SHORT INTERVAL RESULTS FOR A CLASS OF ARITHMETIC FUNCTIONS

OLIVIER BORDELLÈS

FUNCTIONS

Abstract. Using estimates on Hooley's Δ -function and a short interval version of the celebrated Dirichlet hyperbola principle, we derive an asymptotic formula for a class of arithmetic functions over short segments. Numerous examples are also given.

1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULT

Studying the behaviour of arithmetic functions in short intervals is a quite longstanding problem in number theory. By 'short intervals' we mean the study of sums of the shape

$$\sum_{x < n \leqslant x + y} F(n)$$

where y = o(x) as $x \longrightarrow \infty$.

One of the first results to be published dealing with this problem was Ramachandra's benchmarking paper [11] revisited by Kátai & Subbarao [8]. More recently, Cui & Wu [3] derived a short interval version of the Selberg-Delange method, developed by Selberg and Delange between 1954 and 1971 in order to provide a quite general theorem giving the right order of magnitude of the usual arithmetic functions.

For multiplicative functions F such that F(p) is close to 1 for every prime p, another method was developed in [2] using profound theorems from Filaseta-Trifonov and Huxley-Sargos results on integer points near certain smooth curves. This leads to very precise estimates of a large class of multiplicative functions.

In this work, we derive asymptotic results for short sums of arithmetic functions Fsuch that there exist $s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and real numbers $\kappa \in [0, 1)$, $\beta \geq 0$, $\delta \geq 0$ and A > 0 such that

(1)
$$\sum_{n \leq z} \left(F \star \mu \right)(n) = z \sum_{j=0}^{s} a_j (\log z)^j + O\left(z^{\kappa} (\log ez)^{\beta} \right) \quad (z \ge 1, \ a_j \in \mathbb{C}, \ a_s \neq 0)$$

(2)
$$|(F \star \mu)(n)| \leq A \tau_m(n) (\log en)^{\delta} \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1})$$

where, as usual, μ is the Möbius function, τ_m is the *m*th Dirichlet-Piltz divisor function and $f \star g$ is the Dirichlet convolution product of the arithmetic functions

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 11A25, 11N37, 11L07.

Key words and phrases. Short sums, Dirichlet hyperbola principle.

f and g defined by

$$(f \star g)(n) = \sum_{d|n} f(d)g(n/d).$$

Our main result may be stated as follows.

Theorem 1. Let $e^e < y \leq x$ be real numbers and F be an arithmetic function satisfying (1) and (2). Then, for any $\varepsilon \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ and $x^{1/2}e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\log x)^{1/4}} \leq y \leq xe^{-(\log x)^{1/4}}$

$$\sum_{x < n \leq x+y} F(n) = \frac{ya_s}{s+1} (\log x)^{s+1} + O\left(xy^{\kappa-1} e^{(\kappa-1)(\log x)^{1/4}} (\log x) + y(\log x)^{\max\left(s,\delta+m-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon_{m+1}(x)\right)} + x^{\varepsilon}\right).$$

The implied constant depends only on $A, \varepsilon, m, s, a_0, \ldots, a_s$ and on the implied constant arising in (1). For any integer $r \ge 2$, the function $\epsilon_r(x) = o(1)$ as $x \longrightarrow \infty$ is given in (3) below.

It should be mentioned that if the error term in (1) is of the form $O(z^{\kappa+\epsilon})$ instead of $O(z^{\kappa}(\log ez)^{\beta})$, then the proof shows that the term $xy^{\kappa-1}e^{(\kappa-1)(\log x)^{1/4}}(\log x)^{\beta}$ has to be replaced by

$$xy^{\kappa-1+\varepsilon} e^{(\kappa-1+\varepsilon)(\log x)^{1/4}}$$

the other terms remaining unchanged.

2. NOTATION

In what follows, $e^e < y \leq x$ are large real numbers, $N \ge 1$ is a large integer and $\varepsilon > 0$ is an arbitrary small real number which does not need to be the same at each occurence.

For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lfloor t \rfloor$ is the integer part of t, $\psi(t) = t - \lfloor t \rfloor - \frac{1}{2}$ is the first Bernoulli function, $e(t) = e^{2\pi i t}$ and $\|t\|$ is the distance from $t \in \mathbb{R}$ to its nearest integer.

For any integer $r \ge 2$ and any real number $x > e^e$, we set

(3)
$$\epsilon_r(x) = \sqrt{\frac{r \log \log \log x}{\log \log x}} \left(r - 1 + \frac{30}{\log \log \log x}\right).$$

Besides the arithmetic functions already listed above, let Δ_r be the *r*th Hooley's divisor function defined by

$$\Delta_r(n) = \max_{\substack{u_1, \dots, u_{r-1} \in \mathbb{R} \\ e^{u_i} < d_i \leqslant e^{u_i + 1}}} \sum_{\substack{d_1 d_2 \cdots d_{r-1} \mid n \\ e^{u_i} < d_i \leqslant e^{u_i + 1}}} 1$$

where $r \ge 2$ is a fixed integer.

