arXiv:1605.00908v2 [math.RT] 19 May 2017

POLAR SYMPLECTIC REPRESENTATIONS

LAURA GEATTI AND CLAUDIO GORODSKI

ABSTRACT. We study polar representations in the sense of Dadok and Kac which are symplectic. We show that such representations are coisotropic and use this fact to give a classification. We also study their moment maps and prove that they separate closed orbits. Our work can also be seen as a specialization of some of the results of Knop on multiplicity free symplectic representations to the polar case.

1. INTRODUCTION

A rational representation of a complex reductive linear algebraic group G on a finite-dimensional complex vector space V is called *polar* if there exists a subspace $c \subset V$ consisting of semisimple elements such that $\dim c = \dim V/\!\!/G$ (the categorical quotient), and for a dense subset of c, the tangent spaces to the orbits are parallel [DK85]; then it turns out that every closed orbit of G meets c (Prop. 2.2, *ibid*). In this paper we study the class of polar representations which are *symplectic*, namely, preserve a non-degenerate skew-symmetric bilinear form ω on V (polarity of a representation depends only on the identity component, and we assume throughout that all groups are connected). We first prove:

Theorem 1. *A polar symplectic representation is coisotropic.*

Recall that a symplectic representation V of G is *coisotropic* if a generic G-orbit is coisotropic, namely, $(\mathfrak{g}\cdot v)^\omega \subset \mathfrak{g}\cdot v$ where $v \in V$ is generic, $\mathfrak{g}$ denotes the Lie algebra of G and $()^\omega$ refers to the symplectic complement. Representations in this class can be characterized by a number of different properties, e.g. the Poisson algebra of invariants $\mathbb{C}[V]^G$ is commutative (cf. [Kno07, p. 224 and Prop. 9.1] and [Los05, Introd.]); in particular, they are also called *multiplicity-free* (in the symplectic sense).

Using Theorem 1, we can reduce the classification of polar symplectic representations, up to geometric equivalence, to that of coisotropic representations given in [Kno06]. In

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20G05,15A72,53D20.

Key words and phrases. polar representation and symplectic representation and multiplicity free representation and coisotropic representation and symplectic symmetric space.

The second author has been partially supported by the CNPq grant 303038/2013-6 and the FAPESP project 2011/21362-2.

contrast to the case of coisotropic representations, it turns out that every saturated decomposable polar symplectic representation is an outer product (see section 2 for unexplained terminology).

Theorem 2. *The saturated indecomposable polar symplectic representations are listed in Tables A and B. Every saturated polar symplectic representation is an outer product of indecomposable polar symplectic representations.*

G	V	$\dim V/\!\!/G$	*Conditions*
$SO_p \otimes Sp_{2m}$	$\mathbb{C}^p \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2m}$	$\min\{[\frac{p}{2}], m\}$	$m \geq 1,\ p \geq 3$
Sp_{2m}	$\mathbb{C}^{2m}$	0	$m \geq 1$
$SL_2 \times Spin_7$	$\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^8$	1	–
$SL_2 \times Spin_9$	$\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^{16}$	2	–
$Spin_{11}$	$\mathbb{C}^{32}$	1	–
$Spin_{12}$	$\mathbb{C}^{32}$	1	–
$Spin_{13}$	$\mathbb{C}^{64}$	2	–
SL_2	$S^3(\mathbb{C}^2)$	1	–
SL_6	$\Lambda^3(\mathbb{C}^6)$	1	–
Sp_6	$\Lambda^3(\mathbb{C}^6) \ominus \mathbb{C}^6$	1	–
$SL_2 \times G_2$	$\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^7$	1	–
E_7	$\mathbb{C}^{56}$	1	–

TABLE A: INDECOMPOSABLE POLAR SYMPLECTIC REPRESENTATIONS OF TYPE 1

G	V	$\dim V/\!\!/G$	*Conditions*	$\mathbb{C}^\times$ *essential*
$\mathbb{C}^\times \times SL_m \times SL_n$	$\mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^n \oplus (\mathbb{C}^m \otimes \mathbb{C}^n)^*$	n	$m \geq n \geq 2$	yes iff $m = n$
GL_n	$\Lambda^2(\mathbb{C}^n) \oplus \Lambda^2(\mathbb{C}^n)^*$	$[\frac{n}{2}]$	$n \geq 4$	yes iff n even
GL_n	$S^2(\mathbb{C}^n) \oplus S^2(\mathbb{C}^n)^*$	n	$n \geq 2$	yes
GL_n	$\mathbb{C}^n \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n*}$	1	$n \geq 1$	yes iff $n = 1$
$\mathbb{C}^\times \times Sp_{2m}$	$\mathbb{C}^{2m} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{2m*}$	1	$m \geq 2$	no
$\mathbb{C}^\times \times SO_m$	$\mathbb{C}^m \oplus \mathbb{C}^{m*}$	2	$m \geq 5$	yes
$\mathbb{C}^\times \times Spin_7$	$\mathbb{C}^8 \oplus \mathbb{C}^{8*}$	2	–	yes
$\mathbb{C}^\times \times Spin_{10}$	$\mathbb{C}^{16} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{16*}$	2	–	no
$\mathbb{C}^\times \times G_2$	$\mathbb{C}^7 \oplus \mathbb{C}^{7*}$	2	–	yes
$\mathbb{C}^\times \times E_6$	$\mathbb{C}^{27} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{27*}$	3	–	yes

TABLE B: INDECOMPOSABLE POLAR SYMPLECTIC REPRESENTATIONS OF TYPE 2

In the last column of Table B, non-essentialness of the center means that its removal does not change the closed orbits; otherwise, the closed orbits change and the representation ceases to be polar.

A *symplectic symmetric space* is a symmetric space which is endowed with a symplectic structure invariant by the symmetries. Our interest in them is that the (complexified) isotropy representations of symplectic symmetric spaces provide examples of symplectic θ-groups [Vin76, Kac80], thus, polar symplectic representations. Conversely, it is a natural question to ask which polar symplectic representations come from symplectic symmetric spaces. We say that two symplectic representations are *closed orbit equivalent* if there exists a symplectic isomorphism between the representation spaces mapping closed orbits onto closed orbits (for the sake of comparison, recall that in the orthogonal case all polar representations come from symmetric spaces, up to closed orbit equivalence [Dad85, GG08]). Note that polarity is a property of closed orbit equivalence classes.

Theorem 3. *A polar symplectic representation is closed orbit equivalent to the isotropy representation of a complex semisimple symplectic symmetric space if and only if it is closed orbit equivalent to the complexification of the isotropy representation of a semisimple Hermitian Riemannian symmetric space. In the saturated case, such representations are exactly the outer products of representations listed in Table B.*

It is relevant to notice that the only cases in Table A which are not θ-groups are the representations of $SL_2 \times Spin_9$, $Spin_{11}$, $Spin_{13}$ and $SL_2 \times G_2$ [DK85, Lit89], and that only two of them have $\dim V/\!\!/G > 1$.

