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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study concave compositions, an extension of partitions that were considered by Andrews, Rhoades, and Zwegers. They presented several open problems regarding the statistical structure of concave compositions including the distribution of the perimeter and tilt, the number of summands, and the shape of the graph of a typical concave composition. We present solutions to these problems by applying Fristedt's conditioning device on the uniform measure.


## 1. Introduction

A composition of a positive integer $n$ is a finite sequence of positive integers which sum to $n$. The study of compositions dates back to MacMahon [Mac04], where he made significant contributions to plane partitions, a particular subset of compositions, the Rogers-Ramanujan identities and partition analysis. For more on the history of compositions see the book of Heubach and Mansour [HM10. There are many different types of compositions which are studied such as Carlitz compositions GH02 and their generalizations BC05, stacks Wri68, Wri71, Wri72, unimodal sequences BOPR12, and partitions And98.

Andrews, Rhoades and Zwegers ARZ13] studied a more general form of compositions known as concave compositions which can be thought of as the convolution of two random partitions. In their paper, several questions were asked regarding the statistical structure of concave compositions, including the following.
(1) What is the distribution of the perimeter of a concave composition?
(2) How many summands are there for a typical concave composition?
(3) What is the distribution of the tilt in a concave composition?
(4) What is the typical shape of the graph of a concave composition?

The goal of this paper will be to demonstrate solutions to these questions, and in that regard we organize the paper as follows. In Section 3, we introduce the necessary definitions and notation. In Section 4 we apply Fristedt's conditioning device, as employed in CPSW99, GH08, Yak12, on the uniform measure with respect to concave compositions. In Section 5 the distribution of the perimeter, tilt, and summands of a typical concave composition are derived. Finally Section 6 discusses the typical shape of the graph of a concave composition.
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## 3. Preliminaries

A concave composition of a positive integer $n$ is a sequence of positive integers $\lambda_{1}^{-} \geq \lambda_{2}^{-} \geq \lambda_{3}^{-} \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_{L}^{-}>c<\lambda_{1}^{+} \leq \lambda_{2}^{+} \leq \lambda_{3}^{+} \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_{R}^{+}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{L} \lambda_{i}^{-}+c+\sum_{j=1}^{R} \lambda_{j}^{+}=n \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $c \geq 0$ is the central part of the composition.
In ARZ13] a concave composition was expressed in terms of two partitions and the central part. A partition $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell}\right)$ is a non-increasing sequence of positive integers. Each $\lambda_{i}$ of a partition $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell}\right)$ is called a part of $\lambda$. The sum of all the parts of $\lambda$ is $|\lambda|$ and the total number of parts of $\lambda$ is $\ell(\lambda)$. We say that $\lambda$ is a partition of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ if $|\lambda|=n$, and we denote $\mathcal{P}^{n}$ as the set of all partitions of $n$. The set of all partitions will be denoted as simply $\mathcal{P}$.

A concave composition can now be written as a tuple $\left(\lambda^{-}, c, \lambda^{+}\right)$, where $\lambda^{-}$and $\lambda^{+}$are partitions (possibly empty) and where the smallest part of both $\lambda^{-}$and $\lambda^{+}$ is strictly greater than the central part $c$. Let $X_{k}^{ \pm}\left(\left(\lambda^{-}, \lambda^{+}\right)\right)$denote the number of parts of $\lambda^{+}$and $\lambda^{-}$that equal $k$, respectively. With this notation, (1) can be rewritten as

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k X_{k}^{+}+c+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k X_{k}^{-}=n
$$

Concave compositions can also be represented graphically where each part is represented by a column of boxes.

Example 1. For $c=1, \lambda^{-}=(4,4,3,2)$, and $\lambda^{+}=(1,3,3)$, we see that

$$
(4,4,3,2, \underline{1}, 1,3,3)
$$

is a concave composition of $n=21$. The graphical representation of this concave composition is

where the bold box represents the central part $c=1$.
Let $V(n)$ be the number of concave compositions of $n$. For example, $V(3)=13$ since all the concave compositions of 3 are

$$
\{(\underline{0}, 3),(3, \underline{0}),(\underline{0}, 1,2),(2,1, \underline{0}),(\underline{0}, 1,1,1),(1,1,1, \underline{0}),(\underline{1}, 2),(2, \underline{1}),(1, \underline{0}, 2),(2, \underline{0}, 1),
$$ $(1, \underline{0}, 1,1),(1,1, \underline{0}, 1),(\underline{3})\}$,

where the central part $c$ of each concave composition is underlined.
Let $\mathbb{P}_{n}$ denote the uniform measure on all concave compositions of $n$. We are interested in certain statistics of concave compositions with respect to $\mathbb{P}_{n}$. The length of a concave composition is the total number of parts, $\ell\left(\lambda^{+}\right)+\ell\left(\lambda^{-}\right)+1$. The tilt of a concave composition is the number of $\lambda^{+}$parts minus the number of $\lambda^{-}$parts, $\ell\left(\lambda^{+}\right)-\ell\left(\lambda^{-}\right)$. The half-perimeter of a concave composition is the sum of the length plus the largest part of $\lambda^{-}$and $\lambda^{+}$, i.e. $\max \left\{k: X_{k}^{+} \neq 0\right.$ or $\left.X_{k}^{+} \neq 0\right\}$.

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $c=0$ and consider concave compositions $\left(\lambda^{-}, \lambda^{+}\right)=\left(\lambda^{-}, c, \lambda^{+}\right)$. We can make this assumption about the central part $c$ since Theorem 1.4 of ARZ13] says

$$
V(n)=\frac{\sqrt{6}}{(12 n)^{\frac{5}{4}}} e^{\frac{\pi \sqrt{12 n}}{3}}\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right) .
$$

In contrast, if $p_{2}(n)$ is the number of pairs of partitions $\left(\lambda^{-}, \lambda^{+}\right)$with $\left|\lambda^{-}\right|+\left|\lambda^{+}\right|=$ $n$, then Theorem 6.2 of And98 gives us

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{2}(n)=\frac{\sqrt{6}}{(12 n)^{\frac{5}{4}}} e^{\frac{\pi \sqrt{12 n}}{3}}\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, $\mathbb{P}_{n}(c=0)=1+O\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)$.

## 4. The Boltzman measure

In this section, we will introduce the Boltzman measure which will be more convenient for our methods than the uniform measure, $\mathbb{P}_{n}$. The measure will be established by applying Fristedt's conditioning device as it was employed in CPSW99, GH08, Yak12]. Our goal in this section is to prove the Prokhorov distance between $\mathbb{P}_{n}$ and the Boltzman measure converges to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (Equation (11)). Our approach will closely follow [Fri93, Lemma 4.6] although some of the proofs will resemble those in GH08.

For an arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $q \in(0,1)$ we define the Boltzmann distribution, say $Q_{q}$, on pairs of partitions $\left(\lambda^{-}, \lambda^{+}\right)$, where $\left|\lambda^{-}\right|+\left|\lambda^{+}\right|=n$, as

$$
Q_{q}\left(\left(\lambda^{-}, \lambda^{+}\right)\right)=q^{\left|\lambda^{-}\right|+\left|\lambda^{+}\right|} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{k}\right)^{2}
$$

By Euler And98,

$$
\sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{P}} q^{|\lambda|}=(q, q)_{\infty}^{-1}
$$

where $(z ; q)_{n}=\prod_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(1-z q^{j}\right)$ and $(z ; q)_{\infty}=\prod_{j=0}^{\infty}\left(1-z q^{j}\right)$. Consequently,

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_{2}(n) q^{n}=(q, q)_{\infty}^{-2}
$$

This gives us

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{\left(\lambda^{-}, \lambda^{+}\right) \in \mathcal{P}^{n}} Q_{q}\left(\left(\lambda^{-}, \lambda^{+}\right)\right)=p_{2}(n) q^{n} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{k}\right)^{2}  \tag{3}\\
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{\left(\lambda^{-}, \lambda^{+}\right) \in \mathcal{P}^{n}} Q_{q}\left(\left(\lambda^{-}, \lambda^{+}\right)\right)=1 . \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Equations (3) and (4) tell us that we can view $Q_{q}$ as the probability measure for an experiment in which a concave composition is chosen at random and in which the integer $N:=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(k X_{k}^{+}+k X_{k}^{-}\right)$being partitioned is itself random.

