arXiv:1605.00183v2 [hep-th] 28 Jun 2016

On the Membrane Paradigm and Spontaneous Breaking of Horizon BMS Symmetries

Christopher Eling*

Rudolf Peierls Centre for Theoretical Physics,

University of Oxford, 1 Keble Road, Oxford OX1 3NP, UK

Yaron Oz†

Raymond and Beverly Sackler School of Physics and Astronomy,

Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv 69978, Israel

(Dated: December 31, 2021)

Abstract

We consider a BMS-type symmetry action on isolated horizons in asymptotically flat spacetimes. From the viewpoint of the non-relativistic field theory on a horizon membrane, supertranslations shift the field theory spatial momentum. The latter is related by a Ward identity to the particle number symmetry current and is spontaneously broken. The corresponding Goldstone boson shifts the horizon angular momentum and can be detected quantum mechanically. Similarly, area preserving superrotations are spontaneously broken on the horizon membrane and we identify the corresponding gapless modes. In asymptotically AdS spacetimes we study the BMS-type symmetry action on the horizon in a holographic superfluid dual. We identify the horizon supertranslation Goldstone boson as the holographic superfluid Goldstone mode.

*Electronic address: cteling@gmail.com

†Electronic address: yaronoz@post.tau.ac.il

I. INTRODUCTION

In a recent series of papers a new understanding has been gained of the symmetries of Einstein gravity and gauge theories [1–3]. In particular, it has been shown that the BMS symmetries of asymptotically flat spacetimes [4, 5] yield an infinite set of spontaneously broken conservation laws underlying soft energy theorems and gravitational memory [1–3]. The corresponding Goldstone bosons are the soft gravitons and photons that carry zero energy but a nonzero angular momentum. Hence, the infinite set of vacua are characterized by an angular momentum. Similarly, an analysis of asymptotic BMS-type symmetries of horizons have been studied in [6] (see also [7–12]), suggesting an infinite set of soft gravitons and photons quantum hair, potentially relevant to the resolution of the information paradox.

The aim of the paper is to analyze the BMS-type symmetry action on isolated horizons and provide a field theory interpretation in the membrane paradigm framework [13–15]. We consider first asymptotically flat spacetimes and study the symmetries from the viewpoint of the non-relativistic field theory on a horizon membrane[1]. We will show that supertranslations shift the field theory spatial momentum which is related by a Ward identity to the particle number symmetry current. The symmetry is spontaneously broken with a corresponding Goldstone boson, that can in principle be detected quantum mechanically. The different isolated horizons are characterized by an angular momentum. Area preserving superrotations are also spontaneously broken on the horizon membrane and we will identify two corresponding Goldstone bosons. Next, we will consider asymptotically AdS spacetimes where the construction of asymptotic symmetries leads to the conformal group. There are no BMS transformations of the timelike boundary at infinity. Yet, there is still a BMS-type symmetry action on the horizon. We will inquire as to what is the interpretation of this in the holographic superfluid field theory defined at the timelike boundary. We will see that the horizon supertranslation Goldstone boson maps to the superfluid Goldstone gapless mode.

The paper is organized as follows. In section II we will consider spacetimes with an isolated horizon, define the horizon asymptotic symmetries and analyze their spontaneous breaking on the horizon membrane. In section III we will consider a bulk background with a horizon in asymptotically AdS spacetime that describes a holographic superfluid. We will

[1] See [16] for a recent discussion of BMS symmetries and the membrane paradigm.

show the relation between the spontaneous breaking of horizon supertranslations and the boundary superfluid gapless mode.

II. HORIZON BMS SYMMETRIES

In this section we will consider spacetimes with an isolated horizon. We will study asymptotic symmetries near the horizon. These are a subset of diffeomorphisms that preserve the structure of the horizon: supertranslations and superrotations. We will consider their realization on a membrane stretched nearby and will show that these symmetries, when viewed from the effective low energy non-relativistic field theory on the membrane, are spontaneously broken global symmetries. For supertranslations we identify the Goldstone mode as the one arising from a spontaneous breaking of the particle number symmetry. Similarly, superrotations are spontaneously broken area preserving diffeomorphisms of the membrane hypersurface.

In the following, we will denote the four-dimensional spacetime coordinates by $X^A = (t, x^a, r), a = 1, 2$, and the horizon location at $r = 0$.

A. Asymptotic Symmetries at a Horizon

Consider a spacetime metric in Gaussian null coordinates. It has the generic form

$$ds^2 = F(t, x^a, r)dt^2 + 2h_a(t, x^a, r)dx^a dt + 2dtdr + \gamma_{ab}(t, x^a, r)dx^a dx^b \ . \tag{1}$$

Near a horizon the functions F, h_a, and γ_{ab} read

$$\begin{aligned} F(t, x^a, r) =& -2\kappa(t, x^a)r + O(r^2) \\ h_a(t, x^a, r) =& 2\Omega_a(t, x^a)r + O(r^2) \\ \gamma_{ab}(t, x^a, r) =& \gamma^{(0)}_{ab}(t, x^a) + 2\Xi_{ab}(t, x^a)r + O(r^2) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

consistent with the presence of a null surface at $r = 0$. The form of Gaussian null coordinates is such that the horizon metric is the non-degenerate two-dimensional $\gamma^{(0)}_{ab}$ adapted to the horizon cross-sections.

