

STOCHASTIC COMPLETENESS AND GRADIENT REPRESENTATIONS FOR SUB-RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

ERLEND GRONG AND ANTON THALMAIER

ABSTRACT. Given a second order partial differential operator L satisfying the strong Hörmander condition with corresponding heat semigroup P_t , we give two different stochastic representations of $dP_t f$ for a bounded smooth function f . We show that the first identity can be used to prove infinite lifetime of a diffusion of $\frac{1}{2}L$, while the second one is used to find an explicit pointwise bound for the horizontal gradient on a Carnot groups. In both cases, the underlying idea is to consider the interplay between sub-Riemannian geometry and connections compatible with this geometry.

1. INTRODUCTION

A Brownian motion on a Riemannian manifold $(M, \mathbf{g})$ is a diffusion process with infinitesimal generator equal to one-half of the Laplace-Beltrami operator $\Delta_{\mathbf{g}}$ on M . If $(M, \mathbf{g})$ is a complete Riemannian manifold, a lower bound for the Ricci curvature is a sufficient condition for Brownian motion to have infinite lifetime [44]. Stated in terms of the minimal heat kernel $p_t(x, y)$ of $\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{\mathbf{g}}$, this means that $\int_M p_t(x, y) d\text{vol}(y) = 1$ for any $(t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times M$, where $\text{vol} = \text{vol}(\mathbf{g})$ is the Riemann volume density. Infinite lifetime of the Brownian motion is equivalent to uniqueness of solutions to the heat equation in L^∞ , see e.g. [19], [23, Section 5]. Furthermore, let P_t denote the minimal heat semigroup of $\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{\mathbf{g}}$ and let ∇f denote the gradient of a smooth function with respect to $\mathbf{g}$. Then a lower Ricci bound also guarantees that $t \mapsto \|\nabla P_t f\|_{L^\infty}$ is bounded on any finite interval whenever ∇f is bounded. This fact allows one to use the Γ_2 -calculus of Bakry-Émery, see e.g. [5, 6].

For any second order partial differential operator L on M , let $\sigma(L) \in \Gamma(\text{Sym}^2 TM)$ denote its symbol, i.e. the symmetric, bilinear tensor on the cotangent bundle T^*M uniquely determined by the relation

$$(1.1) \quad \sigma(L)(df, dg) = \frac{1}{2} (L(fg) - fLg - gLf), \quad f, g \in C^\infty(M).$$

If L is elliptic, then $\sigma(L)$ coincides with the cometric $\mathbf{g}^*$ of some Riemannian metric $\mathbf{g}$ and L can be written as $L = \Delta_{\mathbf{g}} + Z$ for some vector field Z . Hence, we can use the geometry of $\mathbf{g}$ along with the vector field Z to study the properties of the heat flow of L , see e.g. [43]. If $\sigma(L)$ is only positive semi-definite we can still associate a geometric structure known as a sub-Riemannian structure. Recently, several results have appeared linking sub-Riemannian geometric invariants to properties of

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60D05, 35P99, 53C17, 47B25.

Key words and phrases. Diffusion process, stochastic completeness, hypoelliptic operators, gradient bound, sub-Riemannian geometry.

This work has been supported by the Fonds National de la Recherche Luxembourg (project Open O14/7628746 GEOMREV).

diffusions of corresponding second order operators and their heat semigroup, see [7, 8, 10, 20, 21]. These results are based on a generalization of the Γ_2 -calculus for sub-Riemannian manifolds, first introduced in [9]. As in the Riemannian case, the preliminary requirements for using this Γ_2 -calculus is that the diffusion of L has infinite lifetime and that the gradient of a function does not become unbounded under the application of the heat semigroup.

Consider the following example of an operator L with positive semi-definite symbol. Let $(M, \mathbf{g})$ be a complete Riemannian manifold with a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ corresponding to an integrable distribution $\mathcal{V}$. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{V}$ with corresponding orthogonal projection $\text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}}$ and define a second order operator L on M by

$$(1.2) \quad Lf = \text{div}(\text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla f), \quad f \in C^\infty(M).$$

If $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies the bracket-generating condition, meaning that the sections of $\mathcal{H}$ along with their iterated brackets span the entire tangent bundle, then L is a hypoelliptic operator by Hörmander's classical theorem [25]. The operator L corresponds to the sub-Riemannian metric $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{g}|_{\mathcal{H}}$. Let us make the additional assumption that leaves of the foliation are totally geodesic submanifolds of M and that the foliation is Riemannian. If only the first order brackets are needed to span the entire tangent bundle, it is known that any $\frac{1}{2}L$ -diffusion X_t has infinite lifetime given certain curvature bounds [21, Theorem 3.4]. Furthermore, if $\mathcal{H}$ satisfies the Yang-Mills condition, then no assumption on the number of brackets needed to span the tangent bundle is necessary [10, Section 4], see Remark 3.8 for the definition of the Yang-Mills condition. Under the same restrictions, for any smooth function f with bounded gradient, $t \mapsto \|\nabla P_t f\|_{L^\infty}$ remains bounded on a finite interval.

We will show how to modify the argument in [10] to go beyond the requirement of the Yang-Mills condition and even beyond foliations. Our results are presented in Section 2.3 based on a Feynman-Kac representation of $dP_t f$. This representation follows from a Weitzenböck-type formula found in Section 3. In particular, we are able to show that the question of infinite lifetime of sub-Riemannian diffusions can be reduced to finding the lower bound of a single Ricci operator on M . We go into details in Section 3 where we also give the proofs of the main statements of Section 2.2 and 2.3. Using recent results of [16], we also show that our curvature requirement in the case of totally geodesic foliations implies that the Brownian motion of the full Riemannian metric $\mathbf{g}$ has infinite lifetime as well, see Section 3.6.

Our Feynman-Kac representation in Section 2.3 uses parallel transport with respect to a connection that does not preserve the horizontal bundle. We give an alternative stochastic representation $dP_t f$ using parallel transport along a connection that preserves our sub-Riemannian structure in Section 2.4. This rewritten representation allows us to give an explicit pointwise bound for the horizontal gradient in Carnot groups. For a smooth function f on M , the horizontal gradient $\nabla^{\text{hor}} f$ is defined by the condition that $\alpha(\nabla^{\text{hor}} f) = \sigma(L)(df, \alpha)$ for any $\alpha \in T^*M$. Carnot groups are the ‘flat model spaces’ in sub-Riemannian geometry in the sense that their role is similar to that of Euclidean spaces in Riemannian geometry. See Section 2.4 for the definition. It is known from [28] that, for Carnot groups, there exists constants C_p such that

$$(1.3) \quad \|\nabla^{\text{hor}} P_t f\|_{\mathbf{h}} \leq C_p (P_t \|\nabla^{\text{hor}} f\|_{\mathbf{h}}^p)^{1/p}, \quad p \in (1, \infty),$$

holds pointwise for any $t > 0$. The constant C_p has to be strictly larger than 1, see [14]. We give an explicit constant for Carnot groups. This result improves on the constant found in [4] for the special case of the Heisenberg group. Proofs and examples are given in Section 4.

At certain points we shall need some extra results concerning elements of functional analysis that are left to the appendices. Appendix A considers conditions under which the operator L as in (1.2) commutes spectrally with $\Delta_{\mathbf{g}}$. Appendix B finally deals with Feynman-Kac representations of semigroups whose generators are not necessarily self-adjoint.

2. CONNECTIONS ON SUB-RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS AND MAIN RESULTS

2.1. Sub-Riemannian manifolds. A *sub-Riemannian manifold* is a pair $(M, \mathbf{h}^*)$ where M is a connected manifold and $\mathbf{h}^*$ is a bilinear, positive semi-definite symmetric tensor on T^*M that degenerates along a subbundle. From the latter requirement, it follows that the image of the map

$$(2.1) \quad \sharp^{\mathbf{h}^*} : T^*M \rightarrow TM, \quad \alpha \mapsto \mathbf{h}^*(\alpha, \cdot),$$

is a subbundle as well. We denote this subbundle by $\mathcal{H} := \text{Image } \sharp^{\mathbf{h}^*}$. We call $\mathcal{H}$ the *horizontal bundle* of $(M, \mathbf{h}^*)$ and refer to its vectors and sections as horizontal as well. The kernel of the map $\sharp^{\mathbf{h}^*}$ is the subbundle $\text{Ann}(\mathcal{H})$ of T^*M consisting of all covectors that vanish on $\mathcal{H}$. Furthermore, the map (2.1) defines a positive-definite metric tensor $\mathbf{h}$ on $\mathcal{H}$ by the relation

$$\mathbf{h}(\sharp^{\mathbf{h}^*} \alpha, \sharp^{\mathbf{h}^*} \beta) := \mathbf{h}^*(\alpha, \beta).$$

Hence, a sub-Riemannian manifold can equivalently be defined as a triple $(M, \mathcal{H}, \mathbf{h})$ where $\mathcal{H}$ is a subbundle of the tangent bundle and $\mathbf{h}$ is a positive definite metric tensor defined only on $\mathcal{H}$. Going forward, we will refer to $\mathbf{h}^*$ and $(\mathcal{H}, \mathbf{h})$ interchangeably as a *sub-Riemannian structure* on M .

If we have a chosen smooth volume density vol on M in addition to $\mathbf{h}^*$, and hence a notion of divergence, there is a second order operator associated to vol and $\mathbf{h}^*$ given by

$$(2.2) \quad \Delta_{\mathbf{h}} f := \text{div } \sharp^{\mathbf{h}^*} df.$$

By means of definition (1.1), the symbol of $\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}$ satisfies $\sigma(\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}) = \mathbf{h}^*$. Locally the operator $\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}$ can be written as

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{h}} f = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i^2 f + A_0 f, \quad n = \text{rank } \mathcal{H},$$

where $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n$ are vector fields taking values in $\mathcal{H}$ such that $A_1, \dots, A_n$ form a local orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}$.

The horizontal bundle $\mathcal{H}$ is called *bracket-generating* if the sections of $\mathcal{H}$ along with its iterated brackets span the entire tangent bundle. The horizontal bundle is said to have *step k* at x if $k-1$ is the minimal order of iterated brackets needed to span $T_x M$. From the local expression of $\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}$, it follows that $\mathcal{H}$ is bracket-generating if and only if $\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}$ satisfies the *strong Hörmander condition* [25]. We shall assume that this condition indeed holds, giving us that $\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}$ is hypoelliptic and that

$$(2.3) \quad \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{h}}(x, y) := \sup \{ |f(x) - f(y)| : f \in C_c^\infty(M), \sigma(\Delta_{\mathbf{h}})(df, df) \leq 1 \},$$

is a well defined distance on M . Here, and in the rest of the paper, $C_c^\infty(M)$ denotes the smooth, compactly supported functions on M . Alternatively, the distance $\mathbf{d}_\mathbf{h}(x, y)$ can be realized as the infimum of the lengths of all absolutely continuous curves tangent to $\mathcal{H}$ and connecting x and y . The bracket-generating condition ensures that such curves always exist between any pair of points. For more information on sub-Riemannian manifolds, we refer to [30]. For the rest of the paper, we will always assume that $\mathcal{H}$ is bracket-generating, unless otherwise stated explicitly.

If $\Delta_\mathbf{h}$ satisfies the Hörmander condition and $\mathbf{d}_\mathbf{h}$ is a complete metric, then $\Delta_\mathbf{h}|_{C_c^\infty(M)}$ is essentially self-adjoint by [37, Chapter 12]. A Riemannian metric $\mathbf{g}$ on M is said to *tame* the sub-Riemannian metric $\mathbf{h}$ if $\mathbf{g}|_{\mathcal{H}} = \mathbf{h}$. If $\mathbf{d}_\mathbf{g}$ is the corresponding Riemannian distance, then $\mathbf{d}_\mathbf{g}(x, y) \leq \mathbf{d}_\mathbf{h}(x, y)$ for any $x, y \in M$, since curves tangent to $\mathcal{H}$ have equal length with respect to both metrics, but $\mathbf{d}_\mathbf{g}$ also considers the length over curves that are not tangent to $\mathcal{H}$. It follows that if $\mathbf{d}_\mathbf{g}$ is complete, then $\mathbf{d}_\mathbf{h}$ is a complete metric as well, as observed in [37, Theorem 7]. Hence, by [36, Theorem 2.4], if $\mathbf{g}$ is a complete Riemannian metric taming $\mathbf{h}$, then the sub-Laplacian $\Delta_\mathbf{h}$ with respect to the volume density of $\mathbf{g}$ and the Laplace-Beltrami operator $\Delta_\mathbf{g}$ are both essentially self adjoint on $C_c^\infty(M)$.

For the remainder of the paper, we make the following notational conventions. If $p : E \rightarrow M$ is a vector bundle, we denote by $\Gamma(E)$ the space of smooth sections of E and by $\Gamma_c(E)$ the smooth sections of compact support. If E is equipped with a connection ∇ or a (possibly degenerate) metric tensor $\mathbf{s}$, we denote the induced connections on E^* , $\bigwedge^2 E$, etc. by the same symbol, while the induced metric tensors are denoted by $\mathbf{s}^*$, $\bigwedge^2 \mathbf{s}$, etc. For elements e_1, e_2 , we write $\mathbf{s}(e_1, e_2) = \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle_\mathbf{s}$ and $\|e_1\|_\mathbf{s} = \langle e_1, e_1 \rangle_\mathbf{s}^{1/2}$ even in the cases when $\mathbf{s}$ is only positive semi-definite. If vol is a chosen volume density on M and f is a function on M , we write $\|f\|_{L^p}$ for the corresponding L^p -norm with the volume density being implicit. If $Z \in \Gamma(E)$ then $\|Z\|_{L^p(\mathbf{s})} := \|\|Z\|_\mathbf{s}\|_{L^p}$.

Let $(\mathcal{H}, \mathbf{h})$ be a sub-Riemannian structure on M and let $\mathbf{g}$ be a Riemannian metric taming it. We then denote the corresponding orthogonal projection to $\mathcal{H}$ by $\text{pr}_\mathcal{H}$. Let $\flat : TM \rightarrow T^*M$ be the vector bundle isomorphism $v \mapsto \langle v, \cdot \rangle_\mathbf{g}$ with inverse $\sharp$. The fact that $\mathbf{g}$ tames $\mathbf{h}$ is equivalent to the statement $\sharp^{\mathbf{h}^*} = \text{pr}_\mathcal{H} \sharp$. The orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{H}$ is usually denoted by $\mathcal{V}$ with corresponding orthogonal projection written as $\text{pr}_\mathcal{V}$. We write the rank of $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ as respectively n and ν .

For $x \in M$, if $\mathcal{A} \in \text{End } T_x^*M$ is an endomorphism then $\mathcal{A}^* \in \text{End } T_x^*M$ denotes its dual and $\mathcal{A}^\top \in \text{End } T_x M$ its transpose. In other words,

$$\langle \mathcal{A}\alpha, \beta \rangle_{\mathbf{g}^*} = \langle \alpha, \mathcal{A}^*\beta \rangle_{\mathbf{g}^*}, \quad (\mathcal{A}\alpha)(v) = \alpha(\mathcal{A}^\top v), \quad \alpha, \beta \in T_x^*M, \quad v \in M.$$

The same conventions apply for endomorphisms of TM .

If $\mathcal{H}$ is the horizontal bundle and $\mathcal{V}$ a choice of subbundle such that $TM = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{V}$, then the curvature $\mathcal{R}$ and the cocurvature $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\mathcal{V}$ are defined as

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{R}(A, Z) = \text{pr}_\mathcal{V}[\text{pr}_\mathcal{H} A, \text{pr}_\mathcal{H} Z], \quad \bar{\mathcal{R}}(A, Z) = \text{pr}_\mathcal{H}[\text{pr}_\mathcal{V} A, \text{pr}_\mathcal{V} Z],$$

for $A, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$. By definition, $\mathcal{R}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ are vector-valued two-forms.

For a general vector-valued k -form $T \in \Gamma(\bigwedge^k T^*M \otimes TM)$, including the case of vector fields $k = 0$, we denote the corresponding contraction operator on forms by ι_T . The contraction ι_T sends j -forms to $(j + k - 1)$ -forms and is defined by the following relations:

$$(i) \text{ If } \alpha \in \Gamma(\bigwedge^0 T^*M), \text{ then } \iota_T \alpha = 0,$$

- (ii) If $\alpha \in \Gamma(\bigwedge^1 T^*M)$, then $\iota_T \alpha = \alpha(T(\cdot)) \in \Gamma(\bigwedge^k T^*M)$.
- (iii) The contraction ι_T is a derivation of degree $k-1$, i.e. if $\alpha \in \Gamma(\bigwedge^i T^*M)$ and $\beta \in \Gamma(\bigwedge^j T^*M)$, then

$$\iota_T(\alpha \wedge \beta) = (\iota_T \alpha) \wedge \beta + (-1)^{i(k-1)} \alpha \wedge (\iota_T \beta).$$

2.2. Connections compatible with the metric. Let $\mathbf{h}^* \in \Gamma(\text{Sym}^2 TM)$ be a sub-Riemannian structure on M with horizontal bundle $\mathcal{H}$. For any two-tensor $\xi \in \Gamma(T^*M^{\otimes 2})$ we write $\text{tr}_{\mathbf{h}} \xi(\times, \times) := \xi(\mathbf{h}^*)$. We use this notation since for any $x \in M$ and any orthonormal basis $v_1, \dots, v_n$ of $\mathcal{H}_x$, we have $\text{tr}_{\mathbf{h}} \zeta(x)(\times, \times) = \sum_{i=1}^n \zeta(x)(v_i, v_i)$.

Let ∇ be a connection on TM and write ∇^2 for its Hessian,

$$\nabla_{A,B}^2 = \nabla_A \nabla_B - \nabla_{\nabla_A B}.$$

We make the following definitions.

- Definition 2.1.** (i) The operator $L(\nabla) := \text{tr}_{\mathbf{h}} \nabla_{\times, \times}^2$ on tensors is called the rough sub-Laplacian of ∇ .
- (ii) Let R^∇ denote the curvature of ∇ . The operator $\text{Ric}(\nabla) : T^*M \rightarrow T^*M$ is then given by

$$\text{Ric}(\nabla)\alpha = \text{tr}_{\mathbf{h}} \iota_{\times} R^\nabla(\times, \cdot)\alpha.$$

- (iii) The connection ∇ said to be compatible with $\mathbf{h}^*$ if $\nabla \mathbf{h}^* = 0$.

We start by making some comments regarding Definition 2.1. Note first that the condition $\nabla \mathbf{h}^* = 0$ is equivalent to requiring that ∇ preserves the horizontal bundle $\mathcal{H}$ under parallel transport and that $Z\mathbf{h}(A_1, A_2) = \mathbf{h}(\nabla_Z A_1, A_2) + \mathbf{h}(A_1, \nabla_Z A_2)$ for any $Z \in \Gamma(TM)$, $A_1, A_2 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$.

Let ∇ be a connection compatible with $\mathbf{h}^*$ and let $X_t(\cdot)$ be the stochastic flow to $\frac{1}{2}L(\nabla)$ with explosion time $\tau(\cdot)$. For any $x \in M$, let $//_t = //_t(x) : T_x M \rightarrow T_{X_t(x)} M$ be parallel transport along $X_t(x)$ with respect to ∇ . Using arguments similar to [20, Section 2.5], we know that the anti-development $W_t(x)$ at x determined by

$$dW_t(x) = //_t^{-1} \circ dX_t(x), \quad W_t(0) = 0 \in T_x M,$$

is a Brownian motion in the inner product space $(\mathcal{H}_x, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbf{h}(x)})$ with lifetime $\tau(x)$. Consider the semigroup P_t on bounded Borel measurable functions corresponding to $X_t(\cdot)$

$$P_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}[1_{t < \tau(x)} f(X_t(x))].$$

We want to make statements regarding the explosion time $\tau(\cdot)$ using connections that are compatible with $\mathbf{h}^*$.

