INTEGRAL COHOMOLOGY GROUPS OF REAL TORIC MANIFOLDS
AND SMALL COVERS

LI CAI AND SUYOUNG CHOI

Abstract. For a simplicial complex \( K \) with \( m \) vertices, there is a canonical \( \mathbb{Z}_2^n \)-space known as a real moment angle complex \( \mathbb{R}Z_K \). In this paper, we consider the quotient spaces \( Y = \mathbb{R}Z_K/\mathbb{Z}_2^n \), where \( K \) is a pure shellable complex and \( \mathbb{Z}_2^n \subset \mathbb{Z}_m^n \) is a maximal free action on \( \mathbb{R}Z_K \). A typical example of such spaces is a small cover, where a small cover is known as a topological analog of a real toric manifold. We compute the integral cohomology group of \( Y \) by using the PL cell decomposition obtained from a shelling of \( K \). In addition, we compute the Bockstein spectral sequence of \( Y \) explicitly.
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1. Introduction

One of the most important classes in toric geometry is a class of projective non-singular complete toric varieties. A toric variety is an algebraic normal variety that admits an action of \((\mathbb{C}^*)^n\), with an open dense orbit, where \( \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \). A non-singular complete toric variety is simply called a toric manifold. The fundamental theorem says that a projective toric manifold \( X \) of complex dimension \( n \) can be represented by an \( n \)-dimensional simple convex polytope \( P_X \) in the Euclidean space \( \mathbb{R}^n \) such that, at each vertex, the outgoing normal vectors of facets containing the vertex become part of a \( \mathbb{Z}^n \)-basis.

Another important object in toric geometry is the real locus of a toric manifold \( X \), denoted by \( X^\mathbb{R} \). We simply call \( X^\mathbb{R} \) a real toric manifold. It is known that \( X^\mathbb{R} \) is a real variety and a smooth manifold. If \( X \) is a projective toric manifold associated to \( P_X \) of dimension \( n \), then \( X^\mathbb{R} \) is completely determined as a variety from partial information of \( P_X \). More precisely, if \( P_X \) has \( m \) facets \( F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_m \), then \( X^\mathbb{R} \) is determined by a pair of simplicial complex \( K_X \) and a linear map \( \lambda_X : \mathbb{Z}_2^m \to \mathbb{Z}_2^n \) such that:
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• $K_X$ is the boundary complex of $P_X$, that is, the vertex set of $K_X$ is $[m] = \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ and $\sigma = \{i_1, \ldots, i_k\} \in K_X$ if and only if $\bigcap_{i \in \sigma} F_i \neq \emptyset$; and

• $\lambda_X(e_i)$ is congruent to the normal vector of $F_i$ modulo 2 for $i = 1, \ldots, m$, where $e_i$ is the $i$th canonical vector in $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$; it is called the (mod 2) characteristic function of $X^\mathbb{R}$.

For an abstract simplicial complex $K$ on $[m]$, a linear map $\lambda: \mathbb{Z}_2^m \to \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ is said to satisfy the non-singularity condition over $K$ if for each $\sigma = \{i_1, \ldots, i_k\} \in K$, $\lambda(e_{i_1}), \ldots, \lambda(e_{i_k})$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Z}_2$. We remark that $\lambda_X$ satisfies the non-singularity condition over $K_X$.

From the viewpoint of topologists, the topology of $X^\mathbb{R}$ is more complicated than the topology of $X$. For instance, $X$ is simply connected whereas $X^\mathbb{R}$ is never simply connected, and the integral cohomology ring of $X$ can be described beautifully as a quotient of the polynomial rings whereas the integral cohomology ring of $X^\mathbb{R}$ remains unknown.

Indeed, although the cohomology formula of a projective toric manifold $X$ has been well-established since the late 1970s due to Jurkiewicz [14] (and Danilov [9]), only partial results of cohomology of $X^\mathbb{R}$ have been obtained. In general, the real locus of a complex variety has a rather more complicated topology than the original. Thus, the computation of the cohomology of the real varieties in specific cases is always a good challenge to topologists (cf. [12]). Hence, numerous studies have attempted to compute the integral cohomology group of real toric varieties in the last decades.

Throughout this paper, $H^*(X; R)$ and $\tilde{H}^*(X; R)$ denote the (ordinary) cohomology and the reduced cohomology of $X$ with the coefficient $R$, respectively. In 1985, $H^*(X^R; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ was computed by Jurkiewicz [15]. In their unpublished paper [21] (or see [23]), Suciu and Trevisan presented a formula that describes the additive structure of $H^*(X^R; \mathbb{Q})$, and the formula was confirmed and extended in [8] to the coefficient $\mathbb{Q}_q$, where $q$ is an odd integer. The multiplicative structure of $H^*(X^R; R)$ for $R = \mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{Q}_q$ ($q$ is odd) is also computed in [7].

The main motivation of this paper is to compute the $2^k$-torsion ($k > 1$) of $X^\mathbb{R}$ or, equivalently, to compute the additive structure of $H^*(X^R; \mathbb{Z}_{2^k})$ for $k > 1$. Using the universal coefficient theorem, it enables us to derive the complete formula for the integral cohomology group of $X^\mathbb{R}$.

It is natural to consider a topological generalization of $X^\mathbb{R}$, and compute its cohomology. One significant generalization of $X^\mathbb{R}$ is a small cover introduced in [10]. We note that $X^\mathbb{R}$ admits $(\mathbb{R}^*)^n$-action induced from the $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$-action on $X$, where $\mathbb{R}^* = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. The action of $(\mathbb{R}^*)^n$ induces the action of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2^k\mathbb{Z})^n = \{\pm 1\}^n \subset (\mathbb{R}^*)^n$, and $X^\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ is combinatorially equivalent to $P_X$. A small cover is also a smooth manifold that admits a locally standard $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$-action whose orbit space can be identified with an $n$-dimensional simple convex polytope $P$ with $m$ facets. A small cover can also be classified by a pair of the boundary complex $K$ of $P$ and a characteristic function $\lambda: \mathbb{Z}_2^n \to \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ satisfying the non-singularity condition over $K$. It should be noted that the boundary complex $K$ of a simple convex polytope $P$ is shellable [3].

More generally, let $K$ be an abstract simplicial complex on $[m]$. The real moment-angle complex $\mathbb{R}Z_K$ of $K$ is the polyhedral product $(D^n, S^{n-1})^K$ (the details are provided in Section 2) and it admits a $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$-action. For any linear map $\lambda: \mathbb{Z}_2^m \to \mathbb{Z}_2^n$, the kernel $\lambda$ is in $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$ and it acts on $\mathbb{R}Z_K$ as well. The real toric space $M^R(K, \lambda)$ associated to a pair of $K$ and $\lambda$ is defined to be the quotient space $\mathbb{R}Z_K/\ker \lambda$. It is known that a real toric manifold $X^\mathbb{R}$ is a real toric space $M^R(K_X, \lambda_X)$ associated to $K_X$ and $\lambda_X$, and a small cover is also a real toric space associated to a polytopal simplicial complex $K$ and some characteristic function satisfying the non-singularity condition over $K$.

Throughout the paper, we identify the power set of $[m]$ with $\mathbb{Z}_2^m$ in the standard way; each element of $[m]$ corresponds to a non-zero coordinate of $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$. For an element $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$,
\(K_\omega\) is the full subcomplex of \(K\) induced by the subset of \([m]\) associated to \(\omega\). Assume that \(\lambda\) is represented by an \(n \times m\) \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-matrix \(\Lambda\). Then, the subspace spanned by the row vectors of \(\Lambda\) in \(\mathbb{Z}_2^m\) only depends on \(\lambda\). Hence, we denote by \(\text{row } \lambda\) the row space of \(\Lambda\).

Among real toric spaces, in this paper, we mainly focus on the real toric spaces \(Y\) associated to a pure shellable complex \(K\) on \([m]\) whose dimension is \(n - 1\), and a characteristic function \(\lambda: \mathbb{Z}_2^m \to \mathbb{Z}_2^n\) satisfying the non-singularity condition over \(K\). Then, \(\text{ker } \lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^m\) acts on \(\mathbb{R}Z_K\) freely, and there is no subgroup of \(\mathbb{Z}_2^m\) that acts on \(\mathbb{R}Z_K\) freely and contains \(\ker \lambda\) as a proper subgroup. Hence, \(Y\) can be understood as the quotient spaces \(\mathbb{R}Z_K/\mathbb{Z}_2^n\), where \(K\) is a pure shellable complex and \(\mathbb{Z}_2^n \subset \mathbb{Z}_2^m\) is a maximal free action on \(\mathbb{R}Z_K\).

We firstly show that a shelling of \(K\) gives a cell structure on \(Y\) (up to homotopy) such that each dual cell (as a cellular chain) is a mod 2 cocycle and all dual cells give the basis for \(H^*(Y; \mathbb{Z}_2)\). In the case when \(K\) is polytopal, this cell structure specializes to the one given in [10] by a Morse function (see Remark 2.6). In addition, with integer coefficients, we construct that the corresponding PL cellular cochain complex \((C^*(Y), d)\) of \(Y\) is cochain-homotopy equivalent to a chain complex \((\overline{C}^*_\lambda, 2d')\), where \((\overline{C}^*_\lambda, d')\) is a direct sum of the cellular cochain complexes of \(K_\omega\) for \(\omega \in \text{row } \lambda\) (see Theorem 5.2). This is done by a detailed comparison of the cellular cochains of \(Y\) and that of its covering space \(\mathbb{R}Z_K\), using transfer homomorphisms at the cocycle level (see Section 3). Thus, we have the following theorem immediately.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \(K\) be a pure shellable simplicial complex on \([m]\), the dimension of which is \(n - 1\), and let \(\lambda: \mathbb{Z}_2^m \to \mathbb{Z}_2^n\) be a characteristic function satisfying the non-singularity condition over \(K\). Let \(Y = M^\mathbb{R}(K, \lambda)\) be the real toric space associated to \(K\) and \(\lambda\), and let \(\overline{C}^*_\lambda\) be the group \(\bigoplus_{\omega \in \text{row } \lambda} \overline{H}^i(K_\omega; \mathbb{Z})\), \(i \geq -1\), and let \(p\) be an odd prime, where \(\overline{H}^{-1}(K_\omega; \mathbb{Z})\) is non-trivial only when \(\omega\) is a zero vector, which is infinite cyclic. Then:

\[(1)\] the \(\mathbb{Z}\)-summands (respectively \(\mathbb{Z}_p\)-summands, \(k \geq 1\)) of \(\overline{C}^*_\lambda\) are in one-to-one correspondence with that of \(H^{i+1}(Y; \mathbb{Z})\); and

\[(2)\] for \(k \geq 1\), the \(\mathbb{Z}_p\)-summands of \(\overline{C}^*_\lambda\) are in one-to-one correspondence with the \(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{k+1}}\)-summands of \(H^{i+1}(Y; \mathbb{Z})\).

