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#### Abstract

We propose a geometric strategy of giving explicit description of the Langlands parameter of an irreducible supercuspidal representation of GL $(n)$ over a non-archimedean local field. The key is to compare the cohomology of an affinoid in the Lubin-Tate space at infinite level and that of the reduction of its formal model. As examples, we treat the cases of depth 0 supercuspidal representations and simple supercuspidal representations.


## 1 Introduction

Let $F$ be a non-archimedean local field. By the local Langlands correspondence for $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)$ ([HT01], LRS93]), irreducible supercuspidal representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)$ are parameterized by $n$-dimensional irreducible smooth representations of the Weil group $W_{F}$ of $F$. On the other hand, irreducible supercuspidal representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)$ are completely classified by the theory of types [BK93]. However, in general it is very difficult to determine the parameter corresponding to each supercuspidal representation explicitly. This problem, called "the explicit local Langlands correspondence", is extensively studied by Bushnell and Henniart; see BH05a, BH05b], [BH10], Hen06] and references therein. Recently, Imai and Tsushima [T15b also gave a result in this direction, which is not covered by the works cited above. The methods in all of these works are purely algebraic, and sometimes involve very detailed and complicated computations.

The aim of this paper is to propose a new geometric method for the explicit local Langlands correspondence. It is well-known that the local Langlands correspondence for $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)$ has a nice geometric realization; it appears in the middle degree $\ell$-adic cohomology of the Lubin-Tate tower. This fact is called the non-abelian Lubin-Tate theory after Carayol [Car90], and has been proved by Harris-Taylor HT01 and Boyer Boy99. Therefore, it is quite natural to expect that we can understand the local Langlands correspondence by studying the geometry of the Lubin-Tate tower.

[^0]Apart from many results in the case $n=2$, the first result in this direction was given by Yoshida Yos10. He constructed a semistable model of the first layer of the Lubin-Tate tower, and discovered the Deligne-Lusztig variety for $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$ in the reduction of it. By using this result, he concluded that a part of the non-abelian Lubin-Tate theory boils down to the Deligne-Lusztig theory [DL76]. More recently, the Lubin-Tate space at infinite level, namely the projective limit of the Lubin-Tate tower, turns out to be much simpler than individual layers. This idea goes back to Faltings [Fal02] and Fargues [Far08], and now is formulated by the theory of perfectoid spaces [Sch12]; see [SW13] and [Wei] for detail. Based on this theory, Weinstein and Boyarchenko [BW16] constructed a family of affinoids in the LubinTate space at infinite level. They also constructed formal models of the affinoids, and observed that a part of the local Langlands correspondence is encoded in the $\ell$-adic cohomology of their reduction. Following this work, some other authors also obtain similar results; see [IT15a, [IT16] and [Tok16]. The common strategy in these works is to combine an explicit computation of the reduction of the formal models and a previously known explicit description of the local Langlands correspondence. The idea of this article is to reverse this process; we combine a computation of the reduction and the non-abelian Lubin-Tate theory to obtain a result in the explicit local Langlands correspondence. To carry it out, we need to relate the cohomology of the reduction with that of the Lubin-Tate tower itself, which is not included in the previous works. The main ingredient of this article is to give a useful condition which ensures the existence of such a relation.

Here is a key technical result for us:
Theorem 1.1 (Definition 3.17, Proposition 3.21, Theorem 3.22) Let $k$ be a complete algebraically closed non-archimedean field, $k^{\circ}$ its valuation ring, and $\kappa$ the residue field of $k^{\circ}$. Assume that the characteristic of $\kappa$ is positive. Fix a non-zero element $\varpi$ in the maximal ideal of $k^{\circ}$. Let $A$ be a $\varpi$-adically complete flat $k^{\circ}$-algebra endowed with an action of a profinite group $K_{0}$. We write $\widetilde{A}$ for the integral closure of $A$ in $A[1 / \varpi]$. We assume the following two conditions (see Section 3 for detail):

- The space $\operatorname{Spa}(A[1 / \varpi], \widetilde{A})$ is the projective limit of a tower $\left\{X_{K}\right\}_{K}$ of smooth affinoids over $k$ indexed by open normal subgroups $K$ of $K_{0}$.
- The reduction $\operatorname{Spec}\left(A \otimes_{k^{\circ}} \kappa\right)$ of $\operatorname{Spf} A$ is the perfection of an affine scheme $Y$ of finite type over $\kappa$.
(i) For a prime number $\ell$ invertible in $\kappa$, we have a map

$$
\mathrm{sp}^{*}: H_{c}^{i}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow \underset{K}{\lim } H_{c}^{i}\left(X_{K}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right),
$$

which we call the specialization map. It is functorial with respect to automorphisms of $A$ of finite level (i.e., automorphisms coming from those of the tower $\left\{X_{K}\right\}_{K}$ ).
(ii) Assume moreover that $Y$ is pure-dimensional and smooth over $\kappa$. Let $\left\{Z_{K}\right\}_{K}$ be a tower of rigid spaces which contains $\left\{X_{K}\right\}_{K}$ as a tower of open rigid
subspaces. For a subspace $V \subset H_{c}^{i}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$ such that the composite

$$
V \hookrightarrow H_{c}^{i}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow H^{i}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)
$$

is injective, the composite

$$
V \hookrightarrow H_{c}^{i}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{sp}^{*}} \underset{K}{\lim _{K}} H_{c}^{i}\left(X_{K}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow \underset{K}{\lim _{\vec{K}}} H^{i}\left(Z_{K}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)
$$

is also injective.
In the application, $\left\{Z_{K}\right\}$ becomes the Lubin-Tate tower, $\operatorname{Spa}(A[1 / \varpi], \widetilde{A})$ an affinoid in the Lubin-Tate space at infinite level, and $\operatorname{Spf} A$ a formal model of it. We remark that, unlike the case of finite type, the specialization map sp* is not necessarily surjective, even if $Y$ is smooth (see Example 3.23).

To demonstrate how Theorem 1.1 is used to give an explicit description of the local Langlands correspondence, we choose two classes of irreducible supercuspidal representations as examples; one is depth 0 supercuspidal representations and the other is simple supercuspidal representations. Recall that the latter are supercuspidal representations with minimal positive depth; see [GR10], RY14], KL15]. By geometric method, we will obtain the following result:

Theorem 1.2 Let $\pi$ be either a depth 0 supercuspidal representation or a simple supercuspidal representation. Then, we can describe the Langlands parameter of $\pi$ explicitly.

For a more precise statement, see Theorems 5.3 and 6.4. We can also determine the image of $\pi$ under the local Jacquet-Langlands correspondence between $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)$ and $D^{\times}$, where $D$ is the central division algebra over $F$ with invariant $1 / n$. Note that the resulting description in Theorem 1.2 is not new, as in Remarks 5.4, 6.5, However our proof is totally different from the previous ones. We do not need to investigate involved representations closely. In fact, we do not have to compute neither characters nor epsilon factors. The author expects that the same method can be applied to supercuspidal representations with larger depth. This will be considered in our future works.

The idea to see the map $H_{c}^{i}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow H^{i}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$ in Theorem $1.1(\mathrm{ii})$ emerged from discussion with Takahiro Tsushima. After we wrote this paper, we are informed that Tsushima is also working on a similar problem in the case where $n=2$ and $F$ is an odd equal characteristic local field. He also pays attention to the map $H_{c}^{i}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow H^{i}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$, but his basic strategy is to find a family of affinoids with good reduction in the Lubin-Tate spaces at suitable finite levels, which is different from ours.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section2, we give an analogous result as Theorem 1.1 in the finite level setting by using the theory of formal nearby cycles. In Section 3, we deduce Theorem 1.1 from the finite level case. To enable this
step, we should allow bad reduction in Section 2, even if the reduction appearing in Theorem 1.1 is the perfection of a smooth affine scheme over $\kappa$. In Section 4. we recall the definition of the Lubin-Tate tower and the statement of the non-abelian Lubin-Tate theory. We also verify that Theorem 1.1 is applicable to an affinoid in the Lubin-Tate space at infinite level. In Section 5, we explicitly describe the Langlands parameter of a depth 0 supercuspidal representation. We use a formal model of an affinoid whose reduction becomes the perfection of the Deligne-Lusztig variety for $\mathrm{GL}_{n}$. This formal model can be seen as the infinite level version of a piece of the model constructed by Yoshida [Yos10]. In Section 6, we give a description of the Langlands parameter of a simple supercuspidal representation. Here we use results in IT15a and IT16. Appendix A contains some results for algebras over the valuation ring $k^{\circ}$ which do not satisfy any finiteness condition. The results on the cohomology of the Artin-Schreier sheaves in Appendix B are used in Section 6.
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## 2 Specialization map: the case of finite level

Let $k$ be a complete algebraically closed non-archimedean field. We write $k^{\circ}$ for the valuation ring of $k$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ the maximal ideal of $k^{\circ}$. The residue field $k^{\circ} / \mathfrak{m}$ of $k^{\circ}$ is denoted by $\kappa$. We fix a prime number $\ell$ invertible in $\kappa$ and an integer $m \geq 1$, and put $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z} / \ell^{m} \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a quasi-compact admissible formal scheme over $\operatorname{Spf} k^{\circ}$ in the sense of [BL93; namely, $\mathcal{X}$ is of topologically finite type and flat over $\operatorname{Spf} k^{\circ}$. We put $\mathcal{X}_{s}=\mathcal{X} \otimes_{k^{\circ}} \kappa$, which is a scheme of finite type over $\kappa$. The closed immersion $\mathcal{X}_{\text {red }} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_{s}$ induces an isomorphism between étale sites. In the following we identify the étale sites $\left(\mathcal{X}_{\text {red }}\right)_{\text {ét }}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{s, \text { ét }}$ by this isomorphism.

As in Hub96, §1.9] and Hub98a, §2], we can attach to $\mathcal{X}$ an adic space $d(\mathcal{X})$ of finite type over $\operatorname{Spa}\left(k, k^{\circ}\right)$. Moreover, we have a morphism of sites $\lambda_{\mathcal{X}}: d(\mathcal{X})_{\text {ét }} \rightarrow$ $\left(\mathcal{X}_{\text {red }}\right)_{\text {ét }}=\mathcal{X}_{s, \text { ét }}$. We denote the right derived functor of $\lambda_{\mathcal{X}}$ by $R \Psi_{\mathcal{X}}$ (or simply by $R \Psi)$, and call it the formal nearby cycle functor. By adjointness, we have a natural map sp*: $\Lambda \rightarrow R \Psi_{\mathcal{X}} \Lambda$.

Let us assume that $\mathcal{X}$ is pseudo-compactifiable in the sense of Mie14b, Definition 4.24]. Then, by Mie14b, Corollary 4.29], there exists a functorial isomorphism $R \Gamma_{c}\left(\mathcal{X}_{s}, R \Psi_{\mathcal{X}} \Lambda\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} R \Gamma_{c}(d(\mathcal{X}), \Lambda)$. Hence the map $\mathrm{sp}^{*}: \Lambda \rightarrow R \Psi_{\mathcal{X}} \Lambda$ induces a morphism

$$
R \Gamma_{c}\left(\mathcal{X}_{s}, \Lambda\right) \rightarrow R \Gamma_{c}\left(\mathcal{X}_{s}, R \Psi_{\mathcal{X}} \Lambda\right) \stackrel{\cong}{\leftrightarrows} R \Gamma_{c}(d(\mathcal{X}), \Lambda),
$$

which is also denoted by $\mathrm{sp}^{*}$.

In the following, assume that $d(\mathcal{X})$ is smooth over $\operatorname{Spa}\left(k, k^{\circ}\right)$. Since $\mathcal{X}$ is admissible, the dimensions of $\mathcal{X}_{s}$ and $d(\mathcal{X})$ are equal, for which we write $d$. Then, we have the trace map $\operatorname{Tr}_{d(\mathcal{X})}: R \Gamma_{c}(d(\mathcal{X}), \Lambda) \rightarrow \Lambda(-d)[-2 d]$. By composing the isomorphism above, we obtain a map $R \Gamma_{c}\left(\mathcal{X}_{s}, R \Psi_{\mathcal{X}} \Lambda\right) \rightarrow \Lambda(-d)[-2 d]$. If we denote the structure morphism $\mathcal{X}_{s} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} \kappa$ by $f_{s}, R \Gamma_{c}\left(\mathcal{X}_{s}, R \Psi_{\mathcal{X}} \Lambda\right)$ is identical to $R f_{s!} R \Psi_{\mathcal{X}} \Lambda$. Hence, by adjointness we have the map cosp*: $R \Psi_{\mathcal{X}} \Lambda \rightarrow R f_{s}^{!} \Lambda(-d)[-2 d]$.

The main result in this section is as follows:
Theorem 2.1 The composite of $\Lambda \xrightarrow{\mathrm{sp}^{*}} R \Psi_{\mathcal{X}} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\operatorname{cosp}^{*}} R f_{s}^{!} \Lambda(-d)[-2 d]$ is equal to the Gysin map $\mathrm{Gys}_{f_{s}}: \Lambda \rightarrow R f_{s}^{!} \Lambda(-d)[-2 d]$ with respect to $f_{s}$, that is, the adjoint of the trace map $\operatorname{Tr}_{f_{s}}: R f_{s!} \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda(-d)[-2 d]$.

Lemma 2.2 To prove Theorem [2.1, we may assume that $\mathcal{X}$ is affine.
Proof. Since $\mathcal{X}_{s}$ is $d$-dimensional, we have $H_{c}^{i}\left(\mathcal{X}_{s}, \Lambda(d)\right)=0$ for $i>2 d$. Therefore, by adjointness, it suffices to show that the composite of

$$
H_{c}^{2 d}\left(\mathcal{X}_{s}, \Lambda(d)\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{sp}^{*}} H_{c}^{2 d}\left(\mathcal{X}_{s}, R \Psi_{\mathcal{X}} \Lambda(d)\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{c}^{2 d}(d(\mathcal{X}), \Lambda(d)) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Tr}_{d(\mathcal{X})}} \Lambda
$$

is equal to $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{X}_{s}}: H_{c}^{2 d}\left(\mathcal{X}_{s}, \Lambda(d)\right) \rightarrow \Lambda$.
Let $\mathcal{U}$ be an open formal subscheme of $\mathcal{X}$ such that $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{X}_{s} \backslash \mathcal{U}_{s}\right)<d$. We prove that Theorem 2.1 for $\mathcal{U}$ implies that for $\mathcal{X}$. Consider the following diagram:


Since the restriction of $\mathrm{sp}^{*}: \Lambda \rightarrow R \Psi_{\mathcal{X}} \Lambda$ equals $\mathrm{sp}^{*}: \Lambda \rightarrow R \Psi_{\mathcal{U}} \Lambda$, the left rectangle is commutative. By Mie14b, Lemma 4.28 (iii)], the middle rectangle commutes. As $d(\mathcal{U}) \hookrightarrow d(\mathcal{X})$ is an open immersion, the right rectangle is also commutative. Therefore, if Theorem 2.1 holds for $\mathcal{U}$, then the composite of
$H_{c}^{2 d}\left(\mathcal{U}_{s}, \Lambda(d)\right) \rightarrow H_{c}^{2 d}\left(\mathcal{X}_{s}, \Lambda(d)\right) \xrightarrow{\text { sp }^{*}} H_{c}^{2 d}\left(\mathcal{X}_{s}, R \Psi_{\mathcal{X}} \Lambda(d)\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{c}^{2 d}(d(\mathcal{X}), \Lambda(d)) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Tr}_{d(\mathcal{X})}} \Lambda$ coincides with $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{U}_{s}}: H_{c}^{2 d}\left(\mathcal{U}_{s}, \Lambda(d)\right) \rightarrow \Lambda$, which is equal to the composite of

$$
H_{c}^{2 d}\left(\mathcal{U}_{s}, \Lambda(d)\right) \rightarrow H_{c}^{2 d}\left(\mathcal{X}_{s}, \Lambda(d)\right) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{X}_{s}}} \Lambda
$$

On the other hand, by the exact sequence

$$
H_{c}^{2 d}\left(\mathcal{U}_{s}, \Lambda(d)\right) \rightarrow H_{c}^{2 d}\left(\mathcal{X}_{s}, \Lambda(d)\right) \rightarrow H_{c}^{2 d}\left(\mathcal{X}_{s} \backslash \mathcal{U}_{s}, \Lambda(d)\right)
$$

and the assumption $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{X}_{s} \backslash \mathcal{U}_{s}\right)<d$, the map $H_{c}^{2 d}\left(\mathcal{U}_{s}, \Lambda(d)\right) \rightarrow H_{c}^{2 d}\left(\mathcal{X}_{s}, \Lambda(d)\right)$ is surjective. Hence we conclude that the composite of

$$
H_{c}^{2 d}\left(\mathcal{X}_{s}, \Lambda(d)\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{sp}^{*}} H_{c}^{2 d}\left(\mathcal{X}_{s}, R \Psi_{\mathcal{X}} \Lambda(d)\right) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{c}^{2 d}(d(\mathcal{X}), \Lambda(d)) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Tr}_{d(\mathcal{X})}} \Lambda
$$
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is equal to $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{X}_{s}}: H_{c}^{2 d}\left(\mathcal{X}_{s}, \Lambda(d)\right) \rightarrow \Lambda$.
By this observation, we may replace $\mathcal{X}$ by its open formal subscheme $\mathcal{U}$ satisfying $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{X}_{s} \backslash \mathcal{U}_{s}\right)<d$. First we remove from $\mathcal{X}$ the intersections of irreducible components of $\mathcal{X}_{s}$. Then, by considering each $d$-dimensional connected component, we may assume that $\mathcal{X}_{s}$ is irreducible. Finally, by taking an arbitrary non-empty affine open formal subscheme of $\mathcal{X}$, we may reduce to the case where $\mathcal{X}$ is affine.

Later we assume that $\mathcal{X}$ is affine. Fix a non-zero element $\varpi \in \mathfrak{m}$.
Lemma 2.3 Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an affine formal scheme of topologically finite type and flat over $\operatorname{Spf} k^{\circ}$. Assume that $d(\mathcal{X})$ is $d$-dimensional and smooth over $\operatorname{Spa}\left(k, k^{\circ}\right)$. Then there exists an affine scheme $X$ over Spec $k^{\circ}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- $X$ is of finite presentation and flat over $k^{\circ}$,
- the generic fiber of $X$ is $d$-dimensional and smooth over $k$, and
- the $\varpi$-adic formal completion of $X$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{X}$ over $\operatorname{Spf} k^{\circ}$.

Proof. Write $\mathcal{X}=\operatorname{Spf} A$. Since $d(\mathcal{X})$ is smooth over $\operatorname{Spa}\left(k, k^{\circ}\right), A$ is formally smooth outside $V(\varpi)$ in the sense of [Elk73, p. 581]; see Tem08, Proposition 3.3.2] (in [Tem08, §3.3], $k$ is assumed to be a discrete valuation field of characteristic 0 , but it does not play a role in the proof of [Tem08, Proposition 3.3.2]). Further, by [BL93, Propositions 1.1 (c), 1.3], $A$ can be written in the form $k^{\circ}\left\langle T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right\rangle / I$, where $I$ is a finitely presented ideal of $k^{\circ}\left\langle T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right\rangle$. Therefore, by Elk73, Théorème 7 and Remarque 2 (c)], there exists a finitely generated $k^{\circ}$-algebra $B$ such that $B \otimes_{k^{\circ}} k$ is smooth over $k$ and the $\varpi$-adic completion of $B$ is isomorphic to $A$. Put $B_{\varpi \text {-tors }}=\left\{b \in B \mid \varpi^{m} b=0\right.$ for some $\left.m \geq 0\right\}$. Since $A$ is $\varpi$-torsion free, [FK13, Chapter 0, Proposition 7.4.5] tells us that the $\varpi$-adic completion of $B / B_{\varpi-\text { tors }}$ is again isomorphic to $A$. Hence, replacing $B$ by $B / B_{\varpi-\text { tors }}$, we may suppose that $B$ is flat over $k^{\circ}$. Then, by [RG71, Corollaire 3.4.7], $B$ is a finitely presented $k^{\circ}$-algebra.

We put $X^{\prime}=\operatorname{Spec} B$, and denote the structure morphism $X^{\prime} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} k^{\circ}$ by $g^{\prime}$. We write $X_{s}^{\prime}$ (resp. $X_{\eta}^{\prime}$ ) for the special (resp. generic) fiber of $g^{\prime}$. By Chevalley's semi-continuity theorem [EGA, IV, Théorème 13.1.3], the subset $Y=\{x \in$ $\left.X^{\prime} \mid \operatorname{dim}_{x} g^{\prime-1}\left(g^{\prime}(x)\right) \geq d+1\right\}$ is closed. On the other hand, since $X_{s}^{\prime}=\mathcal{X}_{s}$ is $d$-dimensional, $Y$ is contained in $X_{\eta}^{\prime}$. Therefore $Y$ is equal to the disjoint union of all connected components of $X_{\eta}^{\prime}$ whose dimensions are greater than $d$. In particular, $Y$ is open in $X^{\prime}$. Put $X=X^{\prime} \backslash Y$, which is an open and closed subscheme of $X^{\prime}$ containing $X_{s}^{\prime}$. We will see that $X$ satisfies the conditions in the lemma. Clearly, $X$ is an affine scheme of finite presentation and flat over $k^{\circ}$, and has a smooth generic fiber $X_{\eta}$ whose dimension is at most $d$. Since $X$ is an open subscheme of $X^{\prime}$ containing $X_{s}^{\prime}$, the $\varpi$-adic completion of $X$ coincides with that of $X^{\prime}$. Therefore the $\varpi$-adic completion of $X$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{X}$. Hence we have an open immersion $d(\mathcal{X}) \hookrightarrow X_{\eta}^{\text {ad }}$, where $X_{\eta}^{\text {ad }}$ denotes the adic space associated to $X_{\eta}$. As $d(\mathcal{X})$ is $d$-dimensional, we conclude that $X_{\eta}$ is $d$-dimensional. This completes the proof.

Fix an affine scheme $X$ as in Lemma 2.3 and denote the structure morphism $X \rightarrow$ Spec $k^{\circ}$ by $g$. We put $S=\operatorname{Spec} k^{\circ}$ and write $s$ (resp. $\eta$ ) for the closed (resp. generic) point of $S$. Consider the following diagram whose rectangles are cartesian:


As usual, we put $R \psi_{X} \Lambda=i^{*} R j_{*} \Lambda$. By adjointness, we have a natural map sp*: $\Lambda \rightarrow$ $R \psi_{X} \Lambda$. On the other hand, since $X_{\eta}$ is $d$-dimensional, we have the trace map

$$
R g_{s!} R \psi_{X} \Lambda \rightarrow R \psi_{S} R g_{\eta!} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Tr}_{X_{\eta}}} R \psi_{S} \Lambda(-d)[-2 d]=\Lambda(-d)[-2 d] .
$$

The map $R \psi_{X} \Lambda \rightarrow R g_{s}^{!} \Lambda(-d)[-2 d]$ obtained by adjointness is denoted by cosp*.
Lemma 2.4 There exists a natural isomorphism $R \psi_{X} \Lambda \rightarrow R \Psi_{\mathcal{X}} \Lambda$, which makes the following diagram commute:


Proof. The construction of an isomorphism $R \psi_{X} \Lambda \rightarrow R \Psi_{\mathcal{X}} \Lambda$ is due to Hub96, Theorem 3.5.13]. We shall recall it briefly. Consider the following diagram of sites (see [Hub96, 3.5.12]):


As in Hub96, Theorem 3.5.13], one can construct a natural morphism of functors $\phi: \lambda^{-1} \circ i^{-1} \rightarrow e^{-1} \circ j^{-1}$. For every sheaf $L$ on $X_{\text {ét }}$, a map

$$
\begin{aligned}
R \psi_{X} j^{*} L=i^{*} R j_{*} j^{*} L \xrightarrow[\longrightarrow]{\text { adj }} i^{*} R j_{*} R e_{*} e^{*} j^{*} L \xrightarrow{\phi} i^{*} R i_{*} R \lambda_{*} e^{*} j^{*} L \\
\xrightarrow{\text { adj }} R \lambda_{*} e^{*} j^{*} L=R \Psi_{\mathcal{X}} e^{*} j^{*} L
\end{aligned}
$$

is induced. If we put $L=\Lambda$, we get the map $R \psi_{X} \Lambda \rightarrow R \Psi_{\mathcal{X}} \Lambda$, which is in fact an isomorphism.

Let us prove the commutativity of the left rectangle. For a sheaf $L$ on $X_{\text {ét }}$, we have the following commutative diagram (see the subsequent Lemma 2.5 (iii)):
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By the adjointness of $i^{*}$ and $R i_{*}$, we obtain the commutative diagram


Now put $L=\Lambda$. By definition, the composite of the top row is equal to that of $\Lambda \xrightarrow{\mathrm{sp}^{*}} R \psi_{X} \Lambda \rightarrow R \Psi_{\mathcal{X}} \Lambda$. On the other hand, by Lemma 2.5 (ii), the map $\lambda^{*} i^{*} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\phi}$ $e^{*} j^{*} \Lambda$ is the identity on the constant sheaf $\Lambda$. Hence the composite of the bottom row equals sp*: $\Lambda \rightarrow R \Psi_{\mathcal{X}} \Lambda$. This concludes the commutativity of the left rectangle.

Next we consider the right rectangle. By exactly the same method as in the proof of [Mie14b, Proposition 4.42], we can check that the rectangle in the following diagram is commutative (recall that $X_{\eta}^{\text {ad }}$ denotes the adic space over $\operatorname{Spa}\left(k, k^{\circ}\right)$ associated to $X_{\eta}$ ):


The lower left triangle clearly commutes. The lower right triangle is commutative by Mie14a, Proposition 2.2]. Hence we obtain the following commutative diagram:


By adjointness, we conclude that the diagram

commutes, as desired.
In the proof of the lemma above, we have used the following general fact, whose proof is immediate:

Lemma 2.5 Let $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ be sites, $f, g: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\prime}$ morphisms of sites, and $\phi: f^{-1} \rightarrow g^{-1}$ a morphism of functors.
(i) The morphism $\phi$ induces morphisms of functors $f^{*} \rightarrow g^{*}$ and $g_{*} \rightarrow f_{*}$, where $\left(f^{*}, f_{*}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\left(g^{*}, g_{*}\right)\right)$ is the morphism of toposes induced by $f$ (resp. $g$ ).
(ii) For a constant sheaf $L$ on $\mathcal{C}^{\prime}$, the morphism $\phi: f^{*} L \rightarrow g^{*} L$ is the identity.
(iii) The composite of id $\xrightarrow{\text { adj }} R f_{*} f^{*} \xrightarrow{\phi} R f_{*} g^{*}$ equals that of id $\xrightarrow{\text { adj }} R g_{*} g^{*} \xrightarrow{\phi} R f_{*} g^{*}$.

By Lemma 2.4, Theorem 2.1 is reduced to the following lemma:
Lemma 2.6 Let $g: X \rightarrow S=\operatorname{Spec} k^{\circ}$ be as in Lemma 2.3. Then, the composite of $\Lambda \xrightarrow{\text { sp }^{*}} R \psi_{X} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\text { cosp }^{*}} R g_{s}^{!} \Lambda(-d)[-2 d]$ is equal to the Gysin map $\mathrm{Gys}_{g_{s}}: \Lambda \rightarrow$ $R g_{s}^{!} \Lambda(-d)[-2 d]$ with respect to $g_{s}$.