Finally, recall that a symplectic representation (G, V) has a canonical *moment map* $\mu : V \to \mathfrak{g}^*$ (see section 6). Since μ is equivariant, it induces an *invariant moment map* $\psi = \mu/\!\!/G : V/\!\!/G \to \mathfrak{g}^*/\!\!/G$.

Theorem 4. *The moment map of a saturated polar symplectic representation maps closed orbits to closed orbits and separates closed orbits.*

Remark 5. The only saturated indecomposable polar symplectic representation for which the invariant moment map ψ fails to be an isomorphism from $V/\!\!/G$ onto an affine space in $\mathfrak{g}^*/\!\!/G$ is the last one in Table B. Hence, in all the other cases the morphism $\psi^* : \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}^*]^G \to \mathbb{C}[V]^G$ is surjective, that is, all invariants are pull-backs of coadjoint invariants.

Remark 6. In case of type 2 representations, Theorems 1 and 4 reduce to known facts about polar representations of compact Lie groups in the sense of Dadok [Dad85]. Let (K, U) be an orthogonal representation of a compact Lie group K and consider its complexification $(G := K^{\mathbb{C}}, V := U^{\mathbb{C}})$. It is easy to check that (G, V) is polar if and only if (K, U) is polar. Suppose now U admits an invariant complex structure. Then $(G, V = U \oplus U^*)$ is coisotropic if and only (K, U) is multiplicity free (cf. [Kno06, p.532] or [Kno07, Prop. 9.2]) if and only if (K, U) has coisotropic principal K-orbits ([Kno98, Thm. 3.1] and [Vin01, Prop. 12]) so Theorem 1 says that a polar representation of a compact Lie group has coisotropic principal K-orbits (compare [PT02, Thm. 1.1 and Lem. 2.7]). Moreover the

moment map μ of (G, V) restricts to the moment map μ_K of (K, U), every G-orbit through a point in U is closed [Bir71], and two different K-orbits in U cannot be contained in the same G-orbit (since $\mathbb{C}[V]^G = \mathbb{R}[U]^K \otimes \mathbb{C}$; see also [Bre93, § 2.2]), so Theorem 4 says that μ_K separates K-orbits (compare [PT02, Cor. 1.5] and [HW90, p. 274]).

The authors wish to thank Friedrich Knop, Paul Levy and the anonymous referee for their valuable comments and suggestions which have substantially helped improve this work.

2. Preliminaries

We begin by recalling terminology from [Kno07] that will be useful in the sequel. A symplectic representation of G is called *indecomposable* if it is not isomorphic to the sum of two non-trivial symplectic representations of G. A symplectic representation V of G is called of *type 1* if V is irreducible as a G-module, and it is called of *type 2* if $V = U \oplus U^*$ where U is an irreducible G-module not admitting a symplectic structure and the symplectic form on V is given (up to a multiple) by

$$\omega(u_1 + u_1^*, u_2 + u_2^*) = u_1^*(u_2) - u_2^*(u_1).$$

Every indecomposable symplectic representation is either of type 1 or 2. Two symplectic representations are isomorphic as G-modules if and only if they are isomorphic as symplectic representations. Every symplectic representation is a direct sum of finitely many indecomposable symplectic representations, and the summands are unique up to permutation [Kno06, Thm. 2.1].

It is convenient to revisit the result above as follows. Choose a maximal compact subgroup K of G (necessarily connected) and a K-invariant Hermitian inner product h on V. A K-invariant conjugate linear automorphism $\epsilon : V \to V$ is then defined by

$$\omega(u, v) = h(u, \epsilon v) \tag{2·1}$$

for all $u, v \in V$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} h(u, \epsilon^2 v) &= \omega(u, \epsilon v) = -\omega(\epsilon v, u) = -h(\epsilon v, \epsilon u) \\ &= -\overline{h(\epsilon u, \epsilon v)} = -\overline{\omega(\epsilon u, v)} = \overline{\omega(v, \epsilon u)} = \overline{h(v, \epsilon^2 u)} = h(\epsilon^2 u, v), \end{aligned}$$

so ϵ^2 is a $\mathbb{C}$-linear K-invariant Hermitian endomorphism of V. It also follows from the above that $h(u, \epsilon^2 u) = -||\epsilon u||^2$, so ϵ^2 is negative definite. Now there is a h-orthogonal K-irreducible decomposition $V = \bigoplus V_j$ such that $\epsilon^2|_{V_j} = \lambda_j \mathrm{id}_{V_j}$ for $\lambda_j < 0$ and all j. For each j, either $\omega|_{V_j \times V_j}$ is non-degenerate or it is zero (since ω is K-invariant and V_j is K-irreducible). In the former case, $\epsilon(V_j) = V_j$. In the latter case, $\epsilon(V_j) \perp_h V_j$ and $\epsilon(V_j) = V_j^*$ (since ϵ is conjugate-linear). Hence V is an h-orthogonal direct sum of symplectic representations of *type 1* (V_j is irreducible and anisotropic) and *type 2* ($V_j \oplus V_j^*$, where

V_j is irreducible and isotropic). By renormalizing h, we may assume that $\epsilon^2 = -\mathrm{id}_V$; in particular, ϵ becomes an h-isometry.

Let $\rho_i : \mathfrak{g}_i \to \mathfrak{sp}(V_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$ be two symplectic representations. We say V_1 and V_2 are *(geometrically) equivalent* (resp. *closed orbit equivalent*) if there is a symplectic isomorphism $\varphi : V_1 \to V_2$, inducing an isomorphism $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathfrak{sp}(V_1) \to \mathfrak{sp}(V_2)$, such that $\rho_2(\mathfrak{g}_2) = \tilde{\varphi}(\rho_1(\mathfrak{g}_1))$ (resp. φ maps closed orbits of G_1 onto closed orbits of G_2). The (outer) product of ρ_1 and ρ_2 is the algebra $\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$ acting on $V_1 \oplus V_2$; it is a symplectic representation. A symplectic representation is called *connected* if it is not equivalent to the product of two non-trivial symplectic representations. Of course, it suffices to prove the above theorems for connected representations.

A symplectic representation $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{sp}(V)$ is called *saturated* if $\rho[\mathfrak{g}]$ is self-normalizing in $\mathfrak{sp}(V)$. Note that every type 2 representation $U \oplus U^*$ has non-trivial endomorphisms, namely, $\mathfrak{t}^1$ acting by $t \cdot (u, u^*) = (tu, -tu^*)$. We will also use the following notation from [Kno06]. Let U be a representation of a semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s}$. We denote the type 2 representation of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{t}^1$ on $U \oplus U^*$ by $T(U)$. Continuing, if U_1, U_2 are two representations of $\mathfrak{s}$, then $T(U_1) \oplus T(U_2)$ is a representation of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{t}^2$.

