arXiv:1605.00896v2 [cond-mat.str-el] 28 Jun 2016

T/B scaling without quasiparticle mass divergence: YbCo₂Ge₄

Akito Sakai,¹ Kentaro Kitagawa,² Kazuyuki Matsubayashi,³ Makoto Iwatani,⁴ and Philipp Gegenwart¹

¹Experimental Physics VI, Center for Electronic Correlations and Magnetism, University of Augsburg, Germany

³Department of Engineering Science, University of Electro-Communications, Chofu, Tokyo 182-8585, Japan

⁴Graduate School of Integrated Arts and Sciences, Kochi University, Kochi 780-8520, Japan

(Dated: March 4, 2024)

YbCo₂Ge₄ is a clean paramagnetic Kondo lattice which displays non-Fermi liquid behavior. We report a detailed investigation of the specific heat, magnetic Grüneisen parameter (Γ_{mag}) and temperature derivative of the magnetization (M) on a high-quality single crystal at temperatures down to 0.1 K and magnetic fields up to 7 T. Γ_{mag} and dM/dT display a divergence upon cooling and obey T/B scaling. Similar behavior has previously been found in several other Yb-based Kondo lattices and related to a zero-field quantum critical point without fine tuning of pressure or composition. However, in the approach of $B \rightarrow 0$ the electronic heat capacity coefficient of YbCo₂Ge₄ saturates at low T, excluding ferromagnetic quantum criticality. This indicates that T/B scaling is insufficient to prove a zero-field quantum critical point.

Zero-temperature continuous phase transitions, called quantum critical points (QCPs) have been discussed in the context of non-Fermi liquid (NFL) behavior or unconventional superconductivity [1]. Ce- or Yb-based Kondo lattices, whose ground state sensitively depends on the balance between on-site Kondo screening and intersite magnetic couplings, are prototype materials to study quantum criticality. QCPs have been realized experimentally in several 4f-electron based compounds by composition, pressure or magnetic field tuning [2]. However, there is an increasing number of materials that are suggested to display quantum criticality upon cooling without tuning any of these parameters. Prominent examples include CeNi₂Ge₂ [3], CeRhSn [4], CeCoIn₅ [5], $Pr_2Ir_2O_7$ [6], β -YbAlB₄ [7–9] and the quasicrystal $Au_{51}Al_{34}Yb_{15}$ [10].

It is very unlikely, that a compound is accidentally located at such a special point in multidimensional phase space. Chemical substitution studies on CeNi₂Ge₂ indeed suggest that this compound is actually located slightly beyond the QCP on the paramagnetic side [11], though the crossover between quantum critical and Fermi liquid behavior in the undoped material is too low in Tto be detectable. Relatedly, for $CeCoIn_5$ the QCP is masked by superconductivity and the conclusion of zerofield quantum criticality relies on extrapolation [5]. On the other hand, for CeRhSn [4] and $Pr_2Ir_2O_7$ [6] zerofield quantum criticality originates from strong geometrical frustration which effectively suppresses long-range order. An even more exotic scenario would be the existence of an extended quantum critical regime. Such a quantum critical phase may be sensitive to the application of magnetic fields but stable over a substantial range of applied pressure. Evidence for pressure insensitivity of NFL behavior has been reported for β -YbAlB₄ [9] and $Au_{51}Al_{34}Yb_{15}$ [10]. However, the nature of a quantum critical phase, instead of singlar QCP, remains unclear.

In this paper, we discuss a new Yb-based Kondo lat-

tice, YbCo₂Ge₄ [12], which displays similar temperature over magnetic field, T/B scaling behavior as found previously for β -YbAlB₄ [7, 8] and the quasicrystal Au₅₁Al₃₄Yb₁₅. Note, that in contrast to the case of fieldinduced QCPs [13, 14], it involves the applied field *B* and not a tuning parameter $r = (B - B_c)/B_c$, because the critical field $B_c = 0$. We observe a divergence of the magnetic Grüneisen parameter and the temperature derivative of the magnetization dM/dT upon cooling in the limit of zero field similar as in the above mentioned examples. However, the heat capacity coefficient is almost constant in the respective *T*-*B* range, excluding quantum criticality as origin of T/B scaling.