Proof. By adjointness, it suffices to show that the composite of

$$
\begin{equation*}
R g_{s!} \Lambda(d)[2 d] \xrightarrow{\mathrm{sp}^{*}} R g_{s!} R \psi_{X} \Lambda(d)[2 d] \rightarrow R \psi_{S} R g_{\eta!} \Lambda(d)[2 d] \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Tr}_{X_{\eta}}=\operatorname{Tr}_{g_{\eta}}} R \psi_{S} \Lambda \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

is equal to that of $R g_{s!} \Lambda(d)[2 d] \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Tr}_{g_{s}}} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\cong} R \psi_{S} \Lambda$. As $g: X \rightarrow S$ is of finite presentation and flat, we have the trace map $\operatorname{Tr}_{g}: R g_{!} \Lambda(d)[2 d] \rightarrow \Lambda$ (see [SGA4, Exposé XVIII, Théorème 2.9]). Since the trace map is compatible with base change, it induces the following commutative diagram:


By taking $i^{*}$, we obtain the commutativity of the lower rectangle of the following diagram:


The upper rectangle is clearly commutative. Hence the composite of $(*)$ is equal to that of

$$
R g_{s!} \Lambda(d)[2 d] \stackrel{\cong}{\rightrightarrows} i^{*} R g_{!} \Lambda(d)[2 d] \xrightarrow{i^{*} \operatorname{Tr}_{g}} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\cong} R \psi_{S} \Lambda .
$$

Since the trace map is compatible with base change, the composite of the first two maps equals $\operatorname{Tr}_{g_{s}}$. This concludes the proof.
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Corollary 2.7 Let $Y$ be a purely d-dimensional separated smooth scheme of finite type over $\kappa$. Assume that a finite surjective morphism $\pi: \mathcal{X}_{s} \rightarrow Y$ over $\kappa$ is given. Take a decomposition $Y=\coprod_{j} Y_{j}$ into connected components, and let $\delta_{j} \geq 1$ be the generic degree of $\left.\pi\right|_{Y_{j}}: \pi^{-1}\left(Y_{j}\right) \rightarrow Y_{j}$. We denote by $\operatorname{deg} \pi$ the locally constant function on $Y$ that equals $\delta_{j}$ on $Y_{j}$. It induces an endomorphism $\Lambda \xrightarrow{\times \operatorname{deg} \pi} \Lambda$ of a sheaf over $Y$, and thus that of $H_{c}^{i}(Y, \Lambda)$. Concretely, it is described as follows:

$$
\times \operatorname{deg} \pi: H_{c}^{i}(Y, \Lambda)=\bigoplus_{j} H_{c}^{i}\left(Y_{j}, \Lambda\right) \xrightarrow{\oplus_{j}\left(\times \delta_{j}\right)} \bigoplus_{j} H_{c}^{i}\left(Y_{j}, \Lambda\right)=H_{c}^{i}(Y, \Lambda) .
$$

Then, we have the following commutative diagram:


Here $\pi_{*}$ are the maps induced from

$$
\pi_{*} R f_{s}^{!} \Lambda(-d)[-2 d]=R \pi!R \pi^{!} R h^{!} \Lambda(-d)[-2 d] \underset{\cong}{\stackrel{\mathrm{Gys}_{h}}{\leftrightarrows}} R \pi!R \pi^{!} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\text { adj }} \Lambda,
$$

where $h: Y \rightarrow$ Spec $\kappa$ denotes the structure map of $Y$.
Proof. By Theorem 2.1, the upper middle rectangle commutes. To see the commutativity of the upper left rectangle, it suffices to prove that the composite of

$$
\begin{align*}
\Lambda \xrightarrow{\text { adj }} \pi_{*} \pi^{*} \Lambda & =R \pi_{!} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Gys}_{f_{s}}} R \pi_{!} R f_{s}^{!} \Lambda(-d)[-2 d] \\
& =R \pi!R \pi^{!} R h^{!} \Lambda(-d)[-2 d] \stackrel{\mathrm{Gys}_{h}}{\cong} R \pi!R \pi^{!} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\text { adj }} \Lambda \tag{*}
\end{align*}
$$

is equal to the multiplication by $\operatorname{deg} \pi$. Since this problem is local on $Y$, we may assume that $Y$ is connected. Further, by shrinking $Y$, we may assume that $\pi: \mathcal{X}_{s} \rightarrow$ $Y$ is flat ([EGA, IV, Théorème 6.9.1]). Then, as the trace map is compatible with composition, we can easily observe that the composite of $(*)$ is equal to that of

$$
\Lambda \xrightarrow{\text { adj }} \pi_{*} \pi^{*} \Lambda=\pi!\pi^{*} \Lambda \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathrm{r}}} \Lambda
$$

Hence the claim follows from [SGA4, Exposé XVIII, Théorème 2.9 (Var 4)].
The commutativity of the lower right rectangle follows from the construction of the isomorphism $H_{c}^{i}\left(\mathcal{X}_{s}, R \Psi_{\mathcal{X}} \Lambda\right) \rightarrow H_{c}^{i}(d(\mathcal{X}), \Lambda)$ (see Mie14b, Definition 4.27]) and the proof of [Mie14b, Lemma 4.8]. The other rectangles are obviously commutative.

Note that $H_{c}^{i}\left(d(\mathcal{X}), \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\right)=\lim _{m} H_{c}^{i}\left(d(\mathcal{X}), \mathbb{Z} / \ell^{m} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ by Hub98b, Theorem 3.1]; recall that we are assuming that $\overleftarrow{d}^{m}(\mathcal{X})$ is smooth, thus locally algebraic by Hub96, (1.7.7)]. Hence, by taking projective limit, we obtain the specialization maps

$$
\mathrm{sp}^{*}: H_{c}^{i}\left(\mathcal{X}_{s}, \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow H_{c}^{i}\left(d(\mathcal{X}), \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}\right), \quad \mathrm{sp}^{*}: H_{c}^{i}\left(\mathcal{X}_{s}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow H_{c}^{i}\left(d(\mathcal{X}), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)
$$

between $\ell$-adic cohomology.
Theorem 2.8 Let $Y$ and $\pi: \mathcal{X}_{s} \rightarrow Y$ be as in Corollary 2.7. Let $V$ be a subspace of $H_{c}^{i}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$ such that the composite $V \hookrightarrow H_{c}^{i}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow H^{i}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$ is an injection. Then, for any open immersion $d(\mathcal{X}) \hookrightarrow Z$ into an adic space $Z$ which is locally of finite type, separated and taut over $\mathrm{Spa}\left(k, k^{\circ}\right)$, the composite

$$
V \hookrightarrow H_{c}^{i}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \xrightarrow{\pi^{*}} H_{c}^{i}\left(\mathcal{X}_{s}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{sp}^{*}} H_{c}^{i}\left(d(\mathcal{X}), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow H_{c}^{i}\left(Z, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)
$$

is also an injection.
Proof. By Corollary 2.7, we have the following commutative diagram for each integer $m \geq 1$ :


Therefore, the composite $\phi: H_{c}^{i}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \xrightarrow{\times \operatorname{deg} \pi} H_{c}^{i}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow H^{i}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$ can be decomposed into

$$
H_{c}^{i}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{sp}^{*} \circ \pi^{*}} H_{c}^{i}\left(d(\mathcal{X}), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \xrightarrow{(*)}\left(\underset{m}{\lim _{m}} H_{c}^{i}\left(Z, \mathbb{Z} / \ell^{m} \mathbb{Z}\right)\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} \rightarrow H^{i}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) .
$$

Clearly (*) is the composite of

$$
H_{c}^{i}\left(d(\mathcal{X}), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow H_{c}^{i}\left(Z, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow\left(\underset{m}{\lim _{m}} H_{c}^{i}\left(Z, \mathbb{Z} / \ell^{m} \mathbb{Z}\right)\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{\ell}} \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} .
$$

Hence $\phi$ has a decomposition

$$
H_{c}^{i}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{sp}^{*} \circ \pi^{*}} H_{c}^{i}\left(d(\mathcal{X}), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow H_{c}^{i}\left(Z, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow H^{i}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) .
$$

Since $\left.\phi\right|_{V}: V \hookrightarrow H_{c}^{i}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \xrightarrow{\phi} H^{i}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$ is the composite of

$$
V \hookrightarrow H_{c}^{i}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow H^{i}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \xrightarrow{\times \operatorname{deg} \pi} H^{i}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)
$$

and $\times \operatorname{deg} \pi$ is an isomorphism, $\left.\phi\right|_{V}$ is an injection by the assumption on $V$. Therefore, the composite

$$
V \hookrightarrow H_{c}^{i}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{sp}^{*} \circ \pi^{*}} H_{c}^{i}\left(d(\mathcal{X}), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow H_{c}^{i}\left(Z, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)
$$

is also an injection, as desired.

## 3 Specialization map: the case of infinite level

Let $k, k^{\circ}, \mathfrak{m}$ and $\kappa$ be as in the previous section. Assume that the characteristic of $\kappa$ is $p>0$. Fix a non-zero element $\varpi \in \mathfrak{m}$.

In this section, we consider a flat $k^{\circ}$-algebra $A$ which is $\varpi$-adically complete. We denote by $\widetilde{A}$ the integral closure of $A$ in $A[1 / \varpi]$. Then, $(A[1 / \varpi], \widetilde{A})$ is an affinoid $\left(k, k^{\circ}\right)$-algebra (for the topology on $A[1 / \varpi]$, see Hub93, Examples 1.1 (iv)]). Suppose that $A$ is equipped with an action over $k^{\circ}$ of a profinite group $K_{0}$. Then, $\widetilde{A}$ is a $K_{0}$-stable subring of $A[1 / \varpi]$.

We will assume two conditions on $A$ and the action of $K_{0}$. The first is that the affinoid $\left(k, k^{\circ}\right)$-algebra $(A[1 / \varpi], \widetilde{A})$ is obtained as a limit of a tower of smooth affinoid $\left(k, k^{\circ}\right)$-algebras. The precise statement is as follows:

Assumption 3.1 For each open normal subgroup $K$ of $K_{0}$, we are given a complete f-adic $k$-algebra $B_{K}$ of topologically finite type endowed with an action of $K_{0} / K$. For open normal subgroups $K, K^{\prime}$ with $K^{\prime} \subset K$, we are given a continuous $K_{0^{-}}$ equivariant $k$-homomorphism $B_{K} \rightarrow B_{K^{\prime}}$ so that $\left\{B_{K}\right\}_{K \triangleleft K_{0}}$ becomes an inductive system. Further we impose the following conditions:
(a) For an open normal subgroup $K$ of $K_{0}$, the adic space $X_{K}=\operatorname{Spa}\left(B_{K}, B_{K}^{\circ}\right)$ is smooth over $\operatorname{Spa}\left(k, k^{\circ}\right)$.
(b) For open normal subgroups $K, K^{\prime}$ of $K_{0}$ with $K^{\prime} \subset K$, the transition map $X_{K^{\prime}} \rightarrow X_{K}$ is a finite étale Galois covering with Galois group $K / K^{\prime}$. Note that this implies that $X_{K^{\prime}} \rightarrow X_{K}$ is surjective and $B_{K} \rightarrow\left(B_{K^{\prime}}\right)^{K / K^{\prime}}$ is an isomorphism.
(c) There exists a $K_{0}$-equivariant homomorphism $\left\{\left(B_{K}, B_{K}^{\circ}\right)\right\}_{K \triangleleft K_{0}} \rightarrow(A[1 / \varpi], \widetilde{A})$ of inductive systems of affinoid $\left(k, k^{\circ}\right)$-algebras (here $(A[1 / \varpi], \widetilde{A})$ means the constant inductive system), such that

- the induced continuous map $\operatorname{Spa}(A[1 / \varpi], \widetilde{A}) \rightarrow \lim _{K \triangleleft K_{0}} \operatorname{Spa}\left(B_{K}, B_{K}^{\circ}\right)$ is a homeomorphism, and
- the induced homomorphism $\lim _{K} B_{K} \rightarrow A[1 / \varpi]$ has dense image.

The second assumption is that the reduction of $A$ is the perfection of a finitely generated $\kappa$-algebra. More precisely,

Assumption 3.2 There exist a finitely generated $\kappa$-algebra $R$ and an isomorphism
of $\kappa$-algebras. We fix such an $R$ and an isomorphism, and put $Y=\operatorname{Spec} R$.
Under these assumptions, we will compare two cohomology groups $H_{c}^{i}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$ and ${\underset{\mathrm{lim}}{K}} H_{c}^{i}\left(X_{K}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$. We begin with basic properties of the inductive system $\left\{B_{K} \overrightarrow{\}_{K} \triangleleft K_{0}}\right.$.

Lemma 3.3 For each open normal subgroup $K$ of $K_{0}$, the map $\operatorname{Spa}(A[1 / \varpi], \widetilde{A}) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Spa}\left(B_{K}, B_{K}^{\circ}\right)=X_{K}$ is surjective.

Proof. By Assumption [3.1, it suffices to show the surjectivity of the map

$$
\lim _{K^{\prime} \triangleleft K_{0}} X_{K^{\prime}}=\lim _{K^{\prime} \triangleleft K_{0}, K^{\prime} \subset K} X_{K^{\prime}} \rightarrow X_{K}
$$

This follows from the surjectivity and the finiteness of $X_{K^{\prime}} \rightarrow X_{K}$; recall that the filtered projective limit of non-empty finite sets is non-empty.

Lemma 3.4 The homomorphism $B_{K} \rightarrow A[1 / \varpi]$ is injective.
Proof. First note that $B_{K}$ is reduced, as $\operatorname{Spa}\left(B_{K}, B_{K}^{\circ}\right)$ is assumed to be smooth over $\operatorname{Spa}\left(k, k^{\circ}\right)$. Therefore we have $0=\sqrt{0}=\bigcap_{\mathfrak{n} \subset B_{K}} \mathfrak{n}$, where $\mathfrak{n}$ runs through maximal ideals of $B_{K}$ (recall that $B_{K}$ is a Jacobson ring; see [FK13, Chapter 0, Proposition 9.3.10]).

We denote the homomorphism $B_{K} \rightarrow A[1 / \varpi]$ by $\phi$. Take an arbitrary maximal ideal $\mathfrak{n}$ of $B_{K}$, and let $v_{\mathfrak{n}} \in \operatorname{Spa}\left(B_{K}, B_{K}^{\circ}\right)$ be the classical point corresponding to $\mathfrak{n}$. By Lemma 3.3, we can find $\widetilde{v}_{\mathfrak{n}} \in \operatorname{Spa}(A[1 / \varpi], \widetilde{A})$ satisfying $v_{\mathfrak{n}}=\widetilde{v}_{\mathfrak{n}} \circ \phi$. For $a \in \operatorname{Ker} \phi$, we have $v_{\mathfrak{n}}(a)=\widetilde{v}_{\mathfrak{n}}(\phi(a))=\widetilde{v}_{\mathfrak{n}}(0)=0$. Hence $\operatorname{Ker} \phi \subset \operatorname{supp} v_{\mathfrak{n}}=\mathfrak{n}$. Therefore we conclude that $\operatorname{Ker} \phi \subset \bigcap_{\mathfrak{n} \subset B_{K}} \mathfrak{n}=0$, namely, $\phi$ is an injection.

By this lemma, we regard $B_{K}$ as a $k$-subalgebra of $A[1 / \varpi]$.
Definition 3.5 We put $B^{\prime}=\bigcup_{K \triangleleft K_{0}} B_{K} \subset A[1 / \varpi], A^{\prime}=A \cap B^{\prime}$ and $\widetilde{A^{\prime}}=\widetilde{A} \cap B^{\prime}$. Note that $B^{\prime}$ is a dense $K_{0}$-stable $k$-subalgebra of $A[1 / \varpi]$, while $A^{\prime}$ and $\widetilde{A}^{\prime}$ are $K_{0}$-stable $k^{\circ}$-subalgebras of $B^{\prime}$. For an open normal subgroup $K$ of $K_{0}$, we put $A_{K}=A \cap B_{K}$ and $\widetilde{A}_{K}=\widetilde{A} \cap B_{K}$. These are open $K_{0}$-stable $k^{\circ}$-subalgebras of $B_{K}$.

Lemma 3.6 The inclusion $A^{\prime} \hookrightarrow A$ induces isomorphisms $A^{\prime} / \varpi^{m} A^{\prime} \xlongequal{\leftrightarrows} A / \varpi^{m} A$ for every integer $m \geq 0$ and $A^{\prime} / \mathfrak{m} A^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\cong} A / \mathfrak{m} A$.

Proof. Let $m \geq 0$ be an integer. Since $A^{\prime}$ is dense in $A$, we have $A=A^{\prime}+\varpi^{m} A$. Thus the map $A^{\prime} / \varpi^{m} A^{\prime} \rightarrow A / \varpi^{m} A$ is surjective. Let us show the injectivity. Take an element $x \in A^{\prime} \cap \varpi^{m} A$ and write $x=\varpi^{m} y$ with $y \in A$. Since $B^{\prime}$ is a $k$-subalgebra of $A[1 / \varpi]$, we have $y=\varpi^{-m} x \in \varpi^{-m} A^{\prime} \subset B^{\prime}$. Hence $y$ lies in $A \cap B^{\prime}=A^{\prime}$ and $x$ lies in $\varpi^{m} A^{\prime}$. This means that $A^{\prime} / \varpi^{m} A^{\prime} \rightarrow A / \varpi^{m} A$ is injective.

In particular, the map $A^{\prime} / \varpi A^{\prime} \rightarrow A / \varpi A$ is an isomorphism. By taking the base change $(-) \otimes_{k^{\circ} / \varpi k^{\circ}} k^{\circ} / \mathfrak{m}$, we conclude that the homomorphism $A^{\prime} / \mathfrak{m} A^{\prime} \rightarrow A / \mathfrak{m} A$ is also an isomorphism.

Lemma 3.7 For an open normal subgroup $K$ of $K_{0}$, we have $\left(B^{\prime}\right)^{K}=B_{K},\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{K}=$ $A_{K}$ and $\left(\widetilde{A}^{\prime}\right)^{K}=\widetilde{A}_{K}$.

Proof. For an open normal subgroup $K^{\prime}$ of $K_{0}$ contained in $K$, we have $\left(B_{K^{\prime}}\right)^{K}=B_{K}$ by assumption. By taking the union with respect to such $K^{\prime}$, we conclude that $\left(B^{\prime}\right)^{K}=B_{K}$. From this equality, we can deduce $\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{K}=A \cap\left(B^{\prime}\right)^{K}=A \cap B_{K}=A_{K}$ and $\left(\widetilde{A^{\prime}}\right)^{K}=\widetilde{A} \cap\left(B^{\prime}\right)^{K}=\widetilde{A} \cap B_{K}=\widetilde{A}_{K}$.

Lemma 3.8 (i) The ring $\widetilde{A}^{\prime}$ is integral over its subring $A^{\prime}$.
(ii) For an open normal subgroup $K$ of $K_{0}, \widetilde{A}_{K}$ is integral over its subring $A_{K}$.
(iii) For an open normal subgroup $K$ of $K_{0}, \widetilde{A}_{K}$ coincides with $B_{K}^{\circ}$.

Proof. We prove (i). Take $x \in \widetilde{A^{\prime}} \subset \widetilde{A}$. Since $\widetilde{A}$ is integral over $A$, there exist $n \geq 1$ and $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in A$ such that $x^{n}+a_{1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{n}=0$. As $A \subset \widetilde{A} \subset A[1 / \varpi]$, we can find an integer $m \geq 0$ such that $\varpi^{m} x^{i} \in A$ for every $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Since $B^{\prime}$ is dense in $A[1 / \varpi]$, we have $\left(a_{i}+\varpi^{m} A\right) \cap B^{\prime} \neq \varnothing$; in other words, for each $i$ there exists $b_{i} \in B^{\prime}$ such that $b_{i}-a_{i} \in \varpi^{m} A$. Note that $b_{i} \in A \cap B^{\prime}=A^{\prime}$.

For such $b_{i}$ 's, we have

$$
x^{n}+b_{1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+b_{n}=\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right) x^{n-1}+\cdots+\left(b_{n}-a_{n}\right) .
$$

The left hand side lies in $B^{\prime}$, while the right hand side lies in $A$. If we put $c=$ $\left(b_{1}-a_{1}\right) x^{n-1}+\cdots+\left(b_{n}-a_{n}\right)$, it is an element of $A \cap B^{\prime}=A^{\prime}$ and $x$ is a root of the monic polynomial $T^{n}+b_{1} T^{n-1}+\cdots+b_{n}-c \in A^{\prime}[T]$. Hence $x$ is integral over $A^{\prime}$.

Next we prove (ii). By (i), it suffices to show that $A^{\prime}$ is integral over $A_{K}$. Take an arbitrary element $a \in A^{\prime}$ and an open normal subgroup $K^{\prime}$ of $K_{0}$ contained in $K$ such that $a \in B_{K^{\prime}}$. Then $a \in A^{\prime} \cap B_{K^{\prime}}=A_{K^{\prime}}$. The element $a$ is a root of the monic polynomial $\prod_{g \in K / K^{\prime}}(T-g(a))$, whose coefficients belong to $\left(A_{K^{\prime}}\right)^{K}=A_{K}$. Therefore $a$ is integral over $A_{K}$.

Finally we prove (iii). Since we have a homomorphism $\left(B_{K}, B_{K}^{\circ}\right) \rightarrow(A[1 / \varpi], \widetilde{A})$ of affinoid $\left(k, k^{\circ}\right)$-algebras, we have $B_{K}^{\circ} \subset \widetilde{A} \cap B_{K}=\widetilde{A}_{K}$. Let us prove the reverse inclusion $\widetilde{A}_{K} \subset B_{K}^{\circ}$. Take $a \in \widetilde{A}_{K}$. By [Hub93, Lemma 3.3 (i)], we have

$$
B_{K}^{\circ}=\left\{x \in B_{K} \mid v(x) \leq 1 \text { for every } v \in \operatorname{Spa}\left(B_{K}, B_{K}^{\circ}\right)\right\} .
$$

Therefore, it suffices to observe that $v(a) \leq 1$ for every $v \in \operatorname{Spa}\left(B_{K}, B_{K}^{\circ}\right)$. By Lemma 3.3, there exists $\widetilde{v} \in \operatorname{Spa}(A[1 / \varpi], \widetilde{A})$ such that $\left.\widetilde{v}\right|_{B_{K}}=v$. As $a \in \widetilde{A}_{K} \subset \widetilde{A}$, we have $v(a)=\widetilde{v}(a) \leq 1$, as desired.

Corollary 3.9 For an open normal subgroup $K$ of $K_{0}, B_{K}^{\circ}$ contains $A_{K}$ over which $B_{K}^{\circ}$ is integral.

Proof. Clear from Lemma 3.8 (ii), (iii).
Recall that a $k^{\circ}$-algebra $C$ is said to be topologically finitely generated if it is isomorphic to a quotient of $k^{\circ}\left\langle T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right\rangle$ for some $n \geq 0$ (see [FK13, Definition 8.4.1 and Proposition 8.4.4]). Such $C$ is $\varpi$-adically complete. We say that $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n^{\prime}} \in C$
are topological generators of $C$ if the $k^{\circ}$-homomorphism $k^{\circ}\left\langle T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n^{\prime}}\right\rangle \rightarrow C$ given by $T_{i} \mapsto c_{i}$ is surjective.

We would like to write the $k^{\circ}$-algebra $A^{\prime}$ as an inductive limit of topologically finitely generated $k^{\circ}$-algebras. First we focus on each $B_{K}$. In the following proposition, we slightly change the notation for simplicity.

Proposition 3.10 Let $B$ be a complete $f$-adic $k$-algebra of topologically finite type. Let $A$ be an open subring of $B^{\circ}$ such that $B^{\circ}$ is integral over $A$. We write $\mathcal{D}_{A}$ for the set consisting of topologically finitely generated $k^{\circ}$-subalgebras of $A$ which are rings of definition of $A$ (or equivalently, $B$ ). Then, the following hold:
(i) The set $\mathcal{D}_{A}$ is a filtered ordered set with respect to inclusions.
(ii) We have $A=\bigcup_{A_{0} \in \mathcal{D}_{A}} A_{0}$.
(iii) For $A_{0} \in \mathcal{D}_{A}, A$ and $B^{\circ}$ are integral over $A_{0}$.

Proof. First we prove that $\mathcal{D}_{A}$ is non-empty. Since $B$ is of topologically finite type, we can take a surjective continuous $k$-homomorphism $\phi: k\left\langle T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right\rangle \rightarrow B$. By the open mapping theorem, $\phi$ is an open map. Moreover, by Hub93, Proposition 1.10], $\phi$ is an adic map. Hence the image $B_{0}$ of $k^{\circ}\left\langle T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right\rangle$ under $\phi$ is a topologically finitely generated $k^{\circ}$-subalgebra of $B$ which is a ring of definition of $B$. Therefore $\varpi B_{0}$ is an ideal of definition of $B_{0}$ (see [Hub93, Proposition 1.5 (ii)]), and $B_{0}$ is $\varpi$-adically complete. Further, by [Hub94, proof of Lemma 4.4], $B^{\circ}$ is the integral closure of $B_{0}$ inside $B$.

Put $b_{i}=\phi\left(T_{i}\right) \in B_{0} \subset B^{\circ}$. Since $B^{\circ}$ is integral over $A$, for each $1 \leq i \leq n$ there exists a monic polynomial $f_{i} \in A[T]$ such that $f_{i}\left(b_{i}\right)=0$. Since $A$ is open, there exists an integer $m \geq 1$ such that $\varpi^{m} B_{0} \subset A$. Let $A_{0}$ be the $k^{\circ}$-subalgebra of $A$ generated by the coefficients of $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}$ and the elements of $\varpi^{m} B_{0}$. Since $A_{0}$ contains $\varpi^{m} B_{0}$, it is an open subring of $B$. As the coefficients of $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}$ are power-bounded in $B, A_{0}$ is bounded in $B$. Hence $A_{0}$ is a ring of definition of $B$. In particular, $A_{0}$ is $\varpi$-adically complete. We will show that $A_{0} \in \mathcal{D}_{A}$.

Let us prove that $B_{0} \subset A_{0}\left[b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right] \subset B^{\circ}$. For every $x \in B_{0}$, there exists $f \in$ $k^{\circ}\left[T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right]$ such that $x-f\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \in \varpi^{m} B_{0}$. Thus $x \in f\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)+\varpi^{m} B_{0} \subset$ $A_{0}\left[b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right]$. The inclusion $A_{0}\left[b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right] \subset B^{\circ}$ is clear. We put $B_{0}^{\prime}=A_{0}\left[b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right]$. As $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$ are power-bounded in $B, B_{0}^{\prime}$ is also a ring of definition of $B$. Since $b_{i}$ is integral over $A_{0}$ by construction, $B_{0}^{\prime}$ is a finite $A_{0}$-algebra. Note also that $\varpi^{m} B_{0} \subset A_{0}$ implies that $\varpi^{m} B_{0}^{\prime} \subset A_{0}$.

Now we prove $A_{0} \in \mathcal{D}_{A}$. The $k^{\circ}$-algebra $A_{0} / \varpi^{m} B_{0}$ is generated by the coefficients of $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}$. Therefore, its quotient $A_{0} / \varpi^{m} B_{0}^{\prime}$ is also finitely generated over $k^{\circ}$. Let us observe that $A_{0} / \varpi^{m+1} B_{0}^{\prime}$ is finitely generated over $k^{\circ}$. For simplicity, we set $R=A_{0} / \varpi^{m+1} B_{0}^{\prime}$ and $I=\varpi^{m} B_{0}^{\prime} / \varpi^{m+1} B_{0}^{\prime}$. Since $B_{0}^{\prime}$ is finitely generated as an $A_{0}$-module, $I$ is a finitely generated ideal of $R$. As $m \geq 1$, we have $I^{2}=0$. Take $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r} \in R$ so that their images generate $R / I$ as a $k^{\circ}$-algebra, and generators $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s} \in I$ as an $R$-module. Then it is immediate to see that $R$ is generated by $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}$ as a $k^{\circ}$-algebra. Since $\varpi^{m+1} B_{0}^{\prime} \subset \varpi A_{0}$, we conclude that
$A_{0} / \varpi A_{0}$ is a finitely generated $k^{\circ}$-algebra. By [FK13, Chapter 0, Proposition 8.4.2], this means that $A_{0}$ is topologically finitely generated over $k^{\circ}$, as desired.

Next we prove (iii). For $A_{0} \in \mathcal{D}_{A}$, we can take a surjection $\phi: k\left\langle T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right\rangle \rightarrow B$ above so that $\phi\left(k^{\circ}\left\langle T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right\rangle\right)=A_{0}$. As mentioned above, $B^{\circ}$ is integral over $A_{0}$. Hence $A$ is also integral over $A_{0}$.

We prove (i). Take $A_{0}, A_{0}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{D}_{A}$ and topological generators $a_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{n}^{\prime}$ of $A_{0}^{\prime}$ over $k^{\circ}$. Then, by the same argument as in the third paragraph of this proof, we can show that $A_{0}^{\prime} \subset A_{0}\left[a_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{n}^{\prime}\right]$. Clearly $A_{0}\left[a_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{n}^{\prime}\right]$ is open and bounded in $B$, hence a ring of definition of $B$. In particular, $A_{0}\left[a_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{n}^{\prime}\right]$ is $\varpi$-adically complete. On the other hand, by (iii), $a_{i}^{\prime} \in A$ is integral over $A_{0}$. Therefore $A_{0}\left[a_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{n}^{\prime}\right]$ is a finite $A_{0}$-algebra, hence topologically finitely generated $k^{\circ}$-algebra. Thus $A_{0}\left[a_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, a_{n}^{\prime}\right]$ is an element of $\mathcal{D}_{A}$ containing $A_{0}$ and $A_{0}^{\prime}$.

Finally we prove (ii). Fix $A_{0} \in \mathcal{D}_{A}$. For $a \in A$, consider the subring $A_{0}[a]$ of $A$. Since $a \in A \subset B^{\circ}, A_{0}[a]$ is a ring of definition of $B$. In particular, $A_{0}[a]$ is $\varpi$-adically complete. By (iii), $a$ is integral over $A_{0}$. Therefore $A_{0}[a]$ is finite over $A_{0}$, and thus topologically finitely generated over $k^{\circ}$. Hence $A_{0}[a]$ is an element of $\mathcal{D}_{A}$ containing $a$. This completes the proof.

