mathcal{P}^{24}$.

Table 1: The NW orientation relationships. The corresponding variants in each row are given by $T_{\mathrm{NW} j}=R\left[\phi(r), \mathbf{v}_{j}\right] B_{j}$.

| O.R. $^{\text {a }}$ | fcc plane $^{\text {b }}$ | bcc plane | fcc direction | bcc direction | Bain Variant ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NW1 | $(111)_{\gamma}$ | $(011)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[10 \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ | $[100]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| NW2 | $(111)_{\gamma}$ | $(011)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[\overline{1} 10]_{\gamma}$ | $[100]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| NW3 | $(111)_{\gamma}$ | $(011)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[0 \overline{1} 1]_{\gamma}$ | $[100]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| NW4 | $(\overline{1} 11)_{\gamma}$ | $(011)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[101]_{\gamma}$ | $[100]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| NW5 | $(\overline{1} 11)_{\gamma}$ | $(011)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[\overline{1} \overline{1} 0]_{\gamma}$ | $[100]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| NW6 | $(\overline{1} 11)_{\gamma}$ | $(011)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[01 \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ | $[100]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NW7 | $(1 \overline{1} 1)_{\gamma}$ | $(011)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[\overline{1} 01]_{\gamma}$ | $[100]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| NW8 | $(1 \overline{1} 1)_{\gamma}$ | $(011)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[110]_{\gamma}$ | $[100]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| NW9 | $(1 \overline{1} 1)_{\gamma}$ | $(011)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[0 \overline{1} \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ | $[100]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NW10 | $(11 \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(011)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[\overline{1} 0 \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ | $[100]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| NW11 | $(11 \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(011)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[1 \overline{1} 0]_{\gamma}$ | $[100]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| NW12 | $(11 \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(011)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[011]_{\gamma}$ | $[100]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ NW $j$ | ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} P_{2 j-1}(111)_{\gamma}$ | ${ }^{\mathrm{c}} \mathbf{v}_{j}=P_{2 j-1}[10 \overline{1}]_{\gamma}{ }^{\mathrm{d}} B_{j}=P_{2 j-1} B_{2} P_{2 j-1}^{T}$ |  |  |  |

The transformation with stretch component $B_{3}$
Similarly, using $B_{3}$ instead of $B_{2}$ in (4) gives rise to a rotation $R_{3}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{3} \mathbf{m}_{3}=\mathbf{n} \text { and } R_{3} \mathbf{u}_{3}=\mathbf{v} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that $R_{\mathrm{NW} 2} \mathbf{m}_{3}=\mathbf{n}$ we immediately see that $R_{3} R_{\mathrm{NW} 2}^{T} \mathbf{n}=\mathbf{n}$ and

$$
R_{3}=R[\theta, \mathbf{n}] R_{\mathrm{NW} 2}=R[\theta,[111]] R[\phi(r),[\overline{1} 10]]
$$

for some angle $\theta=\theta(r)$. Let us first determine the sign of $\theta(r)$. By 12), we have that $R[\theta, \mathbf{n}] R_{\mathrm{NW} 2} \mathbf{u}_{3}=\mathbf{v}$ and thus $\operatorname{sgn} \theta(r)=\operatorname{sgn}\left(R_{\mathrm{NW} 2} \mathbf{u}_{3} \times \mathbf{v}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}=1$. For the angle
itself we deduce from (5) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(r)=\arccos \left(\frac{\operatorname{Tr} R[\theta, \mathbf{n}]-1}{2}\right)=\arccos \left(\frac{\sqrt{3} \sqrt{r^{2}+1}+1}{2 \sqrt{r^{2}+2}}\right) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $r=1$ (bcc) this angle is given by $\theta(1)=\arccos \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{6}}{2 \sqrt{3}}\right) \approx 5.2644^{\circ}$. Hence, the only transformation from fcc to bcc/bct with stretch component $B_{3}$ which leaves the plane $(111)_{\gamma}$ and the direction $[10 \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ unrotated is

$$
T_{3}=R_{3} B_{3}=R[\theta(r),[111]] R\left[\phi(r),\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 \tag{14}
\end{array}\right]\right] B_{3} .
$$

Regarding the corresponding orientation relationships, by (7), we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
O_{3}=R\left[45^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{3}\right] R[-\phi(r),[\overline{1} 10]] R[-\theta(r),[111]] \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(111)_{\gamma} \|(0 r 1)_{\alpha^{\prime}} \text { and }[10 \overline{1}]_{\gamma} \|[11 \bar{r}]_{\alpha^{\prime}} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

These correspond to a closest packed plane in the resulting bcc/bct lattice and the close packed direction in that plane. Clearly, for $r=1$ (bcc), the transformation $T_{3}$ gives rise to the OR KS1 (see Table 22) and henceforth we denote $T_{3}=T_{\mathrm{KS} 1}$. The OR matrix $O_{\mathrm{KS1}}$ between fcc and bcc is then given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
O_{\mathrm{KS} 1} & =R\left[45^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{3}\right] R\left[-9.7356^{\circ},[\overline{1} 10]\right] R\left[-5.2644^{\circ},[111]\right] \\
& \approx\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0.7416 & -0.6667 & -0.0749 \\
0.6498 & 0.7416 & -0.1667 \\
0.1667 & 0.07492 & 0.9832
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the transformation strain by

$$
T_{\mathrm{KS} 1}=R\left[5.2644^{\circ},\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right] R\left[9.7356^{\circ},\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right] B_{3} \approx\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1.1044 & -0.0728 & 0.1323 \\
0.0595 & 1.1177 & 0.0595 \\
-0.1917 & -0.0728 & 0.7803
\end{array}\right) .\right.
$$

The remaining KS strain variants are $T_{\mathrm{KS} j}:=P_{j} T_{\mathrm{KS} 1} P_{j}^{T}$ and by (14) they are given by

$$
T_{\mathrm{KS} j}=R\left[\theta(r), P_{j}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right]\left[\phi(r), P_{j}\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right] P_{j} B_{3} P_{j}^{T} .
$$

In particular, $T_{\mathrm{KS} j}$ leaves the close packed plane $P_{j} \mathbf{n}$ and the close packed direction $P_{j} \mathbf{v}$ within that plane unrotated. The corresponding OR variants are given by $O_{\mathrm{KS} j}=P_{j} O_{\mathrm{KS} 1} P_{j}^{T}$ and $O_{\mathrm{KS} j}$ maps the fcc normal $P_{j} \mathbf{n}$ and fcc direction $P_{j} \mathbf{v}$ to the $\mathrm{bcc} / \mathrm{bct}$ normal $P_{j}(0 r 1)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ and the bcc/bct direction $P_{j}[11 \bar{r}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ (see Table A2 in the Appendix).

## The transformation with stretch component $B_{1}$

Let us, for example, consider $P=P_{2}$. Then

$$
P_{2} \mathbf{n}=-\mathbf{n}, P_{2} \mathbf{v}=\mathbf{v} \text { and } P_{2} B_{3} P_{2}^{T}=B_{1}
$$

and thus $T_{\mathrm{KS} 2}=R[-\theta(r),[111]] R[\phi(r),[\overline{1} 10]] B_{1}$ is the only transformation with stretch component $B_{1}$ that leaves the close packed plane (111) $)_{\gamma}$ and the close packed direction $[101]_{\gamma}$ unrotated. It is therefore the third and last solution of (4).