Remark 7. Let U be a symplectic representation of G (so $U \cong U^*$). Then $(G \times SO_2, U \otimes \mathbb{C}^2)$ is isomorphic to $T(U) = U \oplus U$ via $v \otimes e_1 + w \otimes e_2 \mapsto (v + iw, v - iw)$.

Recall that a representation is called *stable* if generic orbits are closed. A representation of the form $U \oplus U^*$ is always stable, since it admits the invariant orthogonal structure given by $\langle u_1 + u_1^*, u_2 + u_2^* \rangle = u_1^*(u_2) + u_2^*(u_1)$ and one can apply [Sch80, Cor. 5.9] or [Lun72, Lun73]. A useful necessary and sufficient condition for the stability of a symplectic representation is that the generic isotropy algebra be reductive [Los05, Thm. 2]. Recall also that the *rank* of a representation V of G is the difference between the dimension of $V /\!\!/ G$ and that of the subspace of fixed points V^G.

Proposition 8. *Let $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{sp}(V)$ be a polar symplectic representation. Let $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the normalizer of $\rho[\mathfrak{g}]$ in $\mathfrak{sp}(V)$, and let $\hat{G}$ be the corresponding connected subgroup of $Sp(V)$. Then $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}, V)$ is saturated and $(\hat{G}, V)$ is closed orbit equivalent to (G, V). It follows that $(\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, V)$ is polar for every $\rho[\mathfrak{g}] \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$.*

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is reductive, $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is generated by $\mathfrak{g}$ and its centralizer in $\mathfrak{sp}(V)$. It follows from Theorem 1 and [Kno06, Lem. 4.1] that the centralizer of $\rho[\mathfrak{g}]$ in $\mathfrak{sp}(V)$ is commutative. Owing to Remark 7 and the description of the centralizer in [Kno06, Prop. 2.2], we can now write $V = W \oplus U \oplus U^*$, where $W = W_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus W_r$, $U = U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_s$, the W_i are indecomposable of type 1, and either the $U_j \oplus U_j^*$ are indecomposable of type 2 or U_j is of type 1; moreover, $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{t}^s + \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{t}^s \oplus \mathfrak{g}'$, where $\mathfrak{g}'$ is the derived algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$.

We may assume $U \neq \{0\}$. Since $U_j \oplus U_j^*$ is stable, it follows from [DK85, Cor. 2.15] that

$$\mathbb{C}[V]^G = \mathbb{C}[W]^G \otimes \mathbb{C}[U_1 \oplus U_1^*]^G \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}[U_s \oplus U_s^*]^G.$$

The final argument in [DP96] (see also [BR04, p. 47]) shows that $\mathbb{C}[U_j \oplus U_j^*]^G = \mathbb{C}[U_j \oplus U_j^*]^{G \cdot T^1}$. Since T^s acts trivially on W, this implies that

$$\mathbb{C}[V]^G = \mathbb{C}[W]^G \otimes \mathbb{C}[U \oplus U^*]^{G \cdot T^s} = \mathbb{C}[V]^{G \cdot T^s}$$

and the result follows. □

2.1. **Knop reduction.** Fix a Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ and a system of positive roots $\Delta^+ \subset \Delta$. For each $\alpha \in \Delta$, the corresponding coroot is denoted by $\alpha^\vee$. The weight system of V is denoted by Λ. A weight $\lambda \in \Lambda$ is called:

(i) *extremal* or *highest* if $\alpha \in \Delta$ and $\langle \lambda | \alpha^\vee \rangle > 0$ implies $\lambda + \alpha \notin \Lambda$;
(ii) *toroidal* if $\langle \lambda | \alpha^\vee \rangle = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$;
(iii) *singular* if it is extremal and $2\lambda \in \Delta$ and the multiplicity of λ is one.

A submodule U of V generated by a highest weight vector is called *singular* if U is an anisotropic subspace of V and $G \to Sp(U)$ is surjective. Note that if λ is an extremal weight of V and $2\lambda \in \Delta$, then we can always find a highest weight vector for λ that generates a singular submodule of V; however, in case the multiplicity of λ is bigger than one, one can also find a highest weight vector that generates an isotropic, hence non-singular submodule [Kno07, Remarks, p. 228].

A symplectic representation is called *terminal* if all of its highest weights are either toroidal or singular. Equivalently, a symplectic representation is terminal if every highest weight vector generates either a one-dimensional module or a singular submodule. Such a representation (G, V) decomposes as

$$V = V_0 \oplus V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_s, \qquad G = G_0 \times Sp(V_1) \times \cdots \times Sp(V_s) \tag{2·2}$$

where $V_0 = \oplus_{i=1}^m (\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_i} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda_i})$ is a direct sum of 1-dimensional G_0-modules [Kno07, Proposition 4.1]. A terminal symplectic representation is coisotropic if and only if the set of weights $\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m\}$ is linearly independent [Kno06, Thm. 3.1].

Knop reduction is a finite algorithm which, for a given symplectic representation (G, V), outputs a terminal symplectic representation. Indeed if (G, V) is not itself terminal, a step of the algorithm is performed by choosing extremal weight $\lambda \in \Lambda$ which is neither toroidal nor singular and putting $P = \{\alpha \in \Delta | \langle \lambda | \alpha^\vee \rangle > 0\}$ and $Q = \lambda - P$ as multisets (i.e. sets with multiplicities), and

$$\Delta' = \Delta \setminus (P \cup -P), \qquad \Lambda' = \Lambda \setminus (Q \cup -Q).$$

The choice of λ ensures that Δ' is the root system of a reductive Lie algebra $\mathfrak{l}$ (namely, a Levi subalgebra of the stabilizer of the line through a highest weight vector of λ), and Λ'

is a weight system of a symplectic representation S of $\mathfrak{l}$. The main point is that $(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ is coisotropic if and only if so is $(\mathfrak{l}, S)$ [Kno07, Thm. 8.4 and Prop. 9.1]. This algorithm was used in [Kno06] to classify coisotropic symplectic representations.