The Boltzmann measure $Q_{q}$ decomposes further into a product of measures on the frequencies of $\left(\lambda^{-}, \lambda^{+}\right)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{q}\left(\left(\lambda^{-}, \lambda^{+}\right)\right) & =q^{\left|\lambda^{-}\right|+\left|\lambda^{+}\right|} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{j}\right)^{2} \\
& =q^{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(k X_{k}^{+}+k X_{k}^{-}\right)} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{j}\right)^{2} \\
& =\prod_{k=1}^{\infty} q^{\left(k X_{k}^{+}\right)}\left(1-q^{k}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} q^{\left(j X_{j}^{-}\right)}\left(1-q^{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we can identify the frequencies of $\left(\lambda^{-}, \lambda^{+}\right)$as independent geometric random variables. We recover $\mathbb{P}_{n}$ by conditioning that $\left|\lambda^{-}\right|+\left|\lambda^{+}\right|=n$. In other words,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}_{n}(S)=Q_{q}(S \mid N=n), \forall S \in \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This motivates us to set $q$ such that most of the probability is centered around a fixed integer $n$. Thus we aim to choose a sequence $q=q_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}_{q_{n}}\left[\left|\left(\lambda^{-}, \lambda^{+}\right)\right|\right] \approx n \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such a sequence that could be the leading term approximation to the solution of Equation (6) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{n}=e^{-\pi / \sqrt{3 n}} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following are some properties of the random variable $N$ under the probability distribution $Q_{q_{n}}$.

Proposition 2. The expectation and variance of $N$ under $Q_{q_{n}}$ is given by

$$
\mu_{n}(N)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2 k q_{n}^{k}}{1-q_{n}^{k}} \quad \& \quad \sigma_{n}^{2}(N)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2 k^{2} q_{n}^{k}}{\left(1-q_{n}^{k}\right)^{2}}
$$

respectively. In addition, if $\phi_{n}(t)$ is the characteristic function of $N$, then

$$
\phi_{n}(t)=\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1-q_{n}^{k}}{1-q_{n}^{k} e^{i t k}}\right)^{2}
$$

Proof. The expectation can be found by summing over the expectations of the random variables $X_{k}^{+}$and $X_{k}^{-}$since the frequencies are independent.

$$
\mu_{n}(N)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(k \cdot \mathbb{E}\left(X_{k}^{+}\right)+k \cdot \mathbb{E}\left(X_{k}^{-}\right)\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2 k q_{n}^{k}}{1-q_{n}^{k}}
$$

The variance can be computed similarly as

$$
\sigma_{n}^{2}(N)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(k^{2} \cdot \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{k}^{+}\right)+k^{2} \cdot \operatorname{Var}\left(X_{k}^{-}\right)\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2 k^{2} q_{n}^{k}}{\left(1-q_{n}^{k}\right)^{2}}
$$

By definition,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{n}(t) & =\sum_{\left(\lambda^{-}, \lambda^{+}\right) \in \mathcal{P}^{n}} e^{i t N} Q_{q_{n}}\left(\left(\lambda^{-}, \lambda^{+}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{\left(\lambda^{-}, \lambda^{+}\right) \in \mathcal{P}^{n}}\left(q e^{i t}\right)^{N} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(1-q^{k}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Euler (see And98),

$$
\phi_{n}(t)=\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1-q^{k}}{1-q^{k} e^{i t k}}\right)^{2}
$$

Corollary 3. As $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
n-\mu_{n}(N)=o\left(n^{3 / 4}\right) \quad \& \quad \sigma_{n}^{2}(N)=\Theta\left(\frac{\sqrt{12} n^{3 / 2}}{\pi}\right)
$$

Proof. By the proof of Corollary 4.4 of [Fri93], (1/2) $\mu_{n}(N)$ is asymptotic to $\frac{\pi^{2}}{6 \ln ^{2}(1 / q)}$ with an error of $\frac{1}{\ln (1 / q)}$, and $(1 / 2) \sigma_{n}^{2}(N)$ is asymptotic to $\frac{\pi^{2}}{3 \ln ^{3}\left(1 / q_{n}\right)}$. Plugging in $q_{n}=\frac{-\pi}{\sqrt{3 n}}$ and multiplying by 2 gives the results.

Now let $K_{n}^{ \pm}$be any two sets of positive integers such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \in K_{n}^{ \pm}} \frac{k^{2} q_{n}^{k}}{\left(1-q_{n}^{k}\right)^{2}}=o\left(n^{3 / 2}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and say $d_{n}^{ \pm}$are the cardinalities of the sets $K_{n}^{ \pm}$. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{n}: \mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{n}^{d_{n}^{+}} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_{n}^{-}} \\
& W_{n}:\left(\lambda^{-}, \lambda^{+}\right) \mapsto\left(X_{k_{1}}^{+}\left(\lambda^{-}, \lambda^{+}\right), X_{k_{2}}^{-}\left(\lambda^{-}, \lambda^{+}\right): k_{1} \in K_{n}^{+}, k_{2} \in K_{n}^{-}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and let

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{n}=\left\{w_{n}\right. & =\left(x_{k_{1}, n}, y_{k_{2}, n}: k_{1} \in K_{n}^{+}, k_{2} \in K_{n}^{-}\right):  \tag{9}\\
& \left.\left|\sum_{k \in K_{n}^{+}} k x_{k, n}+\sum_{k \in K_{n}^{-}} k y_{k, n}-\sum_{k \in K_{n}^{+}} \frac{k q_{n}^{k}}{1-q_{n}^{k}}-\sum_{k \in K_{n}^{-}} \frac{k q_{n}^{k}}{1-q_{n}^{k}}\right| \leq a_{n}\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is such that $a_{n}=o\left(n^{3 / 4}\right)$. This gives us a lemma analogous to [Fri93, Lemma 4.2].
Lemma 4. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{Q_{q_{n}}\left(N=n \mid W_{n}=w_{n}\right)}{Q_{q_{n}}(N=n)} \rightarrow 1 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then for all Borel sets $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d_{n}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{B}\left|\mathbb{P}_{n}\left(W_{n}^{-1}(B)\right)-Q_{q_{n}}\left(W_{n}^{-1}(B)\right)\right| \rightarrow 0 . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Combining (5) and the fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{q_{n}}(S \mid N=n)=\frac{Q_{q_{n}}(S \cap N=n)}{Q_{q_{n}}(N=n)} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

