arXiv:1605.01131v5 [math.GR] 4 Jul 2017

GROWTH IN HIGHER BAUMSLAG-SOLITAR GROUPS

AYLA P. SÁNCHEZ AND MICHAEL SHAPIRO

Abstract. We study the HNN extension of $\mathbb{Z}^m$ given by the cubing endomorphism $g \mapsto g^3$, and prove that such groups have rational growth with respect to the standard generating sets. We compute the subgroup growth series of the horocyclic subgroup $\mathbb{Z}^m$ in this family of examples, prove that for each m the subgroup has rational growth. We then use the tree-like structure of these groups to see how to compute the growth of the whole group. In the appendix, the subgroup growth series has been computed for all $m \leq 10$.

1. Introduction

In this paper we will study the HNN extension of $\mathbb{Z}^m$ by the expanding map $\varphi(g) = g^3$ that cubes all elements. When $m = 1$, this gives the well-known Baumslag-Solitar group $BS(1,3)$. The groups $\mathbb{Z}^m *_{g \mapsto g^3}$ can be thought of as graphs of groups given by a loop on a single vertex labeled by $\mathbb{Z}^m$. These groups are solvable but not nilpotent: the commutator subgroup, and indeed each term in the lower central series, is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^m$. Their model spaces are combinatorially Tree $\times \mathbb{Z}^m$, and the tree admits a height function which gives a horofunction τ on the group. Its level sets are countably many copies of $\mathbb{Z}^m$. We refer to $\mathbb{Z}^m = \langle a_1, \dots, a_m \rangle$ as the *horocyclic subgroup* of $\mathbb{Z}^m *_{g \mapsto g^3}$. Below, we define and study the subgroup growth series $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{Z}^m}(x)$ for the horocyclic subgroups of these cubing extensions, with standard generators $\langle a_1, \dots, a_m, t \rangle$. Our main result will be to show the rationality of the group growth series via the growth of the horocyclic subgroup. Rationality of growth series for groups has been studied intensively since at least the 1980s, and subgroup growth has been a key tool, as explained in the introduction of the important paper [12].

Rationality for the $m = 1$ case follows from the results of Collins, Edjvet, and Gill in [3], and the growth series is explicitly computed by Freden, Knudson, and Schofield in [7] and discussed in further detail by Freden in [6], where the author also outlines the computation in the $m = 2$ case. Using the different approach we take here, we recover these results and produce formulas for the subgroup growth for all m.

To summarize our results, we need to make a few definitions first. We will establish in Theorem 16 that with the exception of the single group element $a_1 \dots a_m$, all words in the positive orthant of $\mathbb{Z}^m$ can be expressed in a geodesic normal form $t^n v s$, where v comes from a finite list of strings called *caps* and $s \in (t^{-1}W)^n$, where W is also finite. We refer to pairs (t^n, s) as *prefix/suffix pairs*. We then define the *prefix/suffix series* $R_m(x)$ to be the power series where the coefficient of x^n is the number of prefix/suffix pairs whose combined length is n. Similarly, we define the *cap polynomial* $V_m(x)$ to be the power series where the coefficient of x^n is the number of caps of length n, polynomial becasue V is finite. Putting this information together, we can build the growth series of the positive orthant of $\mathbb{Z}^m$ in $\mathbb{Z}^m *_{g \mapsto g^3}$, which we call the *positive series* $P_m(x)$, and we finally patch those together to build $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{Z}^m}(x)$.

These form a recursive system and allow us to compute the subgroup growth explicitly, which directly shows the our first main result:

Theorem 1. *For each m, the subgroup growth series of $\mathbb{Z}^m < \mathbb{Z}^m *_{g \mapsto g^3}$ with standard generators is rational.*

Using this, we then build a tree of cosets of $\mathbb{Z}^m$, and show the following:

Theorem 2. *The growth series of $\mathbb{Z}^m *_{g \mapsto g^3}$ with standard generators is rational for all m.*

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Moon Duchin, Murray Elder, and Meng-Che Ho. MS would like to thank Karen Buck for helping to jump-start some of the neurons used in this work.

2. Background

2.1. **Spellings and words.** When working with group presentations, we will denote the empty letter as ϵ, generators with lowercase letters, and inverses of generators with capital letters. In the case of $m \leq 3$, we will write our generators as a, b, and c for simplicity. We write $\ell(g)$ to denote spelling length of an expression and $\ell_{\mathcal{G}}(g)$ to denote word length of a group element (which is the minimal spelling length amongst all representatives of a group element). For example, in $BS(1,3)$, $\ell(a^6) = 6$, while $\ell_{\mathcal{G}}(a^6) = 4$.

2.2. **Growth.** For a group G with generating set $\mathcal{G}$, we denote the sphere of radius n as $S_n := \{g \in G \mid \ell_{\mathcal{G}}(g) = n\}$, that is, the set of all group elements whose geodesic spelling length is n. Define then the *growth function* to be $\sigma(n) = \sigma_{G,\mathcal{G}}(n) = \#S_n$. Under the equivalence where two functions f and g are equivalent if there is some $A > 0$ such that $g \leq Af(Ax)$ and $f \leq Ag(Ax)$, the equivalence class of σ is a group invariant, which in particular means it does not depend on generating set. Growth of groups in this context is well studied, in particular it has been long known that a group is virtually nilpotent if and only if it has polynomial growth, non-elementary hyperbolic groups have exponential growth, and there exist groups of intermediate growth. (For an in-depth treatment of the subject, see [10].) Among groups of exponential growth, you can define the growth order to be $\omega(G) = \lim \sigma(n)^{1/n}$, and in fact exponential growth is characterized by $\omega(G) > 1$

From this we can define a slightly different way to measure how a group grows via a power series with coefficients $\sigma(n)$. Define the *(spherical) growth series of G relative to* $\mathcal{G}$ to be $\mathbb{S}(x) = \mathbb{S}_{G,\mathcal{G}}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma(n)x^n$. One immediate observation about the growth series is that the radius of convergence for the growth series is precisely $1/\omega(G)$.

2.3. **Rationality.** The question that most often arises when studying growth series is *when is the growth series equal to a rational function?* When a group with a generating set has this property, we say that $(G, \mathcal{G})$ has *rational growth*. One important thing to notice is that unlike the rate of growth, rationality can depend on generating set. For many years, only hyperbolic groups and virtually abelian groups were known to have rational growth in all generating sets. Recently, Duchin and Shapiro proved the same always-rational property for the integer Heisenberg group in [4], but that is currently the only other group for which this is known to be true. On the other hand, groups of intermediate growth and recursively presented groups with unsolvable word problem[1] are easily seen to not have rational growth for any generating set. In between those sit some automatic groups, solvable Baumslag-Solitar groups, and Coxeter groups, which all have rational growth with their standard generating set, as well as a roster of other groups and families that have rational growth for some generating set. The higher Heisenberg groups are a family of two-step nilpotent groups which where shown by Stoll in [12] to have irrational growth in their standard generators and rational growth in another generating set, and they provide the only currently known examples of this kind.

In addition to providing a classification of groups and generating sets, information about a group can be read off of the growth series. In particular, if one has a growth series explicitly as a rational function, then the denominator yields the coefficients of a recurrence relation for the function $\sigma(n)$ for large n. Furthermore, rationality of the spherical growth series is equivalent to rationality of the cumulative growth series (taking instead $\beta(n)$ the number of elements in the ball of radius n), for the simple reason that $\frac{\mathbb{S}(x)}{1-x} = \mathbb{B}(x)$. Further information can be read off of the denominator. For example, $\mathbb{S}(x)$ (or $\mathbb{B}(x)$) has a pole of norm < 1 iff the group has exponential growth.


2.4. **Subgroup Growth.** In some cases, we are concerned with how a subgroup grows inside of another group. For this, given $H \leq G$, we look at the intersection of S_n and H, and then define $\sigma_H(n) = \#(S_n \cap H)$. From this we define the *(spherical) subgroup growth series of H in G relative to* $\mathcal{G}$ as $\mathbb{S}_{H\leq G}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_H(n)x^n$. $\sigma_H(n)$ captures information on how H is embedded in G, for example, $\sigma_{\mathbb{Z}}(n)$ for $\mathbb{Z} < BS(1,3)$, as we will see, has exponential growth as its subgroup growth series has a pole inside the unit disk, meaning lengths are heavily distorted by the embedding. Furthermore, we often get information about $\mathbb{S}_G$ from $\mathbb{S}_{H\leq G}$ because the growth in cosets sums to the full growth series. For example, Freden, Knudson, and Schofield build the growth series of $BS(1,3)$ by finding the subgroup growth of $\mathbb{Z}$ in [7].

Growth in subgroups can also be evidence of more interesting behavior as well. In [11], MS considered a central extension of the surface group of genus 2, and proved that the subgroup $\langle z \rangle$ grows rationally in $G = \langle x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z \mid z = [x_1, y_1][x_2, y_2]$ central$\rangle$. If we omit z as a generator, though, $G = \langle x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \mid [x_1, y_1][x_2, y_2]$ central$\rangle$ the central subgroup grows transcendentally irrationally. This was early evidence that rationality depends on generating set, which was ultimately shown by Stoll's higher Heisenberg group example in [12].

2.5. **Baumslag-Solitar Groups.** The Baumslag-Solitar groups are the one-relator groups

$$BS(p,q) = \langle a, t \mid ta^pT = a^q \rangle.$$

[1]An anonymous referee pointed out to MS that the obvious proof here requires the group to be recursively presented. This leaves open the question as to whether there is a group with unsolvable word problem and rational growth. An answer either way would be fascinating.

