THE VOLUME OF SINGULAR KÄHLER-EINSTEIN FANO VARIETIES
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Abstract. We show that the anti-canonical volume of an n-dimensional Kähler-Einstein Q-Fano variety is bounded from above by certain invariants of the local singularities, namely lct^n · mult for ideals and the normalized volume function for real valuations. This refines a recent result by Fujita. As an application, we get sharp volume upper bounds for Kähler-Einstein Fano varieties with quotient singularities. Based on very recent results by Li and the author, we show that a Fano manifold is K-semistable if and only if a de Fernex-Ein-Mustată type inequality holds on its affine cone.

1. Introduction

An n-dimensional complex projective variety X is said to be a Q-Fano variety if X has klt singularities and −K_X is an ample Q-Cartier divisor. When n ≥ 2, the (anti-canonical) volume ((−K_X)^n) of a Q-Fano variety X can be arbitrarily large, such as volumes of weighted projective spaces (see [Dol82]). In the smooth case, Kollár, Miyaoka, Mori [KMM92] and Campana [Cam92] showed that there exists a uniform volume upper bound C_n for n-dimensional Fano manifolds. If n ≤ 3, then P^n has the largest volume among all n-dimensional Fano manifolds. This fails immediately when n ≥ 4 by examples of Batyrev [Bat81]. However, if a Q-Fano variety X admits a Kähler-Einstein metric (in the sense of [BBEGZ11]), then it is expected that P^n has the largest volume (see [Tia90, OSS16] for n = 2, and [BB11, BB17] for smooth cases). Recently, Fujita [Fuj15] showed that if X is a n-dimensional Q-Fano variety admitting a Kähler-Einstein metric, then the anti-canonical volume ((−K_X)^n) is less than or equal to (n + 1)^n (= the volume of P^n).

In this paper, we refine the result [Fuj15] by looking at invariants of the local singularities. Our first main result is the following:

Theorem 1. Let X be a Kähler-Einstein Q-Fano variety. Let p ∈ X be a closed point. Let Z be a closed subscheme of X with Supp Z = {p}. Then we have

\[(1.1) \quad ((-K_X)^n) \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n \text{lct}(X; I_Z)^n \text{mult}_Z X,\]

where lct(X; I_Z) is the log canonical threshold of the ideal sheaf I_Z, and mult_Z X is the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity of X along Z.

Note that the invariant lct^n · mult has been studied by de Fernex, Ein and Mustată in [Mus02, dFEM03, dFEM04, dFM15].

There are three major aspects of Theorem 1:

• If we take Z = p to be a smooth point on X, then lct(X; m_p) = n and mult_p X = 1.
  In particular, we recover Fujita’s result [Fuj15, Corollary 1.3].
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• By definition, \(\text{lct}(X; I_Z)\) is the infimum of \(1 + \frac{\text{ord}(K_Y/X)}{\text{ord}(I_Z)}\) among all prime divisors \(E\) on a resolution \(Y\) of \(X\). Although \(\text{lct}(X; I_Z)\) is not easy to compute in general, we can always get a volume upper bound by considering one divisor \(E\), e.g. Theorem 3 and 25.

• On the other hand, if we fix a singularity \(p\) on a Kähler-Einstein \(\mathbb{Q}\)-Fano variety \(X\), we get a lower bound of \(\text{lct} \cdot \text{mult}\) among all thickenings of \(p\). For example, if \(X = \mathbb{P}^n\) then the inequality (1.1) recovers the main result in [dFEM04] (see Theorem 22). More generally, we get de Fernex-Ein-Mustaţă type inequalities for all cone singularities with K-semistable base using a logarithmic version of Theorem 4 (see Theorem 7).

For a real valuation \(v\) on \(\mathbb{C}(X)\) centered at a closed point \(p\), the normalized volume function \(\hat{\text{vol}}\), introduced by C. Li in [Li15], is defined as \(\hat{\text{vol}}(v) = A_X(v) \cdot \text{vol}(v)\), where \(A_X(v)\) is the log discrepancy of \(v\) and \(\text{vol}(v)\) is the volume of \(v\). The following theorem gives an upper bound for the anti-canonical volume of \(X\) in terms of the normalized volume of a real valuation.

**Theorem 2.** Let \(X\) be a Kähler-Einstein \(\mathbb{Q}\)-Fano variety. Let \(p \in X\) be a closed point. Let \(v\) be a real valuation on \(\mathbb{C}(X)\) centered at \(p\). Then we have

\[
((-K_X)^n) \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n \hat{\text{vol}}(v).
\]

Minimizing the normalized volume function \(\hat{\text{vol}}\) has been studied by Li, Xu, Blum and the author in [Li15, Li17, LL16, LX16, Blu18]. In [LL16], a logarithmic version of Theorem 2 was developed to obtain the sharp lower bound of the normalized volume function for cone singularities over Kähler-Einstein \(\mathbb{Q}\)-Fano varieties.

To compare Theorem 1 and 2, we know from [Mus02, Li15] that the infimum of \(\text{lct}(X; I_Z)^n \cdot \text{mult}_Z X\) among all thickenings \(Z\) of \(p\) is no bigger than the infimum of \(\hat{\text{vol}}(v)\) among all real valuations centered at \(p\). In fact, we show that these two infimums are the same (see Theorem 27). In particular, this means that Theorem 1 and 2 are actually equivalent.

As an application of Theorem 1 and 2, we get sharp volume upper bounds for Kähler-Einstein \(\mathbb{Q}\)-Fano varieties with quotient singularities.

**Theorem 3.** Let \(X\) be a Kähler-Einstein \(\mathbb{Q}\)-Fano variety of dimension \(n\). Let \(p \in X\) be a closed point. Suppose \((X, p)\) is a quotient singularity with local analytic model \(\mathbb{C}^n/G\) where \(G \subset \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})\) acts freely in codimension 1. Then

\[
((-K_X)^n) \leq \left(\frac{n + 1}{|G|}\right)^n,
\]

with equality if and only if \(|G \cap \mathbb{G}_m| = 1\) and \(X \cong \mathbb{P}^n/G\), where \(\mathbb{G}_m \subset \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})\) is the subgroup consisting of non-zero scalar matrices.

It is well-known that for algebraic surfaces, klt singularities are the same as quotient singularities. In [Tia90], Tian showed that the anti-canonical volume of a Kähler-Einstein log Del Pezzo surface is bounded from above by \(48/|G|\) where \(G \subset \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})\) is the orbifold
group at a closed point. In [OSS16], Odaka, Spotti and Sun improved the volume upper bounds to $12/|G|$.

As a direct consequence of Theorem 3, we get the sharp volume upper bounds $9/|G|$ for Kähler-Einstein log Del Pezzo surfaces.

**Corollary 4.** Let $X$ be a Kähler-Einstein log Del Pezzo surface. Let $p \in X$ be a closed point with local analytic model $\mathbb{C}^2/G$, where $G \subset GL(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts freely in codimension 1. Then

$$((-K_X)^2) \leq \frac{9}{|G|},$$

with equality if and only if $|G \cap G_m| = 1$ and $X \cong \mathbb{P}^2/G$.

**Remark 5.** The author was informed by Kento Fujita that he independently obtained cases of Corollary 4 when $(X, p)$ is Du Val.

It was conjectured by Cheltsov and Kosta in [CK14, Conjecture 1.18] that a Gorenstein Del Pezzo surface admits Kähler-Einstein metrics if and only if the singularities are of certain types depending on the anti-canonical volume. This conjecture was proved by Odaka, Spotti and Sun in [OSS16, page 165] where $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein smoothable Kähler-Einstein log Del Pezzo surfaces are classified. As a quick application of Corollary 4 we give an alternative proof of the “only if” part of this conjecture. We remark that partial results are known before [OSS16], e.g. [DT92, Jef97, Won13] on the “only if” part and [MM93, GK07, Che08, Shi10, CK14] on the “if” part.

**Corollary 6.** Let $X$ be a Kähler-Einstein log Del Pezzo surface with at most Du Val singularities.

1. If $((-K_X)^2) = 1$, then $X$ has at most singularities of type $A_1$, $A_2$, $A_3$, $A_4$, $A_5$, $A_6$, $A_7$ or $D_4$.
2. If $((-K_X)^2) = 2$, then $X$ has at most singularities of type $A_1$, $A_2$ or $A_3$.
3. If $((-K_X)^2) = 3$, then $X$ has at most singularities of type $A_1$ or $A_2$.
4. If $((-K_X)^2) = 4$, then $X$ has at most singularities of type $A_1$.
5. If $((-K_X)^2) \geq 5$, then $X$ is smooth.

The comparison between Theorem 1 and 2 also allows us to rephrase the results from [Li17, LL16] in terms of $\text{lct}^n \cdot \text{mult}$. The following theorem gives a necessary and sufficient condition for a Fano manifold to be K-semistable.

**Theorem 7.** Let $V$ be a Fano manifold of dimension $n - 1$. Assume $H = -rK_V$ is an ample Cartier divisor for some $r \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$. Let $X := C(V, H) = \text{Spec} \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} H^0(V, mH)$ be the affine cone with cone vertex $o$. Then $V$ is K-semistable if and only if for any closed subscheme $Z$ of $X$ supported at $o$, the following inequality holds:

$$\text{lct}(X; I_Z)^n \cdot \text{mult}_Z X \geq \frac{1}{r}((-K_V)^{n-1}).$$

In particular, from the “only if” part of Theorem 7 we get de Fernex-Ein-Mustăţa type inequalities (1.3) on cone singularities with K-semistable bases (see [FEM04] or Theorem 22 for the smooth case). Note that the “if” part is a straight-forward consequence after [Li17] using the relations between $\text{lct}^n \cdot \text{mult}$ and $\hat{\text{vol}}$ from [Mus02, Li15].
The proofs of Theorem 1 and 2 rely on the recent result \cite{Fuj15} where Fujita settled the optimal volume upper bounds for Ding-semistable $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano varieties. Recall that a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano variety $X$ is said to be Ding-semistable if the Ding invariant $\text{Ding}(X, L)$ is nonnegative for any normal test configuration $(X, L)/\mathbb{A}^1$ of $(X, -rK_X)$. The results in \cite{Ber16, Section 3} show that, if a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano variety $X$ admits a K"ahler-Einstein metric, then $X$ is Ding-semistable (see also \cite{Fuj15, Theorem 3.2}). This allows us to prove Theorem 1 and 2 under the weaker assumption that $X$ is Ding-semistable (see Theorem 16 and 21). To prove the equality case of Theorem 3, we blow up a flag ideal associated to the $\hat{\text{vol}}$-minimizing valuation to obtain a test configuration with zero CM weight. Then K-polystability is enough to characterize the $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano variety with maximal volume (see Lemma 33 for details).

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the notions of Seshadri constants, Ding-semistability, filtrations and normalized volume of real valuations. As a generalization of \cite[Theorem 2.3]{Fuj15}, we characterize the Seshadri constant of an arbitrary thickening of a close point in terms of the volume function in Lemma 10. In Section 3.1 we prove Theorem 1 and 2. The proof of Theorem 1 is a quick application of \cite[Theorem 1.2]{Fuj15} and Lemma 10. To prove Theorem 2, we apply \cite[Theorem 4.9]{Fuj15} to the filtration associated to any real valuation. In Section 3.2, we give some applications of Theorem 1 and 2. We recover the main result from \cite{dFEM04}. We prove the inequality part of Theorem 3. We also get a volume upper bound for a Ding-semistable $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano variety with an isolated singularity that is not terminal (see Theorem 25). Section 4 is devoted to comparing the two invariants occurred in the volume upper bounds, i.e. $\text{lct}^n \cdot \text{mult}$ and $\hat{\text{vol}}$. In Theorem 27, we show that these two invariants have the same infimums among ideals supported (resp. valuations centered) at a klt point. This implies that Theorems 1 and 2 are equivalent to each other. We prove Theorem 7 using Theorem 27 and results from \cite{Li17, LL16}. In Section 4.2 we study the existence of minimizers of $\text{lct}^n \cdot \text{mult}$ and $\hat{\text{vol}}$. In Section 5, we study the equality case of Theorem 1 and 2. Lemma 33 characterizes the equality case of Theorem 2 when $X$ is $K$-polystable with extra conditions on the real valuation. Applying this lemma, we prove the equality case of Theorem 3 and Corollary 6. We also prove that $\mathbb{P}^n$ is the only Ding-semistable $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano variety of volume at least $(n + 1)^n$ (see Theorem 36). This theorem improves the equality case of \cite[Theorem 1.1]{Fuj15} where Fujita proved for Ding-semistable Fano manifolds.