Remark 2.2. For any sub-Riemannian manifold $(M, \mathcal{H}, \mathbf{h})$, the set of compatible connections is non-empty. Let $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}$ be any Riemannian metric on M and define $\mathcal{V}$ as the orthogonal complement to $\mathcal{H}$. Let $\text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}}$ be the corresponding orthonormal projections. Define

$$\mathbf{g} = \text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}}^* \mathbf{h} + \text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}}^* \tilde{\mathbf{g}}|_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Then $\mathbf{g}$ is a metric taming $\mathbf{h}$. Let $\nabla^{\mathbf{g}}$ be the Levi-Civita connection of $\mathbf{g}$ and define finally

$$\nabla^0 := \text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla^{\mathbf{g}} \text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}} + \text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}} \nabla^{\mathbf{g}} \text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}}.$$

Then ∇^0 is compatible with $\mathbf{h}^*$ and also with $\mathbf{g}$.

In the case of Riemannian geometry $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{g}$, one of the central identities relating geometry to lifetime of the stochastic process $X_t(x)$ corresponding to $\Delta_{\mathbf{g}}$ is the Weitzenböck formula $L(\nabla^{\mathbf{g}})df = \text{Ric}_{\mathbf{g}}(\sharp df, \cdot) + dL(\nabla^{\mathbf{g}})f = \text{Ric}_{\mathbf{g}}(\sharp df, \cdot) + d\Delta_{\mathbf{g}}f$. In order to have a similar formula in sub-Riemannian geometry, we need to introduce the following concept.

Definition 2.3. *The connection $\bar{\nabla}$ is called the torsion-conjugate of ∇ if it is the unique connection satisfying*

$$\nabla_A B - \bar{\nabla}_B A = [A, B],$$

for any $A, B \in \Gamma(TM)$.

In other words, if T^{∇} is the torsion of ∇ , then the torsion-conjugate equals $\bar{\nabla}_A B = \nabla_A B - T^{\nabla}(A, B)$ for any $A, B \in \Gamma(TM)$. The connection ∇ is obviously the torsion-conjugate of $\bar{\nabla}$. A connection is torsion free if and only if it is torsion-conjugate to itself. The torsion of $\bar{\nabla}$ is $-T^{\nabla}$.

Proposition 2.4. *Let L be any rough sub-Laplacian of an affine connection. Then there exists a zero order operator $\mathcal{A} : T^*M \rightarrow T^*M$ such that for any $f \in C^\infty(M)$,*

$$(2.5) \quad (L - \mathcal{A})df = dLf.$$

if and only if $L = L(\bar{\nabla})$ for some torsion-conjugate $\bar{\nabla}$ of a connection ∇ that is compatible with $\mathbf{h}^*$. In this case, $\mathcal{A} = \text{Ric}(\nabla)$.

Furthermore, if vol is a smooth volume density and if $\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}$ is the sub-Laplacian with respect to vol then $L(\bar{\nabla})f = L(\nabla)f = \Delta_{\mathbf{h}}f$ for some connection ∇ compatible with $\mathbf{h}^*$ and its torsion-conjugate $\bar{\nabla}$.

The proof is found in Section 3.1.

Remark 2.5. (i) *Torsion-conjugate connections and sub-Riemannian geometry:* Let ∇ be a connection satisfying $\nabla \mathbf{h}^*$ and let $\bar{\nabla}$ be its torsion conjugate. By [18, Proposition 2.1] any smooth curve γ in M is a normal sub-Riemannian geodesic if and only if there is a one-form $\lambda(t)$ along $\gamma(t)$ such that

$$\sharp^{\mathbf{h}^*} \lambda(t) = \dot{\gamma}(t), \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}} \lambda(t) = 0.$$

See the reference for the definition of normal geodesic. In this sense, torsion-conjugates of compatible connections occur naturally in sub-Riemannian geometry.

(ii) *Explication for the term ‘torsion-conjugate’:* Outside of complex geometry, see e.g. [31, Chapter 2] and [40, Chapter 6.1], the term ‘conjugate connection’ appears in relation to metrics on vector bundles, [13], [32, Chapter I.5]. Namely, if $\mathbf{g}$ is a positive definite metric on a vector bundle $p : E \rightarrow M$ then ∇' is called the conjugate of the connection ∇ if

$$Z\mathbf{g}(A, B) = \mathbf{g}(\nabla_Z A, B) + \mathbf{g}(A, \nabla'_Z B).$$

We use the term ‘torsion-conjugate’ as we consider a similar concept, but with duality mimicking torsion-freeness rather than metric compatibility.

2.3. Sub-Riemannian geometry and connections with skew-symmetric torsion. In the (strictly) sub-Riemannian case there exists no torsion-free connection which is compatible with the metric. Indeed, if ∇ is a connection preserving $\mathcal{H}$, then the equality $\nabla_A B - \nabla_B A = [A, B]$ would imply that $\mathcal{H}$ could be bracket-generating only if $\mathcal{H} = TM$. For this reason, it has been difficult to find a direct analogue of the Levi-Civita connection in sub-Riemannian geometry.

The closest generalization to the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla^{\mathbf{g}}$ on a Riemannian manifold $(M, \mathbf{g})$ is the class of compatible connections with skew-symmetric torsion. These are connections ∇ with torsion T^∇ satisfying $\nabla \mathbf{g} = 0$ and such that

$$\zeta(v_1, v_2, v_3) := -\langle T^\nabla(v_1, v_2), v_3 \rangle_{\mathbf{g}}, \quad v_1, v_2, v_3 \in TM,$$

is a well defined three-form. The corresponding connection ∇ is then

$$\nabla_A B = \nabla_A^{\mathbf{g}} B - \frac{1}{2} \sharp \iota_{A \wedge B} \zeta.$$

Equivalently, the connection ∇ is compatible with $\mathbf{g}$ and of skew-symmetric torsion if and only if we have both $\nabla \mathbf{g} = 0$ and $\bar{\nabla} \mathbf{g} = 0$. We want to generalize this to a sub-Riemannian manifold $(M, \mathbf{h}^*)$. Unfortunately, in the strictly sub-Riemannian case, if ∇ is compatible with $\mathbf{h}^*$ and $\bar{\nabla}$ is its torsion-conjugate then $\mathcal{H}$ is never preserved under $\bar{\nabla}$ -parallel transport, see Lemma 3.1. Hence, there is no connection ∇ such that both it and its torsion-conjugate is compatible with $\mathbf{h}^*$. In some cases, however, we have the following generalization. Let $\mathcal{L}_Z$ denote the Lie derivative with respect to the vector field Z .

Proposition 2.6. *Let ∇ be a connection compatible with $\mathbf{h}^*$ and with torsion-conjugate $\bar{\nabla}$. Assume that there exists a Riemannian metric $\mathbf{g}$ taming $\mathbf{h}$ such that $\bar{\nabla} \mathbf{g} = 0$. Let $\mathcal{V}$ denote the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\mathbf{g}$. Then we have*

$$(2.6) \quad (\mathcal{L}_A \mathbf{g})(V, V) = 0, \quad (\mathcal{L}_V \mathbf{g})(A, A) = 0,$$

for any $A \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ and $V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{V})$. Furthermore, if $L = L(\nabla)$, $\bar{L} = L(\bar{\nabla})$ and $\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}$ is defined relative to the volume density of $\mathbf{g}$, then

$$(\bar{L} - \text{Ric}(\nabla)) df = d\bar{L}f = dLf = d\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}f, \quad f \in C^\infty(M).$$

Conversely, suppose that $\mathbf{g}$ is a Riemannian metric taming $\mathbf{h}$ and satisfying (2.6). Let $\nabla^{\mathbf{g}}$ be the Levi-Civita connection of $\mathbf{g}$. Define $\mathcal{R}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ as in (2.4) and introduce a three-form ζ by

$$(2.7) \quad \zeta(v_1, v_2, v_3) = \circ \langle \mathcal{R}(v_1, v_2), v_3 \rangle_{\mathbf{g}} + \circ \langle \bar{\mathcal{R}}(v_1, v_2), v_3 \rangle_{\mathbf{g}},$$

with $\circ$ denoting the cyclic sum. Then the connection

$$(2.8) \quad \nabla_{Z_1} Z_2 = \nabla_{Z_1}^{\mathbf{g}} Z_2 - \frac{1}{2} \sharp \iota_{Z_1 \wedge Z_2} \zeta$$

is a connection compatible with $\mathbf{h}^*$ such that $\bar{\nabla} \mathbf{g} = 0$. Furthermore, among all such possible choices of connections, ∇ gives the maximal value with regard to the lower bound of $\alpha \mapsto \langle \text{Ric}(\nabla)\alpha, \alpha \rangle_{\mathbf{h}^*}$.

Observe that the connection ∇ in (2.8) obviously preserves $\mathbf{g}$ as well and that the torsion-conjugate is given by $\bar{\nabla}_{Z_1} Z_2 = \nabla_{Z_1}^{\mathbf{g}} Z_2 + \frac{1}{2} \sharp \iota_{Z_1 \wedge Z_2} \zeta$.

Assume now that $\mathbf{g}$ is a complete Riemannian metric satisfying (2.6). Then both $\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}$ and $\Delta_{\mathbf{g}}$ are essentially self-adjoint on compactly supported functions. We denote their unique self-adjoint extension by the same symbol. Let $X_t(\cdot)$ be the

stochastic flow of $\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}$ with explosion time $\tau(\cdot)$ and semigroup P_t . Let $C_b^\infty(M)$ denote the space of smooth bounded functions.

Theorem 2.7. *Consider the two-form $\mathcal{C} \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 T^*M)$ defined by*

$$(2.9) \quad \mathcal{C}(v, w) = \text{tr } \bar{\mathcal{R}}(v, \mathcal{R}(w, \cdot)) - \text{tr } \bar{\mathcal{R}}(w, \mathcal{R}(v, \cdot)), \quad v, w \in TM.$$

Suppose that $\|\mathcal{C}\|_{L^2(\wedge^2 \mathbf{g}^)} < \infty$ and that $\delta\mathcal{C} = 0$ where δ is the codifferential with respect to $\mathbf{g}$. Then $\Delta_{\mathbf{g}}$ and $\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}$ spectrally commute.*

In addition, if ∇ is as in (2.8) and if there exists a constant $K \geq 0$ such that

$$\langle \text{Ric}(\nabla)\alpha, \alpha \rangle_{\mathbf{g}^*} \geq -K\|\alpha\|_{\mathbf{g}^*}^2,$$

*for any $\alpha \in T^*M$ then $\tau(x) = \infty$ a.s. for any $x \in M$.*

Furthermore, for a given $x \in M$, let $\bar{\jmath}_t = \bar{\jmath}_t(x): T_x M \rightarrow T_{X_t(x)} M$ be parallel transport along $X_t(x)$ with respect to $\bar{\nabla}$ and define $\bar{Q}_t = \bar{Q}_t(x) \in \text{End } T_x^ M$ as solution to the ordinary differential equation*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\bar{Q}_t = -\frac{1}{2}\bar{Q}_t\bar{\jmath}_t^{-1}\text{Ric}(\nabla)\bar{\jmath}_t, \quad \bar{Q}_0 = \text{id}.$$

Then, for any $f \in C_b^\infty(M)$ with $\|df\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{g}^)} < \infty$, we have*

$$dP_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}[\bar{Q}_t\bar{\jmath}_t^{-1}df(X_t(x))]$$

and

$$\|dP_t f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{g}^*)} \leq e^{Kt}\|df\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{g}^*)}.$$

In particular,

$$\sup_{t \in [0, t_1]} \|dP_t f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{g}^*)} \leq e^{Kt_1}\|df\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{g}^*)} < \infty$$

whenever $\|df\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{g}^)} < \infty$.*

Remark that since ∇ preserves $\mathcal{H}$ under parallel transport, and hence also $\text{Ann}(\mathcal{H})$, we have $\text{Ric}(\nabla)\alpha = 0$ for any $\alpha \in \text{Ann}(\mathcal{H})$. For this reason it is not possible to have a positive lower bound of $\langle \text{Ric}(\nabla)\alpha, \alpha \rangle_{\mathbf{g}^*}$ unless $\mathcal{H} = TM$. The results of Theorem 2.7 appear as necessary conditions for the Γ_2 -calculus on sub-Riemannian manifolds, see e.g. [9, 10, 21]. See Section 3 for more details on torsion-conjugate connections and proofs.

Remark 2.8. (i) *Analogy to the Levi-Civita connection:* Applying Proposition 2.6 to the case when $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{g}$ is a Riemannian metric, the Levi-Civita connection can be described as the connection such that both ∇ and $\bar{\nabla}$ are compatible $\mathbf{g}$ and that also maximizes the lower bound $\alpha \mapsto \langle \text{Ric}(\nabla)\alpha, \alpha \rangle_{\mathbf{g}^*}$. In this sense, the connection in (2.8) is analogous to the Levi-Civita connection.

(ii) *Existence and uniqueness for a Riemannian metrics $\mathbf{g}$ taming $\mathbf{h}$ and satisfying (2.6):* Every such Riemannian metric $\mathbf{g}$ is uniquely determined by the orthogonal complement $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ and its value at one point [20, Remark 3.10]. Conversely, suppose that $(M, \mathcal{H}, \mathbf{h})$ is a sub-Riemannian manifold and let $\mathcal{V}$ be a subbundle such that $TM = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{V}$. Then one can use horizontal holonomy to determine if there exists a Riemannian metric $\mathbf{g}$ taming $\mathbf{h}$, satisfying (2.6) and making $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ orthogonal. See [12] for more details and examples where no such metric can be found. Two Riemannian metrics $\mathbf{g}_1$ and $\mathbf{g}_2$ may tame $\mathbf{h}$, satisfy (2.6) and have the same volume density, but their orthogonal complements of $\mathcal{H}$ may be different, see [20, Example 4.6] and [12, Example 4.2].

- (iii) *Geometric interpretation of (2.6)*: From [18], the condition (2.6) holds if and only if the Riemannian and the sub-Riemannian geodesic flow commute. See also Section 3.6 for more relations to geometry.

2.4. Torsion and integration by parts. For a function $f \in C^\infty(M)$ on a sub-Riemannian manifold define the horizontal gradient $\nabla^{\text{hor}} f = \sharp^{\mathbf{h}^*} df$. The fact that the parallel transport $\bar{\jmath}_t$ in Theorem 2.7 does not preserve the horizontal bundle, makes it difficult to bound $\nabla^{\text{hor}} P_t f$ by terms only involving the horizontal part of the gradient of f and not the full gradient. We therefore give the following alternative stochastic representation of the gradient.

Let $(M, \mathbf{h}^*)$ be a sub-Riemannian manifold and let ∇ be compatible with $\mathbf{h}^*$. Let $\mathbf{g}$ be a Riemannian metric taming $\mathbf{h}$ and assume that ∇ is compatible with $\mathbf{g}$ as well. Introduce a zero order operator

$$\mathcal{A}(\alpha) := \text{Ric}(\nabla)\alpha - \alpha(\text{tr}_{\mathbf{h}}(\nabla \times T^\nabla)(\times, \cdot)) - \alpha(\text{tr}_{\mathbf{h}} T^\nabla(\times, T^\nabla(\times, \cdot))).$$

Let $X_t(\cdot)$ be the stochastic flow of $\frac{1}{2}L(\nabla)$ with explosion time $\tau(\cdot)$. Write $\bar{\jmath}_t = \bar{\jmath}_t(x) : T_x M \rightarrow T_{X_t(x)} M$ for parallel transport with respect to ∇ along $X_t(x)$. Observe that this parallel transport along ∇ preserves $\mathcal{H}$ and its orthogonal complement. Let $W_t = W_t(x)$ denote the anti-development of $X_t(x)$ with respect to ∇ which is a Brownian motion in $(\mathcal{H}_x, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbf{h}(x)})$.

Theorem 2.9. *Assume that $\tau(x) = \infty$ a.s. for any $x \in M$ and that for any $f \in C_b^\infty(M)$ with bounded gradient, we have $\sup_{t \in [0, t_1]} \|dP_t f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{g}^*)} < \infty$. Furthermore, assume that $\|T^\nabla\|_{\wedge^2 \mathbf{g}^* \otimes \mathbf{g}} < \infty$ and that $\mathcal{A}$ is bounded from below. Define stochastic processes $Q_t = Q_t(x)$ and $I_t = I_t(x)$ taking values in $\text{End } T_x^* M$ as follows:*

$$\frac{d}{dt} Q_t = -\frac{1}{2} Q_t \bar{\jmath}_t^{-1} \mathcal{A} \bar{\jmath}_t, \quad Q_0 = \text{id},$$

resp.

$$I_t \alpha(v) = Q_t \alpha(v) + \int_0^t \alpha(\bar{\jmath}_s^{-1} T^\nabla(\bar{\jmath}_s dW_s, \bar{\jmath}_s Q_s^\top v)).$$

Then for any $f \in C_b^\infty(M)$,

$$(2.10) \quad dP_t f(x) = \mathbb{E} [I_t \bar{\jmath}_t^{-1} df(X_t(x))].$$

For a geometric interpretation of $\mathcal{A}$ for different choices of ∇ , see Section 4.3. The equality (2.10) allows us to choose the connection ∇ convenient for our purposes and gives us a bound for the horizontal gradient on Carnot groups. Let G be a simply connected nilpotent Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and identity $\mathbf{1}$. Assume that there exist a stratification $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_k$ into subspaces, each of strictly positive dimension, such that $[\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_j] = \mathfrak{g}_{1+j}$ for any $j \geq 1$ with convention $\mathfrak{g}_{k+1} = 0$. Write $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_1$ and choose an inner product on this vector space. Define the sub-Riemannian structure $(\mathcal{H}, \mathbf{h})$ on G by left translation of $\mathfrak{h}$ and its inner product. Then $(G, \mathcal{H}, \mathbf{h})$ is called a *Carnot group of step k* . Carnot groups are important as they are the analogue of Euclidean space in Riemannian geometry, in the sense that any sub-Riemannian manifold has a Carnot group as its metric tangent cone at points where the horizontal bundle is equiregular. See [11] for details and the definition of equiregular.

Let $(G, \mathcal{H}, \mathbf{h})$ be a Carnot group with $n = \text{rank } \mathcal{H}$. Define an operator,

$$\psi = \text{tr}_{\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{1})} \text{ad}(\times) \text{ad}(\times) : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}.$$

Let $\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}$ be defined with respect to (left) Haar measure on G . Consider the commutator ideal $\mathfrak{k} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_k$ with corresponding normal subgroup K . Define the corresponding quotient map

$$\pi : G \rightarrow G/K \cong \mathfrak{h}.$$

Define $\|\pi\| : x \mapsto \|\pi(x)\|_{\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{1})}$.

Theorem 2.10. *Assume that $\psi|_{\mathfrak{h}} = 0$. Let $p_t(x, y)$ denote the heat kernel of $\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}$ and define $\varrho(x) = p_1(\mathbf{1}, x)$ and $\vartheta(x) = n + \|\pi\|(x) \cdot \|d \log \varrho\|_{\mathbf{h}^*}(x)$. For any $p \in (1, \infty]$, define $q \in [1, \infty)$ such that $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$ and a constant*

$$(2.11) \quad C_p = \left(\int_G \varrho(y) \cdot \vartheta^q(y) d\text{vol}(y) \right)^{1/q}.$$

Then the constants C_p are all finite and for any $x \in G$ and $t \geq 0$, we have

$$\|\nabla^{\text{hor}} P_t f\|_{\mathbf{h}}(x) \leq C_p (P_t \|\nabla^{\text{hor}} f\|_{\mathbf{h}}^p(x))^{1/p}.$$

The condition $\psi|_{\mathfrak{h}} = 0$ is actually equal to the Yang-Mills condition in the case of Carnot groups, see Remark 4.4. In the definition of ϱ , the choices of $t = 1$ and $x = \mathbf{1}$ in the definition are arbitrary. If we replace ϱ by $\varrho_{t,x}(y) := p_t(x, y)$ for any fixed t and x , and would still obtain the same constants. For details, see the proof in Section 4.4. Taking into account [28, Cor 3.17], we get the following immediate corollary.

Corollary 2.11. *For any smooth function $f \in C^\infty(G)$ and $t \geq 0$, we have*

$$P_t f^2 - (P_t f)^2 \leq C_2^2 t P_t \|\nabla^{\text{hor}} f\|_{\mathbf{h}}^2$$

with C_2 as in Eq. (2.11).