These groups have Cayley graphs that are built out of "bricks" of the form 

that fit together to form "sheets" such as $\{t^n a^i : n, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, quasi-isometric to the hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}$. The t-weight map $\tau : BS(p,q) \to \mathbb{Z}$ is a homomorphism given by the total exponent of t in a word, which is independent of spelling. Its kernel is made up of countably many translates of $\langle a \rangle$. Consider one of these translates $g\langle a \rangle$ and an infinite positive ray r from this coset. By this, we mean a path r starting in $g\langle a \rangle$ along which τ is monotone up and unbounded. This ray picks out a *half-sheet* in the Cayley graph and this half-sheet is quasi-isometric to a horoball in the hyperbolic plane. For this reason $\langle a \rangle$ is called the *horocyclic subgroup* and its translates are seen as horocycles. Note that Cayley graph can then be built by gluing together countably many half-sheets.


Baumslag-Solitar groups are a frequent source of examples for group theoretic properties. $BS(p,q)$ is solvable (but not nilpotent) iff $1 = p < q$, and $BS(p,q)$ is automatic iff $p = q$. In the solvable case, the geometry of the horocyclic subgroup gives rise to a normal form like that of decimal expansion. For example, taking $BS(1,10)$, we have that $t^2a^5Ta^7Ta^3$ is a spelling of a^{573} that follows such a normal form. Indeed, we can see the decimal expression 573 as a shorthand for this normal form with place value notation recording conjugation by t and numerals such as 7 standing in for the coset representative a^7 of the coset $a^7t\langle a \rangle t^{-1}$ in $\langle a \rangle$. Studying the growth of $\langle a \rangle \leq BS(1,10)$ is close in spirit to counting how many integers we can enumerate with each number of digits.

The cases known to have rational growth with standard generators are the solvable case [1, 3] and the automatic case [5]. For other $BS(p,q)$, both computing the growth series and deciding whether it is rational are open problems. One tool for studying their growth is to study the subgroup growth of the horocyclic subgroup, and then use this to draw conclusions about the growth of the whole group. Restricting to the horocycle makes finding geodesics much more manageable, as there exists an algorithm to produce unique geodesic representatives for elements in the horocyclic subgroup due to McCann (for $BS(2,3)$) and Schofield (modified for general p and q) [7]. These McCann-Schofield geodesics always have the form $t^n a^k s$ where s is a word in T, a and A, where t^n is called the *vertical prefix*, a^k is called the *horizontal cap*, and s is called the *suffix*. In both the above cases, the subgroup growth can been computed. Using these techniques, Freden, Knudson, and Schofield find that the subgroup has rational growth when $p \mid q$ in [7], and conjecture that the subgroup growth in $BS(2,3)$ is irrational.

2.6. **Horospheres.** Our groups (like Baumslag-Solitar groups) come equipped with a canonical map $\tau : G \to \mathbb{Z}$ which yields the total t weight of a word, which does not depend on spelling. For example, $\tau(ta^2Ta) = 0$. This τ, called the *height function*, is the horofunction associated to the geodesic $\{t^n\}$. The kernel of the τ is a countable union of copies of our horocyclic subgroup in both our groups and Baumslag-Solitar groups. We can use our τ to measure the maximum height of a spelling $g_1 \cdots g_n$ by taking the maximum τ of successive subwords $g_1 \cdots g_k$. For example, $tataTaTa$ has height 0 and maximum height 2.

2.7. **Formal Languages and Finite State Automata.** An *alphabet* is a finite set. We refer to its elements as *letters* or *symbols*. Given an alphabet $\mathcal{A}$ we will denote by $\mathcal{A}^*$ the *free monoid* on $\mathcal{A}$, which the set of all finite strings formed by concatenations of letters in $\mathcal{A}$. From this we call a subset $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{A}^*$ a *formal language* over the alphabet $\mathcal{A}$. One approach we will take is to build formal languages in bijective correspondance with geodesic normal forms of words in our groups.

Finally, we define a *finite state automaton* (FSA) to be an abstract 'machine' consisting of a finite number of states with transitions labeled by symbols from our alphabet (which in our cases, are often elements of our group), where some states are denoted as *accept states*, and one state is designated as the *start state*. It is convenient to specify an FSA by a labeled directed graph, where states are vertices drawn as small circles and accept states are vertices displayed as double circles. We will adopt the convention that the start state is labeled by S or indicated by the word 'start' on the diagram. For example, we can build an FSA that accepts words in our language of the positive quadrant of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ by



We can compute the growth series of the language of an FSA by taking the geometric series $\sum_{i\geq 0} v_s^T A^i v_a = v_s^T (I - Ax)^{-1} v_a$, where A is the adjancecy matrix of the FSA as a directed graph, v_s is the vector with 1 in the position corresponding to the start state and zeros elsewhere, and v_a is the vector with 1 in the rows corresponding to accept states and zeros elsewhere. This is a rational function of x because the entries of a matrix M^{-1} are rational functions of the entries of M. If the FSA produces a unique geodesic representative for each element of a group, we can use this method to compute the growth series of the group.

Going back to our example, we have precisely that $A = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right]$, so that our growth series is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{x}{1-x} & \frac{x^2}{(1-x)^2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{1-x} & \frac{x}{(1-x)^2} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{1-x} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{x^2}{(1-x)^2} = x^2 + 2x^3 + 3x^4 + 4x^5 + 5x^6 + \dots.$$

2.8. **Generalized Finite State Automata.** We have described FSAs using directed labeled graphs and have taken those labels to lie in our alphabet. It is often convenient to take sets of spellings as the labels. The resulting machines are sometimes referred to as *generalized FSAs*. When the edges are labeled with regular languages, the resulting language is still regular. One can see this by surgering in FSAs in place of the labeled edges. We will only need the case where the sets are finite.

More formally, a *generalized finite state automaton* (gFSA) is a tuple $M = (G, S, Y)$. Here $G = (V, E, \varphi)$ is a labelled directed finite graph where the labelling φ assigns to each $e \in E$, a language L_e. $S \in V$ is the *start state*. $Y \subset V$ is the set of *accept states*. For each pair of vertices $p, q \in V$, the language $L_{pq}(M)$ is the set of words $w = u_1 \dots u_k$ where $e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_k}$ is a path starting at p and ending at q, and for each $1 \leq i \leq k$, $u_i \in L_{e_i}$. The *language* of M is $L(M) = \cup_{q\in Y} L_{Sq}(M)$. We say that M has the *unique decomposition property* if for each $w \in L_{pq}(M)$ there is a unique decomposition $w = u_1 \dots u_k$ with each u_i in the appropriate L_e. We call k, the *path length* of w and take $L^k_{pq}(M)$ to be the words of path length k in $L_{pq}(M)$.

Just as we did with FSAs, we can talk about the growth of gFSAs. For each edge $e \in E$, we let $f_e(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{ei} x^i$ be the growth of the language L_e. (Here a_{ei} is the number of words in L_e of length i.) The *generalized adjacency matrix* of M is

$$A_{pq} = \begin{cases} f_e(x) & \text{if } (p, q) = e \in E \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proposition 3. *Let M be a gFSA with the unique decomposition property. Then for all p, q, and k, $(A^k)_{pq}$ gives the growth of $L^k_{pq}(M)$.*

Proof. The proof is by induction on k. The basis step consists of observing that for $k = 0$, there is exactly one word, namely, the empty word, in $L^0_{pp}(M)$, while for $p \neq q$, $L^0_{pq} = \emptyset$. The induction step uses the following fact: Suppose that languages L_1 and L_2 have growth functions $f_1(x)$ and $f_2(x)$. Suppose also that for each $w \in L_1L_2$ the decomposition $w = u_1u_2$ is unique. Then the growth of $L = L_1L_2$ is $f_1(x)f_2(x)$.

Now notice that L^k_{pq} consists of the union of all $L^{k-1}_{pr}L_{rq}$. Since M has the unique decomposition property, for each r the product language has the product growth. The unique decomposition property also implies that this union is disjoint. The result now follows. □


An immediate corollary enables us to compute the growth in the same manner as we did for FSAs.

Corollary 4. *The growth $f_M(x)$ of $L(M)$ is given by*

$$f_M(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v_s A^k v_a = v_s (I - A)^{-1} v_a,$$

where v_s is the row vector with 1 as the entry for the start state and 0s elsewhere and v_a is the column vector with 1 as the entry for states in Y and 0s elsewhere. □

We again compute the growth of the language of the positive quadrant of $\mathbb{Z}^2$, but now by using the gFSA with just a start state S and an accept state A with edge languages $L_{SS} = L_{AS} = \emptyset$, $L_{SA} = a\{a\}^*b$, and $L_{AA} = b$.




This clearly has the unique decomposition property, and we have the generalized adjacency matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{x^2}{1-x} \\ 0 & x \end{bmatrix}$. Thus our growth series is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{x^2}{(1-x)^2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{1-x} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{x^2}{(1-x)^2} = x^2 + 2x^3 + 3x^4 + 4x^5 + 5x^6 + \dots.$$

which matches exactly as it did when working with both a CFG and a FSA, but has a much cleaner derivation.

2.9. **Previous results for BS(1,3).** This subsection recaps some results of Freden, Knudson, and Schofield in [7] (discussed in [6]) that our methods generalize. We start by considering the horocyclic subgroup $\mathbb{Z}$ in BS(1,3).