$\kappa = \kappa^{(\ell)}$ is the surface gravity of the horizon, defined in terms of the null normal to the

horizon hypersurface ℓ^A as

$$\ell^B \nabla_B \ell^A = \kappa \ell^A \ . \tag{3}$$

Ω_a is the horizon's extrinsic curvature one-form defined as

$$\Omega_a = k_B \nabla_a \ell^B, \tag{4}$$

with k_A an ingoing null vector and $k_A \ell^A = 1$. Ξ_{ab} is defined by

$$\Xi_{ab} = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_k \gamma_{ab} = \sigma^{(k)}_{ab} + \frac{1}{2}\theta^{(k)}\gamma_{ab} \ , \tag{5}$$

where $\sigma^{(k)}_{ab}$ and $\theta^{(k)}$ are the ingoing shear and expansion.

The second fundamental form of the horizon, Θ_{ab}, reads

$$\Theta_{ab} = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_\ell \gamma^{(0)}_{ab} = \sigma^{(\ell)}_{ab} + \frac{1}{2}\theta^{(\ell)}\gamma^{(0)}_{ab} \ , \tag{6}$$

where $\sigma^{(\ell)}_{ab}$ and $\theta^{(\ell)}$ are horizon shear and expansion. In the Gaussian null coordinates, Θ_{ab} is the time derivative of the horizon metric, while Ξ_{ab} is the radial derivative evaluated at $r = 0$.

We will study a subset of diffeomorphisms ξ^A that preserves the horizon. To do this we impose several conditions. First we require that

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi g_{tr} = \mathcal{L}_\xi g_{rr} = 0 \ . \tag{7}$$

This preserves the gauge fixing of the Gaussian null coordinates. Second, we impose the conditions

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi g_{tt} = 0 + O(r), \qquad \mathcal{L}_\xi g_{at} = 0 + O(r) \ , \tag{8}$$

which preserve the vanishing of the functions F and h_a as required for the presence of a horizon.

The condition that $\mathcal{L}_\xi g_{rr} = 0$ forces the time component of ξ^t to be independent of the radial direction. Solving the remaining equations we find the following form for the vector field generating this class of diffeomorphisms

$$\xi^A \partial_A = \alpha(t, x^a)\partial_t + \left(R^a(x^a) - r\gamma^{ab}\partial_b \alpha(t, x^a)\right)\partial_a - \left(r\partial_t \alpha(t, x^a) - r^2 \Omega_a \partial^a \alpha(t, x^a)\right)\partial_r + \cdots \ , \tag{9}$$

where α and R^a are arbitrary functions. This is consistent with the results of [10], except that for the moment we allow for the function α to depend on time. We have a family of "supertranslations" associated with the function α and "superrotations" associated with the horizon spatial vector R^a. These are reminiscent of the supertranslations and superrotations associated with the asymptotic symmetries preserving the structure of null infinity in asymptotically flat spacetimes, the BMS group. Therefore we will refer to these as horizon BMS transformations.

Next we ask what is the effect of these transformations on the horizon data. The evaluation of $\mathcal{L}_\xi g_{tt}$ at $O(r)$ gives the change in the surface gravity κ due to the horizon BMS transformations

$$\kappa \to \kappa + \alpha\partial_t\kappa + \partial_t^2\alpha + \kappa\partial_t\alpha + R^a\partial_a\kappa \ . \tag{10}$$

Similarly, $\mathcal{L}_\xi g_{ta}$ at $O(r)$ gives the shift of Ω_a

$$\Omega_a \to \Omega_a + \alpha\partial_t\Omega_a - \partial_t\partial_a\alpha - \kappa\partial_a\alpha + \Omega_b\partial_a R^b + R^b\partial_b\Omega_a \ . \tag{11}$$

The effect of the transformations of the horizon intrinsic metric $\gamma^{(0)}_{ab}$ is

$$\gamma^{(0)}_{ab} \to \gamma^{(0)}_{ab} + \alpha\partial_t\gamma^{(0)}_{ab} + \mathcal{L}_R\gamma^{(0)}_{ab} \ . \tag{12}$$

In particular, the effect of the superrotation is that of a spatial diffeomorphism on the horizon variables. Finally, $\mathcal{L}_\xi g_{ab}$ at $O(r)$ yields the correction to Ξ_{ab}:

$$\Xi_{ab} \to \Xi_{ab} - \nabla_a\nabla_b\alpha + 2\Omega_{(a}\partial_{b)}\alpha \ . \tag{13}$$

The Noether charges associated with the horizon supertranslations Q_{st} and superrotations Q_{sr} read [10]

$$Q_{st} = 2\int d^2x\sqrt{\gamma}\ \kappa\alpha, \qquad Q_{sr} = -\int d^2x\sqrt{\gamma}R^a\Omega_a \ , \tag{14}$$

where we have used units such that $16\pi G_N = 1$.

