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#### Abstract

For a compact manifold, which has a part isometric to a cylinder of finite length, we consider an adiabatic limit procedure, in which the length of the cylinder tends to infinity. We study the asymptotic of the spectrum of Hodge-Laplacian and the asymptotic of the $L^{2}$-metric on de Rham cohomology. As an application, we give a pure analytic proof of the gluing formula for analytic torsion.
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## O. Introduction

Given a manifold with cylindrical ends, that is a non-compact Riemannian manifold whose non-compact part is isometric to a cylinder, the spectrum of its Laplacian has an absolutely continuous part, which is determined by scattering matrix. Intuitively, scattering matrix encodes how an incoming wave on the cylinder is scattered by the compact part of the manifold.

Now, consider a compact Riemannian manifold which has a part isometric to a (finite length) cylinder and stretch the cylinder so that its length tends to infinity. This procedure is referred as taking the adiabatic limit. At least intuitively, this manifold converges to the disjoint union of two manifolds with cylindrical ends, which interact : a wave coming from one manifold is scattered by the other. This intuition guides us to describe the adiabatic behavior of the spectrum of Laplacian using scattering matrix.

This adiabatic limit setting first appeared in the work of Douglas-Wojciechowski [16] for studying $\eta$-invariant. Müller [31] studied the $\eta$-invariant of manifolds with cylindrical ends using scattering theory. Park-Wojciechowski [33] studied the adiabatic behavior of the spectrum of Dirac operator using scattering matrix. Their result is a refinement of earlier works of Cappell-Lee-Miller [14]. We remark that the adiabatic limit mentioned above is a variation of the standard adiabatic limit ([4, 5], etc.), which is associated with a fibration.

In this paper, we study the adiabatic properties of Hodge-de Rham operators. We pay particular attention to those properties which do not hold for general Dirac operators. The scattering matrix plays a central role in our study.

One of the main ingredients in this paper is an asymptotic estimate of the spectrum of Hodge-de Rham operator under adiabatic limit. As a consequence, we give an asymptotic gluing formula for the $\zeta$-determinant of Hodge-Laplacian.

Another main ingredient in this paper is an asymptotic estimate of the $L^{2}$-metric on de Rham cohomology under adiabatic limit. Rather than considering a single manifold, we work with a gluing setting. Then the cohomologies in question fit into a Mayer-Vietoris sequence. As a consequence, we calculate the adiabatic limit of the torsion associated with the Mayer-Vietoris sequence. As an application of our results, we give a new proof of the gluing formula for analytic torsion.

Now, we explain more about analytic torsion. Given a flat vector bundle $F$ equipped with metric on a compact Riemannian manifold $Z$, the Ray-Singer analytic torsion [34] is a (weighted) product of the determinants of the Hodge Laplacian twisted by $F$, and the Ray-Singer metric on the determinant of $H^{\bullet}(Z, F)$ is the product of its $L^{2}$-metric and the Ray-Singer analytic torsion. The analytic torsion has a topological
counterpart, known as the Reidemeister torsion [36]. Ray and Singer [34] conjectured that the two torsions coincide. For unitarily flat vector bundles, this conjecture is proved independently by Cheeger [15] and Müller [29]. Bismut-Zhang [10] and Müller [30] simultaneously considered generalizations of this result. Müller [30] extended this result to the case where the dimension of the manifold is odd and only the metric induced on $\operatorname{det} F$ is required to be flat. Bismut-Zhang [10] generalized this result to arbitrary flat vector bundles with arbitrary Hermitian metrics. There are also various extensions to the equivariant case [25, 26, 11].

The gluing formula for analytic torsion considered in this paper is the following : when one has a hypersurface $Y \subseteq Z$ cutting $Z$ into two submanifolds $Z=Z_{1} \cup_{Y} Z_{2}$, is there an additive formula linking three analytic torsions (for $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$ and $Z$ )? This problem was first formulated by Ray-Singer [34] as a possible approach to Ray-Singer conjecture. It is proved for unitary flat vector bundles with product structure metrics near $Y$ by Lück [26], Vishik [38], and proved in full generality by Brüning-Ma [13]. There are also related works of [19] and [24].

The family version of analytic torsion is constructed by Bismut-Lott [9] (BL-torsion). Under the hypothesis that there exists a fiberwise Morse function, Bismut-Goette [7] obtained a family version of the Bismut-Zhang theorem, i.e., a formula linking BLtorsion to higher Reidemeister torsion ([20, 17, [2], see also [18] for a survey). It is conjectured (conference on the higher torsion invariants, Göttingen, September 2003) that there should exist a gluing formula for BL-torsion. This conjecture may serve as an intermediate step to under the relation between BL-torsion and higher Reidemeister torsion in general, conjectured by Igusa [21]. Zhu [41] formulated the conjectured gluing formula and proved it under the same hypothesis as Bismut-Goette's [7].

The proof of the gluing formula for analytic torsion done in this paper is purely analytic. It is generalizable for BL-torsion. Our strategy is also used by Zhu [40] for proving the gluing formula for BL-torsion under the hypothesis that $H^{\bullet}(Y, F)=0$. We remark that $H^{\bullet}(Y, F)=0$ implies the absence of s-values (cf. §0.2) and the splitting of the Mayer-Vietoris sequence.

Let us now give more detail about the matter of this paper.
0.1. Manifolds with cylindrical ends and scattering matrices. Let $X$ be a compact manifold with boundary $\partial X=Y$. We fix $U=]-1,0] \times Y$ a collar neighborhood of $\partial X$. Let $\left.\left.\pi_{Y}:\right]-1,0\right] \times Y \rightarrow Y$ be the natural projection. Let $F$ be a flat complex vector bundle on $X$ with flat connection $\nabla^{F}$, i.e., $\nabla^{F, 2}=0$. Using parallel transport along $u \in]-1,0],\left(\left.F\right|_{U},\left.\nabla^{F}\right|_{U}\right)$ is identified to $\pi_{Y}^{*}\left(\left.F\right|_{Y},\left.\nabla^{F}\right|_{U}\right)$ (cf. (2.7)).

We equip $X$ with a Riemannian metric $g^{T X}$ and $F$ with a Hermitian metric $h^{F}$. Let $g^{T Y}$ be the metric on $Y$ induced by $g^{T X}$. We suppose that (cf. [13, (2.1) and (2.3)])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.g^{T X}\right|_{U}=d u^{2}+g^{T Y},\left.\quad h^{F}\right|_{U}=\pi_{Y}^{*}\left(\left.h^{F}\right|_{Y}\right) \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $0 \leqslant R \leqslant \infty$, set $X_{R}=X \cup_{Y}[0, R] \times Y$ with $\left.\left.U_{R}:=U \cup[0, R] \times Y=\right]-1, R\right] \times Y$ the cylindrical part of $X_{R}$. Still, let $\left.\left.\pi_{Y}:\right]-1, R\right] \times Y \rightarrow Y$ be the natural projection. Then, $F$ extends to $X_{R}$ in the natural way, i.e., $\left.\left(F, \nabla^{F}\right)\right|_{U_{R}}=\pi_{Y}^{*}\left(\left.F\right|_{Y},\left.\nabla^{F}\right|_{Y}\right)$. We extend equally $g^{T X}$ and $h^{F}$ to $X_{R}$, such that (0.1) holds with $U$ replaced by $U_{R}$.

Let $\Omega^{\bullet}\left(X_{R}, F\right)$ be the vector space of differential forms on $X_{R}$ with values in $F$. Let $d^{F}: \Omega^{\bullet}\left(X_{R}, F\right) \rightarrow \Omega^{\bullet+1}\left(X_{R}, F\right)$ be the de Rham operator induced by $\nabla^{F}$, let $d^{F, *}$ be its
formal adjoint (with respect to $L^{2}$-metric). Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{X_{R}}^{F}=d^{F}+d^{F, *} \tag{0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

called Hodge-de Rham operator. Its square $D_{X_{R}}^{F, 2}$ is called Hodge-Laplacian.
For $R=\infty$, the spectrum of $D_{X_{\infty}}^{F, 2}$ has an absolutely continuous part (cf. [35, §7.2]).
Let $\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F) \subseteq \Omega^{\bullet}(Y, F)$ be the kernel of $D_{Y}^{F}$, the Hodge-de Rham operator on $Y$. Set $\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])=\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F) \oplus \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F) d u$. We fix $\delta_{Y}>0$, such that ] $\delta_{Y}, \delta_{Y}\left[\cap \operatorname{Sp}\left(D_{Y}^{F}\right) \subseteq\{0\}\right.$. The scattering matrix (cf. [22, Theorem 1], [31, §4])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.C(\lambda) \in \operatorname{End}\left(\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])\right), \quad \lambda \in\right]-\delta_{Y}, \delta_{Y}[ \tag{0.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is characterized by the following property : for $\omega$ a generalized eigensection (cf. (2.3) of $D_{X_{\infty}}^{F}$ with eigenvalue $\left.\lambda \in\right]-\delta_{Y}, \delta_{Y}\left[\right.$, there exist $\phi \in \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])$ and $\theta \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(\left[0, \infty\left[, \Omega^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])\right)\right.\right.$, which is $L^{2}$-integrable, such that (cf. (2.31))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\omega\right|_{U_{\infty}}=e^{-i \lambda u} \phi+e^{i \lambda u} C(\lambda) \phi+\theta . \tag{0.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is reasonable to expect that the asymptotic limit (as $R \rightarrow \infty$ ) of certain invariant of $X_{R}$ can be expressed by certain data of $X_{\infty}$, in particular, by the scattering matrix.
0.2. Asymptotics of the spectrum of Hodge-Laplacian. Let $\left(Z, g^{T Z}\right)$ be a closed Riemannian manifold. Let $Y \subseteq Z$ be a hypersurface cutting $Z$ into two pieces, say $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$. Then $\partial Z_{1}=\partial Z_{2}=Y$ and $Z=Z_{1} \cup_{Y} Z_{2}$. Let $\left(F, \nabla^{F}\right)$ be a flat complex vector bundle over $Z$. The restriction of $F$ to $Z_{1}$ or $Z_{2}$ is still denoted $F$. Let $h^{F}$ be a metric on $F$. We suppose that $g^{T Z}$ and $h^{F}$ have product structure near $Y$, in the sense of (0.1).

Following the same procedure in $\$ 0.1$ to construct $X_{R}$ from $X$, we construct Riemannian manifold $Z_{j, R}(j=1,2)$ from $Z_{j}$. For $R \in\left[0, \infty\left[\right.\right.$, set $Z_{R}=Z_{1, R} \cup_{Y} Z_{2, R}$. Then $\left(F, \nabla^{F}, h^{F}\right)$ extends to $Z_{R}$ by respecting (2.7) and (0.1).


Figure 1.
In the whole paper, we will always use the relative boundary condition on $Z_{1, R}$ and the absolute boundary condition on $Z_{2, R}$ (cf. (1.5)). Let $D_{Z_{R}}^{F}$ be the Hodge-de Rham operator (cf. (0.2)) acting on $\Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{R}, F\right)$. We define equally $D_{Z_{j, R}}^{F}(j=1,2)$, the Hodge-de Rham operator acting on $\Omega_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, R}, F\right)$ (cf. (1.5)).

As $R \rightarrow \infty$, the behaviors of eigenvalues of $D_{Z_{R}}^{F}$ are classified by Cappell-Lee-Miller [14, Theorem A] into the following three types :

- large eigenvalues (l-values), which remains uniformly away from 0 ;
- polynomially small eigenvalues (s-values), which tend to zero with speed slower than $R^{-1-\varepsilon}$ for any $\varepsilon>0$;
- exponentially small eigenvalues (e-values), which lie in $\left[-e^{-c R}, e^{-c R}\right]$ for certain $c>0$ and there are only finitely many of them.
Using scattering matrix, Park-Wojciechowski [33, Theorem 3.5] give an estimate of the $s$-values lying in $\left[-R^{-\varepsilon}, R^{-\varepsilon}\right]$ with $\mathscr{O}\left(e^{-c R}\right)$ error term. They also show that the e-values are identically zero under the hypothesis that $\nabla^{F} h^{F}=0$ [33, Proposition 3.9].

In this paper, we obtain the following result (see Theorem 3.18) : for any Hodgede Rham operator, there exists $\delta>0$, such that the estimate (3.142) holds for svalues lying in $[-\delta, \delta]$, and e-values are identically zero. We also extends our results to manifolds with boundaries equipped with relative/absolute boundary condition (see Theorem 4.7). As a consequence, we prove a gluing formula for $\zeta$-determinant under adiabatic limit, which is stated in the sequel.