the left-hand-side of 11 is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{B}\left|\frac{Q_{q_{n}}\left(W_{n}^{-1}(B) \cap N=n\right)}{Q_{q_{n}}(N=n)}-Q_{q_{n}}\left(W_{n}^{-1}(B)\right)\right|, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is bounded above by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Q_{q_{n}}\left(W_{n}^{-1}\left(B \cap B_{n}^{c}\right)\right)+\sum_{w_{n} \in B \cap B_{n}}\left(Q_{q_{n}}\left(W_{n}^{-1}\left(w_{n}\right)\right)-\frac{Q_{q_{n}}\left(W_{n}^{-1}\left(w_{n}\right) \cap N=n\right)}{Q_{q_{n}}(N=n)}\right) \\
\leq & Q_{q_{n}}\left(W_{n}^{-1}\left(B \cap B_{n}^{c}\right)\right)+\sum_{w_{n} \in B \cap B_{n}} Q_{q_{n}}\left(W_{n}^{-1}\left(w_{n}\right)\right)\left|1-\frac{Q_{q_{n}}\left(N=n \mid W_{n}=w_{n}\right)}{Q_{q_{n}}(N=n)}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (10), the quantity in the absolute value goes to 0 . In addition, by the definition of $B_{n}$ and Chebyshev's Inequality,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{q_{n}} & \left(W_{n}^{-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d_{n}} \cap B_{n}^{c}\right)\right) \\
& =Q_{q_{n}}\left(w_{n}:\left|\sum_{k \in K_{n}^{+}} k x_{k, n}+\sum_{k \in K_{n}^{-}} k y_{k, n}-\sum_{k \in K_{n}^{+}} \frac{k q_{n}^{k}}{1-q_{n}^{k}}-\sum_{k \in K_{n}^{-}} \frac{k q_{n}^{k}}{1-q_{n}^{k}}\right|>a_{n}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{a_{n}^{2}}\left(\sum_{k \in K_{n}^{+}} \frac{k^{2} q_{n}^{k}}{\left(1-q_{n}^{k}\right)^{2}}+\sum_{k \in K_{n}^{-}} \frac{k^{2} q_{n}^{k}}{\left(1-q_{n}^{k}\right)^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which approaches 0 by (8). Under these conditions the Prokhorov distance between $\mathbb{P}_{n}$ and $Q_{q_{n}}$ (Equation (11) converges to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

After applying Lemma 4 proving that the Prokhorov distance between $\mathbb{P}_{n}$ and $Q_{q_{n}}$ converges to 0 reduces to showing that 10 holds for $w_{n} \in B_{n}$. To do so, we will show that the numerator and the denominator of 10 are asymptotically equivalent. First, we asymptotically compute the denominator. As in [Fi93, we will show that the distribution of $N$ under $Q_{q_{n}}$ can be approximated by the normal distribution; however, our proof will rely on the Lyapunov condition as was done in GH08.

Lemma 5. Under $Q_{q_{n}}$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\frac{N-\mu_{n}(N)}{\sigma_{n}(N)} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1) .
$$

Proof. The statement will follow after verifying the Lyapunov condition for $\delta=1$ (see for example, Loè63). More precisely, we will verify that as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\frac{1}{\sigma_{n}^{3}(N)} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left(\left|Y_{n, k}\right|^{3}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

where $Y_{n, k}=\left(k X_{n, k}^{+}+k X_{n}^{-}\right)-\mathbb{E}\left(k X_{n, k}^{+}+k X_{n, k}^{-}\right)$.

First note that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}\left(\left|Y_{n, k}\right|^{3}\right) & \leq 4 k^{3}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(X_{n, k}^{+}+X_{n, k}^{-}\right)^{3}+\mathbb{E}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(X_{n, k}^{+}+X_{n, k}^{-}\right)^{3}\right)\right) \\
& \leq 8 k^{3} \mathbb{E}\left(X_{n, k}^{+}+X_{n, k}^{-}\right)^{3} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

where the first inequality is due to the $c_{r}$-inequality (see for example, Loè63). Therefore, we can consider

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{3} \mathbb{E}\left(X_{n, k}^{+}+X_{n, k}^{-}\right)^{3}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{8 k^{3} q_{n}^{k}}{\left(1-q_{n}\right)^{3}}
$$

since $X_{n, k}^{+}$and $X_{n, k}^{-}$are i.i.d. random variables. By the Euler-Maclaurin Formula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{8 k^{3} q_{n}^{k}}{\left(1-q_{n}\right)^{3}} \sim \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{8 t^{3} q_{n}^{t}}{\left(1-q_{n}^{t}\right)^{3}} d t=\frac{8}{\ln ^{4}\left(q_{n}^{-1}\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{u^{3} e^{-u}}{\left(1-e^{-u}\right)^{3}} d t \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

after the substitution $u=t \ln \left(q_{n}^{-1}\right)$. Notice that the integral on the right-hand-side of 15$)$ is bounded. Therefore, substituting $q_{n}=e^{\frac{-\pi}{\sqrt{3 n}}}$ into 15 ,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{3} \mathbb{E}\left(\left(X_{n, k}^{+}+X_{n}^{-}\right)^{3}\right)=O\left(n^{2}\right)=o\left(n^{9 / 4}\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Corollary 3.

$$
\left(\sigma^{2}(N)\right)^{3 / 2}=\Theta\left(n^{9 / 4}\right)
$$

which completes the proof.
We will strengthen Lemma 5 to the local limit theorem at 0 which will give the desired approximation.

Lemma 6. As $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
Q_{q_{n}}(N=n) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{96 n^{3}}}
$$

Proof. By CS93 [Theorem 2.3], a local limit theorem holds if there exists an integrable function $f^{*}(t)$ such that for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{n}\left|\phi_{n}(t)\right| \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|t| \leq \gamma \sigma_{n}^{1 / 3}\right\}} \leq f^{*}(t) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $0<\gamma<1$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\gamma \sigma_{n}^{1 / 3} \leq|t| \leq \lambda \pi \sigma_{n}}\left|\phi_{n}(t)\right|=o\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_{n}}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\lambda>0$.
To prove 17) and 18, we will first establish an upper bound on $\phi_{n}(t)$, the characteristic function of $N$, and use that to obtain an upper bound for the characteristic function of $\frac{N-\mu_{n}(N)}{\sigma_{n}(N)}$.

By Proposition 2 .

$$
\left|\phi_{n}(t)\right|=\exp \left(-2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ln \left|\frac{1-q^{k} e^{i t k}}{1-q^{k}}\right|\right)
$$

We will obtain upper bounds on this expression by making the sum smaller. To do so, notice that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1-q^{k} e^{i t k}}{1-q^{k}}\right|=\sqrt{1+\frac{2 q^{k}(1-\cos (k t))}{\left(1-q^{k}\right)^{2}}} \geq \sqrt{1+2 q^{k}(1-\cos (k t))} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (19) and the fact that for all $x>0, \ln (1+x) \geq \frac{x}{x+1}$, we get

$$
\left|\phi_{n}(t)\right| \leq \exp \left(-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2 q^{k}(1-\cos (k t))}{3}\right)
$$

Therefore, if $\varphi_{n}(t)$ is the characteristic function of $\frac{N-\mu_{n}(N)}{\sigma_{n}(N)}$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\varphi_{n}(t)\right| \leq \exp \left(-\frac{2}{3} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q^{k}\left(1-\cos \left(k t / \sigma_{n}\right)\right)\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove (17), restrict $t$ such that $|t| \leq \gamma \sigma^{1 / 3}$. Therefore, $k t / \sigma_{n}$ is strictly bounded above by 1 and the power series expansion of $\cos (x)$ gives $1-\cos (x) \geq \frac{x^{2}}{2}$. In addition, restrict the sum in 20 to obtain

$$
\left|\varphi_{n}(t)\right| \leq \exp \left(-\frac{1}{3} \sum_{\left\lceil\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{n}^{2 / 3}\right\rceil}^{\left\lfloor\sigma_{n}^{2 / 3}\right\rfloor} \frac{q^{k}(k t)^{2}}{\sigma_{n}^{2}}\right)
$$