It should be noted that the result itself of (1) in the above main theorem is already known in [21, 8], whereas the result of (2) is new. However, our piecewise linear (PL) cell structure of \(Y\) (in Section 3) provides a new (topological) proof of it, and it can provide more information such as Theorem 1.1 below.

The most important corollary of Theorem 1.1 is that it allows us to compute the integral cohomology group \(H^*(Y; \mathbb{Z})\) of \(Y\) in terms of \(K\) and \(\lambda\). The formula of the \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-cohomology ring of \(Y\) has been established by Davis and Januszkiewicz [10] Theorem 5.12 (or Proposition 2.3 below). Remarkably, the additive structure of \(H^*(Y; \mathbb{Z}_2)\) only depends on \(K\). The \(i\)th \(\mathbb{Z}_2\)-Betti number of \(Y\) is equal to the \(i\)th component of the \(h\)-vector of \(K\). Therefore, by combining this with the main theorem, according to the universal coefficient theorem for the cohomology, one can compute the integral cohomology group of \(Y\) in terms of \(K\) and \(\lambda\), as well as the real locus of a projective toric manifold and a small cover.

**Corollary 1.2.** The integral cohomology group \(H^*(Y; \mathbb{Z})\) of \(Y\) is completely determined by the reduced cohomology group of \(K_\omega\) (for \(\omega \in \text{row } \lambda\)) and the \(h\)-vector of \(K\).

**Remark 1.3.** Even if a toric manifold \(X\) is non-projective, the corresponding real toric manifold \(X^\mathbb{R}\) becomes a real toric space, namely, it can be represented by a pair of \(K_X\) and \(\lambda_X\). In this case, however, \(K_X\) is not necessarily polytopal (see [22]), whereas it is always star-shaped. A real toric space associated to a pair of a star-shaped simplicial complex \(K\) on \([m]\) whose dimension is \(n - 1\) and a characteristic map \(\lambda: \mathbb{Z}_2^m \to \mathbb{Z}_2^n\) satisfying the non-singularity condition is known as a topological real toric manifold introduced in [13]. Hence, a topological real toric manifold is a topological generalization of real toric
manifold. As mentioned in [25, p. 279], there is an old question that asks whether or not every star-shaped complex is shellable. If the answer to the question is affirmative in general, then our formula in Theorem 1.1 would cover all topological real toric manifolds, as well as all ordinary real toric manifolds.

Theorem 1.1 provides many interesting corollaries on the torsion elements of real toric manifolds and small covers. It should be mentioned that, for any integer $\ell \geq 2$, there is a real toric manifold $X^{\mathbb{R}}$ (which is the real locus of projective toric manifold $X$) and an element $\omega \in \text{row } \lambda_X$ such that $K_\omega$ has an $\ell$-torsion element in the cohomology. It was proved in [8, Theorem 5.10] only when $\ell$ is an odd number, but the proof, essentially, can be applicable for arbitrary integers. Therefore, we have the following important corollaries.

**Corollary 1.4.** For any integer $\ell > 1$, there are infinitely many real toric manifolds and small covers whose integral cohomology groups have an $\ell$-torsion element.

We also remark that Theorem 1.1 provides a simple criterion for $Y$ to have $\ell(> 3)$-torsion elements.

**Corollary 1.5.** The following statements are equivalent:

1. $H^*(Y; \mathbb{Z})$ is either torsion-free or has only two-torsion elements;
2. $H^*(K_\omega; \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion-free, for all $\omega \in \text{row } \lambda$.

If $K$ is the boundary complex of a simple $n$-polytope, each $K_\omega$ must be homotopy equivalent to a disjoint union of finitely many punctured spheres of dimension $n - 1$. By Alexander duality, one can see that a simplicial complex with torsion in cohomology cannot be a subcomplex in any triangulation of $S^{n-1}$ for $n \leq 4$. Therefore, $K_\omega$ is torsion-free for arbitrary $\omega \subset [m]$ and, hence, the following corollary follows from Corollary 1.5.

**Corollary 1.6.** A small cover of dimension at most four has at most $2$-torsion in the cohomology group.

More precisely, one can compute the complete formula for the cohomology group of three- and four-dimensional small cover $Y$ as in Corollary 1.2. Let $Y^3$ be a three-dimensional small cover $Y^3 = M^\mathbb{R}(K, \lambda)$, where $K$ has $m$ vertices. We call the rank of $H^i(X; \mathbb{Q})$ the $i$th reduced Betti number of $X$. Denote by $b$ the sum of the zeroth reduced Betti number of $K_\omega$ for $\omega \in \text{row } \lambda$. Since there are $2^3 - 1 = 7$ non-zero elements in row $\lambda$, $b + 7$ is the sum of the number of connected components of $K_\omega$ for non-zero $\omega$s. Hence, $b$ can be computed combinatorially.

We have the following table (compare with [23, Proposition VII.1.3]):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$H^i(Y^3; \mathbb{Z})$</th>
<th>Orientable</th>
<th>Non-orientable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$i = 0$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i = 1$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}^b$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i = 2$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}^b \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{m-3-b}_2$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}^{b-1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{m-3-b}_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i = 3$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Let $Y^4$ be a four-dimensional small cover $Y^4 = M^\mathbb{R}(K, \lambda)$, where $K$ has $m$ vertices. Denote by $b$, $c$, and $d$ the sum of the zeroth, first, and second reduced Betti number of $K_\omega$ for $\omega \in \text{row } \lambda$, respectively. Then, we have the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$H^i(Y^4; \mathbb{Z})$</th>
<th>Orientable</th>
<th>Non-orientable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$i = 0$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i = 1$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}^b$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}^b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i = 2$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}^b \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{m-4-b}_{2}$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}^{c} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{m-4-b}_{2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i = 3$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}^b \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{m-4-b}_{2}$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}^{d} \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{m-5-d}_{2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i = 4$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}$</td>
<td>$\mathbb{Z}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In hyperbolic geometry, there is one important class of hyperbolic 3-spaces, called hyperbolic 3-manifolds of L"obell type, introduced by Vesnin [24]. Interestingly, a hyperbolic 3-manifold of L"obell type is a small cover. In general, a three-dimensional small cover $Y = M^R(K, \lambda)$ is hyperbolic if and only if $K$ is flag and it has no chordless 4-cycle. (See the summary in [24, Section 2.5].) It is worth emphasizing that our formula provides a complete integral cohomology formula for hyperbolic three-dimensional small covers.

On the other hand, we note that there is a classical method to recover the $2^k$-torsion elements from $H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, called the Bockstein spectral sequence. For another important result, we calculate explicitly the (higher) mod 2 Bockstein homomorphisms on $H^*(Y; \mathbb{Z}_2)$. See Section 6.

**Theorem 1.7.** Let $K$ be a pure shellable simplicial complex on $[m]$ whose dimension is $n - 1$ and let $\lambda: \mathbb{Z}_2^m \to \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ be a characteristic function satisfying the non-singularity condition over $K$. Let $Y = M^R(K, \lambda)$ be the real toric space associated to $K$ and $\lambda$. In the corresponding Bockstein spectral sequences, $E_2^{i+1}(Y)$ is isomorphic to $\oplus_{\omega \in \text{row } \lambda} \tilde{H}^{i-1}(K_\omega; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, for all $i, k \geq 1$. In particular,

$$E_2^{i+1}(Y) \cong \bigoplus_{\omega \in \text{row } \lambda} \tilde{H}^{i-1}(K_\omega; \mathbb{Z}_2), \quad i \geq 1.$$ 

2. Real Toric Spaces and Shellability

An (abstract) simplicial complex on a finite set $V$ is a collection of subsets of $V$ satisfying:

1. if $v \in V$, then $\{v\} \in K$; and
2. if $\sigma \in K$ and $\tau \subset \sigma$, then $\tau \in K$.

Each element $\sigma \in K$ is called a simplex or a face of $K$, and each element of $V$ is called a vertex of $K$. The dimension of $\sigma$ is defined by $\dim \sigma = \text{card } \sigma - 1$, where card $\sigma$ denotes the cardinality of $\sigma$. The dimension of $K$ is defined by $\dim K = \max \{\dim \sigma \mid \sigma \in K\}$.

If an abstract simplicial complex $K$ is isomorphic with the vertex scheme of the simplicial complex $K'$, then $K'$ is said to be a geometric realization of $K$, and is uniquely determined up to a linear isomorphism. Throughout this paper, we do not distinguish a simplicial complex and its geometric realization. A simplicial complex $K$ is said to be a simplicial sphere of dimension $n - 1$ if it can be realized by a triangulation of the $(n-1)$-dimensional sphere. A simplicial sphere $K$ of dimension $n - 1$ is said to be polytopal if it can be realized by the boundary complex of a convex simple polytope of dimension $n$.

Let $K$ be a simplicial complex on $[m] = \{1, \ldots, m\}$ and let $(X, A)$ be a pair of topological spaces. The polyhedral product $(X, A)^K$ is defined as follows:

$$(X, A)^K := \bigcup_{\sigma \in K} \{(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in X^m \mid x_i \in A \text{ if } i \notin \sigma\}.$$ 

In particular, for an interval $D^1 = [0, 1]$ and its boundary $S^0 = \{0, 1\}$, the polyhedral product $(D^1, S^0)^K$ is known as the real moment-angle complex of $K$, and it is simply denoted by $\mathbb{R}Z_K$. It should be mentioned that there is a canonical $\mathbb{Z}^m_2$-action on $\mathbb{R}Z_K$ that comes from the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-action on the pair $(D^1, S^0)$. It is well-known that $\mathbb{R}Z_K$ is a topological manifold if $K$ is a simplicial sphere, and $\mathbb{R}Z_K$ is a smooth manifold if $K$ is polytopal (cf. [4, Section 2]).

For $\ell < m$, let $\lambda: \mathbb{Z}^m_2 \to \mathbb{Z}^\ell_2$ be a linear map. Then, the kernel $\text{ker } \lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}^m_2$ also acts on $\mathbb{R}Z_K$. The real toric space $M^R(K, \lambda)$ associated to $K$ and $\lambda$ is defined to be

$$M^R(K, \lambda) := \mathbb{R}Z_K / \text{ker } \lambda.$$ 

It should be noted that $\text{ker } \lambda$ acts on $\mathbb{R}Z_K$ freely if $\lambda$ satisfies the non-singularity condition over $K$; if for each $\sigma = \{i_1, \ldots, i_k\} \in K$, $\lambda(e_i_1), \ldots, \lambda(e_i_k)$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Z}_2$ (cf. [6, Lemma 3.1]). Therefore, under the assumption that $\lambda$ satisfies the non-singularity
condition over \( K \), \( M^R(K, \lambda) \) is a topological manifold (respectively, smooth manifold) if \( K \) is a simplicial sphere (respectively, \( K \) is polytopal) as well.