Lemma 5. *Let $U = \{a, a^2, a^3, a^4\}$, $V = \{a^2, a^3, a^4\}$, and $W = \{A, \epsilon, a\}$. Let $L_{1,0} = U$ and for $n > 0$ let $L_{1,n} = t^n V(TW)^n$. Then the language $L = L_1 = \bigcup_{n\geq 0} L_{1,n}$ gives a unique geodesic for each a^i, $i > 0$.*



Spellings in $L_{1,n}$ for words in $\{a^i\}$ displayed in one sheet of $BS(1,3)$.

a^i	Spelling	$\ell_{\mathcal{G}}(a^i)$
a	a	1
a^2	a^2	2
a^3	a^3	3
a^4	a^4	4
a^5	ta^2TA	5
a^6	ta^2T	4
a^7	ta^2Ta	5
a^8	ta^3TA	6
a^9	ta^3T	5
a^{10}	ta^3Ta	6
a^{11}	ta^4TA	7
a^{12}	ta^4T	6
a^{13}	ta^4Ta	7
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$

These are precisely the McCann-Schofield geodesics (sometimes called 'mesa paths'), and each $L_{1,n}$ spells every word a^i for $i \in \left[\frac{3^{n+1}+1}{2}, \frac{3^{n+2}-1}{2}\right]$. Freden *e*t al. [7] exhibit a context free grammar for this language of geodesics. (For background on context free grammars and their automata see [8].) From this context free grammar, the growth series of $\mathbb{Z}_+$ can computed by the Delest-Schützenberger-Viennot (DSV) method [2] to be $P_1(x) = \frac{x+x^2-x^4}{1-x^2-2x^3}$. This makes the growth of $\mathbb{Z}$ equal to $1 + 2P_1(x)$, which implies

Proposition 6 ([7])**.** *The subgroup growth series of $\mathbb{Z}$ in $BS(1,3)$ is rational.*

Finally, using the a branching recursion, they conclude

Theorem 7 ([3, 7])**.** *The growth series of $BS(1,3)$ is rational.*

We will similarly exhibit a language of geodesics for the horocyclic subgroups of $\mathbb{Z}^m *_{g\mapsto g^3}$ for arbitrary m, but will compute growth without the use of a context free grammar. The idea for $BS(1,3)$ is as follows:

Remark 8. *Consider the language*

$$R = \{t^n(TW)^n \mid n > 0\}.$$

Clearly L is in length preserving bijection with the language $U \cup VR$. Using $U(x)$, $V(x)$ and $R(x)$ to denote the growth of U, V and R, it follows that the growth of $\mathbb{Z}_+$ is $P_1(x) = U(x) + V(x)R(x)$. Now R is in length-preserving bijection with

$$R' = \{(tTW)^n \mid n > 0\}.$$

and this latter is regular. Thus, if we are willing to pass to a language which no longer gives geodesics in the group, we can count the subgroup growth of $\mathbb{Z} \subset BS(1,3)$ using generalized finite state automata.

This method is precisely how we will show rationality for all m. To start, though, we first need to describe a language for the horocyclic subgroup at each m.

3. A Language of Geodesics for the $\mathbb{Z}^m$ Subgroup

In this section we will we consider $\mathbb{Z}^m < \mathbb{Z}^m *_{g \mapsto g^3}$. We will extend the methods of the previous section to produce a language L_m giving geodesics for the positive orthant. The spellings in our language consist of a power of t followed by a cap which is followed by a suffix.

3.1. The families of sets W_m, U_m, and V_m.

To define our language, we define the various sets necessary to build the caps and suffixes.

Definition 9. *For each m, let W_m be the concatenation $W_m := \{a_1, \epsilon, A_1\} \cdots \{a_m, \epsilon, A_m\}$. Equivalently, we can write this as $W_m = \{a_1^{e_1} \dots a_m^{e_m} \mid e_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}\}$.*

Notice that there is the relationship $W_m = W_{m-1}\{\epsilon, a_m, A_m\}$. We will see that our suffixes will be elements of $(TW_m)^*$, and our prefixes will be the corresponding elements of $\{t\}^*$ such that the total height τ is 0.

Definition 10. *For each m, $U_m := \{a_1 \cdots a_m\}$ is the set consisting of the shortest word in the positive orthant.*

The word $a_1 \cdots a_m$ has length m and is best spelled with no conjugations. It is not the only one to be best spelled with only a_i, but it will be notationally convenient to single it out, particularly when building the set of caps.

Definition 11. *For each m, $V_m := \left(U_m^2 \bigcup (tU_mTW_m)\right) \setminus (tU_mTU_m^{-1})$ is set consisting of top dimensional caps.*

We can think of V_m as the set consisting of the all squares word from $U_m^2 = \{a_1^2 \cdots a_m^2\}$, along with all conjugations of the word in U_m, except the one evaluating to the all squares word $a_1^2 \cdots a_m^2$. This set is top dimensional in the sense that all m letters from $\mathbb{Z}^m$ are present in it. The important thing to notice is that V_m is a set of geodesic spellings of words with exponents either 2, 3 or 4. For example, $V_2 = \{a^2b^2, tabT, tabTA, tabTB, tabTa, tabTb, tabTab, tabTAb, tabTaB\}$.

Unlike the language we built previously for the $BS(1,3)$ case, our one dimensional caps are $V_1 = \{a^2, taT, taTa\}$ and not $\{a^2, a^3, a^4\}$. The reason for fussing with conjugations and the all squares words rather than just taking concatenations of powers of 2, 3, and 4 is that for $m > 1$ only the all squares word remains a geodesic spelling of itself. For example, a^3b^3 is not a geodesic because $tabT$ spells it in fewer letters.

These three families of sets will be sufficient to build our language, but we must now concern ourselves with how we piece them together. In particular, while it is true that top dimensional caps from V_m will be caps for spellings in L_m, not all caps used by L_m will be top dimensional.

3.2. Defining the language L_m.

First we define the language L_1 of $\mathbb{Z}$ in $BS(1,3)$ to be $L_1 = U_1 \bigcup_{n \geq 0} L_{1,n}$, where $U_1 = \{a\}$, and for each $n \geq 0$, $L_{1,n} = t^n V_1 (TW_1)^n$, with $V_1 = \{a^2, taT, taTa\}$ and $W = \{A, \epsilon, a\}$ as defined in the previous subsection. This is a modified version of the language constructed in Lemma 5, where now we are taking caps $\{a^2, taT, taTa\}$ instead of $\{a^2, a^3, a^4\}$. The lemma implies immediately that this language L_1 spells every word in $\mathbb{Z}_+$ uniquely and geodesically.

For L_1, we only needed caps from V_1. For higher m, there is a problem in that if we just conjugate caps from V_m, we couldn't possibly spell words that project to words spelled by different $L_{1,n}$s in their respective $BS(1,3)$ subgroups. For example, consider a^5b^4: the element a^5 is best spelled as $ta^2TA \in L_{1,1}$, but b^4 is best spelled $tbTb \in L_{1,0}$. The smallest cap we have is in V_2 is a^2b^2, which conjugates to a^6b^6. Since elements of W_2 can only decrease the powers by at most one, we cannot spell a^5b^4. To geodesically spell a^5b^4, we would like to combine the two spellings to get ta^2bTAb, which should be thought of as taking the cap $a^2 \in V_1$ and adding on the U_1 word b, then conjugating via the suffix $TAb \in TW_2$. This demonstrates that we do not just need top dimensional caps, but all possible lower dimensional caps concatenated with U sets as well. We will need to use partitions and permutations to properly utilize lower dimensional caps.

Definition 12. *For a pair of positive integers (m, n), denote by (I, J) a pair of ordered partitions $I = (i_1, \dots, i_q)$ and $J = (j_1, \dots, j_q)$ where I is a partition of m into positive integers ($i_1 + \cdots + i_q = m$), and J is a partition of the same length of n into non-negative integers ($j_1 + j_2 + \dots + j_q = n$). Furthermore, denote by Δi_k the range $\{i_{k-1} + 1, \dots, i_{k-1} + i_k\}$, with the convention $i_0 = 0$.*

We can think of (I, J) as a partition of (m, n) into an ordered set of pairs (i_k, j_k) such that the i sum to m and the j sum to n. The (I, J) partitions will help keep track of how many letters have been seen and at what height. We now have to worry not just how many letters are present in a set, however, but *which* letters are present in a set. To do so, we define a new subscript as below:

Definition 13. *Denote by Σ_m the group of permutations of our m generators. For a permutation $\varphi : \{a_1, \dots, a_m\} \to \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$, Let $X \subset \{a_1, \dots, a_m\}$ so that $\varphi(X)$ is its image, and denote $W_{\varphi(X)}$, $U_{\varphi(X)}$, and $V_{\varphi(X)}$ to be the images of the set $W_{|X|}$, $U_{|X|}$, $V_{|X|}$ under the permutation.*

This now gives us a way to keep track of what letters are contained in our sets. For example, in the $m = 2$ case, taking $\varphi = Id$ and $X = \{a\}$, we can speak of $U_a = \{a\}$ as the image of U_1, but taking $X = \{a, b\}$, we have $U_{ab} = \{ab\}$ as the image of U_2. On the other hand if φ permutes a and b, with $X = \{a\}$, we have $U_b = \{b\}$.

Definition 14. *For $n \geq 0$, let*

$$L_{m,n} = \bigcup_{\varphi \in \Sigma_m} \bigcup_{\text{Partitions } (I,J) \text{ of } (m,n)} t^n V_{\varphi(\Delta i_1)}(TW_{\varphi(\Delta i_1)})^{j_1} U_{\varphi(\Delta i_2)}(TW_{\varphi(\Delta i_1)\cup\varphi(\Delta i_2)})^{j_2} \cdots U_{\varphi(\Delta i_q)}(TW_m)^{j_q}.$$

and finally define, define $L_m = U_m \bigcup_{n\geq 0} L_{m,n}$.