3. TORSION-CONJUGATE CONNECTIONS AND SUB-RIEMANNIAN GEOMETRY

3.1. Torsion-conjugate connections and the Weitzenböck formula. Let us first look at some basic properties of connections compatible with a sub-Riemannian metric and their torsion-conjugates.

Lemma 3.1. *Let ∇ be an affine connection with torsion-conjugate $\bar{\nabla}$. Assume that ∇ is compatible with $\mathbf{h}^*$ and denote $L = L(\nabla)$, $\text{Ric} = \text{Ric}(\nabla)$ and $\bar{L} = L(\bar{\nabla})$. For any endomorphism-valued one-form $\kappa \in \Gamma(T^*M \otimes \text{End } T^*M)$ let ∇^κ be the connection*

$$(3.1) \quad \nabla_v^\kappa := \nabla_v + \kappa(v), \quad v \in TM.$$

- (a) *If the horizontal bundle $\mathcal{H}$ is strictly included in TM , but bracket-generating, then the connection $\bar{\nabla}$ does not preserve $\mathcal{H}$ under parallel transport.*
- (b) *Define $L^\kappa = L(\nabla^\kappa)$. Then*

$$L^\kappa = L + \nabla_{Z^\kappa} + 2D^\kappa + \kappa(Z^\kappa) + \text{tr}_{\mathbf{h}}(\nabla_\times \kappa)(\times) + \text{tr}_{\mathbf{h}} \kappa(\times) \kappa(\times)$$

where $Z^\kappa = \text{tr}_{\mathbf{h}} \kappa(\times)^\top \times$ and $D^\kappa = \text{tr}_{\mathbf{h}} \kappa(\times) \nabla_\times$. In particular, for any function $f \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$L^\kappa f = Lf + Z^\kappa f.$$

- (c) *For any $f \in C^\infty(M)$ we have $\bar{L}f = Lf$.*
- (d) *The connection ∇^κ is compatible with $\mathbf{h}^*$ if and only if $\mathbf{h}^*(\kappa(v)\alpha, \alpha) = 0$ for any $v \in TM$, $\alpha \in T^*M$.*

- (e) The torsion-conjugate $\bar{\nabla}^\kappa$ of ∇^κ is given by $\bar{\nabla}_v^\kappa = \bar{\nabla}_v + \bar{\kappa}(v)$ where

$$(\bar{\kappa}(v)\alpha)(w) := (\kappa(w)\alpha)(v), \quad \text{for } v, w \in TM, \alpha \in T^*M.$$

As a consequence, any torsion-conjugate to a connection compatible with $\mathbf{h}^*$ is of the form $\bar{\nabla}_v + \bar{\kappa}(v)$, where $\bar{\kappa}$ satisfies $\bar{\kappa}(\sharp^{\mathbf{h}^*}\alpha)\alpha = 0$ for any $\alpha \in T^*M$.

- (f) Let Z be a vector field with values in $\mathcal{H}$ and define a differential operator $\mathcal{A}$ on functions by $\mathcal{A}f = Lf + Zf$. Then there exists a connection ∇' compatible with $\mathbf{h}^*$ such that

$$\mathcal{A}f = L(\nabla')f.$$

In particular, this result holds true when $\mathcal{A}$ equals the sub-Laplacian $\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}$ of any volume density.

- (g) For any $f \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$(\bar{L} - \text{Ric})df = d\bar{L}f = dLf.$$

Proof. The statements in (c) to (e) are direct consequences of the definitions. We prove the remaining claims.

- (a) Let $A, B \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ be any two vector fields such that $[A, B]$ is not contained in $\mathcal{H}$. Observe that $\bar{\nabla}_A B = \nabla_B A + [A, B]$ then cannot be contained in $\mathcal{H}$ either.
 (b) This follows by direct computation: for any local orthonormal basis $A_1, \dots, A_n$ of $\mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L^\kappa &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_{A_i} + \kappa(A_i)) (\nabla_{A_i} + \kappa(A_i)) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\nabla_{\nabla_{A_i} A_i - \kappa(A_i)^\top A_i} + \kappa(\nabla_{A_i} A_i - \kappa(A_i)^\top A_i) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{A_i} \nabla_{A_i} + \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{A_i} \kappa(A_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n \kappa(A_i) \nabla_{A_i} + \sum_{i=1}^n \kappa(A_i) \kappa(A_i) \\ &\quad + \nabla_{Z^\kappa} + \kappa(Z^\kappa) - \sum_{i=1}^n (\nabla_{\nabla_{A_i} A_i} + \kappa(\nabla_{A_i} A_i)) \\ &= L + 2 \text{tr}_{\mathbf{h}} \kappa(\times) \nabla_\times + \text{tr}_{\mathbf{h}} (\nabla_\times \kappa)(\times) + \text{tr}_{\mathbf{h}} \kappa(\times) \kappa(\times) + \nabla_{Z^\kappa}^\kappa. \end{aligned}$$

- (f) For any vector field Z with values in $\mathcal{H}$, we want to show that there is an endomorphism-valued one-form κ such that $\text{tr}_{\mathbf{h}} \kappa(\times)^\top \times = Z$ and $\mathbf{h}^*(\kappa(\cdot)\alpha, \alpha) = 0$. Then we will have $\mathcal{A}f = L(\nabla^\kappa)f$ by Item (b). By a partition of unity argument, it is sufficient to consider Z as defined on a small enough neighborhood U such that both TM and $\mathcal{H}$ are trivial. Let β be any one-form on U such that

$$\langle \beta, \beta \rangle_{\mathbf{h}^*} = 1, \quad \beta(Z) = 0.$$

Let η be a one-form such that $\sharp^{\mathbf{h}^*} \eta = Z$. Define κ by

$$\kappa(v)\alpha = \beta(v)(\alpha(Z)\beta - \alpha(\sharp^{\mathbf{h}^*}\beta)\eta).$$

This one-form κ has the desired properties.

Next, we need to show that any sub-Laplacian of a volume density vol can be written as $L + Z$ with $Z \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$. Choose a Riemannian metric such that $\text{vol} = \text{vol}(\mathbf{g})$ and define κ such that $\nabla^\kappa = \nabla^{\mathbf{g}}$. Then

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{h}} f = \text{tr}_{\mathbf{g}} \langle \nabla_\times \sharp^{\mathbf{h}^*} df, \times \rangle_{\mathbf{g}} + Zf,$$

where $Z: f \mapsto \text{tr}_{\mathbf{g}} \langle \kappa(\times)^\top \sharp^{\mathbf{h}^*} df, \times \rangle_{\mathbf{g}}$ is a vector field with values in $\mathcal{H}$. Furthermore, since ∇ preserves $\mathcal{H}$, we have $\text{tr}_{\mathbf{g}} \langle \nabla \times \sharp^{\mathbf{h}^*} df, \times \rangle_{\mathbf{g}} = Lf$.

- (g) Notice that $\iota_A \nabla_B df = \iota_B \bar{\nabla}_A df$. Since ∇ is compatible with $\mathbf{h}^*$, for any $x \in M$ there is a local orthonormal basis $A_1, \dots, A_n$ of $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\nabla A_j(x) = 0$. Hence, for an arbitrary vector field $Z \in \Gamma(TM)$, with the terms below evaluated at $x \in M$ implicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_Z dLf &= Z \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{A_i} df(A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_Z \nabla_{A_i} df(A_i) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \iota_{A_i} R^\nabla(Z, A_i) df + \sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{A_i} \nabla_Z df(A_i) + \nabla_{[Z, A_i]} df(A_i) \\ &= -\text{Ric}(df)(Z) + \sum_{i=1}^n A_i \nabla_Z df(A_i) - \nabla_{\bar{\nabla}_{A_i} Z} df(A_i) \\ &= -\text{Ric}(df)(Z) + \sum_{i=1}^n A_i \bar{\nabla}_{A_i} df(Z) - \bar{\nabla}_{A_i} df(\bar{\nabla}_{A_i} Z) \\ &= \iota_Z (-\text{Ric}(df) + \bar{L}df). \end{aligned}$$

Since x was arbitrary, the result follows. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 2.4. From Lemma 3.1 it follows that $L(\bar{\nabla})$ satisfies (2.5).

Conversely, suppose that $L = L(\nabla')$ is an arbitrary rough Laplacian of ∇' . Let ∇ be an arbitrary connection compatible with $\mathbf{h}^*$ and define κ such that if ∇^κ is defined as in (3.1), then $\nabla'_v = \bar{\nabla}_v^\kappa = \bar{\nabla}_v + \kappa(v)$. Define $Z = \text{tr}_{\mathbf{h}} \bar{\kappa}(\times)^\top \times$ and $D = \text{tr}_{\mathbf{h}} \bar{\kappa}(\times) \nabla_\times$. Using item (b) of Lemma 3.1, modulo zero order operators applied to df , $Ldf - dLf$ equals $-dZf + \nabla_Z df + 2Ddf$. Furthermore, $-dZf + \nabla_Z df = (\nabla_Z - \mathcal{L}_Z)df$ and $(\nabla_Z - \mathcal{L}_Z)$ is a zero order operator. Hence, it follows that (2.5) holds if and only if $Ddf = \mathcal{C}df$ for some zero order operator $\mathcal{C}$ and any $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

Let $A_1, \dots, A_n$ be a local orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}$ and complete this basis to a full basis of TM with vector fields $V_1, \dots, V_\nu$. Let $A_1^*, \dots, A_n^*, V_1^*, \dots, V_\nu^*$ be the corresponding coframe. Observe that $V_1^*, \dots, V_n^*$ is a basis for $\text{Ann}(\mathcal{H})$. For any $B \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$(Ddf)(B) = \sum_{i,k=1}^n (\bar{\kappa}(A_i) A_k^*(B)) \bar{\nabla}_{A_i} df(A_k) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^\nu (\bar{\kappa}(A_i) V_s^*(B)) \bar{\nabla}_{A_i} df(V_s).$$

In order for this to correspond to a zero order operator, we must have that $\bar{\kappa}(A_i) V_s^* = 0$ and $\bar{\kappa}(A_i)(A_k^*) = -\bar{\kappa}(A_k)(A_i^*)$ which is equivalent to $\bar{\kappa}(\sharp^{\mathbf{h}^*} \alpha) \alpha = 0$ for any $\alpha \in T^*M$. Hence, ∇^κ is the torsion-conjugate of a connection compatible with $\mathbf{h}^*$. $\square$

3.2. Torsion-conjugate connection and Riemannian metrics. Let $\mathbf{g}$ be a Riemannian metric taming $\mathbf{h}$. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{H}$ with respect to $\mathbf{g}$. Define a symmetric vector-valued two-tensor II by

$$(3.2) \quad \langle II(A, A), Z \rangle_{\mathbf{g}} = -\frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{L}_{\text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}}} Z \mathbf{g})(\text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}} A, \text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}} A) - \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{L}_{\text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}}} Z \mathbf{g})(\text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}} A, \text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}} A)$$

for any $A, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$. Notice that $II = 0$ is equivalent to condition (2.6). For an explanation of the choice of notation, see Section 3.6.

Proposition 3.2. *Let ∇' be any connection compatible with $\mathbf{h}^*$ and with torsion-conjugate $\bar{\nabla}'$. Assume that there exists a Riemannian metric $\mathbf{g}$ taming $\mathbf{h}$ and satisfying $\bar{\nabla}'\mathbf{g} = 0$. Define II as in (3.2) with respect to $\mathbf{g}$ and ∇ as in (2.8). Then $II = 0$ and*

$$(3.3) \quad \nabla'_{Z_1} Z_2 = \nabla_{Z_1} Z_2 + \lambda(Z_2)Z_1 + \sharp^{\mathbf{h}^*} \iota_{Z_1 \wedge Z_2} \beta,$$

for some three-form β vanishing on $\mathcal{V}$ and some $\text{End } TM$ -valued one-form λ vanishing on $\mathcal{H}$ and satisfying $\lambda(v)^* = -\lambda(v)$, $v \in TM$.

Conversely, if $\mathbf{g}$ is a Riemannian metric taming $\mathbf{h}$ with $II = 0$ then $\bar{\nabla}'\mathbf{g} = 0$ for any torsion-conjugate of a connection as in (3.3).

Proof. Let $\nabla^{\mathbf{g}}$ be the Levi-Civita connection of $\mathbf{g}$. Define the connection ∇^0 as in Remark 2.2 which is compatible with both $\mathbf{h}^*$ and the Riemannian metric $\mathbf{g}$. Let T be the torsion of ∇^0 . Define $\mathcal{R}$ and $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ as in (2.4). Write T_Z for the vector valued form $T_Z(A) = T(Z, A)$ and use similar notation for $\mathcal{R}$, $\bar{\mathcal{R}}$ and II . By the definition of the Levi-Civita connection, we have

$$T_Z = -\mathcal{R}_Z + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}_Z^* - \bar{\mathcal{R}}_Z + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\mathcal{R}}_Z^* + II_Z^* - II_Z^* Z - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}_Z^* Z - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\mathcal{R}}_Z^* Z,$$

with dual

$$T_Z^* = -\mathcal{R}_Z^* + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}_Z - \bar{\mathcal{R}}_Z^* + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\mathcal{R}}_Z + II_Z - II_Z^* Z + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}_Z^* Z + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\mathcal{R}}_Z^* Z,$$

Hence, if we introduce $T_Z^s := \frac{1}{2}(T_Z + T_Z^*)$ then

$$2T_Z^s = -\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{R}_Z + \mathcal{R}_Z^*) - \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\mathcal{R}}_Z + \bar{\mathcal{R}}_Z^*) + (II_Z^* + II_Z) - 2II_Z^* Z.$$

Define an $\text{End } T^*M$ -valued one-form κ such that $\nabla'_v = \nabla_v^\kappa = \nabla_v^0 + \kappa(v)$, and let $\bar{\nabla}'_v = \bar{\nabla}_v^0 + \bar{\kappa}(v)$ be its torsion-conjugate. Define

$$\bar{\kappa}^s(Z) = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\kappa}(Z) + \bar{\kappa}(Z)^*), \quad \bar{\kappa}^a(Z) = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{\kappa}(Z) - \bar{\kappa}(Z)^*).$$

Then, in order to have

$$(\bar{\nabla}_Z^\kappa \mathbf{g})(A, A) = 2\langle (T_Z + \bar{\kappa}(Z)^\top)A, A \rangle_{\mathbf{g}} = 0,$$

we get requirement $\bar{\kappa}^s(Z)^\top = -T_Z^s$. However, since ∇^κ is compatible with $\mathbf{h}$, we must have that $\bar{\kappa}(\sharp^{\mathbf{h}^*} \alpha) = 0$ by Lemma 3.1. The latter condition is equivalent to $\bar{\kappa}(A)^\top(A + V) = 0$ for any $A \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ and $V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$. This means that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle \bar{\kappa}(A)^\top(A + V), A + V \rangle_{\mathbf{g}} = \langle \bar{\kappa}^s(A)^\top(A + V), A + V \rangle_{\mathbf{g}} \\ &= -\langle T_A^s(A + V), A + V \rangle_{\mathbf{g}} = -\langle II(A, A), V \rangle_{\mathbf{g}} + \langle A, II(V, V) \rangle_{\mathbf{g}}. \end{aligned}$$

This holds for any $A \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$ and $V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{V})$ if and only if $II = 0$. It follows that $4\bar{\kappa}^s(Z)^\top = \mathcal{R}_Z + \mathcal{R}_Z^* + \bar{\mathcal{R}}_Z + \bar{\mathcal{R}}_Z^*$.

For the anti-symmetric part,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -4\bar{\kappa}^a(A)^\top(A + V) = 4\bar{\kappa}^a(A)^\top(A + V) - 4\bar{\kappa}^s(A)^\top(A + V) \\ &= 4\bar{\kappa}^a(A)^\top(A + V) - \mathcal{R}_A^* V \end{aligned}$$

for any $A \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$, $V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{V})$. This relation and anti-symmetry gives us

$$\bar{\kappa}^a(Z)^\top(A + V) = \bar{\kappa}^a(\text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}} Z)(A + V) - \frac{1}{4}(\mathcal{R}_Z - \mathcal{R}_Z^*)(A + V) + \sharp \iota_{Z \wedge A} \beta,$$

where β is a three-form vanishing on $\mathcal{V}$.

In conclusion, for any $Z_1, Z_2 \in \Gamma(TM)$,

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{Z_1}^\kappa(Z_2) &= \nabla_{Z_1}^0(Z_2) - \bar{\kappa}(Z_2)^\top(Z_1) \\ &= \nabla_{Z_1}^0 Z_2 - \frac{1}{4}(2\mathcal{R}_{Z_2}^* + \bar{\mathcal{R}}_{Z_2} + \bar{\mathcal{R}}_{Z_2}^*)Z_1 + \bar{\kappa}^a(\text{pr}_\mathcal{V} Z_2)(Z_1) + \sharp_{\iota_{Z_1} \wedge Z_2} \beta.\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, since

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_Z^0 &= \nabla_Z^\mathbf{g} + \frac{1}{2}T_Z - \frac{1}{2}T_Z^* - \frac{1}{2}T^*Z \\ &= \nabla_Z^\mathbf{g} + \frac{1}{2}\left(-\mathcal{R}_Z + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}_Z^* - \bar{\mathcal{R}}_Z + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\mathcal{R}}_Z^* - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}_\bullet^*Z - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\mathcal{R}}_\bullet^*Z\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}\left(-\mathcal{R}_Z^* + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}_Z - \bar{\mathcal{R}}_Z^* + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\mathcal{R}}_Z + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}_\bullet^*Z + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\mathcal{R}}_\bullet^*Z\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}\left(-\mathcal{R}_\bullet^*Z - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}_Z - \bar{\mathcal{R}}_\bullet^*Z - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\mathcal{R}}_Z + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}_Z^* + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\mathcal{R}}_Z^*\right) \\ &= \nabla_Z^\mathbf{g} + \frac{1}{2}(-\mathcal{R}_Z + \mathcal{R}_Z^* - \bar{\mathcal{R}}_Z + \bar{\mathcal{R}}_Z^*),\end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\nabla_Z^\kappa = \nabla_Z^\mathbf{g} + \frac{1}{2}(-\mathcal{R}_Z + \mathcal{R}_Z^* - \bar{\mathcal{R}}_Z + \bar{\mathcal{R}}_Z^* - \mathcal{R}^*Z_1 - \bar{\mathcal{R}}^*Z_1)Z_2 + \lambda(Z_2)Z_1 + \sharp^{\mathbf{h}^*} \iota_{Z_1 \wedge Z_2} \beta$$

where $\lambda(Z)A = \frac{1}{4}(\bar{\mathcal{R}}_Z - \bar{\mathcal{R}}_Z^*)A - \bar{\kappa}^a(\text{pr}_\mathcal{V} Z)A$. The result follows. $\square$

3.3. Proof of Proposition 2.6. By Lemma A.1, Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 3.2 all that remains to be proven is that if ∇ and ∇' is as in (2.8) and (3.3) respectively then

$$\langle \alpha, \text{Ric}(\nabla')\alpha \rangle_{\mathbf{h}^*} \leq \langle \alpha, \text{Ric}(\nabla)\alpha \rangle_{\mathbf{h}^*}.$$

Let β be a three-form vanishing on $\mathcal{V}$ and let λ be an $\text{End } TM$ -valued one-form, vanishing on $\mathcal{H}$, and satisfying $\lambda(v)^* = -\lambda(v)$, $v \in TM$. Define the connection $\nabla^{\lambda, \beta}$ by

$$\nabla_{Z_1}^{\lambda, \beta} Z_2 = \nabla_{Z_1} Z_2 + \lambda(Z_2)Z_1 + \sharp_{\iota_{Z_1} \wedge Z_2} \beta.$$

Then $\bar{\nabla}_{Z_1}^{\lambda, \beta} Z_2 = \bar{\nabla}_{Z_1} Z_2 + \lambda(Z_1)Z_2 - \sharp_{\iota_{Z_1} \wedge Z_2} \beta$ and so if $\nabla^\beta = \nabla^{0, \beta}$ then $L^\beta := L(\bar{\nabla}^\beta) = L(\bar{\nabla}^{\lambda, \beta})$ since λ vanishes on $\mathcal{H}$.