Our material of interest, YbCo₂Ge₄, is a new stoichiometric Yb-based paramagnetic Kondo lattice [12]. It crystallizes in an orthorhombic structure with two differing Ge sites but only one Co and Yb atomic position, respectively. Clean single crystals, with low residual resistivity $\rho_0 = 2.4 \ \mu\Omega \text{cm}$ display NFL behavior such as a $-\ln T$ dependence of the specific heat coefficient C/T between 0.4 and 10 K, enhancement of the NQR spin-lattice relaxation rate $(T_1T)^{-1}$ upon cooling below 100 K, and a $(\rho - \rho_0) \sim T^{1.4}$ dependence between 0.1 and 1.4 K at ambient pressure and zero field [12]. These NFL effects have been interpreted in terms of a QCP without necessity of fine-tuning. Interestingly, the effective moment estimated from the magnetic susceptibility between 30 and 200 K is $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 5.5 \ \mu_{\text{B}}$, which is larger than the isotropic value for Yb³⁺ of 4.54 $\mu_{\rm B}$, indicating a nearly pure Ising crystalline electric field ground doublet $|J_z \sim \pm 7/2\rangle$ separated by 200 K from the first excited state and thus an almost trivalent valency of Yb [12]. The bulk magnetic susceptibility indicates that the orthorhombic b axis is the magnetic easy direction while c and a are both hard directions. The low-T magnetic anisotropy amounts to $\sim 10.$

A small (0.5 mg mass) high-quality single crystal with improved residual resistivity ratio (RRR)

²Department of Physics, University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan



FIG. 1. (color online) Temperature dependence of the 4felectronic contribution of the specific heat divided by temperature, C_{4f}/T , at different fields $B \parallel b$. C_{4f} is obtained after subtracting the nuclear contribution $C_{\rm n} \sim 1/T^2$ and phonon contribution estimated from the measurement of the reference material LuCo₂Ge₄ [16]. The solid line indicates $C_{4f}/T \sim \ln(T_0/T)$, where $T_0 = 22$ K. The inset displays the magnetic field dependence of C_{4f}/T at 0.12 and 0.5 K.

 $\rho(300 \text{ K})/\rho(0 \text{ K}) \sim 40$, corresponding to $\rho_0 = 1.8 \mu\Omega$ cm was grown by the flux method as described in [12]. The magnetic Grüneisen parameter was directly obtained from the magnetocaloric effect measurement in quasi-adiabatic conditions, $\Gamma_{\text{mag}} = T^{-1}(dT/dB)_S$, by utilizing the alternating field technique in a dilution refrigerator [15]. For details see the supplemental material (SM) [16]. The low-*T* specific heat was measured utilizing both the relaxation and the quasi-adiabatic heat-pulse technique and complemented by data taken in the PPMS at elevated *T*.

We first focus on the low-temperature heat capacity. Figure 5 shows the 4f-part of the electronic specific heat coefficient after subtraction of nuclear and phonon contributions, cf. SM [16]. While a logarithmic divergence at zero field was observed down to ~ 0.4 K at B = 0previously [12], our result shows a clear deviation from $-\ln T$ below 1 K. This difference may be related to an improvement of the sample quality. A downward convex shape of C/T versus $\ln T$ has often been ascribed to NFL behavior at three-dimensional antiferromagnetic (AF) QCPs. In this case, $C/T = \gamma - c\sqrt{T}$, as shown by Hertz, Millis, and Moriya [17–19]. However, as will be discussed later, this scenario would be incompatible with the temperature dependence of the magnetic Grüneisen parameter and -dM/dT. Therefore, we attribute the saturation of the heat capacity coefficient to a crossover from NFL to Fermi liquid (FL) behavior. With increasing