Let $N$ be the number operator on $\Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{R}, F\right)$, i.e., for $\omega \in \Omega^{p}\left(Z_{R}, F\right), N \omega=p \omega$. Let $P: \Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{R}, F\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{ker}\left(D_{Z_{R}}^{F, 2}\right)$ be the orthogonal project with respect to the $L^{2}$-metric. The $\zeta$-function associated with $D_{Z_{R}}^{F, 2}$ is defined, for $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re}(s)>\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} Z$, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{R}(s)=-\operatorname{Tr}\left[(-1)^{N} N\left(D_{Z_{R}}^{F, 2}\right)^{-s}(1-P)\right] . \tag{0.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\zeta_{R}$ admits a meromorphic extension to the whole complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, which is regular at $0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $\zeta_{R}^{p}(s)$ be (0.5) with $D_{Z_{R}}^{F, 2}$ replaced by $D_{Z_{R}}^{F, 2,(p)}:=\left.D_{Z_{R}}^{F, 2}\right|_{\Omega^{p}\left(Z_{R}, F\right)}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left(\zeta_{R}^{\prime}(0)\right)=\prod_{p=1}^{\operatorname{dim} Z}\left(\exp \left(\zeta_{R}^{p \prime}(0)\right)\right)^{p} \tag{0.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., it is a weighted product of $\zeta$-determinants of $D_{Z_{R}}^{F, 2,(p)}$. In the sequel, we call $\exp \left(\zeta_{R}{ }^{\prime}(0)\right)$ the (weighted) $\zeta$-determinant of $D_{Z_{R}}^{F, 2}$. In the same way, we define $\zeta_{j, R}(s)$, the $\zeta$-function associated with $D_{Z_{j, R}}^{F, 2}$.

Let $C_{j}(\lambda) \in \operatorname{End}\left(\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])\right)(j=1,2, \lambda \in \mathbb{R})$ be the scattering matrix associated with $\Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right)$. For $p=0, \cdots, \operatorname{dim} Z$, we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{12}=\left(C_{2}^{-1} C_{1}\right)(0), \quad C_{12}^{p}=\left.C_{12}\right|_{\mathscr{H}^{p}(Y, F) \oplus \mathscr{H}^{p-1}(Y, F) d u} . \tag{0.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi^{\prime}\left(C_{12}\right) & =\sum_{p=0}^{\operatorname{dim} Z} p(-1)^{p} \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker}\left(C_{12}^{p}-1\right), \\
\chi^{\prime} & =\sum_{p=0}^{\operatorname{dim} Z} p(-1)^{p}\left\{\operatorname{dim} H^{p}(Z, F)-\operatorname{dim} H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{p}\left(Z_{1}, F\right)-\operatorname{dim} H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{p}\left(Z_{2}, F\right)\right\},  \tag{0.8}\\
\chi(Y, F) & =\sum_{p=0}^{\operatorname{dim} Y}(-1)^{p} \operatorname{dim} H^{p}(Y, F),
\end{align*}
$$

where $H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}(\cdot, F)$ is defined by (0.23).
For any Hermitian matrix $A$, let $\operatorname{det}^{*}(A)$ be the product of its non zero eigenvalues.
Theorem 0.1. For any $\varepsilon>0$, as $R \rightarrow+\infty$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta_{R}{ }^{\prime}(0)-\zeta_{1, R}{ }^{\prime}(0)-\zeta_{2, R}{ }^{\prime}(0) & =2 \chi^{\prime} \log R+\left(\chi(Y, F)+\chi^{\prime}\left(C_{12}\right)\right) \log 2  \tag{0.9}\\
& +\sum_{p=0}^{\operatorname{dim} Z} \frac{p}{2}(-1)^{p} \log \operatorname{det}^{*}\left(\frac{2-C_{12}^{p}-\left(C_{12}^{p}\right)^{-1}}{4}\right)+\mathscr{O}\left(R^{-1+\varepsilon}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

We remark that such asymptotic gluing formulas for $\zeta$-determinants in similar contexts are studied by Müller-Müller [28] and Park-Wojciechowski [33].
0.3 . Analytic torsion and Mayer-Vietoris sequence. For $\lambda$ a complex line, let $\lambda^{-1}=$ $\lambda^{*}$ be its dual. Let $E$ be a finite dimensional real or complex vector space, its determinant line is defined as $\operatorname{det} E=\Lambda^{\max } E$. More generally, for a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded finite dimensional vector space $E^{\bullet}=\bigoplus_{k=0}^{n} E^{k}$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} E^{\bullet}=\bigotimes_{k=0}^{n}\left(\operatorname{det} E^{k}\right)^{(-1)^{k}} \tag{0.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(V^{\bullet}, \partial\right): 0 \rightarrow V^{0} \rightarrow V^{1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow V^{n} \rightarrow 0 \tag{0.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

an exact sequence of finite dimensional vector spaces, there is a canonical section $\varrho \in \operatorname{det} V^{\bullet}:$ let $m_{j}=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Im}\left(\left.\partial\right|_{V^{j}}\right)$, we choose $\left(s_{j, k}\right)_{1 \leqslant k \leqslant m_{j}}$ in $V^{j}$ such that they project to a basis of $V^{j} / \partial V^{j-1}$, then with $\wedge_{k} s_{j, k}:=s_{j, 1} \wedge \cdots \wedge s_{j, m_{j}}$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho=\bigotimes_{j=0}^{n}\left(\left(\wedge_{k} \partial s_{j-1, k}\right) \wedge\left(\wedge_{k} s_{j, k}\right)\right)^{(-1)^{j}} \in \operatorname{det} V^{\bullet} . \tag{0.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $g^{V^{\bullet}}$ be metric on $V^{\bullet}$. Let $\partial^{*}$ be the adjoint of $\partial$. Then $\left(\partial+\partial^{*}\right)^{2}=\partial \partial^{*}+\partial^{*} \partial$ preserves each $V^{j}$. The torsion (cf. [6, Definition 1.4]) of $\left(V^{\bullet}, \partial\right)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{T}\left(V^{\bullet}, \partial\right)=\prod_{j}\left[\operatorname{det}\left(\left.\left(\partial+\partial^{*}\right)^{2}\right|_{V^{j}}\right)\right]^{(-1)^{j} j / 2} \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \tag{0.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\|\cdot\|_{\operatorname{det} V}$ • be the induced metric on $\operatorname{det} V^{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}$, then (cf. [6, Proposition 1.5])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{T}\left(V^{\bullet}, \partial\right)=\|\varrho\|_{\operatorname{det} V} \cdot \tag{0.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall that $Z_{1, R}, Z_{2, R}, Z_{R}$ and $F$ are defined in $\S 0.2$. We consider the following Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdots \rightarrow H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{p}\left(Z_{1, R}, F\right) \rightarrow H^{p}\left(Z_{R}, F\right) \rightarrow H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{p}\left(Z_{2, R}, F\right) \rightarrow \cdots, \tag{0.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is equipped with $L^{2}$-metrics. Let $\mathscr{T}_{R}$ be its torsion.
Theorem 0.2. As $R \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{T}_{R}=2^{\chi^{\prime}\left(C_{12}\right) / 2} R^{\chi^{\prime}} \prod_{p=0}^{\operatorname{dim} Z} \operatorname{det}^{*}\left(\frac{2-C_{12}^{p}-\left(C_{12}^{p}\right)^{-1}}{4}\right)^{\frac{p}{4}(-1)^{p}}+\mathscr{O}\left(R^{\chi^{\prime}-1}\right) . \tag{0.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Viewing the Mayer-Vietoris sequence (0.15) with $R=0$ as an acyclic complex and applying (0.12), we get the canonical section

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho \in \lambda(F):=\left(\operatorname{det} H^{\bullet}(Z, F)\right)^{-1} \otimes \operatorname{det} H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{1}, F\right) \otimes \operatorname{det} H_{\mathbf{b} \mathbf{d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{2}, F\right) . \tag{0.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

We use the conventions that $Z_{0}=Z$ and $H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{0}, F\right)=H^{\bullet}(Z, F)$. Let $\zeta_{j}(s)(j=$ $0,1,2$ ) be the $\zeta$-functions (cf. (0.5)) associated with the Hodge Laplacian $D_{Z_{j}}^{F,{ }^{2}}$. Let $\|\cdot\|_{\operatorname{det} H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j}, F\right)}^{L^{2}}$ be the $L^{2}$-metric on $\operatorname{det} H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j}, F\right)$.

The Ray-Singer metric on $\operatorname{det} H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j}, F\right)(j=0,1,2)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\cdot\|_{\operatorname{det} H_{\mathbf{b d}}\left(Z_{j}, F\right)}^{\mathrm{RS}}=\|\cdot\|_{\operatorname{det} H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j}, F\right)}^{L^{2}} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{j}^{\prime}(0)\right) \tag{0.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\|\cdot\|_{\lambda(F)}^{\mathrm{RS}}$ be the product norm on $\lambda(F)$ induced by $\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{det} H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j}, F\right)}^{\mathrm{RS}}$. The following theorem is first proved by Brüning-Ma [13, Theorem 0.3].
Theorem 0.3. If $g^{T Z}$ and $h^{F}$ have product structures near $Y$ (cf. (0.1)), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\varrho\|_{\lambda(F)}^{\mathrm{RS}}=2^{-\frac{1}{2} \chi(Y, F)} . \tag{0.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathscr{T}=\mathscr{T}_{0}$. Then (0.19) can be reformulated as follows.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \zeta^{\prime}(0)-\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{1}{ }^{\prime}(0)-\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{2}^{\prime}(0)-\log \mathscr{T}=\frac{1}{2} \chi(Y, F) \log 2 . \tag{0.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, we give a direct proof of (0.20) : by Theorem 0.1, 0.2 , we know that $t_{R}:=\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{R}^{\prime}(0)-\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{1, R}^{\prime}(0)-\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{2, R}^{\prime}(0)-\log \mathscr{T}_{R}$ tends to $\frac{1}{2} \chi(Y, F) \log 2$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$, meanwhile, using the anomaly formula for analytic torsion [10, Theorem 0.1], we know that $t_{R}$ is independent to $R$. This proves (0.20).

This paper is organized as follows. In $\S 1$, we review some results concerning absolute/relative cohomology of manifolds with boundary and the Mayer-Vietoris sequence. In $\S 2$, we review some results on the spectrum of the Hodge Laplacian on a manifold with cylindrical ends and introduce scattering matrix. In $\S 3$, we study the spectrum of the Hodge Laplacian on the stretched manifold $Z_{R}$, and link it to scattering theory. In $\S 4$, we prove similar results for manifolds with boundary. In $\S 5$, we prove Theorem 0.1, In §6, we prove Theorem 0.2, In $\$ 7$, we give our new proof of Theorem 0.3.
0.4. Notations. Hereby, we summarize some frequently used notations in this paper.

A manifold (with or without boundary) is usually denoted by $X, Y$ or $Z$. We denote by $g^{T X}$ a Riemannian metric on $X$. We always consider manifold equipped with a flat complex vector bundle $F$ with flat connection $\nabla^{F}$ and a Hermitian metric $h^{F}$.

By $\Omega^{\bullet}(X, F)$, we mean the vector space of differential forms on $X$ with values in $F$. We denote by $\Omega_{\mathrm{c}}^{\bullet}(X, F)$ the subspace of forms that are compactly supported.

By $\|\cdot\|_{X}$, we mean the $L^{2}$-metric on $\Omega^{\bullet}(X, F)$. More precisely, let $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\Lambda} \cdot\left(T^{*} X\right) \otimes F$ be the scalar product on $\Lambda^{\bullet}\left(T^{*} X\right) \otimes F$ induced by $g^{T X}$ and $h^{F}$. Let $d v_{X}$ be the Riemannian volume form on $X$, then, for $\omega \in \Omega^{\bullet}(X, F)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\omega\|_{X}^{2}=\int_{X}\left\langle\omega_{x}, \omega_{x}\right\rangle_{\Lambda^{\bullet}} \cdot\left(T^{*} X\right) \otimes F \cdot\left(v_{X}(x)\right. \tag{0.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The scalar product associated with $\|\cdot\|_{X}$ is denoted by $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{X}$. By $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{C}^{0}, X}$, we mean the $\mathscr{C}^{0}$-norm on $\Omega^{\bullet}(X, F)$. More precisely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\omega\|_{\mathscr{C}^{0}, X}^{2}=\sup \left\{\left\langle\omega_{x}, \omega_{x}\right\rangle_{\Lambda} \cdot\left(T^{*} X\right) \otimes F: x \in X\right\} . \tag{0.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $d^{F}$, we mean the de Rham operator acting on $\Omega^{\bullet}(X, F)$ induced by $\nabla^{F}$. By $d^{F, *}$, we mean the formal adjoint of $d^{F}$. The Hodge-de Rham operator is defined by $D_{X}^{F}=d^{F}+d^{F, *}$.

We denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\mathrm{abs}}^{\bullet}(X, F)=H^{\bullet}(X, F), \quad H_{\mathrm{rel}}^{\bullet}(X, F)=H^{\bullet}(X, \partial X, F) . \tag{0.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

We write $H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}(X, F)$ for short if the choice of abs/rel is clear.
By the $L^{2}$-metric on $H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}(X, F)$, we mean the metric induced by $\|\cdot\|_{X}$ via Hodge theorem (cf. Theorem 1.1).

If $A$ is self-adjoint operator, we denote by $\operatorname{Sp}(A)$ its spectrum.
For any Hermitian matrix $A$, we note

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}^{*}(A)=\prod_{\lambda \in \operatorname{Sp}(A) \backslash\{0\}} \lambda . \tag{0.24}
\end{equation*}
$$
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## 1. COHOMOLOGIES FOR MANIFOLDS WITH BOUNDARY

In this section, we review some basic constructions and results about the cohomology of a compact manifold with boundary.

In $\S 1.1$, using the language of simplical complex, we define the absolute/relative cohomology of a compact manifold with boundary with values in a flat vector bundle. In $\S 1.2$, we state the Hodge theory for absolute/relative cohomology. In $\S 1.3$, we state the classical Mayer-Vietoris sequence in simplicial cohomology together with its interpretation in de Rham cohomology and Hodge theory.
1.1. Absolute/Relative cohomology. Let $X$ be a compact $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}$-manifold with boundary $\partial X=Y$. Let $F \rightarrow X$ be a flat complex vector bundle equipped with flat connection $\nabla^{F}$. Let $F^{*}$ be the dual vector bundle of $F$.

Let $K_{X}$ be a smooth triangulation of $X$, such that $K_{Y}=K_{X} \cap Y$ gives a triangulation of $Y$. For $0 \leqslant p \leqslant \operatorname{dim} X$, let $K_{X}^{p} \subseteq K_{X}$ be the set of cells in $K_{X}$ of dimension $\leqslant p$. Let $B$ be the set of barycenters of the simplexes in $K_{X}$. Let $b: K_{X} \rightarrow B$ be the obvious
one-to-one map. If $\mathfrak{a} \in K_{X}$, let $[\mathfrak{a}]$ be the real line generated by $\mathfrak{a}$. Let $\left(C_{\bullet}\left(K_{X}, F^{*}\right), \partial\right)$ be the complex of simplical chains in $K_{X}$ with values in $F^{*}$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{p}\left(K_{X}, F^{*}\right)=\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{a} \in K_{X}^{p} \backslash K_{X}^{p-1}}[\mathfrak{a}] \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} F_{b(\mathfrak{a})}^{*} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The chain map $\partial$ maps $C_{p}\left(K_{X}, F^{*}\right)$ to $C_{p-1}\left(K_{X}, F^{*}\right)$. Then $\left(C_{\bullet}\left(K_{Y}, F^{*}\right), \partial\right)$ is a subcomplex of $\left(C_{\bullet}\left(K_{X}, F^{*}\right), \partial\right)$. We can then define the quotient complex $\left(C_{\bullet}\left(K_{X} / K_{Y}, F^{*}\right), \partial\right)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{\bullet}\left(K_{X} / K_{Y}, F^{*}\right)=C_{\bullet}\left(K_{X}, F^{*}\right) / C_{\bullet}\left(K_{Y}, F^{*}\right) . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\mathfrak{a} \in K_{X}$, let $[\mathfrak{a}]^{*}$ be the real line dual to [a]. Let $\left(C^{\bullet}\left(K_{X}, F\right), \widetilde{\partial}\right)$ be the complex dual to $\left(C_{\bullet}\left(K_{X}, F^{*}\right), \partial\right)$, more precisely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{p}\left(K_{X}, F\right)=\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{a} \in K_{X}^{p} \backslash K_{X}^{p-1}}[\mathfrak{a}]^{*} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} F_{b(\mathfrak{a})} \simeq\left(C_{p}\left(K_{X}, F^{*}\right)\right)^{*} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\widetilde{\partial}$ is dual to $\partial$. Let $C^{p}\left(K_{X} / K_{Y}, F\right)$ be the maximal subset of $C^{p}\left(K_{X}, F\right)$, whose pairing with $C_{p}\left(K_{Y}, F^{*}\right)$ is zero. Then $\left(C^{\bullet}\left(K_{X} / K_{Y}, F\right), \widetilde{\partial}\right)$ is a sub complex of $\left(C^{\bullet}\left(K_{X}, F\right), \widetilde{\partial}\right)$. The following definitions are classical,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{\bullet}(X, F)=H^{\bullet}\left(C^{\bullet}\left(K_{X}, F\right), \widetilde{\partial}\right), \quad H^{\bullet}(X, \partial X, F)=H^{\bullet}\left(C^{\bullet}\left(K_{X} / K_{Y}, F\right), \widetilde{\partial}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

1.2. Hodge Theorem. Let $g^{T X}$ be a Riemannian metric on $X$. Let $h^{F}$ be a Hermitian metric on $F$. We identify a neighborhood of $\partial X$ to $]-1,0] \times Y$. Let $(u, y)(u \in]-1,0]$, $y \in Y$ ) be its coordinates. We suppose that (0.1) holds.

We equip $\partial X$ with absolute/relative boundary condition :

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{\mathrm{abs}}^{\bullet}(X, F) & :=\left\{\omega \in \Omega^{\bullet}(X, F): i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}} \omega=0 \text { on } Y\right\},  \tag{1.5}\\
\Omega_{\mathrm{rel}}^{\bullet}(X, F) & :=\left\{\omega \in \Omega^{\bullet}(X, F): d u \wedge \omega=0 \text { on } Y\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

We write $\Omega_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\mathbf{\bullet}}(X, F)$ for short if the choice of abs/rel is clear.
Let $d^{F, *}$ be the formal adjoint of the de Rham operator $d^{F}$ with respect to the $L^{2}$ metric $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{X}$ (cf. §0.4). Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{X}^{F}=d^{F}+d^{F, *} . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

acting on $\Omega_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}(X, F)$.
Set

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{\mathrm{abs}, D^{2}}^{\bullet}(X, F) & :=\left\{\omega \in \Omega^{\bullet}(X, F): i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}} \omega=0, i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}} d^{F} \omega=0 \text { on } Y\right\} \\
\Omega_{\mathrm{rel}, D^{2}}(X, F) & :=\left\{\omega \in \Omega^{\bullet}(X, F): d u \wedge \omega=0, d u \wedge d^{F, *} \omega=0 \text { on } Y\right\} . \tag{1.7}
\end{align*}
$$

We write $\Omega_{\mathbf{b d}, D^{2}}^{\bullet}(X, F)$ for short if the choice of abs/rel is clear.
Let $D_{X}^{F, 2}$ act on $\Omega_{\text {bd }, D^{2}}^{\bullet}(X, F)$.
Let $\Omega_{L^{\bullet}}^{\bullet}(X, F)$ be the completion of $\Omega^{\bullet}(X, F)$ with respect to $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{X}$.
We define the de Rham map $P_{\infty}: \Omega^{\bullet}(X, F) \rightarrow C^{\bullet}\left(K_{X}, F\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\infty}(\sigma)([\mathfrak{a}] \otimes v)=\int_{\mathfrak{a}}(\sigma, v) \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\mathfrak{a} \in K_{X}, v \in F_{b(\mathfrak{a})}^{*}, \sigma \in \Omega^{\bullet}(Z, F)$.
The following Hodge theorem is proved in [34, Prposition 4.2, Corollary 5.7] for the case $\nabla^{F} h^{F}=0$. The fact that the same proof works in the general case is noticed in [13, Theorem 1.1].

Theorem 1.1. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ker}\left(D_{X}^{F, 2}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(D_{X}^{F}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(d^{F}\right) \cap \operatorname{ker}\left(d^{F, *}\right) \cap \Omega_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}(X, F) . \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The vector space ker $\left(D_{X}^{F}\right)$ is finite dimensional.
The following orthogonal decompositions hold,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Omega_{\mathbf{b d}}^{p}(X, F)=\operatorname{ker}\left(D_{X}^{F}\right) \oplus d^{F} \Omega_{\mathbf{b d}, D^{2}}^{p-1}(X, F) \oplus d^{F, *} \Omega_{\mathbf{b d}, D^{2}}^{p+1}(X, F), \\
& \Omega_{L^{2}}^{p}(X, F)=\operatorname{ker}\left(D_{X}^{F}\right) \oplus \overline{d^{F} \Omega_{\mathbf{b d}, D^{2}}^{p-1}(X, F) \oplus \overline{d^{F, *} \Omega_{\mathbf{b d}, D^{2}}^{p+1}(X, F)},} \tag{1.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where - denotes the $L^{2}$-closure.
For absolute (resp. relative) boundary condition, the inclusion $\operatorname{ker}\left(D_{X}^{F}\right) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{ker}\left(d^{F}\right) \cap$ $\Omega_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}(X, F)$ composed with the de Rham map $P_{\infty}$ maps into the space of cocycles in $C^{\bullet}\left(K_{X}, F\right)$ (resp. $\left.C^{\bullet}\left(K_{X} / K_{Y}, F\right)\right)$ and we obtain an isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\infty}: \operatorname{ker}\left(D_{X}^{F, 2}\right) \rightarrow H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}(X, F) \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{p}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}(X, F), d^{F}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{ker}\left(d^{F}\right) \cap \Omega_{\mathbf{b d}}^{p}(X, F)}{d^{F} \Omega_{\mathbf{b d}}^{p-1}(X, F) \cap \Omega_{\mathbf{b d}}^{p}(X, F)} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by Theorem 1.1, $P_{\infty}$ induces the isomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{p}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{abs}}^{\bullet}(X, F), d^{F}\right) \simeq H_{\mathrm{abs}}^{p}(X, F), \quad H^{p}\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{rel}}^{\bullet}(X, F), d^{F}\right) \simeq H_{\mathrm{rel}}^{p}(X, F) \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

1.3. Mayer-Vietoris sequence. Let $Z$ be a closed $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}$-manifold. Let $i: Y \hookrightarrow Z$ be a compact hypersurface such that $Z \backslash Y=Z_{1} \cup Z_{2}$, where $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$ are compact manifolds with boudary $Y$. Then $Z=Z_{1} \cup_{Y} Z_{2}$. Let $F \rightarrow Z$ be a complex vector bundle equipped with a flat connection $\nabla^{F}$. We equip $\partial Z_{1}$ (resp. $\partial Z_{2}$ ) with relative (resp. absolute) boundary condition. Then all the notations and results developed in the previous subsections can be applied to ( $Z_{1},\left.F\right|_{Z_{1}},\left.\nabla^{F}\right|_{Z_{1}}$ ) and $\left(Z_{2},\left.F\right|_{Z_{2}},\left.\nabla^{F}\right|_{Z_{2}}\right)$.

Let $K_{Z_{1}}, K_{Z_{2}}$ be smooth triangulations of $Z_{1}, Z_{2}, K_{Y}$ be a smooth triangulation of $Y$, such that $K_{Y}=K_{Z_{1}} \cap Y=K_{Z_{2}} \cap Y$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{Z}=\left(K_{Z_{1}} \backslash K_{Y}\right) \cup\left(K_{Z_{2}} \backslash K_{Y}\right) \cup K_{Y} . \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $K_{Z}$ is a smooth triangulation of $Z$.
The following short exact sequence holds,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow\left(C^{\bullet}\left(K_{Z_{1}} / K_{Y}, F\right), \widetilde{\partial}\right) \longrightarrow\left(C^{\bullet}\left(K_{Z}, F\right), \widetilde{\partial}\right) \longrightarrow\left(C^{\bullet}\left(K_{Z_{2}}, F\right), \widetilde{\partial}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It induces the long exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdots \longrightarrow H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{p}\left(Z_{1}, F\right) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{p}} H^{p}(Z, F) \xrightarrow{\beta_{p}} H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{p}\left(Z_{2}, F\right) \xrightarrow{\delta_{p}} \cdots \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we equip $Z$ with a Riemannian metric $g^{T Z}$ and $F$ with a Hermitian metric $h^{F}$. By (1.13) and (1.16), we get the long exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdots \longrightarrow H^{p}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{1}, F\right), d^{F}\right) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{p}} H^{p}\left(\Omega^{\bullet}(Z, F), d^{F}\right) \xrightarrow{\beta_{p}} H^{p}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{2}, F\right), d^{F}\right) \xrightarrow{\delta_{p}} \cdots . \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 1.2. The maps $\alpha_{p}, \beta_{p}$ and $\delta_{p}$ in (1.17) are as follows.