If we define $\bar{L} = L(\bar{\nabla})$, then for any smooth function f ,

$$\begin{aligned}L^\beta df(Z) &= \bar{L}df(Z) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{\nabla}_{A_i} df(\sharp_{\iota_{A_i} \wedge Z} \beta) + \sum_{i=1}^n df(\sharp_{\iota_{A_i} \wedge Z} (\bar{\nabla}_{A_i} \beta)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n df(\sharp_{A_i \wedge \sharp_{\iota_{A_i} \wedge Z} \beta}) \\ &= \bar{L}df(Z) + \sum_{i=1}^n df(T^\nabla(A_i, \sharp_{\iota_{A_i} \wedge Z} \beta)) + \sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{\nabla}_{A_i} \beta)(\sharp df, A_i, Z) - 2 \langle \iota_{\sharp df} \beta, \iota_Z \beta \rangle_{\wedge^2 \mathbf{h}^*} \\ &= \bar{L}df(Z) + 2 \langle \iota_{\mathcal{R}} df, \iota_Z \beta \rangle_{\wedge^2 \mathbf{h}^*} - \text{tr}_{\mathbf{h}}(\bar{\nabla}_\times \beta)(\times, \sharp df, Z) - 2 \langle \iota_{\sharp df} \beta, \iota_Z \beta \rangle_{\wedge^2 \mathbf{h}^*}.\end{aligned}$$

We use that

$$\langle (L^\beta - \bar{L})df, \alpha \rangle_{\mathbf{g}} = \langle (\text{Ric}(\nabla^\beta) - \text{Ric}(\nabla))df, \alpha \rangle_{\mathbf{g}} = \langle (\text{Ric}(\nabla^{\lambda, \beta}) - \text{Ric}(\nabla))df, \alpha \rangle_{\mathbf{g}}.$$

As a consequence, for any $\alpha \in T^*M$,

$$\langle \alpha, \text{Ric}(\nabla^{\lambda, \beta})\alpha \rangle_{\mathbf{g}^*} = \langle \alpha, \text{Ric}(\nabla)\alpha \rangle_{\mathbf{g}^*} + 2 \langle \iota_{\mathcal{R}} \alpha, \iota_{\sharp \alpha} \beta \rangle_{\wedge^2 \mathbf{h}^*} - 2 \langle \iota_{\sharp \alpha} \beta, \iota_{\sharp \alpha} \beta \rangle_{\wedge^2 \mathbf{h}^*}.$$

Denoting $\alpha^{1,0} = \text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}}^* \alpha$, we get

$$\langle \alpha, \text{Ric}(\nabla^{\lambda, \beta}) \alpha \rangle_{\mathbf{h}^*} = \langle \alpha^{1,0}, \text{Ric}(\nabla) \alpha^{1,0} \rangle_{\mathbf{g}^*} - 2 \|\iota_{\sharp \alpha^{1,0}} \beta\|_{\wedge^2 \mathbf{h}^*}^2.$$

The result follows.

3.4. Anti-symmetric part of Ricci curvature. Let ζ and ∇ be as in (2.7) and (2.8). The operator $\text{Ric}(\nabla)$ is not in general symmetric. We consider the anti-symmetric part. Define $\text{Ric} = \text{Ric}(\nabla)$ and

$$\text{Ric}^s = \frac{1}{2} (\text{Ric} + \text{Ric}^*), \quad \text{Ric}^a = \frac{1}{2} (\text{Ric} - \text{Ric}^*).$$

Lemma 3.3. *For any $\alpha, \beta \in T^*M$,*

$$2 \langle \text{Ric}^a \alpha, \beta \rangle_{\mathbf{g}^*} = \text{tr}_{\mathbf{h}}(\nabla \times \zeta)(\times, \sharp \alpha, \sharp \beta).$$

In particular, if $\beta \in \text{Ann}(\mathcal{H})$,

$$(3.4) \quad \langle \text{Ric} \alpha, \beta \rangle_{\mathbf{g}^*} = \text{tr}_{\mathbf{h}}(\nabla \times \zeta)(\times, \sharp \alpha, \sharp \beta) = \beta(\text{tr}_{\mathbf{h}}(\nabla \times \mathcal{R})(\times, \sharp^{\mathbf{h}^*} \alpha)).$$

The condition $\text{Ric}^a = 0$ is called the Yang-Mills condition when $\bar{\mathcal{R}} = 0$. For more details, see Remark 3.8.

Proof of Lemma 3.3. Write $\circ$ for the cyclic sum. By the first Bianchi identity

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \langle \text{Ric}^a \alpha, \beta \rangle_{\mathbf{g}^*} &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle A_i, R^\nabla(A_i, \sharp \beta) \sharp \alpha - R^\nabla(A_i, \sharp \alpha) \sharp \beta \rangle_{\mathbf{g}} \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle A_i, \circ R^\nabla(A_i, \sharp \alpha) \sharp \beta \rangle_{\mathbf{g}} \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle A_i \circ (\nabla_{A_i} T)(\sharp \alpha, \sharp \beta) + \circ T(T(A_i, \sharp \alpha), \sharp \beta) \rangle_{\mathbf{g}} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \nabla_{A_i} \zeta \rangle(A_i, \sharp \alpha, \sharp \beta) - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle T(A_i, \sharp \alpha), T(\sharp \beta, A_i) \rangle_{\mathbf{g}} - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle T(\sharp \beta, A_i), T(\sharp \alpha, A_i) \rangle_{\mathbf{g}} \\ &= \text{tr}_{\mathbf{h}}(\nabla \times \zeta)(\times, \sharp \alpha, \sharp \beta). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that if $\beta \in \text{Ann}(\mathcal{H})$, then $\text{Ric} \beta = 0$, so $\langle \text{Ric} \alpha, \beta \rangle_{\mathbf{g}^*} = 2 \langle \text{Ric}^a \alpha, \beta \rangle_{\mathbf{g}^*}$. $\square$

3.5. Proof of Theorem 2.7. The operators $\Delta_{\mathbf{g}}$ and $\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}$ spectrally commute by Corollary A.6.

Let ∇ be as in (2.8), define $\text{Ric} = \text{Ric}(\nabla)$ and let Ric^s and Ric^a be as in (3.4). By Lemma 3.3 for any $\alpha, \beta \in T^*M$ we have

$$\langle \beta, \text{Ric}^a \alpha \rangle_{\mathbf{g}^*} = \langle \text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}}^* \beta, \text{Ric}^s \alpha \rangle_{\mathbf{g}^*} - \langle \beta, \text{Ric}^s \text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}}^* \alpha \rangle_{\mathbf{g}^*}.$$

Hence, if Ric^s has a lower bound then Ric^a is a bounded operator.

We define $X_t(x)$, $\bar{Q}_t$ and $\bar{Q}_t$ as in the statement of theorem. Furthermore, if $N_t = \bar{Q}_t \bar{Q}_t^{-1} \alpha(X_t(x))$ for an arbitrary $\alpha \in \Gamma(T^*M)$, then by Itô's formula

$$dN_t \stackrel{\text{loc.m.}}{=} \bar{Q}_t \bar{Q}_t^{-1} (\bar{L} - \text{Ric}) \alpha(X_t(x)) dt$$

where $\stackrel{\text{loc.m.}}{=}$ denotes equivalence modulo differential of local martingales. Consider $L^2(T^*M)$ as the space of L^2 -one-forms on M with respect to $\mathbf{g}$. Since $\mathbf{g}$ is complete and Ric^s bounded from below, the operator $\bar{L} - \text{Ric}^s$ is essentially self-adjoint by

Lemma A.1 and Lemma B.1. Hence, by Lemma B.4, there is a strongly continuous semigroup $P_t^{(1)}$ on $L^2(TM)$ with generator $(\bar{L} - \text{Ric}, \text{Dom}(\bar{L} - \text{Ric}^s))$ such that

$$P_t^{(1)}\alpha(x) = \mathbb{E}[1_{t < \tau(x)}N_t] = \mathbb{E}[1_{t < \tau(x)}\bar{Q}_t/\bar{t}^{-1}\alpha(X_t(x))].$$

We want to show that for any compactly supported function f , $P_t^{(1)}df = dP_tf$ where $P_tf(x) = \mathbb{E}[f(X_t(x))1_{t < \tau(x)}]$. Following the arguments in [15, Appendix B.1], we have $P_tf = e^{t\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}/2}f$ where the latter semigroup is the L^2 -semigroup defined by the spectral theorem and the fact that $\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}$ is essentially self-adjoint on compactly supported functions. To this end, we want to show that dP_tf is contained in the domain of the generator of $P_t^{(1)}$. This observation will then imply that $P_t^{(1)}df = dP_tf$, since $P_t^{(1)}df$ is the unique solution to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\alpha_t = \frac{1}{2}L\alpha_t, \quad \alpha_0 = df,$$

with values in $\text{Dom}(\bar{L} - \text{Ric}^s)$ by strong continuity, [17, Chapter II.6].

We will first need to show that dP_tf is indeed in L^2 . Let $\Delta_{\mathbf{g}}$ denote the Laplace-Beltrami operator of $\mathbf{g}$, which will also be essentially self-adjoint on compactly supported functions since $\mathbf{g}$ is complete. Denote its unique self-adjoint extension by the same symbol. Since the operators spectrally commute, $e^{s\Delta_{\mathbf{g}}}e^{t\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}} = e^{t\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}}e^{s\Delta_{\mathbf{g}}}$ for any $s, t \geq 0$ which implies $\Delta_{\mathbf{g}}e^{t\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}}f = e^{t\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}}\Delta_{\mathbf{g}}f$ for any f in the domain of $\Delta_{\mathbf{g}}$. In particular,

$$\langle dP_tf, dP_tf \rangle_{L^2(\mathbf{g})} = -\langle \Delta_{\mathbf{g}}P_tf, P_tf \rangle_{L^2(\mathbf{g})} = -\langle P_t\Delta_{\mathbf{g}}f, P_tf \rangle_{L^2(\mathbf{g})} < \infty.$$

Next, since $\langle (\bar{L} - \text{Ric}^s)\alpha, \alpha \rangle_{L^2(\mathbf{g})} \geq -K\|\alpha\|_{L^2(\mathbf{g})}^2$, the domain $\text{Dom}(\bar{L} - \text{Ric}^s)$ equals the completion of compactly supported one-forms $\Gamma_c(T^*M)$ with respect to the quadratic form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{q}(\alpha, \alpha) &= (K+1)\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle_{L^2(\mathbf{g})} - \langle (\bar{L} - \text{Ric}^s)\alpha, \alpha \rangle_{L^2(\mathbf{g})} \\ &= (K+1)\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle_{L^2(\mathbf{g})} - \langle (\bar{L} - \text{Ric})\alpha, \alpha \rangle_{L^2(\mathbf{g})}. \end{aligned}$$

Since P_tf is in the domain of both $\Delta_{\mathbf{g}}$ and $\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}$ for any compactly supported f , we have that for any fixed t , there is a sequence of compactly supported functions h_n such that $h_n \rightarrow P_tf$, $\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}h_n \rightarrow \Delta_{\mathbf{h}}P_tf$ and $\Delta_{\mathbf{g}}h_n \rightarrow \Delta_{\mathbf{g}}P_tf$ in L^2 . From the latter fact, it follows that dh_n converges to dP_tf in L^2 as well. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{q}(dh_n, dh_n) &= (K+1)\langle dh_n, dh_n \rangle_{L^2(\mathbf{g})} - \langle (\bar{L} - \text{Ric})dh_n, dh_n \rangle_{L^2(\mathbf{g})} \\ &= -(K+1)\langle h_n, \Delta_{\mathbf{g}}h_n \rangle_{L^2(\mathbf{g})} - \langle d\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}h_n, dh_n \rangle_{L^2(\mathbf{g})} \\ &= -(K+1)\langle h_n, \Delta_{\mathbf{g}}h_n \rangle_{L^2(\mathbf{g})} + \langle \Delta_{\mathbf{h}}h_n, \Delta_{\mathbf{g}}h_n \rangle_{L^2(\mathbf{g})}, \end{aligned}$$

which has a finite limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, $dP_tf \in \text{Dom}(\bar{L} - \text{Ric}^s)$ and $P_t^{(1)}df = dP_tf$.

Since $\langle \text{Ric}\alpha, \alpha \rangle_{\mathbf{g}^*} \geq -K\|\alpha\|_{\mathbf{g}^*}^2$, Gronwall's lemma and the fact that $\bar{\nabla}$ preserves the metric means that

$$\|1_{t < \tau(x)}\bar{Q}_t/\bar{t}^{-1}\alpha(X_t(x))\|_{\mathbf{g}^*} \leq e^{Kt/2}1_{t < \tau(x)}\|\alpha\|_{\mathbf{g}^*}(X_t(x)).$$

Hence,

$$(3.5) \quad \|P_t^{(1)}\alpha(x)\|_{\mathbf{g}^*} \leq e^{Kt/2}P_t\|\alpha\|_{\mathbf{g}^*}(x).$$

We assumed that $\mathbf{g}$ was complete, so we know that there exists a sequence of compactly supported functions g_n such that $g_n \uparrow 1$ and such that $\|dg_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{g}^*)}^2 \rightarrow 0$.

Since $\|dP_t g_n\|_{\mathbf{g}^*} \rightarrow 0$ uniformly by (3.5) and we know that $P_t g_n \rightarrow P_t 1$, we obtain $dP_t 1 = 0$. Hence, we know that $P_t 1 = 1$, which is equivalent to $\tau(x) = \infty$ almost surely.

It is then a standard argument to extend the formulas from functions of compact support to bounded functions with $\|df\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{g}^*)} < \infty$.

3.6. Foliations and a counter-example. Let $(M, \mathcal{H}, \mathbf{h})$ be a sub-Riemannian manifold and let $\mathbf{g}$ be a Riemannian metric taming $\mathbf{h}$ satisfying $II = 0$ with II as in (3.2). Write $\mathcal{V}$ for the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{H}$. Define the *Bott connection*, by

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_{Z_1} Z_2 &= \text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}} Z_1}^{\mathbf{g}} \text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}} Z_2 + \text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}} \nabla_{\text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}} Z_1}^{\mathbf{g}} \text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}} Z_2 \\ &\quad + \text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}} [\text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}} Z_1, \text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}} Z_2] + \text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}} [\text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}} Z_1, \text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}} Z_2] \end{aligned}$$

where $\nabla^{\mathbf{g}}$ denote the Levi-Civita connection. By definition its torsion $\overset{\circ}{T} := T^{\overset{\circ}{\nabla}}$ equals $\overset{\circ}{T} = -\bar{\mathcal{R}} - \bar{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\overset{\circ}{\nabla} \mathbf{g} = 0$ is equivalent to requiring $II = 0$. Since $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}$ is compatible with the metric, we have

$$\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_Z = \nabla_Z^{\mathbf{g}} + \frac{1}{2} \overset{\circ}{T}_Z - \frac{1}{2} \overset{\circ}{T}_Z^* - \frac{1}{2} \overset{\circ}{T}_\bullet^* Z.$$

If ζ and ∇ are as in (2.7) and (2.8), respectively, then

$$\zeta(v_1, v_2, v_2) = -\circ \langle \overset{\circ}{T}(v_1, v_2), v_3 \rangle_{\mathbf{g}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_Z = \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_Z + \overset{\circ}{T}_\bullet^* Z.$$

The connection $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}$ does not have skew-symmetric torsion, however, it does have the advantage that $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_A B$ is independent of $\mathbf{g}|_{\mathcal{V}}$ if either A or B takes its values in $\mathcal{H}$, see [20, Section 3.1].

Assume now that $\bar{\mathcal{R}} = 0$, i.e. assume that the orthogonal complement $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ is integrable. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the corresponding foliation of $\mathcal{V}$ that exists from the Frobenius theorem. We have the following way of interpreting the condition $II = 0$. We have that $II(\text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}} \cdot, \text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}} \cdot)$ equals the second fundamental form of the leaves, i.e. $\text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}} \nabla_{\text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}}}^{\mathbf{g}} W = II(V, W)$ for any $V, W \in \Gamma(\mathcal{V})$. Hence, $II(\text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}} \cdot, \text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}} \cdot) = 0$ is equivalent to the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ being totally geodesic immersed submanifolds. On the other hand, the condition $0 = -2\langle II(A, A), V \rangle = (\mathcal{L}_V \mathbf{g})(A, A)$ for any $A \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$, $V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{V})$ is the definition of $\mathcal{F}$ being a *Riemannian foliations*. Locally, such a foliation $\mathcal{F}$ consists of the fibers of a Riemannian submersion. In other words, every $x_0 \in M$ has a neighborhood U such that there exists a surjective submersion between two Riemannian manifolds,

$$(3.7) \quad \pi : (U, \mathbf{g}|_U) \rightarrow (\check{M}_U, \check{\mathbf{g}}_U),$$

satisfying $TU = \mathcal{H}|_U \oplus_{\perp} \ker \pi_*$, $\mathcal{F}|_U = \{\pi^{-1}(\check{x}) : \check{x} \in \check{M}_U\}$ and that $\pi_* : \mathcal{H}_x \rightarrow T_{\pi(x)} \check{M}_U$ is an isometry for every $x \in U$.

The following result is found in [16] for totally geodesic Riemannian foliations. Let $X_t(\cdot)$ be a stochastic flow with generator $\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\mathbf{h}}$ where the latter is define relative to the volume density of $\mathbf{g}$.

Theorem 3.4. *If $(M, \mathbf{g})$ is a stochastically complete Riemannian manifold, then $X_t(x)$ has infinite lifetime.*

In particular, if the Riemannian Ricci curvature $\text{Ric}_{\mathbf{g}}$ is bounded from below, $X_t(x)$ has infinite lifetime. We want to compare this result using the entire Riemannian geometry with our result using $\text{Ric}(\nabla)$, an operator only defined by taking the trace over horizontal vectors. For this special case, it turns out that $\text{Ric}_{\mathbf{g}}$ being

bounded from below is actually a weaker condition than $\text{Ric}(\nabla)$ being bounded from below.

Proposition 3.5. *Let $(M, \mathcal{H}, \mathbf{h})$ be a sub-Riemannian manifold with $\mathcal{H}$ is bracket-generating. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a foliation of M corresponding to an integrable subbundle $\mathcal{V}$ such that $TM = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{V}$. Let $\mathbf{g}$ be any Riemannian metric taming $\mathbf{h}$ such that $\text{II} = 0$, making $\mathcal{F}$ a totally geodesic Riemannian foliation. Assume finally that $\mathbf{g}$ is complete. For $x \in M$, let F_x denote the leaf of the foliation $\mathcal{F}$ containing x . Write Ric_{F_x} for the Ricci curvature tensor of F_x .*

- (a) *For any $x, y \in M$, there exist neighborhoods $x \in U_x \subseteq F_x$ and $y \in U_y \subseteq F_y$, and an isometry*

$$\Phi : U_x \rightarrow U_y, \quad \Phi(x) = y.$$

As a consequence, if we define $\text{Ric}_{\mathcal{F}}$ such that

$$\text{Ric}_{\mathcal{F}}(v, w) = \text{Ric}_{F_x}(\text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}} v, \text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}} w), \quad \text{for any } v, w \in T_x M,$$

then $\text{Ric}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is bounded.