Just like in the derivation of the NW variants, care has been taken so that all odd $\operatorname{KS}(2 j-1)$ variants correspond immediately to the entries in Table 2 and the crystallographic equivalence in the bcc/bct lattice is given by $P_{2 j-1}^{T}$. However, unlike the NW variants, $T_{\mathrm{KS} 2}=P_{2} T_{\mathrm{KS} 1} P_{2}^{T} \neq T_{\mathrm{KS} 1}$ are distinct and thus the ORs are different. To illustrate this, let us take $O_{\mathrm{KS} 2}=P_{2} O_{\mathrm{KS} 1} P_{2}^{T}$ and investigate its action on the fcc plane with normal $\mathbf{n}=(111)_{\gamma}$ and the fcc direction $\mathbf{v}=[10 \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
O_{\mathrm{KS} 2} \mathbf{n} & =P_{2} O_{\mathrm{KS} 1}(-\mathbf{n})=-P_{2}(0 r 1)_{\alpha^{\prime}}=(1 r 0)_{\alpha^{\prime}}  \tag{17}\\
\text { and } O_{\mathrm{KS} 2} \mathbf{v} & =P_{2} O_{\mathrm{KS} 1} \mathbf{v}=P_{2}[11 \bar{r}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}=[r \overline{1} \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}},
\end{align*}
$$

which are the closest packed plane and close packed direction in that plane in the resulting bct lattice. If $r=1$ (bcc), noting that $P_{3}(1 r 0)_{\alpha^{\prime}}=(01 r)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ and $P_{3}[r \overline{1} \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}=[\overline{1} r \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ we obtain, up to crystallographic equivalence in the bcc lattice (by $\left.P_{3}\right)^{5}$ the OR associated to KS2 (cf. Table 22). The ORs for the remaining even $\mathrm{KS}(2 j)$ are obtained analogously and the required crystallographic equivalence transformation in the bcc lattice is given by $P_{3} P_{2 j}^{T}$. Figure 2 shows the relations between all Bain, NW and KS variants.


Figure 2: An arrow from a Bain variant $B_{k}$ to an NW variant NW $j$ signifies that $T_{\mathrm{NW} j}=R\left[\phi(r), \mathbf{v}_{j}\right] B_{k}$ (cf. Table 11). Respectively, an arrow from an NW variant NW $j$ to a KS variant KS $i$ signifies that $T_{\mathrm{KS} i}=R\left[(-1)^{i+1} \theta(r), \mathbf{n}_{i}\right] T_{\mathrm{NW} j}$ (cf. Table 2 ).

[^4]Table 2: The KS orientation relationships. The corresponding variants in each row are given by $T_{\mathrm{KS} j}=R\left[(-1)^{j+1} \theta(r), \mathbf{n}_{j}\right] R\left[\phi(r), P_{j}[\overline{1} 10]\right] B_{j}$.

| O.R. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | fcc plane ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | bcc plane | fcc direction ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | bcc direction | Bain Variant ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KS1 | $(111)_{\gamma}$ | $(011)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[10 \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ | $[11 \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| KS2 | (111) $\gamma$ | $(011)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[10 \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{1} 11 \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| KS3 | $(111)_{\gamma}$ | $(011)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[\overline{1} 10]_{\gamma}$ | $[11 \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| KS4 | $(111)_{\gamma}$ | $(011) \alpha^{\prime}$ | $[\overline{1} 10]_{\gamma}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{lll}\overline{1} & 1 & \overline{1}\end{array}\right]^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| KS5 | $(111)_{\gamma}$ | $(011) \alpha^{\prime}$ | $[0 \overline{1} 1]_{\gamma}$ | $[111]]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| KS6 | (111) $\gamma$ | $(011) \alpha^{\prime}$ | $[0 \overline{1} 1]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{1} 1 \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| KS7 | $(\overline{1} 11)_{\gamma}$ | $(011){ }_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[101]_{\gamma}$ | $[111 \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| KS8 | $(\overline{1} 11)_{\gamma}$ | $(011){ }_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[101]_{\gamma}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{llll}1 & 1\end{array}\right]{ }_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| KS9 | $(\overline{1} 11)_{\gamma}$ | $(011) \alpha^{\prime}$ | $[\overline{1} \overline{1} 0]_{\gamma}$ | $[11 \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| KS10 | $(\overline{1} 11)_{\gamma}$ | $(011)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[\overline{1} \overline{1} 0]_{\gamma}$ | $\left[{ }^{1} 11 \overline{1}\right]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| KS11 | $(\overline{1} 11)_{\gamma}$ | $(011)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[01 \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ | $[11 \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| KS12 | $(\overline{1} 11)_{\gamma}$ | $(011) \alpha^{\prime}$ | $[01 \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{1} 1 \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| KS13 | $(1 \overline{1} 1)_{\gamma}$ | $(011){ }_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[\overline{1} 01]_{\gamma}$ | $[11 \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| KS14 | $(1 \overline{1} 1)_{\gamma}$ | $(011) \alpha^{\prime}$ | $[\overline{1} 01]_{\gamma}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1\end{array}\right]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| KS15 | $(1 \overline{1} 1)_{\gamma}$ | $(011) \alpha^{\prime}$ | $[110]_{\gamma}$ | $[11 \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| KS16 | $(1 \overline{1} 1)_{\gamma}$ | $(011){ }_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[110]_{\gamma}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{llll}1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right]^{\prime}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| KS17 | $(1 \overline{1} 1)_{\gamma}$ | $(011) \alpha^{\prime}$ | $[0 \overline{1} \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ | $[111]]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| KS18 | $(1 \overline{1} 1)_{\gamma}$ | $(011) \alpha^{\prime}$ | $[0 \overline{1} \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{1} 1 \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| KS19 | $(11 \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(011) \alpha^{\prime}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1 & \overline{1}]_{\gamma} \\ \end{array}\right.$ | $\left[\begin{array}{llll}1 & 1\end{array}\right]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| KS20 | $(11 \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(011)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[\overline{1} 0 \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{1} 1 \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| KS21 | $(11 \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(011){ }_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[1 \overline{1} 0]_{\gamma}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{llll}1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right]^{\prime}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| KS22 | $(11 \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(011) \alpha^{\prime}$ | $[1 \overline{1} 0]_{\gamma}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{llll}1 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right]^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| KS23 | $(11 \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(011) \alpha^{\prime}$ | $[011]_{\gamma}$ | $[111]]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| KS24 | $(11 \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(011)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[011]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{1} 11 \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |

### 3.1. Relation to other descriptions

In the literature (see e.g. KMD76, RJ90, Bun13) the NW ORs are sometimes described as $\zeta=\arccos \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}-\frac{1}{2}\right) \approx 95.264^{\circ}$ rotations about $\langle h k l\rangle$ where $[h k l]=[1+$ $\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{3}, \sqrt{2},-1+\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{3}]$ and the KS ORs as $90^{\circ}$ rotations about $\langle 112\rangle$. We show that these descriptions follow, up to crystallographic equivalence, from the above derivation. Let us start with the OR for NW1. With the choice $P_{3}=R\left[120^{\circ},[111]\right]$ we obtain

$$
P_{3} O_{\mathrm{NW} 1}=R[\zeta,[h k l]] \approx R\left[95.264^{\circ},(0.85,0.29,0.44)\right]
$$

and thus $P_{2 j-1} P_{3} O_{\mathrm{NW} 1} P_{2 j-1}^{T}=P O_{\mathrm{NW} j}=R\left[\zeta, P_{2 j-1}[h k l]\right]$ for som $]^{6} P \in \mathcal{P}^{24}$. That is, up to crystallographic equivalence in the bcc lattice, $O_{\mathrm{NW} j}$ is a $\zeta \approx 95.264^{\circ}$ rotation about $P_{2 j-1}[h k l]$ (see Table 3). Next, let us consider the OR for KS1.

[^5]| OR | OR matrix |
| :---: | :---: |
| NW1 | $R\left[95.264^{\circ},[h k l]\right]$ |
| NW2 | $R\left[95.264^{\circ},[l h k]\right]$ |
| NW3 | $R\left[95.264^{\circ},[k l h]\right]$ |
|  |  |
| NW4 | $R\left[95.264^{\circ},[\bar{l} k h]\right]$ |
| NW5 | $R\left[95.264^{\circ},[\bar{h} l k]\right]$ |
| NW6 | $R\left[95.264^{\circ},[\bar{k} h l]\right]$ |


| OR | OR matrix |
| :---: | :---: |
| NW7 | $R\left[95.264^{\circ},[l \bar{k} h]\right]$ |
| NW8 | $R\left[95.264^{\circ},[h \bar{l} k]\right]$ |
| NW9 | $R\left[95.264^{\circ},[k \bar{h} l]\right]$ |
|  |  |
| NW10 | $R\left[95.264^{\circ},[l k \bar{h}]\right]$ |
| NW11 | $R\left[95.264^{\circ},[h l \bar{k}]\right]$ |
| NW12 | $R\left[95.264^{\circ},[k h \bar{l}]\right]$ |

Table 3: The OR matrices corresponding to the NW orientation relationships. Here, $[h k l]=[1+\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{3}, \sqrt{2},-1+\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{3}] \approx(0.85,0.29,0.44)$.