If $q_{n}^{k}=e^{-k \pi / \sqrt{3 n}}$ and $k \geq\left\lceil\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{n}^{2 / 3}\right\rceil=\Theta\left(\frac{\sqrt[6]{12} n^{1 / 2}}{\pi^{1 / 3}}\right)$, then by Corollary 3 , $q_{n}^{k} \geq$ $e^{-\pi^{2 / 3} / \sqrt[6]{6^{5}}} \geq c>0$ for some absolute constant $c$. Since $|t| \leq \gamma \sigma_{n}^{1 / 3}$ and the sum is over $\Theta\left(\sigma_{n}^{2 / 3}\right)$ terms, we obtain

$$
\left|\varphi_{n}(t)\right| \leq \exp \left(C t^{2}\right)
$$

for some $C>0$ which proves 17 .
To prove $\sqrt{18}$, restrict the sum in 20 to the set

$$
S:=\left\{k:\left\lceil\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{n}^{2 / 3}\right\rceil \leq k \leq\left\lfloor\sigma_{n}^{2 / 3}\right\rfloor, \cos \left(k t / \sigma_{n}\right) \leq 0\right\}
$$

Since $q_{n}^{k} \geq c>0$ for some absolute constant $c$ and $S$ has $\Theta\left(\sigma_{n}^{2 / 3}\right)$ terms, then

$$
\left|\varphi_{n}(t)\right| \leq \exp \left(-\frac{1}{3} c \sigma_{n}^{2 / 3}\right)<\frac{1}{\sigma_{n}}
$$

for sufficiently large $n$. Therefore, 18 holds.
Since a local limit theorem holds, then

$$
Q_{q_{n}}(N=n)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \frac{1}{\sigma_{n}(N)}=\frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{48 n^{3}}}
$$

as desired.
To asymptotically compute the numerator of 10, first notice that
(21) $Q_{q_{n}}\left(N=n \mid W_{n}=w_{n}\right)=$

$$
Q_{q_{n}}\left(\sum_{k \notin K_{n}^{+}} k X_{k}^{+}+\sum_{k \notin K_{n}^{-}} k X_{k}^{-}=n-\sum_{k \in K_{n}^{+}} k x_{k, n}-\sum_{k \in K_{n}^{-}} k y_{k, n}\right)
$$

Now, we will consider a variation of $N$ defined as,

$$
\widehat{N}:=\sum_{k \notin K_{n}^{+}} k X_{k}^{+}+\sum_{k \notin K_{n}^{-}} k X_{k}^{-}
$$

As was done with the denominator of 10 , we will show that the distribution of $\widehat{N}$ can be approximated by the normal distribution. We begin by computing the expectation and variance of $\widehat{N}$.

Lemma 7. If $q=q_{n}$, then as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
n-\mu_{n}(\widehat{N})=o\left(n^{3 / 4}\right) \quad \& \quad \sigma_{n}^{2}(\widehat{N})=\Theta\left(\frac{\sqrt{12} n^{3 / 2}}{\pi}\right)
$$

Proof. By independence,

$$
\mu_{n}(\widehat{N})=\sum_{k \notin K_{n}^{+}} \frac{k q_{n}^{k}}{1-q_{n}^{k}}+\sum_{k \notin K_{n}^{-}} \frac{k q_{n}^{k}}{1-q_{n}^{k}}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(n-\sum_{k \in K_{n}^{+}} k x_{k, n}-\sum_{k \in K_{n}^{-}} k y_{k, n}\right)-\mu_{n}(\widehat{N})= \\
& \quad n-\mu(N)+\left(\sum_{k \in K_{n}^{+}} \frac{k q_{n}^{k}}{1-q_{n}^{k}}+\sum_{k \in K_{n}^{-}} \frac{k q_{n}^{k}}{1-q_{n}^{k}}-\sum_{k \in K_{n}^{+}} k x_{k, n}-\sum_{k \in K_{n}^{-}} k y_{k, n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Corollary 3, $n-\mu(N)=o\left(n^{3 / 4}\right)$. In addition, the definition of $B_{n}$ says that the difference on the right is also $o\left(n^{3 / 4}\right)$. Therefore, the first result follows.

By independence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{n}^{2}(\widehat{N}) & =\sum_{k \notin K_{n}^{+}} \frac{k^{2} q_{n}^{k}}{\left(1-q_{n}^{k}\right)^{2}}+\sum_{k \notin K_{n}^{-}} \frac{k^{2} q_{n}^{k}}{\left(1-q_{n}^{k}\right)^{2}} \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{2 k^{2} q_{n}^{k}}{\left(1-q_{n}^{k}\right)^{2}}-\sum_{k \in K_{n}^{+}} \frac{k^{2} q_{n}^{k}}{\left(1-q_{n}^{k}\right)^{2}}-\sum_{k \in K_{n}^{-}} \frac{k^{2} q_{n}^{k}}{\left(1-q_{n}^{k}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Corollary 3. the first sum is $\Theta\left(\frac{\sqrt{12} n^{3 / 2}}{\pi}\right)$, and by Equation (8) the last two sums are $o\left(n^{3 / 4}\right)$. Therefore, the second result follows.

Lemma 8. Under $Q_{q_{n}}$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\frac{\widehat{N}-\mu_{n}(\widehat{N})}{\sigma_{n}(\widehat{N})} \xrightarrow{d} N(0,1) .
$$

Proof. As in Lemma 5, we will prove this statement by verifying the Lyapunov condition. Analogous to (14), we can consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \notin K^{+} \times K^{-}} & \mathbb{E}\left(k_{1} X_{n, k_{1}}^{+}+k_{2} X_{n, k_{2}}^{-}\right)^{3} \\
= & \sum_{k_{1} \notin K_{n}^{+}} \frac{k_{1}^{3} q_{n}^{k_{1}}}{\left(1-q_{n}^{k_{1}}\right)^{3}}+\sum_{\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \notin K^{+} \times K^{-}} \frac{3 k_{1}^{2} k_{2} q_{n}^{k_{1} q_{n}^{k_{2}}}}{\left(1-q_{n}^{k_{1}}\right)^{2}\left(1-q_{n}^{k_{2}}\right)} \\
& +\sum_{\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \notin K^{+} \times K^{-}} \frac{3 k_{1} k_{2}^{2} q_{n}^{k_{1}} q_{n}^{k_{2}}}{\left(1-q_{n}^{k_{1}}\right)\left(1-q_{n}^{k_{2}}\right)^{2}}+\sum_{k_{2} \notin K_{n}^{-}} \frac{k_{2}^{3} q_{n_{2}}^{k_{2}}}{\left(1-q_{n}^{k_{2}}\right)^{3}} \\
= & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{8 k^{3} q_{n}^{k}}{\left(1-q_{n}^{k}\right)^{3}}-\sum_{k_{1} \in K_{n}^{+}} \frac{k_{1}^{3} q_{n}^{k_{1}}}{\left(1-q_{n}^{k_{1}}\right)^{3}}-\sum_{k_{2} \in K_{n}^{-}} \frac{k_{2}^{3} q_{n}^{k_{2}}}{\left(1-q_{n}^{k_{2}}\right)^{3}} \\
& \quad-\sum_{\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \in K^{+} \times K^{-}} \frac{3 k_{1}^{2} k_{2} q_{n}^{k_{1} q_{n}^{k_{2}}}}{\left(1-q_{n}^{k_{1}}\right)^{2}\left(1-q_{n}^{k_{2}}\right)}-\sum_{\left(k_{1}, k_{2}\right) \in K^{+} \times K^{-}} \frac{3 k_{1} k_{2}^{2} q_{n}^{k_{1} q_{n}^{k_{2}}}}{\left(1-q_{n}^{k_{1}}\right)\left(1-q_{n}^{k_{2}}\right)^{2}} \\
\leq & \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{8 k^{3} q_{n}^{k}}{\left(1-q_{n}^{k}\right)^{3}}=o\left(n^{9 / 4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

by Equation (16). By Corollary 3 and Equation (8),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\sigma_{n}^{2}(\widehat{N})\right)^{3 / 2} & =\left(\sigma_{n}^{2}(N)-\sum_{k \in K_{n}^{+}}\left(\frac{k^{2} q_{n}^{k}}{1-q_{n}^{k}}\right)-\sum_{k \in K_{n}^{-}}\left(\frac{k^{2} q_{n}^{k}}{1-q_{n}^{k}}\right)\right)^{3 / 2} \\
& =\left(\sigma_{n}^{2}(N)+o\left(n^{3 / 2}\right)\right)^{3 / 2}=\Theta\left(n^{9 / 4}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we strengthen Lemma 8 to the local limit theorem at 0 which will give the desired approximation.