- Let $[\sigma] \in H^{p}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{b d}}\left(Z_{1}, F\right), d^{F}\right)$. There exists $\sigma^{\prime} \in[\sigma]$ which vanishes on a neighborhood of $Y$. Extending $\sigma^{\prime}$ by zero, we get $\sigma^{\prime \prime} \in \Omega^{p}(Z, F)$. Then $\alpha_{p}([\sigma])=\left[\sigma^{\prime \prime}\right]$.
- Let $[\sigma] \in H^{p}\left(\Omega^{\bullet}(Z, F), d^{F}\right)$. There exists $\sigma^{\prime} \in[\sigma]$ such that $\sigma^{\prime \prime}:=\left.\sigma^{\prime}\right|_{Z_{2}} \in$ $\Omega_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{2}, F\right)$. Then $\beta_{p}([\sigma])=\left[\sigma^{\prime \prime}\right]$.
- Let $[\sigma] \in H^{p}\left(\Omega_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{2}, F\right), d^{F}\right)$. There exists $\sigma^{\prime} \in \Omega^{\bullet}(Z, F)$ such that $\left.\sigma^{\prime}\right|_{Z_{2}} \in[\sigma]$. Set $\sigma^{\prime \prime}=\left.d^{F} \sigma^{\prime}\right|_{Z_{1}}$. Then $\delta_{p}([\sigma])=\left[\sigma^{\prime \prime}\right]$.
Let $D_{Z}^{F}$ be the Hodge-de Rham operator on $\Omega^{\bullet}(Z, F)$. Let $D_{Z_{j}}^{F}(j=1,2)$ be the Hodge-de Rham operator on $\Omega_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j}, F\right)$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Z, F)=\operatorname{ker} D_{Z}^{F}, \quad \mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j}, F\right)=\operatorname{ker} D_{Z_{j}}^{F}, \quad \text { for } j=1,2 . \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying Theorem 1.1, (1.16) induces the following long exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\longrightarrow \mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b d}}^{p}\left(Z_{1}, F\right) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{p}} \mathscr{H}^{p}(Z, F) \xrightarrow{\beta_{p}} \mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b d}}^{p}\left(Z_{2}, F\right) \xrightarrow{\delta_{p}}, \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is isomorphic to (1.17).
We recall that $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$. is defined in $\S 0.4$,
The following proposition is a consequence of Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 1.2.
Proposition 1.3. For $\omega \in \mathscr{H}_{\text {bd }}^{p}\left(Z_{1}, F\right)$ and $\mu \in \mathscr{H}^{p}(Z, F)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\alpha_{p}(\omega), \mu\right\rangle_{Z}=\langle\omega, \mu\rangle_{Z_{1}} . \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\omega \in \mathscr{H}^{p}(Z, F)$ and $\mu \in \mathscr{H}_{\text {bd }}^{p}\left(Z_{2}, F\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\beta_{p}(\omega), \mu\right\rangle_{Z_{2}}=\langle\omega, \mu\rangle_{Z_{2}} \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\omega \in \mathscr{H}_{\text {bd }}^{p}\left(Z_{2}, F\right)$ and $\mu \in \mathscr{H}_{\text {bd }}^{p+1}\left(Z_{1, R}, F\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\delta_{p}(\omega), \mu\right\rangle_{Z_{1}}=\left\langle\omega, i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}} \mu\right\rangle_{Y} . \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. Hodge-de Rham operator on manifold with cylindrical ends

Let $Z_{\infty}$ be a Riemannian manifold with cylindrical ends, more precisely, there is an isometric inclusion $\mathbb{R}_{+} \times Y \subseteq Z_{\infty}$ with $Y$ closed and $Z_{\infty} \backslash\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times Y\right)$ compact. In this section, we review some spectral properties about the Hodge Laplacian on $Z_{\infty}$.

In $\S 2.1$, we consider the Hodge-de Rham operator acting on a closed manifold together with an additional odd Grassmannian variable $d u$. In later subsections, $u$ will serve as the coordinate on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$. In $\S 2.2$, we study the eigensections of the Hodge-de Rham operator acting on $I \times Y$ with $I$ a bounded open interval. In $\S 2.3$, we concentrate on studying the generalized eigensections of the Hodge-de Rham operator acting on $Z_{\infty}$. In particular, (following [31]) we define the scattering matrix and state its link to generalized eigensections. In $\$ 2.4$, we study the generalized eigensections associated to eigenvalue 0 (called extended $L^{2}$-solutions).
2.1. Hodge-de Rham operator with an additional odd Grassmannian variable.

Let $Y$ be a closed $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}$-manifold. Let $\left(F, \nabla^{F}\right)$ be a flat complex vector bundle over $Y$. Let $g^{T Y}$ be a Riemannian metric on $Y$. Let $h^{F}$ be a Hermitian metric on $F$. Let $D_{Y}^{F}$ be the Hodge-de Rham operator (defined in $\S_{0.4}$ ) acting on $\Omega^{\bullet}(Y, F)$.

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F)=\operatorname{ker} D_{Y}^{F, 2} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\mathscr{E}_{\mu}(Y, F)$ be the eigenspace of $D_{Y}^{F}$ associated to eigenvalue $\mu$.
Let $d u$ be an additional odd Grassmannian variable, such that $(d u)^{2}=0$. Let $\Omega^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])$ be the algebra generated by $\Omega^{\bullet}(Y, F)$ and $d u$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])=\Omega^{\bullet}(Y, F) \oplus \Omega^{\bullet}(Y, F) d u \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We equip $\Omega^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])$ with a grading : the degree $p$ component is $\Omega^{p}(Y, F) \oplus \Omega^{p-1}(Y, F) d u$.
The $L^{2}$-norm $\|\cdot\|_{Y}$ and its associated scalar product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{Y}$ on $\Omega^{\bullet}(Y, F)$ (defined in (0.4) extend to $\Omega^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])$, such that, for any $\tau_{0}, \tau_{1} \in \Omega^{\bullet}(Y, F)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\tau_{0}+d u \wedge \tau_{1}\right\|_{Y}^{2}=\left\|\tau_{0}\right\|_{Y}^{2}+\left\|\tau_{1}\right\|_{Y}^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define actions $d u \wedge, i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}}$ and $c\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)$ on $\Omega^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])$, such that, for any $\tau_{0}, \tau_{1} \in$ $\Omega^{\bullet}(Y, F)$,
(2.4) $d u \wedge\left(\tau_{0}+d u \wedge \tau_{1}\right)=d u \wedge \tau_{0}, \quad i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}}\left(\tau_{0}+d u \wedge \tau_{1}\right)=\tau_{1}, \quad c\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)=d u \wedge-i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}}$.

The action of $D_{Y}^{F}$ extends to $\Omega^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])$ by anti-commuting with $d u$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{Y}^{F}(d u \wedge \tau)=-d u \wedge D_{Y}^{F} \tau, \quad \text { for } \tau \in \Omega^{\bullet}(Y, F) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])$ be the kernel of this extended action. Let $\mathscr{E}_{\mu}(Y, F[d u])$ be the eigenspace of the extended action associated to the eigenvalue $\mu$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathscr{H} \bullet(Y, F[d u]) & =\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F) \oplus \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F) d u \\
\mathscr{E}_{\mu}(Y, F[d u]) & =\mathscr{E}_{\mu}(Y, F) \oplus \mathscr{E}_{-\mu}(Y, F) d u \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

We remark that the action of $c\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)$ exchanges $\mathscr{E}_{ \pm \mu}(Y, F[d u])$.
2.2. Hodge-de Rham operator on a cylinder. Let $I=] a, b[\subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an interval. We consider the cylinder $I \times Y$ with coordinates $(u, y)(u \in I, y \in Y)$. Let $\pi_{Y}: I \times Y \rightarrow Y$ be the natural projection. We equip $I \times Y$ with the product metric (cf. (0.1)).

The pull back of $F$ by $\pi_{Y}$ is a flat vector bundle on $I \times Y$, which is still denoted $F$. Its flat connection is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla^{F}=d u \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial u}+\left.\nabla^{F}\right|_{Y} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

And the pull back metric on $F$ is still denoted $h^{F}$.
We have the canonical identification

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{\bullet}(I \times Y, F) \simeq \mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(I, \Omega^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $\omega \in \Omega^{\bullet}(I \times Y, F), u \in I$, let $\omega_{u} \in \Omega^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])$ be the value of the corresponding function at $u$. For any $\tau \in \Omega^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])$, let $\pi_{Y}^{*} \tau \in \Omega^{\bullet}(I \times Y, F)$ be the differential form associated to the constant function of value $\tau$. Then, for any $\omega, \omega^{\prime} \in \Omega^{\bullet}(I \times Y, F)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\omega, \omega^{\prime}\right\rangle_{I \times Y}=\int_{I}\left\langle\omega_{u}, \omega_{u}^{\prime}\right\rangle_{Y} d u \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $D_{I Y}^{F}$ be the Hodge-de Rham operator acting on $\Omega^{\bullet}(I \times Y, F)$, then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{I Y}^{F}=c\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u}+D_{Y}^{F} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the Green Formula, for any $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2} \in \Omega^{\bullet}(I \times Y, F)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle D_{I Y}^{F} \omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right\rangle_{I \times Y}-\left\langle\omega_{1}, D_{I Y}^{F} \omega_{2}\right\rangle_{I \times Y}=\left\langle c\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right) \omega_{1, b}, \omega_{2, b}\right\rangle_{Y}-\left\langle c\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right) \omega_{1, a}, \omega_{2, a}\right\rangle_{Y} . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{Y}=\min \left\{|\mu|: \mu \in \operatorname{Sp}\left(D_{Y}^{F}\right) \backslash\{0\}\right\} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\omega \in \Omega^{\bullet}(I \times Y, F)$ such that $D_{I Y}^{F} \omega=\lambda \omega$ with $|\lambda|<\delta_{Y}$. Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega & =e^{-i u \lambda}\left(\phi_{0}^{-}-i c\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right) \phi_{0}^{-}\right)+e^{i u \lambda}\left(\phi_{0}^{+}+i c\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right) \phi_{0}^{+}\right)  \tag{2.13}\\
& +\sum_{\mu \neq 0}\left\{e^{-\sqrt{\mu^{2}-\lambda^{2}} u}\left(\phi_{\mu}^{-}-\frac{\mu-\lambda}{\sqrt{\mu^{2}-\lambda^{2}}} c\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right) \phi_{\mu}^{-}\right)+e^{\sqrt{\mu^{2}-\lambda^{2}} u}\left(\phi_{\mu}^{+}+\frac{\mu-\lambda}{\sqrt{\mu^{2}-\lambda^{2}}} c\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right) \phi_{\mu}^{+}\right)\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mu \in \operatorname{Sp}\left(D_{Y}^{F}\right)$, $\phi_{0}^{ \pm} \in \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F)$, $\phi_{\mu}^{ \pm} \in \mathscr{E}_{\mu}^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])$ (as convention, $\phi_{\mu}^{ \pm}=0$ for $\left.\mu \notin \operatorname{Sp}\left(D_{Y}^{F}\right)\right)$. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{\mathrm{zm}, \pm}=e^{ \pm i u \lambda}\left(\phi_{0}^{ \pm} \pm i c\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right) \phi_{0}^{ \pm}\right), \quad \omega^{\mathrm{zm}}=\omega^{\mathrm{zm},-}+\omega^{\mathrm{zm},+} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\omega^{\mathrm{zm}}$ is called the zeromode of $\omega$. Set

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega^{\mu, \pm}=e^{ \pm \sqrt{\mu^{2}-\lambda^{2}} u}\left(\phi_{\mu}^{ \pm} \pm \frac{\mu-\lambda}{\sqrt{\mu^{2}-\lambda^{2}}} c\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right) \phi_{\mu}^{ \pm}\right), \quad \omega^{\mu}=\omega^{\mu,-}+\omega^{\mu,+}  \tag{2.15}\\
& \omega^{ \pm}=\sum_{\mu \neq 0} \omega^{\mu, \pm}, \quad \omega^{\mathrm{nz}}=\omega^{-}+\omega^{+} .
\end{align*}
$$