FIG. 2. (color online) Temperature dependence of the magnetic Grüneisen parameter $\Gamma_{\rm mag}$ (a) and -(dM/dT)/T = $\Gamma_{\rm mag}C/T$ calculated using the heat capacity (b) under various magnetic fields applied parallel to *b* axis versus a logarithmic temperature scale. The inset in (a) shows a double-log plot of $\Gamma_{\rm mag}$ vs *T* for B = 0.05 T. The solid line represents a $T^{-2.5}$ dependence. The inset in (b) displays the respective -dM/dTbehavior. The solid line indicates a $T^{-1.5}$ dependence.

magnetic field, this crossover is shifted to higher temperatures. Similar non-diverging behavior of C_{4f}/T is also observed in the field dependence plotted in the inset of Fig. 5. C_{4f}/T increases almost linearly with decreasing field in a wide field region as indicated by the solid line, except for a very small additional enhancement around zero field at T = 0.1 K. Importantly, the heat capacity data indicate a saturation of the quasiparticle mass, incompatible with zero-field quantum criticality.

We now turn to the magnetic Grüneisen parameter. Figure 6 (a) shows the temperature dependence of Γ_{mag} at various magnetic fields along the b axis. At B =0.05 T, $\Gamma_{\rm mag}(T)$ diverges down to the lowest temperature in contrast with the saturation of C_{4f}/T at B = 0. With increasing field, $\Gamma_{\rm mag}$ is suppressed and saturates upon cooling. At the lowest measured field of B = 0.05 Ta strong divergence is found upon upon cooling from 1 K (cf. the inset of Fig. 6). The deviation found below 0.3 K is in accordance with the observed T/B scaling (see below). Interestingly, a similar strong T^{-2} divergence of the magnetic Grüneisen parameter has been found for YbRh₂Si₂ at $B_{\rm c} = 0.06$ T for temperatures above 0.3 K and associated with FM fluctuations [20]. In order to study the possible effect of FM fluctuations in YbCo₂Ge₄ we now concentrate on the temperature derivative of the magnetization, which can be calculated using $-dM/dT = \Gamma_{\text{mag}}C$ from the data of the heat capacity and magnetic Grüneisen parameter.

Figure 6 (b) shows the temperature dependence of -(dM/dT)/T at $B \parallel b$. Qualitatively similar behavior as for $\Gamma_{\rm mag}(T)$ is found. We focus on the data at 0.05 T displayed in the inset of Fig. 6 (b). As indicated by the solid line, $-dM/dT \sim T^{-1.5}$, down to 0.2 K. This is a remarkably strong divergence. It implies a $T^{-0.5}$ divergence of the magnetization at small fields, similar as in β -YbAlB₄ [8]. The same exponent (-0.5) was also found for the differential susceptibility $\chi(T)$ in Au₅₁Al₃₄Yb₁₅ [10] and the Knight shift in YbRh₂Si₂ [21] and a rather similar one (-0.7) for $\chi(T)$ in YbRh₂(Si_{0.95}Ge_{0.05})₂ [22]. Such divergences in the $\mathbf{q} = 0$ susceptibility indictate the presence of FM fluctuations.

Figure 3 shows the isothermal field dependence of the magnetic Grüneisen parameter (a) and temperature derivative of the magnetization (b). The solid lines indicate the magnetic field dependences at asymptotically low temperatures as deduces from scaling behavior discussed below. These are $\Gamma_{\rm mag} = (0.095 \pm 0.01) T^{-1} B^{-1}$ and $-dM/dT \sim B^{-3/2}$, respectively. Note, that the deviation of the data at 0.12 K at low magnetic fields is caused by the finite temperature effect and fully consistent with T/B scaling behavior discussed next. Similar exponents for $\Gamma_{\rm mag}$ and -dM/dT were found in β -YbAlB₄ [8] and Au₅₁Al₃₄Yb₁₅ [10]. For YbRh₂(Si_{0.95}Ge_{0.05})₂ a slightly different behavior, $-dM/dT \sim B^{-4/3}$, has been observed [23].