We return to the original setting. For an open normal subgroup $K$ of $K_{0}$, we simply write $\mathcal{D}_{K}$ for $\mathcal{D}_{A_{K}}$.

Lemma 3.11 For open normal subgroups $K, K^{\prime}$ of $K_{0}$ with $K^{\prime} \subset K$ and $A_{0} \in \mathcal{D}_{K}$, there exists an element of $\mathcal{D}_{K^{\prime}}$ containing $A_{0}$.

Proof. Take an arbitrary element $A_{0}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{D}_{K^{\prime}}$ and topological generators $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ of $A_{0}$ over $k^{\circ}$. Clearly $A_{0}^{\prime}\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]$ is a subring of $A_{K^{\prime}}$. Since $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ are powerbounded in $B_{K}$ and $B_{K} \rightarrow B_{K^{\prime}}$ is adic, they are power-bounded in $B_{K^{\prime}}$. Therefore $A_{0}^{\prime}\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]$ is a ring of definition of $B_{K^{\prime}}$. By Proposition 3.10 (iii), $a_{i} \in A_{K} \subset A_{K^{\prime}}$ is integral over $A_{0}^{\prime}$. Therefore $A_{0}^{\prime}\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]$ is a finite $A_{0}^{\prime}$-algebra. In particular, it is topologically finitely generated over $k^{\circ}$. Thus $A_{0}^{\prime}\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}_{K^{\prime}}$. On the other hand, since $A_{0}^{\prime}\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right] \cap B_{K}$ is open in $B_{K}$, there exists $m \geq 1$ such that $\varpi^{m} A_{0} \subset A_{0}^{\prime}\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]$. Therefore, by the same way as in the third paragraph of the proof of Proposition [3.10, we can check that $A_{0} \subset A_{0}^{\prime}\left[a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]$. This concludes the proof.

Put $\mathcal{D}=\coprod_{K \triangleleft K_{0}} \mathcal{D}_{K}$. We define a partial order on $\mathcal{D}$ as follows: for $A_{0} \in \mathcal{D}_{K}$ and $A_{0}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{D}_{K^{\prime}}, A_{0} \leq A_{0}^{\prime}$ if $K^{\prime} \subset K$ and $A_{0} \subset A_{0}^{\prime}$.

Corollary 3.12 This makes $\mathcal{D}$ a filtered ordered set.
Proof. Clear from Proposition 3.10 (i) and Lemma 3.11 .
Corollary 3.13 We have

$$
A^{\prime}=\bigcup_{A_{0} \in \mathcal{D}} A_{0} \cong \underset{A_{0} \in \mathcal{D}}{\lim } A_{0}, \quad A / \mathfrak{m} A \cong \underset{A_{0} \in \mathcal{D}}{\lim _{0}} A_{0} / \mathfrak{m} A_{0}
$$

Proof. The first claim is clear from Proposition 3.10 (ii) and Corollary 3.12. As tensor product commutes with inductive limit, we have $A^{\prime} / \mathfrak{m} A^{\prime} \cong \lim _{A_{0} \in \mathcal{D}} A_{0} / \mathfrak{m} A_{0}$. Therefore the second follows from Lemma 3.6

Lemma 3.14 Let $A_{0}$, $A_{0}^{\prime}$ be elements of $\mathcal{D}$ such that $A_{0} \leq A_{0}^{\prime}$. Then, the inclusion $A_{0} \hookrightarrow A_{0}^{\prime}$ is finite and continuous.

Proof. Let $K$ and $K^{\prime}$ be open normal subgroups of $K_{0}$ such that $A_{0} \in \mathcal{D}_{K}$ and $A_{0}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{D}_{K^{\prime}}$. Since $\left(A_{K^{\prime}}\right)^{K / K^{\prime}}=A_{K}, A_{K^{\prime}}$ is integral over $A_{K}$. By Proposition 3.10 (iii), $A_{K}$ is integral over $A_{0}$. As $A_{0} \subset A_{0}^{\prime} \subset A_{K^{\prime}}$, we conclude that $A_{0}^{\prime}$ is integral over $A_{0}$. In particular, $A_{0}^{\prime} / \varpi A_{0}^{\prime}$ is integral over $A_{0} / \varpi A_{0}$. On the other hand, as $A_{0}^{\prime}$ is a topologically finitely generated $k^{\circ}$-algebra, $A_{0}^{\prime} / \varpi A_{0}^{\prime}$ is finitely generated over $k^{\circ}$. In particular, $A_{0}^{\prime} / \varpi A_{0}^{\prime}$ is finitely generated over $A_{0} / \varpi A_{0}$. Thus $A_{0}^{\prime} / \varpi A_{0}^{\prime}$ is finite over $A_{0} / \varpi A_{0}$. By [FK13, Chapter 0, Proposition 7.2.4], we conclude that $A_{0}^{\prime}$ is finite over $A_{0}$.

Since the topology of $A_{0}$ and $A_{0}^{\prime}$ are $\varpi$-adic ([Hub93, Proposition 1.5 (ii)]), the $k^{\circ}$-homomorphism $A_{0} \rightarrow A_{0}^{\prime}$ is clearly continuous.

Recall that we have fixed a $\kappa$-isomorphism $\lim _{x \rightarrow x^{p}} R \cong A / \mathfrak{m} A$ in Assumption 3.2. In particular, we are given a $\kappa$-homomorphism $R \rightarrow A / \mathfrak{m} A$.

Definition 3.15 Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the set consisting of pairs $\left(A_{0}, \pi\right)$, where

- $A_{0}$ is an element of $\mathcal{D}$, and
$-\pi: R \rightarrow A_{0} / \mathfrak{m} A_{0}$ is a finite $\kappa$-homomorphism such that the composite of $\pi$ and the homomorphism $A_{0} / \mathfrak{m} A_{0} \rightarrow A / \mathfrak{m} A$ induced from the inclusion $A_{0} \hookrightarrow A^{\prime} \hookrightarrow$ $A$ is equal to the fixed homomorphism $R \rightarrow A / \mathfrak{m} A$.
It is equipped with the partial order induced from that of $\mathcal{D}$. Namely, $\left(A_{0}, \pi\right) \leq$ $\left(A_{0}^{\prime}, \pi^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if $A_{0} \leq A_{0}^{\prime}$ and the composite $R \xrightarrow{\pi} A_{0} / \mathfrak{m} A_{0} \rightarrow A_{0}^{\prime} / \mathfrak{m} A_{0}^{\prime}$ equals $\pi^{\prime}$. By Corollaries 3.12, 3.13 and Lemma 3.14, this order is filtered (recall that $R$ is finitely generated over $\kappa$ ).

Lemma 3.16 (i) The set $\mathcal{C}$ is non-empty.
(ii) For $\left(A_{0}, \pi\right) \in \mathcal{C}$, the morphism $\operatorname{Spec} A_{0} / \mathfrak{m} A_{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} R$ induced by $\pi$ is a homeomorphism on the underlying topological spaces.

Proof. We prove (i). Since $A / \mathfrak{m} A=\underline{\longrightarrow} \lim _{A_{0} \in \mathcal{D}} A_{0} / \mathfrak{m} A_{0}$ and $R$ is finitely presented over $\kappa$, we can find $A_{1} \in \mathcal{D}$ and a $\kappa$-homomorphism $R \rightarrow A_{1} / \mathfrak{m} A_{1}$ such that the composite $R \rightarrow A_{1} / \mathfrak{m} A_{1} \rightarrow A / \mathfrak{m} A$ is the fixed one. On the other hand, Since $A / \mathfrak{m} A=\lim _{x \rightarrow x^{p}} R$, there exist a factorization $A_{1} / \mathfrak{m} A_{1} \rightarrow R \rightarrow A / \mathfrak{m} A$ of the homomorphism $A_{1} / \mathfrak{m} A_{1} \rightarrow A / \mathfrak{m} A$ and an integer $m \geq 1$ such that the following diagram commutes:


Apply the same argument to the homomorphism $(*)$, we can find $A_{0} \in \mathcal{D}$ with $A_{1} \leq A_{0}$ and a homomorphism $R \rightarrow A_{0} / \mathfrak{m} A_{0}$ such that the right triangle of the following diagram commutes:


Replacing $A_{0}$ by larger one, we can also make the lower triangle commute.
By Lemma 3.14, the homomorphism (2) is finite. Therefore, the homomorphism $(1)$ is also finite. If we write $\pi$ for the composite $R \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^{p^{m}}} R \xrightarrow{(1)} A_{0} / \mathfrak{m} A_{0}$, it is a finite $\kappa$-homomorphism, and $\left(A_{0}, \pi\right)$ belongs to $\mathcal{C}$.

Next we prove (ii). Since Spec $A_{0} / \mathfrak{m} A_{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} R$ is finite and therefore closed, it suffices to show that it is a bijection. By the same argument as above, we can construct the commutative diagram

where $m^{\prime} \geq 1$ is an integer and $A_{2} \in \mathcal{D}$ with $A_{0} \leq A_{2}$. We will observe that Spec $A_{2} / \mathfrak{m} A_{2} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} A_{0} / \mathfrak{m} A_{0}$ is surjective. Take open normal subgroups $K, K^{\prime}$ of $K_{0}$ such that $A_{0} \in \mathcal{D}_{K}$ and $A_{2} \in \mathcal{D}_{K^{\prime}}$. Put $\mathcal{X}=\operatorname{Spf} A_{0}$ and $\mathcal{X}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Spf} A_{2}$. By Lemma 3.14, a morphism $\mathcal{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is induced. By Proposition 3.10 (iii), we have $d(\mathcal{X})=\operatorname{Spa}\left(B_{K}, B_{K}^{\circ}\right)=X_{K}$ and $d\left(\mathcal{X}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Spa}\left(B_{K^{\prime}}, B_{K^{\prime}}^{\circ}\right)=X_{K^{\prime}}$. Further, we have the following commutative diagram of topological spaces (see Hub96, Proposition 1.9.1]):


By assumption the map $X_{K^{\prime}} \rightarrow X_{K}$ is surjective. On the other hand, as $\mathcal{X}$ is flat over $k^{\circ}$, the map $\lambda_{\mathcal{X}}$ is surjective (see [FK13, Chapter 2, Proposition 3.1.5, Theorem A.4.7]). Hence the map $\mathcal{X}_{\text {red }}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\text {red }}$ is also surjective. As topological spaces, $\mathcal{X}_{\text {red }}$ (resp. $\mathcal{X}_{\text {red }}^{\prime}$ ) is identified with $\operatorname{Spec} A_{0} / \mathfrak{m} A_{0}$ (resp. Spec $A_{2} / \mathfrak{m} A_{2}$ ). Therefore the map Spec $A_{2} / \mathfrak{m} A_{2} \rightarrow$ Spec $A_{0} / \mathfrak{m} A_{0}$ is surjective, as desired.

By this surjectivity, the map $\operatorname{Spec} R \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} A_{0} / \mathfrak{m} A_{0}$ is also surjective. On the other hand, as the composite $\operatorname{Spec} R \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} A_{0} / \mathfrak{m} A_{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} R$ is the identity on the underlying topological space, $\operatorname{Spec} R \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} A_{0} / \mathfrak{m} A_{0}$ is injective. Hence Spec $R \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} A_{0} / \mathfrak{m} A_{0}$ is bijective, and so is Spec $A_{0} / \mathfrak{m} A_{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec} R$, as desired.

Now we can construct the specialization map sp*: $H_{c}^{i}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow \underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim _{K}} H_{c}^{i}\left(X_{K}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$.
Definition 3.17 Take $\left(A_{0}, \pi\right) \in \mathcal{C}$ and put $\mathcal{X}=\operatorname{Spf} A_{0}$. Then, by Proposition 3.10 (iii), we have $d(\mathcal{X})=\operatorname{Spa}\left(B_{K}, B_{K}^{\circ}\right)=X_{K}$, where $K$ is the open normal subgroup of $K_{0}$ such that $A_{0} \in \mathcal{D}_{K}$. We define sp*: $H_{c}^{i}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow \underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim _{K}} H_{c}^{i}\left(X_{K}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$ by the composite of
where we also write $\pi$ for the morphism $\mathcal{X}_{s} \rightarrow Y$ induced by $\pi: R \rightarrow A_{0} / \mathfrak{m} A_{0}$.
Lemma 3.18 The map sp* in Definition 3.17 is independent of the choice of $\left(A_{0}, \pi\right) \in$ $\mathcal{C}$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{C}$ is filtered, it suffices to compare $\mathrm{sp}^{*}$ for $\left(A_{0}, \pi\right)$ and that for $\left(A_{0}^{\prime}, \pi^{\prime}\right)$ under the condition $\left(A_{0}, \pi\right) \leq\left(A_{0}^{\prime}, \pi^{\prime}\right)$. Put $\mathcal{X}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Spf} A_{0}^{\prime}$. By Lemma 3.14, we have a finite morphism $\mathcal{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, which we denote by $f$. We have only to prove that the following diagram is commutative for $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z} / \ell^{m} \mathbb{Z}$ :


Note that we have the following commutative diagram of sites ([Hub96, (3.5.4)]):


This gives rise to the following commutative diagram in $D^{+}\left(\mathcal{X}_{s, \text { ét }}, \Lambda\right)$ :


By taking $H_{c}^{i}$ of this diagram, we get the desired commutativity.
Next we discuss the functoriality of $\mathrm{sp}^{*}$. Here we only consider automorphisms of $A$ which come from those on the tower $\left\{X_{K}\right\}$.

Definition 3.19 Let $\sigma$ be an automorphism of $A$ which preserves $k^{\circ}$ (i.e., $\sigma\left(k^{\circ}\right)=$ $\left.k^{\circ}\right)$. We say that $\sigma$ is of finite level if it satisfies $\sigma\left(A^{\prime}\right)=A^{\prime}$.

Lemma 3.20 Let $\sigma$ be an automorphism of finite level of $A$. Then the following hold.
(i) For each open normal subgroup $K$ of $K_{0}$, there exists an open normal subgroup $K^{\prime}$ of $K_{0}$ such that $\sigma\left(B_{K}\right) \subset B_{K^{\prime}}$.
(ii) The automorphism $\sigma$ naturally induces an automorphism of $\underset{\rightarrow}{\lim _{K}} H_{c}^{i}\left(X_{K}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$.
(iii) For any $A_{0} \in \mathcal{D}$, there exists $A_{1} \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\sigma\left(A_{0}\right) \subset A_{1}$. For such $A_{1}$, the homomorphism $\sigma: A_{0} \rightarrow A_{1}$ is finite and continuous.

Proof. First note that $\sigma(\varpi)$ belongs to $\mathfrak{m}$, hence there exists an integer $r \geq 1$ such that $\sigma(\varpi)^{r} \in \varpi k^{\circ}$. In particular $\sigma: k^{\circ} \rightarrow k^{\circ}$ is continuous. The same holds for $\sigma^{-1}$.

We prove (i). For an open normal subgroup $K$ of $K_{0}$, take $A_{0} \in \mathcal{D}_{K}$ and its topological generators $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in A_{0}$ over $k^{\circ}$. Since $\sigma$ preserves $A^{\prime}$, we have $\sigma\left(a_{1}\right), \ldots, \sigma\left(a_{n}\right) \in A^{\prime}$. Therefore, we can find an open normal subgroup $K^{\prime}$ of $K_{0}$ such that $\sigma\left(a_{1}\right), \ldots, \sigma\left(a_{n}\right)$ are fixed by $K^{\prime}$. We will prove that $\sigma\left(A_{0}\right)$ is fixed by $K^{\prime}$. Take $g \in K^{\prime}$ and $x \in A_{0}$. Then, for every integer $m \geq 1$, there exists $f \in k^{\circ}\left[T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right]$ such that $x-f\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \varpi^{r m} A_{0} \subset \varpi^{r m} A$. Then we have $\sigma(x)-(\sigma f)\left(\sigma\left(a_{1}\right), \ldots, \sigma\left(a_{n}\right)\right) \in \sigma(\varpi)^{r m} A \subset \varpi^{m} A$. Since the action of $g \in K^{\prime}$ is an automorphism over $k^{\circ}$ and $\sigma\left(a_{1}\right), \ldots, \sigma\left(a_{n}\right)$ are fixed by $K^{\prime}$, we obtain $g(\sigma(x))-$ $(\sigma f)\left(\sigma\left(a_{1}\right), \ldots, \sigma\left(a_{n}\right)\right) \in \varpi^{m} A$, and thus $\sigma(x)-g(\sigma(x)) \in \varpi^{m} A$ for every $m \geq 1$. As $A$ is $\varpi$-adically complete, this means that $\sigma(x)$ is fixed by $g \in K^{\prime}$. Hence we have $\sigma\left(A_{0}\right) \subset\left(A^{\prime}\right)^{K^{\prime}}=A_{K^{\prime}}$. Now we conclude that

$$
\sigma\left(B_{K}\right)=\sigma\left(A_{0}[1 / \varpi]\right)=\sigma\left(A_{0}\right)[1 / \varpi] \subset A_{K^{\prime}}[1 / \varpi]=B_{K^{\prime}},
$$

hence (i).
If we twist the $k$-algebra structure of $B_{K^{\prime}}$ by $\sigma: k \rightarrow k, B_{K^{\prime}}$ is again a complete f -adic $k$-algebra of topologically finite type, and the map $\sigma: B_{K} \rightarrow B_{K^{\prime}}$ becomes a homomorphism over $k$. By [BGR84, Theorem 6.1.3/1], $\sigma$ is automatically continuous. The composite of $B_{K} \xrightarrow{\sigma} B_{K^{\prime}} \hookrightarrow B^{\prime}$ is equal to that of $B_{K} \hookrightarrow B^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\sigma} B^{\prime}$, which is integral (see the proof of Lemma 3.8 (ii)). Hence $\sigma: B_{K} \rightarrow B_{K^{\prime}}$ is also integral. By [BGR84, Theorem 6.3.5/1], this means that $\sigma: B_{K} \rightarrow B_{K^{\prime}}$ is finite. Therefore a finite morphism $X_{K^{\prime}}=\operatorname{Spa}\left(B_{K^{\prime}}, B_{K^{\prime}}^{\circ}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spa}\left(B_{K}, B_{K}^{\circ}\right)=X_{K}$ and a map $H_{c}^{i}\left(X_{K}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow H_{c}^{i}\left(X_{K^{\prime}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$ are induced. Passing to the inductive limit, we obtain ${\underset{\longrightarrow}{l}}_{K} H_{c}^{i}\left(X_{K}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow \longrightarrow_{K} H_{c}^{i}\left(X_{K}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$, which we denote by $\sigma_{*}$. Applying the same argument to $\sigma^{-1}$, we obtain $\left(\sigma^{-1}\right)_{*}:{\underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim }}^{\lim } H_{c}^{i}\left(X_{K}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow \underset{\longrightarrow}{\lim _{K}} H_{c}^{i}\left(X_{K}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$. It is immediate to show that $\sigma_{*}$ and $\left(\sigma^{-1}\right)_{*}$ are inverse to each other. Therefore $\sigma_{*}$ is an isomorphism. This completes the proof of (ii).

Let us prove (iii). By the argument above, for $A_{0} \in \mathcal{D}_{K}$, we can find an open normal subgroup $K^{\prime}$ of $K_{0}$ such that $\sigma\left(A_{0}\right) \subset A_{K^{\prime}}$, and the map $\sigma: A_{0} \rightarrow A_{K^{\prime}}$ is continuous. Take a system of topological generators $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ of $A_{0}$ over $k^{\circ}$ and an element $A_{0}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{D}_{K^{\prime}}$. Put $A_{1}=A_{0}^{\prime}\left[\sigma\left(a_{1}\right), \ldots, \sigma\left(a_{n}\right)\right]$. Since $\sigma\left(a_{1}\right), \ldots, \sigma\left(a_{n}\right) \in A_{K^{\prime}}$,
we can observe that $A_{1} \in \mathcal{D}_{K^{\prime}}$ in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 3.11. On the other hand, by the continuity of $\sigma$, there exists an integer $m \geq 1$ such that $\sigma\left(\varpi^{m} A_{0}\right) \subset A_{0}^{\prime}$. Therefore, by the same way as in the third paragraph of the proof of Proposition 3.10, we can check that $\sigma\left(A_{0}\right) \subset A_{1}$. This concludes the existence of $A_{1}$.

Next, we take an arbitrary $A_{1} \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\sigma\left(A_{0}\right) \subset A_{1}$. The composite of $A_{0} \xrightarrow{\sigma} A_{1} \hookrightarrow A^{\prime}$ is equal to that of $A_{0} \hookrightarrow A^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\sigma} A^{\prime}$, which is integral by Proposition 3.10 (iii) and the proof of Lemma 3.8 (ii). Hence $\sigma: A_{0} \rightarrow A_{1}$ is also integral. This implies that $\sigma: A_{0} / \varpi A_{0} \rightarrow A_{1} / \sigma(\varpi) A_{1}$ is integral. On the other hand, as $A_{1} / \sigma(\varpi) A_{1}$ is finitely generated over $k^{\circ}$ and $\sigma$ preserves $k^{\circ}$, the map $\sigma: A_{0} / \varpi A_{0} \rightarrow$ $A_{1} / \sigma(\varpi) A_{1}$ is of finite type. Hence $\sigma: A_{0} / \varpi A_{0} \rightarrow A_{1} / \sigma(\varpi) A_{1}$ is finite, and so is $\sigma: A_{0} \rightarrow A_{1}$ by [FK13, Chapter 0, Proposition 7.2.4]. By Hub93, Proposition 1.5 (ii)], the topology of $A_{0}$ and $A_{1}$ are $\varpi$-adic. Since $\sigma\left(\varpi^{r m} A_{0}\right) \subset \varpi^{m} A_{1}, \sigma: A_{0} \rightarrow A_{1}$ is continuous. This completes the proof of (iii).

Proposition 3.21 Let $\sigma$ be an automorphism of finite level of $A$ and $\bar{\sigma}$ an automorphism of $R$. Assume that the fixed isomorphism $A / \mathfrak{m} A \cong \lim _{\longrightarrow \rightarrow x^{p}} R$ is compatible with $\sigma$ and $\bar{\sigma}$. Then, the specialization map $\mathrm{sp}^{*}: H_{c}^{i}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow{\underset{\mathrm{lim}}{K}}^{\lim _{c}^{i}\left(X_{K}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \text { is }}$ compatible with the actions of $\bar{\sigma}$ and $\sigma$.
Proof. We may assume that $R \neq 0$. Then $A / \mathfrak{m} A \neq 0$. Since $\kappa \subset A / \mathfrak{m} A$ is preserved by $\sigma$, we conclude that $\kappa \subset R$ is preserved by $\bar{\sigma}$ and $\left.\bar{\sigma}\right|_{\kappa}=\left.\sigma\right|_{k^{\circ}} \bmod \mathfrak{m}$.

Take $\left(A_{0}, \pi\right) \in \mathcal{C}$. By Lemma 3.20 (iii), we can find $A_{1} \in \mathcal{D}$ containing $\sigma\left(A_{0}\right)$. We write $\pi^{\prime}$ for the composite $R \xrightarrow{\bar{\sigma}^{-1}} R \xrightarrow{\pi} A_{0} / \mathfrak{m} A_{0} \xrightarrow{\sigma} A_{1} / \mathfrak{m} A_{1}$, which is a $\kappa$ homomorphism. By the commutative diagram

and Lemma 3.20 (iii), we can observe that $\left(A_{1}, \pi^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{C}$. Put $\mathcal{X}=\operatorname{Spf} A_{0}$ and $\mathcal{X}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Spf} A_{1}$. By Lemma 3.20 (iii), the homomorphism $\sigma: A_{0} \rightarrow A_{1}$ induces a finite morphism $\sigma: \mathcal{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ of formal schemes. As in the proof of Lemma 3.18, the commutative diagram of sites

gives rise to the following commutative diagram for $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z} / \ell^{m} \mathbb{Z}$ :


Here $K$ (resp. $K^{\prime}$ ) is the open normal subgroup of $K_{0}$ such that $A_{0} \in \mathcal{D}_{K}$ (resp. $A_{1} \in$ $\left.\mathcal{D}_{K^{\prime}}\right)$. By the construction of the specialization map, this implies the commutativity of the diagram

which concludes the proof.
Here is the infinite level version of Theorem 2.8.
Theorem 3.22 Let $\left\{Z_{K}\right\}_{K \triangleleft K_{0}}$ be a projective system of adic spaces locally of finite type, separated and taut over $\operatorname{Spa}\left(k, k^{\circ}\right)$ with proper transition maps. Suppose that we are given a system of open immersions $\left\{X_{K} \hookrightarrow Z_{K}\right\}_{K \triangleleft K_{0}}$ over $\operatorname{Spa}\left(k, k^{\circ}\right)$ which makes the cartesian diagram

for every open normal subgroups $K, K^{\prime}$ of $K_{0}$ with $K^{\prime} \subset K$.
Assume that $Y$ is pure-dimensional and smooth over $\kappa$. Let $V$ be a subspace of $H_{c}^{i}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$ such that the composite $V \hookrightarrow H_{c}^{i}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow H^{i}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$ is an injection. Then, the composite

$$
V \hookrightarrow H_{c}^{i}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{sp}^{*}} \underset{K}{\lim _{K}} H_{c}^{i}\left(X_{K}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow \underset{K}{\lim _{K}} H_{c}^{i}\left(Z_{K}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)
$$

is also an injection.
Proof. Fix $\left(A_{0}, \pi\right) \in \mathcal{C}$ with $A_{0} \in \mathcal{D}_{K}$. Let $K^{\prime}$ be an open normal subgroup of $K_{0}$ contained in $K$. Then, by Lemmas 3.11 and 3.14, we can find $\left(A_{0}^{\prime}, \pi^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\left(A_{0}, \pi\right) \leq\left(A_{0}^{\prime}, \pi^{\prime}\right)$ and $A_{0}^{\prime} \in \mathcal{D}_{K^{\prime}}$. Put $\mathcal{X}^{\prime}=\operatorname{Spf} A_{0}^{\prime}$. It is of topologically finite type and flat over Spf $k^{\circ}$, and pseudo-compactifiable (see [Mie14b, Example 4.25 (i)]). By Proposition 3.10 (iii), we have $d\left(\mathcal{X}^{\prime}\right)=X_{K^{\prime}}$, which is smooth over $\operatorname{Spa}\left(k, k^{\circ}\right)$. By Lemma 3.16 (ii), the morphism $\pi^{\prime}: \mathcal{X}_{s}^{\prime} \rightarrow$ Spec $R=Y$ is finite and surjective, and the dimension of $\mathcal{X}_{s}^{\prime}$ is equal to $\operatorname{dim} Y$. Therefore, Theorem 2.8 tells us that the composite

$$
V \hookrightarrow H_{c}^{i}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{sp}^{*} \circ \pi^{\prime}} H_{c}^{i}\left(d\left(\mathcal{X}^{\prime}\right), \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)=H_{c}^{i}\left(X_{K^{\prime}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow H_{c}^{i}\left(Z_{K^{\prime}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)
$$

is injective. Obviously, the inductive limit of this map with respect to $K^{\prime}$ coincides with

$$
V \hookrightarrow H_{c}^{i}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{sp}^{*}} \underset{K^{\prime}}{\lim _{c}} H_{c}^{i}\left(X_{K^{\prime}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow \underset{K^{\prime}}{\lim _{c}^{\prime}} H_{c}^{i}\left(Z_{K^{\prime}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)
$$

considered in the theorem. Thus we obtain the desired injectivity.