We have the following decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\omega^{\mathrm{zm}}+\omega^{\mathrm{nz}}=\omega^{\mathrm{zm}}+\sum_{\mu \neq 0}\left(\omega^{\mu,+}+\omega^{\mu,-}\right) . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, the above decomposition is fiberwise orthogonal, i.e., for any $u \in I$, and $\mu^{\prime} \neq \mu$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\omega_{u}^{z \mathrm{~m}}, \omega_{u}^{\mu,+}+\omega_{u}^{\mu,-}\right\rangle_{Y}=0, \quad\left\langle\omega_{u}^{\mu,+}+\omega_{u}^{\mu,-}, \omega_{u}^{\mu^{\prime},+}+\omega_{u}^{\mu^{\prime},-}\right\rangle_{Y}=0 \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $a<u<v<b$, a simple estimation yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\omega_{v}^{-}\right\|_{Y} \leqslant e^{-(v-u) \sqrt{\delta_{Y}^{2}-\lambda^{2}}}\left\|\omega_{u}^{-}\right\|_{Y}, \quad\left\|\omega_{u}^{+}\right\|_{Y} \leqslant e^{-(v-u) \sqrt{\delta_{Y}^{2}-\lambda^{2}}}\left\|\omega_{v}^{+}\right\|_{Y} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.4) and (2.14), $\left\|\omega_{u}^{z m}\right\|_{Y}$ does not depend on $u \in I$. We denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\omega^{\mathrm{zm}}\right\|_{Y}=\left\|\omega_{u}^{\mathrm{zm}}\right\|_{Y} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.1. For any eigensections $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2} \in \Omega^{\bullet}(I \times Y, F)$ with eigenvalue $\left.\lambda \in\right]-\delta_{Y}, \delta_{Y}[$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\omega_{1}^{\mathrm{nz}}, \omega_{2}^{\mathrm{nz}}\right\rangle_{I \times Y} & \leqslant\left(\frac{1}{1-e^{-\sqrt{\delta_{Y}^{2}-\lambda^{2}}(b-a)}}\right)^{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{\delta_{Y}^{2}-\lambda^{2}}} \cdot\left\|\omega_{1}\right\|_{\partial(I \times Y)} \cdot\left\|\omega_{2}\right\|_{\partial(I \times Y)}  \tag{2.20}\\
\left\langle\omega_{1}^{\mathrm{zm}}, \omega_{2}^{\mathrm{zm}}\right\rangle_{Y} & \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left\|\omega_{1}\right\|_{\partial(I \times Y)} \cdot\left\|\omega_{2}\right\|_{\partial(I \times Y)}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The first inequality in (2.20) comes from (2.9), (2.12), (2.15), (2.17) and Cauchy's inequality. The second inequality in (2.20) comes from (2.19).
2.3. Spectrum of Hodge-de Rham operator on manifold with cylindrical ends. Still, let ( $Y, g^{T Y}$ ) be a closed Riemannian manifold. Let ( $Z_{\infty}, g^{T Z_{\infty}}$ ) be a non-compact complet manifold with cylindrical end $Y$, i.e., there exists a subset $U \subseteq Z_{\infty}$, which is isometric to $\mathbb{R}_{+} \times Y$, and $Z_{\infty} \backslash U$ is compact.

Let $\left(F, \nabla^{F}\right)$ be a flat complex vector bundle over $Z_{\infty}$. Using parallel transport along $\frac{\partial}{\partial u},\left(\left.F\right|_{U},\left.\nabla^{F}\right|_{U}\right)$ is identified to $\pi_{Y}^{*}\left(\left.F\right|_{Y},\left.\nabla^{F}\right|_{U}\right)$, i.e., (2.7) holds. Let $h^{F}$ be a Hermitian metric on $F$. We suppose that $\left(\left.F\right|_{U},\left.h^{F}\right|_{U}\right)$ satisfies (0.1).

Let $D_{Z_{\infty}}^{F}$ be the Hodge-de Rham operator acting on $\Omega_{\mathrm{c}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right)$. By [31, Theorem 3.2], $D_{Z_{\infty}}^{F}$ is essentially self-adjoint. Its self-adjoint extension is still denoted by $D_{Z_{\infty}}^{F}$. Let $\Omega_{L^{2}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right)$ be $L^{2}$-completion of $\Omega_{\mathrm{c}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{L^{2}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right)=\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{pp}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right) \oplus \mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right) \oplus \mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{ac}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right), \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the vector spaces on the right hand side are, sequentially, associated with purely point (p.p.) spectrum, singularly continuous (s.c.) spectrum and absolutely continuous (a.c.) spectrum of $D_{Z_{\infty}}^{F}$ (cf. [35, chapter 7.2]). Let $D_{Z_{\infty}, \mathrm{pp}}^{F}, D_{Z_{\infty}, \mathrm{sc}}^{F}$ and $D_{Z_{\infty}, \text { ac }}^{F}$ be the corresponding restriction of $D_{Z_{\infty}}^{F}$.

For $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\mathscr{E}_{\lambda} \subseteq \Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right)$ be the vector space of generalized eigensections of $D_{Z_{\infty}}^{F}$ with eigenvalue $\lambda$ (cf. [3, Chapter 5]). For the moment, it is sufficient to understand $\left(\mathscr{E}_{\lambda}\right)_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ as a family of subspaces of $\Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right)$ satisfying:

- for any $\omega_{\lambda} \in \mathscr{E}_{\lambda}$, we have $D_{Z_{\infty}}^{F} \omega_{\lambda}=\lambda \omega_{\lambda}$;
- for any $\omega \in \mathscr{E}_{\mathscr{A}_{\boldsymbol{a}}}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right) \cap \Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right)$, there exists a smooth family $\omega_{\lambda} \in \mathscr{E}_{\lambda}$, such that $\omega=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \omega_{\lambda} d \lambda$.
By definition, we have $\mathscr{E}_{\lambda} \cap \Omega_{L^{2}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right)=0$. As a consequence, a generalized eigensection is determined by its restriction to the cylinder part.

On the cylinder part of $Z_{\infty}$, all the analysis done in $\S 2.2$ are still valid. And we will continue to use the terminologies zeromode, non-zeromode, etc.

Before describing these spaces in more detail, we need a model operator. We recall that $\Omega^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u]), \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F)$ and $\mathscr{E}_{\mu}(Y, F)$ are defined in $\S_{2.1}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi: \Omega^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u]) \rightarrow \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F) d u \oplus \bigoplus_{\mu>0}\left((1-d u) \mathscr{E}_{\mu}(Y, F) \oplus(1+d u) \mathscr{E}_{-\mu}(Y, F)\right) \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the orthogonal projection. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\Pi}^{\bullet}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times Y, F\right)=\left\{\omega \in \Omega^{\bullet}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times Y, F\right): \omega_{0} \in \operatorname{ker}(\Pi)\right\} \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{0}=\left.\omega_{u}\right|_{u=0} \in \Omega^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])$ is defined in $\S 2.2$. Let $D_{\mathbb{R}_{+} Y}^{F}$ be the Hodge-de Rham operator on $\mathbb{R}_{+} \times Y$ with domain $\Omega_{\Pi}^{\bullet}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times Y, F\right)$. Then, $D_{\mathbb{R}_{+} Y}^{F}$ has only a.c. spectrum.
Remark 2.2. The above boundary condition is introduced by Atiyah-Patodi-Singer [1].
Let $j: \mathbb{R}_{+} \times Y \hookrightarrow Z_{\infty}$ be the canonical inclusion. Then $j$ induces the inclusion

$$
\begin{equation*}
J: \Omega_{L^{2}}^{\bullet}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times Y, F\right) \hookrightarrow \Omega_{L^{2}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right) \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the wave operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{ \pm}\left(D_{Z_{\infty}}^{F}, D_{\mathbb{R}_{+} Y}^{F}\right)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \pm \infty} e^{i t D_{Z_{\infty}}^{F} J e^{-i t D_{\mathbb{R}_{+} Y}^{F}} . . . . . . . .} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

By [31, Proposition 4.9], $W_{ \pm}\left(D_{Z_{\infty}}^{F}, D_{\mathbb{R}_{+} Y}^{F}\right)$ are well-defined.
Müller [31, Theorem 4.1, Theorem 4.10] established the following theorem.
Theorem 2.3. The operator $D_{Z_{\infty}}^{F}$ has no singularly continuous spectrum.
For any $t>0$, the operator $\exp \left(-t D_{Z_{\infty}, \mathrm{pp}}^{F, 2}\right)$ is of trace class.
The wave operator $W_{ \pm}\left(D_{Z_{\infty}}^{F}, D_{\mathbb{R}_{+} Y}^{F}\right)$ gives a unitary equivalence between $D_{\mathbb{R}_{+} Y}^{F}$ and $D_{Z_{\infty}, \text { ac }}^{F}$ i.e., $W_{ \pm}\left(D_{Z_{\infty}}^{F}, D_{\mathbb{R}_{+} Y}^{F}\right)$ is a unitary map from $\Omega_{L^{2}}^{\bullet}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times Y, F\right)$ to $\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{ac}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right)$, and the following diagram commutes.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Omega_{L^{2}}^{\bullet}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times Y, F\right) \xrightarrow{D_{\mathbb{R}_{+} Y}^{F}} \Omega_{L^{2}}^{\bullet}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times Y, F\right)  \tag{2.26}\\
& \begin{array}{c}
W_{ \pm}\left(D_{Z_{\infty}}^{F}, D_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}^{F}\right) \downarrow^{\bullet} \\
\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{ac}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right) \xrightarrow{D_{Z_{\infty}}^{F}} \underset{\longrightarrow}{\mathscr{E}_{\mathrm{ac}}^{\bullet}}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right)
\end{array} W_{ \pm}\left(D_{Z_{\infty}}^{F}, D_{\mathbb{R}_{+} Y}^{F}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\left(D_{Z_{\infty}}^{F}, D_{\mathbb{R}_{+} Y}^{F}\right)=W_{+}^{*}\left(D_{Z_{\infty}}^{F}, D_{\mathbb{R}_{+} Y}^{F}\right) W_{-}\left(D_{Z_{\infty}}^{F}, D_{\mathbb{R}_{+} Y}^{F}\right), \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

which acts on $\Omega_{L^{2}}^{\bullet}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times Y, F\right)$. Then, $C\left(D_{Z_{\infty}}^{F}, D_{\mathbb{R}_{+} Y}^{F}\right)$ commutes with $D_{\mathbb{R}_{+} Y}^{F}$.
We remark that any generalized eigensection of $D_{\mathbb{R}_{+} Y}^{F}$ with eigenvalue $\left.\lambda \in\right]-\delta_{Y}, \delta_{Y}[$ takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{0}(\phi, \lambda)=e^{-i \lambda u}\left(\phi-i c\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right) \phi\right)+e^{i \lambda u}\left(\phi+i c\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right) \phi\right), \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\phi \in \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F)$.
Definition 2.4. Since $C\left(D_{Z_{\infty}}^{F}, D_{\mathbb{R}_{+} Y}^{F}\right)$ preserves the spectral decomposition of $\Omega_{L^{2}}^{\bullet}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times\right.$ $Y, F)$ with respect to $D_{\mathbb{R}_{+}}^{F}$, for any $\left.\lambda \in\right]-\delta_{Y}, \delta_{Y}[$, there exists uniquely $C(\lambda) \in$ $\operatorname{End}\left(\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F)\right)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C\left(D_{Z_{\infty}}^{F}, D_{\mathbb{R}_{+} Y}^{F}\right) E_{0}(\phi, \lambda)=E_{0}(C(\lambda) \phi, \lambda) \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

We extend the action of $C(\lambda)$ to $\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])$ by demanding

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(\lambda) c\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)=-c\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right) C(\lambda) . \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $(C(\lambda))_{\lambda}$ is called the scattering matrix associated to $D_{Z_{\infty}}^{F}$.
The following property is stated in [31, §4].
Proposition 2.5. Each generalized eigensection of $D_{Z_{\infty}, \text { ac }}^{F}$ with eigenvalue $\left.\lambda \in\right]-\delta_{Y}, \delta_{Y}[$ takes the following form over $\mathbb{R}_{+} \times Y \simeq U \subseteq Z_{\infty}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(\phi, \lambda)=e^{-i \lambda u}\left(\phi-i c\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right) \phi\right)+e^{i \lambda u} C(\lambda)\left(\phi-i c\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right) \phi\right)+\theta(\phi, \lambda), \tag{2.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi \in \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F)$ and $\theta(\phi, \lambda) \in \Omega_{L^{2}}^{\bullet}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times Y, F\right)$, and, for any $u \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{u}(\phi, \lambda) \perp \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u]) . \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, for any $\phi \in \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F)$ and $\left.\lambda \in\right]-\delta_{Y}, \delta_{Y}[$, there exists a unique generalized eigensection $E(\phi, \lambda)$ of $D_{Z_{\infty}, \text { ac }}^{F}$ satisfying (2.31).