- (b) *Let $\text{Ric}_{\mathbf{g}}$ be the Ricci curvature of the Riemannian metric $\mathbf{g}$. Let ∇ be defined as in (2.8). Then $\text{Ric}_{\mathbf{g}}$ has a lower bound if $\text{Ric}(\nabla)$ has a lower bound.*

Before presenting the proof we need the next lemma. Let $(M, \mathbf{g})$ be a complete Riemannian manifold and let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Riemannian foliation with totally geodesic leaves. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be the integrable subbundle of TM corresponding to $\mathcal{F}$ and define $\mathcal{H}$ as its orthogonal complement. Write n for the rank of $\mathcal{H}$ and ν for the rank of $\mathcal{V}$. Define

$$\text{O}(n) \rightarrow \text{O}(\mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{p} M$$

as the orthonormal frame bundle of $\mathcal{H}$. Introduce the principal connection E on p corresponding to the restriction of $\hat{\nabla}$ to $\mathcal{H}$. In other words, E is the subbundle of $T\text{O}(\mathcal{H})$ satisfying $T\text{O}(\mathcal{H}) = E \oplus \ker p_*$, $E_\phi \cdot a = E_{\phi \cdot a}$, $\phi \in \text{O}(\mathcal{H})$, $a \in \text{O}(n)$ and defined such that a curve $\phi(t)$ in $\text{O}(\mathcal{H})$ is tangent to E if and only if the frame is $\hat{\nabla}$ -parallel along $p(\phi(t))$. For any $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, define $\hat{A}_u$ as the vector field on $\text{O}(\mathcal{H})$ taking values in E uniquely determined by the property

$$p_* \hat{A}_u(\phi) = \sum_{j=1}^n u_j \phi_j, \quad \text{for any } \phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n) \in \text{O}(\mathcal{H}).$$

For any $\phi \in \text{O}(\mathcal{H})_x$, define $\hat{F}_\phi$ as all points that can be reached from ϕ by an E -horizontal lift of a curve in F_x starting in x . We then have the following result, found in [16], see also [39, Chapter 10] and [29].

Lemma 3.6. *The collection $\hat{\mathcal{F}} = \{\hat{F}_\phi : \phi \in \text{O}(\mathcal{H})\}$ gives a foliation of $\text{O}(\mathcal{H})$ with ν -dimensional leaves such that for each $\phi \in \text{O}(n)$ the map*

$$p|_{\hat{F}_\phi} : \hat{F}_\phi \rightarrow F_{p(\phi)}$$

is a cover map. Furthermore, giving each leaf of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ a Riemannian structure by pulling back the metric from the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$, then for any $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the flow $\Psi_u(t) = e^{t\hat{A}_u}$ maps $\hat{F}_\phi$ onto $\hat{F}_{\Psi_u(t)(\phi)}$ isometrically for each $\phi \in \text{O}(\mathcal{H})$.

Note that the reason for using the connection $\hat{\nabla}$ in the definition of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$, is that $R^{\hat{\nabla}}(V, W)A = 0$ for any $V, W \in \Gamma(\mathcal{V})$ and $A \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$.

Proof of Proposition 3.5. (a) For any $x \in M$, choose a fixed element ϕ_0 in $O(\mathcal{H})_x$. Define

$$\mathcal{O}_{\phi_0} = \{\Psi_{u_k}(t_k) \circ \cdots \circ \Psi_{u_1}(t_1)(\phi) : t_j \in \mathbb{R}, u_j \in \mathbb{R}^n, k \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Clearly, by definition, for any $\phi \in \mathcal{O}_{\phi_0}$, there is an isometry $\hat{\Phi} : \hat{F}_{\phi_0} \rightarrow \hat{F}_{\phi}$ such that $\hat{\Phi}(\phi_0) = \phi$.

Consider the vector bundle $\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \text{span}\{\hat{A}_u : u \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ and define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lie}_{\phi} \hat{\mathcal{H}} &:= \text{span} \left\{ [B_1, [B_2, \cdots, [B_{k-1}, B_k]] \cdots] \Big|_{\phi} : B_j \in \Gamma(\hat{\mathcal{H}}), k \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \\ &= \text{span} \left\{ [\hat{A}_{u_1}, [\hat{A}_{u_2}, \cdots, [\hat{A}_{u_{k-1}}, \hat{A}_{u_k}]] \cdots] \Big|_{\phi} : u_j \in \mathbb{R}^n, k \in \mathbb{R} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $\phi \in O(\mathcal{H})$. Then by the Orbit Theorem, see e.g. [2, Chapter 5], $\mathcal{O}_{\phi_0}$ is an immersed submanifold of $O(\mathcal{H})$, and furthermore,

$$\text{Lie}_{\phi} \hat{\mathcal{H}} \subseteq T_{\phi} \mathcal{O}_{\phi_0}, \quad \text{for any } \phi \in \mathcal{O}_{\phi_0}.$$

Furthermore, since $p_* \hat{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H}$ and since $\mathcal{H}$ is bracket-generating, we have that $p_* \text{Lie}_{\phi} \hat{\mathcal{H}} = T_{p(\phi)} M$. It follows that $p(\mathcal{O}_{\phi_0}) = M$. Hence, for any $y \in M$, there is an isometry $\hat{\Phi} : \hat{F}_{\phi_0} \rightarrow \hat{F}_{\phi}$ with $\hat{\Phi}(\phi_0) = \phi$ for some $\phi \in O(\mathcal{H})_y$. As a consequence, there is a local isometry Φ taking x to y .

(b) Let $A_1, \dots, A_n$ and $V_1, \dots, V_{\nu}$ be local orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{V}$, respectively. Note that if $R^{\mathbf{g}}$ is the curvature of the Levi-Civita connection, then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle R^{\mathbf{g}}(Z_1, Z_2)B_1, B_2 \rangle_{\mathbf{g}} &= \langle R^{\nabla}(Z_1, Z_2)B_1, B_2 \rangle_{\mathbf{g}} + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_{Z_1} \zeta)(Z_2, B_1, B_2) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_{Z_2} \zeta)(Z_1, B_1, B_2) - \frac{1}{2}\langle T(Z_1, Z_2), T(B_1, B_2) \rangle_{\mathbf{g}} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4}\langle T(Z_1, B_2), T(Z_2, B_1) \rangle + \frac{1}{4}\langle T(Z_1, B_1), T(Z_2, B_2) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for $Z_j, B_j \in \Gamma(TM)$. Since all the leaves of the foliation are totally geodesic, if $R^{\mathcal{F}}$ denotes the curvature tensor along the leaves, then $\langle R^{\mathbf{g}}(Z_1, Z_2)B_1, B_2 \rangle = \langle R^{\mathcal{F}}(Z_1, Z_2)B_1, B_2 \rangle$ whenever all vector fields take values in $\mathcal{V}$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric}_{\mathbf{g}}(v, v) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \langle R^{\mathbf{g}}(A_i, v)v, A_i \rangle_{\mathbf{g}} + \sum_{s=1}^{\nu} \langle R^{\mathbf{g}}(V_s, \text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}} v) \text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}} v, V_s \rangle_{\mathbf{g}} \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{s=1}^{\nu} \langle R^{\mathbf{g}}(V_s, \text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}} v) \text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}} v, V_s \rangle_{\mathbf{g}} + \sum_{s=1}^{\nu} \langle R^{\mathbf{g}}(V_s, \text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}} v) \text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}} v, V_s \rangle_{\mathbf{g}} \\ &= \text{Ric}(\nabla)(bv)(v) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \|\mathcal{R}(A_i, v)\|_{\mathbf{g}}^2 + \text{Ric}_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}} v, \text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}} v). \end{aligned}$$

The result now follows from (a). $\square$

We will give an example showing that the assumption $\bar{\mathcal{R}} = 0$ is essential for this conclusion.

Example 3.7. Consider $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ as the Lie algebra spanned by elements A_1, A_2 and A_3 with bracket-relations

$$[A_1, A_2] = A_3, \quad [A_3, A_1] = A_2, \quad [A_2, A_3] = A_1.$$

Let G be any connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. Denote the left invariant vector fields and their corresponding elements in the Lie algebra by the same

symbol. Let $\varphi : G \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ be a Lie group isomorphism to another copy $\tilde{G}$ of G . Use this to define vector fields on $G \times \tilde{G}$ by

$$A_k^s(x, y) = A_k(x) + \varphi_* A_k(\varphi^{-1}(y)), \quad A_k^a(x, y) = A_k(x) - \varphi_* A_k(\varphi^{-1}(y)),$$

for any $(x, y) \in G \times \tilde{G}$. Observe that $[A_i, A_j] = A_k$ implies that

$$[A_i^s, A_j^s] = A_k^s, \quad [A_i^s, A_j^a] = A_k^a, \quad [A_i^a, A_j^a] = A_k^s.$$

Consider $\mathbb{R}$ with coordinate c and write $\partial_c := \frac{\partial}{\partial c}$. Define a manifold $M = G \times \tilde{G} \times \mathbb{R}$. Let f be an arbitrary smooth function on M that factors through the projection to $\mathbb{R}$, i.e. $f(x, y, c) = f(c)$ for $(x, y, c) \in G \times \tilde{G} \times \mathbb{R}$. Write $\partial_c f$ simply as f' . Introduce the vector fields Z_j , $j = 1, 2, 3$ on M such that

$$Z_1 = e^f A_1^s, \quad Z_2 = e^f A_2^s, \quad Z_3 = e^f A_1^a.$$

Define a Riemannian metric $\mathbf{g}$ on M such that $Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, A_3^s, A_2^a, A_3^a, \partial_c$ form an orthonormal basis. Define a sub-Riemannian manifold $(M, \mathcal{H}, \mathbf{h})$ such that $\mathcal{H}$ is the span of Z_1, Z_2, Z_3 and ∂_c with $\mathbf{h}$ as the restriction of $\mathbf{g}$ to this bundle. If we define II and $\mathcal{C}$ as in respectively (3.2) and (2.9), then we have $II = 0$ and $\mathcal{C} = 0$, even though $\mathcal{R} \neq 0$. If ∇ is as in (2.8), then $\text{Ric}(\nabla)$ is given by

$$\text{Ric}(\nabla): \begin{cases} \flat Z_1 \mapsto (f'' - e^{2f}(e^{2f} - 1) - 3(f')^2) \flat Z_1, \\ \flat Z_2 \mapsto (f'' - 2e^{2f}(e^{2f} - 1) - 3(f')^2) \flat Z_2, \\ \flat Z_3 \mapsto (f'' - e^{2f}(e^{2f} - 1) - 3(f')^2) \flat Z_3, \\ \flat \partial_c \mapsto 2(f'' - (f')^2) \flat \partial_c. \end{cases}$$

However, one can also verify that if $\text{Ric}_{\mathbf{g}}$ is the Ricci curvature of $\mathbf{g}$, then

$$\text{Ric}_{\mathbf{g}}(A_2^a, A_2^a) = 2 - e^{-f}.$$

Hence, if f' and f'' are bounded and f is bounded from above but not from below, then $\text{Ric}(\nabla)$ has a lower bound, but not $\text{Ric}_{\mathbf{g}}$. We may for example take $f(c) = -c \tan^{-1} c$.

Remark 3.8. (a) Let $\mathbf{g}$ be any metric taming $\mathbf{h}$ such that $II = 0$. Write $\mathcal{V}$ for the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{H}$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, the scaled Riemannian metric

$$\mathbf{g}_\varepsilon(v, w) = \mathbf{g}(\text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}} v, \text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}} w) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathbf{g}(\text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}} v, \text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}} w),$$

also tames $\mathbf{h}$ and satisfies $II = 0$. Since $\nabla_A B$ is independent of $\mathbf{g}|_{\mathcal{V}}$ whenever at least one of the vector fields takes values only in $\mathcal{H}$, it behaves better with respect to the scaled metric. Such scalings of the extended metric are important for the sub-Riemannian curvature-dimension inequality, see [9, 7, 8, 10, 20, 21].

(b) If $\mathcal{R} = 0$ then we have that $\text{tr}_{\mathbf{h}}(\nabla \times \mathcal{R})(\times, \cdot) = \text{tr}_{\mathbf{h}}(\nabla \times \mathcal{R})(\times, \cdot)$. If this map vanishes, i.e. if $\text{Ric}(\nabla)$ is a symmetric operator, then $\mathcal{H}$ is said to satisfy *the Yang-Mills condition*. One may consider subbundles $\mathcal{H}$ satisfying this condition as locally minimizing the curvature $\mathcal{R}$. See [21, Appendix A.4] for details.

4. TORSION AND INTEGRATION BY PARTS

4.1. Multiplications and integration by parts. Rather than changing our connection and considering a Weitzenböck-type formula, it may be preferable to deal with torsion directly, using ideas from [15]. A *multiplication* m of T^*M is a map $m : T^*M \otimes T^*M \rightarrow T^*M$. Corresponding to a multiplication and a connection ∇ , we have a corresponding first order operator

$$D^m \alpha = m(\nabla, \alpha).$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let ∇ be a connection compatible with $\mathbf{h}^*$ and with torsion T . Define $L = L(\nabla)$, $\text{Ric} = \text{Ric}(\nabla)$ and $T = T^\nabla$. Then for any $f \in C^\infty(M)$,*

$$Ldf - dLf = -2D^m df + \mathcal{A}(df),$$

where $m(\beta \otimes \alpha) = \alpha(T(\sharp^{\mathbf{h}^*} \beta, \cdot))$ and

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{A}(\alpha) = \text{Ric}(\alpha) - \alpha(\text{tr}_{\mathbf{h}}(\nabla_\times T)(\times, \cdot)) - \alpha(\text{tr}_{\mathbf{h}} T(\times, T(\times, \cdot))).$$

Proof. Recall that if $\bar{\nabla}$ is the torsion-conjugate of ∇ and $\bar{L} = L(\bar{\nabla})$, then

$$(\bar{L}df - dLf) = \text{Ric} df.$$

The result now follows from Lemma 3.1 and the fact that for any $A \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$,

$$\bar{\nabla}_A = \nabla_A + \kappa(A),$$

where $\kappa(A)\alpha = \alpha(T(A, \cdot)) = m(\flat A \otimes \alpha)$. $\square$

4.2. Proof of Theorem 2.9. Let $x \in M$ be fixed. To simplify notation, we shall write $X_t(x)$ simply as X_t . Define $//_t$ as parallel transport with respect to ∇ along X_t . Define Q_t as in Theorem 2.9. For any $S > 0$, consider the stochastic process on $[0, S]$ with values in T_x^*M ,

$$N_t = //_t^{-1} dP_{S-t} f(X_t).$$

By Lemma 4.1 and Itô's formula

$$dN_t = //_t^{-1} \nabla_{//_t dW_t} dP_{S-t} f(X_t) - //_t^{-1} D^m dP_{S-t} f(X_t) dt + \frac{1}{2} //_t^{-1} \mathcal{A}(dP_{S-t} f(X_t)) dt,$$

and so

$$dQ_t N_t = Q_t //_t^{-1} \nabla_{//_t dW_t} dP_{S-t} f(X_t) - Q_t //_t^{-1} D^m dP_{S-t} f(X_t) dt.$$

Introduce a martingale M_t with values in $\text{End } T_x M$ defined by

$$M_t v = \int_0^t //_s^{-1} T \left(//_s dW_s, //_s Q_s^\top v \right) ds, \quad v \in T_x M.$$

Since W_t is a Brownian motion in $\mathcal{H}_x$ and $//_t$ preserves $\mathcal{H}$ and its inner product, the differential of the quadratic covariation equals

$$d[M_t^\top, N_t] = Q_t //_t^{-1} D^m dP_{S-t} f(X_t) dt.$$

Hence, $Q_t N_t + M_t^\top N_t$ is a local martingale which is a true martingale from our assumptions. The result follows.

4.3. Geometric interpretation. Let $(M, D, \mathbf{h})$ be a sub-Riemannian manifold and let $\mathbf{g}$ be a complete Riemannian metric taming $\mathbf{h}$. Let ∇ be a connection compatible with both $\mathbf{h}^*$ and $\mathbf{g}$. We will look at some specific examples to interpret Theorem 2.9 and the zero order operator $\mathcal{A}$ in (4.1).

4.3.1. *Totally geodesic Riemannian foliation and its generalization.* Assume that condition (2.6) holds, so that we are in the case of Section 2.3. Define ∇ as in (2.8) and let $\mathring{\nabla}$ be as in (3.6). Recall that its torsion $\mathring{T}$ equals $\mathring{T} = -\mathcal{R} - \bar{\mathcal{R}}$ and that $\nabla_Z = \mathring{\nabla}_Z + \mathring{T}_\bullet^* Z$. Using Lemma 3.3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{A}\alpha, \beta \rangle &= \langle \text{Ric}(\nabla) \text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}}^* \alpha, \text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}}^* \beta \rangle_{\mathbf{g}^*} + \langle \text{Ric}(\nabla) \text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}}^* \alpha, \text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}}^* \beta \rangle_{\mathbf{g}^*} \\ &\quad - \alpha(\text{tr}_{\mathbf{h}}(\nabla \times T)(\times, \sharp\beta)) - \langle \sharp\alpha, \text{tr}_{\mathbf{h}} T(\times, T(\times, \sharp\beta)) \rangle_{\mathbf{g}} \\ &= \langle \text{Ric}(\nabla) \text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}}^* \alpha, \text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}}^* \beta \rangle_{\mathbf{g}^*} + 2\langle \iota_T \alpha, \iota_T \beta \rangle_{\wedge^2 \mathbf{h}^*} \\ &= \langle \text{Ric}(\nabla) \text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}}^* \alpha, \text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}}^* \beta \rangle_{\mathbf{g}^*} + 2\langle \iota_{\mathring{T}} \alpha, \iota_{\mathring{T}} \beta \rangle_{\wedge^2 \mathbf{h}^*} = \langle \text{Ric}(\mathring{\nabla}) \text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}}^* \alpha, \text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}}^* \beta \rangle_{\mathbf{g}^*}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\mathcal{A}$ does not depend on $\mathbf{g}|\mathcal{V}$.

Consider the special case when $\bar{\mathcal{R}} = 0$, so that $\mathcal{V}$ is integrable and corresponds to a totally geodesic foliation $\mathcal{F}$ as in Section 3.6. Recall that locally, such foliations are fibers of a Riemannian submersion $\pi : (U, \mathbf{g}|U) \rightarrow (\check{M}_U, \check{\mathbf{g}}_U)$. With respect to this map, we have the relation $\langle \text{Ric}(\mathring{\nabla}) \text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}}^* \alpha, \text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}}^* \beta \rangle_{\mathbf{g}} = \text{Ric}_{\check{\mathbf{g}}_U}(\pi_* \sharp\alpha, \pi_* \sharp\beta)$, where $\text{Ric}_{\check{\mathbf{g}}_U}$ is the Ricci curvature of $\check{\mathbf{g}}$, see [20, Section 2].

Remark 4.2. Using the notation of Section 3.6, note that for totally geodesic Riemannian foliations,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric}_{\mathbf{g}}(v, v) &= \text{Ric}(\nabla)(bv)v + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathcal{R}(v, \cdot)\|_{\mathbf{g}^* \otimes 2}^2 + \text{Ric}_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}} v, \text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}} v) \\ &= \text{Ric}(\mathring{\nabla})(bv)v - \frac{3}{2} \|\mathcal{R}(v, \cdot)\|_{\mathbf{g}^* \otimes 2}^2 + \text{Ric}_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}} v, \text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}} v). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, requiring that $\text{Ric}(\mathring{\nabla})$ is bounded from below is even weaker than requiring this for $\text{Ric}_{\mathbf{g}}$. Interestingly, the latter condition is a sufficient requirement for infinite lifetime for the case of a global Riemannian submersion $\pi : (M, \mathbf{g}) \rightarrow (\check{M}, \check{\mathbf{g}})$ with the foliation $\mathcal{F} = \{F_y = \pi^{-1}(y) : y \in \check{M}\}$. To prove this, we need a result in [24]. Assume that $(M, \mathbf{g})$ is complete and that the leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ are totally geodesic. Fix a point $y_0 \in \check{M}$ and let $\sigma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \check{M}$ be a smooth curve with $\sigma(0) = y_0$. Write $F = F_{y_0}$ and write σ^x for the $\mathcal{H}$ -horizontal lift of σ starting at $x \in F$. Then the map

$$\Psi_{\sigma(t)} : F \rightarrow F_{\sigma(t)}, \quad \Psi_{\sigma(t)} = \sigma^x(t),$$

is an isometry, so all leaves of $\mathcal{F}$ are isometric. Write G for the isometry group of F and Q_y for the space of isometries from F to F_y . Then $Q = \coprod_{y \in \check{M}} Q_y$ can be given a structure of a principal bundle, such that

$$p : Q \times F \rightarrow M \cong (Q \times F)/G, \quad (q, z) \mapsto q(z).$$

In the above formula, $\phi \in G$ acts on F on the right by $z \cdot \phi = \phi^{-1}(z)$. Finally, if we define

$$E = \left\{ \frac{d}{dt} \Psi_{\sigma(t)} \circ \phi : \begin{array}{l} \sigma \in C^\infty([0, 1], \check{M}) \\ \sigma(0) = y_0, \phi \in G, t \in [0, 1] \end{array} \right\} \subseteq TQ,$$

then E is a principal connection on Q and $p_* E = \mathcal{H}$.

