

Role of pigment-protein coupling and pathways of excitation energy transfer in FMO complex

Davinder Singh* and Shubhrangshu Dasgupta

Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Ropar, Rupnagar, Punjab - 140001, India

E-mail: davinder.singh@iitrpr.ac.in

Abstract

We theoretically investigate the effect of different pigment-protein couplings and the role of quantum interference among different energy transfer channels in excitation energy transfer (EET) in FMO complex. We employ the non-Markovian master equation that allows the use of different values of pigment-protein couplings and cut-off frequencies for different BChla sites, in the adiabatic limit of electron transfer in FMO complex. Several pathways of EET are identified and investigated using a realistic set of pigment-pigment couplings and the site energy of each BChla site. We analyze that it is the destructive interference between different channels of a particular pathway that is responsible for the time-scales of oscillations of excitation energy as observed in the recent experiments.

Introduction

Photosynthesis is the basic energy source for living organism on this planet, with extremely interesting secretive functionality. Through a number of different physiochemical mechanisms, it

*To whom correspondence should be addressed

harvests the solar energy to produce the biomass for living organism using carbon dioxide and water.^{1,2} During photosynthesis, solar photons are first absorbed at the antenna by one of the pigment of light harvesting complex. Thereafter, solar energy is transferred through a series of pigments in the form of their electronic excitation energy to the reaction centre, where the relevant chemical reaction takes place. Although the detailed dynamics of the initial stages of the photosynthesis is still unknown, it promises the solution to renewable energy needs of future.³⁻⁸

Light-harvesting complex (LHCs) which contain several light absorbing molecules called chromophores have attracted much research interest in recent times.⁹⁻¹² One of such complexes, the Fenna-Matthews-Olson (FMO) complex,¹³ is a trimeric light harvesting complex, with each monomer containing seven bacteriochlorophyll-a (BChla) pigments nested within β -sheets and α -helices from protein.^{9,14-16} Recent attraction towards the FMO complex is due to the observation of quantum characteristics in the dynamics of this complex. Through two-dimensional Fourier transform electronic spectroscopy,^{17,18} a wave-like electronic excitation energy transfer (EET) in this complex is observed, that is originally explained as a reminiscent of quantum coherence in the complex.¹⁹⁻²¹ Time scale of these oscillations is similar to that of EET, that implies that the oscillation of excitation energy through the FMO complex is a coherent phenomenon, and the EET should not be considered as an incoherent hopping.⁹

The EET in photosynthetic complexes is mainly characterized by three different parameters:²² (a) BChla site energies: although chemical composition of all the seven BChla of the FMO complex is the same, due to different protein environment of each BChla site, their site energies are different.²³ (b) Pigment-pigment coupling: this represents the Coulomb interaction between two BChla sites in the dielectric environment.²³ (c) Pigment-protein coupling: this is the coupling between the BChla site and its protein environment. In many of the previous studies²⁴⁻²⁷ to understand the oscillatory dynamics of EET in FMO complex, the third parameter is often overlooked. It must be noted that the dissipation rate due to environment is a function the pigment-protein coupling and is relevant to the efficiency of EET in FMO complex. Moreover, the dephasing or decoherence of the BChla sites is important to consider, that also depends upon this coupling. Therefore, care-

ful treatment for pigment-protein coupling is warranted in order to mimic the more real protein environment for each BChla site.

In the previous theoretical reports,^{24–27} the pigment-protein coupling is assumed to be the same for all the BChla sites. Different values of site energy for each BChla site however implies different interaction of each BChla site with the protein environment in the adiabatic limit, in which the time-scale t_{el} of electronic wave function to move from the donor BChla site to a degenerate acceptor BChla site is much less than the characteristic time-scale t_{vib} for vibrational motion.²² This suggests that the pigment-protein coupling must be different for each BChla site. In this paper, we study the effect of different values of pigment-protein couplings. We solve the non-Markovian master equation to observe the EET in FMO complex. We analyze the oscillatory nature of excitation transfer at various temperatures. Interestingly, we observe that the use of different values of pigment-protein couplings provides us a deeper understanding about the pathways of excitation transfer.