Finally, let F be any arithmetic function and let $f = F \star \mu$ be the Eratosthenes transform of F. We set

(4)
$$\Sigma_F(N, x, y) := \sum_{N < n \leq 2N} f(n) \left(\psi \left(\frac{x+y}{n} \right) - \psi \left(\frac{x}{n} \right) \right) \quad (y < N \leq x).$$

 $\mathbf{2}$

3. FIRST TECHNICAL TOOLS

Lemma 2. Let F be any arithmetic function satisfying (1), and $f = F \star \mu$ be the Eratosthenes transform of F. Then, for any real numbers $1 \leq t \leq z$

$$\sum_{z < n \leq z+t} f(n) = ta_s (\log z)^s + O\left\{t(\log ez)^{s-1} + z^{\kappa} (\log ez)^{\beta}\right\}.$$

PROOF. Follows from (1) and usual arguments. We leave the details to the reader. \Box

Lemma 3. Let F be any arithmetic function and $f = F \star \mu$ be the Eratosthenes transform of F. Then, for any integers $N \in (y, x]$ and $H \ge 1$

$$\Sigma_F(N, x, y) = -\int_x^{x+y} \sum_{0 < |h| \le H} \sum_{N < n \le 2N} \frac{f(n)}{n} e\left(\frac{ht}{n}\right) dt$$
$$+ O\left(\max_{x \le z \le x+y} \sum_{N < n \le 2N} |f(n)| \min\left(1, \frac{1}{H ||z/n||}\right)\right)$$

where $\Sigma_F(N, x, y)$ is given in (4).

PROOF. We use the representation

$$\psi(t) = -\sum_{0 < |h| \leq H} \frac{e(ht)}{2\pi i h} + O\left\{\min\left(1, \frac{1}{H \|t\|}\right)\right\} \quad (H \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1})$$

to get

$$\sum_{N < n \le 2N} f(n)\psi\left(\frac{x}{n}\right) = -\sum_{0 < |h| \le H} \frac{1}{2\pi i h} \sum_{N < n \le 2N} f(n)e\left(\frac{hx}{n}\right) + O\left(\sum_{N < n \le 2N} |f(n)| \min\left(1, \frac{1}{H \|x/n\|}\right)\right)$$

and the result follows using the identity

$$e\left(a(x+y)\right) - e\left(ax\right) = 2\pi ia \int_{x}^{x+y} e\left(at\right) \mathrm{d}t.$$

The proof is complete.

4. DIRICHLET'S HYPERBOLA PRINCIPLE IN SHORT INTERVALS

The Dirichlet hyperbola principle was first developed by Dirichlet circa 1840 which enabled him to prove the first non-trivial asymptotic result for the so-called Dirichlet divisor problem. It was later generalized in order to deal with long sums of convolution products of the shape $f \star g$ and usually provides good estimates when f(n)or g(n) is $\ll n^{\varepsilon}$.

The next result is a short sum version of this very useful principle.

Lemma 4. Let $x, y, T \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $1 \leq \max\left(y, \frac{x}{y}\right) \leq T \leq x$. Then, for any arithmetic functions f and g

$$\begin{split} \sum_{x < n \leqslant x+y} \left(f \star g \right)(n) &= \sum_{d \leqslant T} f(d) \sum_{\frac{x}{d} < k \leqslant \frac{x+y}{d}} g(k) + \sum_{k \leqslant x/T} g(k) \sum_{\frac{x}{k} < d \leqslant \frac{x+y}{k}} f(d) \\ &+ O\left(\max_{k \leqslant 2x/T} |g(k)| \sum_{T < d \leqslant T(1+y/x)} |f(d)| \right). \end{split}$$

PROOF. Let $1 \leq \max\left(y, \frac{x}{y}\right) \leq T \leq x$. Then

$$\sum_{x < n \le x+y} (f \star g)(n) = \sum_{d \le x+y} f(d) \sum_{\frac{x}{d} < k \le \frac{x+y}{d}} g(k)$$
$$= \sum_{d \le T} f(d) \sum_{\frac{x}{d} < k \le \frac{x+y}{d}} g(k) + \sum_{T < d \le x+y} f(d) \sum_{\frac{x}{d} < k \le \frac{x+y}{d}} g(k)$$
$$= \sum_{d \le T} f(d) \sum_{\frac{x}{d} < k \le \frac{x+y}{d}} g(k) + S_1(T)$$