B. Isolated Horizons

A case of special interest is that of an isolated horizon [17, 18] (for a review, see [19]). The idea is to generalize the features of a Killing horizon so that one can find a quasi-local

definition of a horizon in equilibrium, without reference to the complete global structure of the spacetime. We will consider transformations that map between isolated horizons keeping the surface gravity fixed.

First, one demands that the horizon be *non-expanding*, i.e. that $\theta^{(\ell)} = 0$. The Raychaudhuri equation then implies that the shear must also vanish $\sigma^{(\ell)}_{ab} = 0$. This restricts the horizon metric $\gamma^{(0)}_{ab}$ to be independent of time. An isolated horizon satisfies also the conditions

$$\kappa = constant, \qquad \partial_t \Omega_a = 0, \qquad \partial_t \Xi_{ab} = 0 \ . \tag{15}$$

In this case one has a relationship between Ξ_{ab} and Ω_a [19]

$$\nabla_{(a}\Omega_{b)} + \Omega_a \Omega_b - \frac{1}{2} R^{(2)}_{ab} - \frac{1}{2} R_{ab} - \kappa \Xi_{ab} = 0 \ , \tag{16}$$

where $R^{(2)}_{ab}$ is the two dimensional intrinsic curvature of the cross-section. Now suppose we want to make a supertranslation that takes one isolated horizon to another, keeping the surface gravity fixed. The form of α can be found by solving the equation

$$\partial_t^2 \alpha + \kappa \partial_t \alpha = 0 \ , \tag{17}$$

giving

$$\alpha(t, x^A) = n(x^a) e^{-\kappa t} + G(x^a) \ . \tag{18}$$

Under supertranslations Ω_a shifts like the gradient of a scalar

$$\Omega_a \to \Omega_a - \kappa \partial_a G \ , \tag{19}$$

and if $n(x)$ is constant then also

$$\Xi_{ab} \to \Xi_{ab} - \nabla_a \nabla_b G + 2\Omega_{(a}\partial_{b)} G \ . \tag{20}$$

Note, that (19) and (20) follow from a change in the way one foliates the null horizon hypersurface [18].

In the following discussions we will consider time independent supertranslation parameters. In general, Ω_a can be expressed in the form

$$\Omega_a = \epsilon_a{}^b \partial_b \rho + \partial_a \phi \ . \tag{21}$$

One can show that (see e.g. [19])

$$\partial_{[a}\Omega_{b]} = 2Im\Psi_2\ \epsilon_{ab}\ , \tag{22}$$

where Im represents the imaginary part and Ψ_2 is one of the (complex) Weyl curvature scalars[2]. Hence, ρ the divergence-free part of Ω_a is fixed by the curvature data

$$\nabla^2\rho = 2Im\Psi_2\ . \tag{23}$$

On the other hand, the gradient part of ϕ shifts under a supertranslation as

$$\phi \to \phi + G\ . \tag{24}$$

If one starts with $\Omega_a = 0$, then the ingoing expansion transforms as

$$\theta^{(k)} \to \theta^{(k)} + \nabla^2 G\ . \tag{25}$$

Let us calculate the Noether charge associated with the horizon supertranslation (14). Expanding $\alpha(x) = G(x)$ in a complete set of harmonics and using the fact that κ is a constant we see that the zero mode of G, i.e. $G = const.$, gives the Noether charge density sT, where s is the entropy density proportional to the horizon area $s = \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{4G_N}$ and $T = \frac{\kappa}{2\pi}$ is the temperature. The integral over all higher modes of the function $G(x)$ vanishes in the stationary state, which is consistent with the statement that a stationary horizon has no additional classical supertranslation hair [6].

Under a superrotation, the surface gravity is unchanged and

$$\Omega_a \to \Omega_a + \mathcal{L}_R\Omega_a, \qquad \gamma^{(0)}_{ab} \to \gamma^{(0)}_{ab} + \mathcal{L}_R\gamma^{(0)}_{ab}\ , \tag{26}$$

which is a diffeomorphism of the horizon null surface. R^a can be decomposed as

$$R^a = \epsilon^{ab}\partial_b f + \partial^a g\ . \tag{27}$$

The horizon area/entropy density shifts under a superrotation as

$$\sqrt{\gamma} \to \sqrt{\gamma} + \nabla^2 g\ . \tag{28}$$

[2] $\Psi_2 = C_{ABCD}\ell^A m^B \bar{m}^C n^D$, where C_{ABCD} is the bulk Weyl tensor and $(\ell^A, m^B, \bar{m}^C, n^D)$ is a null tetrad basis in the Newman-Penrose formalism.