Lemma 9. As $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
Q_{q_{n}}(\widehat{N}=n) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{96 n^{3}}}
$$

Proof. Let $\widehat{\phi}_{n}(t)$ denote the characteristic function of $\widehat{N}$. As in Lemma 6, by CS93 [Theorem 2.3] we need only show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{n}\left|\widehat{\phi}_{n}(t)\right| \mathbf{1}_{\left\{|t| \leq \gamma \sigma_{n}^{1 / 3}\right\}} \leq e^{C t} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $0<\gamma<1$ and some absolute constant $C$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\gamma \sigma_{n}^{1 / 3} \leq|t| \leq \lambda \pi \sigma_{n}}\left|\widehat{\phi}_{n}(t)\right|=o\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_{n}}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\lambda>0$. By definition

$$
\left|\widehat{\phi}_{n}(t)\right| \leq\left|\phi_{n}(t)\right|
$$

so the proofs of 22 and $(23)$ are trivial by Lemmas 6 and 7 .

Since a local limit theorem holds by Lemma 7, then

$$
Q_{q_{n}}(\widehat{N}=n)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \frac{1}{\sigma_{n}(\widehat{N})}=\frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{48 n^{3}}}
$$

Finally, we have the following theorem which allows us to consider the probability distribution $Q_{q_{n}}$ instead of $\mathbb{P}_{n}$.
Theorem 10. For all Borel sets $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{n}^{d}$ and $W_{n}$ as defined by (9),

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup _{B}\left|\mathbb{P}_{n}\left(W_{n} \in B\right)-Q_{q_{n}}\left(W_{n} \in B\right)\right|=0
$$

Proof. By Lemma 4 , it suffices to prove Equation (10). Lemma 9 and Equation (21) prove that the the numerator and denominator of the left-hand-side of Equation (10) are asymptotically equivalent, so holds.

As per Fristedt's paper Fri93], we will now explicitly define

$$
K_{n}^{ \pm}=\left\{k: k \geq \frac{\sqrt{3 n}}{\pi}\left(\ln \frac{\sqrt{3 n}}{\pi}-\ln \left(2 t_{n}\right)\right)\right\}
$$

where $t_{n}$ is any divergent sequence that is $o\left(n^{1 / 4}\right)$. Notice that 8 holds for these $K_{n}^{ \pm}$.

To conclude this section, note that a recent work of GKW10, NR14 provided straight forward analytic conditions for Fredist's conditioning device to hold. It would be intriguing to see how these analytic conditions fit into this more general framework.

## 5. Distributions of Perimeter, tilt and length

In this section we compute the distributions of the perimeter, tilt and the length of a concave composition $\left(\lambda^{-}, c, \lambda^{+}\right)$, where $c=0$. We begin with the perimeter, which is in correspondence with the length of the partition, since by Euler, the largest part of a partition is in bijection with the length of that partition (see And98).

In light of Theorem 10, we need only consider the distribution of the perimeter over $Q_{q_{n}}$.

Theorem 11. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$
f_{n}(x)=\frac{\sqrt{3 n}}{\pi} x+\frac{\sqrt{3 n}}{\pi} \ln \frac{\sqrt{3 n}}{\pi} .
$$

For fixed $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_{n}\left(\ell\left(\lambda^{-}\right) \leq f_{n}(x), \ell\left(\lambda^{+}\right) \leq f_{n}(y)\right)=e^{-\left(e^{-x}+e^{-y}\right)}
$$

Proof. From And98, we have that $(q, q)_{j}^{-1}$ generates partitions of $n$ whose length is at most $j$. Therefore,

$$
Q_{q}\left(\ell\left(\lambda^{-}\right) \leq i, \ell\left(\lambda^{+}\right) \leq j\right)=\left(q^{i+1}, q\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{j+1}, q\right)_{\infty}
$$

Let $i=f_{n}(x), j=f_{n}(y)$ and $q=q_{n}$. From the $q$-Binomial Theorem And98,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z, q)_{\infty}^{-1}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{n}}{(q)_{n}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Plugging in $z=\tau e^{-x}$ and noting that $\lim _{\tau \rightarrow 0} \tau^{n} /(q)_{n}=1 / n$ !, we observe

$$
\lim _{\tau \rightarrow 0}\left(\tau e^{-x}, e^{-\tau}\right)_{\infty}=e^{-e^{-x}}
$$

Setting $q=q_{n}$, which tends to one, in Equation 24 and applying Theorem 10 completes the proof.

Lemma 12. For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0<\tau<1$, let $f_{\tau}(x)=(x-\ln \tau) / \tau$, and $q=e^{-\tau}$.
(1) For all $y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\left(\tau e^{-y} q, q\right)_{\infty} \leq e^{-q e^{-y}}
$$

(2) For all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
Q_{q}\left(\left(\ell\left(\lambda^{+}\right), \ell\left(\lambda^{-}\right)\right)=\left(f_{\tau}(x), f_{\tau}(y)\right)\right) \leq e^{-(x+y)} e^{-\left(e^{-x}+e^{-y}\right)}
$$

(3) For $\tau e^{-2 x}, \tau e^{-2 y}=o(1)$,
$Q_{q}\left(\left(\ell\left(\lambda^{+}\right), \ell\left(\lambda^{-}\right)\right)=\left(f_{\tau}(x), f_{\tau}(y)\right)\right)$
$=\tau^{2} e^{-(x+y)} e^{-\left(e^{-x}+e^{-y}\right)}\left(1+O\left(\tau\left(1+e^{-2 y}\right)\right)\right)\left(1+O\left(\tau\left(1+e^{-2 x}\right)\right)\right)$.
Proof. From And98 we have that $q^{j}(q, q)_{j}^{-1}$ generates partitions of $n$ whose length is $j$. Therefore,

$$
Q_{q}\left(\left(\ell\left(\lambda^{+}\right), \ell\left(\lambda^{-}\right)\right)=(a, b)\right)=q^{a+b}\left(q^{a+1}, q\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{b+1}, q\right)_{\infty}
$$

By letting $a=f_{\tau}(x)$, and $b=f_{\tau}(y)$, we obtain

$$
Q_{q}\left(\left(\ell\left(\lambda^{+}\right), \ell\left(\lambda^{-}\right)\right)=\left(f_{\tau}(x), f_{\tau}(y)\right)\right)=\tau^{2} e^{-x-y}\left(\tau e^{-x} q, q\right)_{\infty}\left(\tau e^{-y} q, q\right)_{\infty}
$$