**Notation.** Throughout this paper, we work over the complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$. Let $X$ be an $n$-dimensional normal variety. Let $\Delta$ be an effective $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $X$ such that $(X, \Delta)$ is a klt pair. For a closed subscheme $Z$ of $X$, the **log canonical threshold** of its ideal sheaf $I_Z$ with respect to $(X, \Delta)$ is defined as

$$\text{lct}(X, \Delta; I_Z) := \inf_E \frac{1 + \text{ord}_E(K_Y - f^*(K_X + \Delta))}{\text{ord}_E(I_Z)},$$

where the infimum is taken over all prime divisors $E$ on a log resolution $f : Y \to (X, \Delta)$. We also denote by $\text{lct}(X; I_Z) = \text{lct}(X, 0; I_Z)$ when $\Delta = 0$. If $\dim Z = 0$, the **Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity** of $X$ along $Z$ is defined as

$$\text{mult}_Z(X) := \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\ell(O_X/I_Z^k)}{k^n/n!}.$$
We will also use the notation \( \text{lct}(a) \) (and \( \text{mult}(a) \)) as abbreviation of \( \text{lct}(X; a) \) (and \( \text{mult}_{V(a)}(X) \)) for a coherent ideal sheaf \( a \) (of dimension 0) once the variety \( X \) is specified.

Following [BBEGZ11, Ber16], on an \( n \)-dimensional \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Fano variety \( X \), a Kähler metric \( \omega \) on the smooth locus \( X_{\text{reg}} \) is said to be a Kähler-Einstein metric on \( X \) if it has constant Ricci curvature, i.e. \( \text{Ric}(\omega) = \omega \) on \( X_{\text{reg}} \), and \( \int_{X_{\text{reg}}} \omega^n = ((-K_X)^n) \). By [BBEGZ11, Definition 3.5 and Proposition 3.8], this is equivalent to saying that \( \omega \) is a closed positive \((1,1)\)-current with full Monge-Ampère mass on \( X \) satisfying \( V^{-1} \omega^n = \mu_\omega \) (see [BBEGZ11, Section 3] for details).

Given a normal variety \( X \) and a (not necessarily effective) \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor \( \Delta \), we say that \( (X, \Delta) \) is a sub pair if \( K_X + \Delta \) is \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Cartier. Let \( f : Y \to (X, \Delta) \) be a log resolution, then we have the following equality
\[
K_Y = f^*(K_X + \Delta) + \sum a_i E_i,
\]
where \( E_i \) are distinct prime divisors on \( Y \). We say that \( (X, \Delta) \) is sub log canonical if \( a_i \geq -1 \) for all \( i \). If \( D \) is a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Cartier \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor on \( X \), then the log canonical threshold of \( D \) with respect to a sub pair \( (X, \Delta) \) is defined as
\[
\text{lct}(X, \Delta; D) := \sup \{ c \in \mathbb{R} : (X, \Delta + cD) \text{ is sub log canonical} \}.
\]
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2. Preliminaries

2.1. Seshadri constants.

Definition 8 ([Laz04]). Let \( X \) be a projective variety of dimension \( n \). For a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Cartier \( \mathbb{Q} \)-divisor \( L \) on \( X \), we define the volume of \( L \) on \( X \) to be
\[
\text{vol}_X(L) := \limsup_{k \to \infty, kL \text{ Cartier}} \frac{h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(kL))}{k^n/n!}.
\]

We know that the limsup computing \( \text{vol}_X(L) \) is actually a limit. If \( L \equiv L' \), then \( \text{vol}_X(L) = \text{vol}_X(L') \). Moreover, we can extend it uniquely to a continuous function
\[
\text{vol}_X : N^1(X) \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}.
\]

Definition 9. Let \( X \) be a projective variety, \( L \) be an ample \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Cartier divisor on \( X \). Let \( Z \) be a nonempty proper closed subscheme of \( X \) with ideal sheaf \( I_Z \). Denote by \( \sigma : \hat{X} \to X \) the blow up of \( X \) along \( Z \). Let \( F \) be the Cartier divisor on \( \hat{X} \) given by \( \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}}(-F) = \sigma^{-1}(I_Z) \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\hat{X}} \). The Seshadri constant of \( L \) along \( Z \), denoted by \( \epsilon_Z(L) \), is defined as
\[
\epsilon_Z(L) := \sup \{ x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} : \sigma^*L - xF \text{ is ample} \}.
\]
Lemma 10. Let $X$ be an $n$-dimensional normal projective variety with $n \geq 2$, $L$ be an ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $X$, $p \in X$ be a closed point. Let $Z$ be a closed subscheme of $X$ with $\text{Supp } Z = \{p\}$. Let $\sigma : \tilde{X} \to X$ be the blow up along $Z$, and $F \subset \tilde{X}$ be the Cartier divisor defined by the equation $\mathcal{O}_X(-F) = \sigma^{-1}I_Z \cdot \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$.

(1) For any $x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$, we have
$$\text{vol}_X(\sigma^*L - xF) \geq ((\sigma^*L - xF)^n) = (L^n) - \text{mult}_Z X \cdot x^n.$$ 

(2) Set $\Lambda_Z(L) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mid \text{vol}_X(\sigma^*L - xF) = ((\sigma^*L - xF)^n)\}$. Then we have
$$\epsilon_Z(L) = \max\{x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \mid y \in \Lambda_Z(L) \text{ for all } y \in [0, x]\}.$$

Proof. The proof goes along the same line as [Fuj15, Theorem 2.3].

(1) The equality $(\sigma^*L - xF)^n) = (L^n) - \text{mult}_Z X \cdot x^n$ follows from the fact that $\text{mult}_Z(X) = (-1)^{n-1}(F^n)$ (see [Ram73]).

For the inequality part, we can assume that $x \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ since the function $\text{vol}_X(\sigma^*L - xF)$ is continuous. Take any sufficiently large $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ with $kx \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and $kL$ Cartier. Notice that we have the long exact sequence

$$0 \to H^0(\tilde{X}, \sigma^*(kL) - kxF) \to H^0(X, \sigma^*(kL)) \to H^0(kxF, \sigma^*(kL)|_{kxF}) \to \cdots$$

Thus
$$h^0(\tilde{X}, \sigma^*(kL) - kxF) \geq h^0(\tilde{X}, \sigma^*(kL)) - h^0(kxF, \sigma^*(kL)|_{kxF}) = h^0(X, kL) - h^0(kxF, \mathcal{O}_{kxF}).$$

Consequently,
$$\text{vol}_X(\sigma^*L - xF) \geq \text{vol}_X(L) - \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{h^0(kxF, \mathcal{O}_{kxF})}{(kx)^n/n!} x^n.$$

Hence it suffices to show that
$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{h^0(jF, \mathcal{O}_j)}{j^n/n!} = \text{mult}_Z X.$$

We look at the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_X(-jF)|_F \to \mathcal{O}_{(j+1)F} \to \mathcal{O}_{jF} \to 0$$

The isomorphism $F \cong \text{Proj} \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} I_Z^{m}/I_Z^{m+1}$ yields $\mathcal{O}_X(-jF)|_F \cong \mathcal{O}_F(j)$. Since $H^1(F, \mathcal{O}_F(j)) = 0$ for $j \gg 0$, we have an exact sequence
$$0 \to H^0(F, \mathcal{O}_F(j)) \to H^0((j+1)F, \mathcal{O}_{(j+1)F}) \to H^0(jF, \mathcal{O}_{jF}) \to 0$$

Hence $h^0((j+1)F, \mathcal{O}_{(j+1)F}) - h^0(jF, \mathcal{O}_{jF}) = h^0(F, \mathcal{O}_F(j)) = \ell(I_Z/I_Z^{j+1})$ for $j \gg 0$. As a result,
$$h^0(jF, \mathcal{O}_{jF}) = \ell(\mathcal{O}_F/I_Z^{j+1}) + \text{const}$$

for $j \gg 0$. Hence we prove (1).

(2) Assume $\text{vol}_X(\sigma^*L - xF) = (L^n) - \text{mult}_Z X \cdot x^n$ for some $x \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$. We will show that

$$h^i(X, \sigma^*(kL) - kxF) = o(k^n) \quad \text{for } i \geq 1, k \gg 0 \text{ with } kx \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}.$$
For $i \geq 2$, the long exact sequence (2.1) yields $$h^i(\hat{X}, \sigma^*(kL) - kxF) \leq h^{i-1}(kxF, \mathcal{O}_{kxF}) + h^i(\hat{X}, \sigma^*(kL)).$$ Since $\sigma^*(kL)$ is nef, we know that $h^i(\hat{X}, \sigma^*(kL)) = o(k^n)$. Serre vanishing theorem implies that $H^{i-1}(F, \mathcal{O}_F(j)) = 0$ for $i \geq 2, j \gg 0$. Then the exact sequence (2.2) implies that $$H^{i-1}((j + 1)F, \mathcal{O}_{(j+1)F}) \cong H^{i-1}(jF, \mathcal{O}_F)$$ for $i \geq 2, j \gg 0$. As a result, $h^{i-1}(kxF, \mathcal{O}_{kxF})$ is constant for $k \gg 0$. Therefore, $h^i(\hat{X}, \sigma^*(kL) - kxF) = o(k^n)$ for any $i \geq 2$.

By the asymptotic Riemann-Roch theorem we know that $$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\chi(\hat{X}, \sigma^*(kL) - kxF)}{k^n/n!} = ((\sigma^* L - xF)^n)$$ $$= (L^n) - \text{mult}_Z X \cdot x^n$$ $$= \text{vol}_X(\sigma^* L - xF).$$ Hence we have $$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{k^n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (-1)^i h^i(\hat{X}, \sigma^*(kL) - kxF) = 0.$$ Since $h^i(\hat{X}, \sigma^*(kL) - kxF) = o(k^n)$ for $i \geq 2$, we conclude that $h^1(\hat{X}, \sigma^*(kL) - kxF) = o(k^n)$ as well.

Now let us prove (2). Denote by $a$ the right-hand side of the equation in (2). For any nef divisor, its volume is equal to its self intersection number. Hence it is obvious that $\epsilon_Z(L) \leq a$. Then it suffices to show that $a \leq \epsilon_Z(L)$. Equivalently, we only need to show that for $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small with $a - \epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$, $\sigma^* L - (a - \epsilon)F$ is ample. Fix $\delta \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ such that $\delta < \epsilon_Z(L)$, that is, $\sigma^* L - \delta F$ is ample. By [4FKL07 Theorem A], we only need to show that

$$h^i(\hat{X}, m((\sigma^* L - (a - \epsilon)F) - t(\sigma^* L - \delta F))) = o(m^n)$$

for any $i > 0$, any sufficiently small $t \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ and suitably divisible $m$. Denote $b := (a - \epsilon + t\delta)/(1 - t)$. Notice that

$$\frac{1}{1-t}((\sigma^* L - (a - \epsilon)F) - t(\sigma^* L - \delta F)) = \sigma^* L - \frac{a - \epsilon + t\delta}{1-t}F = \sigma^* L - bF.$$ It is clear that $b < a$ for $t \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ sufficiently small. Hence from the description of $a$ we get:

$$\text{vol}_X(\sigma^* L - bF) = (L^n) - \text{mult}_Z X \cdot b^n.$$ Then applying (2.3) yields that $h^i(\hat{X}, \sigma^*(kL) - kbF) = o(k^n)$ for $i > 0$, sufficiently small $t \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ and suitably divisible $k$. In other words, (2.4) is true under the same condition for $i, t$ and $m = (1 - t)k$. Hence we prove the lemma. \hfill \Box
2.2. **K-semistability and Ding-semistability.** In this section we recall the definition of K-semistability, and its recent equivalence Ding-semistability.