With the choice $P_{10}=R\left[-120^{\circ}\right.$, [1 $\left.\left.\overline{1} 1\right]\right]$ we obtain

$$
P_{10} O_{\mathrm{KS} 1}=R\left[90^{\circ},[\overline{1} 2 \overline{1}]\right]
$$

and thus $P_{j} P_{10} O_{\mathrm{KS} 1} P_{j}^{T}=P O_{\mathrm{KS} j}=R\left[90^{\circ}, P_{j}[\overline{1} 2 \overline{1}]\right]$ for som $]^{7} P \in \mathcal{P}^{24}$, i.e. up to crystallographic equivalence in the bcc lattice, $O_{\mathrm{KS} j}$ is a $90^{\circ}$ rotation about $P_{j}[\overline{1} 2 \overline{1}]$ (see Table 4).

| OR | OR matrix | OR | OR matrix |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KS1 | $R\left[+90^{\circ},\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 2\end{array}\right]\right.$ | KS13 | $R\left[+90^{\circ},[\overline{1} \overline{2} \overline{1}]\right]$ |
| KS2 | $R\left[-90^{\circ},\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1 & \overline{1}\end{array}\right]\right.$ | KS14 | $R\left[-90^{\circ},[\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1}]\right]$ |
| KS3 | $R\left[+90^{\circ},\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ 1\end{array} 2\right]\right]$ | KS15 | $R\left[+90^{\circ},\left[\begin{array}{llll}1 & 1\end{array}\right]\right.$ |
| KS4 | $R\left[-90^{\circ},\left[\begin{array}{l}\text { 1 } \\ \hline\end{array} 2\right]\right]$ | KS16 | $R\left[-90^{\circ},[\overline{1} 12]\right]$ |
| KS5 | $R\left[+90^{\circ},[2 \overline{1} \overline{1}]\right]$ | KS17 | $R\left[+90^{\circ},[211]\right]$ |
| KS6 | $R\left[-90^{\circ},[2 \overline{1} \overline{1}]\right]$ | KS18 | $R\left[-90^{\circ},[211 \overline{1}]\right]$ |
| KS7 | $R\left[+90^{\circ},\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1\end{array}\right]\right.$ | KS19 | $R\left[+90^{\circ},\left[\begin{array}{l}121\end{array}\right]\right.$ |
| KS8 | $R\left[-90^{\circ},\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1\end{array}\right]\right]$ | KS20 | $R\left[-90^{\circ},[\overline{1} 21]\right]$ |
| KS9 | $R\left[+90^{\circ},[1 \overline{1} 2]\right]$ | KS21 | $R\left[+90^{\circ},\left[\begin{array}{l}1 \\ \overline{1} \\ \overline{2}\end{array}\right]\right.$ |
| KS10 | $R\left[-90^{\circ},\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 2\end{array}\right]\right.$ | KS22 | $R\left[-90^{\circ},[\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{2}]\right]$ |
| KS11 | $R\left[+90^{\circ},[\overline{2} \overline{1} \overline{1}]\right]$ | KS23 | $R\left[+90^{\circ},[2 \overline{1} 1]\right]$ |
| KS12 | $R\left[-90^{\circ},[\overline{2} \overline{1} \overline{1}]\right]$ | KS24 | $R\left[-90^{\circ},[2 \overline{1} 1]\right]$ |

Table 4: The OR matrices corresponding to the KS orientation relationships.

### 3.2. Twin relationships between KS variants

The knowledge of the transformation strains allows one to unambiguously identify pairs of KS variants KSk and KSl that are twin related, i.e. variant pairs whose relative deformation is an invariant plane strain. That is

$$
T_{\mathrm{KS} k}=T_{\mathrm{KS} l}(\mathbb{I}+\mathbf{b} \otimes \mathbf{m}),
$$

where $\mathbf{b} \otimes \mathbf{m}$ is the $3 \times 3$ matrix with components $(\mathbf{b} \otimes \mathbf{m})_{i j}=b_{i} m_{j}$. In particular, this implies that a fully coherent interface of normal $\mathbf{m}$ can be formed between the two phases. We show that this can only happen between the pairs $\operatorname{KS}(2 j-1)$ and $\overline{7} P=P_{j} P_{10} P_{j}^{T}$
$\mathrm{KS}(2 j)$ and whenever this is the case the lattices on either side of the interface are related by a $180^{\circ}$ rotation about the common invariant fcc direction $P_{2 j-1}[10 \overline{1}]=\mathbf{v}_{j}$ (cf. Table 1). We start with KS1 and assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{i}:=T_{\mathrm{KS} i}-T_{\mathrm{KS} 1}=P_{i} T_{\mathrm{KS} 1} P_{i}^{T}-T_{\mathrm{KS} 1}=\mathbf{b} \otimes \mathbf{m} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Whenever $P_{i}$ does not leave $\mathbf{v}_{1}$ invariant we have $\left(T_{\mathrm{KS} i}-T_{\mathrm{KS} 1}\right) \mathbf{v}_{1} \neq 0$ and $\left(T_{\mathrm{KS} i}-\right.$ $\left.T_{\mathrm{KS} 1}\right) P_{i} \mathbf{v}_{1} \neq 0$ and thus $\mathbf{m} \| \mathbf{v}_{1} \times P_{i} \mathbf{v}_{1}$. Similarly, whenever $P_{i}$ does not leave $\mathbf{n}_{1}=(111)_{\gamma}$ invariant, i.e. $i \geq 7$, we have $\S^{8} M_{i}^{T} \mathbf{n}_{1} \neq 0$ and $M_{i}^{T} \mathbf{n}_{i} \neq 0$ and thus $\mathbf{b} \| \mathbf{n}_{1} \times \mathbf{n}_{i}$, where $\mathbf{n}_{i}:=P_{i} \mathbf{n}_{1}$. Hence for $i \geq 7$ it holds that

$$
M_{i}^{T} \mathbf{n}_{i} \propto \mathbf{m} \otimes\left(\mathbf{n}_{1} \times \mathbf{n}_{i}\right) \mathbf{n}_{i}=\left(\left(\mathbf{n}_{1} \times \mathbf{n}_{i}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{i}\right) \mathbf{m}=0
$$

and thus, since $\mathbf{n}_{i}$ is an eigenvector of $T_{\mathrm{KS} i}^{T}$, it must also be an eigenvector of $T_{\mathrm{KS1} 1}^{T}$. However, we know that this can only be the case for $i \leq 6$ (cf. Table 2), a contradiction. For the remaining cases, i.e. $2 \leq i \leq 6$, we have

$$
M_{i} P_{i} \mathbf{v}_{1} \propto \mathbf{b}\left(\mathbf{v}_{1} \times P_{i} \mathbf{v}_{1} \cdot P_{i} \mathbf{v}_{1}\right)=0
$$

and thus since $P_{i} \mathbf{v}_{1}$ is an eigenvector of $T_{\mathrm{KS} i}$ it must also be an eigenvector of $T_{\mathrm{KS} 1}$ which is again, unless $i=2$, a contradiction. Finally,

$$
T_{\mathrm{KS} 2}-T_{\mathrm{KS} 1}=P_{2} T_{\mathrm{KS} 1} P_{2}^{T}-T_{\mathrm{KS} 1}=\frac{2^{1 / 6}}{\sqrt{3}} \mathbf{v}_{1} \otimes[101]
$$

where $P_{2}$ is a $180^{\circ}$ rotation about the common fcc direction $\mathbf{v}_{1}$. Through conjugation with $P_{2 j-1}$ we obtain that the relative deformations between $T_{\mathrm{KS} 2 j-1}$ and $T_{\mathrm{KS} 2 j}=$ $P_{2 j-1} T_{\mathrm{KS} 2} P_{2 j-1}^{T}$ are also invariant plane strains.