where

$$\begin{split} S_{1}(T) &= \sum_{T < d \leqslant x+y} f(d) \sum_{k \leqslant \frac{x+y}{d}} g(k) - \sum_{T < d \leqslant x+y} f(d) \sum_{k \leqslant \frac{x}{d}} g(k) \\ &= \sum_{k \leqslant \frac{x+y}{T}} g(k) \sum_{T < d \leqslant \frac{x+y}{k}} f(d) - \sum_{k \leqslant \frac{x}{T}} g(k) \sum_{T < d \leqslant \frac{x}{k}} f(d) \\ &= \sum_{k \leqslant \frac{x}{T}} g(k) \sum_{T < d \leqslant \frac{x+y}{k}} f(d) + \sum_{\frac{x}{T} < k \leqslant \frac{x+y}{T}} g(k) \sum_{T < d \leqslant \frac{x+y}{k}} f(d) - \sum_{k \leqslant \frac{x}{T}} g(k) \sum_{T < d \leqslant \frac{x}{k}} f(d) \\ &= \sum_{k \leqslant x/T} g(k) \sum_{\frac{x}{k} < d \leqslant \frac{x+y}{k}} f(d) + \sum_{\frac{x}{T} < k \leqslant \frac{x+y}{T}} g(k) \sum_{T < d \leqslant \frac{x+y}{k}} f(d) \\ &= \sum_{k \leqslant x/T} g(k) \sum_{\frac{x}{k} < d \leqslant \frac{x+y}{k}} f(d) + S_{2}(T) \end{split}$$

say. Since $y \leq T \leq x$, the interval $\left(\frac{x}{T}, \frac{x+y}{T}\right)$ contains at most an integer, namely $\left\lfloor \frac{x+y}{T} \right\rfloor$, so that

$$S_2(T) = g\left(\left\lfloor \frac{x+y}{T} \right\rfloor\right) \left(\left\lfloor \frac{x+y}{T} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{x}{T} \right\rfloor\right) \sum_{T < d \le \frac{x+y}{\lfloor (x+y)/T \rfloor}} f(d).$$

Note that $S_2(T) = 0$ in the following cases :

- either the interval $\left(\frac{x}{T}, \frac{x+y}{T}\right)$ does not contain any integer;
- or $\frac{x+y}{T} \in \mathbb{Z}$, for then $\frac{x+y}{\lfloor (x+y)/T \rfloor} = T$ and the inner sum of $S_2(T)$ is empty.

Now if $\frac{x+y}{T} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ and if the interval $\left(\frac{x}{T}, \frac{x+y}{T}\right]$ contains an integer, then $\left\lfloor \frac{x+y}{T} \right\rfloor > \frac{x}{T}$ so that

$$0 \leqslant \frac{x+y}{\lfloor (x+y)/T \rfloor} - T < \frac{x+y}{x/T} - T = \frac{Ty}{x}$$

achieving the proof.

Remark 5. Applying Lemmas 2 and 4 to our problem yields the estimate

$$\sum_{x < n \leqslant x+y} F(n) = \frac{ya_s}{s+1} (\log x)^{s+1} + O_{\varepsilon} \left(xy^{\kappa-1} \left(\log x \right)^{\beta} + y(\log x)^{\max(s,\delta+m-1)} + x^{\varepsilon} \right)$$

as soon as $x^{1/2} \leq y \leq x$, uniformly for any function F satisfying (1) and (2). Thus, Theorem 1 substantially improves on the error term, and the rest of the text is devoted to show how one can get such an improvement.

5. Estimates for Hooley-type Δ -functions

We easily derive from [7, Theorem 70] and partial summation the following result.

Lemma 6. For any $x \ge 1$ sufficiently large and any fixed integer $r \ge 2$

$$\sum_{n \leqslant x} \frac{\Delta_r(n)}{n} \ll_{r,\varepsilon} (\log x)^{1+\epsilon_r(x)}$$

where $\epsilon_r(x)$ is defined in (3).

Lemma 6 implies the following short interval result for Hooley's Δ_r -functions.

Corollary 7. For any large real numbers $1 \leq y \leq x$, small real number $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and any fixed integer $r \geq 2$

$$\sum_{\alpha < n \leq x+y} \Delta_r(n) \ll_{r,\varepsilon} y(\log x)^{\epsilon_r(x)} + x^{\varepsilon}$$

where $\epsilon_r(x)$ is defined in (3).

PROOF. If $1 \leq y \leq x^{\varepsilon/2}$, then

$$\sum_{x < n \leqslant x+y} \Delta_r(n) \ll y \max_{x < n \leqslant x+y} \Delta_r(n) \ll_{r,\varepsilon} y \max_{x < n \leqslant x+y} n^{\varepsilon/2} \ll_{r,\varepsilon} x^{\varepsilon}$$

whereas the case $x^{\varepsilon/2} \leq y \leq x$ follows from [10, Corollary 4] and Lemma 6. Borrowing an idea from [7], we get the following upper bound.