This paper is organized as follow: In section II we introduce the Hamiltonian used to describe the FMO complex and the relevant non-Markovian master equation. Next in section III, we present two different pathways of EET in FMO complex. In the following section (Section IV), we discuss the numerical results. Finally we conclude the paper in section V.

Model

To describe the EET in FMO complex, each j th BChla site is modelled as a two-level system with relevant energy levels $|e_j\rangle$ and $|g_j\rangle$ as illustrated in figure 1. In FMO complex, to check the




Figure 1: The schematic on left illustrates the interaction of two BChla sites. On the right is the effective two-level system formed from a pair of interacting BChla sites.

dynamics of EET only, single excited state is accountable.^{28,29} In this effective basis, the total Hamiltonian can be written as

$$H = H_S + H_B + H_{SB} , \quad (1)$$

where the system Hamiltonian H_S is given by

$$H_S = \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\hbar}{2} \varepsilon_i \sigma_z^i + \hbar \Delta_i \sigma_x^i \right) . \quad (2)$$

Here σ_z and σ_x are the usual Pauli spin matrices, m denotes the number of two-level systems, ε_i represents the energy difference between states $|i-1\rangle$ and $|i\rangle$ and Δ_i is the tunnelling frequency between two BChla sites i and $i-1$. The bath is modelled as a set of harmonic oscillators and the relevant Hamiltonian can be written as

$$H_B = \sum_k \hbar \omega_k b_k^\dagger b_k , \quad (3)$$

where b_k and b_k^\dagger are the annihilation and the creation operators for the k^{th} mode, respectively. The standard spin-boson interaction can be described by the following Hamiltonian

$$H_{SB} = \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{\hbar}{2} \sigma_z^i \sum_k g_{ik} (b_k + b_k^\dagger) \right) , \quad (4)$$

where g_{ik} is the coupling constant between the i th two-level system and the k th bath mode. Considering that the pigments are closely packed we assume here common bath for all the pigments.^{30,31}

The time-evolution of the density matrix of the FMO complex can be described by the follow-

ing master equation in Schrodinger picture:^{32,33}

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\rho} = & -\frac{i}{\hbar} [H_S, \rho] \\
& + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i,j}^m \left\{ \left(\sigma_z^j \rho \sigma_z^i - \rho \sigma_z^i \sigma_z^j \right) D_{K_i K_j}(t) \right. \\
& + \left(\sigma_z^j \rho \sigma_z^i - \sigma_z^i \sigma_z^j \rho \right) D_{K_i K_j}^*(t) \\
& + \left(\sigma_z^i \rho \sigma_z^j - \sigma_z^j \sigma_z^i \rho \right) U_{K_i K_j}(t) \\
& \left. + \left(\sigma_z^i \rho \sigma_z^j - \rho \sigma_z^j \sigma_z^i \right) U_{K_i K_j}^*(t) \right\} .
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

The time-dependent coefficients, which preserve the information about system-reservoir correlation, render the equation non-Markovian and are given by

$$D_{K_i K_j}(t) = \int_0^t dt' \int_0^\infty d\omega J_{K_i K_j}(\omega) \bar{n}(\omega, T) e^{-i\omega(t-t')} , \tag{6}$$

$$U_{K_i K_j}(t) = \int_0^t dt' \int_0^\infty d\omega J_{K_i K_j}(\omega) [\bar{n}(\omega, T) + 1] e^{-i\omega(t-t')} , \tag{7}$$

where

$$\bar{n}(\omega, T) = \frac{1}{e^{\frac{\hbar\omega}{K_B T}} - 1}$$

is the average number of phonons for a harmonic oscillator with the frequency between ω and $\omega + d\omega$ in the thermal equilibrium at temperature T . $J_{K_i K_j}(\omega)$ is the spectral density which contains the information about frequencies of the bath modes and the coupling of these bath modes with system. For electron transfer reactions in FMO complex, it is convenient to use Ohmic spectral density.^{22,28,29} We use^{28,34,35} the form of this spectral density as