There is a concise description of L_m as the intersection of a regular language with the requirement that $\tau = 0$. We define a generalized finite state automaton M as follows. The states of M are $\mathcal{P} \cup \{U\}$ where $\mathcal{P}$ denotes the power set of $\{1, \dots, m\}$, and U is a special state corresponding to U_m. The start state is $\emptyset$. There are two accept states, $\{1, \dots, m\}$ and U. There is an edge from $\emptyset$ to U labeled by the singleton set U_m, and a loop at $\emptyset$ labeled by t. For each $X \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \emptyset$, there is an edge from $\emptyset$ to X labeled by V_X. For each $X \neq \emptyset$, there is a loop at X labeled by TW_X. For each $\emptyset \neq X \subsetneq X'$, there is an edge from X to X' labeled by $U_{X' \setminus X}$. For a word labeling a path in this generalized FSA, the initial V and subsequent Us determine the partition of m and the permutation φ in the definition of L_m. The number of times that word traverses each V_X edge and loop determines n and the partition of n. The requirement that $\tau = 0$ ensures that this is preceded by t^n. The gFSA in the $m = 2$ case is illustrated in Figure 1.




FIGURE 1. The intersection of the language produced by this machine with $\tau = 0$ yields L_2, where $U_a = \{a\}$, $U_b = \{b\}$, $U_{ab} = \{ab\}$, $V_a = \{a^2, taT, taTa\}$, $V_b = \{b^2, tbT, tbTb\}$, $V_{ab} = \{a^2b^2, tabT, tabTA, tabTB, tabTa, tabTb, tabTab, tabTAb, tabTaB\}$, $W_a = \{\epsilon, a, A\}$, $W_b = \{\epsilon, b, B\}$, and $W_{ab} = \{\epsilon, a, A, b, B, ab, AbaB, AB\}$

Proposition 15. *$L_{m,n}$ spells words in $\left[0, \frac{3^{n+2}-1}{2}\right]^m \setminus \left[0, \frac{3^{n+1}+1}{2}\right)^m \subset \mathbb{Z}_+^m$. Spellings in $L_{m,n}$ have a max height of either n or $n + 1$.*

Proof. The statement about the height of the spellings follows from the fact that the height of the caps varies. The $m = 1$ case is readily observed from the fact that projecting to one coordinate is equivalent to the language in Lemma 5. From the $m = 1$ case, one can observe that the largest coordinate must be a member of $L_{1,n}$ and thus must evaluate to an element of $\left[\frac{3^{n+1}+1}{2}, \frac{3^{n+2}-1}{2}\right]^m$. All other coordinates can be in any other level of $L_{1,i}$, and can evaluate to any thing in $\left[0, \frac{3^{n+2}-1}{2}\right]^m$. □

When counting, it will be useful to break our language up into the subsets that $L_{m,n}$ is made up of and then group them by which letters they have via the permutations. For example, in Section 6, we will break L_2 up into a union of

four disjoint sets, U_{ab}, $\bigcup t^n V_{ab}(TW_{ab})$, $\bigcup t^n V_a(TW_a)^\alpha U_b(TW_{ab})^\beta$, and $\bigcup t^n V_b(TW_b)^\alpha U_a(TW_{ab})^\beta$ as seen in Figure 2 at the end of section 6.

It is easy to get lost in the setup, but what the words in the language look like is actually quite simple if you think of them as base-3 expansions where the highest digit must be positive and every other digit can be -1, 0, or 1 with the added caveat that the highest digit can be 2 only if it has the highest place value among all m entries.

To illustrate this, consider the $m = 2$ case, consider the group element $a^{10}b^{16} \in \mathbb{Z}^2_+$. In L_2, it is spelled t^2ab^2TaBTb since $10 = 1\cdot 3^2 + 0\cdot 3 + 1\cdot 1$ and $16 = 2\cdot 3^2 - 1\cdot 3 + 1\cdot 3$. Here the highest place value is 2 since at least one coordinate has their base 3 expansion in this way with a term on 3^2. On the other hand, $a^2b^{16} = t^2ab^2TBTab$ since $2 = 1\cdot 3 - 1\cdot 1$ leads with 1. ($2\cdot 1$ was not chosen since it leads with 2 but not as the 3^2 term).

Theorem 16. *L_m is a language of geodesics bijecting to the positive orthant $\mathbb{Z}^m_+ \subset \mathbb{Z}^m *_{g\mapsto g^3}$.*

Proof. Tracking through the setup yields that L_m spells all words in the positive orthant uniquely as they are in bijection with their base-3 expansions. What remains to be proven is that they are geodesic. We do this inductively, with $m = 1$ being our base case, in which, by the observation above, our spellings are equivalent to the McCann-Schofield geodesics, which we noted were geodesic in §2.9 (it can also be shown through an induction on the height of the geodesic similar to as we do when inducting on m). Now, assume that for all $k < m$, L_k is a language of geodesics bijecting to the positive orthant of $\mathbb{Z}^k \subset \mathbb{Z}^k *_{g\mapsto g^3}$. The inductive step is that this implies that L_m is a language of geodesics for $\mathbb{Z}^m_+ \subset \mathbb{Z}^m *_{g\mapsto g^3}$.

In order to prove this, we prove that all geodesic spellings of elements in the positive orthant are equivalent to a spelling from L_m by inducting on the maximum height (this is an induction to prove the inductive step of the theorem). For the base case, consider geodesic spellings that use no conjugations. Obviously the two spellings $a_1\cdots a_m$ and $a_1^2\cdots a_m^2 \in L_m$ are the only geodesic spellings of those words, and $a_1^3\cdots a_m^3$ is not a geodesic spelling since it can also be expressed as $ta_1\cdots a_mT$ in less letters. Then the only other possible geodesic spellings are of words in $[1,3]^m$, which there are finitely many. In the case that the highest power is 2, then it is clearly in $L_{m,0}$ as an element of V'_m. ex. $a_1^1a_2^2$ is geodesic and in $L_{3,0}$. In the case that the highest power is 3, then it can be expressed as an element of $L_{m,0}$ by replacing all the powers of 3 with a conjugation taT, replacing all powers of two by a conjugation like $taTA$, and forcing all conjugations to sharing the same t pair. ex. $a_1^1a_2^2a_3^3 = ta_2a_3Ta_1A_2$ is geodesic and in $L_{3,0}$.

Now, assume that all spellings of max height $< n$ are equivalent to a unique spelling in our language, and consider a geodesic spelling u of max height n. We first prove that u is equivalent to a geodesic spelling starting with t^n with τ non-increasing over successive subwords, and then show that such a geodesic spelling is equivalent to a spelling our language. Start by noting that if $u = u_0Tu_1tu_2$ were a geodesic spelling, then u_1 must evaluate to a word in $\langle a_1^3,\dots,a_m^3\rangle$, and we can notice that such words can be geodesically spelled as conjugations, which would then force a Tt cancellation, contradicting geodicity. Thus geodesic spellings must have a t come before a T. Find the first instance of instance of a t in s and the last instance of T in s in our spelling u, and split our word as $u = u_0tu_1Tu_2$, where u_0 and u_2 have no powers of t. Both u_0 and tu_1Tu_2 are equal to words in $\mathbb{Z}^m$, and thus commute, so $u = tu_1Tu_2u_3$. We must investigate what u_1 can be.

Case I: u_1 is a geodesic spelling of an element in the positive orthant of the horocyclic subgroup. Then it has max height $n-1$, so by the inductive hypothesis, u_1 is equivalent to some $t^{n-1}vs \in L_m$. This makes the spelling $t^nvsTu_2u_0 = u$ geodesic.

If $u_2u_0 \in W_m$, then we have that $t^nvsTu_2u_0$ is geodesic and in our language, so we are done. Else, we have to construct a new word in our language. For this to happen, then for some i, the a_i power of u_2u_0 is not -1, 0, or 1. If the a_i power is greater than 2, then if we use $Ta_i^3 = a_it$, we have shortened our word, which contradicts our spelling being geodesic, and similar for the power being less than -2. If the a_i power is 2, then we can use that $Ta_i^2 = a_iTA_i$ to slide the power up one level and similar for the power of -2. If the resulting spelling is in our language, then we are done. If it isn't, then that means we have an a_i^2 at that level, and we can iterate the process. At worst this terminates when we reach v, in which case if the power in v is 0 or 1, we increase it to 2 and are done. If the power in v is 2, then to deal with our power of 3, we replace va_i with an element of $L_{m,1}$ that evaluates to $v'a_i$, which gives us a new spelling in $L_{m,n+1}$.

Case II: u_1 is a geodesic spelling of an element in the horocyclic subgroup, but not in the positive orthant. In this case, the power of a_i on the evaluation of u_1 is 0 for some indices i (i.e. we did not use a top dimensional cap). If the power is nonzero for k indices, then consider the isometrically embedded copy of $\mathbb{Z}^k *_{g\mapsto g}$ spanned by these indices plus t. We can spell u_1 from L_k geodesically as $t^{n-1}vs$. This makes the spelling $t^nvsTu_2u_0$ geodesic, and, additionally, u_2u_0 must evaluate to non-zero powers for the other $m-k$ indices. Now we run the same argument as in Case I to finish this out. □

Remark 17. *We have seen that L_m gives a set of unique geodesics for the positive orthant of $\mathbb{Z}^m$. We have also given a description of this language using a generalized FSA and the requirement that $\tau = 0$. Using the methods sketched in Remark 8, it now follows that the subgroup growth of the positive orthant is rational. However, the machine we described has 2^{m+1} states. Further, since this is a generalised FSA with epsilon transitions, we cannot easily count words. We now describe smaller machines which will allow us to perform explicit calculations. These explicit calculations will be helpful in proving the whole group has rational growth.*

4. The Prefix/Suffix Series $R_m(x)$

We return now to counting to create a series whose coefficients count prefix/suffix pairs. Just as noted in Remark 8 for the $BS(1,3)$ case, for each m there is a length preserving bijection between prefix/suffix pairs and the language $R_m = \{t^n(TW_m)^n \mid n > 0\}$, (where here t and T are symbols in an alphabet and not group elements) which is itself in length preserving bijection with $R'_m = \{(tTW_m)^n \mid n > 0\}$, which is regular (although it no longer accepts geodesics in the group).

