

On the C^1 regularity of solutions to divergence form elliptic systems with Dini-continuous coefficients

YanYan Li*
 Department of Mathematics
 Rutgers University
 110 Frelinghuysen Rd.
 Piscataway, NJ 08854-8019

Dedicated to Haim Brezis with friendship and admiration

Abstract We prove C^1 regularity of solutions to divergence form elliptic systems with Dini-continuous coefficients.

This note addresses a question raised to the author by Haim Brezis, in connection with his solution of a conjecture of Serrin concerning divergence form second order elliptic equations (see [1] and [2]). If the coefficients of the equations (or systems) are Hölder continuous, then H^1 solutions are known to have Hölder continuous first derivatives. The question is what minimal regularity assumption of the coefficients would guarantee C^1 regularity of all H^1 solutions. See [3] for answers to some other related questions of Haim.

Consider the elliptic system for vector-valued functions $u = (u^1, \dots, u^N)$,

$$\partial_\alpha (A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x) \partial_\beta u^j) = 0, \quad \text{in } B_4, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

where B_4 is the ball in \mathbb{R}^n of 4 centered at the origin. The coefficients $\{A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\}$ satisfy, for some positive constants Λ and λ ,

$$|A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x)| \leq \Lambda, \quad x \in B_4, \quad (1)$$

$$\int_{B_4} A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x) \partial_\alpha \eta^i \partial_\beta \eta^j \geq \lambda \int_{B_4} |\nabla \eta|^2, \quad \forall \eta \in H_0^1(B_4, \mathbb{R}^N), \quad (2)$$

*Partially supported by an NSF grant.

and

$$\int_0^1 r^{-1} \bar{\varphi}(r) dr < \infty, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\bar{\varphi}(r) := \sup_{x \in B_3} \left(\int_{B_r(x)} |A - A(x)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (4)$$

Main Theorem. *Suppose that $\{A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\}$ satisfy the above assumptions, and $u \in H^1(B_4, \mathbb{R}^N)$ is a solution of the elliptic system. Then u is C^1 in B_1 .*

Remark. For elliptic equations with coefficients satisfying α -increasing Dini conditions, a proof of the C^1 regularity of u can be found, in e.g. [[5], Theorem 5.1] as pointed out in [1] and [2].

Question. *If we replace $\bar{\varphi}$ in (3) by*

$$\hat{\varphi}(r) := \sup_{x \in B_3} \left(\int_{B_r(x)} |A - \bar{A}_{B_r(x)}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (5)$$

with $\bar{A}_{B_r(x)} := \int_{B_r(x)} A$, does the conclusion of the Main Theorem still hold?

Let $B_r(x) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ denote the ball of radius r and centered at x . We often write B_r for $B_r(0)$, and rB_1 for B_r . Consider elliptic systems

$$\partial_\alpha (A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x) \partial_\beta u^j) = h_i + \partial_\beta f_i^\beta, \quad \text{in } B_4, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \quad (6)$$

where α, β are summed from 1 to n , while i, j are summed from 1 to N . The coefficients $\{A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\}$, often denoted by A , satisfy, for some positive constants Λ and λ , (1), (2) and (3), with $\bar{\varphi}$ given by (4).

Theorem 1.1 *For $B_4 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 1$, let A , Λ , λ , φ be as above, $\{h_i\}, \{f_i^\beta\} \in C^\alpha(B_4)$ for some $\alpha > 0$, and let $u \in H^1(B_4, \mathbb{R}^N)$, $N \geq 1$, be a solution of (6). Then $u \in C^1(B_1)$. Moreover, the modulus of continuity of ∇u in B_1 can be controlled in terms of $\bar{\varphi}$, n , N , Λ , λ , α , $\|h\|_{C^\alpha(B_2)}$ and $\|f\|_{C^\alpha(B_2)}$.*

Remark 1.1 *Assumption (3) is weaker than A being Dini-continuous.*

Remark 1.2 *The conclusion of Theorem 1.1 still holds (the dependence on α , $\|h\|_{C^\alpha(B_2)}$ and $\|f\|_{C^\alpha(B_2)}$ is changed accordingly) if $\{h_i\} \in L^p(B_4)$ for some $p > n$, and f satisfies*

$$\int_0^1 r^{-1} \bar{\psi}(r) dr < \infty, \quad \text{where } \bar{\psi}(r) := \sup_{x \in B_3} \left(\int_{B_r(x)} |f - f(x)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Remark 1.3 *This note was written in 2008. It was intended to be published after having an answer to the question raised above.*

Theorem 1.1 follows from the following two propositions.