We will end this section by giving a simple but helpful example.
Example 3.23 Here we assume that $p \neq 2$. Take $\varpi^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that $\varpi^{\prime 2}=\varpi$, and fix a sequence $\left(\varpi^{1 / p^{m}}\right)_{m \geq 0}$ of $p^{m}$ th roots of $\varpi^{\prime}$. Put $\varpi^{1 / p^{m}}=\left(\varpi^{1 / p^{m}}\right)^{2}$. Let $I$ be the ideal of $k^{\circ}\left\langle T^{p^{-\infty}}\right\rangle$ (see Example A.5) generated by $T^{2 / p^{m}}-\varpi^{1 / p^{m}}$ for every $m \geq 0$, and $\bar{I}$ the closure of $I$ in $k^{\circ}\left\langle T^{p^{-\infty}}\right\rangle$. Let us consider $A=k^{\circ}\left\langle T^{p^{-\infty}}\right\rangle / \bar{I}$.
(i) The $k^{\circ}$-algebra $A$ is $\varpi$-adically complete and flat.
(ii) Define continuous $k$-homomorphisms

$$
\phi: k[S] /\left(S^{2}-1\right) \rightarrow A[1 / \varpi], \quad \psi: A[1 / \varpi] \rightarrow k \times k
$$

by $\phi(S)=\varpi^{\prime-1} T$ and $\psi\left(T^{1 / p^{m}}\right)=\left(\varpi^{1 / p^{m}},-\varpi^{1 / p^{m}}\right)$. Then, $\phi$ and $\psi$ are isomorphisms. In particular, $A[1 / \varpi]$ is a complete f -adic $k$-algebra of topologically finite type.
(iii) We have $\psi(A)=\left\{(x, y) \in k^{\circ} \times k^{\circ} \mid x-y \in \mathfrak{m}\right\}$. In particular, $A$ is a ring of definition of $A[1 / \varpi]$ which is not topologically finitely generated over $k^{\circ}$.
(iv) We have $A / \mathfrak{m} A \cong \kappa$.
(v) Let $\widetilde{A}$ be the integral closure of $A$ in $A[1 / \varpi]$. We have $\psi(\widetilde{A})=k^{\circ} \times k^{\circ}$. Namely, $\widetilde{A}$ coincides with $A[1 / \varpi]^{\circ}$.
(vi) Put $A_{m}=k^{\circ}\left\langle T_{m}\right\rangle /\left(T_{m}^{2}-\varpi^{1 / p^{m}}\right)=k^{\circ}\left[T_{m}\right] /\left(T_{m}^{2}-\varpi^{1 / p^{m}}\right)$. We have a $k^{\circ}$ homomorphism $A_{m} \rightarrow A ; T_{m} \mapsto T^{1 / p^{m}}$, which turns out to be injective. We write $\psi_{m}$ for the composite $A_{m} \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\psi} k \times k$. Then, we have $\psi_{m}\left(A_{m}\right)=$ $\left\{(x, y) \in k^{\circ} \times k^{\circ} \mid x-y \in \varpi^{1 / p^{m}} k^{\circ}\right\}$. In particular, $A \cong \underline{l i m}_{m} A_{m}$.
By (i), (ii), (iv), (v), this $A$ with the obvious action of $K_{0}=1$ satisfy Assumptions 3.1, 3.2. By (iv), $Y=\operatorname{Spec} \kappa$ is a point, while $\operatorname{Spa}\left(A[1 / \varpi], A[1 / \varpi]^{\circ}\right)$ consists of two points by (ii). In particular, the specialization map sp* on $H_{c}^{0}$ in Definition 3.17 is not surjective.

Proof. The assertion (i) follows from Corollary A.4 as the image of $T^{2}-\varpi$ in $\kappa\left[T^{p^{-\infty}}\right]$ is non-zero.

We prove (ii). It is easy to see that $\phi$ and $\psi$ are well-defined and $\psi \circ \phi$ is an isomorphism. Therefore $\phi$ is an injection. Put $C=k^{\circ}[S] /\left(S^{2}-1\right)$. Since $\phi\left(\varpi^{\prime} C\right) \subset A, \phi$ is continuous. We shall prove that $\phi$ is surjective. First we prove that $A \subset \phi(C)+\varpi A$. It suffices to show that $T^{1 / p^{m}} \in \phi(C)$. Note that $\left(T^{1 / p^{m}} / \varpi^{1 / p^{m}}\right)^{2}=$ $T^{2 / p^{m}} / \varpi^{1 / p^{m}}=1$ in $A[1 / \varpi]$. Since we are assuming $p \neq 2$, we have

$$
\phi(S)=\frac{T}{\varpi^{\prime}}=\left(\frac{T^{1 / p^{m}}}{\varpi^{\prime 1 / p^{m}}}\right)^{p^{m}}=\frac{T^{1 / p^{m}}}{\varpi^{\prime 1 / p^{m}}}
$$

Hence $T^{1 / p^{m}}=\phi\left(\varpi^{11 / p^{m}} S\right) \in \phi(C)$, as desired. Now we prove the surjectivity of $\phi$. Since $k[S] /\left(S^{2}-1\right)$ is $\varpi$-divisible, it suffices to see that every $a_{0} \in A$ lies in $\phi(C)$. By $A \subset \phi(C)+\varpi A$, we can find $x_{0} \in C$ and $a_{1} \in A$ such that $a_{0}=\phi\left(x_{0}\right)+\varpi a_{1}$. Continuing this process, we get $\left(x_{m}\right)_{m \geq 0}$ and $\left(a_{m}\right)_{m \geq 1}$ such that $x_{m} \in C, a_{m} \in A$
and $a_{m}=\phi\left(x_{m}\right)+\varpi a_{m+1}$. Put $x=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \varpi^{m} x_{m} \in C$. Since $\phi$ is continuous and $A$ is $\varpi$-adically separated, we have

$$
\phi(x)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(\sum_{m=0}^{n} \varpi^{m} x_{m}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(a_{0}-\varpi^{n+1} a_{n+1}\right)=a_{0} .
$$

Hence $a_{0}$ lies in $\phi(C)$. Therefore $\phi$ is a bijection. As $A \subset \phi(C), \phi^{-1}$ is continuous. Thus $\phi$ is an isomorphism. Since $\psi \circ \phi$ is an isomorphism, so is $\psi$.

Let us prove (iii). We can easily check that $\psi(A) \subset\left\{(x, y) \in k^{\circ} \times k^{\circ} \mid x-y \in \mathfrak{m}\right\}$. We prove the reverse inclusion. Let $x, y \in k^{\circ}$ be elements such that $x-y \in \mathfrak{m}$. Since $(x, y)=(\psi \circ \phi)\left(\frac{x+y}{2}+\frac{x-y}{2} S\right)$, we have

$$
\psi^{-1}(x, y)=\phi\left(\frac{x+y}{2}+\frac{x-y}{2} S\right)=\frac{x+y}{2}+\frac{x-y}{2} \cdot \frac{T}{\varpi^{\prime}}=\frac{x+y}{2}+\frac{x-y}{2} \cdot \frac{T^{1 / p^{m}}}{\varpi^{\prime 1 / p^{m}}} .
$$

Since $x-y \in \mathfrak{m}$, for a sufficiently large $m,(x-y) / \varpi^{1 / p^{m}}$ belongs to $k^{\circ}$. Hence we have $\psi^{-1}(x, y) \in A$, that is, $(x, y) \in \psi(A)$. Suppose that $\psi(A)$ is topologically finitely generated over $k^{\circ}$, and take topological generators $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \in \psi(A)$. Then, there exists an integer $m \geq 0$ such that $x_{i}-y_{i} \in \varpi^{1 / p^{m}} k^{\circ}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since $\psi(A) / \varpi^{1 / p^{m}}\left(k^{\circ} \times k^{\circ}\right)$ is generated by the images of $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$, it is included in $\left\{(x, x) \mid x \in k^{\circ} / \varpi^{1 / p^{m}} k^{\circ}\right\}$. It is absurd, as $\psi(A) / \varpi^{1 / p^{m}}\left(k^{\circ} \times k^{\circ}\right)$ contains an element $\left(\varpi^{1 / p^{m+1}}, 0\right)$. Thus $\psi(A)$ is not topologically finitely generated over $k^{\circ}$.

For (iv), it suffices to prove that the natural map $\kappa=k^{\circ} / \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow A / \mathfrak{m} A$ is surjective, or equivalently, $A=k^{\circ}+\mathfrak{m} A$. By (ii), we may replace $A$ by $\psi(A)$. Take $(x, y) \in \psi(A)$. By (iii), we have $x, y \in k^{\circ}$ and $x-y \in \mathfrak{m}$. Therefore we have $(x, y)=(y, y)+(x-y, 0) \in k^{\circ}+\mathfrak{m} \psi(A)$. Now we conclude that $\psi(A)=k^{\circ}+\mathfrak{m} \psi(A)$.

We prove (v). Since $k^{\circ} \times k^{\circ}$ is integrally closed in $k \times k$, it suffices to show that $k^{\circ} \times k^{\circ}$ is integral over $\psi(A)$. Take any element $x=(a, b) \in k^{\circ} \times k^{\circ}$. Then ( $\left.a, a\right)$ and $(b, b)$ belong to $\psi(A)$, and $x$ is a root of the polynomial $(T-(a, a))(T-(b, b))$ in $\psi(A)[T]$. This means that $x$ is integral over $\psi(A)$.

Finally consider (vi). It is immediate to see that the homomorphism $A_{m}[1 / \varpi] \rightarrow$ $k \times k$ induced from $\psi_{m}$ is an isomorphism. Therefore $A_{m}[1 / \varpi] \rightarrow A[1 / \varpi]$ is also an isomorphism. Since $A_{m}$ is $\varpi$-torsion free, we conclude that $A_{m} \rightarrow A$ is an injection. Let us prove that $\psi_{m}\left(A_{m}\right)=\left\{(x, y) \in k^{\circ} \times k^{\circ} \mid x-y \in \varpi^{1 / p^{m}} k^{\circ}\right\}$. As $\psi_{m}\left(T_{m}\right)=\left(\varpi^{1 / p^{m}},-\varpi^{1 / p^{m}}\right), \psi_{m}\left(A_{m}\right)$ is contained in the right hand side. Since the $k^{\circ}$-algebra $\left\{(x, y) \in k^{\circ} \times k^{\circ} \mid x-y \in \varpi^{1 / p^{m}} k^{\circ}\right\}$ is generated by $\left(\varpi^{1 / p^{m}},-\varpi^{1 / p^{m}}\right)$, the desired equality holds.

## 4 The Lubin-Tate tower

Let $F$ be a non-archimedean local field. We denote by $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ the ring of integers of $F$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{F}$ the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{F}$. Write $q$ for the characteristic of the residue field $\mathcal{O}_{F} / \mathfrak{p}_{F}$, and $p$ for the characteristic of $\mathbb{F}_{q}=\mathcal{O}_{F} / \mathfrak{p}_{F}$. The normalized valuation of $F$ is denoted by $v_{F}$. Fix a uniformizer $\varpi \in \mathcal{O}_{F}$.

We fix an algebraic closure $\bar{F}$ of $F$. Let $F^{\text {ur }}$ (resp. $F^{\text {ab }}$ ) be the maximal unramified (resp. abelian) extension of $F$ inside $\bar{F}$. Denote by $\breve{F}, \widehat{F}^{\text {ab }}$ and $C$ for the completion of $F^{\mathrm{ur}}, F^{\mathrm{ab}}$ and $\bar{F}$, respectively. The residue field of $\breve{F}$ is denoted by $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$, which is an algebraic closure of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. We write $W_{F}$ for the Weil group of $F$, and $\operatorname{Art}_{F}: F^{\times} \xrightarrow{\cong} W_{F}^{\text {ab }}$ for the isomorphism of the local class field theory, which is normalized so that $\operatorname{Art}_{F}(\varpi)$ is a lift of the geometric Frobenius automorphism on $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$.

We denote by Nilp the category of schemes over $\mathcal{O}_{\breve{F}}$ on which $\varpi$ is locally nilpotent, and by Set the category of sets. For $S \in \operatorname{Nilp}$, we set $\bar{S}=S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\breve{F}}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$.

Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. We fix a one-dimensional formal $\mathcal{O}_{F}$-module $\mathbb{X}$ of height $n$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$, which is unique up to isomorphism. Put $D=\operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{O}_{F}}(\mathbb{X}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F}} F$. It is known to be a central division algebra over $F$ with invariant $1 / n$.

For an integer $m \geq 0$, let $\mathcal{M}_{m}:$ Nilp $\rightarrow$ Set be the functor that sends $S$ to the set of isomorphism classes of triples $(X, \rho, \eta)$, where

- $X$ is a formal $\mathcal{O}_{F}$-module on $S$,
$-\rho: \mathbb{X} \otimes_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}} \bar{S} \rightarrow X \times_{S} \bar{S}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{F^{-}}$-quasi-isogeny, and
- $\eta$ is a Drinfeld $m$-level structure on $X$.

For an integer $\delta$, let $\mathcal{M}_{m}^{(\delta)}$ be the subfunctor of $\mathcal{M}_{m}$ corresponding to the triple $(X, \rho, \eta)$ with height $\mathcal{O}_{F} \rho=\delta$. Then, $\mathcal{M}_{m}^{(0)}$ can be identified with the deformation functor of $\mathbb{X}$ with Drinfeld $m$-level structures. In Dri74, §4], it is proved that $\mathcal{M}_{m}^{(0)}$ is represented by $\operatorname{Spf} A_{m}$, where $A_{m}$ is an $n$-dimensional Noetherian regular complete local $\mathcal{O}_{\breve{F}}$-algebra. Since $\mathcal{M}_{m}^{(\delta)}$ is (non-canonically) isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_{m}^{(0)}$, we conclude that $\mathcal{M}_{m}=\coprod_{\delta \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{M}_{m}^{(\delta)}$ is represented by a locally Noetherian formal scheme over $\mathcal{O}_{\breve{F}}$. Moreover, $\left\{\mathcal{M}_{m}\right\}_{m \geq 0}$ form a projective system of formal schemes with finite flat transition morphisms. We call it the Lubin-Tate tower.

The Lubin-Tate tower is equipped with several actions. First, the group $D^{\times}=$ QIsog $\mathcal{O}_{F}(\mathbb{X})$ of self-quasi-isogenies of $\mathbb{X}$ acts on each $\mathcal{M}_{m}$ on the right. The formal scheme $\mathcal{M}_{m}$ also has a natural Weil descent datum in the sense of RZ96, Definition 3.45]. These are compatible with the transition maps of $\left\{\mathcal{M}_{m}\right\}_{m \geq 0}$. Further, the group $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)$ acts on the tower $\left\{\mathcal{M}_{m}\right\}_{m \geq 0}$ on the right as a pro-object. This action may change the level $m$. However, the subgroup $\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$ preserves each $\mathcal{M}_{m}$, and the $m$ th principal congruence subgroup $K_{m}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F} / \mathfrak{p}_{F}^{m}\right)\right)$ acts trivially on $\mathcal{M}_{m}$.

We denote by $M_{m}$ the rigid generic fiber of $\mathcal{M}_{m}$, which is known to be an $n-1$ dimensional smooth rigid space over $\breve{F}$. From $\left\{\mathcal{M}_{m}\right\}_{m \geq 0}$ we obtain a projective system $\left\{M_{m}\right\}_{m \geq 0}$ of rigid spaces over $\breve{F}$, whose transition maps are finite, étale and Galois. For $m^{\prime} \geq m \geq 0$, the action of $K_{m}$ on $M_{m^{\prime}}$ gives identification between $K_{m} / K_{m^{\prime}}$ and the Galois group of $M_{m^{\prime}} \rightarrow M_{m}$. For each compact open subgroup $K$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$, we can define the Lubin-Tate space $M_{K}$ of level $K$ as follows: take an integer $m \geq 0$ such that $K_{m} \subset K$ and put $M_{K}=M_{m} /\left(K / K_{m}\right)$, which is in fact independent of the choice of $m$. Now we obtain a projective system $\left\{M_{K}\right\}_{K \subset \operatorname{GL}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)}$, whose transition maps are finite and étale. It is also equipped with actions of $D^{\times}$
and $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)$, and a Weil descent datum.
For our purpose, it is convenient to consider the quotient of $M_{K}$ by the discrete subgroup $\varpi^{\mathbb{Z}} \subset F^{\times} \subset D^{\times}$, which is denoted by $M_{K, w^{\mathbb{Z}}}$. Note that the decomposition $\mathcal{M}_{m}=\coprod_{\delta \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{M}_{m}^{(\delta)}$ induces $M_{K}=\coprod_{\delta \in \mathbb{Z}} M_{K}^{(\delta)}$, and $\varpi \in D^{\times}$maps $M_{K}^{(\delta)}$ to $M_{K}^{(\delta+n)}$. Therefore, the quotient $M_{K, w^{\mathbb{Z}}}$ is isomorphic to $\coprod_{0 \leq \delta<n} M_{K}^{(\delta)}$.

Take a prime number $\ell \neq p$. Fix an isomorphism $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell} \cong \mathbb{C}$ and identify them. We consider the compactly supported $\ell$-adic cohomology of the tower $\left\{M_{K, w^{Z}}\right\}_{K \subset G L_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)}$.
Definition 4.1 We put $H_{\mathrm{LT}}=\lim _{K} H_{c}^{n-1}\left(M_{K, w^{Z}} \otimes_{\breve{F}} C, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$.
The group $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(F) \times D^{\times}$naturally acts on $H_{\mathrm{LT}}$. This action is known to be smooth (see [Str08, Lemma 2.5.1]). By [RZ96, Lemma 5.36], the subgroup $\varpi^{\mathbb{Z}} \subset$ $F^{\times} \subset \mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)$ acts trivially on $H_{\mathrm{LT}}$. On the other hand, by using the Weil descent datum on $M_{K, \omega^{\mathbb{Z}}}$, one can define an action of $W_{F}$ on $H_{\mathrm{LT}}$. As a result, $H_{\mathrm{LT}}$ becomes a representation of $\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n}(F) / \varpi^{\mathbb{Z}}\right) \times\left(D^{\times} / \varpi^{\mathbb{Z}}\right) \times W_{F}$. The $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)$-supercuspidal part of this representation can be described by using the local Langlands correspondence and the local Jacquet-Langlands correspondence:

Theorem 4.2 (Non-abelian Lubin-Tate theory, [HT01], Boy99]) Let $\pi$ be an irreducible supercuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)$ whose central character is trivial on $\varpi^{\mathbb{Z}}$. We write $\operatorname{rec}_{F}(\pi)$ (resp. $\mathrm{JL}(\pi)$ ) for the irreducible smooth representation of $W_{F}$ (resp. $D^{\times}$) corresponding to $\pi$ under the local Langlands correspondence (resp. the local Jacquet-Langlands correspondence). Then we have

$$
H_{\mathrm{LT}, \pi^{\vee}}=\pi^{\vee} \boxtimes \mathrm{JL}(\pi) \boxtimes \operatorname{rec}_{F}(\pi)\left(\frac{1-n}{2}\right)
$$

as representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(F) \times D^{\times} \times W_{F}$, where $H_{\mathrm{LT}, \pi^{\vee}}$ denotes the $\pi^{\vee}$-isotypic part of $H_{\mathrm{LT}}$.

To simplify our argument, we also use the following result, which is in some sense stronger than the theorem above:
Theorem 4.3 The action of $W_{F}$ on $H_{\mathrm{LT}}$ is smooth.
Proof. Since $D^{\times} / \varpi^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is compact, we have the isotypic decomposition

$$
H_{\mathrm{LT}}=\bigoplus_{\rho} H_{\mathrm{LT}, \rho},
$$

where $\rho$ runs through irreducible smooth representations of $D^{\times}$whose central characters are trivial on $\varpi^{\mathbb{Z}}$, and $H_{\mathrm{LT}, \rho}$ denotes the $\rho$-isotypic part of $H_{\mathrm{LT}}$. We fix $\rho$ and denote by $\pi$ the discrete series representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)$ satisfying $\mathrm{JL}(\pi)=\rho$. Such $\pi$ can be written as $\operatorname{St}_{s}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)$, where $s$ is an integer dividing $n$ and $\pi^{\prime}$ is an irreducible supercuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{n / s}(F)$. By Boy09b and Boy09a, we have

$$
H_{\mathrm{LT}, \rho}=\pi^{\vee} \boxtimes \rho \boxtimes \operatorname{rec}_{F}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)\left(\frac{m}{2}\right)
$$

for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\operatorname{rec}_{F}\left(\pi^{\prime}\right)$ is a smooth representation of $W_{F}$, we conclude that the action of $W_{F}$ on $H_{\mathrm{LT}, \rho}$ is smooth.

Let us recall the determinant map. We write $\left\{\mathcal{N}_{m}\right\}_{m}$ for the Lubin-Tate tower with respect to $\wedge^{n} \mathbb{X}$, where $\wedge^{n}$ is the exterior product defined in Hed14. Then, as in [Wei, §2.5], we can construct the determinant map $\mathcal{M}_{m}^{(0)} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{m}^{(0)}$. On the other hand, by the classical Lubin-Tate theory, we have $\mathcal{N}_{m}^{(0)}=\operatorname{Spf} \mathcal{O}_{\breve{F}_{m}}$ where $\breve{F}_{m}$ denotes the completion of the $m$ th Lubin-Tate extension of $F^{\text {ur }}$. Choose an $\breve{F}$-embedding $\lim _{m} \breve{F}_{m} \hookrightarrow \widehat{F}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ and consider the base change $\mathcal{M}_{m, \mathcal{O}_{C}}^{(0)}=\mathcal{M}_{m}^{(0)} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{\breve{F}}} \mathcal{O}_{C}$ of $\mathcal{M}_{m}^{(0)}$ under $\mathcal{O}_{\breve{F}_{m}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{F}^{\text {ab }}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C}$. We put

$$
G^{1}=\left\{(g, d, \sigma) \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}(F) \times D^{\times} \times W_{F} \mid \operatorname{det}(g)^{-1} \operatorname{Nrd}(d) \operatorname{Art}_{F}^{-1}(\sigma)=1\right\}
$$

where Nrd: $D^{\times} \rightarrow F^{\times}$denotes the reduced norm. By using the action of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(F) \times$ $D^{\times}$and the Weil descent datum on $\left\{\mathcal{M}_{m}\right\}_{m}$, we can define an action of $G^{1}$ on the tower $\left\{\mathcal{M}_{m, \mathcal{O}_{C}}^{(0)}\right\}_{m}$. The action of $(g, d, \sigma) \in G^{1}$ makes the following diagram commute:


Passing to the rigid generic fiber, we obtain the rigid space $M_{m, C}^{(0)}=M_{m}^{(0)} \otimes_{\breve{F}_{m}} C$ over $C$. For an integer $m \geq 0$, put $K_{m}^{\prime}=\operatorname{SL}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right) \cap K_{m}$. It is easy to observe that the transition map $M_{m^{\prime}, C}^{(0)} \rightarrow M_{m, C}^{(0)}$ for $m^{\prime} \geq m \geq 0$ is finite étale Galois with Galois group $K_{m}^{\prime} / K_{m^{\prime}}^{\prime}$. Therefore, by the same method as in the case of $\left\{M_{K}\right\}_{K \subset \operatorname{GL}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)}$, we can extend $\left\{M_{m, C}^{(0)}\right\}_{m}$ to the projective system $\left\{M_{K, C}^{(0)}\right\}_{K \subset \operatorname{SL}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)}$, where $K$ runs through open subgroups of $\operatorname{SL}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$. The group $G^{1}$ acts on this tower.

We put $H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}=\lim _{K} H_{c}^{n-1}\left(M_{K, C}^{(0)}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$. The following proposition gives a connection between $H_{\mathrm{LT}}$ and $H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}$.

Proposition 4.4 Put $G=\operatorname{GL}_{n}(F) \times\left(D^{\times} / \varpi^{\mathbb{Z}}\right) \times W_{F}$. The group $G^{1}$ can be regarded as a cocompact closed normal subgroup of $G$. Moreover, the action of $G^{1}$ on $H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}$ is smooth, and we have a $G$-equivariant isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Ind}_{G^{1}}^{G} H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime} \cong H_{\mathrm{LT}} .
$$

Proof. First we will prove that $G^{1}$ is a cocompact closed normal subgroup of $G$. The natural homomorphism $G^{1} \rightarrow G$ is clearly injective. Further, its image is equal to the kernel of the homomorphism $\nu: G \rightarrow F^{\times} / \varpi^{n \mathbb{Z}} ;(g, \bar{d}, \sigma) \mapsto \overline{\operatorname{det}(g)^{-1} \operatorname{Nrd}(d) \operatorname{Art}_{F}^{-1}(\sigma)}$. Hence the image of $G^{1}$ is closed normal and cocompact in $G$.

Next we construct a $G^{1}$-equivariant surjection $H_{\mathrm{LT}} \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}$. Take an integer $m \geq 0$. We have $M_{m}^{(0)} \otimes_{\breve{F}} C=\coprod_{\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(\breve{F}_{m} / \breve{F}\right)} M_{m}^{(0)} \otimes_{\breve{F}_{m}, \sigma} C$. Therefore, the morphism $M_{m, C}^{(0)}=M_{m}^{(0)} \otimes_{\breve{F}_{m}} C \hookrightarrow M_{m}^{(0)} \otimes_{\breve{F}} C \hookrightarrow M_{m, w^{z}} \otimes_{\breve{F}} C$ induces a surjective map

$$
H_{c}^{n-1}\left(M_{m, \omega^{Z}} \otimes_{\breve{F}} C, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow H_{c}^{n-1}\left(M_{m}^{(0)} \otimes_{\breve{F}_{m}} C, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) .
$$

## Yoichi Mieda

By taking inductive limit, we obtain a surjective linear map $\phi: H_{\mathrm{LT}} \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}$. It is easy to see that this is $G^{1}$-equivariant. By Theorem 4.3, the action of $G^{1}$ on $H_{\mathrm{LT}}$ is smooth. Therefore $H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}$ is also a smooth representation of $G^{1}$.

By the Frobenius reciprocity, we obtain a desired $G$-equivariant homomorphism $\widetilde{\phi}: H_{\mathrm{LT}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Ind}_{G^{1}}^{G} H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}$. We shall prove that this map is an isomorphism. It suffices to show that $\widetilde{\phi}: H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{K_{m}} \rightarrow\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{G^{1}}^{G} H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}\right)^{K_{m}}$ is an isomorphism for each $m \geq 1$. We have

$$
H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{K_{m}}=H_{c}^{n-1}\left(M_{m, \varpi^{\mathbb{Z}}} \otimes_{\breve{F}} C, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)=\bigoplus_{0 \leq \delta<n} H_{c}^{n-1}\left(M_{m}^{(\delta)} \otimes_{\breve{F}} C, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)
$$

On the other hand, note that $\nu: G \rightarrow F^{\times} / \varpi^{n \mathbb{Z}}$ induces a bijection $G^{1} \backslash G / K_{m} \xrightarrow{\cong}$ $F^{\times} / \varpi^{n \mathbb{Z}}\left(1+\mathfrak{p}_{F}^{m}\right)$. In particular, it is a finite set with cardinality $r=n\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times}: 1+\mathfrak{p}_{F}^{m}\right)$. Since Nrd: $D^{\times} / \varpi^{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow F^{\times} / \varpi^{n \mathbb{Z}}$ is surjective, we can take a system of representatives $\left\{\left(1, d_{i}, 1\right)\right\}_{1 \leq i \leq r}$ of $G^{1} \backslash G / K_{m}$ such that $d_{1}=1$. We have an injection

$$
\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{G^{1}}^{G} H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}\right)^{K_{m}} \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq r}\left(H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}\right)^{K_{m}^{\prime}}=\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq r} H_{c}^{n-1}\left(M_{m, C}^{(0)}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) ; \quad f \mapsto\left(f\left(1, d_{i}, 1\right)\right)_{1 \leq i \leq r}
$$

Take an element $x \in H_{c}^{n-1}\left(M_{m, C}^{(0)}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \subset H_{c}^{n-1}\left(M_{m}^{(0)} \otimes_{\breve{F}} C, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \subset H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{K_{m}}$ and consider $\widetilde{\phi}(x) \in\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{G^{1}}^{G} H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}\right)^{K_{m}}$. Let $d$ be an element of $D^{\times}$and write $\bar{d}$ for the image of $d$ in $D^{\times} / \varpi^{\mathbb{Z}}$. If $\operatorname{Nrd}(d) \notin \varpi^{n \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times},(1, \bar{d}, 1) \operatorname{maps} M_{m, C}^{(0)}$ into $M_{m}^{(\delta)} \otimes_{\breve{F}} C$ with $\delta \neq 0$. If $\operatorname{Nrd}(d) \in \varpi^{n \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \backslash \varpi^{n \mathbb{Z}}\left(1+\mathfrak{p}_{F}^{m}\right),(1, \bar{d}, 1) \operatorname{maps} M_{m, C}^{(0)}$ to $M_{m}^{(0)} \otimes_{\breve{F}_{m}, \sigma} C$ with $\sigma \neq 1$. Therefore, unless $d \in \varpi^{n \mathbb{Z}}\left(1+\mathfrak{p}_{F}^{m}\right)$, we have $\phi((1, \bar{d}, 1) x)=0$. Hence we conclude that

$$
(\widetilde{\phi}(x))\left(1, d_{i}, 1\right)=\phi\left(\left(1, d_{i}, 1\right) x\right)= \begin{cases}x & i=1 \\ 0 & i \neq 1\end{cases}
$$

If we put $x_{j}=\left(1, d_{j}, 1\right)^{-1} x \in H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{K_{m}}$, we have

$$
\left(\widetilde{\phi}\left(x_{j}\right)\right)\left(1, d_{i}, 1\right)=\phi\left(\left(1, d_{i} d_{j}^{-1}, 1\right) x\right)= \begin{cases}x & i=j \\ 0 & i \neq j\end{cases}
$$

This means that the composite $H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{K_{m}} \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\phi}}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{G^{1}}^{G} H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}\right)^{K_{m}} \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq r} H_{c}^{n-1}\left(M_{m, C}^{(0)}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$ is surjective. Therefore we conclude that $\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{G^{1}}^{G} H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}\right)^{K_{m}} \cong \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq r} H_{c}^{n-1}\left(M_{m, C}^{(0)}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$, and $\widetilde{\phi}$ is surjective.