An FSA to compute the growth of R'_m would have $O(m^2)$ states but we can easily compute this as a gFSA with a single edge and a loop labeled by the language tTW_m.



Proposition 18. *The Prefix/Suffix Series is given by $R_m(x) = \left(1 - \sum_{i=0}^{m} \binom{m}{i} 2^i x^{i+2}\right)^{-1}$.*

Proof. First observe that this gFSA has the unique decomposition property.

The adjacency matrix of the gFSA for the language R'_m is just the 1×1 matrix consisting of $\{x^2 W_m(x)\}$, where $W_m(x)$ is the polynomial equal to the growth of W_m. Thus the growth is just $\frac{1}{1-x^2 W_m(x)}$ Recall that W_m is defined as $\{a_1, \epsilon, A_1\} \cdots \{a_m, \epsilon, A_m\}$, so $W_m(x) = (1+2x)^m$, and our formula follows from the binomial expansion. □

5. The Cap Polynomial $V_m(x)$

In the previous section we computed $R_m(x)$, which counts how many ways there are to contribute n letters by conjugation by a prefix/suffix pair. However, we did not count how many letters the thing we are conjugating contributes to the word in the first place. We seek to do so now.

Recall that we defined V to be the finite set of things we conjugate (caps) uniquely spelled geodesically, and defined the *cap polynomial* to be the corresponding growth series, which is polynomial since V is finite. There is some freedom to choose our caps, as we can recall from the $BS(1,3)$ case, in that to geodesically spell a word in $\mathbb{Z}_+$, we have two equivalent sets of caps, $\{a^2, a^3, a^4\}$ or $\{a^2, taT, taTa\}$. For higher m, equivalent sets of caps are a bit harder to describe, but we can focus on the top dimensional caps, which are spellings of the group elements $a_1^{i_1} \dots a_m^{i_m}$ with $2 \le i_k \le 4$. Define the *cap polynomial for $\mathbb{Z}^m$ in $\mathbb{Z}^m *_{g \mapsto g^3}$* to be $V_m(x) = \sum \eta_{m,n} x^n$, where $\eta_{m,n}$ is the number of words $a_1^{i_1} \dots a_m^{i_m}$ with $2 \le i_k \le 4$ for all k of word length n. Computing this then amounts to finding the geodesic spellings of all such words, which we have already done in §3 by the family of sets V_m. For example, these are the caps we use for $m = 2$ case

$a^i b^i$	Spelling from V_2	$\ell_{\mathcal{G}}(a^i b^j)$
a^2b^2	a^2b^2	4
a^2b^3	$tabTA$	5
a^2b^4	$tabTAb$	6
a^3b^2	$tabTB$	5
a^3b^3	$tabT$	4
a^3b^4	$tabTb$	5
a^4b^2	$tabTaB$	6
a^4b^3	$tabTa$	5
a^4b^4	$tabTab$	6

We first can notice that a^3 and a^4 have geodesic spellings taT and $taTa$, but that a^2 is not spelled geodesically by $taTA$. Observe then from the geodesic spelling taT of a^3, by adding in two letters we can get a geodesic spellings of a^3b^2 and a^3b^4. Similarly, by adding in just one letter, we can get a^3b^3. Similar is true if we start with the geodesic spelling $taTa$ of a^4. However, starting from the only geodesic spelling a^2 of a^2, we must add two letters to get to get geodesic spellings of a^2b^2. Equivalently, we can think of this as starting with the geodesic spelling b^2 of b^2, and

adding two instances of a. If we want to spell a^2b^3 or a^2b^4 the geodesically, we must start with geodesic spellings b^3 and b^4 and add two instances of a there as well.

Proposition 19. *The polynomials $V_m(x)$ are related through the recursion:*

$$V_m(x) = (x + 2x^2)(V_{m-1} - x^{2(m-1)}) + x^{2(m-1)}(V_1)\text{ , Where } V_1(x) = x^2 + x^3 + x^4$$

Proof. We prove this by induction, with the base case being the $m = 2$ case described above. Note:

$$(x + 2x^2)(x^3 + x^4) + x^2(x^2 + x^3 + x^4) = 2x^4 + 4x^5 + 3x^6.$$

The induction follows the same argument as the construction of the $m = 2$ case above: If we take any word $w = a_1^{i_1} \dots a_{m-1}^{i_{m-1}}$ except $a_1^2 \cdots a_{m-1}^2$, it must have have a geodesic spelling with at least one conjugation since any a_i^3 can be spelled ta_iT. We can get to a geodesic spelling of wa_m^3 by adding one a_m before the last T. Similarly, we can get geodesic spellings of wa_m^2 and wa_m^4 by adding an a_m before the last T and an a_m or an A_m after..

The only remaining case is when you start with all the generators squared, which has length $2m - 2$. Should you do that, then to get a geodesic spelling of $a_1^2 \cdots a_{m-1}^2 a_m^2$ takes adding two more letters, $a_1^2 \cdots a_{m-1}^2 a_m^3$ takes three more letters, and $a_1^2 \cdots a_{m-1}^2 a_m^4$ takes four more letters. □

Corollary 20. *The cap polynomial $V_m(x)$ is equal to $x^{2m} + x^{m+2}W_m(x) - x^{2m+2}$.*

Proof. The formula comes from the construction in §3 of $V_m = \left(U_m^2 \bigcup (tU_mTW_m)\right) \setminus (tU_mTU_m^{-1})$. However, the above proposition means that if we use an equivalent set of caps, the induction formula must hold, so we prove that the formula satisfies the inductive formula from the previous proposition. For $m = 1$ we get exactly $x^2 + x^3 + x^4$ as desired. Now assume that $V_{m-1}(x) = x^{2m-2} + x^{m+1}(1 + 2x)^{m-1} - x^{2m}$ as in the formula.

$$\begin{aligned} V_m(x) &= x(1 + 2x)(V_{m-1} - x^{2m-2}) + x^{2m-2}(x^2 + x^3 + x^4) \\ &= x(1 + 2x)(x^{m+1}(1 + 2x)^{m-1} - x^{2m}) + x^{2m} + x^{2m+1} + x^{2m+2} \\ &= x^{m+2}(1 + 2x)^m - x^{2m+1} - 2x^{2m+2} + x^{2m} + x^{2m+1} + x^{2m+2} \\ &= x^{m+2}(1 + 2x)^m + x^{2m} - x^{2m+2} \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that $W_m(x) = (1 + 2x)^m$ yields the formula as desired. □

6. Subgroup Growth for $\mathbb{Z}^2$

We would now like to move on to the $\mathbb{Z}^2$ case and see that it agrees with Freden's computation in [6]. The group presentation we use is $\langle a, b, t \mid taT = a^3, tbT = b^3, [a, b] = 1\rangle$. From Theorem 16, we have our language of geodesics L_2. Recalling our definition of L_2, we can break up our union by which caps we are using. Equivalently, we are breaking up L_2 by whether whether the a projection has higher max height, the b projection has higher max height, or if they have the same max heights.

$$\begin{aligned} L_2 = U_{ab} &\bigcup_{n\geq 0} t^n V_{ab}(TW_{ab})^n \\ &\bigcup_{n\geq 0} t^n V_a(TW_a)^\alpha U_b(TW_{ab})^\beta && \alpha + \beta = n \\ &\bigcup_{n\geq 0} t^n V_b(TW_b)^\alpha U_a(TW_{ab})^\beta && \alpha + \beta = n \end{aligned}$$

where $U_a = \{a\}$, $U_b = \{b\}$, $U_{ab} = \{ab\}$, $V_a = \{a^2, taT, taTa\}$, $V_b = \{b^2, tbT, tbTb\}$,
$V_{ab} = \{a^2b^2, tabT, tabTA, tabTB, tabTa, tabTb, tabTab, tabTAb, tabTaB\}$, $W_a = \{\epsilon, a, A\}$, $W_b = \{\epsilon, b, B\}$, and
$W_{ab} = \{\epsilon, a, A, b, B, ab, AbaB, AB\}$, as in §3.

The first union corresponds to going up n, using a cap spelled in a and b, and then coming down in any path. The other two unions corresponds to going up n, using a cap in one letter, coming down in any branching path in that letter, moving out in the other letter once at at height $n - \alpha$, and then coming down in any path. Figure 2 shows how each of these families sit in the positive quadrant. Thus, the growth series of the positive quadrant is equal to

$$P_2(x) = U_2(x) + V_2(x)R_2(x) + 2(V_1(x)R_1(x))(U_1(x)R_2(x)).$$

Where $U_1(x) = x$ is the growth of U_a and U_b, $U_2(x) = x^2$ is the growth of U_{ab}, $R_1(x)$ and $R_2(x)$ are prefix/suffix series as in §4, and $V_1(x)$ and $V_2(x)$ are the cap polynomials as in §5.