Proposition 1.1 For $B_4 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 1$, let Λ , λ , N be as above, and let A satisfy (1), (2), and

$$\left(\int_{B_r} |A - A(0)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \varphi(r), \quad 0 < r < 1, \quad (7)$$

for some non-negative function φ on $(0, 1)$ satisfying, for some $\mu > 1$,

$$\max_{r/2 \leq s \leq 2r} \varphi(s) \leq \mu \varphi(r), \quad \int_0^1 r^{-1} \varphi(r) dr < \infty. \quad (8)$$

Assume that $h, f \in C^\alpha(B_4)$ for some $\alpha > 0$, and $u \in H^1(B_4, \mathbb{R}^N)$ is a solution of (6). Then there exist $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\int_{B_r} |u(x) - [a + b \cdot x]| dx \leq r \delta(r) [\|u\|_{L^2(B_2)} + \|h\|_{C^\alpha(B_2)} + [f]_{C^\alpha(B_2)}], \quad \forall 0 < r < 1, \quad (9)$$

where $\delta(r)$, depending only on φ , $n, \lambda, \Lambda, N, \mu, \alpha$, satisfies $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \delta(r) = 0$.

Proposition 1.2 Let u be a Lebesgue integrable function on $B_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 1$, and let $\delta(r)$ be a monotonically increasing positive function defined on $(0, 1)$ satisfying $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \delta(r) = 0$. Assume that for every $\bar{x} \in B_{1/4}$, there exist $a(\bar{x}) \in \mathbb{R}$, $b(\bar{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\int_{B_r(\bar{x})} |u(x) - [a(\bar{x}) + b(\bar{x}) \cdot (x - \bar{x})]| dx \leq r \delta(r), \quad \forall 0 < r < 1/2. \quad (10)$$

Then u , after changing its values on a zero Lebesgue measure set, belongs to $C^1(B_{1/4})$, with $u \equiv a$ and $\nabla u \equiv b$. Moreover, for some dimensional constant C ,

$$|\nabla u(x) - \nabla u(y)| \leq C \delta(4|x - y|), \quad \forall x, y \in B_{1/4}. \quad (11)$$

Similar results hold for Dirichlet problem: Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 1$, be a domain with smooth boundary, let Λ and λ be positive constants, and let A satisfy, for $N \geq 1$,

$$|A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x)| \leq \Lambda, \quad x \in \Omega,$$

$$\int_{\Omega} A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x) \partial_\alpha \eta^i \partial_\beta \eta^j \geq \lambda \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \eta|^2, \quad \forall \eta \in H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N),$$

$$\int_0^1 r^{-1} \bar{\psi}(r) dr < \infty,$$

where

$$\bar{\psi}(r) := \sup_{x \in \Omega} \left(\int_{B_r(x) \cap \Omega} |A - A(x)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\alpha (A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x) \partial_\beta u^j) &= h_i + \partial_\beta f_i^\beta, \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad i = 1, \dots, N, \\ u &= g, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.2 ([4]) *Assume the above, and let $h, f \in C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega})$ and $g \in C^{1,\alpha}(\partial\Omega)$ for some $\alpha > 0$. Then an $H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ solution u to the above Dirichlet problem is in $C^1(\overline{\Omega})$.*

Our proof of Proposition 1.1, based on the general perturbation Lemma 3.1 in [6], is similar to that of Proposition 4.1 in [6].

Proof of Proposition 1.2. For any $\bar{x} \in B_1$, we see from (10) that as $r \rightarrow 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r(\bar{x})} |u(x) - a(\bar{x})| dx &\leq \int_{B_r(\bar{x})} |u(x) - [a(\bar{x}) + b(\bar{x}) \cdot (x - \bar{x})]| dx \\ &\quad + \int_{B_r(\bar{x})} |b(\bar{x}) \cdot (x - \bar{x})| dx \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by a theorem of Lebesgue, $a = u$ a.e. in B_1 . We now take $u \equiv a$, after changing the values of u on a zero measure set. Let $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in B_{1/4}$ satisfy, for some positive integer k , $2^{-(k+1)} \leq |\bar{x} - \bar{y}| \leq 2^{-k}$. By (10), we have, for some dimensional constant C ,