2.2. **Relation to polar representations.**

Proposition 9. *If $(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ is a non-terminal polar symplectic representation, then any Knop reduction $(\mathfrak{l}, S)$ is also a polar symplectic representation. Moreover, any Cartan subspace of $(\mathfrak{l}, S)$ is a Cartan subspace of $(\mathfrak{g}, V)$.*

Proof. Let λ be a highest weight which is neither toroidal nor singular. Take a corresponding highest weight vector v_λ of unit length that generates a non-singular submodule. Consider:

$$\begin{aligned} v_{-\lambda} = -\epsilon(v_\lambda): &\quad \text{lowest weight vector, so that } \omega(v_\lambda, v_{-\lambda}) = 1 \\ \mathfrak{p}: &\quad \text{stabilizer of } \mathbb{C}\, v_\lambda \text{ (parabolic subalgebra of } \mathfrak{g}) \\ \mathfrak{p}_u: &\quad \text{unipotent radical of } \mathfrak{p} \\ \mathfrak{l}: &\quad \text{Levi subalgebra of } \mathfrak{p}, \text{ so that } \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{l} + \mathfrak{p}_u \\ \mathfrak{p}^- = \mathfrak{l} + \mathfrak{p}_u^-: &\quad \text{opposite parabolic subalgebra} \end{aligned}$$

Let $v = v_\lambda + v_{-\lambda}$. Then v is a semisimple point [DK85, Proposition 1.2], and we may assume it is of minimal length [DK85, pp. 508-509]. Now ([Kno07, Eq. (3.3)] or [Kno06, Thm. 3.2])

$$S = (\mathfrak{p}_u v_{-\lambda})^\omega \cap (\mathfrak{p}_u^- v_\lambda)^\omega = (\mathfrak{g} \cdot v)^\omega \oplus \mathbb{C}\, v.$$

Let $c \subset V$ be a Cartan subspace containing v. Since

$$\omega(c, \mathfrak{p}_u^- v_\lambda) = h(c, \epsilon \mathfrak{p}_u^- v_\lambda) = h(c, \mathfrak{p}_u v_{-\lambda}) = h(c, \mathfrak{p}_u v) = 0,$$

(cf. [DK85, Rem. 1.4]) and similarly $\omega(c, \mathfrak{p}_u v_{-\lambda}) = 0$, we see that $c \subset S$ and $c = c \cap (\mathfrak{g} \cdot v)^\omega \oplus \mathbb{C}\, v$. We claim that for any regular $x \in c$,

$$\mathfrak{l} \cdot x = \mathfrak{g} \cdot x \cap S.$$

Indeed the direct inclusion is obvious. Moreover, since the h-orthocomplements N_x and N_v to $\mathfrak{g} \cdot x$ and $\mathfrak{g} \cdot v$, resp., satisfy $N_x \subset N_v \subset S$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\mathfrak{g} \cdot x \cap S) &= \dim S + \dim \mathfrak{g} \cdot x - \dim V \\ &= \dim(\mathfrak{g} \cdot v)^\omega + 1 + \dim \mathfrak{g} \cdot x - \dim V \\ &= \dim \mathfrak{g} \cdot x - \dim \mathfrak{g} \cdot v + 1 \\ &= \dim \mathfrak{g}_v - \dim \mathfrak{g}_x + 1 \\ &= \dim \mathfrak{l}_v - \dim \mathfrak{l}_x + 1 \qquad (\text{since } \mathfrak{g}_x \subset \mathfrak{g}_v \subset \mathfrak{l}) \\ &= \dim \mathfrak{l} \cdot x - \dim \mathfrak{l} \cdot v + 1 \\ &= \dim \mathfrak{l} \cdot x, \end{aligned}$$

which checks the claim. Using $\mathfrak{g} \cdot c = \mathfrak{g} \cdot x$, we now deduce that $\mathfrak{l} \cdot c = \mathfrak{l} \cdot x$. The proof is finished by noting that $\dim c = \dim V/\!\!/G = \dim S/\!\!/L$ [Kno07, Thm. 8.4]. □

3. Polar symplectic representations are coisotropic

In this section we prove Theorem 1. The proof is along lines suggested by the referee. Let (G, V) be a polar symplectic representation. We may assume there are no trivial components.

Lemma 10. *Every Cartan subspace is isotropic.*

Proof. Let $c \subset V$ be a Cartan subspace. The restriction $\omega|_{c\times c}$ is $W(c)$-invariant, where $W(c) = N_G(c)/Z_G(c)$ is the Weyl group of (G, V) with respect to c, and $W(c)$ is generated by unitary reflections [DK85, Lem. 2.7 and Th. 2.10]. For $w \in W(c)$, a vector u in the fixed point set c^w of w, and a w-eigenvector $v \in c$ transversal to c^w, we have $\omega(u, v) = \omega(w \cdot u, w \cdot v) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{q}}\omega(u, v)$ for some positive integer $q \neq 1$, thus $\omega(c^w, v) = 0$. We deduce that $v \in \ker \omega|_{c\times c}$. Since a basis of c can be constructed which consists of such eigenvectors of reflections (otherwise c has a non-zero $W(c)$-fixed subspace which implies that V has a non-zero G-fixed subspace [DK85, Lem. 2.11 and Prop. 2.13]), this shows that the restriction of ω to c is null. □

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $v \in V$ be a regular element. We may assume v is of minimal length. Let $c \subset V$ be a Cartan subspace containing v. Consider first the case in which V is stable. Since v is of minimal length, we have the h-orthogonal direct sum $V = c \oplus \mathfrak{g} \cdot v$. Due to (2·1), $(\mathfrak{g} \cdot v)^\omega = \epsilon(c)$, and by Lemma 10, $\epsilon(c) \subset \mathfrak{g} \cdot v$, so $\mathfrak{g} \cdot v$ is coisotropic.

In the general case, we use [DK85, Cor. 2.5] to write $V = c \oplus \mathfrak{g} \cdot v \oplus U$ where U is a G_v-invariant subspace and $U/\!\!/G_v = \{0\}$. As above, $\mathfrak{g} \cdot v \oplus U$ is coisotropic and we need to show that it is equal to $T_{(v,u)}G(v, u)$ where $u \in U$ is a generic point. It suffices to show that $T_u G_v(u) = U$, or that G_v has an open orbit in U. Since the action on $\mathfrak{g} \cdot v = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_v$ is orthogonal ($\mathfrak{g}$ is reductive) and that on c is trivial, we deduce that (G_v, U) is self-dual. Since G_v has no nonzero closed orbits in U, no component of (G_v, U) is orthogonal and U is a sum of pairwise inequivalent indecomposable symplectic representations of type 1. In particular, the center of G_v acts trivially on U. Now Theorem 3.3 on p. 165 and the Corollary on p. 156 in [PV94] say that the field of fractions of $\mathbb{C}[U]^{G_v}$ consists of constants only, and G_v has an open orbit in U. □

4. The classification

In this section, we prove Theorem 2. Thanks to Theorem 1, we will extract the list of saturated polar symplectic representations from the lists of saturated coisotropic representations given, up to geometric equivalence, by [Kno06, Thms. 2.4, 2.5 and 2.6].

Suppose V is a saturated indecomposable polar symplectic representation of $\mathfrak{g}$. If it is of type 1, then it is listed in [Kno06, Table 1]. Representations in this table with $\dim V/\!\!/G \leq 1$ are trivially polar, so we run through the other cases. Some representations with $\dim V/\!\!/G = 2$ are already discussed in [DK85, p. 512 and 523]. We finish this case by referring to [Lit89, Tabelle, p.199 and p.201], where irreducible polar representations of connected semisimple Lie groups are classified (see also discussion in [Lit89, p. 208]). We obtain our Table A.