Hence, we are usually interested in the case when \( K \) is a simplicial (or polytopal) sphere of dimension \( n-1 \) and \( \lambda : \mathbb{Z}^m_2 \to \mathbb{Z}^n_2 \) satisfies the non-singularity condition over \( K \). As the non-singularity implies \( \ell \geq n \), we are particularly interested in the case when \( \ell = n \). In this case, \( \lambda \) is called a characteristic function of \( M^R(K, \lambda) \). Real toric manifolds and small covers introduced in the introduction provide such examples. Indeed, if \( K \) and \( \lambda \) came from the normal fan of a simple convex polytope, then the corresponding real toric space \( Y = M^R(K, \lambda) \) is a real toric manifold, and if \( K \) is polytopal and \( \ell = n \), then \( Y \) is a small cover.

The non-singularity condition has an algebraic interpretation. Let \( K \) be an abstract simplicial complex on \([m] = \{1, \ldots, m\}\). In the ring \( \mathbb{Z}_2[x_1, \ldots, x_m] \) of polynomials with \( \deg x_i = 1 \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, m \), we consider the ideal \( I_K \) generated by square-free monomials \( x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k} \) for all \( \{i_1, \ldots, i_k\} \notin K \). The quotient \( \mathbb{Z}_2[x_1, \ldots, x_m]/I_K \) is called the Stanley–Reisner ring or the face ring of \( K \) over \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \), and it is denoted by \( \mathbb{Z}_2[K] \).

Let \( K \) be a simplicial complex whose Krull dimension is \( n \), that is, there exist homogeneous polynomials \( \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n \) that are algebraically independent, such that \( \mathbb{Z}_2[K] \) is a finitely generated \( \mathbb{Z}_2[\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n] \)-module. Such a sequence \( \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n \) is called a homogeneous system of parameters (h.s.o.p.). If, in addition, \( \mathbb{Z}_2[K] \) is a free \( \mathbb{Z}_2[\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n] \)-module, then \( \mathbb{Z}_2[K] \) is Cohen–Macaulay, and we say that \( K \) is a Cohen–Macaulay complex. By a result of Reisner [13], \( \mathbb{Z}_2[K] \) is Cohen–Macaulay if and only if \( \tilde{H}_i(K; \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0 \) for all \( i < n-1 \), and \( \tilde{H}_i(\text{Link}(\sigma, K); \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0 \) for all \( i < \dim \text{Link}(\sigma, K) \).

If all \( \theta_i \) are linear, then it is called a linear system of parameters (l.s.o.p.) of \( \mathbb{Z}_2[K] \). The non-singularity of \( \lambda \) implies that \( \mathbb{Z}_2[K] \) has an l.s.o.p., and vice versa. Assume that \( \lambda \) can be represented by an \( n \times m \) \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-matrix \( \Lambda \) whose \( i \)th row vector is \( \lambda_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m \). We recall that in this paper, we regard an element of \( \mathbb{Z}_2^m \) as a subset of \([m]\) by standard identification. We write

\[
dl_{\lambda_i} = \sum_{k \in \lambda_i} x_k, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \ldots, n.
\]

The following criterion is useful.

**Proposition 2.1** (Cf. [21] pp. 81–82). The following statements are equivalent:

(1) \( \lambda : \mathbb{Z}^m_2 \to \mathbb{Z}^n_2 \) is a characteristic function that satisfies the non-singularity condition over \( K \);

(2) \( l_{\lambda_1}, \ldots, l_{\lambda_n} \) is an l.s.o.p. of \( \mathbb{Z}_2[K] \) associated to \( \lambda \).

Interestingly, the Stanley–Reisner ring \( \mathbb{Z}_2[K] \) and its quotient by l.s.o.p. are realized by the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-cohomology ring of some specific topological spaces corresponding to the real toric space. We note that \( Y = M^R(K, \lambda) \) has the \( \mathbb{Z}^n_2 \)-action inherited from the \( \mathbb{Z}^m_2 \)-action of \( \mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_K \). Now, let us consider the Borel construction \( BK = E\mathbb{Z}^n_2 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} Y \) of \( Y = M^R(K, \lambda) \) with respect to the \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \)-action. Then, we have a fiber bundle

\[
Y \longrightarrow BK \longrightarrow B\mathbb{Z}^n_2.
\]

Let \((\mathbb{R}P^\infty, *)\) be the infinite real projective space with a base point.

**Proposition 2.2** (Cf. [13]). Up to homotopy, \( BK = (\mathbb{R}P^\infty, *)^K \). Moreover, the mod 2 cohomology of \( BK \) is isomorphic to the Stanley–Reisner ring of \( K \), namely

\[
H^*(BK; \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2[x_1, \ldots, x_m]/I_K \quad (\deg x_i = 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, m),
\]

where the Stanley–Reisner ideal \( I_K \) is generated by square-free monomials of the form \( x_\tau = \prod_{i \in \tau} x_i, \tau \notin K \).

The quotient ring \( \mathbb{Z}_2[K]/(l_{\lambda_1}, \ldots, l_{\lambda_n}) \) has the following topological interpretation.
Proposition 2.3 (Cf. [10, Theorem 5.12]). When \( K \) is a Cohen–Macaulay complex admitting a characteristic function \( \lambda \), the inclusion \( Y \to BK \) in the fibration (2.1) induces a surjection in mod 2 cohomology, and we have an isomorphism

\[
H^*(Y; \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2[K]/(l_{\lambda_1}, \ldots, l_{\lambda_n})
\]

of graded rings.

A simplicial complex is said to be pure if its maximal simplices (also simply called facets) all have the same dimension. For a pure simplicial complex \( K \) of dimension \( n-1 \), an ordering \( \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s \) of the facets of \( K \) is called a shelling if the complex \( B_j := \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{j-1} \sigma_i \right) \cap \sigma_j \) is pure and \((n-2)\)-dimensional for all \( j = 2, \ldots, s \). If \( K \) admits a shelling, then \( K \) is said to be shellable. It is a well-known fact that a pure shellable simplicial complex is Cohen–Macaulay. For a shellable simplicial complex \( K \), there is a natural filtration \( \sigma_1 = K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \cdots \subset K_s = K \) such that \( K_{j+1} = K_j \cup \sigma_{j+1} \). For each \( j \), we have a minimal face \( r(\sigma_{j+1}) \) among all faces of \( K_{j+1} \) that are not contained in \( K_j \), called the restriction of \( \sigma_{j+1} \), which is unique. Formally, we set

\[
r(\sigma_1) = \emptyset, \quad x_r(\sigma_1) = 1,
\]

where \( x_\tau = \prod_{i \in \tau} x_i \) for \( \tau \subset [m] \).

Throughout this section, we assume that \( K \) is a pure shellable simplicial complex on \([m]\) of dimension \( n-1 \) such that \( \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s \) is a shelling of \( K \) and its filtration is \( \sigma_1 = K_1 \subset \cdots \subset K_s = K \). We also assume that \( \lambda: \mathbb{Z}^m_2 \to \mathbb{Z}^n_2 \) is a characteristic function satisfying the non-singularity condition over \( K \) and \( \lambda \) is the real toric space associated to \( K \) and \( \lambda \).

The following basis for \( H^*(Y; \mathbb{Z}_2) \) is convenient.

Proposition 2.4 (Cf. [20, pp. 82–83]). Let \( \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s \) be a shelling of \( K \) with characteristic function \( \lambda \). Then \( \mathbb{Z}_2[K] \) is a free \( \mathbb{Z}_2[l_{\lambda_1}, \ldots, l_{\lambda_n}] \)-module with basis \( \{x_{r(\sigma_j)}\}_{j=1}^s \).

Recall that for \( 0 \leq k \leq n \), an \( n \)-dimensional \( k \)-handle \( W \) on a PL manifold \( X \) is a copy of \((D^1)^k \times (D^1)^{n-k}\), attached to the boundary \( \partial X \) via a PL embedding \( f: \partial(D^1)^k \times (D^1)^{n-k} \to \partial X \), where \( D^1 = [0, 1] \) is an interval. The number \( k \) is called the index of the handle. It turns out that the union \( X \cup_f W \) is again a PL \( n \)-manifold (cf. [19, Chapter 6]).

For \( \sigma \subset [m] \), let \( I_\sigma \subset (D^1)^m \) be the cube whose \( i \)-th component is either \( D^1 \) if \( i \in \sigma \), or \{1\} otherwise, and \( B_\sigma = (D^1)^m / \langle S^0 \rangle^{2^m} \). Clearly \( I_\sigma = \text{card}(\sigma) \). We set \( \mathbb{R}Z_1 = B_{\sigma_1} \).

As \( \lambda|_{\sigma_1} \) is non-degenerate, \( \mathbb{R}Z_1 \) coincides with the orbit of \( I_{\sigma_1} \) under the action of \( \ker \lambda \), and the orbit map \( \pi \) maps \( I_{\sigma_1} \) homeomorphically onto \( Y_1 \). For \( j = 1, \ldots, s-1 \), \( \mathbb{R}Z_{j+1} \) is obtained from \( \mathbb{R}Z_j \) by attaching \( B_{\sigma_{j+1}} \), a disjoint union of \( 2^{m-n} \) cubes of dimension \( n \).

Consider the cube

\[
I_{\sigma_{j+1}} = I_r(\sigma_{j+1}) \times I_{\sigma_{j+1}\backslash r(\sigma_{j+1})}
\]

in \( \mathbb{R}Z_{j+1} \). Then, the non-degeneracy of \( \lambda|_{\sigma_{j+1}} \) implies that \( B_{\sigma_{j+1}} \) is the orbit of \( I_{\sigma_{j+1}} \) under \( \ker \lambda \), which are attached along the orbit of \( \partial I_r(\sigma_{j+1}) \times I_{\sigma_{j+1}\backslash r(\sigma_{j+1})} \). Moreover, this attachment is along the topological boundary \( \partial \mathbb{R}Z_j \) if and only if \( \sigma_{j+1} \) is attached along the topological boundary \( \partial K_j \). The orbit map \( \pi \) maps \( I_{\sigma_{j+1}} \) homeomorphically onto its image in \( M_{j+1} \), which is a PL embedding into \( M_j \) when restricted to \( \partial I_r(\sigma_{j+1}) \times I_{\sigma_{j+1}\backslash r(\sigma_{j+1})} \).

Suppose the cardinality of \( r(\sigma_j) \) is \( k_j \). The next proposition follows from an induction on \( j = 1, \ldots, s-1 \) (see Example 2.3 for an illustration).