The divergence free part of R^a corresponds to area preserving diffeomorphisms and includes f and g such that $\nabla^2 g = 0$. Under these the shift in the area/entropy density is zero[3]. With area preserving superrotations we get

$$\gamma^{(0)}_{ab} \to \gamma^{(0)}_{ab} + 2\epsilon^c_{(a} D_{b)} D_c f + 2 D_a D_b g \ , \tag{29}$$

where D_a is the covariant derivative associated with $\gamma^{(0)}_{ab}$. It is useful to consider an orthogonal decomposition of the metric. In two dimensions a symmetric rank two tensor has three components. These can be decomposed into a trace part, plus traceless pieces separated into longitudinal and transverse components and expressible in terms of two scalars

$$\gamma^{(0)}_{ab} = \frac{1}{2}\gamma^T \delta_{ab} + (D_a D_b - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{ab} D^2)\mu + 2\epsilon^c_{(a} D_{b)} D_c \sigma. \tag{30}$$

Thus, we see that area preserving superrotations amount to the shifts $\sigma \to \sigma + f$ and $\mu \to \mu + g$ of the horizon metric (30).

The Noether charge of superrotations reads (14)

$$Q_{sr} = -\int d^2x \sqrt{\gamma} \left(\epsilon^{ab} \partial_a f \partial_b \rho + \partial^a g \partial_a \phi \right) \ . \tag{31}$$

Integrating by parts and (23) we find that (up to boundary terms)

$$Q_{sr} = \int d^2x \sqrt{\gamma} \left(2 f Im \Psi_2 + g \nabla^2 \phi \right) \ . \tag{32}$$

In the first term, only the zero mode of f contributes and one gets the angular momentum of the horizon [19, 20], e.g. of a rotating black hole. In particular, there is no additional superrotation classical hair. The second term appears to yield a non-trivial contribution. However, $\nabla^2 \phi = 0$ follows from the projection of the bulk Einstein tensor on the horizon that implies the conservation of the stretched membrane stress energy tensor, as we discuss in the next section. Alternatively, upon integration by parts it vanishes since $\nabla^2 g = 0$, which on the membrane worldvolume also follows from the conservation of the stress energy tensor.

[3] In general the integrated *total* area is unchanged, in particular if the horizon is a compact surface. If it is non-compact one has to impose fall-off conditions near infinity to eliminate this term.

C. Stretched Membrane and Non-relativistic Field Theory

The shift behavior in Ω_a and $\gamma^{(0)}_{ab}$ is indicative of Goldstone modes associated with the spontaneous breaking of the horizon BMS symmetries [6]. The choice of ϕ in the horizon metric spontaneously breaks the horizon supertranslation symmetry, while the choice of σ and μ breaks the horizon area preserving superrotation symmetry. The effect of the supertranslations and superrotations is to shift between these "vacua", with the Goldstone modes parametrizing the breaking. The Goldstone modes associated with this breaking live on the two-dimensional horizon cross-section. However, unlike the case of BMS transformations acting at null infinity, a precise definition of what we mean by vacua in the horizon case still requires a clarification. In the following we consider the realization of the horizon BMS symmetries as symmetry transformations acting on the degrees of freedom living on a stretched membrane near the horizon at $r = r_c$ in the limit as $r_c \to 0$ [14, 15]. The Brown-York stress tensor is interpreted as the expectation value of the stress tensor of a field theory on the membrane.

The Brown-York quasi-local stress tensor takes the form

$$T^{\mu}{}_{\nu} = 2\left(K\delta^{\mu}{}_{\nu} - K^{\mu}{}_{\nu}\right) \ , \tag{33}$$

where $x^{\mu} = (t, x^a)$ are coordinates on the slice $r = r_c$ and $K_{\mu\nu}$ the extrinsic curvature of the slice. We will consider the metric (1) near an isolated horizon

$$ds^2 = -2\kappa r dt^2 + 2dtdr + 4r\Omega_a(x)dx^a dt + (\gamma_{ab} + 2r\Xi_{ab}(x))dx^a dx^b + O(r^2). \tag{34}$$

Following [14, 15] we evaluate (33) on a slice of constant $r = r_c$ in the metric (34) and take the limit $r_c \to 0$. In this limit, there is a divergence associated with the infinite red/blue shift at the horizon. One finds

$$T^{t}{}_{t} = 0, \qquad T^{t}{}_{a} = -2\Omega_a, \quad T^{a}{}_{b} = 2\kappa\delta^{a}{}_{b} \ , \tag{35}$$

where in the last equality one must renormalize by the redshift factor of $\sqrt{r_c}$. One can interpret this as the stress tensor of a 2+1 dimensional thermal field theory, with the horizon one-form Ω_a identified with the expectation value of the momentum current. Thus, we see that the action of the supertranslation on membrane theory is a shift in this expectation value.