**Definition 11** ([Tia97, Don02], see also [LX14]). Let $X$ be an $n$-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano variety.

(a) Let $r \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}$ such that $L := -rK_X$ is Cartier. A *test configuration* (resp. a *semi test configuration*) of $(X, L)$ consists of the following data:

- a variety $\mathcal{X}$ admitting a $\mathbb{G}_m$-action and a $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant morphism $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{A}^1$, where the action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on $\mathbb{A}^1$ is given by the standard multiplication;
- a $\mathbb{G}_m$-equivariant $\pi$-ample (resp. $\pi$-semiample) line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathcal{X}$ such that $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})|_{\pi^{-1}(\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\})}$ is equivariantly isomorphic to $(X, L) \times (\mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\})$ with the natural $\mathbb{G}_m$-action.

Moreover, if $\mathcal{X}$ is normal in addition, then we say that $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})/\mathbb{A}^1$ is a *normal test configuration* (resp. a *normal semi test configuration*) of $(X, L)$.

(b) Assume that $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) \to \mathbb{A}^1$ is a normal test configuration. Let $\tilde{\pi} : (\tilde{\mathcal{X}}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}}) \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be the natural equivariant compactification of $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) \to \mathbb{A}^1$. The *CM weight* (equivalently, *Donaldson-Futaki invariant*) of $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ is defined by the intersection formula:

$$CM(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) := \frac{1}{(n+1)((-K_X)^n)} \left( \frac{n}{r^{n+1}}(\bar{\mathcal{L}}^{n+1}) + \frac{n+1}{r^n}(-\bar{\mathcal{L}}^n \cdot K_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}/\mathbb{P}^1}) \right).$$

(c) The pair $(X, -K_X)$ is called *K-semistable* if $CM(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) \geq 0$ for any normal test configuration $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})/\mathbb{A}^1$ of $(X, -rK_X)$.

The pair $(X, -K_X)$ is called *K-polystable* if $CM(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) \geq 0$ for any normal test configuration $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})/\mathbb{A}^1$ of $(X, -rK_X)$, and the equality holds if and only if $\mathcal{X} \cong X \times \mathbb{A}^1$.

In [Dim88], Ding introduced the so-called *Ding functional* on the space of Kähler metrics on Fano manifolds and showed that Kähler-Einstein metrics are critical points of the Ding functional. Recently, Berman [Ber16] established a formula expressing CM-weights in terms of the slope of Ding functional along a geodesic ray in the space of all bounded positively curved metrics on the anti-canonical $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle of a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano variety. Later on, this slope was called *Ding invariant* by Fujita [Fuj15] in order to define the concept of *Ding-semistability*. Next we will recall the recent work by Berman [Ber16] and Fujita [Fuj15].

**Definition 12** ([Ber16, Fuj15]). Let $X$ be an $n$-dimensional $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano variety.

(a) Let $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})/\mathbb{A}^1$ be a normal semi test configuration of $(X, -rK_X)$ and $(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}})/\mathbb{P}^1$ be its natural compactification. Let $D(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ be the $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $\mathcal{X}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- The support $\text{Supp}D(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ is contained in $\mathcal{X}_0$.
- The divisor $-rD(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-divisor corresponding to the divisorial sheaf $\mathcal{L}(rK_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}/\mathbb{P}^1})$.

(b) The Ding invariant $\text{Ding}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ of $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})/\mathbb{A}^1$ is defined as

$$\text{Ding}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) := \frac{-\bar{\mathcal{L}}^{n+1}}{(n+1)r^{n+1}((-K_X)^n)} - \left(1 - \text{lct}(\mathcal{X}, D(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}); \mathcal{X}_0)\right).$$

Here $\text{lct}(\mathcal{X}, D(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}); \mathcal{X}_0)$ is defined in the sense of ([LX14]).
(c) $X$ is called Ding-semistable if $\text{Ding}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) \geq 0$ for any normal test configuration $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})/\mathbb{A}^1$ of $(X, -rK_X)$.

The following theorem illustrates relations among the existence of Kähler-Einstein metrics, K-semistability and Ding-semistability of $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano varieties.

**Theorem 13.**  
(1) If a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano variety $X$ admits a Kähler-Einstein metric, then $X$ is Ding-semistable.

(2) A $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano variety is K-semistable if and only if it is Ding-semistable.

**Proof.** (1) is proved by Berman in [Ber16] (see also [Fuj15, Theorem 3.2]).

(2) The direction that Ding-semistability implies K-semistability is proved by Berman in [Ber16] using the inequality that Ding($\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}$) $\geq$ CM($\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}$) for any normal test configuration $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ (see also [Fuj15, Theorem 3.2.2]). The direction that K-semistability implies Ding-semistability is proved in [BBJ15] based on [LX14] (see also [Fuj16, Section 3]).

2.3. **Filtrations.** The use of filtrations to study stability notions was initiated by Witt Nyström in [WN12]. We recall the relevant definitions about filtrations after [BC11, WN12] (see also [BHJ17] and [Fuj15, Section 4.1]).

**Definition 14.** A good filtration of a graded $\mathbb{C}$-algebra $S = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} S_m$ is a decreasing, left continuous, multiplicative and linearly bounded $\mathbb{R}$-filtrations of $S$. In other words, for each $m \geq 0 \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a family of subspaces $\{F_xS_m\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}}$ of $S_m$ such that:

- $F_xS_m \subseteq F_x' S_m$, if $x \geq x'$;
- $F_xS_m = \bigcap_{x' < x} F_x' S_m$;
- $F_xS_m \cdot F_xS_{m'} \subseteq F_{x+x'}S_{m+m'}$, for any $x, x' \in \mathbb{R}$ and $m, m' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$;
- $e_{\min}(F) > -\infty$ and $e_{\max}(F) < +\infty$, where $e_{\min}(F)$ and $e_{\max}(F)$ are defined by the following operations:

$$
e_{\min}(S_m, F) := \inf\{t \in \mathbb{R} : F^t S_m \neq S_m\};$$

$$e_{\max}(S_m, F) := \sup\{t \in \mathbb{R} : F^t S_m \neq 0\};$$

$$e_{\min}(F) = \inf_{m \to \infty} \frac{e_{\min}(S_m, F)}{m};$$

$$e_{\max}(F) = \inf_{m \to \infty} \frac{e_{\max}(S_m, F)}{m}.$$

(2.5)

Define $S^{(t)} := \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} F^{kt}S_k$. When we want to emphasize the dependence of $S^{(t)}$ on the filtration $F$, we also denote $S^{(t)}$ by $FS^{(t)}$. The following concept of volume will be important for us:

$$\text{vol}(S^{(t)}) = \text{vol}(FS^{(t)}) := \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{C}} F^{mt} S_m}{m^n \sqrt{n!}}.$$

(2.6)

Now assume $L$ is an ample line bundle over $X$ and $S = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} H^0(X, L^m) = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\infty} S_m$ is the section ring of $(X, L)$. Then following [Fuj15], we can define a sequence of ideal sheaves on $X$:

$$I_{(m,x)}^F = \text{Image} \left( F_xS_m \otimes L^{-m} \to O_X \right),$$

(2.7)
and define $\mathcal{F}^e S_m := H^0(V, L^m \cdot I_{(m,x)})$ to be the saturation of $\mathcal{F}^e S_m$ such that $\mathcal{F}^e S_m \subseteq \mathcal{F}^e S_m$. $\mathcal{F}$ is called saturated if $\mathcal{F}^e S_m = \mathcal{F}^e S_m$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Notice that with the notation above we have:

$$\text{vol}(\mathcal{F} S^{(t)}) := \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\dim_c \mathcal{F}^e H^0(X, kL)}{k^n/n!}.$$ 

2.4. Normalized volume of real valuations. Let $(X, p)$ be a normal $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein singularity with $\dim X = n$. A real valuation $v$ on the function field $\mathbb{C}(X)$ is a map $v : \mathbb{C}(X) \to \mathbb{R}$, satisfying:

- $v(fg) = v(f) + v(g)$;
- $v(f + g) \geq \min\{v(f), v(g)\}$;
- $v(\mathbb{C}^*) = 0$.

Denote by $\mathcal{O}_v := \{ f \in \mathbb{C}(X) : v(f) \geq 0 \}$ the valuation ring of $v$. Denote by $(\mathcal{O}_p, \mathfrak{m}_p)$ the local ring of $X$ at $p$. We say that a real valuation $v$ on $\mathbb{C}(X)$ is centered at $p$ if the local ring $\mathcal{O}_p$ is dominated by $\mathcal{O}_v$. In other words, $v$ is nonnegative on $\mathcal{O}_p$ and strictly positive on $\mathfrak{m}_p$. Denote by $\text{Val}_{X,p}$ the set of real valuations centered at $p$. Given a valuation $v \in \text{Val}_{X,p}$, define the valuation ideals for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ as follows:

$$a_x(v) := \{ f \in \mathcal{O}_X : v(f) \geq x \}.$$

The volume of the valuation $v$ is defined as

$$\text{vol}(v) := \lim_{x \to +\infty} \frac{\ell(\mathcal{O}_X/a_x(v))}{x^n/n!}.$$

By [ELS03, Mus02, Cut13], the limit on the right hand side exists and is equal to the multiplicity of the graded family of ideals $a_\bullet(v)$:

$$\text{vol}(v) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{\text{mult}(a_m(v))}{m^n} =: \text{mult}(a_\bullet(v)).$$

Following [JM12, BdFFU15], we can define the log discrepancy for any valuation $v \in \text{Val}_X$. This is achieved in three steps in [JM12, BdFFU15]. Firstly, for a divisorial valuation $\text{ord}_E$ associated to a prime divisor $E$ over $X$, define $A_X(E) := 1 + \text{ord}_E(K_Y/X)$, where $\pi : Y \to X$ is a resolution of $X$ containing $E$. Next we define the log discrepancy of a quasi-monomial valuation (also called Abhyankar valuation). For a resolution $\pi : Y \to X$, let $y := (y_1, \cdots, y_r)$ be a system of algebraic coordinate of a point $\eta \in Y$. By [JM12 Proposition 3.1], for every $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_r) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$ one can associate a unique valuation $\text{val}_\alpha = \text{val}_{y,\alpha} \in \text{Val}_X$ with the following property: whenever $f \in \mathcal{O}_{Y,\eta}$ is written in $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{Y,\eta}}$ as $f = \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n} c_\beta y^\beta$, with each $c_\beta \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}_{Y,\eta}}$ either zero or a unit, we have

$$\text{val}_\alpha(f) = \min\{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \mid c_\beta \neq 0\}.$$

Such valuations are called quasi-monomial valuations (or equivalently, Abhyankar valuations by [ELS03]). Let $(Y, D = \sum_{k=1}^N D_k)$ be a log smooth model of $X$, i.e. $\pi : Y \to X$ is an isomorphism outside of the support of $D$. We denote by $Q\text{M}_\eta(Y, D)$ the set of all quasi-monomial valuations $v$ that can be described at the point $\eta \in Y$ with respect to coordinates $(y_1, \cdots, y_r)$ such that each $y_i$ defines at $\eta$ an irreducible component of $D$ (hence $\eta$ is the generic point of a connected component of the intersection of some of the
We put $QM(Y, D) := \bigcup_{\eta} QM(Y, D)$. Suppose $\eta$ is a generic point of a connected component of $D_1 \cap \cdots \cap D_r$, then the log discrepancy of $val_\alpha$ is defined as

$$A_X(val_\alpha) := \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_j \cdot A_X(ord_{D_j}(K_{Y/X})) = \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_j \cdot (1 + ord_{D_j}(K_{Y/X})).$$

Finally, in [JM12] Jonsson and Mustață showed that there exists a retraction map $r_{Y,D} : Val_X \rightarrow QM(Y, D)$ for any log smooth model $(Y, D)$ over $X$, such that it induces a homeomorphism $Val_X \rightarrow \lim_{\leftarrow} QM(Y, D)$. For any real valuation $v \in Val_X$, we define

$$A_X(v) = sup_{(Y,D)} A_X(r_{Y,D}(v))$$

where $(Y,D)$ ranges over all log smooth models over $X$. For details, see [JM12] and [BMFU15, Theorem 3.1]. It is possible that $A_X(v) = +\infty$ for some $v \in Val_X$, see e.g. [JM12, Remark 5.12].