$$\begin{aligned} &|u(\bar{x}) - [u(\bar{y}) + b(\bar{y}) \cdot (\bar{x} - \bar{y})]| \\ &= \left| \int_{B_{2^{-k}}(\bar{x})} \{[u(\bar{x}) + b(\bar{x}) \cdot (x - \bar{x})] - [u(\bar{y}) + b(\bar{y}) \cdot (x - \bar{y})]\} dx \right| \\ &\leq \int_{B_{2^{-k}}(\bar{x})} |u(x) - [u(\bar{x}) + b(\bar{x}) \cdot (x - \bar{x})]| dx \\ &\quad + \int_{B_{2^{-k}}(\bar{x})} |u(x) - [u(\bar{y}) + b(\bar{y}) \cdot (x - \bar{y})]| dx \\ &\leq \int_{B_{2^{-k}}(\bar{x})} |u(x) - [u(\bar{x}) + b(\bar{x}) \cdot (x - \bar{x})]| dx \\ &\quad + 2^n \int_{B_{2^{-(k-1)}}(\bar{y})} |u(x) - [u(\bar{y}) + b(\bar{y}) \cdot (x - \bar{y})]| dx \\ &\leq C 2^{-k} \delta (2^{-(k-1)}) \leq C |\bar{x} - \bar{y}| \delta (4|\bar{x} - \bar{y}|). \end{aligned}$$

Switching the roles of \bar{x} and \bar{y} leads to

$$|u(\bar{y}) - [u(\bar{x}) + b(\bar{x}) \cdot (\bar{y} - \bar{x})]| \leq C |\bar{y} - \bar{x}| \delta (4|\bar{y} - \bar{x}|). \quad (12)$$

Thus, by the above two inequalities and the triangle inequality,

$$|b(\bar{x}) - b(\bar{y})| \leq 2C \delta (4|\bar{x} - \bar{y}|). \quad (13)$$

The conclusion of Proposition 1.2 follows from (12) and (13).

Proof of Proposition 1.1. For simplicity, we prove it for $h = 0, f = 0$ — the general case only requires minor changes. We may assume without loss of generality that $\varphi(1) \leq \epsilon_0, \int_0^1 r^{-1} \varphi(r) dr \leq \epsilon_0$, for some small universal constant $\epsilon_0 > 0$. This can be achieved by working with $u(\delta_0 x)$ for some δ_0 satisfying $\varphi(\delta_0) \leq \epsilon_0$ and $\int_0^{\delta_0} r^{-1} \varphi(r) dr < \epsilon_0$. The

smallness of ϵ_0 will be either obvious or specified in the proof. In the proof, a universal constant means that it depends only on $\varphi, n, \lambda, \Lambda, N, \mu$. We assume that u is normalized to satisfy $\|u\|_{L^2(B_2)} = \varphi(4^{-1})$. We often write $\partial_\alpha(A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\beta u^j)$ as $\partial(A\partial u)$. For $k \geq 0$, let

$$A_{k+1}(x) = A(4^{-(k+1)}x), \quad \bar{A} = A(0).$$

We will find $w_k \in H^1(\frac{3}{4^{k+1}}B_1, \mathbb{R}^N)$, such that for all $k \geq 0$,

$$\partial(\bar{A}\partial w_k) = 0, \quad \frac{3}{4^{k+1}}B_1, \quad (14)$$

$$\|w_k\|_{L^2(\frac{2}{4^{k+1}}B_1)} \leq C'4^{-\frac{k(n+2)}{2}}\varphi(4^{-k}), \quad \|\nabla w_k\|_{L^\infty(\frac{1}{4^{k+1}}B_1)} \leq C'\varphi(4^{-k}), \quad (15)$$

$$\|\nabla^2 w_k\|_{L^\infty(\frac{1}{4^{k+1}}B_1)} \leq C'4^k\varphi(4^{-k}), \quad (16)$$

$$\|u - \sum_{j=0}^k w_j\|_{L^2((\frac{1}{4})^{k+1}B_1)} \leq 4^{-\frac{(k+1)(n+2)}{2}}\varphi(4^{-(k+1)}). \quad (17)$$

An easy consequence of (15) is

$$\|w_k\|_{L^\infty(4^{-(k+1)}B_1)} \leq C'4^{-k}\varphi(4^{-k}). \quad (18)$$

Here and in the following C, C' and ϵ_0 denote various universal constants. In particular, they are independent of k . C will be chosen first and will be large, then C' (much larger than C), and finally $\epsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$ (much smaller than $1/C'$).