For β -YbAlB₄ a characteristic T/B scaling in the temperature derivative of the magnetization has been observed, which was interpreted as signature of the zerofield QCP, since there is no critical field subtracted from the applied field [8]. In Figure 4, we show that our data for YbCo₂Ge₄ display the very same type of scaling. The lines indicate the asymptotic temperature dependences in the limits of small T/B (FL regime) and large T/B(NFL regime), where $-dM/dT \propto TB^{-3/2}$ (broken line) and $-dM/dT \propto T^{-3/2}B$ (solid line), respectively. The measured data, without exception, collapse on a single curve, spanning two orders of magnitude in T/B. This



FIG. 3. (color online) Magnetic field dependence of Γ_{mag} (a) and -dM/dT (b) for YbCo₂Ge₄ at $B \parallel b$ at various differing temperatures. The solid lines in (a) and (b) indicate B^{-1} and $B^{-3/2}$ dependences, respectively.

scaling involves the cross-over between the FL and NFL regimes in wide regions of phase space where the Zeeman energy plays the role of upper boundary of the FL regime [8].

For β -YbAlB₄ such scaling has been interpreted in terms of a FL breakdown due to unconventional quantum criticality [8]. However, the clear lack of a quasiparticle mass divergence in YbCo₂Ge₄ excludes this possibility for the latter material. Furthermore, it has been proposed, that zero-field quantum criticality in β -YbAlB₄ is not accidental, but rather indicates a quantum critical state or (pressure insensitive) quantum critical phase [8]. Hydrostatic pressure experiments of the electrical resistivity have shown that long-range AF ordering sets in only at pressures beyond 2.5 GPa while at low pressures a NFL behavior is realized [9]. Similar observations have also been made in case of $Au_{51}Al_{34}Yb_{15}$ quasicrystal [10]. For β -YbAlB₄ an intermediate valence state with Yb^{+2.75} has been found by X-ray photoemission spectroscopy at 25 K [24] and a mixed valence state



FIG. 4. (color online) Scaling plot for the temperature derivative of the magnetization -dM/dT, measured at various different magnetic fields. The solid and broken lines represent $-dM/dT \propto T^{-3/2}B$ and $-dM/dT \propto TB^{-3/2}$, respectively.

has been deduced from the bulk magnetic properties of $Au_{51}Al_{34}Yb_{15}$ quasicrystal as well [10]. The NFL effects on the latter system have been proposed to arise from quantum valence criticality [25]. However, it seems unlikely, that a stoichiometric material is naturally located close to such a special point in multiparameter phase space. For YbCo₂Ge₄, quantum valence critical scenarios can be excluded from the large effective moment observed in the Curie-Weiss behavior of the magnetic susceptibility, which proves a stable Yb³⁺ state in this material [12].

The T/B scaling and divergence of the magnetic Grüneisen parameter taken together would suggest a zero-field FM QCP in YbCo₂Ge₄. However, the C/Tdata at low temperatures are clearly incompatible with the theoretical expectation of a logarithmic or even weak power-law divergence for 3D or 2D FM QCPs, respectively [17–19]. Therefore, we need to exclude quantum criticality as origin of the observed T/B scaling. Previous ⁵⁹Co NMR/NQR measurements on YbCo₂Ge₄ have found that the spin-lattice relaxation rate $1/T_1T$ increases upon cooling from high temperatures and bends over around 1 K [12]. As shown in SM [16], the scattering of the data is quite large and does not allow to distinguish a true saturation from a weak power-law divergence suggested by our dM/dT analysis. Since $1/T_1T$ is proportional to the q averaged susceptibility, disparate behavior betweeen the spin lattice relaxation rate and the bulk susceptibility may also signal competing finite \boldsymbol{q} and $\boldsymbol{q} = 0$ fluctuations [21]. Another possibility is that a small concentration of paramagnetic impurities, being

hardly visible in our heat capacity and the previous NQR measurements (which both were done on crystals of the same batch with very good RRR ~ 40), has a strong influence on the temperature dependence of the magnetization and the magnetic Grüneisen parameter for Kondo lattices with strong FM correlations.