### 3.3. The influence of tetragonality on the orientation relationships

For many compositions of steel the $\alpha^{\prime}$-phase is not cubic ( $r=1$ ) but slightly tetragonal $(r>1)$. For instance, the addition of carbon leads to a ratio of tetragonality approximately given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=\frac{c}{a}=1+0.045 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{C}, \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for C in the range $0.4-2 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{C}$ (see Rob53, WC62) ${ }^{9}$ Similarly, the addition of nitrogen instead of carbon leads to a tetragonality ratio of

$$
r=\frac{c}{a}=0.995+0.0383 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{~N},
$$

for N in the range $0.6-2.9 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{~N}$ (after Fig. 2.2 in Nis78). For small carbon content and certain $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{Ni}$ alloys, such as the $\mathrm{Fe}-30 \% \mathrm{Ni}$ alloy investigated in Nis34

[^6]and Was35, the $\alpha^{\prime}$-phase is likely to be cubic, however, alloying additional elements such as $\mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{Mn}$ or Ti leads again to a tetragonal $\alpha^{\prime}$-phase.
Our derivation in Section 3 takes the tetragonality of the $\alpha^{\prime}$-phase into account and the transformation strains, as well as the ORs, are derived for any ratio of tetragonality $1 \leq r<\sqrt{2}{ }^{10}$ In particular, the angles of rotations $\phi(r)$ and $\theta(r)$ in (9) and (13) respectively decrease with increasing tetragonality and thus our theory predicts a narrower distribution of peaks in the pole figures. This prediction agrees very well with RJ90 which summarises that "investigators have shown that the chemical composition of steel has a significant effect on the nature and sharpness of the final transformation texture" and that increasing alloy content (i.e. higher tetragonality) leads to sharper textures (see e.g. RJ90, Fig. 11-16]). Figure 3 depicts the changes in the NW and KS ORs for different ratios of tetragonality obtained through (19) for a carbon content increasing from $0 \%$ to $2 \%$.


Figure 3: $\{100\}$ pole figures showing the change in the ORs with increasing carbon content. Hollow circles, squares and triangles correspond respectively to the fcc to bcc transformations with stretch components $B_{1}, B_{2}$ and $B_{3}$. The colours blue, red and green correspond respectively to KS, NW and Bain. The solid shapes correspond to increasing carbon content from lighter to darker shading and with values $0.45,1.6$ and $2 \mathrm{wt} \% \mathrm{C}$ respectively.

## 4. Other orientation relationship models

In this section, we briefly comment on how our approach can be used to derive the Pitsch (see Pit59), Greninger-Troiano (GT) (see GT49]) and inverse GreningerTroiano GT' (see HGJ06) OR models.

[^7]
## The Pitsch model

Following Pit59 the Pitsch ORs (P) are given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(110)_{\gamma} \|(\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{2})_{\alpha^{\prime}} \text { and }[001]_{\gamma} \|[1 \overline{1} 0]_{\alpha^{\prime}}[1] \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using our unified approach from Section 2 with $\mathbf{n}=(110)$ and $\mathbf{v}=[1 \overline{1} 0]$ we obtain $T_{\mathrm{P} 1}=R\left[-\psi(r),\left[\begin{array}{lll}0 & 1]\end{array}\right] B_{2}\right.$ and $O_{\mathrm{P} 1}=R\left[-45^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right] R\left[\psi(r),\left[\begin{array}{lll}0 & 1\end{array}\right]\right.$, where $\psi(r)=$ $\arccos \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}+r}{\sqrt{2} \sqrt{2+r^{2}}}\right)$. The remaining eleven Pitsch OR and strain variants are given through conjugation with $\mathcal{P}^{24}$. We note that for $r=1, \psi(1)=\phi(1)$, where $\phi(r)$ is given by (9) in the derivation of the NW variants, and that $O_{\mathrm{P} 1}=O_{\mathrm{NW} 7}^{T}$ (similarly $O_{\mathrm{P} j}=O_{\mathrm{NW} i}^{T}$ for some $i$ ). If instead of 20 one uses the parallelisms $(010)_{\gamma} \|(101)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ and $[101]_{\gamma} \|[\overline{1} 11]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ (as e.g. in HGJ06 Nol04) the resulting strains and ORs are the same. Finally, we remark that occasionally Pit62 is also cited for the Pitsch ORs. However, the measurements in Pit62 are for cementite which has an orthorhombic crystal structure and thus our unified approach from Section 2 does not apply directly. Nevertheless, the underlying mechanism remains applicable if in (4) one replaces the Bain strain by the respective strain required to transform austenite to cementite.

## The Greninger-Troiano and inverse Greninger-Troiano models

In GT49, Greninger-Troiano (GT) studied a Fe-20\%Ni-0.8\%C crystal with $r=$ $c / a=1.045$ and observed the following approximate parallelisms

$$
(111)_{\gamma}:(101)_{\alpha^{\prime}} \approx 1^{\circ},\langle 112\rangle_{\gamma}:[10 \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}} \approx 2^{\circ} \text { and }\langle 110\rangle_{\gamma}:[11 \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}} \approx 2.5^{\circ}
$$

Apart from these original ORs (up to crystallographic equivalence), several authors use slightly different approximate parallelisms as defining features of the Greninger-Troiano (GT) orientation relationships. For instance, BH11, TCDY02 report $\{111\}_{\gamma}:\{011\}_{\alpha^{\prime}} \approx 0.2^{\circ}$ and $\langle 10 \overline{1}\rangle_{\gamma}:\langle 11 \overline{1}\rangle_{\alpha^{\prime}} \approx 2.7^{\circ}$ and HGJ06 uses the parallelisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{111\}_{\gamma} \|\{011\}_{\alpha^{\prime}} \text { and }\langle 51217\rangle_{\gamma} \|\langle 71717\rangle_{\alpha^{\prime}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

to approximate the GT ORs. Using the parallelism condition 21) our unified approach can capture the slight misorientations as an effect of the increased tetragonality of the bct lattice. With $\mathbf{n}=(111)_{\gamma}$ and $\mathbf{v}=[\overline{5} 17 \overline{12}]_{\gamma}$ we obtain $T_{\mathrm{GT} 1}=$ $R[\xi(r),[111]] R[\phi(r),[\overline{1} 10]] B_{3}$ and

$$
O_{\mathrm{GT} 1}=R\left[45^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{3}\right] R[-\phi(r),[\overline{1} 10]] R\left[-\xi(r),\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right.
$$

with $\xi(r)=\arccos \left(\frac{7^{2}+17^{2} \sqrt{3} \sqrt{1+r^{2}}}{\sqrt{2} \sqrt{5^{2}+12^{2}+17^{2}} \sqrt{7^{2}+17^{2}+17^{2} r^{2}}}\right)$. In particular, we have $(111)_{\gamma} \|$ $(0 r 1)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ and $[\overline{12} \overline{5} 17]_{\gamma} \|[\overline{7} \overline{17} 17 r]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ and thus for the value $r=1.045$ studied in

[^8]GT49 we obtain $(111)_{\gamma} \|(01.0451)_{\alpha^{\prime}}:(011)_{\alpha^{\prime}} \approx 1.26^{\circ},[11 \overline{2}]_{\gamma}:[01 \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}} \approx 2.8^{\circ}$ and $[10 \overline{1}]_{\gamma}:[11 \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}} \approx 2.9^{\circ}$.

The inverse GT introduced in HGJ06 satisfy the conditions $(\overline{17} \overline{7} 17)_{\gamma} \|(\overline{5} \overline{12} 17)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ and $[101]_{\gamma} \|[111]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ and as before our unified approach can be used to derive the corresponding strains and ORs. For further details on the P, GT GT ${ }^{\prime}$ and also on the NW and KS ORs we refer to the Appendix.