Now consider the expression $\left(\tau e^{-y} q, q\right)_{\infty}$. Namely,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ln \left(\tau e^{-y} q, q\right)_{\infty} & =-\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau^{l} e^{-l y} q^{l}}{l\left(1-q^{l}\right)} \\
& =-\tau e^{-y} \frac{q}{1-q}+R
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R$ is the remainder. Since $R$ is negative, and $-\tau /(1-q)<-1$, then

$$
\left(\tau e^{-y} q, q\right)_{\infty} \leq e^{-q e^{-y}}
$$

which proves (1). Furthermore,

$$
\tau^{2} e^{-x-y}\left(\tau e^{-x} q, q\right)_{\infty}\left(\tau e^{-y} q, q\right)_{\infty} \leq \tau^{2} e^{-x-y} e^{-q\left(e^{-x}+e^{-y}\right)}
$$

which shows (2).
To see (3), let $\tau e^{-2 y}, \tau e^{-2 x}=o(1)$. If $\tau e^{-2 y}=o(1)$, then $e^{-l y}=o\left(1 / \tau^{l / 2}\right)$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \frac{\tau^{l} e^{-l y} q^{l}}{l\left(1-q^{l}\right)} & =e^{-2 y} \tau^{2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau^{l} e^{-l y} q^{l+2}}{(l+2)\left(1-q^{l+2}\right)} \\
& \ll e^{-2 y} \tau^{2} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau^{l / 2} q^{l+1}}{(l+1)\left(1-q^{l+1}\right)} \\
& \leq e^{-2 y} \tau \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau^{l / 2}}{(l+1)^{2}} \\
& \leq \tau e^{-2 y} \frac{\pi^{2}}{6}
\end{aligned}
$$

provided $\tau<1$, and using the fact that $e^{x}-1>x$ for $x>0$. Observe that $\tau e^{-2 y} \pi^{2} / 6 \rightarrow 0$ as $\tau \rightarrow 0$ and we require the estimate

$$
\frac{\tau q}{1-q}=\tau\left(\frac{1}{1-q}-1\right)=1+O(\tau)
$$

which provides us with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ln \left(\tau e^{-y} q, q\right)_{\infty} & =-\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau^{l} e^{-l y} q^{l}}{l\left(1-q^{l}\right)} \\
& =-e^{-y}+O\left(\tau\left(1+e^{-2 y}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we use the bound $e^{s+t}=e^{s}(1+O(t))$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ to complete the proof. Setting $s=-e^{-y}$ and $t=O\left(\tau\left(1+e^{-2 y}\right)\right)$ gives us

$$
\left(\tau e^{-y} q, q\right)_{\infty}=e^{-e^{-y}}\left(1+O\left(\tau\left(1+e^{-2 y}\right)\right)\right)
$$

We now move to the tilt, and the length of the concave composition $\left(\lambda^{-}, c, \lambda^{+}\right)$. Given that the perimeter, which is the same as the length, is distributed as a pair of independent identically distributed extreme value distributions, it is not surprising that the length is the convolution of two Gumbel distributions while the tilt is logistically distributed.

Theorem 13. For fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_{n}\left(\ell\left(\lambda^{-}, c, \lambda^{+}\right) \leq \frac{\sqrt{3 n}}{\pi} x+\frac{\sqrt{3 n}}{\pi} \ln \left(\frac{\sqrt{3 n}}{\pi}\right)\right)=e^{\frac{-x}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t} e^{e^{\frac{-x}{2}} 2 \cosh (t)} d t \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_{n}\left(\ell\left(\lambda^{-}\right)-\ell\left(\lambda^{+}\right) \leq \frac{\sqrt{3 n}}{\pi} x\right)=\frac{1}{1+e^{-x}} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The proof of both equations is similar, and here we prove Equation 25 . For any $z \in \mathbb{N}$, And98 gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{q}\left(\ell\left(\lambda^{-}\right)+\ell\left(\lambda^{+}\right) \leq z\right) & =\sum_{j=0}^{z} Q_{q}\left(\ell\left(\lambda^{-}\right) \leq z-j\right) Q_{q}\left(\ell\left(\lambda^{+}\right)=j\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{z} q^{j}\left(q^{z-j+1}, q\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{j+1}, q\right)_{\infty} \\
& =q^{z / 2} \sum_{j=-z / 2}^{z / 2} q^{j}\left(q^{z / 2-j+1}, q\right)_{\infty}\left(q^{z / 2+j+1}, q\right)_{\infty}
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $z=\sqrt{3 n} x / \pi+\sqrt{3 n} / \pi \ln (\sqrt{3 n} / \pi)$ and $q=q_{n}$ in the right-hand-side, we get
$Q_{q}\left(\ell\left(\lambda^{-}\right)+\ell\left(\lambda^{+}\right) \leq z\right)=e^{\frac{-x}{2}} \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3 n}} \sum_{j=-z / 2}^{z / 2} e^{\frac{-\pi j}{\sqrt{3 n}}}\left(\frac{e^{\frac{-x}{2}} \pi}{\sqrt{3 n}} e^{\frac{-\pi j}{\sqrt{3 n}}} q_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{\infty}\left(\frac{e^{\frac{-x}{2}} \pi}{\sqrt{3 n}} e^{\frac{\pi j}{\sqrt{3 n}}} q_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{\infty}$.

For any $M$ such that $M / \sqrt{n}$ diverges, we break up the sum on the right as $Q_{q}\left(\ell\left(\lambda^{-}\right)+\ell\left(\lambda^{+}\right) \leq z\right)=\Sigma_{1}+\Sigma_{2}+\Sigma_{3}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Sigma_{1}=e^{\frac{-x}{2}} \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3 n}} \sum_{j=-z / 2}^{-M-1} e^{\frac{-\pi j}{\sqrt{3 n}}}\left(\frac{e^{\frac{-x}{2}} \pi}{\sqrt{3 n}} e^{\frac{-\pi j}{\sqrt{3 n}}} q_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{\infty}\left(\frac{e^{\frac{-x}{2}} \pi}{\sqrt{3 n}} e^{\frac{\pi j}{\sqrt{3 n}}} q_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{\infty} \\
& \Sigma_{2}=e^{\frac{-x}{2}} \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3 n}} \sum_{j=-M}^{M} e^{\frac{-\pi j}{\sqrt{3 n}}}\left(\frac{e^{\frac{-x}{2}} \pi}{\sqrt{3 n}} e^{\frac{-\pi j}{\sqrt{3 n}}} q_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{\infty}\left(\frac{e^{\frac{-x}{2}} \pi}{\sqrt{3 n}} e^{\frac{\pi j}{\sqrt{3 n}}} q_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{\infty} \\
& \Sigma_{3}=e^{\frac{-x}{2}} \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3 n}} \sum_{j=M+1}^{z / 2} e^{\frac{-\pi j}{\sqrt{3 n}}}\left(\frac{e^{\frac{-x}{2}} \pi}{\sqrt{3 n}} e^{\frac{-\pi j}{\sqrt{3 n}}} q_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{\infty}\left(\frac{\left.e^{\frac{-x}{2}} \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3 n}} e^{\frac{\pi j}{\sqrt{3 n}}} q_{n}, q_{n}\right)_{\infty}}{}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying Lemma 12 to $\Sigma_{3}$ gives us

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_{3} & \left.\leq e^{\frac{-x}{2}} \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3 n}} \sum_{j=M+1}^{z / 2} e^{\frac{-\pi j}{\sqrt{3 n}}} e^{-q_{n} e^{\frac{-\pi j}{\sqrt{3 n}}-\frac{x}{2}} e^{-q_{n} e^{\frac{\pi j}{\sqrt{3 n}}-\frac{x}{2}}}} \begin{array}{rl} 
& =e^{\frac{-x}{2}} \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3 n}} \sum_{j=M+1}^{z / 2} e^{\frac{-\pi j}{\sqrt{3 n}}} e^{-q_{n} e^{\frac{-x}{2}} 2 \cosh \left(\frac{\pi j}{\sqrt{3 n}}\right)} \\
& \sim e^{\frac{-x}{2}} \int_{\frac{\pi(M+1)}{\sqrt{3 n}}}^{\infty} e^{-t} e^{-2 q_{n} e^{\frac{-x}{2} \cosh (t)}} d t \\
& <e^{\frac{-x}{2}} \int_{\frac{\pi(M+1)}{\sqrt{3 n}}}^{\infty} e^{-t} e^{-2 q_{n} e^{\frac{-x}{2}} e^{-t}} d t=\frac{-1}{2 q_{n}}\left(e^{\left.-2 q_{n} e^{\frac{-x}{2}} e^{\frac{-\pi(M+1)}{\sqrt{3 n}}}-1\right)}\right.
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which converges to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $M / \sqrt{n}$ diverges. A similar argument shows that $\Sigma_{1}$ also converges to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $M / \sqrt{n}$ diverges.