As an example, consider the Rindler metric

$$ds^2 = -2\kappa r dt^2 + 2dtdr + \delta_{ab}dx^a dx^b, \tag{36}$$

which covers a patch of Minkowski spacetime, with horizon at $r = 0$. This metric also arises as the near-horizon limit of a metric describing a non-extremal, non-rotating black hole. The horizon momentum current is zero. After the infinitesimal supertranslation, the metric (36) changes to

$$ds^2 = -2\kappa r dt^2 + 2dtdr + 4r\partial_a\phi dx^a dt + \left(\delta_{ab} + \frac{2r}{\kappa}\partial_a\partial_b\phi\right) dx^a dx^b \ . \tag{37}$$

This is still a solution to the vacuum Einstein equations up to higher order corrections in $\partial\phi$. Computing the membrane stress tensor in this case, one finds a non-zero shifted momentum current (we ignore a numerical factor)

$$T^t{}_a \equiv P_a = \partial_a\phi \ . \tag{38}$$

The supertranslation is therefore associated with a physical change in the state of the membrane theory.

It has been argued that the field theory on the cutoff surface Σ and $r = r_c$ provides a holographic description of bulk geometry [21–23] and in the context of the fluid/gravity correspondence [24–27]. The limit $r_c \to 0$ is special. For a generic black hole metric, as one takes this null limit the metric becomes degenerate. In addition, the behavior of the boundary metric is analogous to the $c \to \infty$ limit of the Minkowski metric

$$ds^2 = -c^2 dt^2 + dx_a dx^a \ , \tag{39}$$

with the identification of c with the redshift factor $r_c^{-1/2}$. The limiting dual field theory description at the horizon membrane is expected to be a non-relativistic Galilean field theory.

In a non-relativistic field theory there is a Ward identity relating the Galilean momentum P_a and and particle number current J_a. The shift the horizon momentum current under a supertranslation implies a shift in the particle number current[4]

$$P_a = mJ_a = \partial_a\phi \ . \tag{40}$$

[4] This can be seen e.g. from the commutator in the centrally extended Galilean algebra $[K_i, P_j] = -i\delta_{ij}mQ$, where P_i and K_i are the generators of spatial translations and boosts, m is the mass and Q is the particle number central extension.

Thus, from the non-relativistic horizon membrane theory the spontaneous breaking of supertranslations is the spontaneous breaking of the particle number symmetry, which is a global $U(1)$ symmetry. That particle number symmetry is spontaneously broken in the membrane theory is expected since the vacuum state has finite temperature. The horizon supertranslation Goldstone is thus identified with the Goldstone that arises in the spontaneous breaking of this $U(1)$ symmetry. The conservation of the number current implies that

$$\partial^a J_a = \nabla^2 \phi = 0 \ , \tag{41}$$

since we are considering time independent situation corresponding to a stationary black hole.

The particle number symmetry is spontaneously broken together with the Galilean boosts. A Ward identity implies a relation between the corresponding Goldstone bosons known as an inverse Higgs relation [28, 29]. Thus, the velocity vacuum expectation value v_a is related to the gradient of the $U(1)$ phase (the Goldstone) via

$$v_a = \frac{1}{m} \partial_a \phi \ . \tag{42}$$

consistent with (40).

While there is no classical supertranslation hair, the value of the phase ϕ (24) can be detected if there is a quantization of circulation e.g. around a vortex

$$\oint v_a \cdot dl^a = \frac{2\pi\hbar}{m} n \ , \tag{43}$$

where v_a is the velocity. At the quantum level, the supertranslations shift between vacua leads to a change in the quantum vortex number, which is in principle detectable. This implies that there is a non-trivial *quantum* hair due to the Goldstone mode.

The momentum current leads also to an angular momentum density ℓ via

$$T^t{}_a = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_a{}^b \partial_b \ell \ , \tag{44}$$

which vanishes classically for a curl free $T^t{}_a$ but not quantum mechanically following (43). Note that rotation invariance is not spontaneously broken if ℓ is a function x^2. However, if it is broken there is no new Goldstone boson, since it is related to G by the Ward identity that relates the rotation current R to $T^t{}_a$ by $R = \epsilon^{ab} x_a T^t{}_b$. The total angular momentum of the membrane state is $L = \int d^2x \sqrt{\gamma} \ell$.

To summarize, from the membrane paradigm viewpoint, the horizon system corresponds to a spontaneously broken particle number phase of a non-relativistic field theory at finite temperature. The phase ϕ characterizing the vacua cannot be detected classically but can be detected quantum mechanically. The different states are characterized by an angular momentum quantum number.