Suppose now V is of type 2. Then it is listed in [Kno06, Table 2]. In this case $V = U \oplus U^*$ and $(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ is the complexification of $(\mathfrak{k}, U)$, where $\mathfrak{k}$ is a maximal compact subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ and U is a real irreducible polar representation with an invariant complex structure. Therefore we can refer to the classification of irreducible polar representations of compact connected Lie groups [Dad85, EH99]. We obtain our Table B.

We will complete the proof of the theorem by showing that every saturated decomposable polar symplectic representation is a product, namely, connected saturated decomposable polar symplectic representations do not exist. An $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-*link* is an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-factor of $\mathfrak{g}$ which acts effectively on at least two indecomposable components of V. All connected saturated decomposable coisotropic representations without $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-links are listed in [Kno06, Tables 11, 12 and 22], and we will see shortly that none of these is polar. Indeed due to [DK85, Prop. 2.14], we need only examine the representations in tables 11, 12 and 22 whose irreducible components are all polar; moreover, if one of the summands is stable, the *rank condition* says that the rank of the sum equals the sum of the ranks of the summands. The only unstable representations in Table A are $(Sp_{2m}, \mathbb{C}^{2m})$ for all $m \geq 1$ and $(SO_p \times Sp_{2m}, \mathbb{C}^p \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2m})$ where $3 \leq p < 2m$ and p is odd, while all representations in Table B are stable. Now all representations in Tables 12 and 22 have both components polar and at least one component stable, and we check that the rank condition is violated by all of them. The same argument applies to the representations of Table 11, but $\langle 11.13 \rangle$ which has a non-polar component and therefore is not polar, and the two sub-cases not having stable components of $\langle 11.11 \rangle$ and $\langle 11.14 \rangle$, which are discussed in Lemmata 11 and 12.

We borrow more notation from [Kno06] (cf. (2.4), p. 538). The line under the $\oplus$-sign below means that the algebras immediately to the left and to the right are being identified and the resulting algebra is acting diagonally.

Lemma 11. *$\mathfrak{so}_p \otimes \mathfrak{sp}_{2m} \underline{\oplus} \mathfrak{sp}_{2m}$ is not polar for $3 \leq p < 2m$ and p odd.*

Proof. We will use Proposition 9. The Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{so}_p + \mathfrak{sp}_{2m}$ and the representation space is $V_1 \oplus V_2$, where $V_1 = \mathbb{C}^p \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2m}$ and $V_2 = \mathbb{C}^{2m}$. By performing Knop reduction with respect to a highest weight vector of V_1 and proceeding by induction, we may assume $p = 3$ and $m \geq 2$. A further step of Knop's algorithm yields

$$\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_1} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda_1} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{\epsilon_1'} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-\epsilon_1'} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_{2m-2} \underline{\oplus} \mathfrak{sp}_{2m-2}$$

where $\lambda_1 = 2\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_1'$. This representation is polar [DK85, p. 522] with Cartan subspace $c = c_0 \oplus c_1 \oplus c_2$, where c_0, c_1 and c_2 are one-dimensional Cartan subspaces for $\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_1} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda_1}$, $\mathbb{C}_{\epsilon_1'} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-\epsilon_1'}$ and $\mathfrak{sp}_{2m-2}\underline{\oplus}\mathfrak{sp}_{2m-2}$, respectively. If the given representation were polar, then it would have c as a Cartan subspace. Since $\mathbb{C}_{\epsilon_1'} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-\epsilon_1'} \subset V_2$ and V_2 contains no non-zero semisimple points, this is not possible. □

Lemma 12. *$\mathfrak{sp}_{2m} \otimes \mathfrak{so}_5\underline{\oplus}\mathfrak{sp}_4$ is not polar for $m \geq 3$.*

Proof. This representation has rank 4. Knop reduction with respect to a highest weight λ_1 of the first summand yields

$$\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_1} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda_1} \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_{2m-2} \otimes S^2\mathfrak{sl}_2\underline{\oplus}T(\mathfrak{sl}_2).$$

Consider the last two summands, namely, $\langle S.13\rangle + \langle S.10\rangle$ in [Kno06, Table S]. This is not polar, since its rank is 3, $T(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ is stable of rank 1, and $\mathfrak{sp}_{2m-2} \otimes S^2\mathfrak{sl}_2$ has rank 1, so the rank condition is not satisfied. □

We finish the proof by considering a connected saturated decomposable coisotropic representation V of $\mathfrak{g}$ with $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-links and showing that it cannot be polar. According to [Kno06, Thm. 2.6], V is obtained by taking any collection of representations from Table S (*ibid*) and identifying any number of disjoint pairs of underlined $\mathfrak{sl}_2$'s, except that not allowed is the identification of the two $\mathfrak{sl}_2$'s of $\langle S.1\rangle$ and the combination of $\langle S.9\rangle$ with itself. Again we need only consider entries in Table S which are polar; for convenience, we list them in Table S′. Note that the only unstable representations therein are $\langle S.9\rangle$ and $\langle S.13\rangle$ with $m \geq 2$. An easily checked, common feature of all representations in the table is that replacing an underlined $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ by $\mathfrak{so}_2$ increases the rank by one; we will use this fact below.

	$(\mathfrak{g}, V)$	
$\langle S.1\rangle$	$\underline{\mathfrak{sl}}_2 \otimes \mathfrak{sp}_{2m} \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$	$m \geq 1$
$\langle S.3\rangle$	$\mathfrak{so}_n \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$	$n \geq 3$
$\langle S.5\rangle$	$\mathfrak{spin}_9 \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$	
$\langle S.7\rangle$	$\mathfrak{spin}_7 \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$	
$\langle S.9\rangle$	$\underline{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$	
$\langle S.10\rangle$	$T(\underline{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$	
$\langle S.11\rangle$	$T(\mathfrak{sl}_m \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$	$m \geq 2$
$\langle S.13\rangle$	$\mathfrak{sp}_{2m} \otimes S^2\underline{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$	$m \geq 1$
$\langle S.16\rangle$	$\mathfrak{g}_2 \otimes \underline{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$	

TABLE S′: POLAR REPRESENTATIONS IN [Kno06, Table S]

In view of [DK85, Prop. 2.14], we may assume that V has two indecomposable components and both are polar. Now $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 + \mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{g}_2$, $V = V_1 \oplus V_2$, and V_i is an indecomposable

symplectic representation of $\mathfrak{g}_i+\mathfrak{s}$ given by Table S', $i=1,2$, where $\mathfrak{s}$ is an $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-link. Assume at least one of V_i is stable, say V_1. Take the semisimple point $v=v_{\lambda_1}+v_{-\lambda_1}\in V_1$ where v_{λ_1} is a highest weight vector of V_1. Then the isotropy algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{v_1}=(\mathfrak{g}_1+\mathfrak{s})_{v_1}+\mathfrak{g}_2=(\mathfrak{g}_1)_v+\mathfrak{t}^1+\mathfrak{g}_2$. If V were G-polar then, due to [DK85, Cor. 2.15], the set of closed orbits of $T^1\cdot G_2$ in V_2 would have to coincide with the set of closed orbits of $SL_2\cdot G_2$, but it follows from the fact above that this is not the case. The next lemma deals with the remaining two cases with no stable components and finishes the proof of Theorem 2.