For $\Sigma_{2}$, the Euler-Maclaurin Formula says
where

$$
\Sigma_{2}=\int_{-M-1}^{M} f(j) d j+\frac{1}{2}(f(M)-f(-M-1))+R
$$

$$
|R| \leq \frac{1}{12} \int_{-M-1}^{M}\left|f^{(2)}(j)\right| d j \quad \& \quad f(j)=e^{\frac{-x}{2}} \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3 n}} e^{\frac{-\pi j}{\sqrt{3 n}}} e^{-q_{n} e^{\frac{-x}{2}} 2 \cosh \left(\frac{\pi j}{\sqrt{3 n}}\right)}
$$

It is not difficult to show that $f(M)-f(-M-1)$ converges to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $M / \sqrt{n}$ diverges. Splitting

$$
\int_{-M-1}^{M}\left|f^{(2)}(j)\right| d j=\int_{-M-1}^{0}\left|f^{(2)}(j)\right| d j+\int_{0}^{M}\left|f^{(2)}(j)\right| d j
$$

it is not difficult to show that each of the two integrals on the right-hand-side here converges to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $M / \sqrt{n}$ diverges. Next, the integral

$$
\int_{-M-1}^{M} f(j) d j=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(j) d j
$$

since each integral $\int_{-\infty}^{-M-1} f(j) d j$ and $\int_{M}^{\infty} f(j) d j$ converges to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $M / \sqrt{n}$ diverges. Hence,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \Sigma_{2}=e^{\frac{-x}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-t} e^{e^{\frac{-x}{2}} 2 \cosh (t)} d t
$$

and Theorem 10 completes the proof.

## 6. The limiting graphical representation of a concave composition

In this section, we consider the graphical representation of a concave composition by applying the techniques on graphing partitions from Yak12. Our departure begins by decomposing the Boltzmann measure further by looking at a weighted sum across the uniform measure on ordinary partitions of $k$, which we denote $\mu_{k}$.

Lemma 14. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, \delta>0$ sufficiently small, and $\mu_{k}$ be the uniform measure on ordinary integer partitions of $k$. There exists $w_{k}>0$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{n} w_{k}=1$ so that as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}_{n}\left(\left(\lambda^{-}, 0, \lambda^{+}\right) \mid c=0\right)=\sum_{k=-n^{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}}^{n^{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}} \mu_{\frac{n}{2}+k}\left(\lambda^{+}\right) \mu_{\frac{n}{2}-k}\left(\lambda^{-}\right) w_{\frac{n}{2}+k}+O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. When $c=0$, any concave composition can be represented as a pair of partitions $\left(\lambda^{+}, \lambda^{-}\right)$where $\left|\lambda^{+}\right|+\left|\lambda^{-}\right|=n$. We can condition on the size of $\left|\lambda^{+}\right|$and write

$$
\mathbb{P}_{n}\left\{\left(\lambda^{-}, 0, \lambda^{+}\right)\right\}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu_{k}\left(\lambda^{+}\right) \mu_{n-k}\left(\lambda^{-}\right) w_{k}+O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)
$$

where $w_{k}=p(k) p(n-k) / p_{2}(n)$ and $\mu_{k}(\lambda)=\delta_{k}(|\lambda|) / p(k)$. The number $p(k)$ counts the total number of partitions of $k$ and $\delta_{k}(n)$ is the Kronecker delta function. Recall the classic asymptotic [And98, Theorem 6.2]

$$
p(n)=\frac{1}{4 n \sqrt{3}} \exp \left(\pi \sqrt{\frac{2 n}{3}}\right)\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right)
$$

This estimate along with (2) proves

$$
w_{k}=\frac{\sqrt[4]{12} n^{\frac{5}{4}}}{4 \sqrt{6} k(n-k)} \exp \left(\pi \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}(\sqrt{k}+\sqrt{n-k}-\sqrt{2 n})\right)\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right)
$$

Expanding near $k=n / 2$ we observe,

$$
\pi \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}(\sqrt{k}+\sqrt{n-k}-\sqrt{2 n})=-\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3} n^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left(k-\frac{n}{2}\right)^{2}+O\left(\frac{\left(k-\frac{n}{2}\right)^{3}}{n^{\frac{5}{2}}}\right)
$$

Applying the above estimate we obtain,

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{\frac{n}{2}+z} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi} \sigma_{n}} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{z}{\sigma_{n}}\right)^{2}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{n}=\sqrt[4]{\frac{3}{4 \pi^{2}}} n^{\frac{3}{4}}$. For $k>n^{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}$ we can use the log concavity of $p(n)$ DP15] which shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p\left(\frac{n}{2}-k\right) p\left(\frac{n}{2}+k\right) \leq p\left(\frac{n}{2}-n^{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}\right) p\left(\frac{n}{2}+n^{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}\right) . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note the convex combination of a concave function attains its minimum when $k=0$ and is monotonic otherwise. Hence, for $|z| \geq n^{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}$ we can bound $w_{k}$ by plugging Equation (28) into Inequality 29 in view of the definition of $w_{k}$,

$$
w_{\frac{n}{2}+z} \ll e^{-n^{2 \delta}}
$$

A celebrated fact about partitions of a large positive integer is that their "graphs", called Young diagrams, have a somewhat uniform shape to them. This shape is called the limit shape and it is defined by the curve

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{6}} x}+e^{-\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{6}} y}=1 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1. The graph, in red, of a normalized random partition of a positive integer in the order of $10^{5}$ along with the limit shape given by Equation (30), in blue.

To define a Young diagram, it is more convenient to define $x$ as a function of $y$ through the function $X_{m}(\lambda)$ which counts the number of $m$ 's in partition $\lambda$. Under this convention, the Young diagram of $\lambda$ is defined as the graph of the function

$$
x_{\lambda}(y)=\sum_{m>y} X_{m}(\lambda)
$$

Precisely Yak12, Theorem 8, below Equation (41)] we know that there exists $\gamma, \delta>$ 0 , so that for all $y \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists $C(y)>0$ so that for $\epsilon>0$ small and $k$ large,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{k}\left\{\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{k}} x_{\lambda}(\sqrt{k} y)-\frac{\sqrt{6}}{\pi} \ln \left(1-e^{-\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{6}} y}\right)\right|>\epsilon\right\}<k^{\gamma} \exp \left(-C(y) \epsilon^{2} \sqrt{k^{1-\delta}}\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C(y)$ can be made uniform on compact subsets of the positive reals.
Concave compositions have a similar property. Informally, concave compositions typically fit the curve

$$
\frac{\pi C(x)}{\sqrt{3 n}} e^{\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}}|x|}+e^{-\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}} y}=1
$$

for some $C(x)=\left(C_{+}\right) \mathbf{1}_{x>0}(x)+\left(C_{-}\right) \mathbf{1}_{x<0}(x)$ that is a stepwise function given by the indicator functions and a pair of i.i.d. $\log$ Gumbel distributions $C_{ \pm}$. In a sense, one can view $C(x)$ as a "fitting constant" which adjusts to the length of a concave composition's left and right partition.

We now construct the graphical representation of a concave composition by adapting the setup of Yak12]. The graph of $\left(\lambda^{-}, c, \lambda^{+}\right)$is constructed by first drawing the central part $c$ as a step function that is centered at the origin. Next, we draw simple functions which represent the graphs of $\lambda^{-}$and $\lambda^{+}$to the left and right of $c$, respectively. The resulting picture should always look like a stepwise approximation to a convex function. See Figure 2 for an example.