$$J_{K_i K_j}(\omega) = 2K_{i,j} \omega \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_{c_{i,j}}} \right)^{(s-1)} e^{-\omega/\omega_{c_{i,j}}} ,$$

where $\omega_{c_{i,j}}$ is the corresponding cut-off frequency, $K_{i,j} = \sqrt{K_i K_j}$, $K_i = g_{ik}^2$ and we choose $s = 1$ for corresponding to Ohmic spectral density. Note that the re-organisation energy λ , for Ohmic

spectrum, is related to the damping strength $K_{i,j}$ as³⁴

$$\lambda = 2K_{i,j}\omega_{c_{i,j}} . \quad (8)$$

Pathways of EET Dynamics

As we have discussed earlier, the FMO complex is a trimer with identical subunits. As the coupling between BChla's of two different monomeric subunits is small, the decay of coherence between them due to the environmental dephasing is very rapid.²³ Hence inter-subunit coupling is assumed to be very small and the EET is considered within one subunit.²⁵ On the basis of theoretical prediction²³ and experimental observation,³⁶ the spatial distribution of BChla's inside the monomeric subunits of FMO complex is known. Inside a monomeric subunit, BChla's are oriented in such a manner that BChla 1 and BChla 6 are close to the chlorosome antenna and BChla 3 and BChla 4 are close to the reaction centre. The absorption of the baseplate BChla in FMO complex of *Chlorobium tepidum* occurs at an energy 12500 cm^{-1} , which lies between the site energies of BChla 1 (12410 cm^{-1}) and BChla 6 (12630 cm^{-1}).^{23,37,38} So any excitation energy which is absorbed by the baseplate BChla of the chlorosome antenna, will be shared between the BChla 1 and BChla 6. Then through the pathways, suitably optimised in order to minimise the loss, this excitation energy will reach the BChla 3 or BChla 4, thereafter to be harvested by the reaction centre. Theoretical calculation of excitonic coupling indicates that there are *two* pathways²³ which are further confirmed by Brixner et al. with 2D electronic spectroscopy.^{39,40} Below, we study the EET through these two pathways.

The First Pathway

Although the FMO complex has two BChla sites to receive the excitation energy from baseplate, the lower value of the site energy of BChla 1 as compared to the baseplate BChla (as shown in figure 2) makes it easy for BChla 1 to get excitation energy. On the basis of excitonic coupling between different sites as given by Adolphs and Renger,²³ it is assumed that excitation at BChla

1 will be transferred to BChla 2 and BChla 6. The relevant pathways are displayed in the figure 2. Note that this is different from that reported in the previous studies,^{23–25} in which the transfer through the pathway BChla 1 \rightarrow BChla 2 \rightarrow BChla 3 \rightarrow BChla 4 only is considered.




Figure 2: Site energies of Bchla's in the monomer of FMO complex and the first pathway of excitation energy transfer. Curvy lines show oscillatory evolution of excitation energy between different BChla sites.

The coherent oscillation of excitation in FMO complex, as experimentally observed, implies adiabatic electron transfer. Electron transfer dynamics occurs in the *adiabatic limit*,³⁴ defined by

$$\frac{2\Delta_i}{\omega_{c_{i,j}}} \geq 1. \quad (9)$$

In this paper, we consider²⁸

$$\frac{2\Delta_i}{\omega_{c_{i,j}}} = 1.052. \quad (10)$$

It is evident from equations (8) and (10) that the larger is the pigment-pigment coupling Δ_i , the smaller is the pigment-protein coupling $K_{i,j}$. So in *adiabatic limit*, if two BChla sites couple strongly with each other, they couple weakly with the environment and vice-versa.

Different values of pigment-protein couplings and cut-off frequencies are obtained (see the Table 1) by using equations (8) and (10), where we choose the re-organisation energy as $\lambda = 35 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.^{25,26,41}

Table 1: Parameters used to simulate the first pathway.