Following [Li15], the normalized volume function $\hat{\vol}(v)$ for any $v \in Val_{X,p}$ is defined as

$$\hat{\vol}(v) := \begin{cases} A_X(v)^n \cdot \vol(v), & \text{if } A_X(v) < +\infty; \\ +\infty, & \text{if } A_X(v) = +\infty. \end{cases}$$

Notice that $\hat{\vol}(v)$ is rescaling invariant: $\hat{\vol}(\lambda v) = \hat{\vol}(v)$ for any $\lambda > 0$. By Izumi’s theorem (see [Izu85, Ree87, ELS03, JM12, BFJ14, Li15]), one can show that $\hat{\vol}$ is uniformly bounded from below by a positive number on $Val_{X,p}$.

### 3. Proofs of main theorems

#### 3.1. Proofs

It was proved by Berman [Ber16] that the existence of a Kähler-Einstein metric on a $Q$-Fano variety implies Ding-semistability (see Theorem [13]). In this section, we will prove Theorem [1] and [2] with the weaker assumption that $X$ is Ding-semistable.

The following result by Fujita is crucial for proving Theorem [1]

**Theorem 15 (Fuj15, Theorem 1.2).** Let $X$ be a Ding-semistable $Q$-Fano variety. Assume that $X$ is Ding-semistable. Take any nonempty proper closed subscheme $\emptyset \neq Z \subsetneq X$ corresponds to an ideal sheaf $0 \neq I_Z \subsetneq O_X$. Let $\sigma : \hat{X} \rightarrow X$ be the blow up along $Z$, let $F \subset \hat{X}$ be the Cartier divisor defined by the equation $O_{\hat{X}}(-F) = \sigma^{-1}I_Z \cdot O_{\hat{X}}$. Then we have $\beta(Z) \geq 0$, where

$$\beta(Z) := \operatorname{lct}(X; I_Z) \cdot \vol_X(-K_X) - \int_0^{+\infty} \vol_X(\sigma^*(-K_X) - xF)dx.$$

We will prove the following theorem, a stronger result that implies Theorem [1]

**Theorem 16.** Let $X$ be a Ding-semistable $Q$-Fano variety. Let $p \in X$ be a closed point. Let $Z$ be a closed subscheme of $X$ with $\operatorname{Supp} Z = \{p\}$. Then we have

$$((-K_X)^n) \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n \operatorname{lct}(X; I_Z)^n \operatorname{mult}_Z X.$$
Proof. Let \( \sigma : \hat{X} \to X \) be the blow up along \( Z \), and \( F \subset \hat{X} \) be the Cartier divisor defined by the equation \( O_X(-F) = \sigma^{-1}I_Z \cdot O_{\hat{X}} \). By Theorem 15, we have

\[
\text{lct}(X; I_Z) \cdot ((-K_X)^n) \geq \int_0^{+\infty} \text{vol}_X(\sigma^*(-K_X) - xF)dx.
\]

On the other hand, by Lemma 10 we have

\[
\int_0^{+\infty} \text{vol}_X(\sigma^*(-K_X) - xF)dx \geq \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \cdot \text{vol}_X(((-K_X)^n) - \text{mult}_Z X \cdot x^n)dx
\]

Hence we get the desired inequality

\[
((K_X)^n) \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) \text{lct}(X; I_Z)^n \text{mult}_Z X.
\]

\[ \square \]

Remark 17. As we see in the proof above, if the equality of (3.1) holds, then

\[
\text{vol}_X(\sigma^*(-K_X) - xF) = \max\{((-K_X)^n) - \text{mult}_Z X \cdot x^n, 0\}
\]

for any \( x \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \). Then by Lemma 10 (2) we have that \( \epsilon_Z(-K_X) = (1 + \frac{1}{n})\text{lct}(X; I_Z) \).

The following result by Fujita is the main tool to prove Theorem 2.

**Theorem 18** ([Fuj15, Theorem 4.9]). Let \( X \) be a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Fano variety of dimension \( n \). Assume that \( X \) is Ding-semistable. Let \( \mathcal{F} \) be a good graded filtration of \( S = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{+\infty} H^0(X, mL) \) where \( L = -rK_X \) is Cartier. Then the pair \( (X \times \mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{I}^{(1/r)} \cdot (t)^d) \) is sub log canonical in the sense of [Fuj15, Definition 2.4], where

\[
\mathcal{I}_m = I_{(m, e_+)} + I_{(m, e_-)} t^1 + \cdots + I_{(m, e_+)} t^{m(e_+ - e_-) - 1} + (t^{m(e_+ - e_-)}),
\]

\[
d_\infty = 1 - \frac{e_+ - e_-}{r} + \frac{1}{r^{n+1}((-K_X)^n)} \int_{e_-}^{e_+} \text{vol}(\mathcal{F} S(t))dt,
\]

and \( e_+, e_- \in \mathbb{Z} \) with \( e_+ \geq e_{\max}(S_*, \mathcal{F}) \) and \( e_- \leq e_{\min}(S_*, \mathcal{F}) \).

We will follow the notation of Theorem 18. Let \( v \in \text{Val}_{X, p} \) be a real valuation centered at \( p \). Define an \( \mathbb{R} \)-filtration \( \mathcal{F}_v \) of \( S \) as

\[
\mathcal{F}_v^x S_m := H^0(X, L^m \cdot a_x(v)).
\]

**Lemma 19.** The \( \mathbb{R} \)-filtration \( \mathcal{F}_v \) of \( S \) is decreasing, left-continuous, multiplicative and saturated. Moreover, if \( a_X(v) < +\infty \) then \( \mathcal{F}_v \) is also linearly bounded.

**Proof.** The decreasing, left-continuous and multiplicative properties of \( \mathcal{F}_v \) follows from the corresponding properties of \( \{a_x(v)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \). To prove that \( \mathcal{F}_v \) is saturated, notice that the homomorphism

\[
\mathcal{F}_v^x S_m \otimes \mathbb{C} L^{-m} \to I_{(m, x)}^{\mathcal{F}_v}
\]

induces the inclusion \( I_{(m, x)}^{\mathcal{F}_v} \subset a_x(v) \) for any \( x \in \mathbb{R} \). Thus \( \mathcal{F}_v^x S_m = H^0(X, L^m \cdot I_{(m, x)}^{\mathcal{F}_v}) \subset \mathcal{F}_v^x S_m \), which implies that \( \mathcal{F}_v \) is saturated.
If $A_X(v) < +\infty$, then by [Li15] Theorem 3.1 there exists a constant $c = c(X, p)$ such that $v \leq c A_X(v) \ord_p$. So it is easy to see that
\[
\epsilon_{\max}(S_*, \mathcal{F}_v) \leq c A_X(v) \cdot \epsilon_{\max}(S_*, \mathcal{F}_{\ord_p}) < +\infty.
\]
The second inequality follows from the work in [BKMS14]. Next, it is clear that $a_x(v) = \mathcal{O}_X$ for $x \leq 0$. Hence $\mathcal{F}_v S_m = S_m$ for $x \leq 0$, which implies $\epsilon_{\min}(S_*, \mathcal{F}_v) \geq 0$. Hence $\mathcal{F}_v$ is linearly bounded. \(\Box\)

It is clear that $\mathcal{F}_v S_m = S_m$ for $x \leq 0$. Hence we may choose $e_- = 0$. The graded sequence of ideal sheaves $\mathcal{I}_m$ on $X \times \mathbb{A}^1$ becomes:
\[
\mathcal{I}_m = I_{(m, m e_+)} + I_{(m, m e_- - 1)} t^1 + \cdots + I_{(m, 1)} t^{m e_+ - 1} + (t^{m e_+}).
\]
We also have that
\[
d_\infty = 1 - \frac{e_+}{r} + \frac{1}{r n + 1 (-K_X)^n} \int_0^{+\infty} \vol(\mathcal{F}_v S(t)) dt.
\]
The valuation $v$ extends to a $\mathbb{G}_m$-invariant valuation $\bar{v}$ on $\mathbb{C}(X \times \mathbb{A}^1) = \mathbb{C}(X(t))$, such that for any $f = \sum_j f_j t^j$ we have
\[
(3.2) \quad \bar{v}(f) = \min_j \{v(f_j) + j\}.
\]

**Proposition 20.** Let $X$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano variety of dimension $n$. Assume that $X$ is Ding-semistable. Let $p \in X$ be a closed point. Let $v \in \Val_{X, p}$ be a real valuation centered at $p$. Then we have
\[
(3.3) \quad A_X(v) \geq \frac{1}{r n + 1 (-K_X)^n} \int_0^{+\infty} \vol(\mathcal{F}_v S(t)) dt.
\]

**Proof.** We may assume that $A_X(v) < +\infty$, since otherwise the inequality holds automatically. Hence Lemma [19] implies that $\mathcal{F}_v$ is good and saturated.

By Theorem [18] we know that $(X \times \mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{I}_m^{(1/rm)} \cdot (t)^{d_\infty})$ is sub log canonical. Since $X \times \mathbb{A}^1$ has klt singularities, for any $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$ there exists $m = m(\epsilon)$ such that
\[
\mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathbb{A}^1} \subset \mathcal{J}(X \times \mathbb{A}^1, \mathcal{I}_m^{(1-\epsilon)/(rm)} \cdot (t)^{(1-\epsilon)d_\infty}),
\]
where the right hand side is the multiplier ideal sheaf. By [BdFFU15] Theorem 1.2] we know that the following inequality holds for any real valuation $u$ on $X \times \mathbb{A}^1$:
\[
(3.4) \quad A_{X \times \mathbb{A}^1}(u) \geq \frac{(1 - \epsilon)}{rm} u(\mathcal{I}_m) + (1 - \epsilon) d_\infty u(t).
\]
Let us choose a sequence of quasi-monomial real valuations $\{v_n\}$ on $X$ such that $v_n \rightarrow v$ and $A_X(v_n) \rightarrow A_X(v)$ when $n \rightarrow \infty$. It is easy to see that $\{\bar{v}_n\}$ is a sequence of quasi-monomial valuations on $X \times \mathbb{A}^1$ satisfying $\bar{v}_n(t) = 1$ and
\[
A_{X \times \mathbb{A}^1}(\bar{v}_n) = A_X(v_n) + 1.
\]
Hence we have
\[
A_X(v) + 1 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_X(\bar{v}_n) \geq \frac{(1 - \epsilon)}{rm} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{v}_n(\mathcal{I}_m) + (1 - \epsilon) d_\infty.
\]
From the definition of $F^x v S_m$ we get:

$$v(I_{(m,x)}^v) \geq x.$$  

Therefore,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \bar{v}_n(I_m) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \min_{0 \leq j \leq re_+} \left\{ v_n(I_{(m,j)}^v) + me_+ - j \right\} = \min_{0 \leq j \leq re_+} \left\{ v(I_{(m,j)}^v) + me_+ - j \right\} \geq me_+$$

Hence when $\epsilon \to 0^+$ we get:

$$A_X(v) + 1 \geq \frac{e_+}{r} + d_{\infty}.$$ 

Therefore,

$$A_X(v) \geq -1 + \frac{e_+}{r} + d_{\infty} = \frac{1}{r^{n+1} ((-K_X)^n)} \int_0^{+\infty} \text{vol}(F_v S(t)) \, dt.$$ 

Hence we get the desired inequality. \qed

The following theorem is a stronger result that implies Theorem 2.