Now we have only to compare the dimensions of $H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{K_{m}}$ and $\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{G^{1}}^{G} H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}\right)^{K_{m}}$. As $M_{m}^{(\delta)} \cong M_{m}^{(0)}\left(\right.$ an isomorphism is given by an element $d \in D^{\times}$with $\left.v_{F}(\operatorname{Nrd}(d))=-\delta\right)$ and $M_{m}^{(0)} \otimes_{\breve{F}_{m}, \sigma} C \cong M_{m, C}^{(0)}$ for $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(\breve{F}_{m} / \breve{F}\right)$, we obtain

$$
\operatorname{dim} H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{K_{m}}=n \operatorname{dim} H_{c}^{n-1}\left(M_{m}^{(0)} \otimes_{\breve{F}} C, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)=n\left[\breve{F}_{m}: \breve{F}\right] \operatorname{dim} H_{c}^{n-1}\left(M_{m, C}^{(0)}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)
$$

On the other hand, we have $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{G^{1}}^{G} H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}\right)^{K_{m}}=r \operatorname{dim} H_{c}^{n-1}\left(M_{m, C}^{(0)}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$. Since $r=n\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times}: 1+\mathfrak{p}_{F}^{m}\right)=n\left[\breve{F}_{m}: \breve{F}\right]$, we conclude that $\operatorname{dim} H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{K_{m}}=\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{G^{1}}^{G} H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}\right)^{K_{m}}$.

Next we introduce the infinite level setting. Let $A_{\infty}$ be the $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$-adic completion of $\lim _{\rightarrow m} A_{m}$, where $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$ denotes the maximal ideal of $A_{0}$. Put $A_{m, \mathcal{O}_{C}}=A_{m} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{\breve{F} m}} \mathcal{O}_{C}$ and $A_{\infty, \mathcal{O}_{C}}=A_{\infty} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{F} \text { ab }}} \mathcal{O}_{C}$. We can observe that $A_{\infty, \mathcal{O}_{C}}$ is the $\mathfrak{m}_{0}$-adic completion of $\lim _{m} A_{m, \mathcal{O}_{C}}$. The group $G^{1}$ acts on $A_{\infty, \mathcal{O}_{C}}$. The action of $(g, d, \sigma) \in G^{1}$ preserves $\mathcal{O}_{C} \longrightarrow{ }^{m} A_{\infty, \mathcal{O}_{C}}$ and induces $\sigma$ on $\mathcal{O}_{C}$. It is known that the ring $A_{\infty, \mathcal{O}_{C}}$ with the $G^{1}$-action has very simple description; see Wei, §2] and [IT15a, §1].

We put $\mathcal{M}_{\infty, \mathcal{O}_{C}}^{(0)}=\operatorname{Spf} A_{\infty, \mathcal{O}_{C}}, t\left(\mathcal{M}_{\infty, \mathcal{O}_{C}}^{(0)}\right)=\operatorname{Spa}\left(A_{\infty, \mathcal{O}_{C}}, A_{\infty, \mathcal{O}_{C}}\right)$, and write $M_{\infty, C}^{(0)}$ for the generic fiber (i.e., the open subspace given by the condition $|\varpi(x)| \neq 0$ ) of $t\left(\mathcal{M}_{\infty, \mathcal{O}_{C}}^{(0)}\right)$. By Hub93] and Hub94, $t\left(\mathcal{M}_{\infty, \mathcal{O}_{C}}^{(0)}\right)$ and $M_{\infty, C}^{(0)}$ are topological spaces equipped with structure presheaves. In fact $M_{\infty, C}^{(0)}$ is known to be a perfectoid space (Weil, Lemma 2.10.1]) and its structure presheaf is a sheaf, but we do not need this result. Since $\mathcal{M}_{m, \mathcal{O}_{C}}^{(0)}=\operatorname{Spf} A_{m, \mathcal{O}_{C}}$ and $M_{m, C}^{(0)}$ is the generic fiber of $t\left(\mathcal{M}_{m, \mathcal{O}_{C}}^{(0)}\right)=$ $\operatorname{Spa}\left(A_{m, \mathcal{O}_{C}}, A_{m, \mathcal{O}_{C}}\right)$, we have a morphism $M_{\infty, C}^{(0)} \rightarrow M_{m, C}^{(0)}$ compatible with the transition maps of $\left\{M_{m, C}^{(0)}\right\}_{m}$. Hence we obtain a morphism $M_{\infty, C}^{(0)} \rightarrow\left\{M_{K, C}^{(0)}\right\}_{K \subset \operatorname{SL}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)}$, which is clearly $G^{1}$-equivariant.

The following proposition enables us to apply the results in Section 3 to an affinoid of $M_{\infty, C}^{(0)}$.

Proposition 4.5 Let $U$ be a rational subset of $t\left(\mathcal{M}_{\infty, \mathcal{O}_{C}}^{(0)}\right)$ contained in $M_{\infty, C}^{(0)}$, and $A$ a $\varpi$-adically complete flat $\mathcal{O}_{C}$-algebra such that $\mathcal{X}=\operatorname{Spf} A$ is a formal model of $U$; in other words, $(A[1 / \varpi], \widetilde{A})$ is isomorphic to $\left(\mathcal{O}(U), \mathcal{O}^{+}(U)\right)$ over $\left(C, \mathcal{O}_{C}\right)$ (recall that $\widetilde{A}$ denotes the integral closure of $A$ in $A[1 / \varpi])$. Assume that there exists an open subgroup $K_{U}$ of $\mathrm{SL}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$ which stabilizes $U$ and whose induced action on $U$ extends to $\mathcal{X}$; namely, the induced action of $K_{U}$ on $\mathcal{O}(U)=A[1 / \varpi]$ stabilizes $A$.
(i) There exist an integer $m \geq 0$ such that $K_{m}^{\prime} \subset K_{U}$ and an open affinoid $U_{m}$ in $M_{m, C}^{(0)}$ whose inverse image under $M_{\infty, C}^{(0)} \rightarrow M_{m, C}^{(0)}$ is equal to $U$. We put $K_{0}=K_{m}^{\prime}$ and $U_{K_{0}}=U_{m}$.
(ii) For an open normal subgroup $K$ of $K_{0}$, let $U_{K}=\operatorname{Spa}\left(B_{K}, B_{K}^{\circ}\right)$ denote the affinoid obtained as the inverse image of $U_{K_{0}}$ under $M_{K, C}^{(0)} \rightarrow M_{K_{0}, C}^{(0)}$. Then, ( $A,\left\{B_{K}\right\}_{K \triangleleft K_{0}}$ ) satisfies Assumption 3.1.
(iii) Assume that $g \in G^{1}$ satisfies $U g=U$ and extends to an automorphism of $\mathcal{X}$. Then, the induced automorphism of $A$ is of finite level in the sense of Definition 3.19

Proof. We write $U=R\left(\frac{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{r}}{s}\right)$, where $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{r}, s$ are elements of $A_{\infty, \mathcal{O}_{C}}$ such that $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{r}$ generate an open ideal of $A_{\infty, \mathcal{O}_{C}}$. As $A_{\infty, \mathcal{O}_{C}}$ is the completion of $\underset{\rightarrow}{\lim } A_{m, \mathcal{O}_{C}}$ Hub93, Lemma 3.10] tells us that we may assume that $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{r}, s \in$ $\overrightarrow{A_{m, \mathcal{O}_{C}}}$ for some $m \geq 0$. We take such $m$ so that $K_{m}^{\prime} \subset K_{U}$. Since $|\varpi(x)| \neq 0$ for $x \in U$, by Hub93, Lemma 3.11] there exists an integer $j \geq 0$ such that $|a(x)|<|\varpi(x)|$ for every $a \in \mathfrak{m}_{0}^{j} A_{\infty, \mathcal{O}_{C}}$ and $x \in U$. Take generators $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{l} \in \mathfrak{m}_{0}^{j}$ and consider the rational subset $U_{m}=R\left(\frac{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{r}}{s}, \frac{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{l}}{\omega}\right)$ of $t\left(\mathcal{M}_{m, \mathcal{O}_{C}}^{(0)}\right)$. Then, it is
contained in the generic fiber $M_{m, C}^{(0)}$ and its inverse image under $M_{\infty, C}^{(0)} \rightarrow M_{m, C}^{(0)}$ is equal to $U$. This proves (i).

Next we prove (ii). Since $K_{0}=K_{m}^{\prime} \subset K_{U}, A$ is equipped with an action of $K_{0}$. The conditions (a) and (b) in Assumption 3.1 follow from the corresponding properties for $\left\{M_{K, C}^{(0)}\right\}_{K \triangleleft K_{0}}$. Consider the condition (c). For $m^{\prime} \geq m$, we simply write $U_{m^{\prime}}$ and $B_{m^{\prime}}$ for $U_{K_{m^{\prime}}^{\prime}}$ and $B_{K_{m^{\prime}}^{\prime}}$, respectively. As the map $t\left(\mathcal{M}_{\infty, \mathcal{O}_{C}}^{(0)}\right) \rightarrow t\left(\mathcal{M}_{m^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{C}}^{(0)}\right)$ carries $U$ to $U_{m^{\prime}}$, we have a continuous homomorphism of affinoid rings $\left(B_{m^{\prime}}, B_{m^{\prime}}^{\circ}\right) \rightarrow$ $\left(\mathcal{O}(U), \mathcal{O}^{+}(U)\right) \cong(A[1 / \varpi], \widetilde{A})$ (see Hub94, Proposition 1.3 (i)]). Therefore we obtain a homomorphism $\left\{\left(B_{m^{\prime}}, B_{m^{\prime}}^{\circ}\right)\right\}_{m^{\prime} \geq m} \rightarrow(A[1 / \varpi], \widetilde{A})$, which is clearly $K_{0^{-}}$ equivariant. This extends to a $K_{0}$-equivariant homomorphism $\left\{\left(B_{K}, B_{K}^{\circ}\right)\right\}_{K \triangleleft K_{0}} \rightarrow$ $(A[1 / \varpi], \widetilde{A})$. By Hub94, Lemma 1.5 (ii)], the induced map

$$
\operatorname{Spa}(A[1 / \varpi], \widetilde{A}) \rightarrow \varliminf_{K<K_{0}} \operatorname{Spa}\left(B_{K}, B_{K}^{\circ}\right)=\lim _{m^{\prime} \geq m} \operatorname{Spa}\left(B_{m^{\prime}}, B_{m^{\prime}}^{\circ}\right)
$$

is identified with $U \rightarrow \varliminf_{K \triangleleft K_{0}} U_{K}=\lim _{m^{\prime} \geq m} U_{m^{\prime}}$. On the other hand, by SW13, Proposition 2.4.2], the continuous map between underlying topological spaces

$$
t\left(\mathcal{M}_{\infty, \mathcal{O}_{C}}^{(0)}\right) \rightarrow \underset{m^{\prime}}{\lim } t\left(\mathcal{M}_{m^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{C}}^{(0)}\right)
$$

is a homeomorphism. Therefore $U \rightarrow \varliminf_{\varliminf_{m^{\prime}>m}} U_{m^{\prime}}$ is also a homeomorphism. The density of the image of $\lim _{K} B_{K}=\lim _{m} B_{m} \rightarrow A[1 / \varpi]$ follows from the density of the image of $\lim _{m} A_{m, \mathcal{O}_{C}} \rightarrow A_{\infty, \mathcal{O}_{C}}$; see the proof of [Hub96, Remark 2.4.3 ii)]. This completes the proof of (ii).

We prove (iii). Clearly $g$ preserves $\mathcal{O}_{C} \subset A$. By the definition of the action of $G^{1}$ on $\left\{M_{K, C}^{(0)}\right\}_{K \subset \mathrm{SL}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)}$, for an open normal subgroup $K$ of $K_{0}$ and $g \in G^{1}$, there exists an open normal subgroup $K_{g}$ of $K_{0}$ such that $g$ gives a morphism $M_{K_{g}, C}^{(0)} \rightarrow M_{K, C}^{(0)}$. As the maps $U \rightarrow U_{K}$ and $U \rightarrow U_{K_{g}}$ are surjective (see Lemma 3.3), $U_{K_{g}}$ is mapped to $U_{K}$ by $g$. Therefore a continuous homomorphism $B_{K} \rightarrow B_{K_{g}}$ is induced. Passing to the inductive limit, we conclude that the action of $g$ on $A[1 / \varpi]$ preserves the image of $\lim _{K \triangleleft K_{0}} B_{K} \rightarrow A[1 / \varpi]$. Since we are assuming that $g$ preserves $A \subset A[1 / \varpi]$, this means that the action of $g$ is of finite level.

Corollary 4.6 Let $U$ and $\mathcal{X}=\operatorname{Spf} A$ be as in Proposition 4.5, Let $J$ be a subgroup of $G^{1}$ whose action on $M_{\infty, C}^{(0)}$ stabilizes $U$ and extends to an action on $\mathcal{X}$. Assume that there exists an affine scheme $Y$ of finite type over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$ endowed with a $J$-action such that $\mathcal{X}_{s}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{C}} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)$ is isomorphic to the perfection of $Y$ as schemes over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$ with J-actions.
(i) We have a $J$-equivariant homomorphism

$$
\mathrm{sp}^{*}: H_{c}^{n-1}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow \underset{K}{\lim } H_{c}^{n-1}\left(M_{K, C}^{(0)}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)=H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}
$$

(ii) Assume moreover that $Y$ is pure-dimensional and smooth over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$. Let $V$ be a subspace of $H_{c}^{n-1}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$ such that the composite $V \hookrightarrow H_{c}^{n-1}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow$ $H^{n-1}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$ is injective. Then, the composite $V \hookrightarrow H_{c}^{n-1}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{sp}^{*}} H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}$ is also injective.
(iii) In the situation of (ii), suppose that $V$ is stable under $J$. Let $J^{\prime}$ be a subgroup of $G^{1}$ which contains $J$ as a finite index subgroup. Assume that $U$ and $U g$ are disjoint for every $g \in J^{\prime} \backslash J$. Then, the composite

$$
\operatorname{Ind}_{J}^{J^{\prime}} V \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Ind}_{J}^{J^{\prime}} H_{c}^{n-1}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}
$$

is injective, where the second arrow denotes the $J^{\prime}$-homomorphism induced from sp* by the Frobenius reciprocity.

Proof. Let $K_{0},\left\{U_{K}\right\}_{K_{0} \triangleleft K}$ and $\left\{B_{K}\right\}_{K_{0} \triangleleft K}$ be as in Proposition 4.5, Write $Y=$ Spec $R$. By Proposition 4.5 (ii), $\left(A,\left\{B_{K}\right\}_{K \triangleleft K_{0}}, R\right)$ satisfies Assumptions 3.1 and 3.2. Therefore, by Definition 3.17, we have a specialization map

$$
\mathrm{sp}^{*}: H_{c}^{n-1}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow \underset{K}{\lim } H_{c}^{n-1}\left(U_{K}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) .
$$

By Propositions 3.21 and 4.5 (iii), this map is $J$-equivariant. Composing with the natural map $\lim _{\longrightarrow} H_{c}^{n-1}\left(U_{K}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow{\underset{\rightarrow}{l}}_{\lim } H_{c}^{n-1}\left(M_{K, C}^{(0)}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$, we obtain a desired $J$ equivariant map. The second assertion is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.22,

Let us prove (iii). Take a system of representatives $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}$ of $J \backslash J^{\prime}$ such that $g_{1}=1$. Put $U_{i}=U g_{i}$. Then, $\mathcal{X}=\operatorname{Spf} A$ gives a formal model of $U_{i}$ by the isomorphism $(A[1 / \varpi], \widetilde{A}) \cong\left(\mathcal{O}(U), \mathcal{O}^{+}(U)\right) \xrightarrow[\cong]{g_{i}^{-1}}\left(\mathcal{O}\left(U_{i}\right), \mathcal{O}^{+}\left(U_{i}\right)\right)$. We write $\mathcal{X}_{i}$ for this formal model. Then, the pair $\left(U_{i}, \mathcal{X}_{i}\right)$ satisfies the condition in Proposition 4.5, indeed, we can take $K_{U_{i}}$ as $g_{i}^{-1} K_{U} g_{i}$. Let $m \geq 0$ be an integer which satisfies the condition in Proposition 4.5 (i) for every $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then, by Proposition 4.5 (ii), we obtain towers $\left\{U_{i, K}\right\}_{K \triangleleft K_{0}}$. Put $\mathcal{X}^{\prime}=\coprod_{1 \leq i \leq r} \mathcal{X}_{i}$ and $U_{K}^{\prime}=\coprod_{1 \leq i \leq r} U_{i, K}^{\prime}$. The reduction $\mathcal{X}_{s}^{\prime}$ is identified with the perfection of $Y^{\prime}=\coprod_{1 \leq i \leq r} Y_{i}$, where $Y_{i}$ is a copy of $Y$. By assumption, the natural morphism $U_{K}^{\prime} \rightarrow M_{K, C}^{(\overline{0})}$ is an open immersion. Therefore, by Definition 3.17 we have homomorphisms

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq r} H_{c}^{n-1}\left(Y_{i}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)=H_{c}^{n-1}\left(Y^{\prime}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{sp}^{*}} \underset{\underset{K}{ }}{\underset{\mathrm{lim}}{\longrightarrow}} H_{c}^{n-1}\left(U_{K}^{\prime}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \\
& \rightarrow \underset{\underset{\mathrm{K}}{\mathrm{lim}}}{\lim _{c}^{n-1}}\left(M_{K, C}^{(0)}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)=H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime},
\end{aligned}
$$

whose composite is denoted by sp ${ }^{\prime *}$. The restriction of $\mathrm{sp}^{\prime *}$ to $H_{c}^{n-1}\left(Y_{1}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$ coincides with sp* in (i). Furthermore, by Theorem 3.22, the composite

$$
\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq r} V \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq r} H_{c}^{n-1}\left(Y_{i}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{sp}^{* *}} H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}
$$
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is injective.
On the other hand, we can define an action of $J^{\prime}$ on $\mathcal{X}^{\prime}$ as follows. Take $h \in J^{\prime}$. For $1 \leq i \leq r$, let $j_{i}$ be the unique integer such that $g_{i} h \in J g_{j_{i}}$. The map $i \mapsto j_{i}$ is a permutation of $\{1, \ldots, r\}$. Define $h: \mathcal{X}_{i} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{j_{i}}$ as

$$
\mathcal{X}_{i}=\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{g_{i} h g_{j_{i}}^{-1}} \mathcal{X}=\mathcal{X}_{j_{i}},
$$

and $h: \mathcal{X}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}^{\prime}$ as the disjoint union of them. Similarly, we can define an action of $J^{\prime}$ on $Y^{\prime}$. Since $\bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq r} U_{i}$ is stable under $J^{\prime}$, the action of $J^{\prime}$ on $\left\{M_{K, C}^{(0)}\right\}_{K}$ induces that on $\left\{U_{K}^{\prime}\right\}_{K}$, and it is compatible with the action of $J^{\prime}$ on $\mathcal{X}^{\prime}$ (see the proof of Proposition 4.5 (iii)). Therefore, the action of $J^{\prime}$ on $\mathcal{X}^{\prime}$ is of finite level and the homomorphism sp**: $H_{c}^{n-1}\left(Y^{\prime}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}$ is $J^{\prime}$-equivariant.

It is immediate to see that the $J^{\prime}$-equivariant map

$$
\operatorname{Ind}_{J}^{J^{\prime}} H_{c}^{n-1}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow H_{c}^{n-1}\left(Y^{\prime}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)
$$

induced from $H_{c}^{n-1}\left(Y_{1}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \hookrightarrow H_{c}^{n-1}\left(Y^{\prime}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$ is an isomorphism. Under this isomorphism, $\operatorname{Ind}_{J}^{J^{J}} V \subset \operatorname{Ind}_{J}^{J^{\prime}} H_{c}^{n-1}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$ is mapped to the subspace $\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq r} V \subset$ $\bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq r} H_{c}^{n-1}\left(Y_{i}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)=H_{c}^{n-1}\left(Y^{\prime}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$. The composite

$$
\operatorname{Ind}_{J}^{J^{\prime}} H_{c}^{n-1}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \cong H_{c}^{n-1}\left(Y^{\prime}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{sp}^{\prime *}} H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}
$$

is clearly the $J^{\prime}$-homomorphism induced from sp* by the Frobenius reciprocity. Therefore, we conclude that the composite

$$
\operatorname{Ind}_{J}^{J^{\prime}} V \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Ind}_{J}^{J^{\prime}} H_{c}^{n-1}\left(Y, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}
$$

is injective.
We end this section by giving representation-theoretic lemmas used in the next two sections.

Lemma 4.7 Let $G$ be a totally disconnected locally compact group and $J$ an open subgroup of $G$.
(i) For a smooth representation $\pi$ of $J$, there exists a $J$-equivariant injection $\pi \hookrightarrow$ $\operatorname{Ind}_{J}^{G} \pi$.
(ii) Let $N$ be a closed subgroup of $G$ satisfying $N J=J N$, and $\rho$ a smooth representation of $N$. Then, we have a $J$-equivariant isomorphism $\left.\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{N}^{N J} \rho\right)\right|_{J} \cong$ $\operatorname{Ind}_{N \cap J}^{J}\left(\left.\rho\right|_{N \cap J}\right)$ (note that $N J$ is an open subgroup of $G$ ).

Proof. We write $V$ for the representation space of $\pi$. For $v \in V$, define the map $\phi_{v}: G \rightarrow V$ as follows:

$$
\phi_{v}(g)= \begin{cases}g v & g \in J, \\ 0 & g \notin J .\end{cases}
$$

It is immediate to see that $\phi_{v} \in \operatorname{Ind}_{J}^{G} V$ and $v \mapsto \phi_{v}$ gives a $J$-equivariant injection $\pi \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Ind}_{J}^{G} \pi$. This concludes the proof of (i).

Next consider (ii). We denote by algInd the algebraic induction functor. Then, we can easily check that

$$
\left.\left(\operatorname{alg} \operatorname{Ind}_{N}^{N J} \rho\right)\right|_{J} \cong{\operatorname{alg} \operatorname{Ind}_{N \cap J}^{J}}_{J}\left(\left.\rho\right|_{N \cap J}\right) .
$$

Indeed, the map $\operatorname{alg} \operatorname{Ind}_{N}^{N J} \rho \rightarrow \operatorname{algInd}_{N \cap J}^{J} \rho$ is given by $\left.\phi \mapsto \phi\right|_{J}$, and the map $\operatorname{alg} \operatorname{Ind}_{N \cap J}^{J} \rho \rightarrow \operatorname{algInd}_{N}^{N J} \rho$ by $\psi \mapsto \psi^{\prime}$, where $\psi^{\prime}(n g)=n \psi(g)$ for $n \in N$ and $g \in J$. These maps are inverse to each other. By taking the $J$-smooth part $(-)^{J \text {-sm }}$, we have

$$
\left(\left.\left(\operatorname{algInd}_{N}^{N J} \rho\right)\right|_{J}\right)^{J-\mathrm{sm}} \cong \operatorname{Ind}_{N \cap J}^{J}\left(\left.\rho\right|_{N \cap J}\right) .
$$

Since $J$ is an open subgroup of $N J$, for any representation $W$ of $N J$, we have $W^{J-\mathrm{sm}}=W^{N J-\mathrm{sm}}$. Therefore, we have $\left(\operatorname{alg} \operatorname{Ind}{ }_{N}^{N J} \rho\right)^{J-\mathrm{sm}}=\operatorname{Ind}_{N}^{N J} \rho$. This concludes the proof of (ii).

Lemma 4.8 Let $G$ and $H$ be totally disconnected locally compact groups and $\Delta$ a closed subgroup of $G \times H$. Assume that the composite $\Delta \hookrightarrow G \times H \rightarrow G$ is surjective. Let $(\pi, V)$ (resp. $(\rho, W))$ be a smooth representation of $\Delta$ (resp. $H$ ).
(i) The group $G$ acts on the space $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta \cap H}(\rho, \pi)$ as follows: for $g \in G$ and $\phi \in$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta \cap H}(\rho, \pi)$,

$$
(g \phi)(w)=\left(g, h_{g}\right) \phi\left(h_{g}^{-1} w\right) \quad(w \in W) .
$$

Here $h_{g}$ denotes an element of $H$ such that $\left(g, h_{g}\right) \in \Delta$, whose existence follows from the assumption on $\Delta$. Note that the right hand side is independent of the choice of $h_{g}$.
(ii) If $\rho$ is finitely generated as a representation of $H$, we have a natural isomorphism $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta \cap H}(\rho, \pi)^{G-\mathrm{sm}} \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{H}\left(\rho, \operatorname{Ind}_{\Delta}^{G \times H} \pi\right)$ as representations of $G$, where $(-)^{G-\mathrm{sm}}$ denotes the $G$-smooth part.
(iii) Assume that $\rho$ is finitely generated as a representation of $H$. For a closed subgroup $\Delta^{\prime}$ of $G \times H$ containing $\Delta$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta \cap H}(\rho, \pi)^{G-\mathrm{sm}} \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta^{\prime} \cap H}\left(\rho, \operatorname{Ind}_{\Delta}^{\Delta^{\prime}} \pi\right)^{G-\mathrm{sm}}
$$

as representations of $G$.
Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 4.7 (ii), we denote by algInd the algebraic induction functor. First we prove the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta \cap H}(\rho, \pi) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{H}\left(\rho,{\operatorname{alg} \operatorname{Ind}_{\Delta}^{G \times H}}^{G}\right) . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the surjectivity of $\Delta \hookrightarrow G \times H \rightarrow G$, we have $\Delta H=H \Delta=G \times H$. Therefore, as in the proof of Lemma 4.7 (ii), we can prove that

$$
\left.\left(\operatorname{alg}^{\operatorname{Ind}}{ }_{\Delta}^{G \times H} \pi\right)\right|_{H} \cong{\operatorname{alg} \operatorname{Ind}_{\Delta \cap H}^{H}}^{H}\left(\left.\pi\right|_{\Delta \cap H}\right)
$$

Therefore, by the Frobenius reciprocity we have

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta \cap H}(\rho, \pi) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{H}\left(\rho, \operatorname{alg} \operatorname{Ind}_{\Delta \cap H}^{H} \pi\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{H}\left(\rho, \operatorname{alg} \operatorname{Ind}_{\Delta}^{G \times H} \pi\right) .
$$

This concludes the proof of $(*)$. Under the isomorphism $(*), \phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta \cap H}(\rho, \pi)$ is mapped to $\Phi: W \rightarrow \operatorname{algInd}_{\Delta}^{G \times H} V$ as follows:

$$
\Phi(w)(g, h)=\left(g, h_{g}\right) \phi\left(h_{g}^{-1} h w\right) \quad(w \in W) .
$$

The inverse map $\Phi \mapsto \phi$ is given by $\phi(w)=\Phi(w)(1,1)(w \in W)$.
Note that $G$ acts on $\operatorname{Hom}_{H}\left(\rho, \operatorname{algInd}_{\Delta}^{G \times H} \pi\right)$. Therefore, by using the isomorphism $(*)$, we can define an action of $G$ on $\operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta \cap H}(\rho, \pi)$. Let us describe it explicitly. For $g \in G, w \in W$ and $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta \cap H}(\rho, \pi)$ corresponding to $\Phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{H}\left(\rho, \operatorname{algInd}_{\Delta}^{G \times H} \pi\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(g \phi)(w) & =((g \Phi)(w))(1,1)=((g, 1) \Phi(w))(1,1)=\left(\left(g, h_{g}\right)\left(1, h_{g}^{-1}\right) \Phi(w)\right)(1,1) \\
& =\left(\left(g, h_{g}\right) \Phi\left(h_{g}^{-1} w\right)\right)(1,1)=\Phi\left(h_{g}^{-1} w\right)\left(g, h_{g}\right)=\left(g, h_{g}\right)\left(\Phi\left(h_{g}^{-1} w\right)(1,1)\right) \\
& =\left(g, h_{g}\right) \phi\left(h_{g}^{-1} w\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This action coincides with that in (i). This concludes the proof of (i).
Next we prove (ii). By (*) and the smoothness of $\rho$, we have an isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta \cap H}(\rho, \pi) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{H}\left(\rho,\left(\operatorname{alg} \operatorname{Ind}_{\Delta}^{G \times H} \pi\right)^{H-\mathrm{sm}}\right)
$$

which is $G$-equivariant by the proof of (i). By taking the $G$-smooth parts, we have

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta \cap H}(\rho, \pi)^{G-\mathrm{sm}} \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{H}\left(\rho,\left(\operatorname{algInd}_{\Delta}^{G \times H} \pi\right)^{H-\mathrm{sm}}\right)^{G-\mathrm{sm}}
$$

Since $\operatorname{Ind}_{\Delta}^{G \times H} \pi=\left(\operatorname{algInd}_{\Delta}^{G \times H} \pi\right)^{(G \times H)-\text { sm }}=\left(\left(\operatorname{algInd} \Delta_{\Delta}^{G \times H} \pi\right)^{H-\mathrm{sm}}\right)^{G-\mathrm{sm}}$, it suffices to show the following:
for a $G \times H$-representation $U$, we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{H}(\rho, U)^{G-\mathrm{sm}}=\operatorname{Hom}_{H}\left(\rho, U^{G \text {-sm }}\right)$.
Clearly we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{H}(\rho, U)^{G-\mathrm{sm}} \subset \operatorname{Hom}_{H}\left(\rho, U^{G-\mathrm{sm}}\right)$. Take $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}_{H}\left(\rho, U^{G-\mathrm{sm}}\right)$. Since $\rho$ is finitely generated, we can find a finite system of generators $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in$ $W$. There exists an open subgroup $K$ of $G$ which stabilizes $\phi\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, \phi\left(x_{n}\right) \in$ $U^{G-s m}$. Then, for every $x \in W, \phi(x)$ is fixed by $K$. In other words, $\phi$ lies in $\operatorname{Hom}_{H}(\rho, U)^{K}$. This proves that $\operatorname{Hom}_{H}\left(\rho, U^{G-\mathrm{sm}}\right) \subset \operatorname{Hom}_{H}(\rho, U)^{G-\mathrm{sm}}$. Now the proof of (ii) is complete.