Recalling $P_1(x)$ as the growth of the positive axis computed from $BS(1,3)$ in §2.9, we can now compute our subgroup growth series. Since $\mathbb{Z}^2$ has four quadrants, four axis, and the origin, then the subgroup growth series for $\mathbb{Z}^2$ in G is

$$1 + 4P_1(x) + 4P_2(x) = \frac{(1-x)(1+2x+2x^2)^2}{(1-2x)(1+x+2x^2)} = 1 + 4x + 8x^2 + 12x^3 + 24x^4 + 52x^5 + 100x^6 + 196x^7 + 404x^8 + \dots$$

$$L_2 = U_{ab} \bigcup_{n\geq 0} \begin{cases} t^n V_{ab}(TW_{ab})^n \\ t^n V_a(TW_a)^\alpha U_b(TW_{ab})^\beta & \alpha+\beta=n \\ t^n V_b(TW_b)^\alpha U_a(TW_{ab})^\beta & \alpha+\beta=n \end{cases}$$

$$U_a = \{a\},\ U_b = \{b\},\ U_{ab} = \{ab\},$$
$$V_a = \{a^2, taT, taTa\},\ V_b = \{b^2, tbT, tbTb\},$$
$$V_{ab} = \{a^2b^2, tabT, tabTA, tabTB, tabTa, tabTb, tabTab, tabTAb, tabTaB\},$$
$$W_a = \{\epsilon, a, A\},\ W_b = \{\epsilon, b, B\}, \text{ and } W_{ab} = \{\epsilon, a, A, b, B, ab, AbaB, AB\}$$




FIGURE 2. Where the sets of L_2 evaluate to in $\mathbb{Z}^2_+ \subset \mathbb{Z}^2 *_{g\mapsto g^3}$.

7. The Positive Series $P_m(x)$ and Rationality

We have seen that the language L_m is a language of geodesics for the positive orthant of $\mathbb{Z}^m$. Recall that its associated series is called the *positive series*, denoted $P_m(x)$. (For brevity, we here abbreviate $U_m(x)$ etc. to U_m, etc.)

Theorem 21. *The growth series of the positive orthant, $P_m(x)$ is given by*

$$P_m(x) = U_m + \sum_{i_1+i_2+\cdots+i_q=m} \left(\binom{m}{i_1, \ldots, i_q} (V_{i_1})(R_{i_1}) \left(\prod_{1<k<q} (U_{i_k})(R_{\sum_{j\leq k} i_j} - 1) \right) (U_{i_q})(R_m) \right)$$

Proof. From Theorem 16, we have that the language L_m given in Definition 14 yields unique geodesic representatives of elements in the positive orthant. For each fixed k, all permutations of U_k, V_k, and R_k have the growth series $U_k(x)$, $V_k(x)$ and $R_k(x)$ respectively, and the multinomial coefficient counts how many copies of each possible expression is achieved by counting the number of ways to partition the set of generators into subgroups of sizes given by the partition $(i_1, \ldots, i_q)$.

Notice that the formula works in the $m = 1, 2$ and 3 cases quite well, that the number of terms is equal to one plus the number of ordered partitions of m, which comes out to $1 + 2^{m-1}$. For $m \geq 3$, we must remove the possibility of double counting the case where U sets appear next to each-other, which forces the middle terms of the product to have $(R(x) - 1)$. This insures we do not double count things of the form $U_a U_b = U_{ab}$, which can appear when $m > 2$. □

Corollary 22. *For all dimensions m, the Positive Series $P_m(x)$ is rational.*

We can now put this together to prove our first theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1. $\mathbb{Z}^m$ has $2m$ positive axis, $2(m)(m-1)$ positive coordinate planes, and in general $\binom{m}{i}2^i$ positive i-dimensional orthants, which have growth series series $P_i(x)$. Combining those, we have

$$\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{Z}^m}(x) = 1 + 2mP_1(x) + 2(m)(m-1)P_2(x) + \ldots + 2^m P_m(x).$$

Since all $P_i(x)$ are rational, the subgroup growth series of $\mathbb{Z}^m$ is a finite sum of constant multiples of rational functions, and is thus rational. □

Remark 23. *The prefix/suffix series and cap polynomials we computed in sections §4 and §5 depend on our language of geodesics for $BS(1,3)$. $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{Z}^m}(x)$ and $P_m(x)$ only depend on the generating set.*

As $BS(1,3)$ is not uniquely geodesic, we could use a different language of geodesics, and would then attain different V_ms, R_ms, and U_ms. However, when we put them together to get the $P_m(x)$ and $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{Z}^m}(x)$, you would attain the same things.

8. The Growth Series of the Full Group

Now that we have calculated the subgroup growth series, we use recursive arguments to piece together copies of horocycles to complete the proof of Theorem 2. We follow the method of [7] (which covers the $m = 1$ case) and [6] (which leaves the $m = 2$ case as an exercise for the reader).

8.1. **Relative growth.** We start by defining 'coset level' for our groups in the same manner: Cosets with $\tau \geq 0$ are said to be at level 0, and cosets with $\tau < 0$ are said to be at level τ. This is equivalent to the recursive definition that

- The horocyclic subgroup has level 0.
- A coset directly above a coset of level 0 has level 0.
- The coset $T\mathbb{Z}^m$ has level -1.
- A coset directly below a coset of level $-n < 0$ has level $-n - 1$.
- A coset directly above a coset of level $-n < 0$ has level $-n + 1$.

Lemma 24.

- *For each coset $\gamma\mathbb{Z}^m$ there is a unique element w which realizes the distance $d(1, \gamma\mathbb{Z}^m)$.*
- *The geodesics for w are unique up to commuting $\mathbb{Z}^m$ generators.*
- *The geodesic w is empty or ends in t or T.*
- *The letter T can only occur as a prefix T^n of w.*

Proof. The third statement is immediate. If $\gamma = \gamma' g$ with g a generator of $\mathbb{Z}^m$, then γ' is a shorter and $\gamma'\mathbb{Z}^m = \gamma\mathbb{Z}^m$.

To prove the first and second statements, recall that the cosets $\gamma\mathbb{Z}^m$ form a tree. For each coset, we define its *tree distance*, $d_{\text{Tree}}(1, \gamma\mathbb{Z}^m)$ from the identity to be its distance in the coset tree from the identity coset. Note that $d_{\text{Tree}}(1, \gamma\mathbb{Z}^m)$ is rarely equal to $d(1, \gamma\mathbb{Z}^m)$. For example in the Baumslag-Solitar group, $d(1, at\mathbb{Z}) = 2$ while $d_{\text{Tree}}(1, at\mathbb{Z}) = 1$.

We now induct on tree distance. The statement is clearly true for the horocyclic subgroup which is at tree distance 0. We now consider a coset $\gamma\mathbb{Z}^m$ at tree distance $d+1$ from the identity. There is a unique ray in the coset tree from the identity to $\gamma\mathbb{Z}^m$. Suppose $\beta\mathbb{Z}^m$ is the unique coset on this ray at tree distance d from the identity. By induction, there is a unique minimal length coset representative which we may take to be β and geodesics for this element are unique up to commutation of letters in $\mathbb{Z}^m$. If β is the identity, we know that $\gamma\mathbb{Z}^m$ must be either $T\mathbb{Z}^m$ or $ut\mathbb{Z}^m$ where $u \in W_m$. In these cases T or ut realizes the unique shortest representative, and the geodesics for this element are now unique up to commutation of the letters of u.

In the case where β is not the identity, β ends in either T or t. In the first case $\gamma\mathbb{Z}^m = \beta T\mathbb{Z}^m$ and βT is the unique shortest coset element. In the second case $\gamma\mathbb{Z}^m = \beta ut\mathbb{Z}^m$ with $u \in W_m$. Further, βut is a geodesic spelling of the coset element closest to the identity and once again unique up to commutation in $\mathbb{Z}^m$.

To prove the final statement notice that T can not be preceded by t since this would not be reduced. Neither can it be preceeded by a generator $g \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ since $\gamma g T\mathbb{Z}^m = \gamma T\mathbb{Z}^m$, thus producing a shorter coset representative. □

Definition 25. *If w is the shortest element of $w\mathbb{Z}^m$, we will say that w is a* stem. *If w is a stem, we will take $b(w, r)$ to be the number of things in $w\mathbb{Z}^m$ whose length is $r + \ell(w)$. We will call this the* relative growth *of $w\mathbb{Z}^m$.*

It will turn out that this depends only on the level of w, and we will supercede this notation by replacing w with its level. Previously, we have denoted the identity by 1. However, in the upcoming change of notation, it will be replaced by its level, 0. Consequently, we now denote the identity by e to avoid confusion between numbers and group elements.

Lemma 26. *Suppose that $b(w, r) = b(e, r)$ and that $\gamma \in W_m t$, so that $w\gamma\mathbb{Z}^m$ is immediately above $w\mathbb{Z}^m$. Then $b(w\gamma, r) = b(e, r)$.*

Proof. First, consider the case $\gamma = t$. Every element element of $w\mathbb{Z}^m$ has a geodesic wx where $x \in L_m$. This follows from the fact that relative growth in this coset is the same as growth in the subgroup. Now each element of L_m that has the form $tuTv$ with $v \in W_m$ gives a geodesic wtu for an element of $wt\mathbb{Z}^m$. With the exception of finitely many cases, this gives the elements of L_m, showing that in these cases wz has the same relative length as wtz. (Here $z \in \mathbb{Z}^m$.) There remain finitely many cases where the geodesic of an element does not begin with t. These are the elements of $\cup_m U_m^2$. We claim that for $u \in U_m^2$, $w\gamma tu$ is geodesic. This is because in this case tu cannot be shortened either by writing $tu = t^2u'Tu''$ or by writing $tu = u'tu''$ with $u' \neq \epsilon$.

We now consider the case where $\gamma = vt$ with $v \in W_m$. We consider those words of L_m begining in t which end in v and commute the v to the front of each word. The resulting word is still geodesic and begins vt. It therefore passes through the stem of $w\gamma$ and we proceed as before. □

Definition 27. *For w a stem, we take $B_w(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} b(w, r)x^r$.*

It is the fate of w to be replaced by its level in this notation as well.