**Theorem 21.** Let $X$ be a Ding-semistable $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano variety. Let $p \in X$ be a closed point. Let $v \in \text{Val}_{X,p}$ be a real valuation centered at $p$. Then we have

$$((-K_X)^n) \leq \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right)^n \hat{\text{vol}}(v).$$

**Proof.** We may assume $A_X(v) < +\infty$, since otherwise the inequality holds automatically. Since $F^{mx}_v S_m = H^0(X, L^m \cdot a_{mx}(v))$, we have the exact sequence

$$0 \to F^{mx}_v S_m \to H^0(X, L^m) \to H^0(X, L^m \otimes (\mathcal{O}_X/a_{mx}(v))).$$

Hence we have

$$\dim F^{mx}_v S_m \geq h^0(X, L^m) - l(\mathcal{O}_X/a_{mx}(v)).$$

This implies

$$\text{vol}(F_v S^{(x)}) \geq (L^n) - \text{vol}(v)x^n.$$ 

So we have the estimate:

$$\int_0^{+\infty} \text{vol}(F_v S^{(x)}) \, dx \geq \int_0^{\sqrt{(L^n)/\text{vol}(v)}} ((L^n) - \text{vol}(v)x^n) \, dx = \frac{n}{n+1} (L^n) \cdot \sqrt{(L^n)/\text{vol}(v)}.$$
Applying (3.3) we get the inequality:

\[ A_X(v) \geq \frac{1}{r(L^n)} \int_0^{+\infty} \text{vol}(F_v S^{(l)}) \, dt \geq \frac{1}{r(L^n)} \frac{n}{n+1} (L^n) \sqrt[n]{(L^n) / \text{vol}(v)} = \frac{n}{n+1} \sqrt[n]{((-K_X)^n) / \text{vol}(v)}. \]

This is exactly equivalent to

\[ ((-K_X)^n) \leq \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right)^n A_X(v)^n \text{vol}(v) = \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right)^n \hat{\text{vol}}(v). \]

\[ \square \]

3.2. Applications. The following result is an easy consequence of Theorem 1.

**Theorem 22** ([dFEM04]). Let \( X \) be a variety of dimension \( n \). Let \( Z \) be a closed sub-scheme of \( X \) supported at a single smooth closed point. Then

\[ \left( \frac{\text{lct}(X; I_Z)}{n} \right)^n \text{mult}_Z X \geq 1. \]

**Proof.** It is clear that the multiplicity is preserved under completion. Next, [dFEM11, Proposition 2.11] implies that \( \text{lct} \) is also preserved under completion. Hence it suffices to prove the theorem for \( X = \mathbb{P}^n \). This follows directly by applying Theorem 1 to the case \( X = \mathbb{P}^n \). \[ \square \]

Now we will illustrate some volume bounds for singular \( X \).

**Theorem 23.** Let \( X \) be a Ding-semistable \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Fano variety. Let \( p \in X \) be a closed point. Suppose \( (X, p) \) is a quotient singularity with local analytic model \( \mathbb{C}^n / G \) where \( G \subset GL(n, \mathbb{C}) \) acts freely in codimension 1. Then

\[ ((-K_X)^n) \leq \left( \frac{n+1}{|G|} \right)^n. \]

**Proof.** Let \( (Y, o) := (\mathbb{C}^n / G, 0) \) be the local analytic model of the quotient singularity \( (X, p) \). Let \( H := G \cap \mathbb{G}_m \) with \( d := |H| \). Define the real valuation \( u_0 \) on \( Y \) to be the pushforward of the valuation \( \text{ord}_0 \) on \( \mathbb{C}^n \) under the quotient map \( \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow Y \).

We first show that \( \hat{\text{vol}}(u_0) = \frac{n^n}{|G|} \). Let \( \hat{\mathbb{C}}^n \) be the blow up of \( \mathbb{C}^n \) at the origin 0 with exceptional divisor \( E \). Denote by \( \pi : \hat{\mathbb{C}}^n \rightarrow \hat{Y} \) the quotient map. Then \( \pi \) lifts to \( \hat{\mathbb{C}}^n \) as \( \hat{\pi} : \hat{\mathbb{C}}^n \rightarrow \hat{Y} \), where \( \hat{Y} := \hat{\mathbb{C}}^n / G \). We have the following commutative diagram:

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
\hat{\mathbb{C}}^n & \xrightarrow{\hat{\pi}} & \hat{Y} \\
\downarrow{g} & & \downarrow{h} \\
\mathbb{C}^n & \xrightarrow{\pi} & Y
\end{array} \]

Let \( F \subset \hat{Y} \) be the exceptional divisor of \( h \). For a general point on \( F \), its stabilizer under the \( G \)-action is exactly \( H \). So \( \hat{\pi}^* F = dE \), which implies that \( u_0 = \pi_* (\text{ord}_E) = d \text{ord}_F \). It is clear that

\[ K_{\hat{\mathbb{C}}^n} = \hat{\pi}^* \left( K_{\hat{Y}} + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{d} \right) F \right). \]
Lemma 24. Let $G \subset GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ be a finite group acting on $\mathbb{C}^n = \text{Spec} \ \mathbb{C}[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$. Then we have

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[x_1, \cdots, x_n]_G^{<m} = \frac{1}{|G|}.$$ 

Proof. Denote $W := \mathbb{C}[x_1, \cdots, x_n] = \mathbb{C}x_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}x_n$. Then we have that

$$\mathbb{C}[x_1, \cdots, x_n] \cong \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} \text{Sym}^m W.$$ 

Denote by $\rho_m : G \to GL(\text{Sym}^m W)$ the induced representation of $G$ on $\text{Sym}^m W$. Since $G$ is finite, $\rho_m(g)$ is diagonalizable for any $m \geq 0$ and $g \in G$. Denote the $n$ eigenvalues of $\rho_1(g)$ by $\lambda_{g,1}, \cdots, \lambda_{g,n}$. Then the eigenvalues of $\rho_m(g)$ are exactly all monomials in $\lambda_{g,i}$ of degree $m$. Let $c_m := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} (\text{Sym}^m W)^G$ and $d_m := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} [x_1, \cdots, x_n]_G^{<m}$. From representation theory we know that

$$c_m = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \text{tr}(\rho_m(g)).$$ 

Hence

$$c(t) := \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} c_m t^m = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \frac{1}{(1 - \lambda_{g,1}t) \cdots (1 - \lambda_{g,n}t)}.$$ 

This is also known as Molien’s theorem [Mol1897]. Since $d_m = \sum_{i=0}^{m} c_i$, we have

$$d(t) := \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} d_m t^m = \frac{c(t)}{1 - t} = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \frac{1}{(1 - t)(1 - \lambda_{g,1}t) \cdots (1 - \lambda_{g,n}t)}.$$
Here all eigenvalues $\lambda_{g,i}$ are roots of unity. Using partial fraction decomposition, for any $g \neq id$ we have

$$\frac{1}{(1-t)(1-\lambda_{g,1}t)\cdots(1-\lambda_{g,n}t)} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} o(m^n)t^m.$$  

Hence

$$d(t) = \frac{1}{|G|} \cdot \frac{1}{(1-t)^{n+1}} + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} o(m^n)t^m$$

$$= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{|G|} \binom{n+m}{n} + o(m^n) \right)t^m.$$  

As a result,

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{d_{m-1}}{m^n/n!} = \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{|G|} \binom{n+m-1}{n}}{m^n/n!} = \frac{1}{|G|}.$$  

□

**Theorem 25.** Let $X$ be a Ding-semistable $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano variety. Let $p \in X$ be an isolated singularity. If $X$ is not terminal at $p$, then

$$((-K_X)^n) \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n \mult_p X < e \mult_p X.$$  

*Proof.* Denote by $m_p$ the maximal ideal at $p$. We first show that $\lct(X; m_p) \leq 1$. Since $p$ is an isolated singularity, we may take a log resolution of $(X, m_p)$, namely $\pi : Y \to X$ such that $\pi$ is an isomorphism away from $p$ and $\pi^{-1}m_p \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y$ is an invertible ideal sheaf on $Y$. Let $E_i$ be the exceptional divisors of $\pi$. We define the numbers $a_i$ and $b_i$ by

$$K_Y = \pi^*K_X + \sum_i a_i E_i \quad \text{and} \quad \pi^{-1}m_p \cdot \mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_Y(-\sum_i b_i E_i).$$  

It is clear that $\lct(X; m_p) = \min_i \frac{1+a_i}{b_i}$. Since $\pi$ is an isomorphism away from $p$, we have $b_i \geq 1$ for any $i$. Since $X$ is not terminal at $p$, there exists an index $i_0$ such that $a_{i_0} \leq 0$. Hence

$$\lct(X; m_p) \leq \frac{1+a_{i_0}}{b_{i_0}} \leq 1.$$  

So we finish the proof by applying Theorem □ to $Z = p$. □

### 4. Comparing invariants for ideals and valuations

In this section, we will always assume that $(X, p)$ is a normal $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein klt singularity of dimension $n$ with $p \in X$ a closed point.

#### 4.1. The infimums of invariants

**Lemma 26.** Let $a$ be an ideal sheaf on $X$ supported at $p$. Let $v_0 \in \Val_X$ be a divisorial valuation that computes $\lct(a)$. Then $v_0$ is centered at $p$, and we have

$$\lct(a)^n \cdot \mult(a) \geq \widehat{\vol}(v_0).$$
Proof. Since $v_0$ computes $\text{lct}(a)$, we have that $\text{lct}(a) = A_X(v_0)/v_0(a)$. Denote $\alpha := v_0(a)$. Then $a^m \subset a_\alpha(v_0)$ since $v_0(a^m) = m\alpha$. Therefore,

$$\text{mult}(a) = \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{\ell(O_X/a_{\alpha}^m)}{m^n/n!} \geq \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{\ell(O_X/a_m(v_0))}{m^n/n!} = \alpha \text{ vol}(v_0).$$

Hence we prove the lemma.

Following [ELS03], for a graded sequence of ideals $a_\bullet$ supported at $p$, the volume of $a_\bullet$ is defined as

$$\text{vol}(a_\bullet) := \limsup_{m \to \infty} \frac{\ell(R/a_m)}{m^n/n!},$$

while the multiplicity of $a_\bullet$ is defined as

$$\text{mult}(a_\bullet) := \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{\text{mult}(a_m)}{m^n}. $$

By [LM09, Cut13], we know that $\text{mult}(a_\bullet) = \text{vol}(a_\bullet)$ when $X$ is normal.

**Theorem 27.** We have

\begin{equation}
\inf_a (\text{lct}(a)^n \cdot \text{mult}(a)) = \inf_{a_\bullet} (\text{lct}(a_\bullet)^n \cdot \text{vol}(a_\bullet)) = \inf_v \widehat{\text{vol}}(v),
\end{equation}

where the infimums are taken over all ideals $a$ supported at $p$, all graded sequences of ideals $a_\bullet$ supported at $p$ and all real valuations $v \in \text{Val}_{X,p}$, respectively. We also set $\text{lct}(a_\bullet)^n \cdot \text{vol}(a_\bullet) = +\infty$ if $\text{lct}(a_\bullet) = +\infty$.

**Proof.** Let $a_\bullet$ be a graded sequence of ideal sheaves supported at $p$. By [JM12, BdFFU15], we have

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} m \text{lct}(a_m) = \text{lct}(a_\bullet).$$

Since $\text{mult}(a_\bullet) = \text{vol}(a_\bullet)$, if $\text{lct}(a_\bullet) < +\infty$ then

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \text{lct}(a_m)^n \cdot \text{mult}(a_m) = \text{lct}(a_\bullet)^n \cdot \text{vol}(a_\bullet).$$

Thus we have

\begin{equation}
\inf_a (\text{lct}(a)^n \cdot \text{mult}(a)) \leq \inf_{a_\bullet} (\text{lct}(a_\bullet)^n \cdot \text{vol}(a_\bullet)).
\end{equation}

Next, Lemma 20 implies that

\begin{equation}
\inf_a (\text{lct}(a)^n \cdot \text{mult}(a)) \geq \inf_v \widehat{\text{vol}}(v).
\end{equation}

Finally, for any real valuation $v \in \text{Val}_{X,p}$ with $A_X(v) < +\infty$, by [BdFFU15, Theorem 1.2] we have

$$\text{lct}(a_\bullet(v)) \leq \frac{A_X(v)}{v(a_\bullet(v))} = A_X(v) < +\infty.$$  

By definition we have $\text{vol}(a_\bullet(v)) = \text{vol}(v)$. Therefore,

\begin{equation}
\text{lct}(a_\bullet(v))^n \cdot \text{vol}(a_\bullet(v)) \leq A_X(v)^n \cdot \text{vol}(v) = \widehat{\text{vol}}(v).
\end{equation}
Hence we have
\[(4.5) \inf \left( \left( \text{lct}(a_\bullet)^n \cdot \text{vol}(a_\bullet) \right) \right) \leq \inf_v \widehat{\text{vol}}(v) .\]

Combining (4.2), (4.3), and (4.5) together, we finish the proof. \(\square\)

Remark 28.  
(a) The first equality in (4.1) was observed by Mustaţă in [Mus02] where he showed that \(\text{lct}(a_\bullet)^n \cdot \text{mult}(a_\bullet) \geq n^n\) for any graded sequence of \(m\)-primary ideals \(a_\bullet\) of a regular local ring of dimension \(n\). The inequality (4.4) was essentially realized by Li in [Li15, Remark 2.8] where he considered the smooth case.