By Lemma 3.1 in [6], we can find $w_0 \in H^1(\frac{3}{4}B_1, \mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$\partial(\bar{A}\partial w_0) = 0, \quad \text{in } \frac{3}{4}B_1,$$

$$\|u - w_0\|_{L^2(\frac{1}{2}B_1)} \leq C\epsilon_0^2\|u\|_{L^2(B_1)} \leq 4^{-\frac{n+2}{2}}\varphi(4^{-1}).$$

So

$$\|w_0\|_{L^2(\frac{1}{2}B_1)}, \|\nabla w_0\|_{L^\infty(\frac{1}{4}B_1)}, \|\nabla^2 w_0\|_{L^\infty(\frac{1}{4}B_1)} \leq C\varphi(1) \leq C'\varphi(1).$$

We have verified (14)-(17) for $k = 0$. Suppose that (14)-(17) hold up to k ($k \geq 0$); we will prove them for $k + 1$. Let

$$W(x) = [u - \sum_{j=0}^k w_j](4^{-(k+1)}x),$$

$$g_{k+1}(x) = 4^{-(k+1)}\{[\bar{A} - A_{k+1}](x) \sum_{j=0}^k (\partial w_j)(4^{-(k+1)}x)\}.$$

Then W satisfies

$$\partial(A_{k+1}\partial W) = \partial(g_{k+1}), \quad B_1.$$

A simple calculation yields, using (8),

$$\|A_{k+1} - \bar{A}\|_{L^2(B_1)} = \sqrt{|B_1|\varphi(4^{-(k+1)})} \leq C(n, \mu)\varphi(4^{-(k+2)}).$$

By the induction hypothesis (see (15)-(17)),

$$\sum_{j=0}^k |(\nabla w_j)(4^{-(k+1)}x)| \leq C' \sum_{j=0}^k \varphi(4^{-j}) \leq C(n)C' \int_0^1 r^{-1}\varphi(r)dr \leq C(n)C'\epsilon_0, \quad x \in B_1,$$

$$\sum_{j=0}^k |(\nabla^2 w_j)(4^{-(k+1)}x)| \leq C' \sum_{j=0}^k 4^j \varphi(4^{-j}), \quad x \in B_1,$$

$$\|W\|_{L^2(B_1)} \leq 4^{-(k+1)}\varphi(4^{-(k+1)}) \leq C(\mu)4^{-(k+2)}\varphi(4^{-(k+2)}),$$

$$\|g_{k+1}\|_{L^2(B_1)} \leq C(n, \mu)C'\epsilon_0 4^{-(k+2)}\varphi(4^{-(k+2)}).$$

By Lemma 3.1 in [6], there exists $v_{k+1} \in H^1(\frac{3}{4}B_1, \mathbb{R}^N)$ such that

$$\partial(\bar{A}\partial v_{k+1}) = 0, \quad \text{in } \frac{3}{4}B_1,$$

and, for some universal constant $\gamma > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|W - v_{k+1}\|_{L^2(\frac{1}{2}B_1)} &\leq C(\|g_{k+1}\|_{L^2(B_1)} + \epsilon_0^\gamma \|W\|_{L^2(B_1)}) \\ &\leq C(C'\epsilon_0 + \epsilon_0^\gamma)4^{-(k+2)}\varphi(4^{-(k+2)}). \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Let

$$w_{k+1}(x) = v_{k+1}(4^{k+1}x), \quad x \in \frac{3}{4^{k+2}}B_1.$$

A change of variables in (19) and in the equation of v_{k+1} yields (14) and (17) for $k+1$. It follows from the above that

$$\|\nabla^2 v_{k+1}\|_{L^\infty(\frac{1}{4}B_1)} + \|\nabla v_{k+1}\|_{L^\infty(\frac{1}{4}B_1)} \leq C\|v_{k+1}\|_{L^2(\frac{1}{2}B_1)} \leq C4^{-(k+1)}\varphi(4^{-(k+1)}).$$

Estimates (15) for $k+1$ follow from the above estimates for v_{k+1} . We have, thus, established (14)-(17) for all k .

For $x \in 4^{-(k+1)}B_1$, using (15), (16), (18), (8) and Taylor expansion,

$$\left| \sum_{j=0}^k w_j(x) - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} w_j(0) - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \nabla w_j(0) \cdot x \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} (|w_j(0)| + |\nabla w_j(0)||x|) + \sum_{j=0}^k \|\nabla^2 w_j\|_{L^\infty(4^{-(k+1)}B_1)} |x|^2 \\
&\leq C \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} (4^{-j}\varphi(4^{-j}) + \varphi(4^{-j})|x|) + C \sum_{j=0}^k 4^j \varphi(4^{-j}) |x|^2 \\
&\leq C 4^{-(k+1)} \int_0^{4^{-k}} r^{-1} \varphi(r) dr + C |x|^2 \int_{\frac{|x|}{2}}^1 r^{-2} \varphi(r) dr. \tag{20}
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow 0} |x| \int_{\frac{|x|}{2}}^1 r^{-2} \varphi(r) dr = 0$, since (8) implies $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0^+} \varphi(r) = 0$.