One can verify that if $Y_t(y)$ is the Brownian motion in $\check{M}$ starting at $y \in \check{M}$ with horizontal lift $\tilde{Y}_t(q)$ to $q \in Q_y$ with respect to E , then $X_t(x) = p(\tilde{Y}_t(q), z)$ is a diffusion in M with infinitesimal generator $\frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\mathbf{h}}$ starting at $x = p(q, z)$. Hence, if $Y_t(y)$ has infinite lifetime, so does $X_t(x)$ as a process and its horizontal lifts to principal bundles have the same lifetime by [35]. Since a lower bound of $\text{Ric}(\mathring{\nabla})$ is

equivalent to a lower bound of the Ricci curvature of $\check{M}$, this is a sufficient condition for infinite lifetime of $X_t(x)$.

The above argument does not depend on $\mathcal{H}$ being bracket-generating. However, in the case of $\mathcal{H}$ bracket-generating, F is a homogeneous space by a similar argument to that of the proof of Proposition 3.5.

4.3.2. Lie groups of polynomial growth. Let G be a connected Lie group with unit $\mathbf{1}$ of polynomial growth. Consider a subspace $\mathfrak{h}$ that generates all of $\mathfrak{g}$. Equip $\mathfrak{h}$ with an inner product and define a sub-Riemannian structure $(\mathcal{H}, \mathbf{h})$ by left translation of $\mathfrak{h}$ and its inner product. Let $\mathbf{g}$ be any left invariant metric taming $\mathbf{h}$. Let ∇ be the connection defined such that any left invariant vector field on G is ∇ -parallel. Then ∇ is compatible with $\mathbf{h}^*$ and $\mathbf{g}$. Let $X_t(\cdot)$ be the stochastic flow of $\frac{1}{2}L(\nabla)$. Then by [22], $X_t(\cdot)$ has infinite lifetime. Furthermore, $\|dP_t f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{g}^*)} < \infty$ for any bounded $f \in C_b^\infty(M)$ by [38]. Hence we can use Theorem 2.9.

Let $l_x : G \rightarrow G$ denote left multiplication on G and write $x \cdot v := dl_x v$. Notice that since we have a left invariant system, $X_t(x) = x \cdot X_t(\mathbf{1}) =: x \cdot X_t$. Furthermore, parallel transport with respect to ∇ is simply left translation so

$$//_t(x)v = (x \cdot X_t \cdot x^{-1}) \cdot v.$$

If $W_t(x)$ is the anti-development of $X_t(x)$ with respect to ∇ then

$$W_t(x) = x \cdot W_t(\mathbf{1}) =: x \cdot W_t.$$

As ∇ is a flat connection and since

$$T^\nabla(A_1, A_2) = -[A_1, A_2],$$

for any pair of left invariant vector fields A_1 and A_2 , we have that $\mathcal{A}$ in (4.1) equals

$$\mathcal{A} = -\alpha(\text{tr}_{\mathbf{h}} T(\times, T(\times, \cdot))).$$

In other words, if we define a map $\psi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, by

$$(4.2) \quad \psi = \text{tr}_{\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{1})} \text{ad}(\times) \text{ad}(\times),$$

then

$$\mathcal{A}\alpha = -l_{x^{-1}}^* \psi^* l_x^* \alpha, \quad \alpha \in T_x^* M.$$

Both $\mathcal{A}$ and T^∇ are bounded in $\mathbf{g}$. Hence, we can conclude that for any $v \in \mathbf{g}$ and $x \in G$,

$$dP_t f(x \cdot v) = \mathbb{E} \left[df \left((x \cdot X_t) \cdot \left(Q_t^\top v + \int_0^t \text{ad}(Q_s^\top v) dW_s \right) \right) \right]$$

where

$$Q_t = \exp(-t\psi^*/2).$$

Note that Q_t is deterministic in this case.

4.4. Carnot groups and the proof of Theorem 2.10. Let G be a Carnot group with stratified Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_k$. Define $(\mathcal{H}, \mathbf{h})$ on G by left translation of the vector space $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_1$ with some inner product. Let $\mathbf{g}$ be a left invariant metric on G taming $\mathbf{h}$ such that $\text{vol} = \text{vol}(\mathbf{g})$ equals left Haar measure. Since nilpotent Lie groups are unimodular, this equals the right Haar measure. Let ∇ be the connection on M defined such that all left invariant vector fields are parallel. As

$$\beta(v) = \text{tr } T^\nabla(v, \cdot) = 0,$$

we have that $L(\nabla)^* = L(\nabla)$ by Lemma A.1. Furthermore, if $A_1, \dots, A_n$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$, then $L(\nabla) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_i^2$ by [1].

Before passing to our desired inequality, we review some facts about Carnot groups. Let $X_t := X_t(\mathbf{1})$ be a $\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}$ -diffusion starting at the identity $\mathbf{1}$ and let $//_t$ denote the corresponding parallel transport along X_t with respect to ∇ . Let $\pi: G \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$ denote the quotient map. We have the following general observations for Carnot groups.

- (i) For any $v, w \in \mathcal{H}$ we have $\langle v, w \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} = \langle \pi_* v, \pi_* w \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}(\mathbf{1})}$. Hence we can consider our sub-Riemannian structure as having been obtained by choosing a principal Ehresmann connection $\mathcal{H}$ on π and lifting the metric on $\mathfrak{h}$. It follows by [20, Section 2] that $\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}$ is the horizontal lift of the Laplacian of $(\mathfrak{h}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}(\mathbf{1})})$ and so we have that $W_t = \pi(X_t)$ is a Brownian motion in the inner product space $\mathfrak{h}$. Since

$$\pi_* v = \text{pr}_{\mathfrak{h}} x^{-1} \cdot v, \quad v \in T_x G,$$

we can identify W_t with the anti-development of X_t .

- (ii) Since $\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}$ is left invariant, $X_t(x) := x \cdot X_t$ is a $\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}$ -diffusion starting at x , and $P_t f(x) = P_t(f \circ l_x)(\mathbf{1})$ where l_x denotes left translation. In particular, if $\varrho_t(x) := p_t(\mathbf{1}, x)$ then

$$p_t(x, y) = \varrho_t(x^{-1}y).$$

- (iii) For any $s > 0$ let $\text{Dil}_s: G \rightarrow G$ be the unique group automorphism such that $(\text{Dil}_s)_*: \mathfrak{g} \mapsto \mathfrak{g}$ is given by

$$(4.3) \quad (\text{Dil}_s)_* A \in \mathfrak{g}_j \mapsto s^j A.$$

The map (4.3) is a Lie algebra automorphism from the stratification of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and it correspond to a automorphism of G since G is simply connected. These automorphisms are called *dilations*. It can be verified that if $A \in \mathfrak{g}_j$ and we use the same symbol for the corresponding left invariant vector field then

$$A(f \circ \text{Dil}_s) = s^j (Af) \circ \text{Dil}_s.$$

- (iv) As a consequence of Item (iii) we have

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}(f \circ \text{Dil}_s) = s^2 (\Delta_{\mathbf{h}} f) \circ \text{Dil}_s,$$

and hence

$$P_t(f \circ \text{Dil}_s) = (P_{s^2 t} f) \circ \text{Dil}_s.$$

Also, for any function f , we have $\|df\|_{\mathfrak{h}^*} \circ \text{Dil}_s = s^{-1} \|d(f \circ \text{Dil}_s)\|_{\mathfrak{h}^*}$.

- (v) Define

$$Q = \sum_{j=1}^k j(\text{rank } \mathfrak{g}_j).$$

This is called *the homogeneous dimension* of G . By definition $\text{Dil}_s^* \text{vol} = s^Q \text{vol}$, and considering (iv) the heat kernel has the behavior

$$\varrho_{s^2 t}(\text{Dil}_s(x)) = s^{-Q} \varrho_t(x).$$

- (vi) Clearly $R^\nabla = 0$ and $\nabla T = 0$ since the torsion takes left invariant vector fields to left invariant vector fields. Hence, for any left invariant vector field A , we have $\mathcal{A}^\top A = \psi A$ with ψ as in (4.2).

If $\psi|_{\mathfrak{h}} = 0$, we can apply Theorem 2.9. We obtain that for any $v \in \mathfrak{h}$, then

$$dP_t f(v) = \mathbb{E} \left[//_t^{-1} df(X_t)(v - \mathcal{R}(W_t, v)) \right].$$

Theorem 2.10 now follows as a result of the next Lemma. Note that for any function $f \in C^\infty(M)$, $\|\nabla^{\text{hor}} f\|_{\mathbf{h}} = \|df\|_{\mathbf{h}^*}$.

Lemma 4.3. *Assume that $\psi|_{\mathfrak{h}} = 0$. Let $r : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function $r(x) = \|\pi(x)\|_{\mathbf{h}(1)}^2$. For every $t > 0$, define*

$$\vartheta_t = n + r^{1/2} \|d \log \varrho_t\|_{\mathbf{h}^*}.$$

For any $p \in (1, \infty]$, let $q \in [1, \infty)$ be such that $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and

$$C_{t,p} = \mathbb{E} [\vartheta_t(X_t)^q]^{1/q}.$$

Then

(a) $C_{t,p} = C_{1,p} = C_p$ for any $t > 0$.

(b) The constants C_p are finite. Furthermore, we have the inequality

$$C_2 \leq n + \left(nQ + 2 \int_G (n-r) \varrho \log \varrho \, d\text{vol} \right)^{1/2}.$$

(c) For any $t > 0$ and for any $f \in C_b^\infty(G)$, we have

$$\|dP_t f\|_{\mathbf{h}^*} \leq C_p (P_t \|df\|_{\mathbf{h}^*}^p)^{1/p}.$$

Proof. To simplify notation, we write $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2(\wedge^j \mathbf{g}^*)}$ simply as $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

(a) We use dilations to prove the statement. Observe that $r \circ \text{Dil}_s = s^2 r$ and $\|d \log \varrho_t\| \circ \text{Dil}_s = s^{-1} \|d \log \varrho_{t/s^2}\|$, and so $\vartheta_t \circ \text{Dil}_s = \vartheta_{t/s^2}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} C_{t,p}^q &= \int_G \varrho_t \vartheta_t^q \, d\text{vol} \stackrel{\text{Dil}_s^*}{=} \int_G (\varrho_t \circ \text{Dil}_{\sqrt{t}}) (\vartheta_t \circ \text{Dil}_{\sqrt{t}})^q t^{Q/2} \, d\text{vol} \\ &= \int_G \varrho_1 \vartheta_1^q \, d\text{vol} = C_p^q. \end{aligned}$$

(b) We only need to show that for any $1 < q < \infty$,

$$\int_G \varrho(r^{1/2} \|d \log \rho\|_{\mathbf{h}^*})^q \, d\text{vol} = \int_G r^{q/2} \varrho^{1-q} \|d \varrho\|_{\mathbf{h}^*}^q \, d\text{vol} < \infty.$$

Define $\mathbf{d}(x) = \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{h}}(1, x)$. Then π is distance decreasing, so $r(x) \leq \mathbf{d}(x)^2$. By [41, Theorem 1], for any $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ there is a constant k_ε such that

$$\frac{1}{\varrho(x)} \leq k_\varepsilon \exp \left(\frac{\mathbf{d}^2(x)}{2 - \varepsilon} \right).$$

Furthermore, by [42, Theorem IV.4.2], for every $\varepsilon' > 0$ there are constants $k_{\varepsilon'}$ such that

$$\|d \varrho\|_{\mathbf{h}^*}(x) \leq k_{\varepsilon'} \exp \left(-\frac{\mathbf{d}^2(x)}{2 + \varepsilon'} \right).$$

Since we can always find the appropriate values of ε and ε' such that

$$\frac{q-1}{q} \leq \frac{2-\varepsilon}{2+\varepsilon'},$$

it follows that $\int_G r^{q/2} \varrho^{1-q} \|d \varrho\|_{\mathbf{h}^*}^q \, d\text{vol} < \infty$.

Next, define the vector field D by $Dg = \frac{d}{ds}(g \circ \text{Dil}_{1+s})|_{s=0}$ for any function g . If g satisfies $g \circ \text{Dil}_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^k g$, then by definition $Dg = kg$. By Item (v), we have

$$\text{div } D = Q, \quad (t\Delta_{\mathbf{h}} + D + Q)p_t = (t\Delta_{\mathbf{h}} - D^*)p_t = 0.$$

The observation

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}(\varrho_t \log \varrho_t) = (\log \varrho_t + 1)\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}\varrho_t + \varrho_t \|\log \varrho_t\|_{\mathbf{h}^*}^2$$

allows us to compute

$$\begin{aligned} (C_2 - n)^2 &\leq \langle r, \varrho \|\log \varrho\|_{\mathbf{h}^*}^2 \rangle = \langle r, \Delta_{\mathbf{h}}(\varrho \log \varrho) - (\log \varrho + 1)\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}\varrho \rangle \\ &= \langle \Delta_{\mathbf{h}}r, \varrho \log \varrho \rangle - \langle D(r(\log \varrho + 1)), \varrho \rangle \\ &= 2n\langle \varrho, \log \varrho \rangle - \langle 2r(\log \varrho + 1), \varrho \rangle - \langle r, D\varrho \rangle \\ &= 2n\langle \varrho, \log \varrho \rangle - \langle 2r \log \varrho, \varrho \rangle - \langle 2r, \varrho \rangle - \langle r, D\varrho \rangle \\ &= 2n\langle \varrho, \log \varrho \rangle - \langle 2r \log \varrho, \varrho \rangle - \langle 2r, \varrho \rangle + \langle Dr, \varrho \rangle + Q\langle r, \varrho \rangle \\ &= 2\langle (n - r) \log \varrho, \varrho \rangle + Qn. \end{aligned}$$

- (c) By left invariance, it is sufficient to prove the inequality in the point $x = \mathbf{1}$. Let $v \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{1}} = \mathfrak{h}$ be arbitrary. We will use Theorem 2.9 and Item (vi). For every $x \in G$ we have $\sharp dr(x) = 2x \cdot \pi(x)$. Let us consider the form α^v defined by $\alpha^v(x) = \flat(x \cdot v)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} dP_t f(v) &= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_t^{-1} df(X_t) (v - \mathcal{R}(W_t, v)) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_t^{-1} df(X_t)(v) \right] - \mathbb{E} \left[df(X_t) \mathcal{R}(\int_t (\pi(X_t) \wedge v)) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\int_t^{-1} df(X_t)(v) \right] - \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \left[\langle \iota_{\mathcal{R}} df, dr \wedge \alpha^v \rangle_{\wedge^2 \mathbf{g}^*}(X_t) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We will use the $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ -grading on forms from Section A.2. With respect to this grading, $\iota_{\mathcal{R}} df = -d^{2,-1}d^{0,1}f$ and taking the $(2, 0)$ -graded part of the equality $d^2 = 0$, we obtain $-d^{2,-1}d^{0,1} = (d^{1,0})^2$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\langle \iota_{\mathcal{R}} df, dr \wedge \alpha^v \rangle_{\wedge^2 \mathbf{g}^*}(X_t) \right] &= \langle (d^{1,0})^2 f, \varrho_t dr \wedge \alpha^v \rangle \\ &= \langle d^{1,0} f, \delta^{-1,0}(\varrho_t dr \wedge \alpha^v) \rangle \\ &= -\langle d^{1,0} f, \iota_{\sharp \mathbf{h}^*} d_{\varrho_t} dr \wedge \alpha^v \rangle - \langle d^{1,0} f, \varrho_t (\Delta_{\mathbf{h}^*} r) \alpha^v \rangle + \langle d^{1,0} f, \varrho_t \nabla_{\sharp \mathbf{h}^*} \alpha dr \rangle \end{aligned}$$

since $\nabla \alpha^v = 0$. We use the identities $\Delta_{\mathbf{h}^*} r = 2n$ and $\nabla_A dr = 2\flat \operatorname{pr}_{\mathcal{H}} A$, to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[\langle \iota_{\mathcal{R}} df, dr \wedge \alpha^v \rangle_{\mathbf{g}^*}(X_t) \right] &= -\langle d^{1,0} f, \iota_{\sharp \mathbf{h}^*} d_{\varrho_t} dr \wedge \alpha^v \rangle - 2(n-1) \langle d^{1,0} f, \varrho_t \alpha^v \rangle \\ &= -\mathbb{E} \left[\langle d^{1,0} f, \iota_{\sharp \mathbf{h}^*} d \log \varrho_t dr \wedge \alpha^v \rangle_{\mathbf{g}^*}(X_t) \right] - 2(n-1) \mathbb{E} \left[\int_t^{-1} d^{1,0} f(X_t)(v) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if we define $\mathcal{N}_t : T_{\mathbf{1}}^* G \rightarrow T_{\mathbf{1}}^* G$ by

$$\mathcal{N}_t \beta = n\beta + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{-1} \iota_{\sharp dr(X_t)} (d \log \varrho_t(X_t) \wedge \int_t \beta),$$

then $dP_t f(v) = \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{N}_t \int_t^{-1} df(v)]$ for any $v \in \mathcal{H}$.

Observe that $\|\mathcal{N}_t \beta_t\|_{\mathbf{h}^*} \leq \vartheta_t \|\beta\|_{\mathbf{h}^*}$. Using Hölder's inequality, this leads us to the conclusion

$$\begin{aligned} \|dP_t f\|_{\mathbf{h}^*}(\mathbf{1}) &= \sup_{v \in \mathfrak{h}, \|v\|_{\mathbf{h}}=1} dP_t f(v) \\ &= \sup_{v \in \mathfrak{h}, \|v\|_{\mathbf{h}}=1} \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{N}_t \int_t^{-1} df(X_t)(v)] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}[\vartheta_t^q \circ X_t]^{1/q} \mathbb{E}[\|df\|_{\mathbf{h}^*}^p \circ X_t]^{1/p} \\ &\leq C_{t,p}(P_t \|df\|_{\mathbf{h}^*}^p(\mathbf{1}))^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 4.4. Consider a Carnot group $(G, \mathcal{H}, \mathbf{h})$ and let $\mathcal{V}$ be the complement of $\mathcal{H}$ defined by left translation of $\mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_k$. Since this is an ideal, we obtain the same subbundle using right translation. Extend the $\mathbf{h}$ to a Riemannian metric $\mathbf{g}$ by defining a right invariant metric on $\mathcal{V}$. Then condition (2.6) holds, but if ∇ is defined as in (2.8), then $\text{Ric}(\nabla)$ does not have a lower bound for $k \geq 3$. However, the Yang-Mills condition $\text{tr}_{\mathbf{h}}(\nabla_{\times} \mathcal{R})(\times, \cdot) = 0$ of Remark 3.8 equals exactly the condition $\psi|_{\mathfrak{h}} = 0$.

APPENDIX A. LAPLACIANS, SUB-LAPLACIANS AND TORSION-CONJUGATE CONNECTIONS

A.1. Dual of a sub-Laplacian. Let $(M, \mathcal{H}, \mathbf{h})$ be a sub-Riemannian manifold with $\mathcal{H}$ bracket-generating. Let $\mathbf{g}$ be any Riemannian metric taming $\mathbf{h}$ and let $\text{vol} = \text{vol}(\mathbf{g})$ be its volume density.