Proposition 2.5. The filtration \( Y_1 \subset \cdots \subset Y_s \) gives a PL handle decomposition of \( Y \), where \( Y_1 = (D^1)^m \), \( Y_s = Y \) and \( Y_{j+1} \) is obtained from \( Y_j \) by attaching a handle of index \( k_{j+1} \), provided that \( K_{j+1} \) is obtained by attaching \( \sigma_{j+1} \) along \( \partial K_j \) for \( j = 1, \ldots, s-1 \). In general, \( Y_{j+1} \) is obtained from \( Y_j \) by attaching a cell of dimension \( k_{j+1} \), up to homotopy.
Remark 2.6. When $K$ is polytopal, that is, $K$ is the boundary complex of a convex polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, one can choose a generic linear function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ such that the gradient of $f$ gives an ordering $v_1, \ldots, v_s$ of vertices of $P$, as well as an orientation for each edge of $P$. As a vertex $v$ of $P$ corresponds to a facet $\sigma$ of $K$, and the $n$ edges around $v$ correspond to the $n$ facets of $\sigma$, $f$ gives a shelling $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s$ of $K$. In this case, we can use the smooth structure of $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ to smooth the PL handles of $Y$, which coincides with that given in [10, pp. 431–432]. Let $p : Y \to P$ be the orbit map associated to the $\mathbb{Z}_2$-action on $Y$. Then, it can be checked directly that $\pi : \mathbb{R}Z_K \to Y$ maps the interior of $I_\sigma$, homeomorphically onto a neighborhood $p^{-1}(U_i)$, $U_i$ a neighborhood of $v_i \in P$, where the closure of $p^{-1}(U_i)$ is the smooth handle from the Morse function.

Remark 2.7. It is a known fact in PL topology that, if each attaching of $\sigma_{j+1}$ is along $\partial K_j$ (in the shelling), then the geometric realization of $K$ is either a PL sphere or a PL disk of dimension $n - 1$, depending on whether the attaching of the last facet $\sigma_s$ is along its whole boundary or not. Therefore, when $K$ is shellable (and admits a characteristic function), $\mathbb{R}Z_K$ (respectively, $Y$) is a closed PL $n$-manifold if and only if $K$ is a PL $(n-1)$-sphere. It should be noted that, in fact, the assumption of shellability is not essential. See [5, Theorem 2.3].

Let $l_{\lambda_1}|_j, \ldots, l_{\lambda_n}|_j$ be the image under $Z_2[K] \to Z_2[K_j]$ of $l_{\lambda_1}, \ldots, l_{\lambda_n}$, the l.s.o.p. associated to the characteristic function $\lambda$ (see Proposition 2.4), which is induced by the inclusion $K_j \to K$.

Proposition 2.8. The ring $Z_2[K_j]$ is a free $Z_2[l_{\lambda_1}|_j, \ldots, l_{\lambda_n}|_j]$-module with basis $\{x_{r(\sigma_i)}\}_{1 \leq i}$, and $H^*(Y_j; Z_2)$ is isomorphic to

$$Z_2[K_j]/(l_{\lambda_1}|_j, \ldots, l_{\lambda_n}|_j) \cong Z_2[K]/(l_{\lambda_1}, \ldots, l_{\lambda_n}, x_{r(\sigma_{j+1})}, \ldots, x_{r(\sigma_s)})$$

as graded rings.

Proof. Note that $K_j$ is shellable, thus is Cohen–Macaulay. The first statement follows from Proposition 2.4. The second follows from Proposition 2.6, together with the observation that $Z_2[K] \to Z_2[K_j]$ coincides with $H^*(BK; Z_2) \to H^*(BK_j; Z_2)$, which is induced from the inclusion $BK_j \to BK$ (see Proposition 2.2). \qed

3. The cochain complex of $Y$

Let $K$ be a pure shellable simplicial complex on $[m]$ whose dimension is $n - 1$ and let $\lambda : \mathbb{Z}_2^n \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ be a characteristic function satisfying the non-singularity condition over $K$. Let $Y = M^R(K, \lambda)$ be the real toric space associated to $K$ and $\lambda$. This section is devoted to the construction of the cochain complexes $(C^*(Y), d)$ of $Y$.

3.1. Oriented cellular chain complex of $Y$. An orientation of a $k$-cell $e$ in a $CW$-complex $X$ is a chosen generator in $H_k(e, \partial e)$, where $\partial e$ is the topological boundary of $e$. An oriented cell $e$ will be denoted by $[e]$, and the boundary $\partial[e]$ of an oriented $k$-cell $[e]$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-linear sum of oriented $(k - 1)$-cells. Denote by $(C_*(X), \partial)$ the cellular chain complex with respect to the cell decomposition of $X$, where we use the notation $\partial$ again for the boundary operator in chain complexes.

We omit the proof of the following standard lemma.

Lemma 3.1. Suppose $X$ is a CW-complex with a (left) action of a finite group $G$ such that

$$\text{for every } g \in G \text{ and every cell } e \subset X, \; g \cdot e \text{ is again a cell of } X.$$ 

Then the cell structure of $X$ descends to that of the orbit space $X/G$. 
If an action of $G$ on $X$ satisfies (3.1), then each transformation $g: X \to X$ is cellular. Thus, it induces a chain map $g_*: (C_*(X), \partial) \to (C_*(X), \partial)$, namely, if $g_*(e) = \varepsilon [g \cdot e]$, where $g_*: H_*(e, \partial e) \to H_*(g \cdot e, \partial(g \cdot e))$ is induced by $g$. Let $\pi: X \to X/G$ be the orbit map. As $\pi$ is cellular, it also induces a chain map $\pi_*: (C_*(X), \partial) \to (C_*(X/G), \partial)$, i.e.,

$$\pi_*(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon [\partial(g \cdot e)].$$

Regarding the interval $D^1 = [0, 1]$ as the CW-complex consisting of two 0-cells 0, 1 and one 1-cell $01$, the chain complex $C_*(D_1)$ is the graded $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\langle 0, 1, 01 \rangle$ such that $\partial(01) = 1 - 0$ and $\partial(1) = \partial(0) = 0$.

We note that the $m$-cube $(D^1)^m$ has a natural CW-structure coming from the Cartesian product operation. More precisely, let $D_1^m \cong [0, 1]^m$ be the $i$th factor of $(D^1)^m = D_1^m \times \cdots \times D_1^m$ that is a CW-complex with two 0-cells $0_i$, 1, and one 1-cell $01_i$. Then every cell of $(D^1)^m$ is given as

$$e = e_1 \times \cdots \times e_m, \quad e_i = 0_i, \quad 1_i,$$ or $01_i$.

Each cell $e$ is called a cubical cell. For a cubical cell $e$, let us define

$$\sigma_e = \{i \mid e_i = 01_i\}, \quad \tau^+_e = \{i \mid e_i = 1\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \tau^-_e = \{i \mid e_i = 0\},$$

which are disjoint subsets with their union $[m]$. For given $i \in \sigma_e$, the $i$th front face $\partial^+_i e$ and the $i$th back face $\partial^-_i e$ are defined as

$$\partial^+_i e = e_1 \times \cdots \times e_{i-1} \times 1_i \times e_{i+1} \times \cdots \times e_m$$

and

$$\partial^-_i e = e_1 \times \cdots \times e_{i-1} \times 0_i \times e_{i+1} \times \cdots \times e_m.$$
Lemma 3.2. Let $\pi: \mathbb{R}Z_K \to Y$ be the orbit map. For each cubical cell $c$ of $\mathbb{R}Z_K$, there exists a unique $g_c \in \ker \lambda$ such that $g_c \cdot c$ is canonical.

Proof. Consider $\tau = \tau_c \setminus f(\sigma_c)$. One can easily see that $g_c \cdot c$ is canonical if $g_c \setminus f(\sigma_c) = \tau$. Without loss of generality, suppose $f(\sigma_c) = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. The non-degeneracy of $\lambda|f(\sigma_c)$ implies that $\ker \lambda$ can be represented as the column space of an $m \times (m - n)$-matrix of the form

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 2 & \cdots & m - n \\
1 & * & * & \cdots & * \\
2 & * & * & \cdots & * \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
n & * & * & \cdots & * \\
n + 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
n + 2 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
m & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1
\end{pmatrix}
$$

Let $v_i$ be the $i$th column vector of $\ker \lambda$. The element $g_c := \sum_{i \in \tau} v_i - n$ satisfies $g_c \setminus f(\sigma_c) = \tau$, which is unique. \qed

By Lemma 3.2, each cell of $Y$ is the image under $\pi$ of a canonical one. For each canonical cell $c$, we assume that the orientation of $\pi c$ is given by $\pi_s([c])$, that is, $|\pi c| = \pi_s([c])$. Then, by (3.2) and (3.3), the boundary operator in $(C_\ast(Y), \partial)$ follows

$$
\partial |\pi c| = \pi_s \partial |c| = \sum_{i \in \sigma_c} (-1)^{(|\sigma_c| - 1)(\pi_s \partial_i^+ c) - \pi_s \partial_i^- c}).
$$

Example 3.3. Let $K$ be a simplicial complex on $\{1, 2, 3\}$ with a shelling $\{1, 2\}, \{2, 3\}$ and $\{1, 3\}$. Their restrictions are $\{\emptyset\}, \{3\}$, and $\{1, 3\}$, respectively. We consider a characteristic function $\lambda$ represented by a matrix \[
(1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1
)\]. Then, it satisfies the non-singularity condition, and its kernel is generated by $(1, 1, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}^3_K$. As $\mathbb{R}Z_K \to Y$ is a double cover of $Y = M^K(K, \lambda)$, one can see that $Y$ is the real projective plane $\mathbb{RP}^2$. We set $I_{12} = 01 \times 01 \times I_{13} \times 1, I_{23} = 1 + 01 \times 01 \times I_{13}, I_{13} = 01 \times 12 \times 01$. One can see that all canonical faces are contained in one of $I_{12}, I_{23},$ and $I_{13}$. All faces of $I_{12}$ are canonical. Among the faces of $I_{23}, \partial_1^{-} I_{23}$ is not canonical. We take $g_{\partial_1^{-} I_{23}}$ as in Lemma 3.2 then $g_{\partial_1^{-} I_{23}} = (1, 1, 1) \in \ker \lambda$ sends $\pi \partial_1^{-} I_{23}$ to $\pi \partial_1^{-} I_{12}$ with the orientation reversed. Likewise, $1$-cells $[\pi \partial_1^{-} I_{13}]$ and $[\pi \partial_1^{-} I_{13}]$ are identified with the image of the canonical ones, $-|\pi \partial_1^{-} I_{12}|$ and $-|\pi \partial_1^{-} I_{23}|$, respectively. Thus, one obtains the cell structure of $Y \cong \mathbb{RP}^2$, as illustrated in Figure 1.