Lemma 8. For all $r, n, N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$

$$\sum_{\substack{d|n\\N < d \le 2N}} \tau_r(d) \le \left(\log 2eN\right)^{r-1} \Delta_{r+1}(n).$$

PROOF. The result is obvious for r = 1, so that we may suppose $r \ge 2$. For all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 1}$ and $k_1, \ldots, k_{r-1} \in \mathbb{R}$, we set

$$\Delta(n; k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}) := \sum_{\substack{d_1 \cdots d_{r-1} \mid n \\ e^{k_i} < d_i \le e^{k_i + 1}}} 1$$

so that from [7, page 122]

$$\tau_r(d) = \sum_{k_1=0}^{\lfloor \log d \rfloor} \cdots \sum_{k_{r-1}=0}^{\lfloor \log d \rfloor} \Delta(d; k_1, \dots, k_{r-1})$$

and hence

$$\sum_{\substack{d|n\\N

$$\leqslant \sum_{k_1=0}^{\lfloor \log 2N \rfloor} \cdots \sum_{k_{r-1}=0}^{\lfloor \log 2N \rfloor} \sum_{\substack{d|n\\N

$$\leqslant \sum_{k_1=0}^{\lfloor \log 2N \rfloor} \cdots \sum_{k_{r-1}=0}^{\lfloor \log 2N \rfloor} \sum_{\substack{d_1\cdots d_{r-1}|d\\e^{k_i} < d_i \leqslant e^{k_i+1}} 1$$

$$\leqslant \sum_{k_1=0}^{\lfloor \log 2N \rfloor} \cdots \sum_{k_{r-1}=0}^{\lfloor \log 2N \rfloor} \Delta_{r+1}(n) \leqslant (\log 2eN)^{r-1} \Delta_{r+1}(n)$$$$$$

as asserted.

Now from Corollary 7 and Lemma 8, we are in a position to establish the main result of this section.

Proposition 9. Let $z \ge 1$ be any real number, $4 \le H \le N \le z$ and $m \ge 1$ be integers. Then

$$\sum_{N < n \leq 2N} \tau_m(n) \min\left(1, \frac{1}{H \|z/n\|}\right) \ll N H^{-1} \log H(\log N)^{m-1} (\log z)^{\epsilon_{m+1}(z)} + z^{\varepsilon_{m+1}(z)} + z^{\varepsilon_{m+1}(z)}$$

where $\epsilon_{m+1}(z)$ is defined in (3).

PROOF. Define $K := \lfloor \log H / \log 2 \rfloor \ge 2$. Generalizing [1, Lemma 2.2], we get

$$\sum_{N < n \le 2N} \tau_m(n) \min\left(1, \frac{1}{H \|z/n\|}\right) \ll H^{-1} \sum_{N < n \le 2N} \tau_m(n) + \sum_{k=0}^{K-2} 2^{-k} \sum_{\substack{N < n \le 2N \\ \|z/n\| < 2^k H^{-1}}} \tau_m(n)$$

and using Lemma 8 and Corollary 7 we obtain for any $k \in \{0, \dots, K-2\}$

$$\sum_{\substack{N < n \leq 2N \\ \|z/n\| < 2^k H^{-1}}} \tau_m(n) \leq \sum_{N < n \leq 2N} \tau_m(n) \left(\left\lfloor \frac{z}{n} + 2^k H^{-1} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{z}{n} - 2^k H^{-1} \right\rfloor \right) \right)$$
$$\leq \sum_{z - 2^{k+1} N H^{-1} < \ell \leq z + 2^{k+1} N H^{-1}} \sum_{\substack{n \mid \ell \\ N < n \leq 2N}} \tau_m(n)$$
$$\leq (\log 2eN)^{m-1} \sum_{z - 2^{k+1} N H^{-1} < \ell \leq z + 2^{k+1} N H^{-1}} \Delta_{m+1}(\ell)$$
$$\ll (\log N)^{m-1} \left\{ 2^k N H^{-1} (\log z)^{\epsilon_{m+1}(z)} + z^{\varepsilon} \right\}$$

and thus

$$\sum_{N < n \le 2N} \tau_m(n) \min\left(1, \frac{1}{H \|z/n\|}\right)$$

$$\ll NH^{-1}(\log N)^{m-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{K-2} 2^{-k} \left\{2^k N H^{-1}(\log z)^{\epsilon_{m+1}(z)} + z^{\varepsilon}\right\} (\log N)^{m-1}$$

$$\ll NH^{-1} \log H(\log N)^{m-1} (\log z)^{\epsilon_{m+1}(z)} + z^{2\varepsilon}$$

achieving the proof.

6. Proof of Theorem 1

Let $e^e < y \leq x$ and $\max\left(y, \frac{x}{y}\right) \leq T \leq x$. From Lemma 4 with g = 1, (2) and Shiu's theorem [12], we get

$$\begin{split} \sum_{x < n \leqslant x + y} F(n) &= \sum_{d \leqslant T} f(d) \left(\left\lfloor \frac{x + y}{d} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{x}{d} \right\rfloor \right) + \sum_{k \leqslant \frac{x}{T}} \sum_{\frac{x}{k} < d \leqslant \frac{x + y}{k}} f(d) \\ &+ O_A \left((\log T)^{\delta} \sum_{T < n \leqslant T + y} \tau_m(n) \right) \\ &= y \sum_{d \leqslant T} \frac{f(d)}{d} - \sum_{y < d \leqslant T} f(d) \left(\psi \left(\frac{x + y}{d} \right) - \psi \left(\frac{x}{d} \right) \right) + \sum_{k \leqslant \frac{x}{T}} \sum_{\frac{x}{k} < d \leqslant \frac{x + y}{k}} f(d) \\ &+ O \left(\sum_{d \leqslant y} |f(d)| \right) + O_{A,\varepsilon} \left(y (\log T)^{\delta + m - 1} + x^{\varepsilon} \right) \\ &:= y S_1 - S_2 + S_3 + O_{A,\varepsilon} \left(y (\log T)^{\delta + m - 1} + x^{\varepsilon} \right). \end{split}$$