We remark that $E(\phi, \lambda)$ depends linearly on $\phi$ and analytically on $\lambda$ (cf. [31, §4]). Since $\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F)$ is finite dimensional, by an argument of compactness, there exists $C>0$, such that, for any $\phi \in \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F)$ and $\left.\lambda \in\right]-\delta_{Y} / 2, \delta_{Y} / 2[$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|E(\phi, \lambda)\|_{Z_{\infty} \backslash U} \leqslant C\|\phi\|_{Y} . \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

We list below several properties of $C(\lambda)$ (cf. [31, §4]).
Proposition 2.6. The following properties hold

- $C(\lambda)$ depends analytically on $\lambda$;
- $C(\lambda) \in \operatorname{End}\left(\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])\right)$ is unitary ;
- $C(\lambda)$ preserves $\mathscr{H}^{p}(Y, F)$ and $\mathscr{H}^{p}(Y, F) d u$ for any $p$;
- $C(\lambda) C(-\lambda)=1$, in particular, $C(0)^{2}=1$.
2.4. Extended $L^{2}$-solutions. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{H}_{L^{2}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right)=\Omega_{L^{2}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right) \cap \operatorname{ker}\left(D_{Z_{\infty}}^{F, 2}\right), \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The elements of $\mathscr{H}_{L^{2}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right)$ are called $L^{2}$-solutions of $D_{Z_{\infty}}^{F, 2} \omega=0$.
We recall that the decomposition $\omega=\omega^{\mathrm{zm}}+\omega^{\mathrm{zn}}=\omega^{\mathrm{zm}}+\omega^{-}+\omega^{+}$is defined in (2.16).
Definition 2.7. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right)=\left\{(\omega, \hat{\omega}) \in \operatorname{ker}\left(D_{Z_{\infty}}^{F, 2}\right) \oplus \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u]): \omega^{+}=0, \omega^{z \mathrm{~m}}=\pi_{Y}^{*} \hat{\omega}\right\}, \tag{2.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The elements of $\mathscr{H} \bullet\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right)$ are called extended $L^{2}$-solutions of $D_{Z_{\infty}}^{F, 2} \omega=0$.
Remark 2.8. In fact, $\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right)$ is the vector space spanned by $\mathscr{H}_{L^{2}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right)$ and generalized eigensections of $D_{Z_{\infty}}^{F}$ associated with eigenvalue $\lambda=0$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right)=\mathscr{H}_{L^{2}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right) \oplus\left\{E(\phi, 0): \phi \in \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F)\right\}, \tag{2.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E(\phi, 0)=\left.E(\phi, \lambda)\right|_{\lambda=0}$ is given by (2.31).
Proposition 2.9. For any $(\omega, \hat{\omega}) \in \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{F} \omega=d^{F, *} \omega=0 . \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By (2.13), both $d^{F} \omega$ and $d^{F, *} \omega$ are $L^{2}$-sections, which are orthogonal with respect to the $L^{2}$-metric. Then $d^{F} \omega+d^{F, *} \omega=D^{F} \omega=0$ implies (2.37).

Comparing (2.13) and Proposition 2.9, we get the following decomposition of $(\omega, \hat{\omega}) \in$ $\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right)$ on the cylinder $U$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\omega\right|_{U}=\pi_{Y}^{*} \hat{\omega}+\sum_{\mu>0, \mu \in \operatorname{Sp}\left(D_{Y}^{F}\right)} e^{-\mu u}\left(\tau_{\mu, 1}-d u \wedge \tau_{\mu, 2}\right), \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau_{\mu, 1} \in \Omega^{\bullet}(Y, F), \tau_{\mu, 2} \in \Omega^{\bullet-1}(Y, F)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{F} \tau_{\mu, 1}=d^{F, *} \tau_{\mu, 2}=0, \quad d^{F, *} \tau_{\mu, 1}=\mu \tau_{\mu, 2}, \quad d^{F} \tau_{\mu, 2}=\mu \tau_{\mu, 1} . \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 2.10. We define

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathscr{R}_{d^{F}} & : \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right)  \tag{2.40}\\
\mathscr{R}_{d^{F, *}} & : \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right)
\end{align*} \rightarrow \Omega^{\bullet+1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times Y, F\right), ~\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times Y, F\right), ~ \$
$$

such that, for any $(\omega, \hat{\omega}) \in \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right)$, whose expansion is given by (2.38), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{R}_{d^{F}}(\omega, \hat{\omega})=\sum_{\mu>0} \frac{1}{\mu} e^{-\mu u} \tau_{\mu, 2}, \quad \mathscr{R}_{d^{F, *}}(\omega, \hat{\omega})=\sum_{\mu>0} \frac{1}{\mu} e^{-\mu u} d u \wedge \tau_{\mu, 1} . \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2.11. The following identities hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
& d^{F} \mathscr{R}_{d^{F}}(\omega, \hat{\omega})=\left.\omega\right|_{\mathbb{R}_{+} \times Y}-\pi_{Y}^{*} \hat{\omega}, \quad d^{F, *} \mathscr{R}_{d^{F}}(\omega, \hat{\omega})=0  \tag{2.42}\\
& d^{F, *} \mathscr{R}_{d^{F, *}}(\omega, \hat{\omega})=\left.\omega\right|_{\mathbb{R}_{+} \times Y}-\pi_{Y}^{*} \hat{\omega}, \quad d^{F} \mathscr{R}_{d^{F, *}}(\omega, \hat{\omega})=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. These are direct consequences of (2.38), (2.39) and (2.41).
Definition 2.12. Set
(2.43) $\mathscr{L}^{\bullet}=\left\{\hat{\omega} \in \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])\right.$ : there exists $\omega$ such that $\left.(\omega, \hat{\omega}) \in \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right)\right\}$, called the set of limiting values of $\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right)$.

Still, $C(\lambda)$ is the scattering matrix. We denote $C=C(0)$. By (2.31), Proposition 2.6 and the fact that $\mathscr{L}^{\bullet}=\bigoplus \mathscr{L}^{p}$, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{L}^{\bullet}=\operatorname{Im}(C+1)=\operatorname{ker}(C-1) . \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, let $P_{\mathscr{L}}: \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u]) \rightarrow \mathscr{L}^{\bullet}$ be the orthogonal projection, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=2 P_{\mathscr{L}}-1 \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall that the operator $i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}}$ acting on $\mathscr{H} \bullet(Y, F[d u])$ is defined by (2.4). As consequences of (2.30), (2.44) and Proposition 2.6, there exist $\mathscr{L}_{\text {abs }}^{p} \subseteq \mathscr{H}^{p}(Y, F)$ and $\mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{rel}}^{p} \subseteq \mathscr{H}^{p-1}(Y, F) d u$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{L}^{p}=\mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{abs}}^{p} \oplus \mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{rel}}^{p}, \quad \mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{abs}}^{p, \perp}=i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}} \mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{rel}}^{p+1} \tag{2.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathscr{L}_{\text {abs }}^{p, \perp} \subseteq \mathscr{H}^{p}(Y, F)$ is the orthogonal complement of $\mathscr{L}_{\text {abs }}^{p}$. We call $\mathscr{L}_{\text {abs } / \text { rel }}^{\bullet}$ the absolute/relative component of $\mathscr{L}^{\bullet}$.

We have the obvious short exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}_{L^{2}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right) \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right) \longrightarrow \mathscr{L}^{\bullet} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{H}_{\mathrm{abs} / \mathrm{rel}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right)=\left\{(\omega, \hat{\omega}) \in \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right): \hat{\omega} \in \mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{abs} / \mathrm{rel}}^{\bullet}\right\} . \tag{2.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have the following short exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}_{L^{2}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right) \longrightarrow \mathscr{H}_{\mathrm{abs} / \mathrm{rel}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{\infty}, F\right) \longrightarrow \mathscr{L}_{\mathrm{abs} / \mathrm{rel}}^{\bullet} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{2.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. AsYmptotic properties of the spectrum

We recall that $Z_{R}, F$ and $D_{Z_{R}}^{F}$ are defined in $\oint 0.2$. In this section, we study the asymptotic behavior of $\operatorname{Sp}\left(D_{Z_{R}}^{F}\right)$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$.

In $\S 3.1$, we construct $Z_{R}$. In $\oint 3.2$, we construct a model space of the eigensections of $D_{Z_{R}}^{F}$. In $\S 3.3$, we estimate the kernel of $D_{Z_{R}}^{F, 2}$. In $\S 3.4$, we estimate the small eigenvalues of $D_{Z_{R}}^{F}$.
3.1. Gluing of two manifolds with the same boundary. Let $Z$ be a closed manifold. Let $i: Y \hookrightarrow Z$ be a compact hypersurface such that $Z \backslash Y=Z_{1} \cup Z_{2}$, where $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$ are compact manifolds with boudary $Y$. Then $Z=Z_{1} \cup_{Y} Z_{2}$.

Let $U_{j} \subseteq Z_{j}(j=1,2)$ be a collar neighborhood of $\partial Z_{j} \simeq Y$, more precisely, we fix the diffeomorphisms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.i_{1}:\right]-1,0\right] \times Y \rightarrow U_{1}, \quad i_{2}: \quad\left[0,1\left[\times Y \rightarrow U_{2}\right.\right. \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $i_{j}(\{0\} \times Y)=\partial Z_{j}(j=1,2)$. Set $U=U_{1} \cup_{Y} U_{2} \subseteq Z$, then, $i_{1}$ and $i_{2}$ induce the identification

$$
\begin{equation*}
i:]-1,1[\times Y \rightarrow U \subseteq Z \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left(F, \nabla^{F}\right)$ be a flat vector bundle on $Z$.
Let $g^{T Z}$ be a Riemannian metric on $Z$. Let $h^{F}$ be a Hermitian metric on $F$. We suppose that (0.1) holds.

Set

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
Z_{1, R}=Z_{1} \cup_{Y}[0, R] \times Y, & Z_{2, R}=Z_{2} \cup_{Y}[-R, 0] \times Y, & \text { for } 0 \leqslant R \leqslant \infty \\
Z_{1, \infty}=Z_{1} \cup_{Y}[0, \infty[\times Y, & \left.\left.Z_{2, \infty}=Z_{2} \cup_{Y}\right]-\infty, 0\right] \times Y & \tag{3.3}
\end{array}
$$

where the gluing identifies $\partial Z_{j} \simeq Y(j=1,2)$ to $\{0\} \times Y$. For any $0 \leqslant R<\infty$, we define

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{R}:[0,2 R] \times Y & \rightarrow[-2 R, 0] \times Y \\
(u, y) & \mapsto(u-2 R, y) . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{R}=Z_{1,2 R} \cup_{f_{R}} Z_{2,2 R}=Z_{1, R} \cup_{Y} Z_{2 . R} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\left(F, \nabla^{F}\right)$ extends to a flat vector bundle on $Z_{R}$ by respecting (2.7), $g^{T Z}$ and $h^{F}$ extend to $Z_{R}$ by respecting (0.1).

In the sequel, all the canonical projections from $[-R, 0] \times Y,[0, R] \times Y$ and $[-R, R] \times Y$ $(0 \leqslant R \leqslant \infty)$ onto $Y$ will simply be denoted $\pi_{Y}$ if there is no confusion.

In the sequel, for any $0 \leqslant R \leqslant \infty,[0, R] \times Y \subseteq Z_{1, R}$ (resp. $[-R, 0] \times Y \subseteq Z_{2, R}$ ), the cylindrical part of $Z_{1, R}$ (resp. $Z_{2, R}$ ), will be refered as $I_{1, R} Y$ (resp. $I_{2, R} Y$ ); if $R<\infty$, the cylindrical part of $Z_{R}$, i.e., the gluing of $I_{1, R} Y$ and $I_{2, R} Y$, will be refered as $I_{R} Y$. On $I_{1, R} Y$, we use coordinates $\left(u_{1}, y\right)$ with $u_{1} \in[0, R], y \in Y$; on $I_{2, R} Y$, we use coordinates $\left(u_{2}, y\right)$ with $u_{2} \in[-R, 0], y \in Y$; on $I_{R} Y$, we use coordinates $(u, y)$ with $u \in[-R, R]$, $y \in Y$. Under the identifications $I_{1,2 R} Y \simeq I_{2,2 R} Y \simeq I_{R} Y$ induced by (3.5), and the transformation of coordinates is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=u_{1}-R=u_{2}+R \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, set

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{j, R} Y(A) & =\left\{\left(u_{j}, y\right) \in I_{j, R} Y: u_{j} \in A\right\}, \quad \text { for } j=1,2,  \tag{3.7}\\
I_{R} Y(A) & =\left\{(u, y) \in I_{R} Y: u \in A\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

We will always use the following identifications : for $R^{\prime} \leqslant R$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{j, R^{\prime}} \subseteq Z_{j, R}, \quad \text { for } j=1,2, \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the unique isometric inclusion fixing $Z_{j, 0}$; for $R^{\prime} \leqslant 2 R$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{j, R^{\prime}} \subseteq Z_{j, 2 R} \subseteq Z_{R}, \quad \text { for } j=1,2 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the second inclusion is induced by (3.5).
Let $D_{Z_{R}}^{F}$ be the Hodge-de Rham operator acting on $\Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{R}, F\right)$ (see 80.4 ), which is the central object in this section.
3.2. Models of eigenspaces associated to small eigenvalues. Let $\mathscr{H}_{L^{2}}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right)$ and $\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right)(j=1,2)$ be as (2.34) and (2.35) with $Z_{\infty}$ replaced by $Z_{j, \infty}$ and $u$ replaced by $u_{j}$ (cf. (3.1)). It is important to notice that $\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{2}}$ points to the inner side of $Z_{2}$, which is different from the choice in (2.35). Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)=\left\{\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right):\left(\omega_{1}, \hat{\omega}\right) \in \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{1, \infty}, F\right),\left(\omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right) \in \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{2, \infty}, F\right)\right\} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathscr{L}_{j}^{\bullet} \subseteq \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])(j=1,2)$ be the set of limiting values of $\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right)$ (cf. (2.43)). There are natural injection