Lemma 28. $B_{T^n}(x) = (W_m(x))^n B_e(x)$.

Proof. The proof is an induction on n and clearly holds when $n = 0$.

Now suppose inductively that the formula holds for n. Consider an element $T^n g$. Directly below it lies the element $T^n gT \in T^{n+1}\mathbb{Z}^m$. We then have $\ell(T^n gT) = \ell(T^n g) + 1$, so these two have the same relative length. Further, for each $u \in W_m$ with $\ell(u) = k$, we have $\ell(T^n gTu) = \ell(T^n gT) + k$. It follows that each element of $T^n\mathbb{Z}^m$ produces a W_m's worth of elements in $T^{n+1}\mathbb{Z}^m$, with relative lengths increased by the length of the corresponding element of W_m. This give $B_{T^{n+1}}(x) = W_m(x)B_{T^n}(x)$ implying $B_{T^{n+1}}(x) = (W_m(x))^{n+1}B_e(x)$ as required. □

Lemma 29. *Suppose $j \leq n$ and consider a stem $\gamma \in T^n(W_m t)^j$. Then $B_\gamma(x) = (W_m(x))^{n-j}B_e(x)$.*

Proof. The proof is an induction on j and is clearly true for $j = 0$.

Now we suppose that the formula holds for $j-1$. We can then write $\gamma = \gamma'\delta$ where $\delta \in W_m t$. As before, directly under each element of $\gamma\mathbb{Z}^m$, there is one element of $\gamma'\mathbb{Z}^m$ with the same relative length. Likewise, for each element of $\gamma\mathbb{Z}^m$, there is a W_m's worth of elements in $\gamma'\mathbb{Z}^m$, each with relative length increased by the length of the corresponding element of W_m. It follows that $B_{\gamma'}(x) = W_m(x)B_\gamma(x)$. By induction we have $B_{\gamma'}(x) = (W_m(x))^{n-j+1}B_e(x)$ and the result now follows. □

Corollary 30. *If $\gamma\mathbb{Z}^m$ has level $-n$, then $B_\gamma(x) = (W_m(x))^n B_e(x)$. In particular we may drop the use of stems in this notation in favor of their levels, restating this as $B_{-n}(x) = (W_m(x))^n B_0(x)$.*

Proof. For cosets at negative levels, this follows from Lemma 28 and 29, since every such coset is reached via a stem like those of Lemma 29 with $j < n$. For cosets at level 0, it follows from Lemma 29 with $j = n$ together with Lemma 26. □

8.2. **Counting the cosets.** We now count the growth of the cosets.

Definition 31. *We take $\chi(-n, r)$ to count the number of cosets of level $-n \leq 0$ at distance r from the identity. We take*

$$X_{-n}(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \chi(-n, r)x^r.$$

Lemma 32. *For $-n \leq -1$, we have $\chi(-n-1, r+1) = \chi(-n, -r)$. Hence, for $n \leq -1$, $X_{-n}(x) = x^{n-1}X_{-1}(x)$.*

Proof. To see this, decorate the tree of cosets with their levels and distance from the identity. Then notice that prepending T carries the cosets at level $-n$ to the cosets of level $-n-1$ while increasing their length by 1. It follows that for $-n \leq -1$,

$$X_{-n-1}(x) = xX_{-n}(x)$$

and hence for $-n \leq -1$,

$$X_{-n}(x) = x^{n-1}X_{-1}(x).$$

□

In what follows we will be using the observation that $\max(\{\ell(w) \mid w \in W_m\}) = m$.

Lemma 33. *For $-n \leq -1$,*

$$X_{-1} = \frac{p(x)}{1 - x^2 W_m(x)}$$
$$X_{-n} = \frac{p(x)x^{n-1}}{1 - x^2 W_m(x)},$$

where $p(x)$ is a polynomial defined below.

Proof. Suppose that $\gamma\mathbb{Z}^m$ is a coset of level $-n-1 < -1$ at distance r from the identity. Further, suppose $\gamma \neq T^{n+1}$, so that $r > n+1$. Then for each element w of W_m, there is a coset γwt of level $-n$ at distance $r + \ell(w) + 1$ from the identity. It follows that for $-n < -1$ and $r > n + m + 2$, setting $n = 1$ and $p(x)$ to be the polynomial $p(x) = \sum_{r=1}^{m+3} \chi(-1, r)x^r$, we have

$$\chi(-n, r) = \sum_{w \in W_m} b(-n-1, r - \ell(w) - 1).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{r=m+4}^{\infty} \chi(-n, r)x^r &= \sum_{r=m+4}^{\infty} \sum_{w \in W_m} b(-n-1, r - \ell(w) - 1)x^r \\
X_{-1}(x) - p(x) &= xW_m(x)X_{-2}(x) \\
&= x^2 W_m(x)X_{-1}(x) \\
p(x) &= (1 - x^2 W_m(x))X_{-1}(x) \\
X_{-1}(x) &= \frac{p(x)}{1 - x^2 W_m(x)} \\
X_{-n}(x) &= \frac{x^{n-1}p(x)}{1 - x^2 W_m(x)}
\end{aligned}$$

The last holds for $n \geq 1$. □

We now turn to computing $\chi(0,r)$ and thus $X_0(x)$. Observe that for each coset of level 0 and distance r from the identity and each $w \in W_m$ there is a coset of level 0 at distance $r+\ell(w)+1$ from the identity. Likewise, for each coset of level -1 at distance $r>1$ from the identity and each $w \in W_m$, there is a coset of level 0 at distance $r+\ell(w)+1$ from the identity. Take $q(x)$ to be the polynomial $q(x)=\sum_{r=0}^{m+1}\chi(0,r)x^r$. Then for $r \geq m+2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi(0,r) &= \sum_{w\in W_m}\chi(0,r-\ell(w)-1)+\sum_{w\in W_m}\chi(-1,r-\ell(w)-1)\\
\sum_{r=m+2}\chi(0,r)x^r &= \sum_{r=m+2}\sum_{w\in W_m}\chi(0,r-\ell(w)-1)x^r+\sum_{r=m+2}\sum_{w\in W_m}\chi(-1,r-\ell(w)-1)x^r\\
X_0(x)-q(x) &= xW_m(x)X_0(x)+xW_m(x)X_{-1}(x)\\
X_0(x) &= \frac{xW_m(x)X_{-1}(x)+q(x)}{1-xW_m(x)}
\end{aligned}$$

We are now able to give the growth of the group.

Proof of Theorem 2. Take $\sigma(-n,r)$ to be the number of elements of level $-n$ at distance r from the identity, and $S_{-n}(x)=\sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\sigma(-n,r)x^r$. Then the growth of the group is given by

$$\mathbb{S}(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}S_{-n}(x)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}X_{-n}(x)B_{-n}(x)$$

Now $B_e(x)=B_0(x)$ is none other than the growth of the horocyclic subgroup $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{Z}^m}(x)$, which we computed above and which by Theorem 1 is a rational function. We have also computed the rational function $X_0(x)$. In particular, the summand $X_0(x)B_0(x)$ is rational.

On the other hand, we have expressions for $X_{-n}(x)=x^{n-1}X_{-1}(x)$ and $B_{-n}(x)=(W_m(x))^nB_0(x)$, for $n\geq 1$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{S}(x) &= S_0(x)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}S_{-n}(x)=\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{Z}^m}(x)X_0(x)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{Z}^m}(x)X_{-1}(x)\frac{x^n(W_m(x))^n}{x}\\
&= \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{Z}^m}(x)X_0(x)+\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{Z}^m}(x)X_{-1}(x)\frac{W_m(x)}{1-xW_m(x)}\\
&= \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{Z}^m}(x)\frac{xW_m(x)X_{-1}(x)+q(x)}{1-xW_m(x)}+\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{Z}^m}(x)X_{-1}(x)\frac{W_m(x)}{1-xW_m(x)}\\
&= \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{Z}^m}(x)\frac{xW_m(x)X_{-1}(x)+q(x)+xW_m(x)X_{-1}(x)}{1-W_m(x)}\\
&= \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{Z}^m}(x)\frac{xW_m(x)p(x)+q(x)(1-x^2W_m(x))+W_m(x)p(x)}{(1-xW_m(x))(1-x^2W_m(x))}
\end{aligned}$$

The result now follows. □

Noting that $p(x)=\sum_{r=1}^{m+3}\chi(-1,r)x^r$, and $q(x)=\sum_{r=0}^{m+1}\chi(0,r)x^r$, we can compute the growth series of all groups in this class from some small initial data. For the case of $m=1$, we compute it to be $\mathbb{S}(x)=\frac{(1+x)(1-x)^2(1+x+2x^2)}{(1-2x)(1-x^2-2x^3)^2}$, which matches with the known growth series of $BS(1,3)$. Similarly, for $m=2$, we have $\mathbb{S}(x)=\frac{(1-x)^2(1+x)(1+2x+2x^2)^2(1+4x^2)}{(1-2x)^2(1+x+2x^2)(1-x-4x^2-4x^3)}$, which matches with [6] in 12.4 (under projects).

References

[1] Brazil *Growth functions for some nonautomatic Baumslag-Solitar groups* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 342 (1994), 137154.

[2] Chomsky, Schützenberger. *The algebraic theory of context-free languages*. Computer programming and formal systems, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1963). 118161.

[3] Collins, Edjvet, Gill *Growth series for the group* $\langle x,y|x^{-1}yx=y^l\rangle$. Arch. Math. (Basel) 62 (1994), #1, 1-11.

[4] Duchin, Shapiro *Rational growth in the Heisenberg group* (http://arxiv.org/abs/1411.4201)

[5] Edjvet, Johnson *The growth of certain amalgamated free products and HNN extensions* J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 52 (1992), #3, 285-298.