For S_1 , using partial summation and (1), we get

(5)
$$\sum_{d \leqslant T} \frac{f(d)}{d} = \sum_{j=0}^{s} a_{j} (\log T)^{j} + \sum_{j=0}^{s} a_{j} \int_{1}^{T} \frac{(\log t)^{j}}{t} dt + O(1)$$
$$= \frac{a_{s}}{s+1} (\log T)^{s+1} + O(\log^{s} T).$$

For S_3 , using Lemma 2, we derive

$$S_{3} = \sum_{k \leq \frac{x}{T}} \left\{ \frac{ya_{s}}{k} \log^{s} \frac{x}{k} + O\left(\frac{y}{k} \log^{s-1} x + \left(\frac{x}{k}\right)^{\kappa} \log^{\beta} x\right) \right\}$$
$$= ya_{s} \sum_{k \leq \frac{x}{T}} \frac{1}{k} \log^{s} \frac{x}{k} + O\left(y \log^{s} x + xT^{\kappa-1} \log^{\beta} x\right)$$

and using

$$\sum_{k \le z} \frac{1}{k} \left(\log \frac{x}{k} \right)^j = \frac{1}{j+1} \left\{ (\log x)^{j+1} - \left(\log \frac{x}{z} \right)^{j+1} \right\} + O_j \left(\log^j x \right) \quad (j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}, \ 1 \le z \le x)$$

we get

(6)
$$S_3 = \frac{ya_s}{s+1} \left\{ (\log x)^{s+1} - (\log T)^{s+1} \right\} + O\left(y \log^s x + xT^{\kappa-1} \log^\beta x \right).$$

It remains to estimate S_2 . To this end, we first split the interval (y, T] into $O\left(\log \frac{T}{y}\right)$ dyadic sub-intervals of the shape (N, 2N] giving

$$|S_2| \ll \max_{y < N \leq T} |\Sigma_F(N, x, y)| \log(T/y)$$

where $\Sigma_F(N, x, y)$ is given in (4). From Lemma 3 and Abel summation, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\Sigma_F(N, x, y)| &\ll \frac{y}{N} \max_{N \leqslant N_1 \leqslant 2N} \max_{x \leqslant z \leqslant x+y} \sum_{N < n \leqslant N_1} |f(n)| \left| \sum_{h \leqslant H} e\left(\frac{hz}{n}\right) \right| \\ &+ \max_{x \leqslant z \leqslant x+y} \sum_{N < n \leqslant 2N} |f(n)| \min\left(1, \frac{1}{H \|z/n\|}\right) \\ &\ll_A \left\{ \frac{yH}{N} \max_{x \leqslant z \leqslant x+y} \sum_{N < n \leqslant 2N} \tau_m(n) \min\left(1, \frac{1}{H \|z/n\|}\right) \\ &+ \max_{x \leqslant z \leqslant x+y} \sum_{N < n \leqslant 2N} \tau_m(n) \min\left(1, \frac{1}{H \|z/n\|}\right) \right\} (\log N)^{\delta} \end{aligned}$$

and Proposition 9 implies that, for $H \ge 4$ and $\max(H, y, \frac{x}{y}) \le N \le T \le x$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Sigma_F(N,x,y)| &\ll_{A,\varepsilon} \quad \log H(\log N)^{\delta+m-1}(\log x)^{\epsilon_{m+1}(x)} \left(y+NH^{-1}\right) + x^{2\varepsilon} \left(yHN^{-1}+1\right) \\ &\ll_{A,\varepsilon} \quad y\log(N/y)(\log N)^{\delta+m-1}(\log x)^{\epsilon_{m+1}(x)} + x^{2\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

with the choice of $H = 4 \lfloor Ny^{-1} \rfloor$. If $\max\left(y, \frac{x}{y}\right) \leq T \leq x$, adding the contributions from (5) and (6) we deduce that

$$\sum_{x < n \le x+y} F(n) = \frac{ya_s}{s+1} (\log x)^{s+1} + O\left\{xT^{\kappa-1}(\log x)^{\beta} + y\left(\log \frac{T}{y}\right)^2 (\log T)^{\delta+m-1}(\log x)^{\epsilon_{m+1}(x)} + y\log^s x + x^{2\epsilon}\right\}$$

the term $y(\log T)^{\delta+m-1}$ being absorbed by $y(\log(T/y))^2(\log T)^{\delta+m-1}(\log x)^{\epsilon_{m+1}(x)}$. The asserted result then follows from choosing $T = y \exp((\log x)^{1/4})$.