More generally, our results thus indicate, that T/Bscaling and divergent magnetic Grüneisen parameter are insufficient for proving a zero-field QCP and confirmation by other properties is required. For geometrically frustrated CeRhSn, a zero-field QCP has indeed been demonstrated by an additional divergence of the (thermal) Grüneisen ratio $\Gamma \sim \alpha/C$ of thermal expansion to specific heat [4]. Unfortunately, crystals of YbCo₂Ge₄, similar as for β -YbAlB₄, are much too small for highresolution thermal expansion measurements. From electrical resistivity, displaying power-law behavior with exponent below 2, it is typically difficult to unambiguously prove that a material is located exactly at a QCP. Previous measurements on YbCo₂Ge₄ have been described by a NFL $\Delta \rho \sim T^{1.4}$ dependence between 0.1 and 1.4 K [12], however, it is impossible to exclude a crossover to T^2 behavior below 0.2 K. Preliminary hydrostatic pressure experiments indicate that long-range ordering is observed above 3 GPa at T > 2 K, supporting our view, that the material is located close to, but not directly at a QCP.

To summarize, the magnetic Grüneisen parameter and temperature derivative of the magnetization in YbCo₂Ge₄ indicate T/B scaling with zero critical field. Since the heat capacity coefficient saturates, however, zero-field quantum criticality is excluded. Interestingly, similar behavior in the magnetic Grüneisen parameter has previously been found in several other Yb-based heavy-fermion metals. Our study indicates, that T/Bscaling and a respective divergence of the magnetic Grüneisen parameter cannot be taken as direct evidence for a zero-field QCP.

We thank M. Garst and Y. Uwatoko for the useful discussions. This work has been partially supported in Japan by projects KAKENHI No. 15K13523 and 26707018, and in Germany by the JSPS program for Postdoctoral Fellow Research Abroad. P.G. acknowledges the hospitality of the Aspen Center for Physics (NSF grant number 1066293).

- N. D. Mathur, F. M. Grosche, S. R. Julian, I. R. Walker, D. M. Freye, R. K. W. Haselwimmer, and G. G. Lonzarich, Nature **394**, 39 (1998).
- [2] H. v. Löhneysen, A. Rosch, M. Vojta, and P. Wölfle, Rev. Mod. Phys. 79, 1015 (2007).
- [3] R. Küchler, N. Oeschler, P. Gegenwart, T. Cichorek, K. Neumaier, O. Tegus, C. Geibel, J. A. Mydosh, F. Steglich, L. Zhu, and Q. Si, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 066405 (2003).