Lemma 13. *The combination of $\langle S.13\rangle$ with itself or $\langle S.9\rangle$ is not polar.*

Proof. Write $V=V_1\oplus V_2$ where V_1 is $\langle S.13\rangle$ and consider Knop reduction $(\mathfrak{l},S)$ with respect to the highest weight λ_1 of V_1. If V_2 is $\langle S.13\rangle$, then S contains as a summand $T(\mathfrak{sp}_{2m})\underline{\oplus}\mathfrak{sp}_{2m}$ which has already been shown not to be polar. Hence V is not polar.

On the other hand, if V_2 is $\langle S.9\rangle$, then S is the polar representation $(\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_1}\oplus\mathbb{C}_{-\lambda_1}\oplus U)\oplus(\mathbb{C}_{\epsilon_1}\oplus\mathbb{C}_{-\epsilon_1})$, where U is a subspace of V_1, $\pm 2\epsilon_1$ are the roots of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, and $\mathbb{C}_{\epsilon_1}\oplus\mathbb{C}_{-\epsilon_1}$ equals V_2. By [DK85, Prop. 2.14], a Cartan subspace of this representation is of the form $c=c_1\oplus c_2$, where $c_1\subset\mathbb{C}_{\lambda_1}\oplus\mathbb{C}_{-\lambda_1}\oplus U$ and c_2 is the diagonal subspace of $\mathbb{C}_{\epsilon_1}\oplus\mathbb{C}_{-\epsilon_1}$. If V were polar, Proposition 9 says that c would be a Cartan subspace of V. However V_2 does not contain non-zero G-semisimple elements of V, so this is not possible. □

5. Symplectic symmetric spaces

A *symplectic symmetric space* is a symmetric space which is endowed with a symplectic structure invariant by the symmetries. We refer to [Bie95, Bie98] for the basic theory of such spaces. Our interest in them is that the (complexified) isotropy representations of symplectic symmetric spaces provide examples of symplectic *θ-groups* (namely, adjoint groups of graded Lie algebras) thus, polar symplectic representations [PV94, §8.5, 8.6]. Indeed simply-connected symplectic symmetric spaces are parametrized by symplectic involutive Lie algebras. A *symplectic involutive Lie algebra* is a triple $(\mathfrak{g},\sigma,\omega)$ where $\mathfrak{g}$ is a real Lie algebra, σ is an involution of $\mathfrak{g}$, with respect to which there is an eigenspace decomposition $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h}+\mathfrak{q}$, and ω is an $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{h}}$-invariant non-degenerate 2-form on $\mathfrak{q}$.

An indecomposable (i.e. non-isomorphic to a product of symplectic involutive Lie algebras) non-flat (i.e. satisfying $[\mathfrak{q},\mathfrak{q}]\neq 0$) reductive symplectic involutive Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g},\sigma,\omega)$ is simple [Bie95, Prop. 3.5.4]. The symplectic structures ω on a simple involutive Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g},\sigma)$ are parametrized by the non-zero elements in the center $Z(\mathfrak{h})$ of $\mathfrak{h}$ [Bie98, Th. 2.1]. Moreover, if $\mathfrak{g}$ is a complex Lie algebra viewed as real, then σ is a complex automorphism, ω is complex bilinear, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} Z(\mathfrak{h})=1$ and $(\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{q})$ is a θ-group; otherwise $\mathfrak{g}$ is absolutely simple, $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} Z(\mathfrak{h})=1$ and the complexification $(\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}},\mathfrak{q}^{\mathbb{C}})$ is a θ-group [Bie98, Prop. 2.2 and Thm. 2.2]. In any case, the (indecomposable) polar symplectic representations thus obtained are exacly the complexified isotropy representations of irreducible

Hermitian Riemannian symmetric spaces [Bie98, § 10], hence of type 2 and listed in Table B. On the other hand, every representation in Table B is closed orbit equivalent to the complexification of the isotropy representation of an irreducible Hermitian Riemannian symmetric space [Dad85, EH99].

A complex semisimple symplectic involutive Lie algebra is the product of complex simple symplectic involutive Lie algebras [BCG95, Prop. 3], each of which with an indecomposable (polar) symplectic representation (of type 2) as isotropy representation. Now an arbitrary polar symplectic representation can be assumed saturated, up to closed orbit equivalence (Proposition 8), and then it is the product of indecomposable polar symplectic representations (Theorem 2); finally, it is closed orbit equivalent to the isotropy representation of a complex semisimple symplectic symmetric space if and only if each of its factors is. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

6. The moment map

Recall the idea of a moment map. In our context, an action of an algebraic group G on a symplectic variety (X, ω) is called *Hamiltonian* if there exists a *moment map*, that is, an equivariant map $\mu : X \to \mathfrak{g}^*$ (where $\mathfrak{g}^*$ is regarded with the coadjoint representation) such that $\omega(\xi x, v) = \langle d\mu_x(v) | \xi \rangle$ for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, $v \in T_x X$, $x \in X$ (compare [Kno07, section 2]). In our particular case of interest $X = V$ is a symplectic representation of G, there is a canonical moment map given by

$$\mu : V \to \mathfrak{g}^*, \qquad \langle \mu(v) | \xi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \omega(\xi v, v).$$

Assume now (G, V) is a saturated polar symplectic representation. Apply Knop reduction to get a terminal representation (2·2) with set of weights $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r\}$. Let v_{λ_j} be an h-unit λ_j-weight vector, and $v_{-\lambda_j} = -\epsilon(v_{\lambda_j})$ so that $\omega(v_{\lambda_j}, v_{-\lambda_j}) = 1$. We easily see from Proposition 9 that $c = \langle v_{\lambda_1} + v_{-\lambda_1}, \dots, v_{\lambda_r} + v_{-\lambda_r} \rangle$ is a Cartan subspace of (G, V).

Proposition 14. (a) *The set $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r\}$ is strongly orthogonal in the sense that $\lambda_i + \alpha \neq \pm\lambda_j$ for all $i \neq j$ and $\alpha \in \Delta$.*

(b)

$$\mu \left(\sum_{j=1}^{r} a_j (v_{\lambda_j} + v_{-\lambda_j}) \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} a_j^2 \lambda_j, \tag{6·1}$$

where $a_j \in \mathbb{C}$. In particular,

$$\mu(c) = \langle \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \rangle =: \mathfrak{a}^* \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$$

and μ maps closed orbits to closed orbits.