7. Applications and examples

In this section, the following additional arithmetic functions will appear.

▷ For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$, let μ_k be the characteristic function of the set of k-free numbers. Note that $\mu_2 = \mu^2$.

▷ For any $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$, $\tau_{(k)}(n)$ counts the number of k-free divisors of n, with the convention $\tau_{(k)}(1) = 1$. Note that $\tau_{(2)} = 2^{\omega}$ where, as usual, $\omega(n)$ is the number of distinct prime factors of n.

▷ For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, Λ_k is the *k*th von Mangoldt's function defined by $\Lambda_k = \mu \star \log^k$. Similarly, if *g* is any arithmetic function satisfying $g(1) \neq 0$, the von Mangoldt function Λ_g attached to *g* is implicitly defined by the equation $\Lambda_g \star g = g \times \log$.

7.1. **Example 1.** In [4], it is shown that, uniformly for $x^{1/2} \log x < y < x^{1/2} (\log x)^{5/2}$

$$\sum_{x < n \leq x+y} \tau_4(n) = \frac{1}{6} y (\log x)^3 + O\left((xy)^{1/3} (\log x)^{2/3} \right).$$

Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ and take $f = \tau_{k-1}$. Applying Theorem 1 with m = k - 1, s = k - 2, $\delta = 0$, $\kappa = 1 - \frac{2}{k}$, we get

Corollary 10. For any $x^{1/2}e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\log x)^{1/4}} \leq y \leq xe^{-(\log x)^{1/4}}$ and any $\varepsilon \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$

$$\sum_{x < n \le x+y} \tau_k(n) = \frac{y(\log x)^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} + O_{\varepsilon} \left(x y^{-2/k+\varepsilon} e^{\left(-\frac{2}{k}+\varepsilon\right)(\log x)^{1/4}} + y(\log x)^{k-3/2+\varepsilon_k(x)} + x^{\varepsilon} \right)$$

the term y^{ε} being omitted when k = 2. In particular,

$$\sum_{\langle n \leq x+y} \tau_4(n) = \frac{1}{6} y (\log x)^3 + O\left(y (\log x)^{5/2 + \epsilon_4(x)}\right)$$

for all $x^{2/3+\varepsilon}e^{-\frac{1}{3}(\log x)^{1/4}} \leq y \leq xe^{-(\log x)^{1/4}}$.

7.2. **Example 2.** In this example, F(n) is either $\tau(n)^2$, or $\tau(n^3)$. Improving on a result from [5], Zhai [13, Corollary 4] showed that

$$\sum_{x < n \le x+y} F(n) \sim C_F \, y (\log x)^3$$

for y = o(x) with

$$\frac{y}{x^{1/2}\log x} \to \infty \quad \text{lorsque} \quad x \to \infty$$

and where $C_F = \frac{1}{6\zeta(2)}$ if $F = \tau^2$, and⁽¹⁾

$$C_F = \frac{1}{6} \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^2 \left(1 + \frac{2}{p} \right) \quad \text{if } F = \tau \circ \text{Id}^3$$

Let f be the Eratosthenes transform of F. Note that, if $F = \tau^2$, then $f = \tau \circ \text{Id}^2$, and if $F = \tau \circ \text{Id}^3$, then $f = 3^{\omega}$, so that in both cases

$$|f(n)| \leqslant \tau_3(n) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 1})$$

and by [13, Main Theorem], we have in both cases

$$\sum_{n \le x} f(n) = 3C_F x(\log x)^2 + Ax \log x + Bx + O\left(x^{1/2}(\log x)^4\right)$$

where $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$. We may apply Theorem 1 with $\delta = 0, A = 1, s = 2, m = 3, \beta = 4$ and $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$, giving the following more precise version of Zhai's result.

Corollary 11. The function F being indifferently either τ^2 or $\tau \circ \text{Id}^3$, for any $x^{1/2}e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\log x)^{1/4}} \leqslant y \leqslant xe^{-(\log x)^{1/4}}$ and any $\varepsilon \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$

$$\sum_{x < n \le x+y} F(n) = C_F y (\log x)^3 + O_{\varepsilon} \left(x y^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} (\log x)^{1/4}} (\log x)^4 + y (\log x)^{5/2 + \epsilon_4(x)} + x^{\varepsilon} \right)$$

where $C_F = \frac{1}{6\zeta(2)}$ if $F = \tau^2$, and

x

$$C_F = \frac{1}{6} \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^2 \left(1 + \frac{2}{p} \right)$$

if $F = \tau \circ \text{Id}^3$. In particular, if $x^{2/3} e^{-\frac{1}{3}(\log x)^{1/4}} \log x \leq y \leq x e^{-(\log x)^{1/4}}$

$$\sum_{\langle n \leqslant x+y} F(n) = C_F y(\log x)^3 + O_{\varepsilon} \left(y(\log x)^{5/2 + \epsilon_4(x)} \right)$$

7.3. **Example 3.** Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ and take $f = \mu_k$. Theorem 1 applied with the values $\delta = s = \beta = 0, m = 1$ and $\kappa = \frac{1}{k}$ gives the following corollary.