3.2. The transfer and the cochain complex of $Y$. Let $01^*, 1^*$, and $0^*$ be the oriented dual of $[01], [1],$ and $[0]$, respectively. Let $(C_\ast(\mathbb{R}Z_K), d)$ be the cochain complex dual to $(C_\ast(\mathbb{R}Z_K), \partial)$ (with respect to the Hom-functor). We write an oriented dual cell $e^*$ in the form $e^* = e_1^* \otimes \cdots \otimes e_m^*$, if $[e] = [e_1] \otimes \cdots \otimes [e_m]$. For disjoint subsets $\sigma$ and $\tau$ of $[m]$, let $u_{\sigma \tau} \in C_\ast(\mathbb{R}Z_K)$ be the cochain

$$
u_{\sigma \tau} = \alpha_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha_m, \quad \alpha_i = \begin{cases}
01^* & i \in \sigma \\
1_i^* & i \in \tau \\
1_i^* + 0_i^* & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases}
$$

where $\alpha_i$ is the $i$th coordinate}
As both sides of (3.7) give the same evaluation on each cell, they coincide.

\[ \partial \] and (3.8)

Note that if the evaluation is non-zero, then the label \( i \) is uniquely determined by \( e \) and \( e' \). If \( i \in \sigma \), then \( \langle d(u_\sigma t_\tau), [e'] \rangle \) vanishes. If \( i \in [m] \setminus (\sigma \cup \tau) \), then the pair \((\partial^+_{e'} e')^*\) and \((\partial^-_{e'} e')^*\) both appear as summands in \( u_\sigma t_\tau \), by (3.8), they cancel each other out in the evaluation. Therefore, only for \( i \in \tau \), the dual cell \((\partial^+_{e'} e')^*\) is a summand in \( u_\sigma t_\tau \), and

\[ \langle d(u_\sigma t_\tau), [e'] \rangle = (-1)^{(\sigma,i)}. \]

As both sides of (3.7) give the same evaluation on each cell, they coincide. \( \square \)
The characteristic function $\lambda$ can be expressed by an $n \times m$ $\mathbb{Z}_2$-matrix $\Lambda$. Denote by row $\lambda$ the row space of $\Lambda$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2^n$.

**Lemma 3.5.** For each $\sigma \in K$, there exists a unique element $\omega \in \text{row } \lambda$ such that

\begin{equation}
\omega \cap f(\sigma) = \sigma,
\end{equation}

where $f(\sigma)$ is the first facet in the shelling that contains $\sigma$.

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, suppose $f(\sigma) = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ and $\lambda|_{f(\sigma)} : \mathbb{Z}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ is the identity. Suppose $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ are the row vectors of $\Lambda$. Set $\omega = \sum_{i \in \sigma} \lambda_i$. Then $\omega$ satisfies (3.9), which is unique.

Recall that the *transfer homomorphism* $T_* : C_*(Y) \rightarrow C_*(\mathbb{R}Z_K)$ is a chain map defined by

\[ T_*(\pi_*([e])) = \sum_{g' \in \ker \lambda} g'_*([e]), \]

where $e$ is a cubical cell of $\mathbb{R}Z_K$. It can be checked that the definition is independent of the choice of the pre-image $[e]$, and $T_*$ is invariant in the sense that

\begin{equation}
(3.10) \quad g_* \circ T_* = T_*,
\end{equation}

where $g_* : C_*(\mathbb{R}Z_K) \rightarrow C_*(\mathbb{R}Z_K)$ is induced by $g \in \ker \lambda$. Let $T^* : C^*(\mathbb{R}Z_K) \rightarrow C^*(Y)$ be the dual of $T_*$. By Lemma 3.4 the dual chain complex $(C^*(Y), \partial)$ of $(C_*(Y), \partial)$ is generated by $\{T^*(u_\tau \tau_\sigma)\}_{\sigma, \tau}$.

Recall that a cubical cell $e$ is canonical, if $e^{-1} \subset f(e)$. By Lemma 3.2 $C^*(Y)$ has a basis $\{T^*(e^*)\}_e$ with $e$ running through canonical cells of $\mathbb{R}Z_K$. We would like to express each cochain $T^*(u_\sigma t_\tau) \in \mathbb{Z}$-linear sum of these basis elements.

A cochain $c$ is said to be *divisible* by an integer $r$, if there exists a cochain $c'$ so that $c = rc'$, and is said to be *primitive* if it is not divisible by any integer greater than 1. For an element $\omega \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$, $K_\omega$ is the full subcomplex of $K$ induced by the subset of $[m]$ associated to $\omega$.

**Proposition 3.6.** Given $\omega \in \text{row } \lambda$ and $\sigma \in K_\omega$ with $\text{card}(\sigma) = k$, the cochain $T^*(u_\sigma t_{\omega \setminus \sigma}) \in C^k(Y)$ is divisible by $2^{\mu_k(\omega)}$, where

\[ \mu_k(\omega) = \max\{m - n + k - \text{card}(\omega), 0\}. \]

In particular, if $\omega \cap f(\sigma) = \sigma$, then $m - n + k - \text{card}(\omega) = \mu_k(\omega) \geq 0$, and

\begin{equation}
(3.11) \quad T^*(u_\sigma t_{\omega \setminus \sigma}) = 2^{\mu_k(\omega)} T^*(u_\sigma t_{[m] \setminus f(\sigma)}),
\end{equation}

where $T^*(u_\sigma t_{[m] \setminus f(\sigma)})$ is primitive in $C^*(Y)$.

**Proof.** Let $\tau_1 = (\omega \cap f(\sigma)) \setminus \sigma$ and $\tau_2 = [m] \setminus (f(\sigma) \cup \omega)$. We see that $\omega \cap f(\sigma) = \tau_1 \cup \sigma$, and $\omega \setminus f(\sigma) = [m] \setminus (f(\sigma) \cup \tau_2)$. As $\tau_1 \cup \sigma = \emptyset$ and $f(\sigma) \cap \tau_2 = \emptyset$,

\[ \text{card}(\omega) = \text{card}(\omega \setminus f(\sigma)) + \text{card}(\omega \setminus f(\sigma)) = \text{card}(\tau_1) + k + m - n - \text{card}(\tau_2), \]

namely

\[ \text{card}(\tau_2) - \text{card}(\tau_1) = m - n + k - \text{card}(\omega) = \mu_k(\omega). \]

Denote $k_1 = \text{card}(\tau_1)$ and $k_2 = \text{card}(\tau_2)$. For $\ell \in \tau_2$, let $g_\ell \in \ker \lambda$ be the unique element so that $\sigma_{g_\ell} \setminus f(\sigma) = \{\ell\}$, where $\sigma_{g_\ell} \subset [m]$ is the set of non-zero entries of $g_\ell$ (see Lemma 3.2). Let $G_{\tau_2}$ be the subgroup generated by $\{g_\ell \mid \ell \in \tau_2\}$, the order of which is $2^{k_2}$.

For $S \subset [m]$, define $(S, g) = \text{card}(S \cap \sigma_{g_\ell})$. We see that $g \in \ker \lambda, \omega \in \text{row } \lambda$ implies that $(\omega, g)$ is an even number. For $g \in G_{\tau_2}$, $(\omega \setminus f(\sigma), g) = 0$, thus

\begin{equation}
(3.12) \quad 0 = (\omega, g) = (\omega \cap f(\sigma), g) + (\omega \setminus f(\sigma), g) = (\sigma, g) + (\tau_1, g) \mod 2.
\end{equation}
We expand $u_{\sigma}t_{\omega\setminus\sigma}$ with respect to $\tau$, as a sum of $2^{k_2}$ terms. By (3.3),
\[
u_{\sigma}t_{\omega\setminus\sigma} = \sum_{g \in G_{r_2}} \alpha_g, \quad \alpha_g = \otimes_{i=1}^{m} \alpha_i, \quad \alpha_i = \begin{cases} 01^{*}_{i} \quad & i \in \sigma \\ 0^{*}_{i} \quad & i \in \sigma_g \cap \tau_2 \\ 1^{*}_{i} + 0^{*}_{i} \quad & i \in f(\sigma) \setminus \omega \\ 1^{*}_{i} \quad & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]

Let $p: G_{r_2} \to \mathbb{Z}^{k_1}_{2}$ be the projection sending $g = (g_{i})_{i=1}^{m}$ to $p(g) = (g_{i})_{i \in \tau_1}$. For $g \in G_{r_2}$, $g^{*}$ turns the $0^{*}_{i}$-components in $\tau_2$ of $\alpha_g$ into $1^{*}_{i}$-components, hence by (3.6), we have
\[
g^{*}(\alpha_g) = (-1)^{[\tau_2, \sigma]} \alpha'_{g}, \quad \alpha'_{g} = \otimes_{i=1}^{m} \alpha'_i, \quad \alpha'_i = \begin{cases} 01^{*}_{i} \quad & i \in \sigma \\ 0^{*}_{i} \quad & i \in \sigma_g \cap \tau_2 \\ 1^{*}_{i} + 0^{*}_{i} \quad & i \in f(\sigma) \setminus \omega \\ 1^{*}_{i} \quad & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]

Note that by (3.12), $(-1)^{[\tau_2, \sigma]} \alpha'_{g} = (-1)^{\text{card}(\sigma_g)} \alpha'_{g}$, which means that $g^{*}(\alpha_g)$ is only determined by the image $p(g)$, thus we denote
\[
\beta_{p(g)} = g^{*}(\alpha_g) = (-1)^{\text{card}(\sigma_g)} \alpha'_{g}.
\]

Together with (3.10), we see that
\[
T^{*}(u_{\sigma}t_{\omega\setminus\sigma}) = \sum_{g \in G_{r_2}} T^{*}(\alpha_g) = \sum_{g \in G_{r_2}} T^{*}(g^{*}(\alpha_g)) = \sum_{g \in G_{r_2}} T^{*}(\beta_{p(g)}) = NT^{*}(\sum_{g \in \text{Im}p} \beta_{g}),
\]

where $N$ is the order of the kernel of $p$, which is clearly divisible by $2^{k_2-k_1} = 2^{\kappa_2(\omega)}$ if $k_2 \geq k_1$.

In the special case $\tau_1 = \emptyset$, we have $\mu_{k}(\omega) = \text{card}(\tau_2)$, $\sigma_{p(g)} = \emptyset$, and
\[
\beta_{p(g)} = \alpha'_{g} = u_{\sigma}t_{[m] \setminus f(\sigma)}
\]

for all $g \in G_{r_2}$, now (3.11) follows from (3.13), as $N = 2^{k_2}$. Each dual cell appearing in the sum $u_{\sigma}t_{[m] \setminus f(\sigma)}$ is the dual of a canonical one, hence $T^{*}(u_{\sigma}t_{[m] \setminus f(\sigma)})$ is primitive. \square

4. The cellular (co)chain complexes of $K_{\omega}$

To describe the cohomology of $Y$ in terms of $K_{\omega}$, for $\omega \in \text{row } \lambda$, we will construct an explicit cellular (co)chain complex of $K_{\omega}$ in this section.