In addition to the phase ϕ associated with the supertranslations we have the metric components σ and μ, which shift under associated area preserving superrotations (27). These degrees of freedom have zero energy and can be viewed as the Goldstone bosons in the membrane theory associated with the spontaneous breaking of area preserving diffeomorphisms. The latter is a global symmetry of the membrane field theory and

$$T_{ab} \to T_{ab} + \frac{2}{\kappa}\epsilon^{c}_{(a}\partial_{b)}\partial_c f + \frac{2}{\kappa}\partial_a\partial_b g \ . \tag{45}$$

Equivalently, the corresponding components of the spatial stress corresponding to (30) shift by f and g. The conservation of the stress energy tensor requires that $\nabla^2 g = 0$.

Can f and g also be detected quantum mechanically? The answer appears to be in principle affirmative, via a non single valued field configuration that gives a nonzero result upon integration over a closed surface. One can also consider an interplay between supertranslations and superrotations. Consider, for instance, a choice of the phase $\phi = x$ giving the particle number current $\Omega_1 = 1, \Omega_2 = 0$. The action of a superrotation on Ω yields $\delta\Omega_a = (\partial_1\partial_2 f + \partial_1^2 g, \partial_2^2 f + \partial_1\partial_2 g)$, which may be detected quantum mechanically via a quantum field configuration that gives a nonzero result upon integration along a closed contour.

III. HORIZON BMS SUPERTRANSLATIONS AND HOLOGRAPHIC SUPERFLUID

In the asymptotically AdS case the boundary is timelike and the construction of asymptotic symmetries leads to the conformal group. Yet, there is still a BMS-type symmetry action on the horizon as described in the previous section. We can ask what is the intrepretation of this in the holographic field theory defined at the boundary. In the following we will consider a class of stationary metrics that are solutions to the Einstein equations with matter and negative cosmological constant. Via the gauge/gravity duality these are dual to

equilibrium superfluid states in the dual field theory. We will denote the bulk coordinates by $X^A = (x^\mu, r)$.

Consider a metric with the general form

$$ds^2 = F(r)u_\mu u_\nu dx^\mu dx^\nu - 2u_\mu dx^\mu dr + G(r)P_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu + 2J(r)u_{(\mu}\zeta_{\nu)}dx^\mu dx^\nu \ , \tag{46}$$

where u^μ is a unit four-vector associated with a uniform boost and ζ_μ is orthogonal to u^μ, i.e. $\zeta_\mu u^\mu = 0$. It is solution to Einstein equations with matter that consists of a complex scalar and an electromagnetic field [30]. u^μ is the normal component of the superfluid velocity while ζ_μ is the gradient of the phase of the condensate that breaks spontaneously the $U(1)$ global symmetry leading to a superfluid phase at the boundary.

Near the horizon at $r = r_h$ the functions F and J have the form

$$\begin{aligned} F =& -2\kappa(r - r_h) + O(r - r_h)^2 \ , \\ J =& 2J'(r_h)(r - r_h) + O(r - r_h)^2 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

This choice of gauge is close to the null Gaussian coordinates. The horizon metric has the 3-dimensional degenerate form

$$\gamma_{\mu\nu} = G(r_h)P_{\mu\nu} \ , \tag{48}$$

where $P_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + u_\mu u_\nu$ is the projector orthogonal to u^μ.

As in the null Gaussian case of the previous section, we impose the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_\xi g_{rr} =& 0 \ , \\ \mathcal{L}_\xi g_{r\mu} =& 0 \ , \\ u^\mu \mathcal{L}_\xi g_{\mu\nu} =& O(r - r_h) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

The solution for the symmetry generators ξ^A reads

$$\xi^A \partial_A = \left(\alpha u^\mu + R^\mu - G(r_h)^{-1}(r - r_h)P^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\alpha\right)\partial_\mu - (r - r_h)(u^\mu\partial_\mu\alpha)\ \partial_r + \cdots \ . \tag{50}$$

R^μ is a vector field satisfying $u^\nu\partial_\nu R^\mu = 0$ and $R^\mu u_\mu = 0$. The effect of the function $J(r)$ occurs at higher orders in $(r - r_h)$. α and R^μ are the parameters of supertranslations and superrotations, respectively.

Consider the one-form c_μ defined via

$$\nabla_\mu \ell^\nu = c_\mu \ell^\nu \ . \tag{51}$$

$c_\mu \ell^\nu = \kappa$. For our fluid metric, $\ell^\mu = u^\mu$ and one finds

$$\nabla_\mu \ell^\nu = -(1/2) u^\nu u^\lambda \partial_r g_{\mu\lambda} = -\kappa u_\mu u^\nu + J'(r_h)\zeta_\mu u^\nu \ . \tag{52}$$

It follows that that

$$c_\mu = \kappa u_\mu - J'(r_h)\zeta_\mu \ . \tag{53}$$

The component of c_μ along u_μ encodes information about the surface gravity κ, while the component orthogonal encodes the information about the one-form Ω_a.