Corollary 12. For $x^{1/2}e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\log x)^{1/4}} \leq y \leq xe^{-(\log x)^{1/4}}$ and any $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$

$$\sum_{x < n \le x+y} \tau_{(k)}(n) = \frac{y \log x}{\zeta(k)} + O_{\varepsilon} \left(x y^{-1+1/k} e^{(-1+1/k)(\log x)^{1/4}} + y(\log x)^{1/2+\epsilon_2(x)} + x^{\varepsilon} \right).$$

In particular, if $x^{\frac{k}{2k-1}} e^{\frac{1-k}{2k-1}(\log x)^{1/4}} \leq y \leq x e^{-(\log x)^{1/4}}$, then

$$\sum_{\langle n \leqslant x+y} \tau_{(k)}(n) = \frac{y \log x}{\zeta(k)} + O\left(y(\log x)^{1/2 + \epsilon_2(x)}\right).$$

⁽¹⁾There is a misprint on C_F in [5, Corollary 1] for which the coefficient $\frac{1}{6}$ has been forgotten in both cases.

For instance, when k = 2, we get

$$\sum_{\langle n \leqslant x+y} 2^{\omega(n)} = \frac{y \log x}{\zeta(2)} + O\left(y(\log x)^{1/2 + \epsilon_2(x)}\right)$$

for all $x^{2/3} e^{-\frac{1}{3}(\log x)^{1/4}} \leq y \leq x e^{-(\log x)^{1/4}}$.

7.4. Example 4. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$ and take $f = \tau_{(k)}$. Theorem 1 with s = 1, m = 2, $\delta = 0$ and κ given below gives

Corollary 13. For all $x^{1/2}e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\log x)^{1/4}} \leq y \leq xe^{-(\log x)^{1/4}}$ and any $\varepsilon \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$

$$\sum_{x < n \le x+y} \left(\tau \star \mu_k \right)(n) = \frac{y(\log x)^2}{2\zeta(k)} + O_{\varepsilon} \left(x y^{\kappa - 1} e^{(\kappa - 1)(\log x)^{1/4}} + y(\log x)^{3/2 + \epsilon_3(x)} + x^{\varepsilon} \right)$$

where

$$\kappa := \begin{cases} 1/k, & \text{si } k \in \{2,3\}\\ \frac{131}{416} + \varepsilon, & \text{si } k \ge 4. \end{cases}$$

7.5. Example 5. We use Theorem 1 with $f = \mu^2 \times 2^{\omega}$. In [6], it is shown that, for any real number $z \ge 1$ sufficiently large⁽²⁾

$$\sum_{n \le z} \mu^2(n) 2^{\omega(n)} = Az \log z + Bz + O\left(z^{1/2} (\log z)^6\right)$$

where $A = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^2 \left(1 + \frac{2}{p}\right)$ et $B = A\left(2\gamma - 1 + 6\sum_p \frac{(p-1)\log p}{p^2(p+2)}\right)$. Thus, we can apply Theorem 1 with $s = 1, m = 2, \delta = 0, \beta = 6$ and $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$, giving

Corollary 14. For any $\varepsilon \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ and all $x^{1/2}e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\log x)^{1/4}} \leqslant y \leqslant xe^{-(\log x)^{1/4}}$

$$\sum_{x < n \le x+y} 3^{\omega(n)} = \frac{1}{2} \prod_{p} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^2 \left(1 + \frac{2}{p} \right) y(\log x)^2 + O\left(x y^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} (\log x)^{1/4}} (\log x)^6 + y(\log x)^{3/2 + \epsilon_3(x)} + x^{\varepsilon} \right).$$

7.6. Example 6. The function F does not need to be multiplicative. Suppose that we have at our disposal an arithmetic function g such that $|g(n)| \leq A$, $g(1) \neq 0$ and

$$\sum_{n \leqslant z} g(n) = az + O\left(z^{\kappa} (\log z)^{\beta}\right) \quad (z \ge 1)$$

with $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \kappa \in [0, 1), \beta \ge 0$. By Abel summation, we get

$$\sum_{n \leq z} g(n) \log n = az(\log z - 1) + O\left(z^{\kappa} (\log z)^{\beta + 1}\right)$$

so that Theorem 1 may be used with $f = g \times \log$ and $m = s = \delta = 1$, giving the following estimate.

⁽²⁾In fact, the authors in [6] give an error term of the shape $O_{\varepsilon}(z^{1/2+\varepsilon})$, but a close inspection of their proof reveals that it can be sharpened to $\ll z^{1/2}(\log z)^6$.