Proof. (a) We may assume V is indecomposable and $\operatorname{rank}(V) \geq 2$. One sees that V is *weight multiplicity free* (wmf), in the sense that all the multiplicities of its $\mathfrak{h}$-weights are at

most one. Indeed this follows from [Kno98, Electronic version] in case V is of type 2. If V is of type 1, then V is polar irreducible and G is semisimple, which implies that V is visible [Lit89, p. 194] (without zero weights), and hence wmf due to [Kac80, Lem. 3.4].

By polarity, $h(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha(v_{\lambda_j}+v_{-\lambda_j}), v_{\lambda_k}+v_{-\lambda_k}) \subset h(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \cdot c, c) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$ and $j, k = 1, \dots, r$. Taking components yields $h(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \cdot v_{\pm\lambda_j}, v_{\pm\lambda_k}) = 0$. Since our representation is wmf, this implies that $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r\}$ is strongly orthogonal.

(b) $\omega(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha(v_{\lambda_j} + v_{-\lambda_j}), v_{\lambda_k} + v_{-\lambda_k}) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$, by strong orthogonality of λ_j, λ_k in case $j \neq k$, and by non-singularity of v_{λ_j} in case $j = k$. This already shows $\mu(c) \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$. To finish, let $\xi \in \mathfrak{h}$ and compute

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\xi(v_{\lambda_j} + v_{-\lambda_j}), v_{\lambda_k} + v_{-\lambda_k}) &= \langle \lambda_j | \xi \rangle \, \omega(v_{\lambda_j} - v_{-\lambda_j}, v_{\lambda_k} + v_{-\lambda_k}) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, \text{if } j \neq k, \\ 2\langle \lambda_j | \xi \rangle, \text{if } j = k. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The desired formula follows. □

Since the moment map is equivariant, there is an induced *invariant moment map*:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{\quad\mu\quad} & \mathfrak{g}^* \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ V/\!\!/G & \dashrightarrow & \mathfrak{g}^*/\!\!/G \\ & \psi := \mu/\!\!/G & \end{array}$$

By Chevalley's theorem, $\mathfrak{g}^*/\!\!/G \cong \mathfrak{h}^*/W_G$. Similarly, by polarity $V/\!\!/G \cong c/W(c)$, where $W(c) = N_G(c)/Z_G(c)$ is the Weyl group of (G, V) with respect to c; in addition, since G is connected, $\mathbb{C}[c]^{W(c)}$ is a polynomial algebra [DK85, Th. 2.9 and 2.10]. Hence $V/\!\!/G \cong \mathbb{C}^{\dim c}$. Let $\pi : \mathfrak{h}^* \to \mathfrak{h}^*/W_G$ denote the projection, where W_G denotes the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{h}$. By coisotropicity, there is a subgroup W_V of $\Gamma := N_{W_G}(\mathfrak{a}^*)/Z_{W_G}(\mathfrak{a}^*)$ acting on $\mathfrak{a}^*$ as a group generated by reflections such that the image of the (invariant) moment map is $\pi[\mathfrak{a}^*]$, ψ factors through an isomorphism $V/\!\!/G \to \mathfrak{a}^*/W_V$ and the morphism $\mathfrak{a}^*/W_V \to \pi[\mathfrak{a}^*]$ is finite [Kno07]. In view of (6·1), $\mathbb{C}[c]^{W(c)}$ consists of polynomials in $a_1^2, \dots, a_r^2$. We deduce there exists a $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^r$-subgroup $D \subset W(c)$ with generators given, for each i_0, by a transformation that maps $v_{\lambda_{i_0}} + v_{-\lambda_{i_0}}$ to its opposite and fixes $v_{\lambda_i} + v_{-\lambda_i}$ for all $i \neq i_0$.

Corollary 15. *The group $W(c)$ is an extension of W_V by D.*

Proof. Since $\mu : V \to \mathfrak{g}^*$ is G-equivariant and maps c onto $\mathfrak{a}^*$, it induces a homomorphism $\bar{\mu} : W(c) \to W_V$ whose kernel is precisely D. Moreover μ induces an isomorphism $c/D \cong \mathfrak{a}^*$ and $W(c)/D$ acts on c/D with quotient isomorphic to $\mathfrak{a}^*/W_V$. It follows that $\bar{\mu}$ is onto, namely, $W(c)/D \cong W_V$. □

Proof of Theorem 4. It remains only to prove the second assertion. Note that $\psi^* : \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}^*]^G \to \mathbb{C}[V]^G$ factors as the composition

$$\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]^{W_G} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{a}^*]^\Gamma \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{a}^*]^{W_V}.$$

We may assume that V is indecomposable. According to the last column of Tables 1 and 2 in [Kno06], $W_V = \Gamma$ so that β is the identity map, and α is surjective in all cases but $T(\mathfrak{e}_6)$. We finish the proof by proving directly in this case that ψ is injective.

We need to show that $W_G \cdot \xi \cap \mathfrak{a}^* = \Gamma \cdot \xi$ for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ in case $V = T(\mathfrak{e}_6)$. We have $\mathfrak{a}^* = \langle \varpi_1 + \eta, -\varpi_6 + \eta, -\varpi_1 + \varpi_6 + \eta \rangle$ (we use Bourbaki's notation for weights [Bou68, Planches I-IX] and denote by η the weight associated to $\mathfrak{t}^1$). Let ξ_1, $\xi_2 \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ such that $w\xi_1 = \xi_2$ for some $w \in W_G$. The action of W_G fixes η and $w\tilde{\xi}_1 = \tilde{\xi}_2$, where $\tilde{\xi}_i$ is the $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^*$-component of ξ_i with respect to $\mathfrak{a}^* = \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^* \oplus \mathbb{C}\eta$. Let Δ_0 be the root subsystem of type D_4 spanned by the simple roots $\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5$. The subsystem Δ^i of Δ consisting of roots orthogonal to $\tilde{\xi}_i$ contains Δ_0, so Δ^2 is one of: Δ_0, all of Δ, or a subsystem of type D_5 containing Δ_0. In the first case $\Delta^2 = \Delta_0 = \Delta^1$, so $w \in N_{W_G}(\Delta_0) = N_{W_G}(\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^*)$. Further, any two subsystems of type D_4 of a root system of type D_5 are $W(\mathsf{D}_5)$-conjugate, so in the other two cases there is $w' \in W(\Delta^2)$ such that $w'w(\Delta_0) = \Delta_0$. Now $w'w \in N_{W_G}(\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}^*)$ and $w'w\tilde{\xi}_1 = \tilde{\xi}_2$. □

Remark 16. Since $\pi : \mathfrak{h}^* \to \mathfrak{h}^*/W_G$ is a dominant finite morphism between affine varieties, it is a closed map and thus $\pi[\mathfrak{a}^*]$ is an affine variety. We have shown that ψ is a bijective morphism from $V/\!\!/G$ to $\pi[\mathfrak{a}^*]$. Essentially by Zariski's main theorem [Mil12, ch. 8], ψ is an isomorphism onto its image if and only if $\pi[\mathfrak{a}^*]$ is a normal variety. In general, $\psi : V/\!\!/G \cong \mathfrak{a}^*/\Gamma \to \pi[\mathfrak{a}^*]$ is the normalization morphism.