For (iii), just note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta \cap H}(\rho, \pi)^{G-\mathrm{sm}} & \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{H}\left(\rho, \operatorname{Ind}_{\Delta}^{G \times H} \pi\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{H}\left(\rho, \operatorname{Ind}_{\Delta^{\prime}}^{G \times H} \operatorname{Ind}_{\Delta}^{\Delta^{\prime}} \pi\right) \\
& \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta^{\prime} \cap H}\left(\rho, \operatorname{Ind}_{\Delta}^{\Delta^{\prime}} \pi\right)^{G-\mathrm{sm}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 5 Example I: depth 0 supercuspidal representations

In this section, we determine $\operatorname{rec}_{F}(\pi)$ and $\mathrm{JL}(\pi)$ for a depth 0 supercuspidal representation $\pi$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)$. First, we will introduce some notation. Let $\theta: \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ be a character. We assume that $\theta$ is regular, namely, it does not factor through the norm map $\mathrm{Nr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}} / \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}}: \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}^{\times}$to any subfield $\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}} \subsetneq \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$. It is well-known that we can attach to $\theta$ an irreducible cuspidal representation $R_{\theta}$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. Here we recall a construction of $R_{\theta}$ by the Deligne-Lusztig theory [DL76]. Let $\mathrm{DL}_{n}$ be the affine algebraic variety over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$ defined by the equation

$$
\left(\operatorname{det}\left(x_{i}^{q-1}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}\right)^{q-1}=(-1)^{n-1} .
$$

The group $\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ naturally acts on $\mathrm{DL}_{n}$ on the right. On the other hand, the group $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{\times}$acts on $\mathrm{DL}_{n}$ (on the right) by $x_{i} \mapsto \zeta x_{i}\left(\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{\times}\right)$. The representation $R_{\theta}$ is given as the $\theta$-isotypic part $H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{DL}_{n}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\theta}$ of the $(n-1)$ th compactly supported cohomology $H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{DL}_{n}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$ of $\mathrm{DL}_{n}$. The central character of $R_{\theta}$ equals $\left.\theta\right|_{\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}}([\mathrm{DL76}$, Corollary 1.22]).

We denote by $F_{n}$ the degree $n$ unramified extension of $F$. Let $\chi: F_{n}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$be a tame character, namely, a character which is trivial on $1+\varpi \mathcal{O}_{F_{n}}$. Its restriction to $\mathcal{O}_{F_{n}}^{\times}$factors as $\mathcal{O}_{F_{n}}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{\times} \xrightarrow{\bar{\chi}} \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. We say that $\chi$ is regular if $\bar{\chi}$ is regular.

Definition 5.1 For a regular tame character $\chi: F_{n}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, let $\bar{\pi}_{\chi}$ be the representation of $F^{\times} \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$ such that
$-\left.\bar{\pi}_{\chi}\right|_{\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)}$ is the inflation of $R_{\bar{\chi}}$ by $\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$, and

- $F^{\times}$acts on $\bar{\pi}_{\chi}$ by $\left.\chi\right|_{F^{\times}}$.

We put $\pi_{\chi}=\mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{F^{\times} \operatorname{GL}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)}^{\mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)} \bar{\pi}_{\chi}$, which is known to be an irreducible supercuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)$.

From a regular tame character $\chi$, we can also construct a representation of $D^{\times}$. Recall that the quotient of $\mathcal{O}_{D}$ by its Jacobson radical is equal to $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$. Therefore we have a surjection $\mathcal{O}_{D}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{\times}$.

Definition 5.2 For a regular tame character $\chi: F_{n}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, let $\bar{\rho}_{\chi}$ be the character of $F^{\times} \mathcal{O}_{D}^{\times}$such that
$-\left.\bar{\rho}_{\chi}\right|_{\mathcal{O}_{D}^{\times}}$is the composite $\mathcal{O}_{D}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}} \xrightarrow{\bar{\chi}} \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, and
$-\left.\bar{\rho}_{\chi}\right|_{F^{\times}}=\left.\chi\right|_{F^{\times}}$.
We put $\rho_{\chi}=\mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{F^{\times}}^{D^{\times}} \mathcal{O}_{D}^{\times} \bar{\rho}_{\chi}$, which is known to be an irreducible smooth representation of $D^{\times}$.

The goal of this section is the following:

Theorem 5.3 For a regular tame character $\chi: F_{n}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{rec}_{F}\left(\pi_{\chi}\right)=\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{F_{n}}}^{W_{F}} \chi \delta^{n-1}, \quad \mathrm{JL}\left(\pi_{\chi}\right)=\rho_{\chi},
$$

where $\delta: F_{n}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times} ; a \mapsto(-1)^{v_{F_{n}}}(a)$ is the unramified quadratic character. As usual, we regard characters of $F_{n}^{\times}$as those of $W_{F_{n}}$ by the isomorphism $\operatorname{Art}_{F_{n}}: F_{n}^{\times} \xrightarrow{\cong} W_{F_{n}}^{\mathrm{ab}}$.

Remark 5.4 (i) The identity $\operatorname{rec}_{F}\left(\pi_{\chi}\right)=\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{F_{n}}}^{W_{F}} \chi \delta^{n-1}$ was proved in Hen92]. The proof in [Hen92] requires case-by-case argument when $(n, q)$ is either of $(2,2),(2,3),(4,2),(6,2)$. Our proof works for any case uniformly.
(ii) The identity $\operatorname{rec}_{F}\left(\pi_{\chi}\right)=\rho_{\chi}$ was obtained in [SZ05, Theorem 3]; see also [BH11, Theorem 1].

Remark 5.5 Let $\xi: F^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$be an unramified character. For a tame character $\chi: F_{n}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, put $\chi_{\xi}=\chi\left(\xi \circ \operatorname{Nr}_{F_{n} / F}\right)$. Then, $\chi_{\xi}$ is also tame and $\overline{\chi_{\xi}}=\bar{\chi}$. Therefore, $\chi$ is regular if and only if $\chi_{\xi}$ is regular. Moreover, we have $\bar{\pi}_{\chi \xi}=\bar{\pi}_{\chi} \otimes(\xi \circ$ det $)$ and

$$
\pi_{\chi} \otimes(\xi \circ \operatorname{det})=\mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{F \times \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)}^{\operatorname{GL}_{n}(F)}\left(\bar{\pi}_{\chi} \otimes(\xi \circ \operatorname{det})\right)=\pi_{\chi \xi} .
$$

Similarly we have $\rho_{\chi} \otimes(\xi \circ \mathrm{Nrd})=\rho_{\chi \xi}$ and $\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{F_{n}}}^{W_{F}} \chi\right) \otimes \xi=\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{F_{n}}}^{W_{F}} \chi_{\xi}$. As $\operatorname{rec}_{F}$ and JL are compatible with character twist, Theorem 5.3 for $\chi$ is equivalent to that for $\chi_{\xi}$.

If we take $\xi$ such that $\xi(\varpi)^{n}=\chi(\varpi)^{-1}$, we have $\chi_{\xi}(\varpi)=1$. Therefore, to prove Theorem 5.3 we may assume that $\chi(\varpi)=1$. In this case the central characters of $\pi_{\chi}$ and $\rho_{\chi}$ are trivial on $\varpi^{\mathbb{Z}} \subset F^{\times}$.

Later, for a regular character $\theta: \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, we also write $\theta$ for the regular tame character $F_{n}^{\times}=\varpi^{\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathcal{O}_{F_{n}}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{F_{n}}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}} \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. It suffices to show that $\operatorname{rec}_{F}\left(\pi_{\theta}\right)=\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{F_{n}}}^{W_{F}} \theta \delta^{n-1}$ and $\operatorname{JL}\left(\pi_{\theta}\right)=\rho_{\theta}$.

For $b \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$ with $b^{q-1}=(-1)^{n-1}$, let $Y_{b}$ be the affine algebraic variety over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$ defined by the equation $\operatorname{det}\left(x_{i}^{q^{j-1}}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}=b$. Clearly we have $\mathrm{DL}_{n}=\coprod_{b^{q-1}=(-1)^{n-1}} Y_{b}$. In the following, we construct an affinoid in $M_{\infty, C}^{(0)}$ and its formal model whose reduction is isomorphic to $Y_{b}$ for some $b \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$ with $b^{q-1}=(-1)^{n-1}$. Since it is well-known to specialists, we omit the detail.

Let $\widetilde{\mathbb{X}}$ be the formal $\mathcal{O}_{F}$-module over $\mathcal{O}_{F}$ whose logarithm is $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{T^{q^{i n}}}{w^{i}}$ (it is called the standard formal $\mathcal{O}_{F}$-module in [BW16, 2.3]). In the following, take $\mathbb{X}$ as $\widetilde{\mathbb{X}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F}} \overline{\bar{F}}_{q}$. Let $y=\left(\xi^{q^{-m}}\right)_{m \geq 0} \in T \widetilde{\mathbb{X}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}\right), \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n} \in \mathcal{O}_{F_{n}}$ and $t=\left(\tau^{q^{-m}}\right)_{m \geq 0}$ be as in BW16, 3.7]. Since $\widetilde{\mathbb{X}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{F}} \mathcal{O}_{C}$ has CM by $\mathcal{O}_{F_{n}}$, we have embeddings $F_{n} \hookrightarrow M_{n}(F)$ and $F_{n} \hookrightarrow D$, by which we regard $F_{n}$ as subfields of $M_{n}(F)$ and $D$ (see BW16, 3.1]).

By [Wei, 2.9.2], we have

$$
A_{\infty, \mathcal{O}_{C}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{C}\left[\left[X_{1}^{q^{-\infty}}, \ldots, X_{n}^{q^{-\infty}}\right]\right] /\left(\delta\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)^{q^{-m}}-\tau^{q^{-m}} \mid m \geq 0\right)^{-}
$$

where $(-)^{-}$denotes the closure. For the definition of $\delta^{q^{-m}}$, see [IT15a, §1.1].
Let $U$ be the subset of $M_{\infty, C}^{(0)}$ defined by $\left|X_{i}\right| \leq|\varpi|^{\frac{1}{q^{n}-1}}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$. It is clearly a rational subset of $t\left(\mathcal{M}_{\infty, \mathcal{O}_{C}}^{(0)}\right)$. Recall that $|\xi|=|\varpi|^{\frac{1}{q^{n}-1}}([$ BW16, 3.7]), and put $x_{i}^{q^{-m}}=X_{i}^{q^{-m}} / \xi^{q^{-m}}$. Then,

$$
\delta^{\prime}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{x}_{n}\right)^{q^{-m}}=\left(\xi^{q^{-m}}\right)^{-\left(1+q+\cdots+q^{n-1}\right)} \delta\left(\boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{x}_{n}\right)^{q^{-m}}
$$

lies in $\mathcal{O}_{C}\left\langle x_{1}^{q^{-\infty}}, \ldots, x_{n}^{q^{-\infty}}\right\rangle$, where $\boldsymbol{x}_{i}$ (resp. $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ ) denotes the system ( $x_{i}^{q^{-m}}$ ) (resp. $\left.\left(\xi^{q^{-m}}\right)\right)$. On the other hand, since $|\tau|=|\varpi|^{\frac{1}{q-1}}=|\xi|^{1+q+\cdots+q^{n-1}}$,

$$
\tau^{\prime q^{-m}}=\left(\xi^{q^{-m}}\right)^{-\left(1+q+\cdots+q^{n-1}\right)} \tau^{q^{-m}}
$$

lies in $\mathcal{O}_{C}^{\times}$. Put

$$
\mathcal{X}=\operatorname{Spf} \mathcal{O}_{C}\left\langle x_{1}^{q^{-\infty}}, \ldots, x_{n}^{q^{-\infty}}\right\rangle /\left(\delta^{\prime}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{q^{-m}}-\tau^{\prime q^{-m}} \mid m \geq 0\right)^{-}
$$

As in IT15a, Theorem 2.5], we can check that $\mathcal{X}$ gives a formal model of $U$.
Proposition 5.6 The formal scheme $\mathcal{X}$ is flat over $\mathcal{O}_{C}$ and its reduction $\mathcal{X}_{s}$ is isomorphic to the perfection of $Y_{b}$ for some $b \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$ with $b^{q-1}=(-1)^{n-1}$.

Proof. First we compute the reduction $\mathcal{X}_{s}$. By [BW16, Lemma 2.10.4], the image of $\delta^{\prime q^{-m}}$ in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\left[x_{1}^{q^{-\infty}}, \ldots, x_{n}^{q^{-\infty}}\right]$ is equal to $\left(\operatorname{det}\left(x_{i}^{q^{j-1}}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}\right)^{q^{-m}}$. On the other hand, [BW16, Lemma 3.7.1] tells us that the image of $\tau^{\prime q^{-m}}$ in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$ is equal to $\left(\operatorname{det}\left(\bar{\alpha}_{i}^{q^{j-1}}\right)\right)^{q^{-m}}$. Put $b=\operatorname{det}\left(\bar{\alpha}_{i}^{q^{j-1}}\right)$. Since $\alpha_{i} \in \mathcal{O}_{F_{n}}$, we have $\bar{\alpha}_{i}^{q^{n}}=\bar{\alpha}_{i}$. Therefore, we have

$$
b^{q}=\operatorname{det}\left(\bar{\alpha}_{i}^{q^{j}}\right)=(-1)^{n-1} b
$$

By definition, $\mathcal{X}_{s}$ is identified with

$$
\operatorname{Spec} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\left[x_{1}^{q^{-\infty}}, \ldots, x_{n}^{q^{-\infty}}\right] /\left(\operatorname{det}\left(x_{i}^{q^{j-1}}\right)^{q^{-m}}-b^{q^{-m}} \mid m \geq 0\right)
$$

which is the perfection of $Y_{b}$.
In particular, we have $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{X}_{s}=\operatorname{dim} Y_{b}=n-1$. Hence Corollary A. 6 tells us that $\mathcal{X}$ is flat over $\mathcal{O}_{C}$. This completes the proof.

Next we consider the group action on $U$ and $\mathcal{X}$.
Definition 5.7 We put $J=F^{\times} \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right) \times\left(\mathcal{O}_{D}^{\times} / \varpi^{\mathbb{Z}}\right) \times W_{F_{n}} \subset G$ and $J^{1}=G^{1} \cap J$. For $\sigma \in W_{F_{n}}$, we put $n_{\sigma}=v_{F_{n}}\left(\operatorname{Art}_{F_{n}}^{-1}(\sigma)\right) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $u_{\sigma}=\varpi^{-n_{\sigma}} \operatorname{Art}_{F_{n}}^{-1}(\sigma) \in \mathcal{O}_{F_{n}}^{\times}$. We define a homomorphism $\Theta: J \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \times \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{\times} \times \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$
\left(\varpi^{m} g, d, \sigma\right) \mapsto\left(\bar{g}, \overline{d^{-1} u_{\sigma}^{-1}}, n_{\sigma}\right)
$$

where $m \in \mathbb{Z}, g \in \operatorname{GL}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right), d \in \mathcal{O}_{D}^{\times}$, and $\sigma \in W_{F_{n}}$.

As explained before, the group $\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \times \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{\times}$acts on $\mathrm{DL}_{n}$. We can also define an action of $\mathbb{Z}$ on $\mathrm{DL}_{n}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\left(\operatorname{not} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)$ by $m: x_{i} \mapsto x_{i}, a \mapsto a^{q^{-m n}}\left(a \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}\right)$, which commutes with the action of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \times \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{\times}$. Therefore, $J$ acts on $\mathrm{DL}_{n}$ through the homomorphism $\Theta$.

Recall that we have a decomposition $\mathrm{DL}_{n}=\coprod_{b^{q-1}=(-1)^{n-1}} Y_{b}$. It is easy to see that an element $(g, a) \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \times \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{\times}$maps $Y_{b}$ to $Y_{b^{\prime}}$, where $b^{\prime}=\operatorname{det}(g) \operatorname{Nr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}}(a) b$. In particular, the group

$$
\left(\operatorname{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \times \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{\times}\right)^{1}=\left\{(g, a) \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \times \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{\times} \mid \operatorname{det}(g) \mathrm{Nr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}}(a)=1\right\}
$$

acts on $Y_{b}$. On the other hand, if $b^{q}=(-1)^{n-1} b$, we have

$$
b^{q^{n}}=(-1)^{(n-1)\left(1+q+\cdots+q^{n-1}\right)} b=b,
$$

hence $b \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}$. Therefore the action of $\mathbb{Z}$ on $\mathrm{DL}_{n}$ preserves $Y_{b}$.
Since the image of $J^{1}$ under $\Theta$ is contained in $\left(\mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right) \times \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\right)^{1} \times \mathbb{Z}$, the action of $J^{1}$ on $\mathrm{DL}_{n}$ preserves $Y_{b}$.

Lemma 5.8 The group $J^{1}$ is generated by the following elements:
$-(g, 1,1)$ for $g \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$ with $\operatorname{det} g=1$,

- $(1, d, 1)$ for $d \in \mathcal{O}_{D}^{\times}$with $\operatorname{Nrd} d=1$,
$-(a, a, 1)$ for $a \in F_{n}^{\times}$,
- $\left(1, \operatorname{Art}_{F_{n}}^{-1}(\sigma)^{-1}, \sigma\right)$ for $\sigma \in I_{F_{n}}$, and
$-\left(1, \varpi^{-1}, \sigma\right)$ for $\sigma \in W_{F_{n}}$ with $\operatorname{Art}_{F_{n}}^{-1}(\sigma)=\varpi$.
Here $I_{F_{n}}$ denotes the inertia group of $F_{n}$.
Proof. This is immediate from the surjectivity of $\mathrm{Nr}_{F_{n} / F}: \mathcal{O}_{F_{n}}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times}$.
Proposition 5.9 (i) The action of $J^{1} \subset G^{1}$ on $M_{\infty, C}^{(0)}$ stabilizes $U$ and extends to $\mathcal{X}$.
(ii) The induced action of $J^{1}$ on $\mathcal{X}_{s}$ is compatible with the action of $J^{1}$ on $Y_{b}$ under the isomorphism in Proposition 5.6.

Proof. This follows easily from Lemma 5.8 and the description of the group action in [IT15a, §1.2]. For the element $\left(1, \operatorname{Art}_{F_{n}}^{-1}(\sigma)^{-1}, \sigma\right)$ with $\sigma \in I_{F_{n}}$, note that $|\xi|=$ $|\varpi|^{\frac{1}{q^{n}-1}}$ implies that $\xi \in \varpi^{\frac{1}{q^{n}-1}} \mathcal{O}_{C}^{\times}$, hence $\sigma(\xi) / \xi \equiv \sigma\left(\varpi^{\frac{1}{q^{n}-1}}\right) / \varpi^{\frac{1}{q^{n}-1}} \equiv \operatorname{Art}_{F_{n}}^{-1}(\sigma)$ modulo the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{C}$. For the element $\left(1, \varpi^{-1}, \sigma\right)$ with $\operatorname{Art}_{F_{n}}^{-1}(\sigma)=\varpi$, we use the fact $\sigma(\xi)=\xi$, which is a consequence of the classical Lubin-Tate theory.
Lemma 5.10 Let $\theta: \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$be a regular character. Then, $H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{DL}_{n}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\theta}$ is a $J^{1}$-stable subspace of $H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{DL}_{n}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$. Moreover, we have an isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{J^{1} \cap\left(\mathcal{O}_{D}^{\times} / \varpi^{\mathbb{Z}}\right)}\left(\bar{\rho}_{\theta^{-1}}, H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{DL}_{n}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\theta}\right) \cong \bar{\pi}_{\theta} \boxtimes\left(\theta \delta^{n-1}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{1-n}{2}\right)
$$

of representations of $F^{\times} \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right) \times W_{F_{n}}$. Note that the action of $F^{\times} \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right) \times W_{F_{n}}$ on the left hand side is defined as in Lemma 4.8 (i), since the composite $J^{1} \hookrightarrow J \rightarrow$ $F^{\times} \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right) \times W_{F_{n}}$ is surjective.

Proof. Since $J^{1}$ obviously normalizes $\left(\mathcal{O}_{D}^{\times} / \varpi^{\mathbb{Z}}, \theta\right), H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{DL}_{n}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\theta}$ is a $J^{1}$-stable subspace of $H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{DL}_{n}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$. As $J^{1} \cap\left(\mathcal{O}_{D}^{\times} / \varpi^{\mathbb{Z}}\right)$ acts on $H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{DL}_{n}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\theta}$ by $\bar{\rho}_{\theta^{-1}}=\theta^{-1}$, as a vector space we have

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{J^{1} \cap\left(\mathcal{O}_{D}^{\times} / \varpi^{\mathbb{Z}}\right)}\left(\bar{\rho}_{\theta^{-1}}, H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{DL}_{n}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\theta}\right)=H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{DL}_{n}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\theta} .
$$

Under this identification, the action of $F^{\times} \operatorname{GL}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right) \times W_{F_{n}}$ on the left hand side is described as follows:
$-(g, 1)$ for $g \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$ with $\operatorname{det} g=1$ acts as $\bar{g} \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ on $H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{DL}_{n}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\theta}$,

- $(\varpi, 1)$ acts trivially,
$-(a, 1)$ for $a \in \mathcal{O}_{F_{n}}^{\times}$acts as $\bar{a} \in \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$ on $H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{DL}_{n}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\theta}$,
$-(1, \sigma)$ for $\sigma \in I_{F_{n}}$ acts by the scalar $\theta\left(\operatorname{Art}_{F_{n}}^{-1}(\sigma)\right)^{-1}$,
$-(1, \sigma)$ for $\sigma \in W_{F_{n}}$ with $\operatorname{Art}_{F_{n}}(\sigma)=\varpi$ acts as $\operatorname{Frob}_{q}^{n}$ on $H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{DL}_{n}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\theta}$.
By DM85], $\operatorname{Frob}_{q}^{n}$ acts on $H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{DL}_{n}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\theta}$ by $(-1)^{n-1} q^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}=\left(\delta^{1-n}\left(\frac{1-n}{2}\right)\right)(\varpi)$ (see also the proof of [Wan14, Théorème 3.1.12]). Therefore we conclude that

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{J \cap\left(\mathcal{O}_{D}^{\times} / \varpi^{\mathbb{Z}}\right)}\left(\bar{\rho}_{\theta-1}, H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{DL}_{n}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\theta}\right) \cong \bar{\pi}_{\theta} \boxtimes\left(\theta \delta^{n-1}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{1-n}{2}\right)
$$

Proposition 5.11 There exists a $J^{1}$-equivariant injection $H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{DL}_{n}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\theta} \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}$.
Proof. Let $b \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$ be as in Proposition 5.6. We put

$$
\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{\times}\right)^{1}=\left\{a \in \mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{\times} \mid \mathrm{Nr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}}(a)=1\right\},
$$

and write $H_{c}^{n-1}\left(Y_{b}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\theta}$ for the $\left.\theta\right|_{\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\right)^{1}}$-isotypic part of $H_{c}^{n-1}\left(Y_{b}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$. By Propositions 5.6 and 5.9, the pair $(U, \mathcal{X})$ satisfies the condition in Proposition 4.5 (we may take $K_{U}=J^{1} \cap \mathrm{SL}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$ ). By Proposition 5.9 and Corollary 4.6 (i), we have a $J^{1}$-equivariant map

$$
\mathrm{sp}^{*}: H_{c}^{n-1}\left(Y_{b}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}
$$

Now note that $Y_{b}$ is purely $n$ - 1-dimensional and smooth over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$. Since $Y_{b}$ is $\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{\times}\right)^{1}$-equivariantly isomorphic to the Deligne-Lusztig variety for $\mathrm{SL}_{n}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$, DL76, Theorem 9.8] tells us that the composite

$$
H_{c}^{n-1}\left(Y_{b}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\theta} \hookrightarrow H_{c}^{n-1}\left(Y_{b}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow H^{n-1}\left(Y_{b}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)
$$

is an injection. Therefore, Corollary 4.6 (ii) tells us that the composite

$$
H_{c}^{n-1}\left(Y_{b}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\theta} \hookrightarrow H_{c}^{n-1}\left(Y_{b}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{sp}^{*}} H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}
$$

is an injection.
Thus we have only to prove that the pull-back map $H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{DL}_{n}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow H_{c}^{n-1}\left(Y_{b}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$, which is $J^{1}$-equivariant, induces an isomorphism $H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{DL}_{n}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\theta} \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{c}^{n-1}\left(Y_{b}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\theta}$.

It is easy to see that $H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{DL}_{n}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \cong \operatorname{Ind}_{\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\right)^{1}}^{\mathbb{F}^{\times}} H_{c}^{n-1}\left(Y_{b}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$ as representations of $\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{\times}$. By the Frobenius reciprocity, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\operatorname{DL}_{n}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\theta} & =\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{\times}}\left(\theta, H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\operatorname{DL}_{n}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)\right) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{\times}}\left(\theta, \operatorname{Ind}_{\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}^{\times 1}\right.}^{\mathbb{F}^{\times}} H_{c}^{n-1}\left(Y_{b}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Hom}_{\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\right)^{1}}\left(\left.\theta\right|_{\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}}\right)^{1}}, H_{c}^{n-1}\left(Y_{b}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)\right)=H_{c}^{n-1}\left(Y_{b},\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\ell}\right)_{\theta} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that the composite of the above identifications is equal to the pull-back map $H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{DL}_{n}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\theta} \rightarrow H_{c}^{n-1}\left(Y_{b}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\theta}$. This concludes the proof.