(b) By Izumi’s theorem, the infimums in Theorem 27 are always positive (see Section 2.4).

We will use Theorem 27 to prove Theorem 7 based on [Li17, LL16].

Theorem 29 (=Theorem 7). Let \(V\) be a Fano manifold of dimension \(n - 1\). Assume \(H = -rK_V\) is an ample Cartier divisor for some \(r \in \mathbb{Q}_{>0}\). Let \(X := \text{C}(V, H) = \text{Spec} \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} H^0(V, mH)\) be the affine cone with cone vertex \(o\). Then \(V\) is K-semistable if and only if for any closed subscheme \(Z\) of \(X\) supported at \(o\), the following inequality holds:
\[(4.6) \text{lct}(X; I_Z)^n \cdot \text{mult}_Z X \geq \frac{1}{r}((-K_V)^{n-1}) .\]

Proof. It is clear that \(A_X(\text{ord}_V) = r^{-1}\) and \(\text{vol}(\text{ord}_V) = r^{n-1}((-K_V)^{n-1})\). Hence the right hand side of (4.6) is equal to \(\widehat{\text{vol}}(\text{ord}_V)\). From Theorem 27 we know that (4.6) is equivalent to saying that the normalized volume \(\widehat{\text{vol}}\) is minimized at \(\text{ord}_V\) over \((X, o)\). This is equivalent to \(V\) being K-semistable by [LL16, Corollary 1.5]. \(\square\)

4.2. Finding minimizers. Assume that the infimum of \(\text{lct}^n(a) \cdot \text{mult}(a)\) is attained by some ideal \(a = a_0\). Then Lemma 26 and Theorem 27 together imply that the divisorial valuation \(v_0\) that computes \(\text{lct}(a_0)\) is a minimizer of \(\text{vol}\). In this subsection, we will study the converse problem, i.e. assume there exists a minimizer \(v_\ast\) of \(\text{vol}\) that is divisorial, can the infimum of \(\text{lct}^n\cdot \text{mult}\) for ideals be achieved? In Proposition 31, we give an affirmative answer to this problem assuming an extra condition on \(v_\ast\).

Definition 30. Let \(R\) be a Noetherian local domain. For a real valuation \(v\) of \(K(R)\) dominating \(R\), we define the associate graded algebra of \(v\) as
\[\text{gr}_v R := \bigoplus_{m \in \Phi} a_m(v) / a_{>m}(v),\]
where \(\Phi\) is the valuation semigroup of \(v\).

Proposition 31. Assume that \(\widehat{\text{vol}}(\cdot)\) is minimized at a divisorial valuation \(v_\ast\). If the graded algebra \(\text{gr}_{v_\ast} \mathcal{O}_{X,p}\) is a finitely generated \(\mathbb{C}\)-algebra, then for any sufficiently divisible \(k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}\) we have
\[\text{lct}(a_k(v_\ast))^n \cdot \text{mult}(a_k(v_\ast)) = \widehat{\text{vol}}(v_\ast).\]
In particular, the function \((\text{lct}(\cdot)^n \cdot \text{mult}(\cdot))\) attains its minimum at \(a_k(v_\ast)\).
Proof. By Izumi’s theorem we know that the filtration \( \mathbf{a}_1(v_*) \supset \mathbf{a}_2(v_*) \supset \cdots \) defines the same topology on \( \mathcal{O}_{X,p} \) as the \( \mathbf{m} \)-adic topology on \( \mathcal{O}_{X,p} \). Then Lemma 32 implies \( \oplus_{m \geq 0} \mathbf{a}_m(v_*) \) is a finitely generated \( \mathcal{O}_{X,p} \)-algebra. Therefore, the degree \( k \) Veronese subalgebra \( \oplus_{m \geq 0} \mathbf{a}_{km}(v_*) \) is generated in degree 1 for \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \) sufficiently divisible. This means \( \mathbf{a}_{km} = \mathbf{a}_k^n \) for any \( m \geq 0 \). From inequality (4.4) we have
\[
\widehat{\text{vol}}(v_*) \geq \text{lct}(\mathbf{a}_c(v_*))^n \cdot \text{vol}(\mathbf{a}_c(v_*)) = \text{lct}(\mathbf{a}_c(v_*))^n \cdot \text{vol}(\mathbf{a}_c(v_*)) = \text{lct}(\mathbf{a}_c(v_*))^n \cdot \text{mult}(\mathbf{a}_c(v_*)).
\]

On the other hand, Theorem 27 also implies that \( \text{lct}(\mathbf{a}_c(v_*))^n \cdot \text{mult}(\mathbf{a}_c(v_*)) \geq \widehat{\text{vol}}(v_*) \) because \( v_* \) is a minimizer of \( \text{vol}(\cdot) \). So we prove the proposition. \( \square \)

Lemma 32. Let \( (R, \mathbf{m}) \) be a Noetherian local ring. Let \( \mathbf{a}_* \) be a graded sequence of \( \mathbf{m} \)-primary ideals. Assume that the linear topology on \( R \) defined by the filtration \( \mathbf{a}_1 \supset \mathbf{a}_2 \supset \cdots \) is the same as the \( \mathbf{m} \)-adic topology on \( R \). Assume that \( \oplus_{m \geq 0} \mathbf{a}_m/\mathbf{a}_{m+1} \) is a finitely generated \( R/\mathbf{a}_1 \)-algebra. Then \( \oplus_{m \geq 0} \mathbf{a}_m \) is a finitely generated \( R \)-algebra.

Proof. We take a set of homogeneous generators of \( \oplus_{m \geq 0} \mathbf{a}_m/\mathbf{a}_{m+1} \), say \( x_1, \ldots, x_r \). Let \( d_i \) be the degree of \( x_i \), i.e. \( x_i \in \mathbf{a}_{d_i}/\mathbf{a}_{d_i+1} \). Take \( y_i \in \mathbf{a}_{d_i} \) such that \( x_i = y_i + \mathbf{a}_{d_i+1} \). Denote by \( \oplus_{m \geq 0} \mathbf{b}_m \) the graded \( R \)-subalgebra of \( \oplus_{m \geq 0} \mathbf{a}_m \) generated by \( y_1, \ldots, y_r \).

Since \( \{x_i\} \) generates \( \oplus_{m \geq 0} \mathbf{a}_m/\mathbf{a}_{m+1} \), we have \( \mathbf{a}_m = \mathbf{b}_m + \mathbf{a}_{m+1} \). Hence for any \( l > 0 \) the induction yields
\[
\mathbf{a}_m = (\mathbf{b}_m + \mathbf{b}_{m+1} + \cdots + \mathbf{b}_{m+l-1}) + \mathbf{a}_{m+l}.
\]
Because \( R \) is Noetherian, the ideals \( (\mathbf{b}_m + \mathbf{b}_{m+1} + \cdots + \mathbf{b}_{m+l-1}) \) stabilize for \( l \) sufficiently large. Denote the stabilized ideal by \( \mathbf{c}_m \), then we have \( \mathbf{a}_m = \mathbf{c}_m + \mathbf{a}_{m+l} \) for \( l \) sufficiently large. Since the filtration \( \mathbf{a}_1 \supset \mathbf{a}_2 \supset \cdots \) defines the same topology as the \( \mathbf{m} \)-adic topology, we may take \( l \) sufficiently large such that \( \mathbf{a}_{m+l} \subset \mathbf{c}_m \mathbf{a}_m \). As a result, we have \( \mathbf{a}_m = \mathbf{c}_m + \mathbf{a}_m \mathbf{a}_m \) which implies that \( \mathbf{a}_m = \mathbf{c}_m \) by Nakayama’s lemma.

Now it suffices to show that \( \oplus_{m \geq 0} \mathbf{c}_m \) is a finitely generated graded \( R \)-algebra. By definition, \( \mathbf{c}_m \) is generated by monomials in \( \{y_i\} \) of weighted degree at least \( m \). For any \( 0 \leq j \leq d_i \), denote by \( y_{i,j} \) the homogeneous element \( y_i \in \mathbf{a}_j \) of degree \( j \). Then any monomial in \( \{y_i\} \) of weighted degree at least \( m \) is equal to a monomial in \( \{y_{i,j}\} \) of weighted degree \( m \). Hence \( \oplus_{m \geq 0} \mathbf{c}_m \) is generated by \( \{y_{i,j}\} \) as a graded \( R \)-algebra, which finishes the proof. \( \square \)

Postscript Remark. Since the first version of this article was posted on the arXiv, there has been a lot of progresses studying properties of the minimizer of \( \widehat{\text{vol}} \). Here we mention two of them which are useful in our presentation.

- Blum [Blu18] proved that there always exists a minimizer of \( \widehat{\text{vol}} \) for any klt singularity \( x \in X \);
- If a divisorial valuation \( v_* = \text{ord}_S \) minimizes \( \widehat{\text{vol}} \) in \( \text{Val}_{X,x} \), then \( S \) is necessarily a Kollár component (see [LX16] for a definition). In particular, \( \text{gr}_{v_*} \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \) is finitely generated. This was proved by Blum [Blu18] and Li-Xu [LX16] independently.
5. Maximal volume cases

5.1. K-polystable varieties of maximal volume. The following lemma is the main technical tool to prove the equality case of Theorem 3 and Corollary 6.

**Lemma 33.** Let $X$ be a K-polystable $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano variety of dimension $n$. Let $p \in X$ be a closed point. Assume that there exists a divisorial valuation $v_* \in \text{Val}_{X,p}$ satisfying $((-K_X)^n) = (1 + \frac{1}{n})^n \hat{\text{vol}}(v_*)$. Then we have

1. $v_*$ is a minimizer of the normalized volume function $\hat{\text{vol}}$ on $\text{Val}_{X,p}$;
2. $X \cong \text{Proj} (\text{gr}_{v_*} \mathcal{O}_{X,p})[x]$, where $x$ is a homogeneous element of degree 1 (we may view $X$ as an orbifold projective cone over $F := \text{Proj} \text{gr}_{v_*} \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$);

**Proof.** Part (1) is a direct consequence of Theorem 2.

We need some preparation to prove part (2). Since $v_*$ is a divisorial minimizer of $\hat{\text{vol}}$ by (1), it is induced by a Kollár component by [Blu18, Proposition 4.9] and [LX16, Theorem 1.2]. In particular, $\text{gr}_{v_*} \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{C}$-algebra. Let $\bar{v}_*$ be the corresponding divisorial valuation on $X \times \mathbb{A}^1$ (see (3.2)). Let $t$ be the parameter of $\mathbb{A}^1$. Let $\text{Spec} R$ be an affine Zariski open subset of $X$. By Lemma 32, $\oplus_{m \geq 0} a_m(v_*)$ is a finitely generated $R$-algebra. Then

$$a_m(\bar{v}_*) = a_m(v_*) + a_{m-1}(v_*) t + \cdots + a_1(v_*) t^{m-1} + t^m.$$ 

In order to keep track of the degree of the graded ring $\oplus_{m \geq 0} a_m(\bar{v}_*)$, denote by $s$ the element $t$ in $a_1(\bar{v}_*) = a_1(v_*) + (t)$. Hence $\deg s = 1$, $\deg t = 0$ and we have

$$a_m(\bar{v}_*) = a_m(v_*) + a_{m-1}(v_*) s + \cdots + a_1(v_*) s^{m-1} + s^m R[t],$$

where $\deg a_i(v_*) = i$. As an $R[t]$-algebra, $\oplus_{m \geq 0} a_m(\bar{v}_*)$ is generated by $\oplus_{m \geq 0} a_m(v_*)$ and $s$. Since $\oplus_{m \geq 0} a_m(v_*)$ is a finitely generated $R$-algebra, we have that $\oplus_{m \geq 0} a_m(\bar{v}_*)$ is of finite type over $\mathcal{O}_{X \times \mathbb{A}^1}$.