To find the change in c_μ we consider the $O(r - r_h)$ part of $u^\nu \mathcal{L}_\xi g_{\mu\nu}$, i.e.

$$\delta c_\mu = u^\nu \mathcal{L}_\xi g_{\mu\nu}|_{r=r_h} \ . \tag{54}$$

This can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} c_\mu \to c_\mu + \delta\kappa u_\mu \left(\alpha u^\lambda \partial_\lambda J'(r_h) + R^\lambda \partial_\lambda J'(r_h)\right) \zeta_\mu \\ -\kappa P^\nu_\mu \partial_\nu \alpha - P^\nu_\mu u^\lambda \partial_\nu \partial_\lambda \alpha + J'(r_h) P^\lambda_\mu \zeta_\nu \partial_\lambda R^\nu \ , \end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

where

$$\delta\kappa = \alpha u^\lambda \partial_\lambda \kappa + \kappa u^\lambda \partial_\lambda \alpha + u^\lambda u^\sigma \partial_\lambda \partial_\sigma \alpha + R^\mu \partial_\mu \kappa \ . \tag{56}$$

These are similar to the previous formulas for the shift of κ and Ω_a. Consider supertranslations such that κ and $J'(r_h)$ remains unchanged constants, that is

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\kappa &= 0 \ , \\ \delta J'(r_h) &= 0 \ , \\ \delta\zeta_\mu &= -\kappa P^\nu_\mu \partial_\nu G \ . \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

As before, the supertranslation corresponds to a shift in the superfluid velocity ζ_μ by the gradient of scalar. As noted above, the bulk gravity theory is dual to a superfluid theory at the boundary. $\zeta_\mu = P^\lambda_\mu \partial_\lambda \phi$, is a component of the superfluid velocity, where ϕ is the Goldstone boson associated with spontaneous breaking of $U(1)$ symmetry in the dual field theory. Supertranslation acts as a shift of the phase $\phi \to \phi + G$. Thus, the Goldstone boson associated with the breaking of the horizon BMS symmetry is dual to the $U(1)$ Goldstone in the holographic field theory dual. The infinite degeneracy in horizon states corresponds to the $U(1)$ degeneracy of vacua in the field theory.

Acknowledgements

Y.O would like to thank I. Arav and M. Erew for discussions. The research of C.E. was supported by the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (ERC Grant agreement 307955). The work of Y.O. is supported in part by the I-CORE program of Planning and Budgeting Committee (grant number 1937/12), the US-Israel Binational Science Foundation, GIF and the ISF Center of Excellence.

---

[1] A. Strominger, "On BMS Invariance of Gravitational Scattering," JHEP **1407**, 152 (2014) doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2014)152 [arXiv:1312.2229 [hep-th]].

[2] A. Strominger and A. Zhiboedov, "Gravitational Memory, BMS Supertranslations and Soft Theorems," JHEP **1601**, 086 (2016) doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2016)086 [arXiv:1411.5745 [hep-th]].

[3] S. Pasterski, A. Strominger and A. Zhiboedov, "New Gravitational Memories," arXiv:1502.06120 [hep-th].

[4] H. Bondi, M. G. J. van der Burg, A. W. K. Metzner, "Gravitational waves in general relativity VII. Waves from isolated axisymmetric systems", Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. **A 269**, 21 (1962); R. K. Sachs, "Gravitational waves in general relativity VIII. Waves in asymptotically flat space-time", Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. **A 270**, 103 (1962).

[5] G. Barnich and C. Troessaert, "Symmetries of asymptotically flat 4 dimensional space- times at null infinity revisited," Phys. Rev. Lett. bf 105, 111103 (2010) [arXiv:0909.2617 [gr-qc]]; "Supertranslations call for superrotations," PoS CNCFG 2010, 010 (2010), [arXiv:1102.4632 [gr-qc]]; G. Barnich and C. Troessaert, "BMS charge algebra," JHEP **1112**, 105 (2011) [arXiv:1106.0213 [hep-th]].

[6] S. W. Hawking, M. J. Perry and A. Strominger, "Soft Hair on Black Holes," arXiv:1601.00921 [hep-th].

[7] M. Hotta, K. Sasaki and T. Sasaki, "Diffeomorphism on horizon as an asymptotic isometry of Schwarzschild black hole," Class. Quant. Grav. **18**, 1823 (2001) doi:10.1088/0264-9381/18/10/301 [gr-qc/0011043].

[8] J. i. Koga, "Asymptotic symmetries on Killing horizons," Phys. Rev. D **64**, 124012 (2001)

doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.64.124012 [gr-qc/0107096].

[9] B. R. Majhi and T. Padmanabhan, "Noether current from the surface term of gravitational action, Virasoro algebra and horizon entropy," Phys. Rev. D **86**, 101501 (2012) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.86.101501 [arXiv:1204.1422 [gr-qc]].