Proof of Theorem 5.3. By Proposition 5.11, we have an injection

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{J^{1} \cap\left(\mathcal{O}_{D}^{\times} / \varpi^{\mathbb{Z}}\right)}\left(\bar{\rho}_{\theta^{-1}}, H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{DL}_{n}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\theta}\right) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{J^{1} \cap\left(\mathcal{O}_{D}^{\times} / \varpi^{\mathbb{Z}}\right)}\left(\bar{\rho}_{\theta^{-1}}, H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}\right),
$$

which is $F^{\times} \operatorname{GL}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right) \times W_{F_{n}}$-equivariant. Lemma 5.10 says that

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{J^{1} \cap\left(\mathcal{O}_{D}^{\times} / \varpi^{\mathbb{Z}}\right)}\left(\bar{\rho}_{\theta^{-1}}, H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{DL}_{n}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\theta}\right) \cong \bar{\pi}_{\theta} \boxtimes\left(\theta \delta^{n-1}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{1-n}{2}\right),
$$

which is a smooth representation of $F^{\times} \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right) \times W_{F_{n}}$. Therefore, we obtain an $F^{\times} \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right) \times W_{F_{n}}$-equivariant injection

$$
\bar{\pi}_{\theta} \boxtimes\left(\theta \delta^{n-1}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{1-n}{2}\right) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{J^{1} \cap\left(\mathcal{O}_{D}^{\times} / \varpi^{\mathbb{Z}}\right)}\left(\bar{\rho}_{\theta^{-1}}, H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{sm}}
$$

where $(-)^{\text {sm }}$ denotes the $F^{\times} \operatorname{GL}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right) \times W_{F_{n}}$-smooth part. On the other hand, Lemma 4.8 (ii) tells us that

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{J^{1} \cap\left(\mathcal{O}_{D}^{\times} / w^{\mathbb{Z}}\right)}\left(\bar{\rho}_{\theta^{-1}}, H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{sm}} \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{D}^{\times} / w^{\mathbb{Z}}}\left(\bar{\rho}_{\theta^{-1}}, \operatorname{Ind}_{J^{1}}^{J} H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Since $J \subset G$ is open, $G^{1} \subset G$ is closed normal and $J^{1}=G^{1} \cap J$, by Lemma 4.7 (ii) we have a $J$-equivariant isomorphism $\operatorname{Ind}_{J^{1}}^{J} H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime} \cong \operatorname{Ind}_{G^{1}}^{G^{1} J} H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}$. Further, as $G^{1} J$ is an open subgroup of $G$, we have a $G^{1} J$-equivariant injection $\operatorname{Ind}_{G^{1}}^{G^{1} J} H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime} \hookrightarrow$ $\operatorname{Ind}_{G^{1} J}^{G}\left(\operatorname{Ind}_{G^{1}}^{G^{1} J} H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Ind}_{G^{1}}^{G} H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}$ by Lemma 4.7 (i). Together with Proposition 4.4, we obtain an $F^{\times} \mathrm{GL}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right) \times W_{F_{n}}$-equivariant injection

$$
\bar{\pi}_{\theta} \boxtimes\left(\theta \delta^{n-1}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{1-n}{2}\right) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{D}^{\times} / \varpi^{\mathbb{Z}}}\left(\bar{\rho}_{\theta^{-1}}, H_{\mathrm{LT}}\right),
$$

which induces a non-zero $J$-equivariant map $\bar{\pi}_{\theta} \boxtimes \bar{\rho}_{\theta^{-1}} \boxtimes\left(\theta \delta^{n-1}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{1-n}{2}\right) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{LT}}$. By the Frobenius reciprocity, this corresponds to a non-zero $G$-equivariant map

$$
\pi_{\theta} \boxtimes \rho_{\theta^{-1}} \boxtimes \operatorname{Ind}_{W_{F_{n}}}^{W_{F}}\left(\theta \delta^{n-1}\right)^{-1}\left(\frac{1-n}{2}\right) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{LT}} .
$$

Since $\pi_{\theta}$ is supercuspidal and its central character is trivial on $\varpi^{\mathbb{Z}}$, Theorem 4.2 tells us that there exists a non-zero map $\rho_{\theta^{-1}} \boxtimes \operatorname{Ind}_{W_{F_{n}}}^{W_{F}}\left(\theta \delta^{n-1}\right)^{-1} \rightarrow \mathrm{JL}\left(\pi_{\theta}\right)^{\vee} \boxtimes \operatorname{rec}_{F}\left(\pi_{\theta}\right)^{\vee}$. As $\rho_{\theta^{-1}}$ and $\mathrm{JL}\left(\pi_{\theta}\right)^{\vee}$ are irreducible, we have $\rho_{\theta^{-1}}=\mathrm{JL}\left(\pi_{\theta}\right)^{\vee}$ and $\mathrm{JL}\left(\pi_{\theta}\right)=\rho_{\theta^{-1}}^{\vee}=$ $\rho_{\theta}$. As $\operatorname{rec}_{F}\left(\pi_{\theta}\right)^{\vee}$ is irreducible and $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ind}_{W_{F_{n}}}^{W_{F}}\left(\theta \delta^{n-1}\right)^{-1}=n=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{rec}_{F}\left(\pi_{\theta}\right)^{\vee}$, we conclude that $\operatorname{rec}_{F}\left(\pi_{\theta}\right)^{\vee}=\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{F_{n}}}^{W_{F}}\left(\theta \delta^{n-1}\right)^{-1}$, hence $\operatorname{rec}_{F}\left(\pi_{\theta}\right)=\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{F_{n}}}^{W_{F}}\left(\theta \delta^{n-1}\right)$.

## 6 Example II: simple supercuspidal representations

In this section, we determine $\operatorname{rec}_{F}(\pi)$ and $\mathrm{JL}(\pi)$ for a simple supercuspidal representation $\pi$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)$. In the following we fix a uniformizer $\varpi$ of $F$ and a non-trivial additive character $\psi_{0}: \mathbb{F}_{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. We write $\psi$ for the composite $\mathbb{F}_{q} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q} / \mathbb{F}_{p}}} \mathbb{F}_{p} \xrightarrow{\psi_{0}} \mathbb{C}^{\times}$.

We briefly recall the notion of simple supercuspidal representations of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)$ and $D^{\times}$. See [Mie16, §4.1] for more detail. Let Iw be the standard Iwahori subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)$ and $\mathrm{Iw}_{+}$the pro- $p$ radical of Iw. The character $\psi$ determines a character of $\mathrm{Iw}_{+}$:

$$
\psi: \mathrm{Iw}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times} ;\left(a_{i j}\right) \mapsto \psi\left(\overline{a_{12}}+\overline{a_{23}}+\cdots+\overline{a_{n-1, n}}+\overline{\varpi^{-1} a_{n 1}}\right)
$$

Here the image of $a \in \mathcal{O}_{F}$ under the map $\mathcal{O}_{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}$ is denoted by $\bar{a}$. Put

$$
\varphi=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\
\varpi & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{GL}_{n}(F)
$$

It normalizes $\left(\mathrm{Iw}_{+}, \psi\right)$.
Definition 6.1 For a character $\chi: \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$and $c \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, let $\Lambda_{\chi, c}: \mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \varphi^{\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Iw}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ be the character defined as follows:

$$
\Lambda_{\chi, c}(a)=\chi(\bar{a})\left(a \in \mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times}\right), \quad \Lambda_{\chi, c}(\varphi)=c,\left.\quad \Lambda_{\chi, c}\right|_{\mathrm{Iw}_{+}}=\psi
$$

We put $\pi_{\chi, c}=\mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \varphi^{\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Iw}_{+}}^{\mathrm{GL}_{2}(F)} \Lambda_{\chi, c}$, which is known to be an irreducible supercuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)$.

Next we consider the group $D^{\times}$. Recall that $F_{n}$ denotes the degree $n$ unramified extension of $F$. We write $\tau \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(F_{n} / F\right)$ for the arithmetic Frobenius lift. Since the invariant of $D$ is $1 / n$, there exists an isomorphism $D \cong F_{n}[\Pi]$, where $\Pi^{n}=\varpi$ and $\Pi a=\tau(a) \Pi$ for $a \in F_{n}$. In the following, we fix this isomorphism and identify them.

Definition 6.2 For a character $\chi: \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$and $c \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, let $\Lambda_{\chi, c}^{D}: \mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \Pi^{\mathbb{Z}}(1+$ $\left.\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$be the character defined as follows:

$$
\Lambda_{\chi, c}^{D}(a)=\chi(\bar{a})\left(a \in \mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times}\right), \quad \Lambda_{\chi, c}^{D}(\Pi)=c, \quad \Lambda_{\chi, c}^{D}(1+\Pi d)=\psi\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}}(\bar{d})\right)
$$

We put $\rho_{\chi, c}=\mathrm{c}-\operatorname{Ind}_{\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \Pi^{\mathbb{Z}}\left(1+\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D}\right)}^{D^{\times}} \Lambda_{\chi, c}^{D}$, which is known to be an irreducible smooth representation of $D^{\times}$. Note that the isomorphism class of $\rho_{\chi, c}$ is independent of the choice of an isomorphism $D \cong F_{n}[\Pi]$, as every automorphism of $D$ is inner.

Remark 6.3 (i) As in [Mie16, §4.1], simple supercuspidal representations $\left\{\pi_{\zeta, \chi, c}\right\}$ of $\mathrm{GL}_{n}(F)$ are in fact parameterized by $\zeta \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$and $(\chi, c)$ as above. The representation $\pi_{\chi, c}$ in Definition 6.1 corresponds to $\pi_{1, \chi, c}$. On the other hand, $\pi_{\zeta, \chi, c}$ equals $\pi_{\chi, c}$ for the uniformizer $\widetilde{\zeta} \varpi$, where $\widetilde{\zeta} \in \mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times}$is the Teichmüller lift of $\zeta$. Therefore, if we vary the fixed uniformizer $\varpi$, the representations of the form $\pi_{\chi, c}$ cover all simple supercuspidal representations. Similar remark holds for $\rho_{\chi, c}$.
(ii) Let $\xi: F^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$be an unramified character. Then, we can easily see that $\pi_{\chi, c} \otimes(\xi \circ \operatorname{det}) \cong \pi_{\chi, \xi(\varpi) c}$ and $\rho_{\chi, c} \otimes(\xi \circ \mathrm{Nrd}) \cong \rho_{\chi, \xi(\varpi) c}$. Since rec ${ }_{F}$ and JL are compatible with character twist, to determine $\operatorname{rec}_{F}\left(\pi_{\chi, c}\right)$ and $\operatorname{JL}\left(\pi_{\chi, c}\right)$, we may assume that $c=1$. In the following we put $\Lambda_{\chi}=\Lambda_{\chi, 1}, \pi_{\chi}=\pi_{\chi, 1}$, $\Lambda_{\chi}^{D}=\Lambda_{\chi,(-1)^{n-1}}^{D}$ and $\rho_{\chi}=\rho_{\chi,(-1)^{n-1}}$.

We write $n=n^{\prime} p^{e}$ with $p \nmid n^{\prime}$. Take an $n$th root $\varpi_{L}$ of $\varpi$ and put $L=F\left(\varpi_{L}\right)$, $\varpi_{E}=\varpi_{L}^{p^{e}}$ and $E=F\left(\varpi_{E}\right)$. For $x \in E$, we write

$$
x=\varpi_{E}^{v_{E}(x)} \zeta_{x}\left(1+u_{x} \varpi_{E}\right),
$$

where $\zeta_{x} \in \mu_{q-1}(E)=\left\{a \in E \mid a^{q-1}=1\right\} \subset \mathcal{O}_{F}$ and $u_{x} \in \mathcal{O}_{E}$. The goal of this section is as follows:

Theorem 6.4 Let $\chi$ be a character of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times}$.
(i) We have $\operatorname{JL}\left(\pi_{\chi}\right)=\rho_{\chi}$.
(ii) Assume that $p \nmid n$. We define two characters $\xi_{\chi}, \mu: E^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$by

$$
\xi_{\chi}(x)=\chi\left(\bar{\zeta}_{x}\right) \psi\left(\bar{u}_{x}\right)^{n}, \quad \mu(x)=\lambda^{v_{E}(x)} \delta\left(\bar{\zeta}_{x}\right)^{n-1}
$$

where

$$
\lambda= \begin{cases}q^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} \sum_{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}} \psi\left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-1} y_{i} y_{j}\right) & p \neq 2 \\ \left(\frac{q}{n}\right) & p=2\end{cases}
$$

and $\delta: \mathbb{F}_{q}^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$is the quadratic character. Then we have

$$
\operatorname{rec}_{F}\left(\pi_{\chi}\right)=\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{E}}^{W_{F}} \mu^{-1} \xi_{\chi} .
$$

(iii) Assume that $p \mid n$. We define two characters $\nu_{\chi}, \phi: E^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$
\nu_{\chi}(x)=\chi\left(\bar{\zeta}_{x}^{p^{-e}}\right), \quad \phi(x)=(-1)^{(n-1) v_{E}(x)} .
$$

Put $f=\left[\mathbb{F}_{q}: \mathbb{F}_{p}\right]$ and $m=\operatorname{gcd}(e, f)$. Let $\mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}$ be the affine algebraic variety over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$ defined by the equation

$$
z^{p^{m}}-z=y^{p^{e}+1}-\frac{1}{n^{\prime}} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-2} y_{i} y_{j} .
$$

As defined in [IT16, (3.17), (3.18)], the group $W_{E}$ acts on $\mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}$. On the other hand, the group $\mathbb{F}_{p^{m}}$ acts on $\mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}$ by $a: z \mapsto z+a, y \mapsto y, y_{i} \mapsto y_{i}(a \in$ $\left.\mathbb{F}_{p^{m}}\right)$. These two actions commute. Let $\psi^{\prime}$ denote the additive character $\psi_{0}^{n^{\prime}} \circ$ $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^{m}} / \mathbb{F}_{p}}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{m}}$. We write $H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\psi^{\prime}}$ for the subspace of $H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$ on which $\mathbb{F}_{p^{m}}$ acts by $\psi^{\prime}$. It is a smooth representation of $W_{E}$. Put $\tau=$ $H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\psi^{\prime}}\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)$.
Then we have

$$
\operatorname{rec}_{F}\left(\pi_{\chi}\right)=\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{E}}^{W_{F}}\left(\tau \otimes \nu_{\chi} \otimes \phi\right) .
$$

Remark 6.5 (i) Theorem 6.4 (i) was proved in [IT14] by explicit computation of characters.
(ii) Theorem 6.4 (ii), (iii) were obtained in [BH05b, [T15b], respectively (for (ii), see also [BH05b, Theorem 2.1 (1)] and [IT15a, §5]).

The proof of Theorem 6.4 is divided into the cases $p \nmid n$ and $p \mid n$. In this paper we only treat the case $p \mid n$; the other is similar but easier.

Definition 6.6 We put

$$
J=\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \varphi^{\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Iw}_{+} \times\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \Pi^{\mathbb{Z}}\left(1+\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D}\right) / \varpi^{\mathbb{Z}}\right) \times W_{E} \subset G, \quad J^{1}=G^{1} \cap J
$$

Let $J_{\mathrm{s}}^{1}$ be the subgroup of $J^{1}$ generated by the following elements:
$-(g, d, 1)$ for $g \in \mathrm{Iw}_{+}$and $d \in 1+\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D}$ with $\operatorname{det} g=\operatorname{Nrd} d$,

- $(a, a, 1)$ for $a \in \mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times}$,
- $(\varphi, \Pi, 1)$, and
$-\left(g_{\sigma}, \Pi^{-n_{\sigma}}, \sigma\right)$ for $\sigma \in W_{E}$, where $g_{\sigma} \in \mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \mathrm{Iw}_{+}$is the element in [IT16, (3.14)], and $n_{\sigma}=v_{E}\left(\operatorname{Art}_{E}^{-1}(\sigma)\right)$.

Lemma 6.7 (i) The group $J_{\mathrm{s}}^{1}$ is an open normal subgroup of $J^{1}$.
(ii) Put $n_{1}^{\prime}=\operatorname{gcd}\left(n^{\prime}, q-1\right)$ and $\mu=\mu_{n_{1}^{\prime}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$. We regard $\mu$ as a subgroup of $D^{\times} / \varpi^{\mathbb{Z}}$. Then, we have $J^{1}=\bigcup_{\zeta \in \mu} \zeta J_{\mathrm{s}}^{1}$ (later we will also prove that the union in the right hand side is disjoint).
(iii) The composite $J_{\mathrm{s}}^{1} \hookrightarrow J \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \varphi^{\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Iw}_{+} \times W_{E}$ is surjective.

Proof. Let $I$ be the open subgroup of $W_{E}$ consisting of $\sigma$ such that $\operatorname{Art}_{E}^{-1}(\sigma) \in 1+\mathfrak{p}_{E}$. If $\sigma \in I$, then $g_{\sigma}$ belongs to $\mathrm{Iw}_{+}$and $n_{\sigma}=0$. Therefore, we can easily check that $J_{\mathrm{s}}^{1}$ contains $J^{1} \cap\left(\mathrm{Iw}_{+} \times\left(1+\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D}\right) \times I\right)$. Hence $J_{\mathrm{s}}^{1}$ is open in $J^{1}$.

We prove (ii). Take $(g, d, \sigma) \in J^{1}$. If we put $g^{\prime}=g g_{\sigma}^{-1}$ and $d^{\prime}=d \Pi^{n_{\sigma}}$, then $(g, d, \sigma) \in\left(g^{\prime}, d^{\prime}, 1\right) J_{\mathrm{s}}^{1}$. Since $\operatorname{det} g^{\prime}=\operatorname{Nrd} d^{\prime}$, there exists an integer $m$ such that $\varphi^{m} g^{\prime} \in \mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \mathrm{Iw}_{+}$and $\Pi^{m} d^{\prime} \in \mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times}\left(1+\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D}\right)$. Write $\varphi^{m} g^{\prime}=a g^{\prime \prime}$ and $\Pi^{m} d^{\prime}=a^{\prime} d^{\prime \prime}$, where $a, a^{\prime} \in \mu_{q-1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right), g^{\prime \prime} \in \mathrm{Iw}_{+}$and $d^{\prime \prime} \in 1+\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D}$. Since $\operatorname{det}\left(\varphi^{m} g^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Nrd}\left(\Pi^{m} d^{\prime}\right)$ and $\operatorname{det} g^{\prime \prime}, \operatorname{Nrd} d^{\prime \prime} \in 1+\mathfrak{p}_{F}$, we have $a^{n}=a^{\prime n}$ and $\operatorname{det} g^{\prime \prime}=\operatorname{Nrd} d^{\prime \prime}$. Put $\zeta=a^{\prime} / a$, which is an element of $\mu$. Now we have

$$
\left(g^{\prime}, d^{\prime}, 1\right)=(1, \zeta, 1)(a, a, 1)(\varphi, \Pi, 1)^{-m}\left(g^{\prime \prime}, d^{\prime \prime}, 1\right) \in \zeta J_{\mathrm{s}}^{1}
$$

and $(g, d, \sigma) \in \zeta J_{\mathrm{s}}^{1}$. This concludes (ii). As $\mu$ is contained in the center of $J^{1}, J_{\mathrm{s}}^{1}$ is normal in $J^{1}$, hence (i).

Finally consider (iii). Take an element $(g, \sigma)$ of $\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \varphi^{\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Iw}_{+} \times W_{E}$. As $g g_{\sigma}^{-1} \in$ $\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \varphi^{\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Iw}_{+}$, there exist $a \in \mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times}, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $g^{\prime} \in \mathrm{Iw}_{+}$such that $g g_{\sigma}^{-1}=a \varphi^{m} g^{\prime}$. Since Nrd: $1+\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D} \rightarrow 1+\mathfrak{p}_{F}$ is surjective (see [Rie70, Lemma 5]), we can take $d \in 1+\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D}$ such that $\operatorname{Nrd} d=\operatorname{det} g^{\prime}$. Then, the element

$$
(a, a, 1)(\varphi, \Pi, 1)^{m}\left(g^{\prime}, d, 1\right)\left(g_{\sigma}, \Pi^{-n_{\sigma}}, \sigma\right) \in J_{\mathrm{s}}^{1}
$$

is mapped to $(g, \sigma)$ under $J_{\mathrm{s}}^{1} \hookrightarrow J \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \varphi^{\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Iw}_{+} \times W_{E}$.
Now we use results in [IT16]. We may assume that $\varphi_{D, 1}$ in [IT16, §2.1] is equal to $\Pi$. Let $U \subset M_{\infty, C}^{(0)}$ be the affinoid and $\mathcal{X}$ the formal model of $U$ constructed in IT16, §2] (in [IT16], $U$ and $\mathcal{X}$ are denoted by $\mathcal{X}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{X}_{1}$, respectively). By construction, $U$ is a rational subset of $t\left(\mathcal{M}_{\infty, \mathcal{O}_{C}}^{(0)}\right)$.
Proposition 6.8 (i) The action of $J_{\mathrm{s}}^{1} \subset G^{1}$ on $M_{\infty, C}^{(0)}$ stabilizes $U$ and extends to $\mathcal{X}$.
(ii) The formal scheme $\mathcal{X}$ is flat over $k^{\circ}$ and its reduction $\mathcal{X}_{s}$ is isomorphic to the perfection of $\mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}$.
(iii) The induced action of $J_{\mathrm{s}}^{1}$ on $\mathcal{X}_{s}$ comes from an action on $\mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}$, which is described as follows:
$-(g, d, 1)$ for $g=\left(g_{i j}\right) \in \mathrm{Iw}_{+}$and $d=1+\Pi d^{\prime} \in 1+\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D}$ with $\operatorname{det} g=\operatorname{Nrd} d$ acts on $\mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}$ as the element

$$
\frac{1}{n^{\prime}} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q} / \mathbb{F}_{p^{m}}}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}}\left(\overline{d^{\prime}}\right)-\left(\overline{g_{12}}+\cdots+\overline{g_{n-1, n}}+\overline{\varpi^{-1} g_{n 1}}\right)\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{m}}
$$

- $(a, a, 1)$ for $a \in \mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times}$acts on $\mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}$ trivially,
$-(\varphi, \Pi, 1)$ acts on $\mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}$ as in [T16, (3.2)], and
- $\left(g_{\sigma}, \Pi^{-n_{\sigma}}, \sigma\right)$ for $\sigma \in W_{E}$ acts on $\mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}$ as mentioned in Theorem 6.4 (iii).
(iv) For $\zeta \in \mu \backslash\{1\}$, we have $U \zeta \cap U=\varnothing$.
(v) We have $J^{1}=\coprod_{\zeta \in \mu} \zeta J_{\mathrm{s}}^{1}$ and $J_{\mathrm{s}}^{1} \cap\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \Pi^{\mathbb{Z}}\left(1+\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D}\right) / \varpi^{\mathbb{Z}}\right)=\left(1+\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D}\right)^{1}$, where $\left(1+\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D}\right)^{1}$ denotes the subgroup of $1+\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D}$ consisting of elements with reduced norm 1 .

Proof. The assertion (i) is obtained in [TT16, §3]. Consider (ii). The reduction $\mathcal{X}_{s}$ is computed in [IT16, Theorem 2.5]. In particular, $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{X}_{s}=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}=n-1$. By Corollary A. 6 and the presentation of $\mathcal{X}$ in [IT16, §2], we conclude that $\mathcal{X}$ is flat over $k^{\circ}$. The claim (iii) is also included in [IT16, §3].

We prove (iv). We use the notation in [T16]. Recall that $U$ is defined by the inequalities [IT16, (2.5)]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{x}_{i}}{\boldsymbol{x}_{i+1}}-\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{x}_{n-1}}{\boldsymbol{x}_{n}}\right)^{q^{n-1-i}}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2 n q^{i}} \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq n-2, \\
& v\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}-1\right) \geq \frac{1}{n q^{n-1}\left(p^{e}+1\right)} \quad \text { for } n-1 \leq i \leq n
\end{aligned}
$$

By [IT16, (1.7)], $\zeta$ acts by $\boldsymbol{x}_{i} \mapsto \zeta^{-1} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}$. Since $n_{1}^{\prime}$ is prime to $p$ and $\zeta \neq 1$, we have $\zeta^{-1}-1 \in \mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times}$. Therefore, if $v\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{n}-1\right)>0$, we have $v\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{n}\right)=0$ and

$$
v\left(\zeta^{-1} \boldsymbol{x}_{n}-1\right)=v\left(\left(\zeta^{-1}-1\right) \boldsymbol{x}_{n}+\boldsymbol{x}_{n}-1\right)=0
$$

Hence we have $U \cap U \zeta=\varnothing$, as desired.
Finally we prove (v). By (i) and (iv), we have $\mu \cap J_{\mathrm{s}}^{1}=\{1\}$. Hence the cosets $\left\{\zeta J_{\mathrm{s}}^{1}\right\}_{\zeta \in \mu}$ are disjoint to each other. Together with Lemma 6.7 (ii), we obtain $J^{1}=$ $\coprod_{\zeta \in \mu} \zeta J_{\mathrm{s}}^{1}$. On the other hand, clearly we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1+\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D}\right)^{1} & \subset J_{\mathbf{s}}^{1} \cap\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \Pi^{\mathbb{Z}}\left(1+\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D}\right) / \varpi^{\mathbb{Z}}\right) \\
& \subset J^{1} \cap\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \Pi^{\mathbb{Z}}\left(1+\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D}\right) / \varpi^{\mathbb{Z}}\right)=\mu\left(1+\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D}\right)^{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\mu \cap J_{\mathrm{s}}^{1}=\{1\}$, we conclude that $\left(1+\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D}\right)^{1}=J_{\mathrm{s}}^{1} \cap\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \Pi^{\mathbb{Z}}\left(1+\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D}\right) / \varpi^{\mathbb{Z}}\right)$.
Corollary 6.9 The subspace $H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\psi^{\prime}}$ of $H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$ in Theorem 6.4 (iii) is $J_{\mathrm{s}}^{1}$-stable. Moreover, we have an isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{J_{\mathrm{s}}^{1} \cap\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \Pi^{\mathbb{Z}}\left(1+\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D}\right) / \varpi^{\mathbb{Z}}\right)}\left(\Lambda_{\chi}^{D}, H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{IT}_{\mathbf{w}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\psi^{\prime}}\right) \cong \Lambda_{\chi}^{-1} \boxtimes\left(\tau \otimes \nu_{\chi} \otimes \phi\right)\left(\frac{1-n}{2}\right)
$$

of representations of $\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \varphi^{\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Iw}_{+} \times W_{E}$. Note that Lemma 6.7 (iii) enables us to define an action of $\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \varphi^{\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Iw}_{+} \times W_{E}$ on the left hand side as in Lemma 4.8 (i).

Proof. Since the action of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{m}}$ on $H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{IT}_{\mathbf{w}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$ commutes with the action of $J_{\mathrm{s}}^{1}$, the subspace $H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\psi^{\prime}} \subset H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$ is $J_{\mathrm{s}}^{1}$-stable.

Recall that in Proposition $6.8(\mathrm{v})$ we proved that $J_{\mathrm{s}}^{1} \cap\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \Pi^{\mathbb{Z}}\left(1+\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D}\right) / \varpi^{\mathbb{Z}}\right)=$ $\left(1+\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D}\right)^{1}$. For $1+\Pi d \in\left(1+\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D}\right)^{1}$, we have $\Lambda_{\chi}^{D}(1+\Pi d)=\psi\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}}(\bar{d})\right)$. On the other hand, by Proposition 6.8 (iii), $1+\Pi d$ acts on $H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\psi^{\prime}}$ by $\psi^{\prime}\left(n^{\prime-1} \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}} / \mathbb{F}_{p^{m}}}(\bar{d})\right)=\psi\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{q^{n}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}}(\bar{d})\right)$. Therefore, as a vector space we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hom}_{J_{\mathbf{s}}^{1} \cap\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \Pi^{\mathbb{Z}}\left(1+\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D}\right) / \omega^{\mathbb{Z}}\right)}\left(\Lambda_{\chi}^{D}, H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\psi^{\prime}}\right)=H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\psi^{\prime}} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under this identification, the action of $\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \varphi^{\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Iw}_{+} \times W_{E}$ on the left hand side is described as follows:
(a) $(a, 1)$ for $a \in \mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times}$acts by the scalar $\chi(\bar{a})^{-1}=\Lambda_{\chi}^{-1}(a)$,
(b) $(\varphi, 1)$ acts trivially,
(c) $(g, 1)$ for $g \in \mathrm{Iw}_{+}$acts by the scalar $\psi(g)^{-1}=\Lambda_{\chi}^{-1}(g)$ and
(d) $\left(g_{\sigma}, \sigma\right)$ for $\sigma \in W_{E}$ acts on $H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\psi^{\prime}}$ as $(-1)^{(n-1) n_{\sigma}} \sigma$ on $H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\psi^{\prime}}$. These are consequences of Proposition 6.8 (iii). For (b), note that $(\varphi, \Pi)$ acts on $H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$ by the scalar $(-1)^{n-1}$ (see [IT16, Proposition 3.2.2, Corollary 4.5] and [IT15a, Proposition 4.2.3]).