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathscr{H}_{L^{2}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{1, \infty}, F\right) \oplus \mathscr{H}_{L^{2}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{2, \infty}, F\right) & \rightarrow \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)  \tag{3.11}\\
\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right) & \mapsto\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, 0\right),
\end{align*}
$$

and surjection

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right) & \rightarrow \mathscr{L}_{1}^{\bullet} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2}^{\bullet}  \tag{3.12}\\
\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right) & \mapsto \hat{\omega},
\end{align*}
$$

which induce the following short exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathscr{H}_{L^{2}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{1, \infty}, F\right) \oplus \mathscr{H}_{L^{2}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{2, \infty}, F\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{L}_{1}^{\bullet} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2}^{\bullet} \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that the $L^{2}$-norm $\|\cdot\|$. is defined in $\oint 0.4$. For any $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right) \in \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)$, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right\|_{\mathscr{H} \bullet\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right), R}^{2}=\left\|\omega_{1}\right\|_{Z_{1, R}}^{2}+\left\|\omega_{2}\right\|_{Z_{2, R}}^{2} . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will drop the subscript $R$, if $R=0$. By (2.20) and (2.35), there exists $C>0$, such that, for any $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right) \in \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right\|_{\mathscr{H} \bullet\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right), R}^{2} \leqslant(1+C R)\left\|\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right\|_{\mathscr{H} \bullet\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)}^{2} . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the rest of this section, $\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)$ will serve as the model space of $\operatorname{ker}\left(D_{Z_{R}}^{F, 2}\right)$.
Recall that $\delta_{Y}$ was defined in (2.12). For any $\left.\lambda \in\right]-\delta_{Y}, 0[\cup] 0, \delta_{Y}[, j=1,2$, set

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathscr{E}_{\lambda}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right)=\left\{\left(\omega, \omega^{\mathrm{zm}}\right): \omega \in \Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right) \text { is a generalized eigensection of } D_{Z_{j, \infty}}^{F}\right.  \tag{3.16}\\
\text { with eigenvalue } \left.\lambda, \omega^{\mathrm{zm}} \in \Omega^{\bullet}\left(I_{j, \infty} Y, F\right) \text { is the zeromode of } \omega\right\} .
\end{array}
$$

Recall that $f_{R}$ is defined in (3.4). For any $R>0$, set

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathscr{E}_{\lambda, R}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)=\left\{\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{1}^{\mathrm{zm}}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{2}^{\mathrm{zm}}\right):\left(\omega_{j}, \omega_{j}^{\mathrm{zm}}\right) \in \mathscr{E}_{\lambda}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right), \text { for } j=1,2,\right. \\
\left.\left.\omega_{1}^{\mathrm{zm}}\right|_{I_{1, \infty} Y([0,2 R])}=f_{R}^{*}\left(\left.\omega_{2}^{\mathrm{zm}}\right|_{I_{2, \infty} Y([-2 R, 0])}\right)\right\} . \tag{3.17}
\end{array}
$$

Let $C_{j}(\lambda) \in \operatorname{End}\left(\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])\right)(j=1,2)$ be the scattering matrices associated to $D_{Z_{j, \infty}}^{F}$. For technical reasons, we take the following definition of scattering matrix : $C_{j}(\lambda)$ is the unique matrix such that (2.31) holds with $u$ replaced by $u_{j}$ (cf. (3.1)). Since $\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{2}}$ points to the inner side of $Z_{2}$, which opposites the choice in $\$ 2.3, C_{2}(\lambda)$ is the inverse of the scattering matrix in the sense of Definition [2.4. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{12}(\lambda)=C_{2}^{-1}(\lambda) C_{1}(\lambda) \in \operatorname{End}\left(\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])\right) . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $R \geqslant 0$, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{R}=\left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}: \operatorname{det}\left(\left.e^{4 i \lambda R} C_{12}(\lambda)\right|_{\mathscr{H} \cdot(Y, F)}-1\right)=0\right\} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

(counting multiplicity). By (2.31), (3.4) and (3.16), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}: \mathscr{E}_{\lambda, R}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right) \neq\{0\}\right\}=\Lambda_{R} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $A \subseteq]-\delta_{Y}, 0[\cup] 0, \delta_{Y}[$, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{E}_{A, R}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)=\bigoplus_{\lambda \in A} \mathscr{E}_{\lambda, R}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right) \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{1}^{\mathrm{zm}}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{2}^{\mathrm{zm}}\right) \in \mathscr{E}_{A, R}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)$, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{1}^{\mathrm{zm}}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{2}^{\mathrm{zm}}\right)\right\|_{\mathscr{E}_{A, R}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)}^{2}=\left\|\omega_{1}\right\|_{Z_{1,0}}^{2}+\left\|\omega_{2}\right\|_{Z_{2,0}}^{2} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the rest of this section, $\mathscr{E}_{A, R}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)$ will serve as the model space of the eigenspace of $D_{Z_{R}}^{F}$ with eigenvalues in $A$.
3.3. Approximating the kernels. Let $\gamma \in \mathscr{C}_{\mathrm{c}}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\gamma \geqslant 0, \operatorname{supp}(\gamma) \subseteq\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ and $\int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \gamma(s) d s=1$. We define $\chi_{2,1} \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}([-1,1])$ by

$$
\chi_{2,1}(u)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if }-1 \leqslant u<0  \tag{3.23}\\ \int_{-1}^{2 u-1} \gamma(s) d s & \text { if } 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1\end{cases}
$$

Then $\chi_{2,1}(u)=1$ for $u>\frac{3}{4}$. For $j=1,2$, we define $\chi_{j, R} \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}([-R, R])$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{j, R}(u)=\chi_{2,1}\left((-1)^{j} u / R\right) . \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\chi_{j, R}$ can be seen as a function on $I_{R} Y$, i.e., for $(u, y) \in I_{R} Y, \chi_{j, R}(u, y)=\chi_{j, R}(u)$.
We recall that the following maps are defined in Definition 2.10,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{R}_{d^{F}}, \mathscr{R}_{d^{F, *}}: \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right) \rightarrow \Omega^{\bullet}\left(I_{j, \infty} Y, F\right), \quad \text { for } j=1,2 \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Composing the identification $I_{R} Y \simeq I_{j, 2 R} Y(j=1,2)$ induced by (3.9) and the injection $I_{j, 2 R} Y \subseteq I_{j, \infty} Y$ induced by (3.8), we get $I_{R} Y \hookrightarrow I_{j, \infty} Y$, which induces

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{\bullet}\left(I_{j, \infty} Y, F\right) \rightarrow \Omega^{\bullet}\left(I_{R} Y, F\right) . \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Composing (3.25) and (3.26), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{R}_{d^{F, j}}, \mathscr{R}_{d^{F, *}, j}: \quad \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right) \rightarrow \Omega^{\bullet}\left(I_{R} Y, F\right), \quad \text { for } j=1,2 . \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 3.1. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{Z_{R}}, G_{Z_{R}}: \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right) \rightarrow \Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{R}, F\right) \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

by, for $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right) \in \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.F_{Z_{R}}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right|_{Z_{j, 0}}=\left.G_{Z_{R}}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right|_{Z_{j, 0}}=\omega_{j}, \quad \text { for } j=1,2, \\
& \left.F_{Z_{R}}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right|_{I_{R} Y}=\pi_{Y}^{*} \hat{\omega}+\sum_{j=1}^{2} d^{F}\left(\chi_{j, R} \mathscr{R}_{d^{F}, j}\left(\omega_{j}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right),  \tag{3.29}\\
& \left.G_{Z_{R}}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right|_{I_{R} Y}=\pi_{Y}^{*} \hat{\omega}+\sum_{j=1}^{2} d^{F, *}\left(\chi_{j, R} \mathscr{R}_{d^{F, *}, j}\left(\omega_{j}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.42), $F_{Z_{R}}$ and $G_{Z_{R}}$ are well-defined. Furthermore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{F} F_{Z_{R}}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)=d^{F, *} G_{Z_{R}}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)=0 \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.2. Such gluing technique is initiated by Atiyah-Patodi-Singer [1]. They glue $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ directly using partitions of unity. The difference between the standard Atiyah-Patodi-Singer gluing and ours is $\mathscr{O}\left(e^{-c R}\right)$-small as $R \rightarrow \infty$.

We recall that $U_{j} \subseteq Z_{j}(j=1,2)$ is a neighborhood of $Y=\partial Z_{j}$. Gluing the identifications $\left.\left.U_{1}=\right]-1,0\right] \times Y, I_{R} Y=[-R, R] \times Y, U_{2}=[0,1[\times Y$ by shifting the coordinates, we get the identification $\left.U_{1} \cup I_{R} Y \cup U_{2}=\right]-R-1, R+1\left[\times Y\right.$. Let $\left.\phi_{R}:\right]-R-1, R+1[\rightarrow]-1,1[$ be a smooth function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(-u)=-\phi(u), \phi^{\prime}(u)>0, \phi_{R}(u)=u+R \text { for } u \in[-R-1,-R-1 / 2] . \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the diffeomorphism $\varphi_{R}: Z_{R} \rightarrow Z$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\varphi_{R}\right|_{Z_{j} \backslash U_{j}}=\operatorname{Id}_{Z_{j} \backslash U_{j}}, \quad \text { for } j=1,2,  \tag{3.32}\\
& \varphi_{R}(u, y)=\left(\phi_{R}(u), y\right) \in U_{1} \cup U_{2} \subseteq Z \quad \text { for }(u, y) \in U_{1} \cup I_{R} Y \cup U_{2} \subseteq Z_{R} .
\end{align*}
$$

Then $\varphi_{R}$ induces the canonical isomorphism $H^{\bullet}\left(Z_{R}, Y\right) \simeq H^{\bullet}(Z, F)$.
Proposition 3.3. For $R^{\prime}>R>1$, $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right) \in \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)$ with $\hat{\omega} \in \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[F_{Z_{R}}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right]=\left[F_{Z_{R^{\prime}}}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right] \in H^{\bullet}(Z, F) \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By inserting enough numbers between $R$ and $R^{\prime}$, we may assume that $R^{\prime} / R \leqslant$ 7/6.

We define $\tilde{\phi}_{R, R^{\prime}}:[-R, R] \rightarrow\left[-R^{\prime}, R^{\prime}\right]$ by

$$
\tilde{\phi}_{R, R^{\prime}}(u)= \begin{cases}u-R^{\prime}+R & \text { if } u \in\left[-R,-\frac{1}{8} R\right],  \tag{3.34}\\ u-\left(R^{\prime}-R\right) \chi_{1, R / 8}(u) & \text { if } u \in\left[-\frac{1}{8} R, 0\right] \\ u+\left(R^{\prime}-R\right) \chi_{2, R / 8}(u) & \text { if } u \in\left[0, \frac{1}{8} R\right] \\ u+R^{\prime}-R & \text { if } u \in\left[\frac{1}{8} R, R\right]\end{cases}
$$

We construct a diffeomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}_{R, R^{\prime}}: Z_{R} \rightarrow Z_{R^{\prime}}$, such that, the restriction of $\tilde{\varphi}_{R, R^{\prime}}$ to $Z_{1,0} \cup Z_{2,0} \simeq Z_{R} \backslash I_{R} Y \simeq Z_{R^{\prime}} \backslash I_{R^{\prime}} Y$ is the identity map, and, for any $(u, y) \in I_{R} Y$, $\tilde{\varphi}_{R, R^{\prime}}(u, y)=\left(\tilde{\phi}_{R, R^{\prime}}(u), y\right) \in I_{R^{\prime}} Y$. Then $\tilde{\varphi}_{R, R^{\prime}}$ is homotopic to $\varphi_{R^{\prime}}^{-1} \circ \varphi_{R}$.