With respect to $K \subset 2^{[m]}$, we denote by $(\tilde{C}_{*}(K), \partial')$ the augmented (ordered) simplicial chain complex of $K$: $\tilde{C}_{*}(K) = \bigoplus_{q \geq -1} C_{p}(K)$, where $C_{q}(K)$ is generated by oriented simplices $[\sigma] = [i_0, \ldots, i_q]$ ($\sigma \in K$), $i_0 < \cdots < i_q$ (formally $C_{-1}(K)$ is generated by $[\emptyset]$), together with the boundary operator
\[
\partial'[\sigma] = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{q} (-1)^{k} [\sigma \setminus \{i_k\}] & q > 0 \\ [\emptyset] & q = 0 \\ 0 & q = -1. \end{cases}
\]

Here, the orientation follows the rule that a permutation of $i_k, i_j \in \sigma$ gives a $(-1)$-sign. It can be shown that $(\tilde{C}_{*}(K), \partial')$ is chain-homotopy equivalent to the reduced singular chain complex of $K$ (see [17] for details).

**Definition 4.1.** Let $K$ be a simplicial complex on $[m]$. For $\sigma \subset [m]$ such that $\sigma \notin K$, the simplicial complex $K \cup 2^{\sigma}$ is called the regular (simplicial) expansion of $K$ along $\sigma$ if $\dim \sigma \geq 0$ and the set $\{ \tau \subset \sigma \mid \tau \notin K \}$ has a unique, minimal element, which is denoted...
by \(r(\sigma)\). We still call \(r(\sigma)\) the restriction of \(\sigma\). If \(\sigma\) is a singleton, then \(r(\sigma) = \sigma\). Note that \(r(\sigma) \neq \emptyset\) as \(\emptyset \in K\).

Geometrically, \(K'\) is a regular expansion of \(K\) if and only if the intersection of geometrical realizations of \(\sigma\) and \(K\) is a union of codimension-one faces of \(\sigma\). It is easy to see that, there is a strong deformation retraction \(K' \to K\) along \(\sigma\) unless \(r(\sigma) = \sigma\), i.e., the whole boundary of \(\sigma\) is contained in \(K\).

**Lemma 4.2.** Suppose \(K'\) is a regular expansion of \(K\) along \(\sigma\) with \(r(\sigma) \neq \sigma\). Then there is a simplicial chain map \(\rho: \tilde{C}_*(K') \to \tilde{C}_*(K)\) whose restriction to \(C_*(K)\) is the identity.

**Proof.** We can choose a simplicial approximation of the retraction \(K' \to K\), which is the identity on \(K\). This can be done since \(\sigma\) is a simplex. To preserve the degree, if in the approximation a simplex is mapped (geometrically) to another one whose dimension is strictly lower, then we set its image to be zero in the target chain group. It can be checked that in this way we obtain a chain map (cf. [17] pp. 62–63)). Then the induced chain map is as desired. \(\square\)

**Definition 4.3.** We say that \(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_t\) is a regular expansion sequence of \(K\), if \(K\) admits a filtration \(2^\sigma = K_1 \subset K_2 \subset \cdots \subset K_t = K\) such that \(K_{j+1}\) is a regular expansion of \(K_j\) along \(\sigma_{j+1}\) for all \(j = 1, \ldots, s - 1\), where \(2^\sigma\) denotes all subsets of \(\sigma_1 \neq \emptyset\). In the sequence above, a simplex \(\sigma_i\) is called critical if \(r(\sigma_i) = \sigma_i\). We shall denote by \(\text{Cri}(K)\) the set of critical faces in the regular expanding sequence. Formally, we set \(r(\sigma_1) = \emptyset\).

We will see that, up to homotopy, \(K\) has a cell decomposition by critical simplices. If \(\text{Cri}(K) = \emptyset\), \(K\) deformation retracts onto a vertex. Here is the key lemma for our main theorem.

**Lemma 4.4.** Suppose \(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_t\) is a regular expanding sequence of \(K\) with the filtration \(K_1 \subset \cdots \subset K_t\). Let \(\overline{C}_*(K)\) be the free abelian group generated by \(\text{Cri}(K)\), with each critical simplex suitably oriented (which is trivial if \(\text{Cri}(K) = \emptyset\)), and let \((\tilde{C}_*(K), \partial')\) be the simplicial chain complex. Then \(\tilde{C}_*(K)\) admits a boundary operator \(\overline{\partial}\) to be a chain complex, together with a (graded) chain map \(\rho: \tilde{C}_*(K) \to \overline{C}_*(K)\), with the following properties:

(a) \(\rho\) is an identity when restricted to \(\text{Cri}(K)\),

\[
\rho([\sigma]) = [\sigma], \quad \sigma \in \text{Cri}(K);
\]

(b) \(\rho\) induces an isomorphism in homology;

(c) let \(\rho^*\) be the dual of \(\rho\) (by the Hom-functor), if \(\sigma_{j+1}\) is critical, then

\[
\rho^*(\sigma^*_{j+1}) = \sigma^*_j + c^*_{j+1},
\]

where \(\sigma^*_j\) is the oriented dual of \([\sigma_{j+1}]\), and \(c^*_{j+1}\) is a \(\mathbb{Z}\)-linear sum with dual simplices of the form \(\sigma^*_{t}\) involved for \(t > j + 1\).

**Proof.** We construct \(\rho\) inductively from \(K_j\) to \(K_{j+1}\), \(j = 1, \ldots, l - 1\). First consider \(K_1 = 2^\sigma\). As \(\text{Cri}(K_1)\) is empty, by definition, we define \(\rho([\sigma]) = 0\) for all \(\sigma \subset \sigma_1\) (including the case \(\sigma = \emptyset\)). Suppose the chain map \(\rho_j: \tilde{C}_*(K_j) \to \overline{C}_*(K_j)\) has been defined as desired.

(I) If \(\sigma_{j+1}\) is not critical, let \(f_{j+1}: \tilde{C}_*(K_{j+1}) \to \tilde{C}_*(K_j)\) be the map given in Lemma 4.2, and define \(\rho_{j+1}\) as the composition \(\rho_j \circ f_{j+1}\);

(II) Otherwise, if \(\sigma_{j+1}\) is critical, we define

\[
\overline{\partial} = \rho_j(\partial'[\sigma_{j+1}]')
\]

and \(\rho_{j+1}(\sigma_{j+1}) = [\sigma_{j+1}]\), preserving the orientation: \(\rho_{j+1}\) coincides with \(\rho_j\) on \(\tilde{C}_*(K_j)\).
By induction, it can be checked that the chain map \( \rho : \tilde{C}_* (K) \to \tilde{C}_* (K) \) is well-defined, which satisfies both (a) and (b). Property (c) follows from (I).

Note that in a regular expanding sequence of \( K \), compared with a shelling, each \( \sigma_j \) is not necessarily a facet and \( K \) is not necessarily pure. We have the following relation between them.

**Proposition 4.5.** Suppose \( \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s \) is a shelling of \( K \subset 2^{|m|} \). Given \( \omega \subset |m| \), let \( \sigma_{j_1} \cap \omega, \ldots, \sigma_{j_t} \cap \omega \) be the (non-repeating) list of non-empty simplices in \( \{ \sigma_j \cap \omega \}_{j=1}^s \). Then \( \sigma_{j_1} \cap \omega, \ldots, \sigma_{j_t} \cap \omega \) is a regular expanding sequence of the full subcomplex \( K_{\omega} \), with their restrictions \( r(\sigma_{j_1}), \ldots, r(\sigma_{j_t}) \), respectively, where \( r(\sigma_{j_k}) \) is the restriction of \( \sigma_{j_k} \) in the given shelling for \( k = 1, \ldots, t \).

**Proof.** Recall that, by definition, the restriction \( r(\sigma_{j+1}) \) of \( \sigma_{j+1} \) in the shelling is the minimal element in the set \( \{ \sigma \subset \sigma_{j+1} \mid \sigma \not\subset K_j \} \). Suppose the intersection \( \sigma_{j+1} \cap \omega \), as a simplex in the full subcomplex \( K_j \cap |\omega| \) of \( K_j \cap \omega \), is not empty. If \( r(\sigma_{j+1}) \subset \omega \), then, by definition, \( K_j+1 \cap \omega \) is a regular expansion of \( K_j \cap \omega \) along \( \sigma_{j+1} \cap \omega \), whose restriction is again \( r(\sigma_{j+1}) \): otherwise, \( r(\sigma_{j+1}) \cap \omega \) is a proper subset of \( r(\sigma_{j+1}) \), which means that any simplex \( \sigma \in K_j+1 \cap \omega \) cannot contain \( r(\sigma_{j+1}) \), thus, by minimality, \( \sigma \subset K_j \), hence \( \sigma \subset K_j \cap \omega \): \( K_j \cap \omega = K_j \). The statement follows by induction.

Let \((\tilde{C}^*(K), \bar{d})\) and \((\tilde{C}^*(K), d')\) be the dual of the chain complex of \((\tilde{C}_*, \bar{d})\) and \((\tilde{C}_*, d')\), respectively, and let \( \rho^* : \tilde{C}^*(K) \to \tilde{C}^*(K) \) be the dual of \( \rho \).

Let \( \sigma^* \in \tilde{C}^*(K) \) be the oriented dual of \( [\sigma] \in \tilde{C}_*(K) \) (as \( K \) is finite, \( C^*(K) \) is generated by dual simplices). It can be checked that, by dualizing (1.11), we have

\[
d' \sigma^* = \sum_{(\sigma \cup \{i\}) \in K} (-1)^{|\sigma, i|} (\sigma \cup \{i\})^* \left( d' \theta^* = \sum_{\{i\} \in K} i^* \right),
\]

where \( (\sigma, i) = \text{card}\{j \in \sigma \mid j < i\} \).

Fix \( \omega \subset |m| \) and consider the map

\[
\varphi : \tilde{C}^*(K_\omega) \to C^{*+1}(\mathbb{R} \mathbb{Z}_K)
\]

that sends \( \sigma^* \) to \( u_{\sigma^*} \gamma_{\omega}. \) A comparison of (4.3) and (3.7) shows that \( \varphi \) is a (degree-increasing) cochain map with respect to differentials \( d' \) and \( d \).

Now suppose \( \omega \in \text{row } \lambda \) and let \( \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s \) be a shelling of \( K \) with restrictions \( r(\sigma_1), \ldots, r(\sigma_s) \). Then, by Proposition 4.5, we have a regular expanding sequence of \( K_\omega \) as

\[
\sigma_{j_1} \cap \omega, \ldots, \sigma_{j_t} \cap \omega.
\]

The following lemma is straightforward.

**Lemma 4.6.** The simplex \( \sigma_{j_k} \cap \omega, k = 1, \ldots, l, \) is critical if and only if \( \sigma_{j_k} \cap \omega = r(\sigma_{j_k}) \). That is, the cochain complex \( \overline{C}^*(K_\omega, \bar{d}) \) is generated by \( \{ \sigma_j \mid \sigma_j \cap \omega = r(\sigma_j) \} \).