Lemma A.1. *Let $\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}$ be the sub-Laplacian with respect to vol .*

- (a) *Let ∇ be a connection compatible with both $\mathbf{h}^*$ and $\mathbf{g}$. Let T^{∇} be the torsion of ∇ and define the rough sub-Laplacian $L = L(\nabla)$ on tensors. Define a one-form β by*

$$\beta(v) = \text{tr } T^{\nabla}(v, \cdot).$$

Then the dual of $\mathbf{g}$ is given by

$$L^* = L - 2\nabla_{\sharp^{\mathbf{h}^*}\beta} - \text{div } \sharp^{\mathbf{h}^*}\beta = L + (\nabla_{\sharp^{\mathbf{h}^*}\beta})^* - \nabla_{\sharp^{\mathbf{h}^*}\beta}.$$

In particular, $Lf = \Delta_{\mathbf{h}}f - \langle \beta, df \rangle_{\mathbf{h}^}$ for any $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$.*

- (b) *Let ∇ be a connection compatible with $\mathbf{h}^*$ and with torsion-conjugate $\bar{\nabla}$. Assume that $\bar{\nabla}$ is compatible with $\mathbf{g}$ and write $\bar{L} = L(\bar{\nabla})$. Then with respect to $\mathbf{g}$, $\bar{L}^* = \bar{L}$. In particular, $\bar{L}f = \Delta_{\mathbf{h}}f$.*

Proof. Note that for any connection ∇ preserving the Riemannian metric $\mathbf{g}$, we have

$$\text{div } Z = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle \nabla_{A_i} Z, A_i \rangle_{\mathbf{g}} + \sum_{s=1}^{\nu} \langle \nabla_{V_s} Z, V_s \rangle_{\mathbf{g}} - \beta(Z),$$

with respect to local orthonormal bases $A_1, \dots, A_n$ and $V_1, \dots, V_{\nu}$ of respectively $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{V}$.

- (a) For any pair of vector fields A, B , consider an operator $F(A \otimes B) = \flat A \otimes \nabla_B$ on tensors with dual

$$F(A \otimes B)^* = -\iota_{(\text{div } B)A} - \iota_{\nabla_B A} - \iota_A \nabla_B.$$

Extend F to arbitrary sections of $TM^{\otimes 2}$ by $C^{\infty}(M)$ -linearity. Consider the operator $F(\mathbf{h}^*)$. Since ∇ preserves $\mathcal{H}$, its orthogonal complement $\mathcal{V}$ and their metrics, around any point x we can find local orthonormal bases $A_1, \dots, A_n$ and $V_1, \dots, V_{\nu}$ of respectively $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{V}$ that are parallel at x . Hence, in any local orthonormal basis

$$F(\mathbf{h}^*)^* = \iota_{\sharp^{\mathbf{h}^*}\beta} - \iota_{A_i} \nabla_{A_i}.$$

and so

$$F(\mathbf{h}^*)^* F(\mathbf{h}^*) = -L + \nabla_{\sharp^{\mathbf{h}^*}\beta} = -L^* + (\nabla_{\sharp^{\mathbf{h}^*}\beta})^*.$$

- (b) Define $\bar{F}(A \otimes B) = \flat A \otimes \bar{\nabla}_B$ and extend it by linearity to all sections of $TM^{\otimes 2}$. Again we know that for any point x , there exists a basis $A_1, \dots, A_n$ such that $\nabla A_i(x) = 0$. This means that $\bar{\nabla}_Z A_i(x) = T^\nabla(A_i, Z)(x)$ for the same basis, and hence locally

$$\bar{F}(\mathbf{h}^*)^* = -\iota_{\sharp \mathbf{h}^*} \bar{\beta} - \iota_{A_i} \bar{\nabla}_{A_i}, \quad \bar{\beta}(v) = \text{tr } T^{\bar{\nabla}}(v, \cdot).$$

However, since $\bar{\nabla}$ is the torsion-conjugate of a connection compatible with $\mathbf{h}^*$ we have $\bar{\beta} = 0$ by Proposition 3.2. Hence $\bar{F}(\mathbf{h}^*)^* \bar{F}(\mathbf{h}^*) = -L$ and the result follows. $\square$

A.2. Graded forms. Let M be a manifold with a sub-Riemannian structure $(\mathcal{H}, \mathbf{h})$ and let $\mathbf{g}$ be a Riemannian metric taming $\mathbf{h}$. We define a grading on the exterior algebra as introduced in [21, Appendix]. It generalizes a grading of forms for foliated Riemannian manifolds, see e.g. [3]. Let $\Omega(M)$ be the exterior algebra on M . Let $\text{Ann}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\text{Ann}(\mathcal{V})$ be the subbundles of T^*M consisting of elements that vanish of respectively $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{V}$. Note that sections of $\text{Ann}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\text{Ann}(\mathcal{V})$ are orthogonal with respect to the L^2 -inner product. Introduce a $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ -grading on $\Omega(M)$ in the following way. Only the constant zero-function has degree (a, b) if either a or b is negative. If both a and b are non-negative, homogeneous elements of degree (a, b) are sums of elements

$$\alpha \wedge \beta, \quad \alpha \in \bigwedge^a \text{Ann}(\mathcal{H}), \quad \beta \in \bigwedge^b \text{Ann}(\mathcal{V}).$$

Notice that two L^2 -forms of different degree are also orthogonal.

Let d be the exterior differential on forms and let δ be its formal dual. Write

$$d = d^{1,0} + d^{0,1} + d^{2,-1} + d^{1,2}$$

for the different graded components of d , with corresponding decomposition for the formal dual

$$\delta = \delta^{-1,0} + \delta^{0,-1} + \delta^{-2,1} + \delta^{1,-2}.$$

Clearly $\delta^{-a,-b}$ is the formal dual of $d^{a,b}$.

Assume that $\mathbf{g}$ satisfies $II = 0$ where II is defined as in (3.2). Let ζ and ∇ be as in (2.7) and (2.8), respectively. Note that ζ has a decomposition in graded components as $\zeta = \zeta^{(2,1)} + \zeta^{(1,2)}$, where

$$\zeta^{(2,1)}(v_1, v_2, v_3) = \circ \langle \mathcal{R}(v_1, v_2), v_3 \rangle_{\mathbf{g}}, \quad \zeta^{(1,2)}(v_1, v_2, v_3) = \circ \langle \bar{\mathcal{R}}(v_1, v_2), v_3 \rangle_{\mathbf{g}}.$$

Lemma A.2. Define $\text{Ric} = \text{Ric}(\nabla)$ and $\text{Ric}^a = \frac{1}{2}(\text{Ric} - \text{Ric}^*)$.

(a) For any $\alpha \in T^*M$,

$$\text{Ric}^a \alpha = \frac{1}{2} \iota_{\sharp \alpha} \left(\iota_T^* \zeta^{(2,1)} - \delta \zeta^{(2,1)} \right).$$

(b) Define $\mathcal{C}$ as in (2.9). For any pair of compactly supported functions $f, g \in C_c^\infty(M)$,

$$\langle \text{Ric}^a df, dg \rangle_{L^2(\mathbf{g}^*)} = \frac{1}{2} \langle \mathcal{C}, df \wedge dg \rangle_{L^2(\wedge^2 \mathbf{g}^*)}.$$

Proof. (a) Let $A_1, \dots, A_n$ and $V_1, \dots, V_s$ be respective local orthonormal bases of $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{V}$. Write T for the torsion of ∇ . Since ∇ preserves the metric, for any form η ,

$$d\eta = \iota_T \eta + \sum_{i=1}^n \flat A_i \wedge \nabla_{A_i} \eta + \sum_{s=1}^s \flat V_s \wedge \nabla_{V_s} \eta.$$

Since $\text{tr } T(Z, \bullet) = 0$ for any Z , then by the proof of Lemma A.1,

$$\delta\eta = \iota_T^* \eta - \sum_{i=1}^n \iota_{A_i} \nabla_{A_i} \eta - \sum_{s=1}^\nu \iota_{V_s} \nabla_{V_s} \eta.$$

Lemma 3.3 tells us that

$$2\langle \text{Ric}^a \alpha, \beta \rangle_{\mathbf{g}^*} = \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n (\iota_{A_i} \nabla_{A_i} \zeta^{(2,1)}), \alpha \wedge \beta \right\rangle_{\wedge^2 \mathbf{g}^*}.$$

Furthermore, for any $V \in \Gamma(\mathcal{V})$ and $A, B \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H})$, using the first Bianchi identity

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle V, \circ R^\nabla(A, B)V \rangle_{\mathbf{g}} = \langle V, \circ (\nabla_A T)(B, V) + \circ T(T(A, B), V)V \rangle \\ &= -(\nabla_V \zeta^{(2,1)})(V, A, B). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the result follows from

$$\delta\zeta^{(2,1)} = -\text{tr}_{\mathbf{h}} \sum_{i=1}^n \iota_{A_i} \nabla_{A_i} \zeta + \iota_T^* \zeta^{(2,1)}.$$

(b) Since $df \wedge dg = d(fdg)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\langle \text{Ric}^a df, dg \rangle_{L^2(\mathbf{g}^*)} &= 2\langle \iota_T^* \zeta^{(2,1)}, df \wedge dg \rangle_{L^2(\wedge^2 \mathbf{g}^*)} \\ &= \langle \zeta^{(2,1)}, (\iota_T df) \wedge dg - (\iota_T dg) \wedge df \rangle_{L^2(\wedge^2 \mathbf{g}^*)}. \end{aligned}$$

We see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \zeta^{(2,1)}, (\iota_T df) \wedge dg \rangle_{\wedge^2 \mathbf{g}^*} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n dg(\mathcal{R}(A_i, A_j)) df(\mathcal{R}(A_i, A_j)) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{s=1}^\nu \langle \mathcal{R}(\sharp dg, A_i), V_s \rangle df(T(A_i, V_s)) \\ &= -\langle \iota_{\mathcal{R}} dg, \iota_{\mathcal{R}} df \rangle_{\wedge^2 \mathbf{g}^*} - \langle \mathcal{R}(\sharp^{\mathbf{h}^*} dg, \bullet), \mathcal{R}(\sharp df, \bullet) \rangle_{\mathbf{g} \otimes \mathbf{g}^*} + \sum_{s=1}^n \langle \mathcal{R}(\sharp dg, \bar{\mathcal{R}}(\sharp df, V_s)), V_s \rangle_{\mathbf{g}^*}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the anti-symmetric part in f and g , using that $\text{tr } \bar{\mathcal{R}}(v, \mathcal{R}(w, \bullet)) = \text{tr } \mathcal{R}(w, \bar{\mathcal{R}}(v, \bullet))$, we obtain the result. $\square$

A.3. A lemma on graded differentials and codifferentials.

Lemma A.3. *Assume that $II = 0$. If α is a one-form with graded components $\alpha = \alpha^{(1,0)} + \alpha^{(0,1)}$ then*

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta^{-1,0} d^{0,1} + d^{0,1} \delta^{-1,0})\alpha &= \iota_{\sharp \alpha^{(1,0)}} \mathcal{C}, \\ (\delta^{0,-1} d^{1,0} + d^{1,0} \delta^{0,-1})\alpha &= -\iota_{\sharp \alpha^{(0,1)}} \mathcal{C}, \end{aligned}$$

with $\mathcal{C}$ as in (2.9).

Proof. Introduce the connection $\overset{\circ}{\nabla}$ as in (3.6). Write $\mathbf{g}|\mathcal{V} = \mathbf{v}$ and let $\mathbf{v}^*$ be the cometric of $(\mathcal{V}, \mathbf{v})$. This connection has torsion $\overset{\circ}{T} = -(\mathcal{R} + \bar{\mathcal{R}})$ and is compatible with both $\mathbf{h}^*$ and $\mathbf{g}$. Define $\overset{\circ}{F}(A \otimes B) = \flat A \wedge \overset{\circ}{\nabla}_B$ and extend this to arbitrary sections of $TM^{\otimes 2}$ by linearity. Note that since $\iota_{\overset{\circ}{T}}$ only has graded components $\iota_{\overset{\circ}{T}} = \iota_{\overset{\circ}{T}}^{(2,1)} + \iota_{\overset{\circ}{T}}^{(1,2)}$ we have

$$d^{1,0} = \overset{\circ}{F}(\mathbf{h}^*), \quad d^{0,1} = \overset{\circ}{F}(\mathbf{v}^*).$$

It follows that if $\text{Ric}^\circ = \text{Ric}(\overset{\circ}{\nabla})$ then

$$\left(\overset{\circ}{F}(\mathbf{h}^*)^* \overset{\circ}{F}(\mathbf{v}^*) + \overset{\circ}{F}(\mathbf{v}^*) \overset{\circ}{F}(\mathbf{h}^*)^* \right) \alpha = \text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}}^* \text{Ric}^\circ \alpha.$$

Using the first Bianchi identity and the fact that $\text{Ric}^\circ \alpha^{(0,1)} = 0$ for any $(0,1)$ -form we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \beta, \text{pr}_{\mathcal{V}}^* \text{Ric}^\circ \alpha \rangle_{\mathbf{g}^*} &= \langle \beta^{(0,1)}, \text{Ric}^\circ \alpha^{(1,0)} \rangle_{\mathbf{g}^*} = \langle \beta^{(0,1)}, \text{Ric}^\circ \alpha^{(1,0)} - (\text{Ric}^\circ)^* \alpha^{(1,0)} \rangle_{\mathbf{g}^*} \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle A_i, \circ R^{\overset{\circ}{\nabla}}(A_i, \# \alpha^{(1,0)}) \# \beta^{(0,1)} \rangle_{\mathbf{g}} \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle A_i, \circ (\overset{\circ}{\nabla}_{A_i} \overset{\circ}{T})(\# \alpha^{(1,0)}, \# \beta^{(0,1)}) + \circ T(\overset{\circ}{T}(A_i, \# \alpha^{(1,0)}), \# \beta^{(0,1)}) \rangle_{\mathbf{g}} \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle A_i, \bar{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{R}(A_i, \# \alpha^{(1,0)}), \# \beta^{(0,1)}) \rangle_{\mathbf{g}} = \mathcal{C}(\# \alpha^{(1,0)}, \# \beta^{(0,1)}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(\delta^{-1,0} d^{0,1} + d^{0,1} \delta^{-1,0}) \alpha = \iota_{\# \alpha^{(1,0)}} \mathcal{C}$ and the other relation follows by taking the dual. $\square$

A.4. Commutation relations with between the Laplacian and the sub-Laplacian. We need the following statement.

Lemma A.4 ([27, Proposition], [9, Proposition 4.1]). *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be equal to the Laplacian $\Delta_{\mathbf{g}}$ or sub-Laplacian $\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}$ defined relative to a complete Riemannian or sub-Riemannian metric, respectively. Let $u_t(x)$ be a solution in L^2 of the heat equation*

$$(\partial_t - \mathcal{A})u_t = 0, \quad u_0 = f,$$

for an L^2 -function f . Then $u_t(x)$ is the unique solution to this equation in L^2 .

Let $(M, \mathcal{H}, \mathbf{h})$ and let $\mathbf{g}$ be a Riemannian metric $\mathbf{h}$. Let $\text{vol} = \text{vol}(\mathbf{g})$ be the volume density of $\mathbf{g}$ and define $\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}$ as the sub-Laplacian of vol . Let $\Delta_{\mathbf{g}}$ be the Laplacian of $\mathbf{g}$. Assume that (2.6) holds and let $\mathcal{C}$ be defined as in (2.9). Let ∇ be as in (2.8) with torsion-conjugate $\bar{\nabla}$. Define $L = L(\nabla)$ and $\bar{L} = L(\bar{\nabla})$.

Proposition A.5. *We have*

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{g}} \Delta_{\mathbf{h}} f = \Delta_{\mathbf{h}} \Delta_{\mathbf{g}} f \quad \text{for all } f \in C^\infty(M),$$

if and only if $\delta \mathcal{C} = 0$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the statement for compactly supported functions. Note that for $f, g \in C_c^\infty(M)$,

$$\langle \Delta_{\mathbf{h}} \Delta_{\mathbf{g}} f, g \rangle_{L^2} = \langle f, \Delta_{\mathbf{g}} \Delta_{\mathbf{h}} g \rangle_{L^2}.$$

Hence, we need to show that $\Delta_{\mathbf{g}} \Delta_{\mathbf{h}}$ is its own dual. Let ∇ be as in (2.8) with torsion-conjugate $\bar{\nabla}$. Define $L = L(\nabla)$, $\bar{L} = L(\bar{\nabla})$, $\text{Ric} = \text{Ric}(\nabla)$ and introduce $\text{Ric}^a = \frac{1}{2}(\text{Ric} - \text{Ric}^*)$. By Lemma A.1 we have $\bar{L}^* = \bar{L}$. In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Delta_{\mathbf{g}} \Delta_{\mathbf{h}} f, g \rangle_{L^2(\mathbf{g}^*)} &= - \langle dL f, dg \rangle_{L^2(\mathbf{g}^*)} = - \langle (\bar{L} - \text{Ric}) df, dg \rangle_{L^2(\mathbf{g}^*)} \\ &= - \langle df, (\bar{L} - \text{Ric}) dg \rangle_{L^2(\mathbf{g}^*)} + 2 \langle \text{Ric}^a df, dg \rangle_{L^2(\mathbf{g}^*)} \\ &= \langle f, \Delta_{\mathbf{g}} \Delta_{\mathbf{h}} g \rangle_{L^2} + 2 \langle \text{Ric}^a df, dg \rangle_{L^2(\mathbf{g}^*)}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, $2\langle \text{Ric}^a df, dg \rangle_{L^2} = \langle \mathcal{C}, df \wedge dg \rangle_{L^2} = \langle \delta \mathcal{C}, f dg \rangle_{L^2}$. Since all one-forms can be written as sums of one-forms of the type $f dg$, it follows that $(\Delta_{\mathbf{g}} \Delta_{\mathbf{h}})^* f = \Delta_{\mathbf{g}} \Delta_{\mathbf{h}} f$ for $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$ if and only if $\delta \mathcal{C} = 0$. $\square$

Corollary A.6. *Assume that $\mathbf{g}$ satisfies (2.6) and that $\delta \mathcal{C} = 0$. If $\mathcal{C}$ is in L^2 , then $\Delta_{\mathbf{g}}$ and $\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}$ spectrally commute.*

Proof. Write $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{g}|\mathcal{V}$ and write $\Delta_{\mathbf{v}} f := (\Delta_{\mathbf{g}} - \Delta_{\mathbf{h}})f = -\delta^{0,1} d^{0,1} f$. Observe that for any compactly supported $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$,

$$\|\Delta_{\mathbf{g}} f\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\Delta_{\mathbf{h}} f\|_{L^2}^2 = 2\langle \Delta_{\mathbf{v}} f, \Delta_{\mathbf{h}} f \rangle_{L^2} + \|\Delta_{\mathbf{v}} f\|_{L^2}^2$$

Furthermore, using Lemma A.3

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Delta_{\mathbf{v}} f, \Delta_{\mathbf{h}} f \rangle_{L^2} &= \langle d^{0,1} f, d^{0,1} \delta^{-1,0} d^{1,0} f \rangle_{L^2(\mathbf{g}^*)} \\ &= -\langle d^{0,1} f, \delta^{-1,0} d^{0,1} d^{1,0} f \rangle_{L^2(\wedge^2 \mathbf{g}^*)} - \langle \mathcal{C}, d^{0,1} f \wedge d^{1,0} f \rangle_{L^2(\wedge^2 \mathbf{g}^*)} \\ &= \langle d^{1,0} d^{0,1} f, d^{1,0} d^{0,1} f \rangle_{L^2(\wedge^2 \mathbf{g}^*)} - \langle f \mathcal{C}, d^{1,0} d^{0,1} f \rangle_{L^2(\wedge^2 \mathbf{g}^*)}, \end{aligned}$$

since $\delta^{-1,0} \mathcal{C} = 0$. Hence, we have $\|\Delta_{\mathbf{h}} f\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|\Delta_{\mathbf{g}} f\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathcal{C}\|_{L^2(\wedge^2 \mathbf{g}^*)}^2 \|f\|_{L^2}^2$, which extends to all $f \in \text{Dom}(\Delta_{\mathbf{g}})$. As a consequence, $\text{Dom}(\Delta_{\mathbf{g}}) \subseteq \text{Dom}(\Delta_{\mathbf{h}})$.