We then derive from (17) and the above, using Hölder inequality, that, for some $\delta(r) = o(1)$ (as $r \rightarrow 0$), depending only on $\varphi, n, \lambda, \Lambda, N, \mu$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{4^{-(k+1)}B_1} |u(x) - (\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} w_j(0) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \nabla w_j(0) \cdot x)| dx \\
&\leq \left\| \sum_{j=0}^k w_j(x) - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (w_j(0) - \nabla w_j(0) \cdot x) \right\|_{L^1(4^{-(k+1)}B_1)} + \left\| u - \sum_{j=0}^k w_j(x) \right\|_{L^1(4^{-(k+1)}B_1)} \\
&= 4^{-(k+1)(n+1)} \delta(4^{-(k+1)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 1.1 follows from the above with $a = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} w_j(0)$ and $b = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \nabla w_j(0) \cdot x$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Fix a $\rho \in C_c^\infty(B_4)$, $\rho \equiv 1$ on B_3 , and let

$$\varphi(r) := \sup_{x \in \bar{B}_3} \left(\int_{B_r(x)} |(\rho A) - (\rho A)(x)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

It is easy to see that for some $\mu > 1$, φ satisfies (8). Indeed, since it is easily seen that

$$\varphi(r) \leq C(\bar{\varphi}(r) + r),$$

the second inequality follows. For the first inequality, we only need to show that $\varphi(2r) \leq C(n)\varphi(r)$, since the rest is obvious. For any \bar{x} , let $x_1 = \bar{x}, x_2, \dots, x_m$, $m = m(n)$, satisfy $B_{2r}(\bar{x}) \subset \cup_{i=1}^m B_{r/9}(x_i)$, and $|x_i - x_{i+1}| \leq r/9$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int_{B_{2r}(\bar{x})} |(\rho A) - (\rho A)(\bar{x})|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C(n) \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{B_{r/9}(x_i)} |(\rho A) - (\rho A)(\bar{x})|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C(n) \sum_{i=1}^m \left\{ \left(\int_{B_{r/9}(x_i)} |(\rho A) - (\rho A)(x_i)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + |(\rho A)(\bar{x}) - (\rho A)(x_i)| \right\} \\
&\leq C(n)\varphi(r) + C(n) \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} |(\rho A)(x_i) - (\rho A)(x_{i+1})|.
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(\rho A)(x_i) - (\rho A)(x_{i+1})| \\
&= \left| \int_{B_{r/9}(x_i)} [(\rho A) - (\rho A)(x_i)] - \int_{B_{r/9}(x_{i+1})} [(\rho A) - (\rho A)(x_{i+1})] \right| \\
&\leq C(n) \left(\int_{B_r(x_i)} |(\rho A) - (\rho A)(x_i)| + \int_{B_r(x_{i+1})} |(\rho A) - (\rho A)(x_{i+1})| \right) \leq C(n)\varphi(r),
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\left(\int_{B_{2r}(\bar{x})} |(\rho A) - (\rho A)(\bar{x})|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C(n)\varphi(r).$$

Thus $\varphi(2r) \leq C(n)\varphi(r)$.

For any $\bar{x} \in B_2$,

$$\left(\int_{B_r(\bar{x})} |A - A(\bar{x})|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \varphi(r), \quad 0 < r < 1/4.$$

Thus Theorem 1.1 follows from Proposition 1.1-1.2.

References

- [1] H. Brezis, On a conjecture of J. Serrin, *Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl.* 19 (2008) 335338.
- [2] H. Brezis: in "A. Ancona: Elliptic operators, conormal derivatives and positive parts of functions. With an appendix by Haim Brezis", *J. Funct. Anal.* 257 (2009), 21242158.
- [3] Tianling Jin, Vladimir Maz'ya and Jean Van Schaftingen, Pathological solutions to elliptic problems in divergence form with continuous coefficients, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* 347 (2009), no. 13-14, 773-778.
- [4] Y.Y. Li, in preparation.
- [5] G. Lieberman, Hölder continuity of the gradient of solutions of uniformly parabolic equations with conormal boundary conditions, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* 148 (1987), 77-99.
- [6] Y.Y. Li and L. Nirenberg, Estimates for elliptic systems from composite material, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 56 (2003), 892-925.