## 5. Conclusions

A unified approach to derive transformation strains and orientation relationship models in steels is presented. An important aspect is the identification of strains with orientation relationships. The unified approach is used to derive the NW, KS and other models and extend them naturally to the situation of a tetragonal $\alpha^{\prime}$ phase. The obtained dependence on the ratio of tetragonality seems to be in good qualitative agreement with experiments.
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## A. Overview of orientation relationship models

## A.1. Nishiyama-Wassermann (NW)

The transformation $T_{\mathrm{NW}}$ is uniquely defined through our unified approach (cf. Section (2) as the transformation that:

- leaves the normal $\mathbf{n}=(111)_{\gamma}$ and the direction $\mathbf{v}=[10 \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ unrotated,
- has pure stretch component $B_{2}$.

The resulting transformation strain is

$$
T_{\mathrm{NW} 1}=R_{2} B_{2}=R[\phi(r),[10 \overline{1}]] B_{2},
$$

where $\phi(r)=\arccos \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{2} r}{\sqrt{3} \sqrt{1+r^{2}}}\right)$. The corresponding OR matrix is

$$
O_{\mathrm{NW} 1}=R\left[-45^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right] R[-\phi(r),[10 \overline{1}]]
$$

which yields the OR

$$
(111)_{\gamma} \|(01 r)_{\alpha^{\prime}} \text { and }[10 \overline{1}]_{\gamma} \|[100]_{\alpha^{\prime}} .
$$

The application of $\mathcal{P}^{24}$ yields the remaining eleven NW ORs (cf. Table A1). Note that, unlike Table 1. Table A1 takes the tetragonality of the bct lattice into account and the bct vectors are given in a way that is consistent with the transformation strains and not up to crystallographic equivalence.

Table A1: The NW orientation relationships. The corresponding transformation strain in each row is given by $T_{\mathrm{NW} j}=R\left[\phi(r), P_{2 j-1}[10 \overline{1}]\right] B_{j}$.

| $\mathrm{OR}^{\text {a }}$ | fcc plane ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | bcc plane ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | fcc direction ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | bcc direction ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Bain Variant ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NW1 | (111) ${ }_{\gamma}$ | $(01 r)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[10 \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ | [100] ${ }_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| NW2 | $(111)_{\gamma}$ | $(r 01)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[\overline{1} 10]_{\gamma}$ | [010] ${ }^{\text {a }}$, | $B_{3}$ |
| NW3 | (111) $\gamma$ | $(1 r 0) \alpha^{\prime}$ | $[0 \overline{1} 1]_{\gamma}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{llll}0 & 1\end{array} \chi_{\alpha^{\prime}}\right.$ | $B_{1}$ |
| NW4 | $(\overline{1} 11)_{\gamma}$ | $(\bar{r} 10)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[101]_{\gamma}$ | [001] $]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| NW5 | $(\overline{1} 11)_{\gamma}$ | $(0 r 1)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[\overline{1} \overline{1} 0]_{\gamma}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{llll}10 & 0\end{array}\right]^{\prime}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| NW6 | $(\overline{1} 11)_{\gamma}$ | $(\overline{1} 0 r)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[01 \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{lllll}0\end{array}\right]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| NW7 | $(1 \overline{1} 1)_{\gamma}$ | $(r \overline{1} 0)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{llll}1 & 1\end{array}\right]_{\gamma}$ | [001] ${ }_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| NW8 | $(1 \overline{1} 1)_{\gamma}$ | $(0 \bar{r} 1)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[110]_{\gamma}$ | [100] ${ }_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| NW9 | $(1 \overline{1} 1)_{\gamma}$ | $(10 \bar{r})_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[0 \overline{1} \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ | $[0 \overline{1} 0]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| NW10 | $(11 \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(r 10)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & \overline{1}]_{\gamma}\end{array}\right.$ | $[001]]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| NW11 | $(11 \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(0 r \overline{1})_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[1 \overline{1} 0]_{\gamma}$ | [100] ${ }_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| NW12 | $(11 \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(10 \bar{r})_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[011]_{\gamma}$ | [010] ${ }_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & { }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{NW} j \\ & { }^{\mathrm{f}} B_{j}=P_{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & { }^{{ }^{\mathrm{b}} P_{2 j-1}(111} \\ & { }_{-1} B_{2} P_{2 j-1}^{T} \end{aligned}$ | $\overline{{ }^{\mathrm{c}} P_{2 j-1}(01 r)_{\alpha^{\prime}}} \quad{ }^{\mathrm{d}} P_{2 j-1}[10 \overline{1}]_{\gamma} \quad{ }^{\mathrm{e}} P_{2 j-1}(100)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ |  |  |  |

## A.2. Kurdjumov-Sachs (KS)

The transformation $T_{\mathrm{KS} 1}$ is uniquely defined through our unified approach (cf. Section 2 as the transformation that:

- leaves the normal $\mathbf{n}=(111)_{\gamma}$ and the direction $\mathbf{v}=[10 \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ unrotated,
- has pure stretch component $B_{3}$.

The resulting transformation strain is

$$
T_{\mathrm{KS} 1}=R\left[\theta(r),\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right] R[\phi(r),[\overline{1} 10]] B_{3},
$$

where $\theta(r)=\arccos \left(\frac{\sqrt{3} \sqrt{r^{2}+1}+1}{2 \sqrt{r^{2}+2}}\right)$, The corresponding OR matrix is

$$
O_{\mathrm{KS} 1}=R\left[45^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{3}\right] R[-\phi(r),[\overline{1} 10]] R\left[-\theta(r),\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right.
$$

which yields the OR

$$
(111)_{\gamma} \|(0 r 1)_{\alpha^{\prime}} \text { and }[10 \overline{1}]_{\gamma} \|[11 \bar{r}]_{\alpha^{\prime}} .
$$

The application of $\mathcal{P}^{24}$ yields the remaining 23 KS ORs (cf. Table A2). Note that, unlike Table 2. Table A2 takes the tetragonality of the bct lattice into account and the bct vectors are given in a way that is consistent with the transformation strains and not up to crystallographic equivalence.

Table A2: The KS orientation relationships. The corresponding transformation strain in each row is given by $T_{\mathrm{KS} j}=R\left[\theta(r), P_{j}[111]\right] R\left[\phi(r), P_{j}[\overline{1} 10]\right] B_{j}$.