[6] Freden, Chapter 12: Growth of Groups, *Office Hours with a Geometric Group Theorist* M. Clay and D. Margalit, Eds Princeton University Press, 2017.

[7] Freden, Knudson, Schofield *Growth In Baumslag-Solitar Groups I: Subgroups and Rationality* LMS Journal of Computation and Mathematics 14 (2011), 34–71)

[8] Hopcroft, John E. and Motwani, Rajeev and Rotwani and Ullman, Jeffrey D., *Introduction to Automata Theory, Languages and Computability*, 2nd ed., (2000) Addison-Wesley Longman, Boston

[9] Laun *Solving algorithmic problems in Baumslag-Solitar groups and their extensions using data compression.* Dissertation, Institut für Formale Methoden der Informatik, Universitat Stuttgart, 2012. (http://elib.uni-stuttgart.de/bitstream/11682/3010/1/DissLaun.pdf)
[10] Mann *How groups grow* London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, 395. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012.
[11] Shapiro *Growth of a PS $L_2\mathbb{R}$ manifold group* Math. Nachr. 167, 279-312. (1994)
[12] Stoll *Rational and transcendental growth series for the higher Heisenberg groups* Invent. math. 126, 85-109 (1996).

9. Appendix

9.1. **Subgroup Growth General Formulaions.** Below are the general formulas for the series for V,R, P, and $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{Z}^m}$, as well as examples for $m \leq 3$.

Growth Series	General Formula
$W_m(x)$, Suffix Polynomial	$(1+2x)^m$
$V_m(x)$, Cap Polynomial	$x^{2m} + x^{m+2}W_m(x) - x^{2m+2}$
$R_m(x)$, Prefix/Suffix Series	$\left(1 - x^2 W_m(x)\right)^{-1} = \left(1 - \sum_{i=0}^{m} \binom{m}{i} 2^i x^{i+2}\right)^{-1}$
$P_m(x)$, Positive Series	$x^m + \sum_{i_1+i_2+\cdots+i_q=m} \left(\binom{m}{i_1,\ldots,i_q} V_{i_1}(x) x^{m-i_1} R_{i_1}(x) R_m(x) \prod_{1<k<q} (R_{i_1+\cdots+i_k}(x) - 1) \right)$
$\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{Z}^m}(x)$, Subgroup Growth Series	$1 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \binom{m}{i} 2^i P_i(x)$
$\mathbb{S}(x)$, Group Growth Series	$\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{Z}^m}(x) \dfrac{xW_m(x)p(x) + q(x)(1 - x^2 W_m(x)) + W_m(x)p(x)}{(1 - xW_m(x))(1 - x^2 W_m(x))}$

Series	Rational form	Series expansion
$V_1(x)$	$x^2 + x^3 + x^4$	$x^2 + x^3 + x^4$
$V_2(x)$	$2x^5 + 4x^5 + 3x^6$	$2x^5 + 4x^5 + 3x^6$
$V_3(x)$	$x^5 + 7x^6 + 12x^7 + 7x^8$	$x^5 + 7x^6 + 12x^7 + 7x^8$
$R_1(x)$	$\frac{1}{1-x^2-2x^3}$	$1 + x^2 + 2x^3 + x^4 + 4x^5 + 5x^6 + 6x^7 + \ldots$
$R_2(x)$	$\frac{1}{1-x^2-4x^3-4x^4}$	$1 + x^2 + 4x^3 + 5x^4 + 8x^5 + 25x^6 + 44x^7 + \ldots$
$R_3(x)$	$\frac{1}{1-x^2-6x^3-12x^4-8x^5}$	$1 + x^2 + 6x^3 + 13x^4 + 20x^5 + 61x^6 + 178x^7 + \ldots$
$P_1(x)$	$\frac{x+x^2-x^4}{1-x^2-2x^3}$	$x + x^2 + x^3 + 2x^4 + 3x^5 + 4x^6 + 7x^7 + \ldots$
$P_2(x)$	$\frac{2x^8-x^7-x^6-x^5+x^4+x^3+x^2}{(2x^3+x^2-1)(4x^3+x-1)}$	$x^2 + 2x^3 + 4x^4 + 10x^5 + 21x^6 + 42x^7 + \ldots$
$P_3(x)$	$\frac{8x^{14}+\ldots-2x^4-x^3}{64x^{11}+\ldots+x-1}$	$x^3 + 3x^4 + 10x^5 + 34x^6 + 94x^7 + 251x^8 + \ldots$
$\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{Z}^1}(x)$	$\frac{1+2x+x^2-2x^3-2x^4}{1-x^2-2x^3}$	$1 + 2x + 2x^2 + 2x^3 + 4x^4 + 6x^5 + 8x^6 + 14x^7 + \ldots$
$\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{Z}^2}(x)$	$\frac{(1-x)(1+2x+2x^2)^2}{(1-2x)(1+x+2x^2)}$	$1 + 4x + 8x^2 + 12x^3 + 24x^4 + 52x^5 + 100x^6 + \ldots$
$\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{Z}^3}(x)$	$\frac{(x^2-1)(2x^2+2x+1)^3}{8x^5+12x^4+6x^3+x^2-1}$	$1 + 6x + 18x^2 + 38x^3 + 84x^4 + 218x^5 + 548x^6 + \ldots$

9.2. **Subgroup growh tables for m up to 10.** Below are the growth series for Z^m in the HNN extension given by cubing for a few cases. The top table displays the growth series as a rational function, whereas the second table displays the first few terms of the series.

Case	Subgroup Growth Series $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{Z}^m}(x)$ as a rational function
$m = 1$	$\frac{(-1+x^2)(1+2x+2x^2)}{-1+x^2+2x^3}$
$m = 2$	$\frac{(-1+x)(1+2x+2x^2)^2}{-1+x+4x^3}$
$m = 3$	$\frac{(-1+x^2)(1+2x+2x^2)^3}{-1+x^2+6x^3+12x^4+8x^5}$
$m = 4$	$\frac{(-1+x)(1+2x+2x^2)^4}{-1+x+8x^3+16x^4+16x^5}$
$m = 5$	$\frac{(-1+x^2)(1+2x+2x^2)^5}{-1+x^2+10x^3+40x^4+80x^5+80x^6+32x^7}$
$m = 6$	$\frac{(-1+x)(1+2x+2x^2)^6}{-1+x+12x^3+48x^4+112x^5+128x^6+64x^7}$
$m = 7$	$\frac{(-1+x^2)(1+2x+2x^2)^7}{-1+x^2+14x^3+84x^4+280x^5+560x^6+672x^7+448x^8+128x^9}$
$m = 8$	$\frac{(-1+x)(1+2x+2x^2)^8}{-1+x+16x^3+96x^4+352x^5+768x^6+1024x^7+768x^8+256x^9}$
$m = 9$	$\frac{(-1+x^2)(1+2x+2x^2)^9}{-1+x^2+18x^3+144x^4+672x^5+2016x^6+4032x^7+5376x^8+4608x^9+2304x^{10}+512x^{11}}$
$m = 10$	$\frac{(-1+x)(1+2x+2x^2)^{10}}{-1+x+20x^3+160x^4+800x^5+2560x^6+5504x^7+7936x^8+7424x^9+4096x^{10}+1024x^{11}}$

Case	Subgroup Growth Series $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{Z}^m}(x)$
$m = 1$	$1 + 2x + 2x^2 + 2x^3 + 4x^4 + 6x^5 + 8x^6 + 14x^7 + 20x^8 + 30x^9 + 48x^{10} + \ldots$
$m = 2$	$1 + 4x + 8x^2 + 12x^3 + 24x^4 + 52x^5 + 100x^6 + 196x^7 + 404x^8 + 804x^9 + 1588x^{10} + \ldots$
$m = 3$	$1 + 6x + 18x^2 + 38x^3 + 84x^4 + 218x^5 + 548x^6 + 1298x^7 + 3160x^8 + 7874x^9 + 19268x^{10} + \ldots$
$m = 4$	$1 + 8x + 32x^2 + 88x^3 + 224x^4 + 648x^5 + 1960x^6 + 5608x^7 + 15736x^8 + 45352x^9 + \ldots$
$m = 5$	$1 + 10x + 50x^2 + 170x^3 + 500x^4 + 1582x^5 + 5440x^6 + 18262x^7 + 58860x^8 + 190662x^9 + \ldots$
$m = 6$	$1 + 12x + 72x^2 + 292x^3 + 984x^4 + 3388x^5 + 12812x^6 + 48940x^7 + 179372x^8 + 647052x^9 + \ldots$
$m = 7$	$1 + 14x + 98x^2 + 462x^3 + 1764x^4 + 6594x^5 + 26908x^6 + 114074x^7 + 468944x^8 + \ldots$
$m = 8$	$1 + 16x + 128x^2 + 688x^3 + 2944x^4 + 11920x^5 + 51920x^6 + 239696x^7 + 1089840x^8 + \ldots$
$m = 9$	$1 + 18x + 162x^2 + 978x^3 + 4644x^4 + 20310x^5 + 93816x^6 + 465054x^7 + 2309124x^8 + \ldots$
$m = 10$	$1 + 20x + 200x^2 + 1340x^3 + 7000x^4 + 32964x^5 + 160820x^6 + 847124x^7 + 4542980x^8 + \ldots$

9.3. **Programs.** Computations for U_m, R_m, V_m, P_m, and $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{Z}^m}$ were carried out via the open source Python-based language SageMath. The source code is available on the authors' (AS) website. AS has also has made a Sage web applet to simplify spellings of words in the horocyclic subgroup for any HNN extension of $\mathbb{Z}^3$ which makes it easier to check what particular spellings evaluate to.