### 5. Main Theorem and Proof of Theorem 1.11

Let \( K \) be an \((n-1)\)-dimensional pure simplicial complex on \([m]\) with a shelling \( \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s \), and \( r(\sigma_j) \) the restriction of \( \sigma_j \) in the shelling for \( j = 1, \ldots, s \). Let \( \lambda : \mathbb{Z}_2^n \to \mathbb{Z}_2^s \) be a characteristic function satisfying the non-singularity condition. We write \( \bar{Y} = M^\mathbb{R}(K, \lambda) \) for the real toric space associated to \( K \) and \( \lambda \).

For each non-negative integer \( k \geq 0 \), let \( \phi_{k|\omega} \) be the map given by

\[
\phi_{k|\omega} = \frac{1}{2 \mu_k(\omega)} T^* \circ \varphi \circ \rho : \overline{C}^{k-1}(K_\omega) \to C^k(Y),
\]

where \( T^* \) is the dual transfer homomorphism and \( \mu_k(\omega) = m - n + k - \text{card}(\omega) \). The coefficient \( 1/2 \mu_k(\omega) \) is multiplied to make the image primitive (see Proposition 3.6).
Lemma 5.1. Let $\phi|_\omega: \overline{C}^*(K_\omega) \to C^{*+1}(Y)$ be the homomorphism whose restriction to $\overline{C}^{k-1}(K_\omega)$ is $\phi_k|_\omega$ for $k = 1, \ldots, n$. If we endow $\overline{C}^*(K_\omega)$ with the coboundary operator $2\overline{d}$ rather than $\overline{d}$, then it is a cochain map
\[ (\overline{C}^*(K_\omega), 2\overline{d}) \to (C^{*+1}(Y), d), \]
where the coboundary operator $2\overline{d}$ means $(2\overline{d})c = 2(\overline{d}c)$ for $c \in \overline{C}^*(K_\omega)$.

Proof. As a composition of cochain maps, $T^* \circ \varphi \circ \rho^*$ is a cochain map with respect to $\overline{d}$. Choose $c \in \overline{C}^{k-1}(K_\omega)$, then we can see that
\[
\phi(2\overline{d}c) = \frac{1}{2\mu_{k+1}(\omega)}T^* \circ \varphi \circ \rho^*(2\overline{d}c)
\]
\[
= \frac{2}{2\mu_{k+1}(\omega)}d \circ T^* \circ \varphi \circ \rho^*(c)
\]
\[
= d \left( \frac{1}{2\mu_{k+1}(\omega)}T^* \circ \varphi \circ \rho^*(c) \right) = d\phi(c).
\]
We put
\[ \overline{C}_\lambda^* = \bigoplus_{\omega \in \text{row } \lambda} \overline{C}^*(K_\omega), \]
and let $\phi: (\overline{C}_\lambda^*, 2\overline{d}) \to (C^{*+1}(Y), d)$ be the map whose restriction to $\overline{C}^*(K_\omega)$ is $\phi|_\omega$. By Lemma 5.1, $\phi$ is indeed a cochain map increasing the degrees by one. The following theorem is the key theorem of this paper.

Theorem 5.2. The cochain map $\phi: (\overline{C}_\lambda^*, 2\overline{d}) \to (C^{*+1}(Y), d)$ satisfies the following properties.

(a) The cochain complex $\overline{C}_\lambda^*$ is generated by $\{r(\sigma_j) \in K_\omega\}_{j=1}^s$, where $\omega_j \in \text{row } \lambda$ is the unique element that satisfies $\omega_j \cap \sigma_j = r(\sigma_j)$; we have
\[
[\phi(r(\sigma_j))] = [x_{r(\sigma_j)}]
\]
in $H^*(Y; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, where $[\phi(r(\sigma_j))]$ denotes the mod 2 reduction of $\phi(r(\sigma_j))$.

(b) The map $\phi$ induces an isomorphism of cohomology groups.

Proof. The first statement in (a) follows from Lemmas 5.5 and 5.6. It remains to prove (b) and equation (5.1). Suppose $K_1 \subset \cdots \subset K_s$ is the filtration associated to the shelling $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s$ of $K$, and let $Y_1 \subset \cdots \subset Y_s$ be the filtration of $Y$ given in Proposition 2.5. The proof uses an induction. Suppose $\omega_j \cap \sigma_j = r(\sigma_j)$, and denote by $\overline{C}_\lambda^*(K_j) = \bigoplus_{\omega \in \text{row } \lambda} \overline{C}^*(K_j|_\omega)$ the cochain complex associated to $K_j$, where $K_j|_\omega$ is the full subcomplex of $K_j$ induced by $\omega$.

First consider $j = 1$. By definition, $r(\sigma_1) = \emptyset$, thus $\omega_1 = \emptyset$, and $\overline{C}_\lambda^*(K_1) = \overline{C}^*(K_\omega)|_{1}$ concentrates in degree $-1$ and is generated by $\emptyset^*$; by [4.4], $\varphi$ maps $\emptyset^*$ to the void word $\emptyset$, and the class with representative $\frac{1}{2\mu_1}T^*(\emptyset)$ generates $H^0(Y_1)$ (in fact, it is the sum of all $2^n$ dual vertices of $Y_1$, an $n$-cube). Therefore, (b) and (5.1) hold for $K_1$.

Now suppose they hold for $K_j$. We treat $\overline{C}_\lambda^*(K_j)$ and $\overline{C}_\lambda^*(K_{j+1})$ as abelian groups and denote by $\overline{D}_{j+1}$ their difference $\overline{C}_\lambda^*(K_{j+1}) \setminus \overline{C}_\lambda^*(K_j)$. We see that $\overline{D}_{j+1}$ is closed under $2\overline{d}$, and denote by $\overline{D}_{j+1}^*(2\overline{d})$ the corresponding cochain complex. Observe that the relative cochain complex $(\overline{C}_\lambda^*(K_{j+1}), \overline{D}_{j+1}^*(2\overline{d}))$ is canonically isomorphic to $\overline{C}_\lambda^*(K_j, 2\overline{d})$. By definition,
\[ \overline{D}_{j+1} = \bigoplus_{\omega \in \text{row } \lambda} (\overline{C}^*(K_{j+1}|_\omega) \setminus \overline{C}^*(K_1|_\omega)) \]
is generated by dual simplices among \((\sigma_{j+1} \cap \omega)^*\)\( \omega \in \text{row } \lambda\) so that \(\sigma_{j+1} \cap \omega\) is critical in \(K_{j+1} \mid \omega\) (see Lemma 4.4), but by Lemma 3.3, \(\omega_{j+1}\) is unique, thus \(D^\ast_{j+1}\) is generated by a single element \(r(\sigma_{j+1})^* \in K_{j+1} \mid \omega_{j+1}\), which is clearly a cocycle in dimension \(k_{j+1} - 1\), \(k_{j+1} = \text{card}(r(\sigma_{j+1}))\).

In a similar manner, consider the difference \(C^\ast(Y_{j+1}) \setminus C^\ast(Y_j)\) of dual cells, which we shall denote by \(D^\ast_{j+1};\) as a subgroup of \((C^\ast(Y_{j+1}), d), D^\ast_{j+1}\) is also closed under \(d\), and the relative cochain complex \((C^\ast(Y_{j+1}), D^\ast_{j+1}, d)\) is canonically isomorphic to \((C^\ast(Y_j), d)\). Geometrically, up to homotopy, \(Y_{j+1}\) is obtained from \(Y_j\) by attaching a cell of dimension \(k_{j+1}\) (see Proposition 2.8), and \(D^\ast_{j+1}\) is a collection of the duals of cubical cells

\[
\{\pi(I_\sigma) \mid r(\sigma_{j+1}) \subset \sigma \subset \sigma_{j+1}\}
\]

where \(\pi: \mathbb{R}Z_{j+1} \to Y_{j+1}\) the orbit map (see (2.20)). Thus, the cohomology of \((D^\ast_{j+1}, d)\) is infinite cyclic that concentrates in dimension \(k_{j+1}\), and we can check directly that it is generated by the class with representative

\[
(5.2) \quad \frac{1}{2^{\mu_{j+1}(\omega_{j+1})}} T^\ast(u_r\sigma_{j+1})\omega_{j+1}\sigma_{j+1},
\]

which is primitive by Proposition 3.3(by (5.7) it is a cocycle as in \(K_{j+1}, \sigma_{j+1}\) is the unique facet that contains \(r(\sigma_{j+1})\)).

We see that the cochain map \(\phi\) induces a homomorphism between the long exact sequences associated to pairs \((\overline{C}_\lambda(K_{j+1}), \overline{D}_{j+1}), (C^\ast(Y_{j+1}), D^\ast_{j+1})\), respectively:

\[
\cdots \longrightarrow H^i(\overline{C}_\lambda(K_{j+1}), \overline{D}_{j+1}) \longrightarrow H^i(\overline{C}_\lambda(K_{j+1})) \longrightarrow H^i(\overline{D}_{j+1}) \longrightarrow \cdots
\]

\[
\cdots \longrightarrow H^{i+1}(C^\ast(Y_{j+1}), D^\ast_{j+1}) \longrightarrow H^{i+1}(C^\ast(Y_{j+1})) \longrightarrow H^{i+1}(D^\ast_{j+1}) \longrightarrow \cdots
\]

Where, by the induction hypothesis, for any \(t \geq -1\), the first column is an isomorphism; in Lemma 4.3(c), \(c^\ast_{j+1}\) vanishes from \(1.2\) because \(r(\sigma_{j+1})^* = (\sigma_{j+1} \cap \omega_{j+1})^*\) is the last dual simplex in \(\overline{C}^\ast(K_{j+1} \mid \omega_{j+1})\), hence the image of \(r(\sigma_{j+1})^*\) under \(\phi\) is exactly \((5.2)\), which means that the third column is also an isomorphism (which is non-trivial only when \(t = k_{j+1} - 1\)). Therefore, the middle column is an isomorphism, from which (b) holds. By Proposition 2.8 as a vector space, \(H^t(Y_{j+1}; \mathbb{Z}_2)\) is obtained from \(H^t(Y_j; \mathbb{Z}_2)\) by adding the basis element \([x_r(\sigma_{j+1})]\), which has to be the mod 2 reduction of \((5.2)\). We see that \((5.1)\) holds, and the whole proof is completed by induction.

By Lemma 4.4, one can see that \((\overline{C}_\lambda, \overline{d})\) is a direct sum \(\bigoplus_{\omega \in \text{row } \lambda}(\overline{C}(K_\omega), \overline{d})\), in which \((\overline{C}(K_\omega), \overline{d})\) is cochain-homotopy equivalent to the reduced singular cochain complex of \(K_\omega\). We also remark that \((C^\ast(Y), d)\) is cochain-homotopy equivalent to the singular cochain complex of \(Y\).