| $\mathrm{OR}^{\text {a }}$ | fcc plane ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | bcc plane ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | fcc direction ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | bcc direction ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Bain Variant ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KS1 | $(111)_{\gamma}$ | $(0 r 1)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[10 \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ | $[11 \bar{r}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| KS2 | $(\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(\overline{1} \bar{r} 0)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[10 \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ | $[r \overline{1} \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| KS3 | $(111)_{\gamma}$ | $(10 r)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[\overline{1} 10]_{\gamma}$ | $\left.{ }^{[-r} 11\right]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| KS4 | $(\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(0 \overline{1} \bar{r})_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[\overline{1} 10]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{1} r \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| KS5 | $(111)_{\gamma}$ | $(r 10)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[0 \overline{1} 1]_{\gamma}$ | $[1 \bar{r} 1]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| KS6 | $(\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(\bar{r} 0 \overline{1})_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[0 \overline{1} 1]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{1} \overline{1} r]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| KS7 | $(\overline{1} 11)_{\gamma}$ | $(\overline{1} r 0)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[101]_{\gamma}$ | $[r 11]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| KS8 | $(1 \overline{1} \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(0 \bar{r} \overline{1})_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[101]_{\gamma}$ | $[1 \overline{1} r]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| KS9 | $(\overline{1} 11)_{\gamma}$ | $(01 r) \alpha^{\prime}$ | $[\overline{1} \overline{1} 0]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{1} \bar{r} 1]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| KS10 | $(1 \overline{1} \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(10 \bar{r})_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[\overline{1} \overline{1} 0]_{\gamma}$ | $[\bar{r} \overline{1} \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| KS11 | $(\overline{1} 11)_{\gamma}$ | $(\bar{r} 01)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[01 \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{1} 1 \bar{r}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| KS12 | $(1 \overline{1} \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(r \overline{1} 0)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[01 \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ | $[1 r \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| KS13 | $(1 \overline{1} 1)_{\gamma}$ | $(1 \bar{r} 0)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right]_{\gamma}$ | $[\bar{r} \overline{1} 1]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| KS14 | $(\overline{1} 1 \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(0 r \overline{1})_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[\overline{1} 01]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{1} 1 r]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| KS15 | $(1 \overline{1} 1)_{\gamma}$ | $(0 \overline{1} r)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[110]_{\gamma}$ | [1r1] ${ }_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| KS16 | $(\overline{1} 1 \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(\overline{1} 0 r)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[110]_{\gamma}$ | $[r 1 \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| KS17 | $(1 \overline{1} 1)_{\gamma}$ | $(r 01)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[0 \overline{1} \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ | $[1 \overline{1} \bar{r}]^{\prime}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| KS18 | $(\overline{1} 1 \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(\bar{r} 10)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[0 \overline{1} \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{1} \bar{r} \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| KS19 | $(11 \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(1 r 0)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & \overline{1}]_{\gamma} \\ \hline\end{array}\right.$ | $[\bar{r} 1 \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| KS20 | $(\overline{1} \overline{1} 1)_{\gamma}$ | $(0 \bar{r} 1)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[10 \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{1} \overline{1} \bar{r}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| KS21 | $(11 \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(01 \bar{r})_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[1 \overline{1} 0]_{\gamma}$ | $[1 \bar{r} \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| KS22 | $(\overline{1} 1)^{\prime}$ | $(\overline{1} 0 r)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[1 \overline{1} 0]_{\gamma}$ | $[r \overline{1} 1]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| KS23 | $(11 \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(r 0 \overline{1})_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[011]_{\gamma}$ | $[11 r]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| KS24 | $(\overline{1} \overline{1} 1)_{\gamma}$ | $(\bar{r} \overline{1} 0)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[011]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{1} r 1]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| ${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{KS} j$ | ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} P_{j}(111)_{\gamma}$ | ${ }^{\text {c }} P_{j}(0 r 1)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | ${ }^{\mathrm{d}} P_{j}[10 \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ | ${ }^{\mathrm{e}} P_{j}[11 \bar{r}]_{\alpha^{\prime}} \quad{ }^{\mathrm{f}} B_{j}$ | ${ }_{\text {a }}=P_{j} B_{3} P_{j}^{T}$ |

## A.3. Pitsch (PT)

The transformation $T_{\mathrm{P} 1}$ is uniquely defined through our unified approach (cf. Section 23 as the transformation that:

- leaves the normal $\mathbf{n}=(110)_{\gamma}$ and the direction $\mathbf{v}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}0 & 1\end{array}\right]_{\gamma}$ unrotated,
- has pure stretch component $B_{2}$.

The resulting transformation strain is

$$
T_{\mathrm{P} 1}=R\left[\psi(r),\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right] B_{2},
$$

where $\psi(r)=-\arccos \left(\frac{\sqrt{2}+r}{\sqrt{2+r^{2}}}\right)$. The corresponding OR matrix is

$$
O_{\mathrm{P} 1}=R\left[45^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right] R\left[-\psi(r),\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right.
$$

which yields the OR

$$
(01 \overline{1})_{\gamma} \|(\bar{r} 2 \bar{r})_{\alpha^{\prime}} \text { and }[100]_{\gamma} \|[10 \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}
$$

The application of $\mathcal{P}^{24}$ yields the remaining eleven P ORs (cf. Table A3).

## Remark

$O_{\mathrm{P} 1}$ also yields the parallelism $[011]_{\gamma} \|[1 r 1]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ stated in Pit59 (for $r=1$ ).

Table A3: The Pitsch orientation relationships. The corresponding transformation strain in each row is given by $T_{\mathrm{P} j}=R\left[\psi(r), P_{2 j-1}[100]\right] B_{j}$.

| OR ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | fcc plane ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | bcc plane ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | fcc direction ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | bcc direction ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Bain Variant ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P1 | $(01 \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(\bar{r} 2 \bar{r})_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[100]_{\gamma}$ | $[10 \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| P2 | $(\overline{1} 01)_{\gamma}$ | $(\bar{r} \bar{r} 2)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[010]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{1} 10]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| P3 | $(1 \overline{1} 0)_{\gamma}$ | $(2 \bar{r} \bar{r})_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | [001] $]_{\gamma}$ | $[0 \overline{1} 1]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| P4 | $(110)_{\gamma}$ | $(r 2 \bar{r})_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | [001] ${ }_{\gamma}$ | $[101]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| P5 | $(0 \overline{1} 1)_{\gamma}$ | $(r \bar{r} 2)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{llll}10 & 0\end{array}\right]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{1} \overline{1} 0]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| P6 | $(\overline{1} 0 \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(\overline{2} \bar{r} \bar{r})_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[010]_{\gamma}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{llll}0 & 1\end{array}\right]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| P7 | $(\overline{1} \overline{1} 0)_{\gamma}$ | $(\bar{r} \overline{2} \bar{r})_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | [001] ${ }_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{1} 01]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| P8 | $(011)_{\gamma}$ | $(\bar{r} r 2)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[100]_{\gamma}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{llll}1 & 0\end{array}\right]^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| P9 | $(10 \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(2 r \bar{r})_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[0 \overline{1} 0]_{\gamma}$ | $[0 \overline{1} \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| P10 | $(\overline{1} 10)_{\gamma}$ | $(\bar{r} 2 r)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[00 \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{1} 0 \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| P11 | $(0 \overline{1} \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(\bar{r} \bar{r} \overline{2})_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[100]_{\gamma}$ | $[1 \overline{1} 0]^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| P12 | $(101)_{\gamma}$ | $(2 \bar{r} r)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[010]_{\gamma}$ | [011] ${ }_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |

## A.4. Greninger-Troiano (GT)

The transformation $T_{\mathrm{GT} 1}$ is uniquely defined through our unified approach (cf. Section 2) as the transformation that:

- leaves the normal $\mathbf{n}=(111)_{\gamma}$ and the direction $\mathbf{v}=[\overline{5} 17 \overline{12}]_{\gamma}$ unrotated,
- has pure stretch component $B_{3}$.

The resulting transformation strain is

$$
T_{\mathrm{GT} 1}=R[\xi(r),[111]] R[\phi(r),[\overline{1} 10]] B_{3},
$$

where $\xi(r)=\arccos \left(\frac{7^{2}+17^{2} \sqrt{3} \sqrt{1+r^{2}}}{\sqrt{2} \sqrt{5^{2}+12^{2}+17^{2}} \sqrt{7^{2}+17^{2}+17^{2} r^{2}}}\right)$. The corresponding OR matrix is

$$
O_{\mathrm{GT} 1}=R\left[45^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{3}\right] R[-\phi(r),[\overline{1} 10]] R\left[-\xi(r),\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right]
$$

which yields the OR

$$
(111)_{\gamma} \|(0 r 1)_{\alpha^{\prime}} \text { and }[\overline{12} \overline{5} 17]_{\gamma} \|[\overline{7} \overline{17} 17 r]_{\alpha^{\prime}} .
$$

The application of $\mathcal{P}^{24}$ yields the remaining 23 GT ORs (cf. Table A4.

## Example

Let $r=1.045\left(\right.$ as in $[\overline{G T 49})$ then (111) : $(011) \approx 1.26^{\circ},[11 \overline{2}]:[01 \overline{1}] \approx 2.82^{\circ},[10 \overline{1}]:$ $[11 \overline{1}] \approx 2.94^{\circ}$ and $[0 \overline{1} 1]:[1 \overline{1} 1] \approx 7.86^{\circ}$.