Pigment-protein couplings	Cut-off frequencies (in cm^{-1})
$K_{1,1} = 0.1050$	$\omega_{c_{1,1}} = 166.7265$

$K_{2,2} = 0.2989$	$\omega_{c_{2,2}} = 058.5539$
$K_{3,3} = 0.1721$	$\omega_{c_{3,3}} = 101.7089$
$K_{4,4} = 0.6719$	$\omega_{c_{4,4}} = 026.0451$
$K_{5,5} = 0.5415$	$\omega_{c_{5,5}} = 032.3187$
$K_{2,1} = 0.1772$	$\omega_{c_{2,1}} = 098.7585$
$K_{3,2} = 0.2268$	$\omega_{c_{3,2}} = 077.1605$
$K_{3,1} = 0.1344$	$\omega_{c_{3,1}} = 130.2083$
$K_{4,3} = 0.3400$	$\omega_{c_{4,3}} = 051.4706$
$K_{4,2} = 0.4481$	$\omega_{c_{4,2}} = 039.0538$
$K_{4,1} = 0.2656$	$\omega_{c_{4,1}} = 065.8886$
$K_{5,4} = 0.6032$	$\omega_{c_{5,4}} = 029.0119$
$K_{5,3} = 0.3050$	$\omega_{c_{5,3}} = 057.3770$
$K_{5,2} = 0.4023$	$\omega_{c_{5,2}} = 043.4999$
$K_{5,1} = 0.2384$	$\omega_{c_{5,1}} = 073.4060$

We solve the non-Markovian master equation (5) and simulate the population dynamics using the above set of different pigment-protein couplings of the Table 1. In figure 3, we show the




Figure 3: (Color online) Excitation energy transfer dynamics of the first pathway at cryogenic temperature $T = 77$ K [(a)] and at room temperature $T = 277$ K [(b)]. We choose $\omega_0 = 100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for normalization.

temporal evolution of the occupation probability of the sites along the first pathway at different

temperatures. Considering only the BChla 1 to be populated initially, one obtains a large-amplitude coherent population oscillation between BChla 1 and BChla 2, followed by a slow relaxation to BChla 3 at initial stage. We also observe a small-amplitude oscillatory dynamics of populations in BChla 3 and BChla 4. At cryogenic temperature $T = 77$ K the oscillations of excitation energy exist for 650 fs, while at room temperature $T = 277$ K, these oscillations last for more than 250 fs which are in conformity with the experimental results.^{19,21} Moreover the amplitude of oscillations increases with increase in pigment-pigment coupling and with decrease in temperature, while the frequency of oscillations remains independent of both these parameters.

The Second Pathway

A large value of the site energy of BChla 6 as compared to the baseplate BChla (as evident from figure 4) requires more attention to convey electronic excitation energy through BChla 6. According to the detailed balance condition between BChla 6 and the baseplate BChla, if the de-excitation of BChla 6 were long lasting, the excitation could easily come back to the baseplate BChla. To get rid from this backward excitation transfer, BChla 6 needs to transfer its excitation to other BChla's as quickly as possible.²⁵ This condition is satisfied by having BChla 6 be strongly coupled to BChla 4, BChla 5 and BChla 7. Both BChla 5 and BChla 7 are also strongly coupled to BChla 4 and BChla 4 is strongly coupled to BChla 3. We consider this as the second pathway. Again in the




Figure 4: Site energies of Bchla's in the monomer of FMO complex and second pathway of excitation energy transfer.

adiabatic limit of EET, the pigment-protein couplings and the cut-off frequencies are calculated

from equations (8) and (10) for the second pathway and are listed in the Table 2.

Table 2: Parameters used to simulate the second pathway.