Let $\mathcal{X} := \text{Proj}_{X \times \mathbb{A}^1} \oplus_{m \geq 0} a_m(\bar{v}_*)$, hence $\mathcal{X}$ is normal by Lemma 31. Denote by $g : \mathcal{X} \to X \times \mathbb{A}^1$ the projection. Denote the composite map by $\pi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbb{A}^1$. The $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathcal{X}$ is defined as

$$\mathcal{L} := g^*(-K_{X \times \mathbb{A}^1}) + 1 + \frac{1}{n} A_X(v_*) \mathcal{O}_X(1),$$

where we treat $\mathcal{O}_X(1) = \frac{1}{n} \mathcal{O}_X(k)$ as a $\mathbb{Q}$-line bundle for sufficiently divisible $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Let $\hat{X} := g^{-1}(X \times \{0\})$ and $\sigma = g|_{\hat{X}} : \hat{X} \to X$. Let $E$ be the exceptional divisor of $g$ given by $I_E := \mathcal{O}_X(1)$. Hence

$$E \cong \text{Proj} \oplus_{m \geq 0} a_m(\bar{v}_*)/a_{m+1}(\bar{v}_*) = \text{Proj} (\text{gr}_{v_*} \mathcal{O}_{X,p})[s],$$

where $s$ is a homogeneous element of degree 1. Since $\text{gr}_{v_*} \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ is an integral domain, $E$ is irreducible and reduced.

We will show the following statements:

(a) $\mathcal{L}$ is $\pi$-nef with $\mathcal{L}^\perp = \hat{X}$;
(b) $\mathcal{L}$ is $\pi$-semiample, hence $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ is a semi $\mathbb{Q}$-test configuration of $(X, -K_X)$;
(c) $\text{CM}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) = 0$. 


For (a), we see that $L_t \cong -K_{X_t}$ is ample whenever $t \neq 0$. From the definition we know that $L$ is $g$-ample, hence $L|_E$ is ample. On $\hat{X}$, we have that

$$L|_{\hat{X}} \cong \sigma^*(-K_X) + \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)A_X(v_*)O_X(1).$$

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ sufficiently divisible, let $Z$ be the thickening of $p$ in $X$ such that $I_Z = a_k(v_*)$. From (1) we know that $v_*$ minimizes $\text{vol}$, hence $\text{lct}(X; I_Z)^n \cdot \text{mult}_Z X = \text{vol}(v_*)$ by Proposition 31. Since

$$( (-K_X)^n ) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n \text{vol}(v_*) = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n \text{lct}(X; I_Z)^n \cdot \text{mult}_Z X,$$

by Lemma 10 and Remark 17 we have that $L|_{\hat{X}}$ is nef with zero top self intersection number. In addition, $\epsilon_Z(-K_X) = A_X(v_*)/m$. As a result, $L^\perp = \hat{X}$.

For (b), we first show that $X$ is normal $\mathbb{Q}$-Gorenstein with klt singularities. By Lemma 34 we have that $E = \text{Ex}(g)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier prime divisor on $X$. Hence

$$K_X = g^*K_{X\times \mathbb{A}^1} + (A_X(\text{ord}_E) - 1)E$$

is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. To show that $X$ has klt singularities, it suffices to show that $(X, \hat{X})$ is plt. By Lemma 34 we have $\bar{v}_* = \text{ord}_E$. Hence $X_0 = \hat{X} + E$ as Weil divisors since $\bar{v}_*(t) = 1$. In particular, $K_X + \hat{X}$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier.

It is clear that

$$I_E/(I_E \cdot I_X) = I_E|_X = O_X(1)|_X = O_X(1) \subset O_{\hat{X}}.$$

Since the kernel of $I_E \to O_{\hat{X}}$ is $I_E \cap I_\hat{X}$, we have that $I_E \cdot I_\hat{X} = I_E \cap I_\hat{X}$. On the other hand, by computing graded ideals we know that $I_E + I_\hat{X} = I_F$. Denote by $\eta$ the generic point of $F$, then $O_{X, \eta} = O_{X, \eta}/I_{X, \eta}$ is a DVR since $\hat{X}$ is normal. Applying Lemma 35 to $(R, p, q) = (O_{X, \eta}, I_E, I_{\hat{X}, \eta})$ yields that $E$ is Cartier at $\eta$. Since $X_0 = \hat{X} + E$ is Cartier and $\hat{X} \cap E = F$, we have that $\hat{X} = X_0 - E$ is Cartier in codimension 2. Next we notice that $\hat{X} \to X$ produces a Kollár component, hence $X$ has klt singularities. Thus $(X, \hat{X})$ is plt by inverse of adjunction [KM98, Theorem 5.50].

By Shokurov’s base-point-free theorem, to show $L$ is $\pi$-semiample we only need to show that $L - K_{X/\mathbb{A}^1}$ is $\pi$-ample. It follows from Lemma 34 that $\bar{v}_* = \text{ord}_E$ and $E \sim_\mathbb{Q} O_X(-1)$. Hence we have

$$-K_{X/\mathbb{A}^1} = g^*(-K_{X\times \mathbb{A}^1}) - (A_{X\times \mathbb{A}^1}(\bar{v}_*) - 1)E = g^*(-K_{X\times \mathbb{A}^1}) + A_X(v_*)O_X(1).$$

Since $0 < A_X(v_*) < (1 + \frac{1}{n})A_X(v_*)$, we see that $-K_{X/\mathbb{A}^1}$ is $\pi$-ample. Hence $L - K_{X/\mathbb{A}^1}$ is $\pi$-ample.

For (c), we know that

$$\text{CM}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) = \frac{1}{(n+1)((-K_X)^n)} \left(n(\bar{L}^{n+1}) + (n+1)(\bar{L}^n \cdot K_{\hat{X}/\mathbb{P}^1})\right).$$
By definition of \( \mathcal{L} \) we know that
\[
\bar{\mathcal{L}} = \tilde{g}^*(-K_{X \times \mathbb{P}^1/\mathbb{P}^1}) + \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) A_X(v_*)O_{\hat{X}}(1),
\]
\[
K_{\hat{X}/\mathbb{P}^1} = \tilde{g}^*K_{X \times \mathbb{P}^1/\mathbb{P}^1} - A_X(v_*)O_{\hat{X}}(1).
\]
Since \( O_{\hat{X}}(1) \) is supported in \( \tilde{g}^{-1}(p, 0) \), we have \( (\tilde{g}^*K_{X \times \mathbb{P}^1/\mathbb{P}^1} \cdot O_{\hat{X}}(1)) = 0 \) as a cycle. Next, it is clear that \( ((\tilde{g}^*K_{X \times \mathbb{P}^1/\mathbb{P}^1})^{n+1}) = (K_{X \times \mathbb{P}^1/\mathbb{P}^1}^{n+1}) = 0 \). Hence we have
\[
(\bar{\mathcal{L}}^{n+1}) = \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right)^{n+1} A_X(v_*)^{n+1}(O_{\hat{X}}(1)^{n+1}),
\]
\[
(\bar{\mathcal{L}} \cdot K_{\hat{X}/\mathbb{P}^1}) = - \left( 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right)^n A_X(v_*)^{n+1}(O_{\hat{X}}(1)^{n+1}).
\]
Thus \( \text{CM}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) = 0 \).

Now we are ready to prove part (2). By (b) we know that \( \mathcal{L} \) is semiample. Denote the ample model of \( (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})/\mathbb{A}^1 \) by \( (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{M}) \), with \( h : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} \) and \( \mathcal{L} = h^*\mathcal{M} \). Then \( (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{M}) \) is a normal \( \mathbb{Q} \)-test configuration of \( (X, -K_X) \). Since \( h_*K_{\hat{X}/\mathbb{P}^1} = K_{\hat{Y}/\mathbb{P}^1} \), we have \( \text{CM}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{M}) = \text{CM}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) = 0 \). From (a) we know that \( \mathcal{L}^\perp = \hat{X} \), so \( \text{Ex}(h) = \hat{X} \). Hence \( \mathcal{Y}_0 = h_*\mathcal{X}_0 = h_*(\hat{X} + E) = h_*E \). In particular, \( \mathcal{Y}_0 \) is a prime divisor on \( \mathcal{Y} \). It is clear that \( \mathcal{L}|_E = (1 + \frac{1}{n})A_X(v_*)O_E(1) \) is ample, hence \( h|_E : E \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_0 \) is a finite birational morphism. Since \( \hat{X} \) is \( K \)-polystable by assumption, hence \( \text{CM}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{M}) = 0 \) yields that \( X \cong \mathcal{Y}_0 \). Then \( h|_E : E \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_0 \) has to be an isomorphism because \( \mathcal{Y}_0 \cong X \) is normal. Hence \( X \cong E \cong \text{Proj} \left( \text{gr}_vO_{X,p}[s] \right) \).

\[\text{Lemma 34.} \] Let \((X, p)\) be a normal singularity. Let \( v_* \) be a divisorial valuation on \( X \) centered at \( p \). Assume that \( \text{gr}_vO_{X,p} \) is a finitely generated \( \mathbb{C} \)-algebra. Let \( F := \text{Proj} \text{ gr}_vO_{X,p}. \) Define \( \hat{X} := \text{Proj}_X \oplus_{m \geq 0} a_m(v_*) \) with the projection \( \sigma : \hat{X} \rightarrow X. \) Then \( \hat{X} \) is normal, \( F = \text{Ex}(\sigma) \) is a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Cartier prime divisor on \( \hat{X} \), and \( v_* = \text{ord}_F. \) (Note that \( \sigma \) is called a prime blow-up in \([\text{Sh01}]\).)

\[\text{Proof.} \] By Lemma 32 we know that \( \oplus_{m \geq 0} a_m(v_*) \) is a finitely generated \( O_{\hat{X}} \)-algebra. Since the valuation ideals \( a_m(v_*) \) are always integrally closed, \( \hat{X} \) is normal. By definition of \( F \) we know that the ideal sheaf \( I_F \) is the same as the coherent sheaf \( O_{\hat{X}}(1) \). Let \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \) be sufficiently divisible so that \( a_{mk}(v_*) = a_k(v_*)^m \) for any positive integer \( m \). Thus \( \hat{X} \) is naturally isomorphic to the blow up of \( X \) along the ideal sheaf \( a_k(v_*) \). In particular, \( O_{\hat{X}}(k) = \sigma^{-1}a_k(v_*) \cdot O_{\hat{X}} \) is an invertible ideal sheaf. Next, \( O_{\hat{X}}/O_{\hat{X}}(k) \) is supported at the exceptional locus of \( \sigma. \) As ideal sheaves on \( \hat{X} \), we know that \( O_{\hat{X}}(1)^k \subset O_{\hat{X}}(k) \subset O_{\hat{X}}(1). \) Hence \( O_{\hat{X}}(1) \) and \( O_{\hat{X}}(k) \) have the same nilradical as ideal sheaves, and
\[
F_{\text{red}} = \text{Supp}(O_{\hat{X}}/O_{\hat{X}}(1)) = \text{Supp}(O_{\hat{X}}/O_{\hat{X}}(k))
\]
which is the reduced exceptional locus of \( \sigma. \) On the other hand, it is easy to see that \( \text{gr}_vO_{X,p} \) is an integral domain, so \( F \) is an integral scheme.