To understand the cohomology of \((\overline{C}_\lambda, 2\overline{d})\) and \((\overline{C}_\lambda, \overline{d})\), we use the normal form of a morphism between two finitely generated abelian groups: for each \(i \geq 0\), we can find two bases for cocycles and coboundaries in \((\overline{C}_\lambda^i, \overline{d})\), where a coboundary is certain integral times of exactly one cocycle (cf. [17] Theorem 11.3, pp. 55–56]). The same bases still work for \((\overline{C}_\lambda^i, 2\overline{d})\), whereas those coefficients are doubled. Therefore, we have Theorem 1.1 as a corollary of Theorem 5.2.

6. The Bockstein spectral sequence and proof of Theorem 1.7

Let \(X\) be a topological space such that \(H_i(X; \mathbb{Z})\) is finitely generated for all \(i\). To recover the \(2^k\)-torsion elements from \(H^i(X; \mathbb{Z}_2)\), it suffices to understand, which is a
classical method, their behaviors under (higher) mod 2 Bockstein homomorphisms. More precisely, we have an exact couple

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{d} & H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}) \\
\kappa & & \mod 2 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_2) & & H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_2)
\end{array}$$

where $\kappa$ is the connecting homomorphism, which is induced from the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{2} \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\mod 2} \mathbb{Z}_2 \rightarrow 0.$$

The following fact is well-known (cf. [10, Chapter 10]).

**Proposition 6.1.** The single-graded spectral sequence $E^*_{\infty}(X)$ associated to (6.1) satisfies the following properties.

1. The sequence $E^*_{1} = H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, and the first differential
   $$d_1: H^n(X; \mathbb{Z}_2) \rightarrow H^{n+1}(X; \mathbb{Z}_2)$$
   coincides with the Steenrod square $Sq^1$. More explicitly, if the mod 2 reduction $[c]$ of an integral singular cochain $c$ represents a class in $H^n(X; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, then
   $$d_1[c] = \frac{1}{2} dc,$$
   where $d$ is the coboundary operator.

2. The $\mathbb{Z}_2$-summand in $H^{n+1}(X; \mathbb{Z})$ induces a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-pair in $H^n(X; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ and $H^{n+1}(X; \mathbb{Z}_2)$, respectively, which survives to $E^*_k(X)$, and is connected by the $k$th differential $d_k$.

3. The sequence $E^*_\infty(X)$ is the mod 2 reduction of the free part of $H^*(Y; \mathbb{Z})$.

Now we apply the Bockstein spectral sequence to a real toric space $Y$. First, by Proposition 2.2, we see that the mod 2 cohomology groups of $BK$ are generated by monomials of the form

$$x_{i_1}^{n_1}x_{i_2}^{n_2} \cdots x_{i_\ell}^{n_\ell}, \quad \{i_1, \ldots, i_\ell\} \in K,$$

on which we have, by the Cartan formula,

$$Sq^1(x_{i_1}^{n_1}x_{i_2}^{n_2} \cdots x_{i_\ell}^{n_\ell}) = \sum_{j=1}^\ell n_j x_{i_1}^{n_1} \cdots x_{i_{j-1}}^{n_{j-1}} x_{i_j}^{n_j-1} x_{i_{j+1}}^{n_{j+1}} \cdots x_{i_\ell}^{n_\ell}.$$

On passage to $Y$ via the inclusion $Y \rightarrow BK$, by (2.2), an element from $\mathbb{Z}_2[K]$ being a cocycle under $Sq^1$ means that its image lies in the ideal $(l_{\lambda_1}, \ldots, l_{\lambda_n})$.

As $\phi$ in Theorem 5.2 is a cochain map with respect to $2d$, by (6.2) and (5.1), one can see that

$$\phi(\overline{d}(r(\sigma_j))) = \frac{1}{2} \phi(2\overline{d}(r(\sigma_j)) = Sq^1(x_{r(\sigma_j)}).$$

Therefore, together with Proposition 6.1, and the second part of Theorem 1.1, $E^*_{k+1}(Y)$ is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{\omega \in \text{row} \lambda} E^i_{k+1}(K_\omega)$, for all $i, k \geq 1$, which proves Theorem 1.7.

For the following examples, a simplex $\{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_\ell\}$ will be denoted by $i_1 i_2 \ldots i_\ell$ for short.

**Example 6.2.** Let $K$ be the boundary complex of a square having a shelling

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
12 & 22 & 34 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
14 & & 14
\end{array}$$

with their restrictions marked. Let $\lambda: \mathbb{Z}_2^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^2$ be a characteristic function represented by

$$\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.$$
Λ = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix},

satisfying the non-singularity condition over \( K \), where the numbers above the matrix are indicators for vertices of \( K \). One can easily see that the real moment-angle complex \( RZK \) is the torus \( S^1 \times S^1 \), and the real toric space \( Y = M^R(K, \lambda) \) associated to \( K \) and \( \lambda \) is the Klein bottle. The cohomology of the Klein bottle \( Y \) is known as

\[ H^i(Y; \mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} 
\mathbb{Z}, & \text{for } i = 0, 1; \\
\mathbb{Z}_2, & \text{for } i = 2; \\
0 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases} \]

Let us compute its Bockstein spectral sequence in two ways. First, by Proposition 2.3, we have

\[ H^*(Y; \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2[K]/(l_{\lambda_1}, l_{\lambda_2}) = \mathbb{Z}_2[x_1, \ldots, x_4]/(x_1x_3, x_2x_4, l_{\lambda_1}, l_{\lambda_2}), \]

where \( l_{\lambda_1} = x_1 + x_3 + x_4 \) and \( l_{\lambda_2} = x_2 + x_4 \). By Proposition 2.3, \( H^*(Y; \mathbb{Z}_2) \) has an additive basis \( \{1, x_3, x_4, x_1x_4 \} \). We see that in \( \mathbb{Z}_2[K] \), which is a free \( \mathbb{Z}_2[l_{\lambda_1}, l_{\lambda_2}] \)-module,

\begin{align*}
Sq^1(x_3) &= x^2_3 = l_{\lambda_1}x_3 + (l_{\lambda_1} + l_{\lambda_2})x_4 + x_1x_4, \\
Sq^1(x_4) &= x^2_4 = l_{\lambda_2}x_4, \quad \text{and} \\
Sq^1(x_1x_4) &= x^2_1x_4 + x_1x^2_4 = l_{\lambda_1}x_1x_4
\end{align*}

since \( x_1x_3 \) and \( x_2x_4 \) vanish in \( \mathbb{Z}_2[K] \). Thus, \( x_1x_4 \) and \( x_3 \) are connected by \( Sq^1 \), whereas \( x_4 \) and 1 survive to \( E^\infty_\infty(Y) \).

Second, as row \( \lambda = \{\emptyset, 134, 24, 123\} \) as a set, under the rule \( \omega \cap \sigma = r(\sigma) \) for a facet \( \sigma \), we have \( r(12) = \emptyset \in K_9, r(34) = 4 \in K_24 \) and \( r(23) = 3 \) and \( r(14) = 14 \) are in \( K_{134} \) (with no restrictions in \( K_{123} \)).

The shelling above gives a regular expanding sequence of \( K_{134} \), i.e., 1, 3, 34, 14 by Proposition 4.5, in which 3 and 14 are critical (see Figure 2). The simplicial retraction maps 34 to 3 as in Figure 3, therefore \( \rho([34]) = 0 \) and \( \rho([4]) = [3] \) (see Lemmas 4.2 and 4.4).

After dualization, we have

\[ d^*3^* = d^*4^* = (14)^*, \]

which coincides with the previous calculation (6.5) by (6.4).
Remark 6.4. When to Rider University, and for many inspiring conversations. The second named author also

Example 6.3. Let $K$ be the boundary of the simplicial 3-polytope shown in Figure 4 and let $\lambda: \mathbb{Z}_2^3 \to \mathbb{Z}_2^3$ be a characteristic function represented by

$$
\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{pmatrix},
$$

where the numbers above the matrix are indicators for vertices of $K$. We give $K$ a shelling with restrictions marked as

$$
137, 136, 357, 157, 235, 236, 145, 146, \text{ and } 246.
$$

With the 10 basis elements $\{1, x_2, x_4, x_5, x_6, x_1x_5, x_2x_6, x_2x_4, x_4x_6, x_2x_4x_6\}$ of $H^*(Y; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ associated to the restrictions above, one can calculate their image under $Sq^1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_2[K]$; we have $x_2^3 = l_{\lambda^1}x_2$, $x_4^3 = (l_{\lambda^2} + l_{\lambda^3})x_4 + x_4x_6$, $x_5^3 = (l_{\lambda^1} + l_{\lambda^3})x_5 + l_{\lambda^2}x_2 + x_1x_5 + x_2x_6$, $x_6^3 = l_{\lambda^1}x_6$ together with $Sq^1(x_1x_5) = (l_{\lambda^1} + l_{\lambda^3})x_1x_5$, $Sq^1(x_2x_6) = (l_{\lambda^1} + l_{\lambda^3})x_2x_6$, $Sq^1(x_2x_4) = (l_{\lambda^1} + l_{\lambda^2} + l_{\lambda^3})x_2x_4 + x_2x_4x_6$, $Sq^1(x_4x_6) = (l_{\lambda^2} + l_{\lambda^3})x_4x_6$, and $Sq^1(x_2x_4x_6) = (l_{\lambda^1} + l_{\lambda^2} + l_{\lambda^3})x_2x_4x_6$ where $l_{\lambda^1} = x_1 + x_2 + x_5$, $l_{\lambda^2} = x_3 + x_4 + x_5$ and $l_{\lambda^3} = x_5 + x_6 + x_7$.

It is interesting to compare the (simplicial) coboundary operator $\partial$ between critical simplices in each full subcomplex $K_\omega$ for $\omega \in \text{row } \lambda$, and the Bockstein homomorphism $Sq^1$ by (6.4). We illustrate this in Figure 5 where $\emptyset \in K_\emptyset$ is ignored; notice that no restrictions are contained in full subcomplexes $K_{345}$ and $K_{123457}$.

Since $H^*(K_\omega)$ is torsion-free for all $\omega \in \text{row } \lambda$, by Theorems 5.2 and 1.7 we can choose $1$, $x_2$, $x_6$ and $x_2x_6$ as a basis for $E_2^*(Y) = E_\infty^*(Y)$, and the other four basis elements in $H^*(Y; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ are connected in pairs by $Sq^1$. As a conclusion,

$$
H^k(Y; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \begin{cases}
\mathbb{Z} & k = 0 \\
\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} & k = 1 \\
\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 & k = 2 \\
\mathbb{Z}_2 & k = 3.
\end{cases}
$$

Remark 6.4. When $K$ is shellable in the sense of Björner and Wachs [1] (i.e., $K$ need not to be pure), the algebraic basis from shellability (cf. Proposition 2.4) still holds (see [2, Theorem 12.3]), and our proof of Theorem 5.2 works as well. Shellable complexes (in the usual sense) are considered in this paper since we are interested in the case when the sparsal cover is a piecewise linear manifold (see Proposition 2.3).
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