- [4] Y. Tokiwa, C. Stingl, M.-S. Kim, T. Takabatake, and P. Gegenwart, Sci. Adv. 1, e1500001 (2015).
- [5] Y. Tokiwa, E. D. Bauer, and P. Gegenwart, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 107003 (2013).
- [6] Y. Tokiwa, J. J. Ishikawa, S. Nakatsuji, and P. Gegenwart, Nat. Mater. 13, 356 (2014).
- [7] S. Nakatsuji, K. Kuga, Y. Machida, T. Tayama, T. Sakakibara, Y. Karaki, H. Ishimoto, S. Yonezawa, Y. Maeno, E. Pearson, G. G. Lonzarich, L. Balicas, H. Lee, and Z. Fisk, Nat Phys. 4, 603 (2008).
- [8] Y. Matsumoto, S. Nakatsuji, K. Kuga, Y. Karaki, N. Horie, Y. Shimura, T. Sakakibara, A. H. Nevidomskyy, and P. Coleman, Science **331**, 316 (2011).
- [9] T. Tomita, K. Kuga, Y. Uwatoko, P. Coleman, and S. Nakatsuji, Science **349**, 506 (2015).
- [10] K. Deguchi, S. Matsukawa, N. K. Sato, T. Hattori, K. Ishida, H. Takakura, and T. Ishimasa, Nat. Mater. 11, 1013 (2012).
- [11] T. Fukuhara, H. Kadowaki, N. Aso, T. Takeuchi, T. Kuwai, J. Sakurai, and K. Maezawa, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 71, 1360 (2002).
- [12] K. Kitagawa, Y. Kishimoto, M. Iwatani, T. Nishioka, M. Matsumura, K. Matsubayashi, Y. Uwatoko, S. Maki, J-I. Yamaura, T. Hattori, and K. Ishida, arXiv:1407.8274.
- [13] L. Zhu, M. Garst, A. Rosch, and Q. Si, Phys. Rev. Lett. 91, 066404 (2003).
- [14] M. Garst and A. Rosch, Phys. Rev. B 72, 205129 (2005).
- [15] Y. Tokiwa and P. Gegenwart, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 82, 013905 (2011).
- [16] See supplemental material for details on the adiabatic magnetocaloric effect measurements, the estimation of the 4f-electron contribution to the specific heat C_{4f} and the comparison of the magnetic susceptibility with the ⁵⁹Co-NQR spin lattice relaxation rate.
- [17] J. A. Hertz, Phys. Rev. B 14, 1165 (1976).
- [18] A. J. Millis, Phys. Rev. B 48, 7183 (1993).
- [19] T. Moriya and T. Takimoto, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 64, 960 (1995).
- [20] Y. Tokiwa, T. Radu, C. Geibel, F. Steglich, and P. Gegenwart, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 066401 (2009).
- [21] K. Ishida, K. Okamoto, Y. Kawasaki, Y. Kitaoka, O. Trovarelli, C. Geibel, and F. Steglich, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 107202 (2002).
- [22] P. Gegenwart, J. Custers, Y. Tokiwa, C. Geibel, and F. Steglich, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 076402 (2005).
- [23] P. Gegenwart, Y. Tokiwa, K. Neumaier, C. Geibel, and F. Steglich, Physica B: Cond. Matt. **359-361**, 23 (2005).
- [24] M. Okawa, M. Matsunami, K. Ishizaka, R. Eguchi, M. Taguchi, A. Chainani, Y. Takata, M. Yabashi, K. Tamasaku, Y. Nishino, T. Ishikawa, K. Kuga, N. Horie, S. Nakatsuji, and S. Shin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 247201 (2010).
- [25] S. Watanabe and K. Miyake, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 186403 (2010).

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

DETAILS ON THE ADIABATIC MAGNETOCALORIC EFFECT MEASUREMENTS

We utilized an alternating field technique as described in [15]. A sinusoidal field modulation with amplitude ΔB and frequency f around the average field B is used. Both parameters need be chosen such that the magnetic Grüneisen parameter $\Gamma_{\text{mag}} = T^{-1}\Delta T/\Delta B$ is independent of ΔB and f, as required in adiabatic conditions. The used values are summarized in Tab. 1.

ESTIMATION OF 4f-ELECTRON CONTRIBUTION OF SPECIFIC HEAT C_{4f}

Figure 5(a) shows the zero-field raw data together with the 4f contribution. The phonon contribution has been obtained from a measurement of the reference material LuCo₂Ge₄. It amounts to $C_{\rm ph}/T = \gamma_0 + a_1T^2$, where $\gamma_0 = 12.9 ~({\rm mJ/molK}^2)$ and $a_1 = 0.713 ~({\rm mJ/molK}^4)$. The nuclear contribution $C_{\rm n}$ (solid line) is estimated from the data in finite magnetic fields, for which $C_{\rm n}(T, B) =$ $A(B)/T^2$ is first determined at high field as shown in Fig. 5 (b) and then extrapolated to low fields (B = 0 T and 1 T), assuming a B^2 dependence of A(B), as shown by the solid line in Fig. 5 (c). The validity of the value at zero field $A(B = 0T) \sim 4 \times 10^{-4} ~({\rm JK/mol})$ can be also confirmed by the nuclear quadrupole Q and electric field q, namely $C_{\rm n}T^2 = \sum_i \frac{R}{45} (\nu_Q h/k_{\rm B})^2 I(I + 1)(2I + 1)(2I - 1)r_i/100$, where R = gas constant, h = Planck