Table A4: The GT orientation relationships. The corresponding transformation strain in each row is given by $T_{\mathrm{GT} j}=R\left[\xi(r), P_{j}[111]\right] R\left[\phi(r), P_{j}[\overline{1} 10]\right] B_{j}$.

| OR ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | fcc plane ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | bcc plane ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | fcc direction ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | bcc direction ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Bain Variant ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GT1 | $(111)_{\gamma}$ | $(0 r 1)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[\overline{12} \overline{5} 17]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{7} \overline{17} 17 r]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| GT2 | $(\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(\overline{1} \bar{r} 0)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[\overline{17} 512]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{17 r} 177]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| GT3 | $(111)_{\gamma}$ | $(10 r) \alpha^{\prime}$ | $[17 \overline{12} \overline{5}]_{\gamma}$ | $[17 r \overline{7} \overline{17}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| GT4 | $(\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(0 \overline{1} \bar{r})_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | [12-17] $5_{\gamma}$ | $[7 \overline{17 r} 17]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| GT5 | $(111)_{\gamma}$ | $(r 10) \alpha^{\prime}$ | $[\overline{5} 17 \overline{12}]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{17} 17 r \overline{7}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| GT6 | $(\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(\bar{r} 0 \overline{1})_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[512 \overline{17}]_{\gamma}$ | $[177 \overline{17 r}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| GT7 | $(\overline{1} 11)_{\gamma}$ | $(\overline{1} r 0)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[\overline{17} \overline{5} \overline{12}]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{17 r} \overline{17} \overline{7}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| GT8 | $(1 \overline{1} \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(0 \bar{r} \overline{1})_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[\overline{12} 5 \overline{17}]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{7} 17 \overline{17 r}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| GT9 | $(\overline{1} 11)_{\gamma}$ | $(01 r) \alpha^{\prime}$ | $[1217 \overline{5}]_{\gamma}$ | $[717 r \overline{17}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| GT10 | $(1 \overline{1} \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(10 \bar{r})_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[17125]_{\gamma}$ | $[17 r 717]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| GT11 | $(\overline{1} 11)_{\gamma}$ | $(\bar{r} 01)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[\overline{5} 12 \overline{17}]_{\gamma}$ | $[17 \overline{7} 17 r]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| GT12 | $(1 \overline{1} \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(r \overline{1} 0)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[517 \overline{12}]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{17} \overline{17 r} 7]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| GT13 | $(1 \overline{1} 1)_{\gamma}$ | $(1 \bar{r} 0)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[175 \overline{12}]_{\gamma}$ | $[17 r 17 \overline{7}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| GT14 | $(\overline{1} 1 \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(0 r \overline{1})_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[12 \overline{5} \overline{17}]_{\gamma}$ | $[7 \overline{17} \overline{17 r}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| GT15 | $(1 \overline{1} 1)_{\gamma}$ | $(0 \overline{1} r)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[\overline{12} \overline{17} \overline{5}]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{7} \overline{17} r \overline{17}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| GT16 | $(\overline{1} 1 \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(\overline{1} 0 r)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[\overline{17} \overline{12} 5]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{17 r} \overline{7} 17]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| GT17 | $(1 \overline{1} 1)_{\gamma}$ | $(r 01)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[\overline{5} 1217]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{17} 717 r]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| GT18 | $(\overline{1} 1 \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(\bar{r} 10)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[51712]_{\gamma}$ | $[1717 r 7]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| GT19 | $(11 \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(1 r 0) \alpha^{\prime}$ | $[17 \overline{5} 12]_{\gamma}$ | $[17 r \overline{17} 7]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| GT20 | $\left(\overline{1} 1{ }^{1}\right)_{\gamma}$ | $(0 \bar{r} 1)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[12517]_{\gamma}$ | $[71717 r]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| GT21 | $(11 \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(01 \bar{r})_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | [ $\overline{12} 175]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{7} 17 r 17]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| GT22 | $\left(\overline{1} 1{ }^{1}\right)_{\gamma}$ | $(\overline{1} 0 r)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[\overline{17} 12 \overline{5}]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{17 r} 7 \overline{17}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| GT23 | $(11 \overline{1})_{\gamma}$ | $(r 0 \overline{1})_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[\overline{5} \overline{12} \overline{17}]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{17} \overline{7} \overline{17 r}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| GT24 | $(\overline{1} 1)_{\gamma}$ | $(\bar{r} \overline{1} 0)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[5 \overline{17} \overline{12}]_{\gamma}$ | $[17 \overline{17 r} \overline{7}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |

## A.5. Inverse Greninger-Troiano (GT')

The transformation $T_{\mathrm{GT}^{\prime} 1}$ is uniquely defined through our unified approach (cf. Section (2) as the transformation that:

- leaves the normal $\mathbf{n}=(\overline{17} \overline{7} 17)_{\gamma}$ and the direction $\mathbf{v}=[101]_{\gamma}$ unrotated,
- has pure stretch component $B_{3}$.

The resulting transformation strain is

$$
T_{\mathrm{GT}^{\prime} 1}=R\left[\iota(r),\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right] R\left[-\psi(r),\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right] B_{3}=R\left[\iota(r),\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right] R_{\mathrm{P}_{2}} B_{3}\right.
$$

where $\iota(r)=\arccos \left(\frac{17^{2} \sqrt{2} \sqrt{2+r^{2}}+7^{2} r}{\sqrt{17^{2}+17^{2}+7^{2}} \sqrt{2 \cdot 17^{2}+7^{2} r^{2}+17^{2} r^{2}}}\right)$. The corresponding OR matrix is

$$
O_{\mathrm{GT}^{\prime} 1}=R\left[45^{\circ},\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right] R\left[\psi(r),\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]\right] R\left[-\iota(r),\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]\right.
$$

which yields the OR
$(\overline{17} \overline{7} 17)_{\gamma} \|(5 \overline{5} 1 \overline{2} r 17)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ and $[101]_{\gamma} \|[11 r]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$.

The application of $\mathcal{P}^{24}$ yields the remaining $23 \mathrm{GT}^{\prime}$ ORs (cf. Table A5).

Table A5: The $\mathrm{GT}^{\prime}$ orientation relationships. The corresponding transformation strain in each row is given by $T_{\mathrm{GT}^{\prime} j}=R\left[\iota(r), P_{j}\left[\begin{array}{lll}0 & 1\end{array}\right] R\left[-\psi(r), P_{j}\left[\begin{array}{lll}0 & 1 & 0\end{array}\right] B_{j}\right.\right.$.