Pigment-protein couplings	Cut-off frequencies (in cm^{-1})
$K_{1,1} = 0.1135$	$\omega_{c_{1,1}} = 154.1792$
$K_{2,2} = 0.2319$	$\omega_{c_{2,2}} = 075.4737$
$K_{3,3} = 0.5415$	$\omega_{c_{3,3}} = 032.3187$
$K_{4,4} = 0.1302$	$\omega_{c_{4,4}} = 134.4078$
$K_{5,5} = 0.1454$	$\omega_{c_{5,5}} = 120.3396$
$K_{6,6} = 0.1721$	$\omega_{c_{6,6}} = 101.7089$
$K_{2,1} = 0.1622$	$\omega_{c_{2,1}} = 107.8915$
$K_{3,2} = 0.3544$	$\omega_{c_{3,2}} = 049.3792$
$K_{3,1} = 0.2479$	$\omega_{c_{3,1}} = 070.5930$
$K_{4,3} = 0.2655$	$\omega_{c_{4,3}} = 065.9134$
$K_{4,2} = 0.1738$	$\omega_{c_{4,2}} = 100.6904$
$K_{4,1} = 0.1216$	$\omega_{c_{4,1}} = 143.9145$
$K_{5,4} = 0.1376$	$\omega_{c_{5,4}} = 127.1802$
$K_{5,3} = 0.2806$	$\omega_{c_{5,3}} = 062.3664$
$K_{5,2} = 0.1836$	$\omega_{c_{5,2}} = 095.3159$
$K_{5,1} = 0.1285$	$\omega_{c_{5,1}} = 136.1868$
$K_{6,5} = 0.1582$	$\omega_{c_{6,5}} = 110.6195$
$K_{6,4} = 0.1497$	$\omega_{c_{6,4}} = 116.9005$
$K_{6,3} = 0.3053$	$\omega_{c_{6,3}} = 057.3207$
$K_{6,2} = 0.1998$	$\omega_{c_{6,2}} = 087.5876$
$K_{6,1} = 0.1398$	$\omega_{c_{6,1}} = 125.1788$

The time-dependent occupation probabilities of different BChla sites of the second pathway is shown in figure 5, assuming the BChla 6 is initially populated. It is evident that excitation energy




Figure 5: (Color online) Excitation energy transfer dynamics on the second pathway at cryogenic temperature $T = 77$ K [(a)] and at room temperature $T = 277$ K [(b)].

is equally distributed between all the levels in the steady state, which indicates that the excitation energy is delocalized over all the BChla sites of the second pathway irrespective of the temperature. Interestingly, the times of oscillation of excitation are little less as compared to the first pathway at all temperatures.

Discussion

The role of each BChla site, pigment-pigment coupling and the site energy has been considered in this paper. The use of different values of pigment-protein couplings and cut-off frequencies enables us to investigate the pathways of excitation transfer in much depth. In the first pathway, if one considers only a single channel $\text{BChla } 1 \rightarrow \text{BChla } 2 \rightarrow \text{BChla } 3 \rightarrow \text{BChla } 4$, and neglects the other channel $\text{BChla } 1 \rightarrow \text{BChla } 6 \rightarrow \text{BChla } 4 \rightarrow \text{BChla } 3$ from the dynamics, the oscillations of excitation exist for more than 12 ps at 77 K and about 8 ps at 277 K as illustrated in figure 6. Clearly, these time-scales of oscillation do not conform to the experimental results.^{19,21} It is rather the destructive interference between two channels of the first pathway (shown in figure 2) which gives rise to the same time-scales of oscillation of excitation energy as observed in recent experiments.^{19,21} Similarly for the second pathway, if one does not consider the coupling between the BChla 6 and BChla 4 (illustrated in figure 4), the oscillation time-scale is about 1 ps at 77 K (plot is not shown here). We therefore conjecture that it is the destructive interference between this




Figure 6: (Color online) Excitation energy transfer dynamics of one channel of the first pathway at cryogenic temperature $T = 77$ K [(a)] and at $T = 277$ K [(b)].

one additional channel with other two channels that is responsible for oscillation time-scale of 500 fs at 77 K.