Let $Q_t = e^{t\Delta_{\mathbf{g}}/2}$ and $P_t = e^{t\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}/2}$ be the semigroups of $\Delta_{\mathbf{g}}$ and $\Delta_{\mathbf{h}}$, which exists by the spectral theorem. For any $f \in \text{Dom}(\Delta_{\mathbf{h}})$, $u_t = \Delta_{\mathbf{h}} Q_t f$ is an L^2 solution of

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \right) u_t = 0, \quad u_0 = \Delta_{\mathbf{h}} f.$$

By Lemma A.4 we obtain $\Delta_{\mathbf{h}} Q_t f = Q_t \Delta_{\mathbf{h}} f$. Furthermore, for any $s > 0$ and $f \in L^2$, we know that $Q_s f \in \text{Dom}(\Delta) \subseteq \text{Dom}(\Delta_{\mathbf{h}})$, and since

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{\mathbf{h}} \right) Q_s P_t f = 0,$$

it again follows from Lemma A.4 that $P_t Q_s f = Q_s P_t f$ for any $s, t \geq 0$ and $f \in L^2$. The operators consequently spectrally commute, see [34, Chapter VIII.5]. $\square$

APPENDIX B. FEYNMAN-KAC FORMULA FOR PERTURBATIONS OF SELF-ADJOINT OPERATORS

B.1. Essentially self-adjoint operator on forms. Let M be a manifold with a sub-Riemannian structure $(\mathcal{H}, \mathbf{h})$. Consider the rough sub-Laplacian $L = L(\nabla)$ relative to some affine connection ∇ on TM . Let $\mathbf{g}$ be a complete sub-Riemannian metric taming $\mathbf{h}$ such that $\nabla \mathbf{g} = 0$. Assume that

$$L^* = L = -(\nabla_{\text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}}})^* (\nabla_{\text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}}}).$$

We can then make the following statement for operators of the type $L - \mathcal{C}$ where $\mathcal{C} \in \Gamma(\text{End}(T^*M))$. To simplify notation, we denote $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{L^2(\wedge^j \mathbf{g}^*)}$ as simply $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ for the rest of this section.

Lemma B.1. *Assume that $\mathcal{C}^* = \mathcal{C}$. If $\mathcal{A} = L - \mathcal{C}$ is bounded from above on compactly supported forms, i.e. if*

$$\lambda_0 = \lambda_0(\mathcal{A}) = \sup \left\{ \frac{\langle \mathcal{A} \alpha, \alpha \rangle}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} : \alpha \in \Gamma_c(T^*M) \right\} < \infty,$$

then $L^{\mathcal{B}}$ is essentially self-adjoint on compactly supported one-forms.

We follow the argument of [36, Section 2]. We begin by introducing the following lemma.

Lemma B.2. [33, Section X.1] *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be any closed, symmetric, densely defined operator on a Hilbert space with domain $\text{Dom}(\mathcal{A})$. Assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is bounded from above by $\lambda_0(\mathcal{A})$ on its domain. Then $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^*$ if and only if there are no eigenvectors in the domain of $\mathcal{A}^*$ with eigenvalue $\lambda > \lambda_0(\mathcal{A})$.*

Proof of Lemma B.1. Let $\text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}}$ be the orthogonal projection to $\mathcal{H}$. Since $L = -(\nabla_{\text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}}})^*(\nabla_{\text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}}})$, we have $-\langle \mathcal{C}\alpha, \alpha \rangle \leq \lambda_0 \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$. Denote the closure of $\mathcal{A}|\Gamma_c(T^*M)$ by $\mathcal{A}$ as well. Assume that there exist a one-form α in L^2 satisfying $\mathcal{A}^*\alpha = \lambda\alpha$ with $\lambda > \lambda_0$. This implies that α is smooth from hypoellipticity of $\mathcal{A}$. Let f be an arbitrary function of compact support and write $d^{1,0}f = \text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}}^* df$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \langle f^2 \alpha, \alpha \rangle &= \langle f^2 \alpha, \mathcal{A}^* \alpha \rangle = \langle \mathcal{A}(f^2 \alpha), \alpha \rangle \\ &= -\langle f^2 \nabla_{\text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}}} \cdot \alpha, \nabla_{\text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}}} \cdot \alpha \rangle - \langle f^2 \mathcal{C} \alpha, \alpha \rangle - 2 \langle f d^{1,0} f \otimes \alpha, \nabla_{\text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}}} \cdot \alpha \rangle \\ &\leq -\|f \nabla_{\text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}}} \cdot \alpha\|_{L^2(\mathbf{g}^*)}^2 + \lambda_0 \langle f^2 \alpha, \alpha \rangle - 2 \langle d^{1,0} f \otimes \alpha, f \nabla_{\text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}}} \cdot \alpha \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(\lambda - \lambda_0) \langle f^2 \alpha, \alpha \rangle \geq 0$, we have

$$\|f \nabla_{\text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}}} \cdot \alpha\|_{L^2(\mathbf{g}^*)}^2 \leq -2 \langle d^{1,0} f \otimes \alpha, f \nabla_{\text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}}} \cdot \alpha \rangle,$$

and hence

$$(B.1) \quad \|f \nabla_{\text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}}} \cdot \alpha\|_{L^2(\mathbf{g}^*)}^2 \leq 2 \|d^{1,0} f\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{g}^*)} \|\alpha\|_{L^2(\mathbf{g}^*)} \|f \nabla_{\text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}}} \cdot \alpha\|_{L^2(\mathbf{g}^*)}.$$

Since we assumed that $\mathbf{g}$ was complete, there exist a sequence of smooth functions $g_j \uparrow 1$ of compact support satisfying $\|dg_j\|_{L^\infty(\mathbf{g}^*)} \rightarrow 0$. By inserting g_j in (B.1) and taking the limit we obtain $\|\nabla_{\text{pr}_{\mathcal{H}}} \cdot \alpha\|_{L^2(\mathbf{g}^*)}^2 = -\langle L\alpha, \alpha \rangle = 0$. However, this contradicts our initial hypothesis $\mathcal{A}^*\alpha = \lambda\alpha$ for $\lambda > \lambda_0$. Hence, we obtain our result. $\square$

Remark B.3. By replacing the sequence g_j in the proof of Lemma B.1 with (an appropriately smooth approximation of) the sequence found in [37, Theorem 7.3], we can deduce essential self-adjointness of $L - \mathcal{C}$ just by assuming completeness of $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{h}}$.

B.2. Stochastic representation of a semigroup. Let $(M, \mathcal{H}, \mathbf{h})$ be a sub-Riemannian manifold and let $\mathbf{g}$ be a complete Riemannian metric taming $\mathbf{h}$. Define $L^2(T^*M)$ as the space of all one-forms in L^2 relative to $\mathbf{g}$. Let ∇ be a connection satisfying $\nabla \mathbf{g} = 0$ and $L^* = L$. Relative to $L(\nabla)$, consider the stochastic flow $X_t(\cdot)$ with explosion time $\tau(\cdot)$. Define $//_t(x)$ as parallel transport along $X_t(x)$ with respect to ∇ .

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a zero order operator on M , with

$$\mathcal{C}^s = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{C} + \mathcal{C}^*), \quad \mathcal{C}^a = \frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{C} - \mathcal{C}^*).$$

Lemma B.4. *Assume that $L - \mathcal{C}^s$ is bounded from above and assume that $\mathcal{C}^a$ is bounded. For each x , let $Q_t(x) \in \text{End } T_x^*M$ a continuous process adapted to the filtration of $X_t(x)$ such that for any $\alpha \in \Gamma_c(T_x^*M)$, we have*

$$d\left(Q_t(x) //_t^{-1} \alpha(X_t(x))\right) \stackrel{\text{loc.m.}}{=} Q_t(x) //_t^{-1} (L - \mathcal{C}) \alpha(X_t(x)) dt,$$

where $\stackrel{\text{loc.m.}}{=}$ denotes equality modulo differentials of local martingales.

Then there exists a strongly continuous semigroup $P_t^{(1)}$ on $L^2(T^*M)$ such that for any $\alpha \in L^2(T^*M)$,

$$P_t^{(1)}\alpha(x) = \mathbb{E} [1_{t < \tau(x)} Q_t(x) //_t^{-1} \alpha(X_t)(x)],$$

and such that $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{d}{dt} P_t^{(1)} \alpha = (L - \mathcal{C})\alpha$ for any $\alpha \in \Gamma_c(TM)$.

For the proof, we need to consider a special class of Volterra operators. To this end, we follow the arguments of [17, Section III.1]. Let $\mathfrak{B}$ be a Banach space and let $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{B})$ be the space of all bounded operators on $\mathfrak{B}$ with the strong operator topology. Consider any strongly continuous semigroup $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{B})$, $t \mapsto S_t$ and let $\mathcal{A} : \mathfrak{B} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ be a bounded operator. We define the corresponding Volterra operator $\mathbb{V}(S; \mathcal{A})$ on continuous functions $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{B})$, $(t, \alpha) \mapsto F_t \alpha$ by

$$(\mathbb{V}(S; \mathcal{A})F)_t \alpha = \int_0^t S_{t-r} \mathcal{A} F_r \alpha \, dr,$$

and introduce the operator $\mathbb{T}(S; \mathcal{A})$ by

$$\mathbb{T}(S; \mathcal{A})F = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{V}(S; \mathcal{A})^n F.$$

The operator $\mathbb{T}(S; \mathcal{A})$ is then well defined, and if S_t has generator $(L, \text{Dom}(L))$ then $\tilde{S}_t := (\mathbb{T}(S; \mathcal{A})S)_t$ is a strongly continuous semigroup with generator $(L + \mathcal{A}, \text{Dom}(L))$.

Proof. By Lemma B.1 the operator $L - \mathcal{C}^s$ is essentially self-adjoint. Let P_t^s be the corresponding semigroup on $L^2(T^*M)$ with domain $\text{Dom}^s = \text{Dom}(L - \mathcal{C}^s)$.

Let D^n be an exhausting sequence of M of relative compact domains, see e.g. [15, Appendix B.1] for construction. Consider the Friedrichs extension $(\Lambda^n, \text{Dom}(\Lambda^n))$ of $L - \mathcal{C}^s$ restricted to compactly supported forms on D^n and let $\tilde{P}_t^n$ be the corresponding semigroup defined by the spectral theorem. Since the operators Λ^n are bounded from above by assumption, the semigroups $\tilde{P}^n$ are strongly continuous by [17, Chapter II.3c]. Define P_t^s similarly with respect to the unique self-adjoint extension of $L - \mathcal{C}^s$ restricted to compactly supported forms. Let $(\Lambda, \text{Dom}(\Lambda))$ denote the generator of P_t^s and note that for any compactly supported forms α , we have that $\tilde{P}_t^n \alpha$ converge to $P_t^s \alpha$ in $L^2(T^*M)$, by e.g. [26, Chapter VIII.3.3]. Define $P_t^n = (\mathbb{T}(\tilde{P}^n; \mathcal{A})\tilde{P}^n)_t$ and finally $P_t^{(1)} = (\mathbb{T}(P^s; \mathcal{C}^a)P^s)_t$. These semigroups are strongly continuous with respective generators $(\Lambda^n + \mathcal{C}^a, \text{Dom}(\Lambda^n))$ and $(\Lambda + \mathcal{C}^a, \text{Dom}(\Lambda))$. Furthermore, $P_t^n \alpha$ converge to $P_t^{(1)} \alpha$ in $L^2(TM)$ by [26, Theorem IV.2.23 (c)].

For $x \in M$, let $\tau_n(x)$ denote the first exit time for $X_t(x)$ of the domain D^n . For any form α with support in D^k , we have that for $S > 0$ and $n \geq k$,

$$N_t^n = Q_t(x) //_t^{-1} (P_{S-t}^n \alpha)|_{X_t(x)}$$

is a bounded local martingale, giving us

$$P_t^n \alpha(x) = \mathbb{E} [1_{t < \tau^n(x)} Q_t(x) //_t^{-1} \alpha(X_t(x))].$$

Taking the limit, and using that P_t^n converges to $P_t^{(1)}$, we obtain

$$P_t^{(1)} \alpha(x) = \mathbb{E} [1_{t < \tau(x)} Q_t(x) //_t^{-1} \alpha(X_t(x))]. \quad \square$$

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Agrachev, U. Boscain, J.-P. Gauthier, and F. Rossi. The intrinsic hypoelliptic Laplacian and its heat kernel on unimodular Lie groups. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 256(8):2621–2655, 2009.
- [2] A. A. Agrachev and Y. L. Sachkov. *Control theory from the geometric viewpoint*, volume 87 of *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. Control Theory and Optimization, II.
- [3] J. A. Alvarez López and P. Tondeur. Hodge decomposition along the leaves of a Riemannian foliation. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 99(2):443–458, 1991.
- [4] D. Bakry, F. Baudoin, M. Bonnefont, and D. Chafaï. On gradient bounds for the heat kernel on the Heisenberg group. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 255(8):1905–1938, 2008.
- [5] D. Bakry and M. Émery. Diffusions hypercontractives. In *Séminaire de probabilités, XIX, 1983/84*, volume 1123 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 177–206. Springer, Berlin, 1985.
- [6] D. Bakry and M. Ledoux. Lévy-Gromov’s isoperimetric inequality for an infinite-dimensional diffusion generator. *Invent. Math.*, 123(2):259–281, 1996.
- [7] F. Baudoin and M. Bonnefont. Log-Sobolev inequalities for subelliptic operators satisfying a generalized curvature dimension inequality. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 262(6):2646–2676, 2012.
- [8] F. Baudoin, M. Bonnefont, and N. Garofalo. A sub-Riemannian curvature-dimension inequality, volume doubling property and the Poincaré inequality. *Math. Ann.*, 358(3-4):833–860, 2014.
- [9] F. Baudoin and N. Garofalo. Curvature-dimension inequalities and Ricci lower bounds for sub-Riemannian manifolds with transverse symmetries. *ArXiv e-prints*, Jan. 2011, 1101.3590, To appear in *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*
- [10] F. Baudoin, B. Kim, and J. Wang. Transverse Weitzenböck formulas and curvature dimension inequalities on Riemannian foliations with totally geodesic leaves. *ArXiv e-prints*, Aug. 2014, 1408.0548, To appear in *Comm. Anal. Geom.*
- [11] A. Bellaïche. The tangent space in sub-Riemannian geometry. In *Sub-Riemannian geometry*, volume 144 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 1–78. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1996.
- [12] Y. Chitour, E. Grong, F. Jean, and P. Kokkonen. Horizontal holonomy and foliated manifolds. *ArXiv e-prints*, Nov. 2015, 1511.05830.
- [13] F. Dillen, K. Nomizu, and L. Vrancken. Conjugate connections and Radon’s theorem in affine differential geometry. *Monatsh. Math.*, 109(3):221–235, 1990.
- [14] B. K. Driver and T. Melcher. Hypoelliptic heat kernel inequalities on the Heisenberg group. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 221(2):340–365, 2005.
- [15] B. K. Driver and A. Thalmaier. Heat equation derivative formulas for vector bundles. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 183(1):42–108, 2001.
- [16] D. Elworthy. Decompositions of diffusion operators and related couplings. In *Stochastic analysis and applications 2014*, volume 100 of *Springer Proc. Math. Stat.*, pages 283–306. Springer, Cham, 2014.
- [17] K.-J. Engel and R. Nagel. *One-parameter semigroups for linear evolution equations*, volume 194 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000. With contributions by S. Brendle, M. Campiti, T. Hahn, G. Metafune, G. Nickel, D. Pallara, C. Perazzoli, A. Rhandi, S. Romanelli and R. Schnaubelt.
- [18] M. Godoy Molina and E. Grong. Riemannian and Sub-Riemannian geodesic flows. *ArXiv e-prints*, Feb. 2015, 1502.06018.
- [19] A. Grigor’yan. Stochastic completeness of symmetric markov processes and volume growth. *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Pol. Torino*, 711(2):227–237, 2013.
- [20] E. Grong and A. Thalmaier. Curvature-dimension inequalities on sub-Riemannian manifolds obtained from Riemannian foliations: part I. *Math. Z.*, 282(1-2):99–130, 2016.
- [21] E. Grong and A. Thalmaier. Curvature-dimension inequalities on sub-Riemannian manifolds obtained from Riemannian foliations: part II. *Math. Z.*, 282(1-2):131–164, 2016.
- [22] M. Hakim-Dowek and D. Lépine. L’exponentielle stochastique des groupes de Lie. In *Séminaire de Probabilités, XX, 1984/85*, volume 1204 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 352–374. Springer, Berlin, 1986.
- [23] R. Z. Has’minskii. Ergodic properties of recurrent diffusion processes and stabilization of the solution of the Cauchy problem for parabolic equations. *Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen.*, 5:196–214, 1960.

- [24] R. Hermann. A sufficient condition that a mapping of Riemannian manifolds be a fibre bundle. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 11:236–242, 1960.
- [25] L. Hörmander. Hypoelliptic second order differential equations. *Acta Math.*, 119:147–171, 1967.
- [26] T. Kato. *Perturbation theory for linear operators*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. Reprint of the 1980 edition.
- [27] P. Li. Uniqueness of L^1 solutions for the Laplace equation and the heat equation on Riemannian manifolds. *J. Differential Geom.*, 20(2):447–457, 1984.
- [28] T. Melcher. Hypoelliptic heat kernel inequalities on Lie groups. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 118(3):368–388, 2008.
- [29] P. Molino. *Riemannian foliations*, volume 73 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1988. Translated from the French by Grant Cairns, With appendices by Cairns, Y. Carrière, É. Ghys, E. Salem and V. Sergiescu.
- [30] R. Montgomery. *A tour of subriemannian geometries, their geodesics and applications*, volume 91 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [31] G. Munteanu. *Complex spaces in Finsler, Lagrange and Hamilton geometries*, volume 141 of *Fundamental Theories of Physics*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2004.
- [32] K. Nomizu and T. Sasaki. *Affine differential geometry*, volume 111 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. Geometry of affine immersions.
- [33] M. Reed and B. Simon. *Methods of modern mathematical physics. II. Fourier analysis, self-adjointness*. Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1975.
- [34] M. Reed and B. Simon. *Methods of modern mathematical physics. I*. Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York, second edition, 1980. Functional analysis.
- [35] I. Shigekawa. On stochastic horizontal lifts. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete*, 59(2):211–221, 1982.
- [36] R. S. Strichartz. Analysis of the Laplacian on the complete Riemannian manifold. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 52(1):48–79, 1983.
- [37] R. S. Strichartz. Sub-Riemannian geometry. *J. Differential Geom.*, 24(2):221–263, 1986.
- [38] A. F. M. ter Elst. Derivatives of kernels associated to complex subelliptic operators. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 67(3):393–406, 2003.
- [39] P. Tondeur. *Geometry of foliations*, volume 90 of *Monographs in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1997.
- [40] D. Varolin. *Riemann surfaces by way of complex analytic geometry*, volume 125 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2011.
- [41] N. T. Varopoulos. Small time Gaussian estimates of heat diffusion kernels. II. The theory of large deviations. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 93(1):1–33, 1990.
- [42] N. T. Varopoulos, L. Saloff-Coste, and T. Coulhon. *Analysis and geometry on groups*, volume 100 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [43] F.-Y. Wang. Equivalence of dimension-free Harnack inequality and curvature condition. *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, 48(4):547–552, 2004.
- [44] S. T. Yau. On the heat kernel of a complete Riemannian manifold. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)*, 57(2):191–201, 1978.

UNIVERSITY OF LUXEMBOURG, FSTC, MATHEMATICS RESEARCH UNIT, 6, RUE COUDENHOVE-KALERGI L-1359 LUXEMBOURG-KIRCHBERG, LUXEMBOURG
E-mail address: `erlend.grong@uni.lu`

UNIVERSITY OF LUXEMBOURG, FSTC, MATHEMATICS RESEARCH UNIT, 6, RUE COUDENHOVE-KALERGI L-1359 LUXEMBOURG-KIRCHBERG, LUXEMBOURG
E-mail address: `anton.thalmaier@uni.lu`