Figure 2. Graphical representation of the concave composition $(8,6,6,3,2,1,1,1,0,1,1,1,2,5,5,5,6)$.

It is useful to define the "tick marks" at which the concave composition increases in $y$ value. Classically this would just be $x_{\lambda}(y)$, but our right partition, $\lambda^{+}$, must be flipped. Furthermore, both the partitions $\lambda^{-}$and $\lambda^{+}$are shifted by half a unit. The resulting "tick marks" are

$$
g_{\lambda^{ \pm}}(y)= \pm\left(\ell\left(\lambda^{ \pm}\right)-x_{\lambda^{ \pm}}(y)+\frac{1}{2}\right)
$$

For each $\lambda=\lambda^{+}, \lambda^{-}$, we can define the simple functions

$$
G_{\lambda}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} i \cdot \mathbf{1}_{\left(g_{\lambda}(i-1), g_{\lambda}(i)\right]}(x)
$$

The graphical representation is the sum of the three functions

$$
G_{v}(x)=G_{\lambda^{+}}(x)+G_{\lambda^{-}}(x)+c \cdot \mathbf{1}_{(-1 / 2,1 / 2]}(x) .
$$

Observe that since the sum of all parts is $n$, then $\int_{\mathbb{R}} G_{v}(x) d x=n$. Thus, we normalize the graph by dividing by $n$. Concurrently, we shrink the graph by a $\sqrt{n}$ factor in the $x$ and $y$ direction. The result is

$$
\widetilde{G}_{v}(x)=\frac{\sqrt{n}}{n} G_{v}(\sqrt{n} x)
$$

By letting $y_{i}=i / \sqrt{n}$ we can observe $\sqrt{n} x \in\left(g_{\lambda}(i-1), g_{\lambda}(i)\right.$ ] if and only if $x \in$ $\left(\frac{g_{\lambda}\left(\sqrt{n} y_{i-1}\right)}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{g\left(\sqrt{n} y_{i}\right)}{\sqrt{n}}\right]$ and $\widetilde{G}_{v}(x)=y_{i}$ on this interval. For $x>0$, we have reflected the Young's diagram around the line $x=\ell\left(\lambda^{+}\right) / \sqrt{n}$. Thus for $x>0$, we expect

$$
e^{-\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\frac{e(\lambda+)}{\sqrt{n}}-x\right)}+e^{-\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}} y}=1
$$

and for $x<0$ we reflect the formula for $\lambda^{-}$to get

$$
e^{-\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\frac{\ell(\lambda-)}{\sqrt{n}}+x\right)}+e^{-\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}} y}=1
$$

Theorem 11 provides us guidance as to how to think of $\ell\left(\lambda^{ \pm}\right)$

$$
-\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3 n}} \ell\left(\lambda^{ \pm}\right)=\ln \left(\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3 n}}\right)-A_{ \pm, n}\left(\lambda^{ \pm}\right)
$$

where

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(A_{ \pm, n}\left(\lambda^{ \pm}\right)<x\right)=P\left(A_{ \pm}\left(\lambda^{ \pm}\right)<x\right)=e^{-e^{-x}}
$$

This motivates the definition of our "fitting constants"

$$
C_{ \pm, n}\left(\lambda^{ \pm}\right)=e^{-A_{ \pm, n}\left(\lambda^{ \pm}\right)}
$$

so that

$$
\frac{\pi C(x)}{\sqrt{n}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3 n}}|x|}+e^{-\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3 n}} y}=1
$$

Our narrative so far has been somewhat heuristic, so the following is a more formal approach.

Theorem 15. The limit

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi g_{\lambda^{ \pm}}(y \sqrt{n})}{\sqrt{3 n}}-\ln \left(\frac{\sqrt{3 n}}{\pi}\left(1-e^{-\frac{\pi y}{\sqrt{3}}}\right)\right)=-A_{ \pm}\left(\lambda^{ \pm}\right)
$$

holds in distribution. Likewise $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{G}_{v}(x)=y$ in distribution if and only if

$$
\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3 n}}|x|-\ln \left(\frac{\sqrt{3 n}}{\pi}\left(1-e^{-\frac{\pi y}{\sqrt{3}}}\right)\right)= \begin{cases}-\ln C_{+} & x>0 \\ -\ln C_{-} & x<0 \\ 0 & x=0\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Theorem 11 has already shown

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_{n}\left\{\frac{\pi \ell\left(\lambda^{ \pm}\right)}{\sqrt{3 n}}-\ln \left(\frac{\sqrt{3 n}}{\pi}\right)<x\right\}=e^{-e^{-x}}
$$

We need only demonstrate that for all $\epsilon>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_{n}\left\{\left|\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} x_{\lambda^{ \pm}}(\sqrt{n} y)-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi} \ln \left(1-e^{-\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}} y}\right)\right|>\epsilon\right\}=0 \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

since then the conclusion follows by Slutsky's Theorem.
If the norm of $\lambda^{ \pm}$were both a priori forced to diverge as $n$ grows large, then showing Equation (32) is trivial. However, this is not the case, so we use Equation (27). That is, we show Equation (31) holds when we replace $k$ with $n / 2$ uniformly for all $k \in\left[n / 2-n^{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}, n / 2+n^{\frac{3}{4}+\delta}\right]$.

First, apply the mean value theorem to show that for every $y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\frac{x_{\lambda}\left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} y\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}}=\frac{x_{\lambda}\left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} y\right)}{\sqrt{k}}+O\left(\frac{\ell(\lambda)}{n^{\frac{3}{4}-\delta}}\right)
$$

With probability greater than $1-n^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ we can use Theorem 11 which shows $\ell\left(\lambda^{ \pm}\right) \ll$ $\sqrt{k} \ln k \ll \sqrt{n} \ln n$ with respect to $\mu_{k}$. From this, it follows that

$$
\frac{x_{\lambda}\left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} y\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}}}=\frac{x_{\lambda}\left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} y\right)}{\sqrt{k}}+O\left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{4}-2 \delta}}\right)
$$

Applying Equation (31), with probability greater than $1-n^{-\frac{3}{2}}$ on $\mu_{k}$,

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_{\lambda}(\sqrt{k} y)}{\sqrt{k}}=-\frac{\sqrt{6}}{\pi} \ln \left(1-e^{-\frac{\pi y}{\sqrt{6}}}\right)
$$

If we let $u=\frac{\sqrt{n} y}{\sqrt{2 k}} \sim y$ and, with probability greater than $1-n^{-\frac{3}{2}}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_{\lambda}\left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} y\right)}{\sqrt{k}}-\frac{x_{\lambda}(\sqrt{k} y)}{\sqrt{k}} & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_{\lambda}\left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{2}} u\right)-x_{\lambda}(\sqrt{k} y)}{\sqrt{k}} \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}-\frac{\sqrt{6}}{\pi} \ln \left(\frac{1-e^{-\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{6}} y}}{1-e^{-\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{6}} u}}\right) \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

We have for all $\epsilon>0$ and $n$ sufficiently large,

$$
\mu_{k}\left\{\left|\frac{x_{\lambda}(\sqrt{n} y)}{\sqrt{n}}-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi} \ln \left(1-e^{-\frac{\pi y}{\sqrt{3}}}\right)\right|>\epsilon\right\}<n^{-\frac{3}{2}}
$$

Equation (27) completes the proof.


Figure 3. The graph, in blue, of a normalized random concave composition, with central part $c=0$, of a large positive integer in the order of $10^{10}$ along with the proposed limit shape, in red, given by Theorem 15 . The sampling was done with respect to $Q_{q_{n}}$.
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