For \( k \) sufficiently divisible, we have that \( O_{\hat{X}}(k) \) is invertible and \( \sigma_*O_{\hat{X}}(mk) = a_{mk}(v_*) \) for any \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}. \) Thus \( O_{\hat{X}}(k) = O_{\hat{X}}(-lF) \) for some positive integer \( l \) (so \( F \) is \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Cartier).
Then for any \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) we have the following equivalences:
\[
v_*(f) \geq m \iff v_*(f^k) \geq mk \iff f^k \in a_{mk}(v_*) \iff \sigma^* f^k \in \mathcal{O}_X(mk)
\]
\[
\iff \text{ord}_F(\sigma^* f^k) \geq ml \iff \text{ord}_F(f) \geq \frac{ml}{k}.
\]
Assume \( v_*(f) = m \). If \( \text{ord}_F(f) > ml/k \), then we have \( \text{ord}_F(f) \geq (ml + 1)/k \). Hence \( \text{ord}_F(f)^l \geq (ml + 1)l/k \) which implies that \( v_*(f^l) \geq ml + 1 \), a contradiction! Hence we have \( \text{ord}_F = (l/k)v_* \). Therefore, \( v_* = \text{ord}_F \) since both \( v_* \) and \( \text{ord}_F \) are divisorial. \( \square \)

**Lemma 35.** Let \((R, \mathfrak{m})\) be a Noetherian local ring. Let \( \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q} \) be two prime ideals in \( R \) satisfying that \( \mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{pq} \) and \( R/\mathfrak{q} \) is a DVR. Then \( \mathfrak{p} \) is principal.

**Proof.** Let \( x + \mathfrak{q} \) be a uniformizer of \( R/\mathfrak{q} \). Since \( \mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{q} \), we may choose \( x \) so that \( x \in \mathfrak{p} \). Hence we have \((x) + \mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{m} \). As a result,
\[
\mathfrak{p} = (x) + \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{q} = (x) + \mathfrak{pq}.
\]
So \( \mathfrak{p} = (x) \) by Nakayama lemma. \( \square \)

**5.2. Applications.** The following theorem improves Fujita’s result on the equality case in [Fuj15, Theorem 5.1].

**Theorem 36.** Let \( X \) be a Ding-semistable \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Fano variety of dimension \( n \). If \(((−K_X)^n) \geq (n + 1)^n \), then \( X \cong \mathbb{P}^n \).

**Proof 1.** Notice that \(((−K_X)^n) \leq (n + 1)^n \) by [Fuj15, Corollary 1.3]. Thus we have \(((−K_X)^n) = (n + 1)^n \). Let \( p \in X \) be a smooth point. From [Fuj15, Proof of Theorem 5.1] or Remark [17] we see that \( \epsilon_p(−K_X) = n + 1 \). Then the theorem is a consequence of the forthcoming paper [LZ16] (joint with Ziquan Zhuang), where we show that if an \( n \)-dimensional \( \mathbb{Q} \)-Fano variety \( X \) satisfies \( \epsilon_p(−K_X) > n \) for some smooth point \( p \in X \), then \( X \cong \mathbb{P}^n \). \( \square \)

**Proof 2.** We follow the strategy and notation of the proof of Lemma [33]. Let \( v_* := \text{ord}_p \) for a smooth point \( p \in X \). As argued in the first proof, the assumptions of Lemma [33] are fulfilled except that \( X \) is only Ding-semistable rather than K-polystable. Nevertheless, we still have a semi \( \mathbb{Q} \)-test configuration \((\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})\) of \((X, −K_X)\) such that \( \mathcal{L}^+ = \hat{X} \) and \( \text{cm}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) = 0 \). Let \((\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{M})\) be the ample model of \((\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})/\mathbb{A}^1\), with \( h : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y} \) and \( \mathcal{L} = h^*\mathcal{M} \). We will show that \( \mathcal{Y}_0 \cong \mathbb{P}^n \).

Following the proof of Lemma [33] we know that \( h|_E : E \to \mathcal{Y}_0 \) is a finite birational morphism. Since \( v_* = \text{ord}_p \) and \( p \in X \) is a smooth point, we have that \( E \cong \mathbb{P}^n \). Therefore, \( E \) is the normalization of \( \mathcal{Y}_0 \). Consider the short exact sequence
\[
0 \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(−E) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathcal{O}_{E} \to 0.
\]
By taking \( h_* \), we get a long exact sequence
\[
(5.1) \quad 0 \to h_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(−E) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} \to h_*\mathcal{O}_{E} \to R^1h_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(−E) \to \cdots
\]
Since \( \mathcal{X}_0 = \hat{X} + E \), we have that \( h_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(−E) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}(−\mathcal{Y}_0) \otimes h_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(\hat{X}) \). Notice that \( \hat{X} \) is \( h \)-exceptional, hence \( h_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(\hat{X}) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} \). As a result, \( h_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(−E) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}}(−\mathcal{Y}_0) \). On the other hand, we have
\[
h^*\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L} = g^*(-K_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{A}^1}) = (n + 1)E = −K_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{A}^1} − E.
\]
So $-E = K_{X/k} + h^* \mathcal{M} \sim_{Q,h} K_X$. Since $\mathcal{X}$ has klt singularities, we have that $R^1 h_* \mathcal{O}_X(-E) = 0$ by the generalized Kodaira vanishing theorem [Kol95 Theorem 10.19.4]. Thus the exact sequence (5.1) yields $h_* \mathcal{O}_E = \mathcal{O}_{Y_0}$, which implies $\mathcal{Y}_0 \cong E \cong \mathbb{P}^n$.

Since $\mathbb{P}^n$ is rigid under smooth deformation (cf. [Kol96 Exercise V.11.12.2]), we conclude that $\mathcal{Y}_t \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ for general $t \in \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\}$, hence $X \cong \mathcal{X}_t \cong \mathcal{Y}_t \cong \mathbb{P}^n$. □

In the following theorem, we show the equality case of Theorem 3.

Theorem 37. Let $X$ be a Kähler-Einstein $\mathbb{Q}$-Fano variety. Let $p \in X$ be a closed point. Suppose $(X, p)$ is a quotient singularity with local analytic model $\mathbb{C}^n/G$, where $G \subset GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ acts freely in codimension 1. Then $((-K_X)^n) = (n+1)^n/|G|$ if and only if $|G \cap G_m| = 1$ and $X \cong \mathbb{P}^n/G$.

Proof. For the “if” part, we may assume that $G \subset U(n)$. Hence $G$ preserves the Fubini-Study metric $\omega_{FS}$ on $\mathbb{P}^n$. Since $|G \cap G_m| = 1$, the $G$-action on $\mathbb{P}^n$ is free in codimension 1. This implies that the quotient metric of $\omega_{FS}$ on $\mathbb{P}^n/G$ is Kähler-Einstein and $((-K^n_{\mathbb{P}^n/G}))^n = ((-K^n_{\mathbb{P}^n}))^n/|G| = (n+1)^n/|G|$.

For the “only if” part, let $(Y, o) := (\mathbb{C}^n/G, 0)$ be the local analytic model of $(X, p)$. Let $d := |G \cap G_m|$. Following the proof of Theorem 23 we have a partial resolution $h : Y \to Y$ of $Y$ that is the quotient of the blow up $g : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}^n$. Since $Y$ is the quotient of $\mathbb{C}^n$, it has klt singularities. Denote by $F$ the exceptional divisor of $h$, then we have $F \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}/G$. Let $u_* := \text{ord}_F$ be the divisorial on $Y$ centered at $o$, then we have $\text{gr}_{u_*} \mathcal{O}_{Y,o} \cong \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^G$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{C}$-algebra. (Here we assume deg $x_i = 1/d$ so that the grading is preserved under the isomorphism.) Theorem 23 also implies $\widehat{\text{vol}}(u_*) = n^n/|G|$.

Since $\mathcal{O}_{X,p} \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y,o}$, the divisorial valuation $u_*$ induces a divisorial valuation $v_*$ on $X$ centered at $p$ as in the proof of Theorem 23. Thus $\widehat{\text{vol}}(v_*) = \widehat{\text{vol}}(u_*)$, $\text{gr}_{v_*} (\mathcal{O}_{X,p}) \cong \text{gr}_{u_*} (\mathcal{O}_{Y,o})$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{C}$-algebra, $\hat{X} := \text{Proj}_{X \oplus_m a_m} (v_*)$ has klt singularities, and

$$((-K_X)^n) = \frac{(n+1)^n}{|G|} = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n \widehat{\text{vol}}(v_*).$$

By [Ber16] we have that $X$ is K-polystable. Hence applying Lemma 33 yields

$$X \cong \text{Proj} \ \text{gr}_{v_*} \mathcal{O}_{X,p}[x] \cong \text{Proj} \ \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^G[x],$$

where deg $x_i = 1/d$ and deg $x = 1$. Denote $y := x^{1/d}$, then $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^G[y]$ is the Veronese subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^G[y]$ where deg $x_i = \text{deg} y = 1/d$. As a result,

$$X \cong \text{Proj} \ \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]^G[y] = \mathbb{P}^n/G.$$

Denote by $H_\infty$ the hyperplane at infinity in $\mathbb{P}^n$. Let $D$ be the prime divisor in $X$ corresponding to $H_\infty/G$ in $\mathbb{P}^n/G$. It is clear that $(X, (1 - 1/d)D)$ is an orbifold as a global quotient of $\mathbb{P}^n$. Denote by $\pi : \mathbb{P}^n \to X$ the quotient map, then we have

$$\pi^* \left( K_X + \left(1 - \frac{1}{d}\right)D \right) = K_{\mathbb{P}^n}, \quad \pi^* D = dH_\infty.$$

Hence $\pi^*(-K_X) = -K_{\mathbb{P}^n} + (d-1)H_\infty = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(n+d)$. This implies $((-K_X)^n) = (n+d)^n/|G|$, so $d = 1$. □
Theorem 37 implies that Corollary 4, we know that where \(\zeta\) = \(\text{Corollary 6}\). Hence we only need to rule out singularities at \([1\,0\,0]\) and \([0\,1\,0]\) are both of type \(\frac{1}{9}(1,2)\) which are not Du Val, contradiction!

Corollary 39 (=Corollary 6). Let \(X\) be a Kähler-Einstein log Del Pezzo surface with at most Du Val singularities.

1. If \((-K_X)^2 = 1\), then \(X\) has at most singularities of type \(A_1\), \(A_2\), \(A_3\), \(A_4\), \(A_5\), \(A_6\), \(A_7\) or \(D_4\).
2. If \((-K_X)^2 = 2\), then \(X\) has at most singularities of type \(A_1\) or \(A_2\).
3. If \((-K_X)^2 = 3\), then \(X\) has at most singularities of type \(A_1\) or \(A_2\).
4. If \((-K_X)^2 = 4\), then \(X\) has at most singularities of type \(A_1\).
5. If \((-K_X)^2 \geq 5\), then \(X\) is smooth.

Proof. Let \(\mathbb{C}^2/G\) be the local analytic model of \((X, p)\) for any closed point \(p \in X\). By Corollary 6, we know that \(|G| \leq 9/((-K_X)^2)\).

Recall that the order of orbifold group at an \(A_k\) singularity is \(k + 1\), a \(D_k\) singularity is \(4(k - 2)\), an \(E_6\) singularity is 24, an \(E_7\) singularity is 48, and an \(E_8\) singularity is 120. Hence the inequality \(|G| \leq 9/((-K_X)^2)\) implies \((2)(3)(4)(5)\). For part (1), the same argument yields that \(X\) has at most singularities type of \(A_k\) with \(k \leq 8\), or of type \(D_4\). Hence we only need to rule out \(A_8\) cases.

Assume to the contrary that \((X, p)\) is of type \(A_8\). Since \((-K_X)^2 = 1 = 9/|G|\), Theorem 37 implies that \(X \cong \mathbb{P}^2/G\), where \(G = \mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}\) acts on \(\mathbb{P}^2\) as follows:

\[
[x, y, z] \mapsto [\zeta^i x, \zeta^{-i} y, z] \quad \text{for } i \in \mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z},
\]

where \(\zeta := e^{2\pi i/9}\) is the ninth root of unity. The point \(p\) is the quotient of \([0,0,1]\] under this action, which is of type \(\frac{1}{9}(1,-1)\) as a cyclic quotient singularity. However, the quotient singularities at \([1,0,0]\] and \([0,1,0]\] are both of type \(\frac{1}{9}(1,2)\) which are not Du Val, contradiction!
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