Corollary 15. For any $\varepsilon \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ and all $x^{1/2}e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\log x)^{1/4}} \leqslant y \leqslant xe^{-(\log x)^{1/4}}$

$$\sum_{x < n \leq x+y} \Lambda_g(n) G(n) = \frac{1}{2} a y (\log x)^2 + O\left(x y^{\kappa-1} e^{(\kappa-1)(\log x)^{1/4}} (\log x)^{\beta+1} + y (\log x)^{3/2+\epsilon_2(x)} + x^{\varepsilon}\right)$$

where $G := g \star \mathbf{1}$.

For instance, when $g = \mu^2$, so that $a = \zeta(2)^{-1}$, $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\beta = 0$, we get for all $x^{1/2}e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\log x)^{1/4}} \leq y \leq xe^{-(\log x)^{1/4}}$ and any $\varepsilon \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$

$$\sum_{x < n \leq x+y} \Lambda_{\mu^2}(n) \, 2^{\omega(n)} = \frac{y(\log x)^2}{2\zeta(2)} + O\left(xy^{-1/2}e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\log x)^{1/4}}\log x + y(\log x)^{3/2 + \epsilon_2(x)} + x^{\varepsilon}\right).$$

In particular, if $x^{2/3} e^{-\frac{1}{3} (\log x)^{1/4}} \leqslant y \leqslant x e^{-(\log x)^{1/4}}$, then

$$\sum_{x < n \leq x+y} \Lambda_{\mu^2}(n) \, 2^{\omega(n)} = \frac{y(\log x)^2}{2\zeta(2)} + O\left(y(\log x)^{3/2 + \epsilon_2(x)}\right)$$

7.7. **Example 7.** Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and take $f = \log^k \star \log^k$. For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we have $0 \leq f(n) \leq 4^{-k} \tau(n) (\log n)^{2k}$. Furthermore, it is known [9] that for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and any real number $z \geq 1$

$$\sum_{n \leq z} f(n) = z P_{2k+1}(\log z) + O_{\varepsilon} \left(z^{1/3 + \varepsilon} \right)$$

where P_{2k+1} is a polynomial of degree 2k + 1 and leading coefficient $\frac{(k!)^2}{(2k+1)!}$, so that Theorem 1 can be used with s = 2k + 1, m = 2, $\delta = 2k$ and $\kappa = \frac{1}{3}$, giving

Corollary 16. For all $x^{1/2}e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\log x)^{1/4}} \leq y \leq xe^{-(\log x)^{1/4}}$, any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and any $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$

$$\sum_{\substack{x < n \le x+y}} \left(\Lambda_k \star \tau \star \log^k \right) (n) = \frac{(k!)^2 y (\log x)^{2k+2}}{(2k+2)!} + O_{k,\varepsilon} \left(x y^{-2/3+\varepsilon} e^{\left(-\frac{2}{3}+\varepsilon\right)(\log x)^{\frac{1}{4}}} + y (\log x)^{2k+\frac{3}{2}+\epsilon_3(x)} + x^{\varepsilon} \right).$$

References

- [1] O. BORDELLÈS, Short sums of restricted Möbius functions, Acta Arith. 142 (2008), 367–375.
- [2] O. BORDELLÈS, Multiplicative functions over short segments, Acta Arith. 157 (2013), 1–10.
- [3] Z. CUI & J. WU, The Selberg-Delange method in short intervals with an application, Acta Arith. 163 (2014), 247–260.
- [4] M. Z. GAREAV, F. LUCA & W. G. NOWAK, The divisor problem for $d_4(n)$ in short intervals, Arch. Math. 86 (2006), 60–66.

- [5] M. Z. GARAEV, F. LUCA AND W. G. NOWAK, Short interval asymptotics for a class of arithmetic functions, Acta Math. Hungar. 113 (2006), 85–99.
- [6] B. GORDON & K. ROGERS, Sums of the divisor function, Canad. J. Math. 16 (1964), 151–158.
- [7] R. R. HALL & G. TENENBAUM, *Divisors*, Cambridge University Press, 1987.
- [8] I. KÁTAI & M. V. SUBBARAO, Some remarks on a paper of Ramachandra, Lithuanian Math. J. 43 (2003), 410–418.
- [9] I. KUICHI & M. MINAMIDE, On the Dirichlet convolution of completely additive functions, J. Integer Sequences 17 (2014), Art. 14.8.7.
- [10] M. NAIR & G. TENENBAUM, Short sums of certain arithmetic functions, Acta Math. 180 (1998), 119–144.
- [11] K. RAMACHANDRA, Some problems of analytic number theory, *Acta Arith.* **31** (1976), 313–324.
- [12] P. SHIU, A Brun-Titchmarsh theorem for multiplicative functions, J. Reine Angew. Math. 313 (1980), 161–170.
- [13] W. ZHAI, Asymptotics for a class of arithmetic functions, Acta Arith. 170 (2015), 135–160.

2 ALLÉE DE LA COMBE, 43000 AIGUILHE, FRANCE *E-mail address*: borde43@wanadoo.fr