Further, a large-amplitude population oscillation between BChla 1 and BChla 2 exists for the longest time. As the tunneling frequency between BChla 1 and BChla 2 is highest, so they interact very strongly with each other. Now in the adiabatic limit of electron transfer if two BChla sites interact very strongly with each other, their interaction with the environment becomes weak. Hence the dephasing due to environment becomes very weak, leading to oscillations of excitation between BChla 1 and BChla 2 persisting for long times.

We also observed that the pigment-pigment coupling plays the major role in selecting the pathway, sometimes overshadowing the role of the BChla site energy. For example, although the site energy of BChla 2 is more than that of BChla 1, population moves from BChla 1 to BChla 2 due to large pigment-pigment coupling between them. Moreover high value of site energy delays the excitation energy transfer process, but the pathways are mainly selected by pigment-pigment coupling. Moreover, the selection of pathways is totally independent from pigment-protein coupling and protein environment as has been observed in earlier works also.^{23–25}

Conclusions

In conclusions, we study the temporal dynamics of EET, by employing a non-Markovian master equation. We use different values of pigment-protein couplings and the cut-off frequencies for each of the BChla sites in the *adiabatic limit* of electron transfer. We have studied the excitation energy transfer dynamics along different pathways, considering realistic values of pigment-pigment couplings, that play a major role in the dynamics of FMO complex. We found that the oscillatory behavior of excitation energy at different BChla sites can closely mimic the experimental results, as obtained previously.^{19,21} We identified that the experimentally observed time-scales of oscillations of excitation energy could be due to destructive interference between various channels of a particular pathway.

Acknowledgement

One of us (D.S.) gratefully acknowledges the financial support from the Department of Science and Technology (DST), Govt. of India, under the grant number SR/S2/LOP-0021/2012.

References


- (1) Blankenship, R. E. *Molecular Mechanism of Photosynthesis*; World Scientific: London, 2002.
- (2) Campbell, N.; Reece, J. *Biology*; Benjamin-Cummings: San Francisco, 2005.
- (3) Meyer, G. J. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters* **2011**, 2, 1965–1966.
- (4) Gust, D.; Moore, T. A.; Moore, A. L. *Accounts of Chemical Research* **2009**, 42, 1890–1898, PMID: 19902921.
- (5) Maeda, K.; Domen, K. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters* **2010**, 1, 2655–2661.
- (6) Mallouk, T. E. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters* **2010**, 1, 2738–2739.

- (7) Vullev, V. I. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters* **2011**, 2, 503–508.
- (8) Gust, D.; Moore, T. A.; Moore, A. L. *Accounts of Chemical Research* **2001**, 34, 40–48, PMID: 11170355.
- (9) Amerongen, H. V.; Valkunas, L.; Grondelle, R. *Photosynthetic Excitons*; World Scientific: Singapore, 2000.
- (10) Cheng, Y.-C.; Fleming, G. R. *Annual Review of Physical Chemistry* **2009**, 60, 241–262, PMID: 18999996.
- (11) Scholes, G. D.; Fleming, G. R.; Olaya-Castro, A.; van Grondelle, R. *Nature Chemistry* **2011**, 3, 763–774.
- (12) Novoderezhkin, V. I.; van Grondelle, R. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2010**, 12, 7352–7365.
- (13) Fenna, R.; Matthews, B.; Olson, J.; Shaw, E. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **1974**, 84, 231 – 240.
- (14) Fenna, R. E.; Matthews, B. W. *Nature* **1975**, 258, 573–577.
- (15) Camara-Artigas, A.; Blankenship, R. E.; Allen, J. P. *Photosynthesis Research* **2003**, 75, 49–55.
- (16) Sarovar, M.; Ishizaki, A.; Fleming, G. R.; Whaley, K. B. *Nature Physics* **2010**, 6, 462–467.
- (17) Brixner, T.; Mancal, T.; Stiopkin, I. V.; Fleming, G. R. *Journal of Chemical Physics* **2004**, 121, 4221–4236.
- (18) Schlau-Cohen, G. S.; Ishizaki, A.; Fleming, G. R. *Chemical Physics* **2011**, 386, 1 – 22.
- (19) Engel, G. S.; Calhoun, T. R.; Read, E. L.; Ahn, T.-K.; Mancal, T.; Cheng, Y.-C.; Blankenship, R. E.; Fleming, G. R. *Nature* **2007**, 446, 782–786.