| $\mathrm{OR}^{\text {a }}$ | fcc plane ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | bcc plane ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | fcc direction ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | bcc direction ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | Bain Variant ${ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{GT}^{\prime} 1$ | $(\overline{17} \overline{7} 17)_{\gamma}$ | $(\overline{5 r} \overline{12 r} 17)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[101]_{\gamma}$ | $[11 r]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{GT}^{\prime} 2$ | $(\overline{17} 717)_{\gamma}$ | $(\overline{17} 12 r 5 r)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[\overline{1} 0 \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ | $[\bar{r} \overline{1} \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| $\mathrm{GT}^{\prime} 3$ | $(17 \overline{17} \overline{7})_{\gamma}$ | $(17 \overline{5 r} \overline{12 r})_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[110]_{\gamma}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{rlll} \\ & 11\end{array} \chi_{\alpha^{\prime}}\right.$ | $B_{1}$ |
| $\mathrm{GT}^{\prime} 4$ | $(17 \overline{17} 7)_{\gamma}$ | $(5 \overline{17 r} 12 r){ }_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[\overline{1} 10]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{1} \bar{r} \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{GT}^{\prime} 5$ | $(\overline{7} 17 \overline{17})_{\gamma}$ | $(\overline{12 r} 17 \overline{5 r})_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[011]_{\gamma}$ | $[1 r 1]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{GT}^{\prime} 6$ | $(717 \overline{17})_{\gamma}$ | $(12 r 5 r \overline{17})_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[0 \overline{1} \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{1} \overline{1} \bar{r}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{GT}^{\prime} 7$ | $(\overline{17} \overline{7} \overline{17})_{\gamma}$ | $(\overline{17} \overline{12 r} \overline{5 r})_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[\overline{1} 01]_{\gamma}$ | $[\bar{r} 11]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| $\mathrm{GT}^{\prime} 8$ | $(\overline{17} 7 \overline{17})_{\gamma}$ | $(\overline{5 r} 12 r \overline{17})_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[10 \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ | $[1 \overline{1} r]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{GT}^{\prime} 9$ | $(1717 \overline{7})_{\gamma}$ | $(5 r 17 \overline{12 r})_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[\overline{1} 10]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{1} r 1]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{GT}^{\prime} 10$ | $(17177)_{\gamma}$ | $(175 r 12 r)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[1 \overline{1} 0]_{\gamma}$ | $[r \overline{1} \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| $\mathrm{GT}^{\prime} 11$ | $(7 \overline{17} 17)_{\gamma}$ | $(12 r \overline{5 r} 17)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[011]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{1} 1 r]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{GT}^{\prime} 12$ | $(\overline{7} \overline{17} 17)_{\gamma}$ | $(\overline{12 r} \overline{17} 5 r)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[0 \overline{1} \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ | $[1 \bar{r} \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{GT}^{\prime} 13$ | $(177 \overline{17})_{\gamma}$ | $(1712 r \overline{5 r})_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{lllll}1 & 1\end{array}\right]_{\gamma}$ | $[r \overline{1} 1]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| $\mathrm{GT}^{\prime} 14$ | $(17 \overline{7} \overline{17})_{\gamma}$ | $(5 r \overline{12 r} \overline{17})_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[\overline{1} 0 \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{1} 1 \bar{r}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{GT}^{\prime} 15$ | $(\overline{17} \overline{17} \overline{7})_{\gamma}$ | $(\overline{5 r} \overline{17} \overline{12 r})_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[1 \overline{1} 0]_{\gamma}$ | $[1 \bar{r} 1]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{GT}^{\prime} 16$ | $(\overline{17} \overline{17} 7)_{\gamma}$ | $(\overline{17} \overline{5 r} 12 r)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[\overline{1} 10]_{\gamma}$ | $\left[\begin{array}{llll}\bar{r} & 1\end{array}\right]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| $\mathrm{GT}^{\prime} 17$ | $(\overline{7} 1717)_{\gamma}$ | $(\overline{12 r} 5 r 17)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[0 \overline{1} 1]_{\gamma}$ | $\left.\left[\begin{array}{lll}1 & 1\end{array}\right]\right]^{\prime}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{GT}^{\prime} 18$ | $(71717)_{\gamma}$ | $(12 r 175 r)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[01 \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{1} r \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{GT}^{\prime} 19$ | $(17 \overline{7} 17)_{\gamma}$ | $(17 \overline{12 r} 5 r)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[\overline{1} 01]_{\gamma}$ | $[r 1 \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| $\mathrm{GT}^{\prime} 20$ | $(17717)_{\gamma}$ | $(5 r 12 r 17)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[10 \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{1} \overline{1} r]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{GT}^{\prime} 21$ | $(\overline{17} 177)_{\gamma}$ | $(\overline{5 r} 1712 r)_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[\overline{1} \overline{1} 0]_{\gamma}$ | $[1 r \overline{1}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{GT}^{\prime} 22$ | $(\overline{17} 17 \overline{7})_{\gamma}$ | $(\overline{17} 5 r \overline{12 r})_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[110]_{\gamma}$ | $[\bar{r} \overline{1} 1]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{1}$ |
| $\mathrm{GT}^{\prime} 23$ | $(\overline{7} \overline{17} \overline{17})_{\gamma}$ | $(\overline{12 r} \overline{5 r} \overline{17})_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[0 \overline{1} 1]_{\gamma}$ | $[11 \bar{r}]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{GT}^{\prime} 24$ | $(7 \overline{17} \overline{17})_{\gamma}$ | $(12 r \overline{17} \overline{5 r})_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $[01 \overline{1}]_{\gamma}$ | $[\overline{1} \overline{1} 1]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{2}$ |
| ${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{GT}^{\prime} j$ | ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} P_{j}(\overline{17} \overline{7} 17) \gamma$ | ${ }^{\text {c }} P_{j}(\overline{5 r} \overline{12 r} 17)$ | ${ }^{\text {d }} P_{j}[101]_{\gamma}$ | ${ }^{\mathrm{e}} P_{j}[11 r]_{\alpha^{\prime}}$ | $B_{j}=P_{j} B_{3} P_{j}^{T}$ |

## B. The group $\mathcal{P}^{24}$

The elements of $\mathcal{P}^{24}$ in the standard basis $\left\{\mathbf{e}_{1}, \mathbf{e}_{2}, \mathbf{e}_{3}\right\}$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}=\mathbf{1}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad \quad P_{2}=R\left[180^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{1}-\mathbf{e}_{3}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
& P_{3}=R\left[120^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{1}+\mathbf{e}_{2}+\mathbf{e}_{3}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \quad P_{4}=R\left[180^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{2}-\mathbf{e}_{3}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
& P_{5}=R\left[-120^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{1}+\mathbf{e}_{2}+\mathbf{e}_{3}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \quad P_{6}=R\left[180^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{1}-\mathbf{e}_{2}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right), \\
& P_{7}=R\left[-90^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
& P_{8}=R\left[180^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{1}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right) \text {, } \\
& P_{9}=R\left[180^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{2}+\mathbf{e}_{3}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \quad P_{10}=R\left[-120^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{1}-\mathbf{e}_{2}+\mathbf{e}_{3}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
& P_{11}=R\left[90^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{3}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad P_{12}=R\left[120^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{1}+\mathbf{e}_{2}-\mathbf{e}_{3}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
& P_{13}=R\left[180^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{1}+\mathbf{e}_{3}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \quad P_{14}=R\left[180^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right), \\
& P_{15}=R\left[90^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{1}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad P_{16}=R\left[-120^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{1}+\mathbf{e}_{2}-\mathbf{e}_{3}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
& P_{17}=R\left[-90^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{3}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad P_{18}=R\left[120^{\circ},-\mathbf{e}_{1}+\mathbf{e}_{2}+\mathbf{e}_{3}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
& P_{19}=R\left[90^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{2}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad \quad P_{20}=R\left[180^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{3}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \\
& P_{21}=R\left[-90^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{1}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad P_{22}=R\left[-120^{\circ},-\mathbf{e}_{1}+\mathbf{e}_{2}+\mathbf{e}_{3}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & -1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
& P_{23}=R\left[180^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{1}+\mathbf{e}_{2}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right), \quad P_{24}=R\left[120^{\circ}, \mathbf{e}_{1}-\mathbf{e}_{2}+\mathbf{e}_{3}\right]=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$
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[^0]:    *konstantinos.koumatos@gssi.infn.it ${ }^{\dagger}$ muehlemann@maths.ox.ac.uk

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Henceforth, we adopt the convention from Nis78 of using the symbol $\alpha^{\prime}$ for the low temperature phase of steels irrespectively of whether it is cubic or tetragonal.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ As is commonly asserted in the literature, we make the identification $-a=\bar{a} .{ }^{3}$ Note that since $\left\{\mathbf{f}_{1}, \mathbf{f}_{2}, \mathbf{f}_{3}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis it coincides with its reciprocal basis, i.e. $[a b c]=(a b c)$.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ Recall that $x \propto y$ if there is a constant $c$ such that $x=c y$.

[^4]:    5 Nevertheless, $P_{3}$ is not a lattice invariant rotation for the resulting bct lattice.

[^5]:    ${ }^{6} P=P_{3}$ for $j \in\{1,2,3\}, P=P_{18}$ for $j \in\{4,5,6\}, P=P_{24}$ for $j \in\{7,8,9\}$ and $P=P_{12}$ for $j \in\{10,11,12\}$

[^6]:    ${ }^{8}$ For an invertible matrix $A, v$ is an eigenvector of $\operatorname{cof} A$ iff it is an eigenvector of $A^{T}$. ${ }^{9}$ Related experiments on $\mathrm{Fe}-7 \% \mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{C}$ in [WW71] showed that the tetragonality does not increase for carbon above $2 \%$.

[^7]:    10 Note that $r=\sqrt{2}$ corresponds to an fcc lattice and thus there is no phase transformation.

[^8]:    ${ }^{11}$ In Pit59 a third parallelism $[1 \overline{1} 0]_{\gamma} \|[\overline{1} \overline{1} 1]$ is provided, which is not required for our derivation but, nevertheless, follows from it.