FIG. 5. (a): Temperature dependence of the specific heat coefficient of YbCo₂Ge₄. Blue circles indicate raw data, red filled circles the 4f contribution after subtraction of the phonon part (dashed line) and the nuclear part (solid line). (b): Respective data at finite magnetic fields $B \parallel b$ with the lines indicating the nuclear contributions. (c) B dependence of the coefficient of the nuclear contribution $C_n = A/T^2$.



FIG. 6. Left axis: T dependence of M/H obtained by integrating $dM/dT = -\Gamma_{\rm mag}C$ at B = 0.05 T (open square) and 0.1 T (open circle), and M/H measurement above 2 K at B = 0.1 T (solid triangle) [12]. The broken line indicates $M/H = c_0 + c_1/T^{0.5}$ behavior. For all data $B \parallel b$. Right axis: T dependence of the ⁵⁹Co-NQR relaxation rate $(T_1T)^{-1}$ (solid square) [12].

constant, $k_{\rm B}$ = Boltzmann constant, I = nuclear spin, $\nu_Q = 3eqQ/4I(2I-1)$ NQR frequency and r_i = natural abundance [?]. The contribution from the ⁵⁹Co (I = 7/2, r = 100 %) is calculated from the NQR result $\nu_Q = 1.5$ MHz [12]. $C_{\rm n}T^2 = 1.8 \times 10^{-6}$ (JK/mol) is two orders of magnitude smaller than the experimental value. In Yb-based compounds, nuclear contribution at B = 0is usually dominated by the ¹⁷³Yb (I = 5/2, r = 16.13%) nuclei due to the large quadrupole moment. If we assume $A(B = 0T) \sim 4 \times 10^{-4}$ (JK/mol) arises only from ¹⁷³Yb nuclei, $\nu_Q \sim 150$ MHz is necessary. In fact, this is consistent with the value calculated from the point charge model for ¹⁷³Yb nuclei $\nu_Q \sim 130$ MHz, assuming the antishielding factor $\gamma_{\infty} = -50$ [?].

TABLE I. Parameters for the adiabatic magnetocaloric effect measurements. f and ΔB denote the used frequency and amplitude of the field modulation in the respective temperature range.

Temperature range (K)	f (Hz)	$\Delta B \ (mT)$
0.1 - 0.3	0.03	5.5
0.3 - 0.8	0.04	6.4
0.8 - 2.0	0.05	7.3

COMPARISON OF MAGNETIC SUSCEPTIBILITY AND THE ⁵⁹CO-NQR SPIN LATTICE RELAXATION RATE

Figure 6 compares the $1/T_1T$ data derived from ⁵⁹Co-NQR [12] with the magnetic susceptibility. For the latter, we used published data down to 2 K. In addition for very low temperatures data were obtained by integration of $dM/dT = -\Gamma_{\rm mag}C$ where the integrating constant has been adjusted to achive a smooth evolution of M(T). The NQR relaxation rate divided by T follows upon cooling from 100 K qualitatively the temperature dependence of the magnetization, though there is no simple proportionality. Below 1 K, the noise level of $1/T_1T$ is unfortunately too high to distinguish a saturation from a weak power law increase. The increase of M(T) between 0.4 and 1K amounts to ~ 6% only, while the noise level of $1/T_1T$ in the same temperature range is about 13%. Therefore, it is not clear if the temperature dependence of the relaxation rate is disparate from that of the magnetization. Implications are discussed in the main part of the manuscript.