Example 17. In Theorem 4, we cannot drop the assumption that the representation is saturated. In fact, consider the polar symplectic representation of type 2 given by $(SL_n, \mathbb{C}^n \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n*})$. Then $\mu : \mathbb{C}^n \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n*} \to \mathfrak{sl}_n^*$ is given by $\mu(u, \alpha)(\xi) = \alpha(\xi \cdot u)$ and $\psi : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ is given by $\psi(z) = (\sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_n)$ where $\sigma_j = -(j-1)\binom{n}{j}\left(\frac{z}{n}\right)^j$. In particular, if $n = 2$ then $\psi(z) = -\frac{z^2}{4}$ does not separate closed orbits. Note that if $n = 3$ then $\psi(z) = (-\frac{z^2}{3}, -\frac{2z^3}{27})$ is not an isomorphism onto its image; however, for all n, the enlarged saturated polar symplectic representation $T(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ has $\mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}^n$, $z \mapsto (z, 0, \ldots, 0)$ as moment map, that is, an isomorphism onto its image.

References

[BCG95] P. Bieliavsky, M. Cahen, and S. Gutt, *Symmetric symplectic manifolds and deformation quantization*, Modern Group Theoretical Methods in Physics, Proceedings of the Conference in Honour of Guy Rideau (J. Bertrand, M. Flato, J.-P. Gazeau, M. Irac-Astaud, and D. Sternheimer, eds.), Math. Phys. Studies, no. 18, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1995, pp. 63–75.

[Bie95] P. Bieliavsky, *Semisimple symplectic symmetric spaces*, Ph.D. thesis, Université Libre de Bruxelles, 1995, E-print arXiv:math/0703358 [math.DG].

[Bie98] ———, *Semisimple symplectic symmetric spaces*, Geom. Dedicata **73** (1998), no. 3, 245–273.

[Bir71] D. Birkes, *Orbits of linear algebraic groups*, Ann. Math. **93** (1971), no. 3, 459–475.

[Bou68] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique: Groupes et algèbres de Lie*, Fascicule XXXIV, Chapitres IV, V, VI, Hermann, 1968.

[BR04] C. Benson and G. Ratcliff, *On multiplicity free actions*, Representations of real and p-adic groups, Lect. Notes Ser. Inst. Math. Sci. Natl. Univ. Singap., vol. 2, Singapore Univ. Press, Singapore, 2004, pp. 221–304.

[Bre93] R. Bremigan, *Galois cohomology and real algebraic quotients*, J. Algebra **159** (1993), no. 2, 275–305.

[Dad85] J. Dadok, *Polar actions induced by actions of compact Lie groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **288** (1985), 125–137.

[DK85] J. Dadok and V. Kac, *Polar representations*, J. Algebra **92** (1985), 504–524.

[DP96] A. Daszkiewicz and T. Przebinda, *On the moment map of a multiplicity free action*, Colloq. Math. **71** (1996), no. 1, 107–110.

[EH99] J. Eschenburg and E. Heintze, *On the classification of polar representations*, Math. Z. **232** (1999), 391–398.

[GG08] L. Geatti and C. Gorodski, *Polar orthogonal representations of real reductive algebraic groups*, J. Algebra **320** (2008), 3036–3061.

[HW90] A.T. Huckleberry and T. Wurzbacher, *Multiplicity-free complex manifolds*, Math. Ann. **286** (1990), 261–280.

[Kac80] V. Kac, *Some remarks on nilpotent orbits*, J. Algebra **64** (1980), no. 1, 190–213.

[Kno98] F. Knop, *Some remarks on multiplicity free spaces*, Representation theories and algebraic geometry (Montreal, PQ, 1997), NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., vol. 514, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1998, Electronic version http://www.algeo.math.fau.de/fileadmin/algeo/users/knop/papers/montreal.html, pp. 301–317.

[Kno06] ———, *Classification of multiplicity free symplectic representations*, J. Algebra **301** (2006), no. 2, 531–553.

[Kno07] ———, *Invariant functions on symplectic representations*, J. Algebra **313** (2007), no. 1, 223–251.

[Lit89] P. Littelmann, *Koreguläre und äquidimensionale Darstellungen*, J. Algebra **123** (1989), no. 1, 193–222.

[Los05] I. V. Losev, *Coisotropic representations of reductive groups. (Russian)*, Tr. Mosk. Mat. Obs. **66** (2005), 156–183, English translation in Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. (2005), 143–168.

[Lun72] D. Luna, *Sur les orbites fermées des groupes algébriques réductifs*, Invent. Math. **16** (1972), 1–5.

[Lun73] ———, *Slices étales*, Memoires Bull. Soc. Math. France **33** (1973), 81–105.

[Mil12] J. S. Milne, *Algebraic Geometry*, http://www.jmilne.org/math/CourseNotes/ag.html (last checked on 2014-6-28), 2012.

[PT02] F. Podestà and G. Thorbergsson, *Polar and coisotropic actions on Kähler manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354** (2002), 1759–1781.

[PV94] V. L. Popov and E. B. Vinberg, *Invariant theory*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 55, ch. II, pp. 123–278, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.

[Sch80] G. W. Schwarz, *Lifting smooth homotopies of orbit spaces*, I.H.E.S. Publ. in Math. **51** (1980), 37–135.

[Vin76] E. B. Vinberg, *The Weyl group of a graded Lie algebra. (Russian)*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **40** (1976), no. 3, 488–526, 709, English translation in Math. USSR-Izv. **10** (1976), 463–495.

[Vin01] ———, *Commutative homogeneous spaces and co-isotropic symplectic actions. (Russian)*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **56** (2001), no. 1(337), 3–62, English translation in Russian Math. Surveys **56** (2001), no. 1, 1–60.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA 2 TOR VERGATA, VIA DELLA RICERCA SCIENTIFICA, 00133 ROMA, ITALY

E-mail address: geatti@mat.uniroma2.it

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA E ESTATÍSTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE SÃO PAULO, RUA DO MATÃO, 1010, SÃO PAULO, SP 05508-090, BRAZIL

E-mail address: gorodski@ime.usp.br