- (20) Collini, E.; Wong, C. Y.; Wilk, K. E.; Curmi, P. M. G.; Brumer, P.; Scholes, G. D. *Nature* **2010**, *463*, 644–647.
- (21) Panitchayangkoon, G.; Hayes, D.; Fransted, K. A.; Caram, J. R.; Harel, E.; Wen, J.; Blankenship, R. E.; Engel, G. S. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **2010**, *107*, 12766–12770.
- (22) V. May, O. K. *Charge and energy transfer dynamics in molecular systems*; Wiley-VCH, 2010.
- (23) Adolphs, J.; Renger, T. *Biophysical Journal* **2006**, *91*, 2778–2797.
- (24) Zhu, J.; Kais, S.; Rebentrost, P.; Aspuru-Guzik, A. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* **2011**, *115*, 1531–1537, PMID: 21268616.
- (25) Ishizaki, A.; Fleming, G. R. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **2009**, *106*, 17255–17260.
- (26) Nalbach, P.; Braun, D.; Thorwart, M. *Phys. Rev. E* **2011**, *84*, 041926.
- (27) Ritschel, G.; Roden, J.; Strunz, W. T.; Eisfeld, A. *New Journal of Physics* **2011**, *13*, 113034.
- (28) Pachon, L. A.; Brumer, P. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters* **2011**, *2*, 2728–2732.
- (29) Gilmore, J. B.; McKenzie, R. H. *Chemical Physics Letters* **2006**, *421*, 266 – 271.
- (30) Lee, H.; Cheng, Y.-C.; Fleming, G. R. *Science* **2007**, *316*, 1462–1465.
- (31) Treutlein, H.; Schulten, K.; Brunger, A. T.; Karplus, M.; Deisenhofer, J.; Michel, H. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **1992**, *89*, 75–79.
- (32) Carmichael, H. J. *Statistical Methods in Quantum optics I*; Springer, 2002.
- (33) Ali, M. M.; Chen, P.-W.; Goan, H.-S. *Phys. Rev. A* **2010**, *82*, 022103.
- (34) Weiss, U. *Quantum dissipative systems*; World Scientific: Singapore, 2008.

- (35) Zhang, W.-M.; Lo, P.-Y.; Xiong, H.-N.; Tu, M. W.-Y.; Nori, F. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2012**, *109*, 170402.
- (36) Wen, J.; Zhang, H.; Gross, M. L.; Blankenship, R. E. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **2009**, *106*, 6134–6139.
- (37) Francke, C.; Amesz, J. *Photosynthesis Research* **1997**, *52*, 137–146.
- (38) Frigaard, N.-U.; Li, H.; Martinsson, P.; Das, S. K.; Frank, H. A.; Aartsma, T. J.; Bryant, D. A. *Photosynthesis Research* **2005**, *86*, 101–111.
- (39) Brixner, T.; Stenger, J.; Vaswani, H. M.; Cho, M.; Blankenship, R. E.; Fleming, G. R. *Nature* **2005**, *434*, 625–628.
- (40) Cho, M.; Vaswani, H. M.; Brixner, T.; Stenger, J.; Fleming, G. R. *The Journal of Physical Chemistry B* **2005**, *109*, 10542–10556, PMID: 16852278.
- (41) Read, E. L.; Schlau-Cohen, G. S.; Engel, G. S.; Wen, J.; Blankenship, R. E.; Fleming, G. R. *Biophysical Journal* **2008**, *95*, 847–856.

Graphical TOC Entry



The destructive interference among the different channels of particular pathway of EET is responsible for the time-scales of oscillations of excitation energy in FMO complex as observed in recent experiments.