For $\sigma \in W_{E}$, put $u_{\sigma}=\varpi_{E}^{-n_{\sigma}} \operatorname{Art}_{E}^{-1}(\sigma)$ and take $b_{\sigma} \in \mu_{q-1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$ such that $\bar{b}_{\sigma}^{p^{e}}=\bar{u}_{\sigma}$. Recall that $g_{\sigma}=b_{\sigma} \operatorname{diag}\left(1, \ldots, 1, c_{\sigma}\right)$ for some $c_{\sigma} \in 1+\mathfrak{p}_{F}$ (see [IT16, (3.14)]). Therefore, by (a) and (c), $\left(g_{\sigma}, 1\right)$ acts by the scalar $\chi\left(\bar{b}_{\sigma}\right)^{-1}=\nu_{\chi}\left(\operatorname{Art}_{E}^{-1}(\sigma)\right)^{-1}$.
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Hence the action of $(1, \sigma)$ on the left hand side of $(*)$ is $\nu_{\chi}\left(\operatorname{Art}_{E}^{-1}(\sigma)\right) \phi\left(\operatorname{Art}_{E}^{-1}(\sigma)\right) \sigma$. Now we conclude that

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{J_{s}^{1} \cap\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \Pi^{\mathbb{Z}}\left(1+\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D}\right) / w^{\mathbb{Z}}\right)}\left(\Lambda_{\chi}^{D}, H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\psi^{\prime}}\right) \cong \Lambda_{\chi}^{-1} \boxtimes\left(\tau \otimes \nu_{\chi} \otimes \phi\right)\left(\frac{1-n}{2}\right) .
$$

Proposition 6.10 Let $\mathcal{L}_{\psi^{\prime}}$ denote the rank 1 sheaf over $\mathbb{A} \frac{1}{\mathbb{F}_{q}}=\operatorname{Spec} \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}[t]$ defined by the $\mathbb{F}_{p^{m}}$-torsor $z^{p^{m}}-z=t$ and the additive character $\psi^{\prime}: \mathbb{F}_{p^{m}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, and $\mathcal{L}_{\psi^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}}$ the pull-back of $\mathcal{L}_{\psi^{\prime}}$ under

Then, $\operatorname{dim} H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}}^{n-1}, \mathcal{L}_{\psi^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}}\right)=p^{e}$ and the map

$$
H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{n-1}, \mathcal{L}_{\psi^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{IT}, \mathrm{w}}\right) \rightarrow H^{n-1}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{n-1}, \mathcal{L}_{\psi^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{IT}, \mathrm{w}}\right)
$$

is an isomorphism.
In particular, $\operatorname{dim} H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\psi^{\prime}}=p^{e}$ and the composite

$$
H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\psi^{\prime}} \hookrightarrow H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow H^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)
$$

is injective.
Proof. We denote by $\mathcal{L}_{\psi^{\prime}}^{1}$ (resp. $\mathcal{L}_{\psi^{\prime}}^{2}$ ) the pull-back of $\mathcal{L}_{\psi^{\prime}}$ under $\mathbb{A}_{\frac{\mathbb{F}_{q}}{}}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{A} \frac{1}{\mathbb{F}_{q}} ; y \mapsto$ $y^{p^{+}+1}$ (resp. $\left.\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{n-2} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{1} ;\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-2}\right) \mapsto-n^{\prime-1} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-2} y_{i} y_{j}\right)$. Then we have $\mathcal{L}_{\psi^{\prime}}^{\mathrm{IT}}{ }^{\mathrm{w}}=\mathcal{L}_{\psi^{\prime}}^{1} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_{\psi^{\prime}}^{2}$.

We use results in Appendix B. By Remark B. 1 and Lemma B.2, we have
$-\operatorname{dim} H_{c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{1}, \mathcal{L}_{\psi^{\prime}}^{1}\right)=p^{e}$,

- $H_{c}^{i}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}, \mathcal{L}_{\psi^{\prime}}^{1}\right)=H^{i}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}, \mathcal{L}_{\psi^{\prime}}^{1}\right)=0$ for $i \neq 1$, and
- $H_{c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{A} \frac{1}{\mathbb{F}_{q}}, \mathcal{L}_{\psi^{\prime}}^{1}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}, \mathcal{L}_{\psi^{\prime}}^{1}\right)$ is an isomorphism.

By Remark B. 1 , Lemma B. 3 and Example B.4, we have
$-\operatorname{dim} H_{c}^{n-2}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{n-2}, \mathcal{L}_{\psi^{\prime}}^{2}\right)=1$ and
$-H_{c}^{n-2}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\stackrel{\mathbb{F}}{q}^{n}}^{n-2}, \mathcal{L}_{\psi^{\prime}}^{2}\right) \rightarrow H^{n-2}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}}^{n-2}, \mathcal{L}_{\psi^{\prime}}^{2}\right)$ is an isomorphism.
Hence we conclude the proposition by the Künneth formula.
Corollary 6.11 We have a $J^{1}$-equivariant injection $\operatorname{Ind}_{J_{\mathrm{s}}^{1}}^{J^{1}} H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\psi^{\prime}} \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}$. Proof. By Proposition 6.8 (i), (ii), the pair $(U, \mathcal{X})$ satisfies the condition in Proposition 4.5 (we may take $K_{U}=J_{\mathrm{s}}^{1} \cap \mathrm{SL}_{n}\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}\right)$ ). It is easy to see that $\mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}$ is purely $n$-1-dimensional and smooth. By Proposition 6.8 (i), (iv) and (v), for $g \in J^{1} \backslash J_{\mathrm{s}}^{1}$ we have $U \cap U g=\varnothing$. Hence, by Corollary 4.6 (iii) and Proposition 6.10, we have $J^{1}$-equivariant homomorphisms

$$
\operatorname{Ind}_{J_{\mathrm{s}}^{1}}^{J_{c}^{1}} H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\psi^{\prime}} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Ind}_{J_{\mathrm{s}}^{1}}^{J_{c}^{1}} H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime},
$$

whose composite is injective.

Proof of Theorem 6.4. By Corollary 6.11, we have an injection

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Hom}_{J^{1} \cap\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \Pi^{\mathbb{Z}}\left(1+\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D}\right) / w^{\mathbb{Z}}\right)}\left(\Lambda_{\chi}^{D}, \operatorname{Ind}_{J_{\mathrm{s}}^{1}}^{J^{1}} H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\psi^{\prime}}\right) \\
\hookrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{J^{1} \cap\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \Pi^{\mathbb{Z}}\left(1+\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D}\right) / w^{\mathbb{Z}}\right)}\left(\Lambda_{\chi}^{D}, H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

which is $\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \varphi^{\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Iw}_{+} \times W_{E}$-equivariant. Taking the $\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \varphi^{\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Iw}_{+} \times W_{E}$-smooth part ( -$)^{\mathrm{sm}}$, we obtain an $\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \varphi^{\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Iw}_{+} \times W_{E}$-equivariant injection

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Hom}_{J^{1} \cap\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \Pi^{\mathbb{Z}}\left(1+\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D}\right) / w^{\mathbb{Z}}\right)}\left(\Lambda_{\chi}^{D}, \operatorname{Ind}_{J_{s}^{1}}^{J_{s}^{1}} H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\psi^{\prime}}\right)^{\mathrm{sm}} \\
\hookrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{J^{1} \cap\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \Pi^{\mathbb{Z}}\left(1+\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D}\right) / w^{\mathbb{Z}}\right)}\left(\Lambda_{\chi}^{D}, H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{sm}}
\end{gathered}
$$

By Lemma 4.8 (iii), the left hand side is identified with

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{J_{s}^{1} \cap\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \Pi^{\mathbb{Z}}\left(1+\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D}\right) / \varpi^{\mathbb{Z}}\right)}\left(\Lambda_{\chi}^{D}, H_{c}^{n-1}\left(\mathrm{IT}_{\mathrm{w}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)_{\psi^{\prime}}\right)^{\mathrm{sm}}
$$

On the other hand, in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 5.3, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Hom}_{J^{1} \cap\left(\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \Pi^{\mathbb{Z}}\left(1+\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D}\right) / w^{\mathbb{Z}}\right)}\left(\Lambda_{\chi}^{D}, H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{sm}} & \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \Pi^{\mathbb{Z}}\left(1+\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D}\right) / w^{\mathbb{Z}}}\left(\Lambda_{\chi}^{D}, \operatorname{Ind}_{J^{1}}^{J} H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \Pi^{\mathbb{Z}}\left(1+\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D}\right) / w^{\mathbb{Z}}}\left(\Lambda_{\chi}^{D}, \operatorname{Ind}_{G^{1}}^{G^{1} J} H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \Pi^{\mathbb{Z}}\left(1+\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D}\right) / w^{\mathbb{Z}}}\left(\Lambda_{\chi}^{D}, \operatorname{Ind}_{G^{1}}^{G} H_{\mathrm{LT}}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \Pi^{\mathbb{Z}}\left(1+\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D}\right) / w^{\mathbb{Z}}}\left(\Lambda_{\chi}^{D}, H_{\mathrm{LT}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, by Corollary 6.9 we have an $\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \varphi^{\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Iw}_{+} \times W_{E}$-equivariant injection

$$
\Lambda_{\chi}^{-1} \boxtimes\left(\tau \otimes \nu_{\chi} \otimes \phi\right)\left(\frac{1-n}{2}\right) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_{F}^{\times} \Pi^{\mathbb{Z}}\left(1+\Pi \mathcal{O}_{D}\right) / \varpi^{\mathbb{Z}}}\left(\Lambda_{\chi}^{D}, H_{\mathrm{LT}}\right) .
$$

This induces a non-zero $J$-equivariant map

$$
\Lambda_{\chi}^{-1} \boxtimes \Lambda_{\chi}^{D} \boxtimes\left(\tau \otimes \nu_{\chi} \otimes \phi\right)\left(\frac{1-n}{2}\right) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{LT}}
$$

which corresponds to a non-zero $G$-equivariant map

$$
\pi_{\chi}^{\vee} \boxtimes \rho_{\chi} \boxtimes \operatorname{Ind}_{W_{E}}^{W_{F}}\left(\tau \otimes \nu_{\chi} \otimes \phi\right)\left(\frac{1-n}{2}\right) \rightarrow H_{\mathrm{LT}}
$$

by the Frobenius reciprocity. Since $\pi_{\chi}^{\vee}$ is supercuspidal and its central character is trivial on $\varpi^{\mathbb{Z}}$, Theorem 4.2 tells us that there exists a non-zero map $\rho_{\chi} \boxtimes \operatorname{Ind}_{W_{E}}^{W_{F}}(\tau \otimes$ $\left.\nu_{\chi} \otimes \phi\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{JL}\left(\pi_{\chi}\right) \boxtimes \operatorname{rec}_{F}\left(\pi_{\chi}\right)$. As $\rho_{\chi}$ and $\mathrm{JL}\left(\pi_{\chi}\right)$ are irreducible, we have $\rho_{\chi}=\mathrm{JL}\left(\pi_{\chi}\right)$. As $\operatorname{rec}_{F}\left(\pi_{\chi}\right)$ is irreducible and $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ind}_{W_{E}}^{W_{F}}\left(\tau \otimes \nu_{\chi} \otimes \phi\right)=n^{\prime} \operatorname{dim} \tau=n^{\prime} p^{e}=n=$ $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{rec}_{F}\left(\pi_{\chi}\right)$ (see Proposition 6.10), we conclude that $\operatorname{rec}_{F}\left(\pi_{\chi}\right)=\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{E}}^{W_{F}}\left(\tau \otimes \nu_{\chi} \otimes \phi\right)$.

Remark 6.12 In the proof above, we do not need any information about the representation $\tau$ except its dimension. The irreducibility of $\operatorname{Ind}_{W_{E}}^{W_{F}}\left(\tau \otimes \nu_{\chi} \otimes \phi\right)$ is also a consequence of the proof.

## A Some ring theory over $k^{\circ}$

Let $k$ be a complete non-archimedean field. As in Section2, $k^{\circ}$ denotes the valuation ring of $k, \mathfrak{m}$ the maximal ideal of $k^{\circ}$, and $\kappa$ the residue field of $k^{\circ}$. Choose a non-zero element $\varpi \in \mathfrak{m}$. In this appendix, we collect some useful facts on algebras over $k^{\circ}$. For a $k^{\circ}$-algebra $A$, we consider the following three properties:
(a) $A$ is $\varpi$-torsion free (in other words, flat over $k^{\circ}$ ).
(b) $A / \mathfrak{m} A$ is an integral domain.
(c) For every non-zero element $f \in A$, there exist $c_{f} \in k^{\circ}$ and $f^{\prime} \in A \backslash \mathfrak{m} A$ such that $f=c_{f} f^{\prime}$.

Proposition A. 1 Assume that $A$ satisfies the properties (a), (b) and (c). Then the following hold.
(i) The ring $A$ is an integral domain.
(ii) For $f \in A \backslash \mathfrak{m} A, A /(f)$ is flat over $k^{\circ}$.

Proof. We prove (i). First, by the property (b), we have $A \neq 0$. Let $f$ and $g$ be nonzero elements in $A$. Take decompositions $f=c_{f} f^{\prime}$ and $g=c_{g} g^{\prime}$ as in the property (c). Clearly $c_{f} c_{g} \neq 0$ in $k^{\circ}$. By (b), we have $f^{\prime} g^{\prime} \in A \backslash \mathfrak{m} A$, and then $f^{\prime} g^{\prime} \neq 0$. Hence, by (a) we conclude that $f g=\left(c_{f} c_{g}\right)\left(f^{\prime} g^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$, as desired.

Next consider (ii). Take $a \in A$ such that $\varpi a \in(f)$ and prove $a \in(f)$. Write $\varpi a=f g$ with $g \in A$. We may assume that $a \neq 0$, which implies that $g \neq 0$ by (a). Take a decomposition $g=c_{g} g^{\prime}$ as in (c). Since $c_{g} \neq 0$, we have either $c_{g} / \varpi \in k^{\circ}$ or $\varpi / c_{g} \in \mathfrak{m}$. If the latter holds, then $f g^{\prime}=\left(\varpi / c_{g}\right) a$ lies in $\mathfrak{m} A$. As $f, g^{\prime} \in A \backslash \mathfrak{m} A$, this contradicts the property (b). Therefore $c_{g} / \varpi$ lies in $k^{\circ}$, and $a=\left(c_{g} / \varpi\right) f g^{\prime}$ lies in $(f)$.

Corollary A. 2 Assume that $A$ satisfies the properties (a), (b) and (c). Fix an integer $q>1$. Let $\left(f_{m}\right)_{m \geq 1}$ and $\left(g_{m}\right)_{m \geq 1}$ be sequences of elements in $A$ satisfying the following:

$$
f_{m+1}^{q}=f_{m}, \quad g_{m+1}^{q}=g_{m}, \quad f_{1}-g_{1} \in A \backslash \mathfrak{m} A .
$$

Then the $k^{\circ}$-algebra $A /\left(f_{m}-g_{m} \mid m \geq 1\right)$ is flat over $k^{\circ}$.
Proof. Put $I=\left(f_{m}-g_{m} \mid m \geq 1\right)$ and $I_{m}=\left(f_{m}-g_{m}\right)$. Since $f_{m}-g_{m}=$ $f_{m+1}^{q}-g_{m+1}^{q}=\left(f_{m+1}-g_{m+1}\right)\left(f_{m+1}^{q-1}+\cdots+g_{m+1}^{q-1}\right) \in I_{m+1}$, we have $I_{1} \subset I_{2} \subset \cdots$ and $I=\bigcup_{m \geq 1} I_{m}$. Therefore $A / I \cong \underline{\lim }_{m} A / I_{m}$, and it suffices to prove that $A / I_{m}$ is flat over $k^{\overline{0}}$ for each $m$.

If $f_{m}-g_{m}$ belongs to $\mathfrak{m} A, I_{m}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{m} A$, and then $f_{1}-g_{1} \in I_{m}$ lies in $\mathfrak{m} A$. Hence the assumption $f_{1}-g_{1} \in A \backslash \mathfrak{m} A$ implies that $f_{m}-g_{m} \in A \backslash \mathfrak{m} A$. Now Proposition A. 1 (ii) tells us that $A / I_{m}$ is flat over $k^{\circ}$.

Proposition A. 3 Let $\widehat{A}=\lim _{\varliminf_{m}} A / \varpi^{m} A$ denote the $\varpi$-adic completion of $A$. If $A$ satisfies the property (a) (resp. (b), resp. (c)), so does $\widehat{A}$.

Proof. Assume that $A$ satisfies the property (a). Then, for every integer $m \geq 1$, the $\operatorname{map} A / \varpi^{m} A \xrightarrow{\times \varpi} A / \varpi^{m+1} A$ is an injection. By taking projective limit, we obtain the injectivity of $\widehat{A} \xrightarrow{\times \varpi} \widehat{A}$. Hence $\widehat{A}$ satisfies (a).

Next assume that $A$ satisfies (b). By [FK13, Chapter 0, Lemma 7.2.8, Corollary 7.2.9, Proposition 7.2.15], we have $\widehat{A} / \varpi \widehat{A} \cong A / \varpi A$. By taking base change $(-) \otimes_{k^{\circ} / \varpi k^{\circ}} k^{\circ} / \mathfrak{m}$, we have $\widehat{A} / \mathfrak{m} \widehat{A} \cong A / \mathfrak{m} A$. Therefore $\widehat{A} / \mathfrak{m} \widehat{A}$ is also an integral domain, and $\widehat{A}$ satisfies the property (b).

Finally assume that $A$ satisfies (c). We write $i$ for the natural homomorphism $A \rightarrow \widehat{A}$. Take an arbitrary non-zero element $a \in \widehat{A}$. By FK13, Chapter 0, Proposition 7.2 .15$], \widehat{A}$ is $\varpi$-adically complete, hence $\varpi$-adically separated. Thus there exists an integer $m \geq 0$ such that $a \notin \varpi^{m} \widehat{A}$. Since $\widehat{A} / \varpi^{m} \widehat{A} \cong A / \varpi^{m} A$, we can find $f \in A$ such that $a-i(f) \in \varpi^{m} \widehat{A}$. Since $a \notin \varpi^{m} \widehat{A}$, we have $i(f) \notin \varpi^{m} \widehat{A}$. In particular $f \neq 0$, therefore, by the property (c) for $A$, we have a decomposition $f=c_{f} f^{\prime}$ with $c_{f} \in k^{\circ}$ and $f^{\prime} \in A \backslash \mathfrak{m} A$. As $i(f) \notin \varpi^{m} \widehat{A}, c_{f}$ does not lie in $\varpi^{m} k^{\circ}$. Hence we have $\varpi^{m} / c_{f} \in \mathfrak{m}$.

If we write $a-i(f)=\varpi^{m} a_{1}$ with $a_{1} \in \widehat{A}$, then

$$
a=i(f)+\varpi^{m} a_{1}=c_{f}\left(i\left(f^{\prime}\right)+\left(\varpi^{m} / c_{f}\right) a_{1}\right)
$$

Since $A / \mathfrak{m} A \cong \widehat{A} / \mathfrak{m} \widehat{A}$, we have $i\left(f^{\prime}\right) \in \widehat{A} \backslash \mathfrak{m} \widehat{A}$. Together with $\left(\varpi^{m} / c_{f}\right) a_{1} \in \mathfrak{m} \widehat{A}$, we obtain $i\left(f^{\prime}\right)+\left(\varpi^{m} / c_{f}\right) a_{1} \in \widehat{A} \backslash \mathfrak{m} \widehat{A}$. Therefore $a=c_{f}\left(i\left(f^{\prime}\right)+\left(\varpi^{m} / c_{f}\right) a_{1}\right)$ gives the desired decomposition.

Corollary A. 4 Assume that $A$ is $\varpi$-adically complete and satisfies the properties (a), (b) and (c). Fix an integer $q>1$ and let $\left(f_{m}\right)_{m \geq 1}$ and $\left(g_{m}\right)_{m \geq 1}$ be as in Corollary A.2. We denote by $\bar{I}$ the closure in $A$ of the ideal $I=\left(f_{m}-g_{m} \mid m \geq 1\right)$. Then, $A / \bar{I}$ is flat over $k^{\circ}$.

Proof. By Corollary A.2, $A / I$ is $\varpi$-torsion free. By FK13, Chapter 0, Proposition 7.4.5], the $\varpi$-adic completion of $A / I$ equals $A / \bar{I}$. Hence Proposition A. 3 tells us that $A / \bar{I}$ is also $\varpi$-torsion free, that is, flat over $k^{\circ}$.

Example A. 5 Let $n \geq 0$ be an integer.
(i) The polynomial ring $k^{\circ}\left[T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right]$ obviously satisfies the properties (a), (b), (c).
(ii) Suppose that the characteristic of $\kappa=k^{\circ} / \mathfrak{m}$ is $p>0$. Let $q$ be a power of $p$ and put $k^{\circ}\left[T_{1}^{q^{-\infty}}, \ldots, T_{n}^{q^{-\infty}}\right]=\underset{\longrightarrow T_{i} \mapsto T_{i}^{q}}{ } k^{\circ}\left[T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right]$. Then, $k^{\circ}\left[T_{1}^{q^{-\infty}}, \ldots, T_{n}^{q^{-\infty}}\right]$ satisfies the properties (a), (b), (c). Indeed, (a) and (b) follow from (i), since these are preserved by filtered inductive limits. The property (c) follows from that on $k^{\circ}\left[T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right]$ and the fact that $\kappa\left[T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right] \rightarrow \kappa\left[T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right] ; T_{i} \mapsto T_{i}^{q}$ is an injection.
(iii) Under the same assumption as in (ii), let $k^{\circ}\left\langle T_{1}^{q^{-\infty}}, \ldots, T_{n}^{q^{-\infty}}\right\rangle$ denote the $\varpi$ adic completion of $k^{\circ}\left[T_{1}^{q^{-\infty}}, \ldots, T_{n}^{q^{-\infty}}\right]$. Then, Proposition A. 3 tells us that $k^{\circ}\left\langle T_{1}^{q^{-\infty}}, \ldots, T_{n}^{q^{-\infty}}\right\rangle$ satisfies the properties (a), (b), (c).

Corollary A. 6 Let $A=k^{\circ}\left\langle T_{1}^{q^{-\infty}}, \ldots, T_{n}^{q^{-\infty}}\right\rangle$ be as in Example A.5 (iii), and $\left(f_{m}\right)_{m \geq 1}$, $\left(g_{m}\right)_{m \geq 1}$ sequences of elements in $A$ satisfying $f_{m+1}^{q}=f_{m}$ and $g_{m+1}^{q}=g_{m}$. We denote by $\bar{I}$ the closure in $A$ of the ideal $I=\left(f_{m}-g_{m} \mid m \geq 1\right)$. Assume that $\operatorname{dim}\left(A / \bar{I} \otimes_{k^{\circ}} \kappa\right)<n$. Then, $A / \bar{I}$ is flat over $k^{\circ}$.

Proof. Since $A / \bar{I}$ is the $\varpi$-adic completion of $A / I$, as in the proof of Proposition A. 3 we have $A / \bar{I} \otimes_{k^{\circ}} \kappa=A / I \otimes_{k^{\circ}} \kappa=\kappa\left[T_{1}^{q^{-\infty}}, \ldots, T_{n}^{q^{-\infty}}\right] /\left(\overline{f_{m}}-\overline{g_{m}} \mid m \geq 1\right)$, where $\overline{f_{m}}$ and $\overline{g_{m}}$ denote the images of $f_{m}$ and $g_{m}$ in $\kappa\left[T_{1}^{q^{-\infty}}, \ldots, T_{n}^{q^{-\infty}}\right]$, respectively. Since $\kappa\left[T_{1}^{q^{-\infty}}, \ldots, T_{n}^{q^{-\infty}}\right]$ is $n$-dimensional, the condition $\operatorname{dim}\left(A / \bar{I} \otimes_{k^{\circ}} \kappa\right)<n$ implies that $\overline{f_{m}}-\overline{g_{m}} \neq 0$ for some $m \geq 1$. Since $\kappa\left[T_{1}^{q^{-\infty}}, \ldots, T_{n}^{q^{-\infty}}\right]$ is an integral domain, we have $\overline{f_{1}}-\overline{g_{1}}=\left(\overline{f_{m}}-\overline{g_{m}}\right)^{q^{m-1}} \neq 0$, in other words, $f_{1}-g_{1} \notin \mathfrak{m} A$. Hence Corollary A. 4 tells us that $A / \bar{I}$ is flat over $k^{\circ}$, as desired.

## B Cohomology of Artin-Schreier sheaves

Let $k$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p>0$. Fix a non-trivial additive character $\psi: \mathbb{F}_{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. We write $\mathcal{L}_{\psi}$ for the rank 1 sheaf defined by $\psi$ and the $\mathbb{F}_{p}$-torsor $a^{p}-a=t$ over $\mathbb{A}^{1}=\operatorname{Spec} k[t]$.

Remark B. 1 For $m \geq 1$, let $\psi_{m}$ be the additive character $\psi \circ \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_{p^{m}} / \mathbb{F}_{p}}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{m}}$. Then, $\mathcal{L}_{\psi}$ is the rank 1 sheaf defined by $\psi_{m}$ and the $\mathbb{F}_{p^{m}}$-torsor $a^{p^{m}}-a=t$ over $\mathbb{A}^{1}$.

Lemma B. 2 Let $d \geq 1$ be an integer prime to $p$, and $\phi$ denote the morphism $\mathbb{A}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1} ; t \mapsto t^{d}$. Then the following hold.
(i) We have $\operatorname{dim} H_{c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{A}^{1}, \phi^{*} \mathcal{L}_{\psi}\right)=d-1$ and $H_{c}^{i}\left(\mathbb{A}^{1}, \phi^{*} \mathcal{L}_{\psi}\right)=H^{i}\left(\mathbb{A}^{1}, \phi^{*} \mathcal{L}_{\psi}\right)=0$ for $i \neq 1$.
(ii) The canonical map $H_{c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{A}^{1}, \phi^{*} \mathcal{L}_{\psi}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathbb{A}^{1}, \phi^{*} \mathcal{L}_{\psi}\right)$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. In Del74, (8.11)], (i) and the following is proved:
(ii') the cup product pairing

$$
H_{c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{A}^{1}, \phi^{*} \mathcal{L}_{\psi}\right) \times H_{c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{A}^{1}, \phi^{*} \mathcal{L}_{\psi^{-1}}\right) \rightarrow H_{c}^{2}\left(\mathbb{A}^{1}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)=\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}(-1)
$$

is perfect.
The assertion (ii) follows from (ii') and the Poincaré duality.
Lemma B. 3 Fix an integer $n \geq 0$ and consider a non-degenerate quadratic form with $n$ variables $Q: \mathbb{A}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$. If $p=2$, we assume that $n$ is even. Then, we have $\operatorname{dim} H_{c}^{n}\left(\mathbb{A}^{n}, Q^{*} \mathcal{L}_{\psi}\right)=1$ and the canonical map $H_{c}^{n}\left(\mathbb{A}^{n}, Q^{*} \mathcal{L}_{\psi}\right) \rightarrow H^{n}\left(\mathbb{A}^{n}, Q^{*} \mathcal{L}_{\psi}\right)$ is bijective.

Proof. First assume that $p \neq 2$. Then, $Q$ is diagonalizable, hence the claim follows from Lemma B. 2 and the Künneth formula.

Next consider the case $p=2$. We may assume that $n>0$. Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ be the affine variety defined by $y^{2}-y=Q\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{c}^{n}\left(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)=H_{c}^{n}\left(\mathbb{A}^{n}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \oplus H_{c}^{n}\left(\mathbb{A}^{n}, Q^{*} \mathcal{L}_{\psi}\right)=H_{c}^{n}\left(\mathbb{A}^{n}, Q^{*} \mathcal{L}_{\psi}\right), \\
& H^{n}\left(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)=H^{n}\left(\mathbb{A}^{n}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \oplus H^{n}\left(\mathbb{A}^{n}, Q^{*} \mathcal{L}_{\psi}\right)=H^{n}\left(\mathbb{A}^{n}, Q^{*} \mathcal{L}_{\psi}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, it suffices to show that $\operatorname{dim} H_{c}^{n}\left(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)=1$ and the map $H_{c}^{n}\left(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow$ $H^{n}\left(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$ is a bijection. Consider the quadric $\bar{X}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n+1}$ defined by the homogeneous equation $y^{2}-y z=Q\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, which includes $X$ as the complement of the hyperplane section $z=0$. We will show that $\bar{X}$ is smooth over $k$. Since $Q$ is non-degenerate and $n$ is even, it is ordinary in the sense of [SGA7, Exposé XII]. Therefore, by changing variables, we may assume that $Q\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} x_{i+m}$, where we put $m=n / 2$ (see [SGA7, Exposé XII, Proposition 1.2]). In this case, the quadratic form $y(y-z)-\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} x_{i+m}$ is obviously ordinary; in other words, the quadric $\bar{X}$ is smooth over $k$. Now [SGA7, Exposé XII, $\S 3.6$ and Table 3.7] tells us that $\operatorname{dim} H_{c}^{n}\left(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)=1$ and $H_{c}^{n}\left(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right) \rightarrow H^{n}\left(X, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_{\ell}\right)$ is an isomorphism. This concludes the proof.

Example B. 4 For an integer $n \geq 0$ and $a \in k^{\times}$, the quadratic form

$$
\nu\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=a \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} x_{i} x_{j}
$$

is non-degenerate if $p \neq 2$ or $n$ is even.
Proof. If $p \neq 2$, this is proved in [T15a, Lemma 4.1]. Assume that $p=2$ and $n$ is even. For $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and $y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$, we have

$$
\Phi(x, y):=\nu(x+y)-\nu(x)-\nu(y)=a \sum_{i \neq j} x_{i} y_{j}
$$

This satisfies $\Phi\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)=0$ and $\Phi\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)=a$ for $i \neq j$, where $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ denote the standard basis. It suffices to show that $\Phi$ is non-degenerate. Take a non-zero element $x=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i} e_{i}$ with $a_{i} \in k$. Put $s=a_{1}+\cdots+a_{n}$. If $s-a_{i}=0$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have $0=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(s-a_{i}\right)=n s-s=s, a_{i}=s=0$ and $x=0$. Hence there exists $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $s-a_{j} \neq 0$. This $j$ satisfies $\Phi\left(x, e_{j}\right)=a\left(s-a_{j}\right) \neq 0$, which concludes that $\Phi$ is non-degenerate.
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