[10] L. Donnay, G. Giribet, H. A. Gonzalez and M. Pino, "Supertranslations and Superrotations at the Black Hole Horizon," Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, no. 9, 091101 (2016) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.091101 [arXiv:1511.08687 [hep-th]].

[11] M. Blau and M. O'Loughlin, "Horizon Shells and BMS-like Soldering Transformations," JHEP **1603**, 029 (2016) doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2016)029 [arXiv:1512.02858 [hep-th]].

[12] A. Averin, G. Dvali, C. Gomez and D. Lust, "Gravitational Black Hole Hair from Event Horizon Supertranslations," arXiv:1601.03725 [hep-th].

[13] T. Damour, "Quelques propriétés mécaniques, électromagnétiques, thermodynamiques et quantiques des trous noirs," Thèse de Doctorat d'Etat, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris VI, 1979.

[14] R. H. Price and K. S. Thorne, "Membrane Viewpoint on Black Holes: Properties and Evolution of the Stretched Horizon," Phys. Rev. D **33**, 915 (1986). doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.33.915

[15] K. S. Thorne, R. H. Price and D. A. Macdonald, "Black Holes: The Membrane Paradigm," NEW HAVEN, USA: YALE UNIV. PR. (1986) 367p

[16] R. F. Penna, "BMS invariance and the membrane paradigm," JHEP **1603**, 023 (2016) doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2016)023 [arXiv:1508.06577 [hep-th]].

[17] A. Ashtekar, C. Beetle and S. Fairhurst, "Isolated horizons: A Generalization of black hole mechanics," Class. Quant. Grav. **16**, L1 (1999) doi:10.1088/0264-9381/16/2/027 [gr-qc/9812065].

[18] A. Ashtekar, C. Beetle and J. Lewandowski, "Geometry of generic isolated horizons," Class. Quant. Grav. **19**, 1195 (2002) doi:10.1088/0264-9381/19/6/311 [gr-qc/0111067].

[19] E. Gourgoulhon and J. L. Jaramillo, "A 3+1 perspective on null hypersurfaces and isolated horizons," Phys. Rept. **423**, 159 (2006) doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2005.10.005 [gr-qc/0503113].

[20] A. Ashtekar, C. Beetle and S. Fairhurst, "Mechanics of isolated horizons," Class. Quant. Grav. **17**, 253 (2000) doi:10.1088/0264-9381/17/2/301 [gr-qc/9907068].

[21] I. Bredberg, C. Keeler, V. Lysov and A. Strominger, "Wilsonian Approach to Fluid/Gravity Duality," JHEP **1103**, 141 (2011) doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2011)141 [arXiv:1006.1902 [hep-th]].

[22] D. Nickel and D. T. Son, "Deconstructing holographic liquids," New J. Phys. **13**, 075010

(2011) doi:10.1088/1367-2630/13/7/075010 [arXiv:1009.3094 [hep-th]].

[23] D. Brattan, J. Camps, R. Loganayagam and M. Rangamani, "CFT dual of the AdS Dirichlet problem : Fluid/Gravity on cut-off surfaces," JHEP **1112**, 090 (2011) doi:10.1007/JHEP12(2011)090 [arXiv:1106.2577 [hep-th]].

[24] I. Bredberg, C. Keeler, V. Lysov and A. Strominger, "From Navier-Stokes To Einstein," JHEP **1207**, 146 (2012) doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2012)146 [arXiv:1101.2451 [hep-th]].

[25] G. Compere, P. McFadden, K. Skenderis and M. Taylor, "The Holographic fluid dual to vacuum Einstein gravity," JHEP **1107**, 050 (2011) doi:10.1007/JHEP07(2011)050 [arXiv:1103.3022 [hep-th]].

[26] G. Compere, P. McFadden, K. Skenderis and M. Taylor, "The relativistic fluid dual to vacuum Einstein gravity," JHEP **1203**, 076 (2012) doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2012)076 [arXiv:1201.2678 [hep-th]].

[27] C. Eling, A. Meyer and Y. Oz, "The Relativistic Rindler Hydrodynamics," JHEP **1205**, 116 (2012) doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2012)116 [arXiv:1201.2705 [hep-th]].

[28] T. Brauner and H. Watanabe, "Spontaneous breaking of spacetime symmetries and the inverse Higgs effect," Phys. Rev. D **89**, no. 8, 085004 (2014) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.89.085004 [arXiv:1401.5596 [hep-ph]].

[29] S. Endlich, A. Nicolis and R. Penco, "Spontaneously broken mass," JHEP **1501**, 146 (2015) doi:10.1007/JHEP01(2015)146 [arXiv:1310.2272 [hep-th]].

[30] J. Bhattacharya, S. Bhattacharyya, S. Minwalla and A. Yarom, "A Theory of first order dissipative superfluid dynamics," JHEP **1405**, 147 (2014) doi:10.1007/JHEP05(2014)147 [arXiv:1105.3733 [hep-th]].