Let $\mu \in \Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{R}, F\right)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mu\right|_{Z_{R} \backslash I_{R} Y}=0,\left.\quad \mu\right|_{I_{R} Y}=\sum_{j=1}^{2}\left(\chi_{j, R}-\chi_{j, R^{\prime}}\right) \mathscr{R}_{d^{F}, j}\left(\omega_{j}, \hat{\omega}\right) . \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.29), (3.34) and (3.35), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{Z_{R}}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)-\tilde{\varphi}_{R, R^{\prime}}^{*} F_{Z_{R^{\prime}}}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)=d^{F} \mu \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall that $\|\cdot\|$. is defined by (0.21) and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{H} \cdot\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right), R}$ is defined by (3.14).
Proposition 3.4. There exist $c>0, R_{0}>0$, such that, for $R>R_{0},\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right) \in$ $\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-e^{-c R} \leqslant \frac{\left\|F_{Z_{R}}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right\|_{Z_{R}}}{\left\|\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right\|_{\mathscr{H} \cdot\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right), R}} \leqslant 1+e^{-c R} . \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It is sufficient to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F_{Z_{R}}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)-\omega_{j}\right\|_{Z_{j, R}} \leqslant e^{-c R}\left\|\omega_{1}\right\|_{Z_{j, 0}}, \quad \text { for } j=1,2 . \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of the symmetry, we will only show the case $j=1$.
By the construction, $F_{Z_{R}}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)-\omega_{1}$ is zero on $Z_{1,0}$. On $I_{1, R} Y$, by (2.42), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{Z_{R}}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)-\omega_{1} & =d^{F}\left(\chi_{1, R} \mathscr{R}_{d^{F}, 1}\left(\omega_{1}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right)+\pi_{Y}^{*} \hat{\omega}-\omega_{1} \\
& =\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \chi_{1, R}\right) d u \wedge \mathscr{R}_{d^{F}, 1}\left(\omega_{1}, \hat{\omega}\right)+\left(\chi_{1, R}-1\right)\left(\omega_{1}-\pi_{Y}^{*} \hat{\omega}\right) . \tag{3.39}
\end{align*}
$$

By the construction of $\chi_{1, R}, \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \chi_{1, R}$ is bounded by 1 and with support in $I_{R} Y\left(\left[-\frac{3}{4} R,-\frac{1}{4} R\right]\right)$; $\chi_{1, R}-1$ is bounded by 1 and with support in $I_{R} Y\left(\left[-\frac{3}{4} R, 0\right]\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F_{Z_{R}}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)-\omega_{1}\right\|_{Z_{1, R}} \leqslant\left\|\mathscr{R}_{d^{F}, 1}\left(\omega_{1}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right\|_{I_{R} Y\left(\left[-\frac{3}{4} R,-\frac{1}{4} R\right]\right)}+\left\|\omega_{1}-\pi_{Y}^{*} \hat{\omega}\right\|_{I_{R} Y\left(\left[-\frac{3}{4} R, 0\right]\right)} . \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Definition [2.10, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\mathscr{R}_{d^{F}, 1}\left(\omega_{1}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right\|_{I_{R} Y\left(\left[-\frac{3}{4} R,-\frac{1}{4} R\right]\right)}^{2} \leqslant \delta_{Y}^{-2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta_{Y} R}\left\|\omega_{1}\right\|_{\partial Z_{1,0}}^{2} . \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.1, (2.18) and (2.19), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\omega_{1}-\pi_{Y}^{*} \hat{\omega}\right\|_{I_{R} Y\left(\left[-\frac{3}{4} R, 0\right]\right)}^{2} & \leqslant\left(\frac{1}{1-e^{-\frac{3}{4} \delta_{Y} R}}\right)^{2} \cdot \delta_{Y}^{-1} \cdot\left\|\omega_{1}-\pi_{Y}^{*} \hat{\omega}\right\|_{\partial Z_{1, R / 4} \cup \partial Z_{1, R}}^{2} \\
& \leqslant\left(\frac{1}{1-e^{-\frac{3}{4} \delta_{Y} R}}\right)^{2} \cdot 2 \delta_{Y}^{-1} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta_{Y} R}\left\|\omega_{1}\right\|_{\partial Z_{1,0}}^{2} . \tag{3.42}
\end{align*}
$$

Comparing (3.40)-(3.42), it only rests to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\omega_{1}\right\|_{\partial Z_{1,0}} \leqslant C\left\|\omega_{1}\right\|_{Z_{1,0}} . \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\|\cdot\|_{1, Z_{1,0}}$ be the $H^{1}$-norm on $\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(Z_{1,0}, F\right)$. We fix $\varepsilon>0$. Because of the ellipticity of Hodge-de Rham operator, we may suppose that, for any $\omega \in \Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{1, \infty}, F\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\omega\|_{1, Z_{1,0}}^{2} \leqslant\|\omega\|_{Z_{1, \varepsilon}}^{2}+\left\|D_{Z_{1, \infty}}^{F} \omega\right\|_{Z_{1, \varepsilon}}^{2} . \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\omega_{1}\right\|_{1, Z_{1,0}}^{2} \leqslant\left\|\omega_{1}\right\|_{Z_{1, \varepsilon}}^{2} . \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

By trace theorem, there exists $C_{2}>0$, such that, for any $\omega_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\omega_{1}\right\|_{\partial Z_{1,0}}^{2} \leqslant C_{2}\left\|\omega_{1}\right\|_{1, Z_{1,0}}^{2} . \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.15), (3.45) and (3.46), we get (3.43).
For going further, we need a uniform Sobolev inequality on all $Z_{R}(R \geqslant 0)$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m>\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} Z_{R}$. We recall that $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{C}^{0}, \text { is }}$ is defined by (0.22).
Proposition 3.5. There exists $C>0$, such that, for $R>0, \omega \in \Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{R}, F\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\omega\|_{\mathscr{C}^{0}, Z_{R}} \leqslant C\left(\|\omega\|_{Z_{R}}+\left\|D_{Z_{R}}^{F, m} \omega\right\|_{Z_{R}}\right) . \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. One may repeat the proof of the classical Sobolev inequality on each $Z_{R}$ and find that the constants $C$, which, a priori, depend on $R$, are uniformly bounded above.

Let $P^{\mathrm{ker}\left(D_{Z_{R}}^{F, 2}\right)}: \Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{R}, F\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{ker}\left(D_{Z_{R}}^{F, 2}\right)$ be the orthogonal projection.
Definition 3.6. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{F}_{Z_{R}}=P^{\mathrm{ker}\left(D_{Z_{R}}^{F, 2}\right)} \circ F_{Z_{R}}, \quad \mathscr{G}_{Z_{R}}=P^{\mathrm{ker}\left(D_{Z_{R}}^{F, 2}\right)} \circ G_{Z_{R}} \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 3.7. There exist $c>0, R_{0}>0$, such that, for $R>R_{0},\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right) \in$ $\mathscr{H} \bullet\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(F_{Z_{R}}-\mathscr{F}_{Z_{R}}\right)\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right\|_{\mathscr{C}^{0}, Z_{R}} \leqslant e^{-c R}\left\|\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right\|_{\mathscr{H} \bullet\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)} . \tag{3.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consequence $\mathscr{F}_{Z_{R}}: \mathscr{H} \bullet\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{ker}\left(D_{Z_{R}}^{F, 2}\right)$ is injective for $R$ large enough.
Proof. By (2.42) and (3.29), $\operatorname{supp}\left(\left(F_{Z_{R}}-G_{Z_{R}}\right)\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right) \subseteq I_{R} Y$, and (3.50)

$$
\left.\left(F_{Z_{R}}-G_{Z_{R}}\right)\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right|_{I_{R} Y}=\sum_{j=1}^{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \chi_{j, R}\right)\left(d u \wedge \mathscr{R}_{d^{F}, j}\left(\omega_{j}, \hat{\omega}\right)+i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}} \mathscr{R}_{d^{F, *}, j}\left(\omega_{j}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right) .
$$

More generally, by (1.6), (2.42) and (3.50), for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.D_{Z_{R}}^{F, 2 m}\left(F_{Z_{R}}-G_{Z_{R}}\right)\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right|_{I_{R} Y} \\
= & (-1)^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2 m+1}}{\partial u^{2 m+1}} \chi_{j, R}\right)\left(d u \wedge \mathscr{R}_{d^{F}, j}\left(\omega_{j}, \hat{\omega}\right)+i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}} \mathscr{R}_{d^{F, *}, j}\left(\omega_{j}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right) . \tag{3.51}
\end{align*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{m}=\sup _{u \in[-1,1]}\left|\frac{\partial^{m}}{\partial u^{m}} \chi_{2,1}(u)\right| . \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\operatorname{supp}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \chi_{1, R}\right) \subseteq\left[-\frac{3}{4} R,-\frac{1}{4} R\right]$ and $\operatorname{supp}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \chi_{2, R}\right) \subseteq\left[\frac{1}{4} R, \frac{3}{4} R\right]$, we get (3.53)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|D_{Z_{R}}^{F, 2 m}\left(F_{Z_{R}}-G_{Z_{R}}\right)\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right\|_{Z_{R}}^{2} \\
\leqslant & \alpha_{2 m+1}^{2} R^{-4 m-2}\left\|\mathscr{R}_{d^{F}, 1}\left(\omega_{1}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right\|_{I_{R} Y\left(\left[-\frac{3}{4} R,-\frac{1}{4} R\right]\right)}^{2}+\alpha_{2 m+1}^{2} R^{-4 m-2}\left\|\mathscr{R}_{d^{F}, 2}\left(\omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right\|_{I_{R} Y\left(\left[\frac{1}{4} R, \frac{3}{4} R\right]\right)}^{2} \\
+ & \left.\alpha_{2 m+1}^{2} R^{-4 m-2}\left\|\mathscr{R}_{d^{F, *, 1}}\left(\omega_{1}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right\|_{I_{R} Y\left(\left[-\frac{3}{4} R,-\frac{1}{4} R\right]\right)}^{2}+\alpha_{2 m+1}^{2} R^{-4 m-2}\left\|\mathscr{R}_{d^{F, *}, 2}\left(\omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right\|_{I_{R} Y\left(\left[\frac{1}{4} R, \frac{3}{4} R\right]\right)}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## By Definition 2.10, we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\|\mathscr{R}_{d^{F}, 1}\left(\omega_{1}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right\|_{I_{R} Y\left(\left[-\frac{3}{4} R,-\frac{1}{4} R\right]\right)}^{2}+\left\|\mathscr{R}_{d^{F, *}, 1}\left(\omega_{1}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right\|_{I_{R} Y\left(\left[-\frac{3}{4} R,-\frac{1}{4} R\right]\right)}^{2} \leqslant 2 \delta_{Y}^{-2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta_{Y} R}\left\|\omega_{1}\right\|_{\partial Z_{1,0}}^{2},  \tag{3.54}\\
\left\|\mathscr{R}_{d^{F}, 2}\left(\omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right\|_{I_{R} Y\left(\left[\frac{1}{4} R, \frac{3}{4} R\right]\right)}^{2}+\left\|\mathscr{R}_{d^{F, *}, 2}\left(\omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right\|_{I_{R} Y\left(\left[\frac{1}{4} R, \frac{3}{4} R\right]\right)}^{2} \leqslant 2 \delta_{Y}^{-2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta_{Y} R}\left\|\omega_{2}\right\|_{\partial Z_{2,0}}^{2} .
\end{array}
$$

By (3.53) and (3.54), we have

## (3.55)

$$
\left\|D_{Z_{R}}^{F, 2 m}\left(F_{Z_{R}}-G_{Z_{R}}\right)\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right\|_{Z_{R}}^{2} \leqslant \alpha_{2 m+1}^{2} \delta_{Y}^{-2} R^{-4 m-2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \delta_{Y} R}\left(\left\|\omega_{1}\right\|_{\partial Z_{1,0}}^{2}+\left\|\omega_{2}\right\|_{\partial Z_{2,0}}^{2}\right)
$$

Same as (3.43), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\omega_{1}\right\|_{\partial Z_{1,0}}^{2}+\left\|\omega_{2}\right\|_{\partial Z_{2,0}}^{2} \leqslant C\left(\left\|\omega_{1}\right\|_{Z_{1,0}}^{2}+\left\|\omega_{2}\right\|_{Z_{2,0}}^{2}\right)=C\left\|\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right\|_{\mathscr{H} \bullet\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)}^{2} \tag{3.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.15), (3.55) and (3.56), for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $c_{m}>0, R_{m}>0$, such that, for any $R>R_{m}$, any $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right) \in \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D_{Z_{R}}^{F, 2 m}\left(F_{Z_{R}}-G_{Z_{R}}\right)\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right\|_{Z_{R}} \leqslant e^{-c_{m} R}\left\|\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right\|_{\mathscr{H}}{\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)} . \tag{3.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu_{0} & =\mathscr{F}_{Z_{R}}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)-\mathscr{G}_{Z_{R}}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right), \\
\mu_{1} & =F_{Z_{R}}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)-\mathscr{F}_{Z_{R}}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right),  \tag{3.58}\\
\mu_{2} & =G_{Z_{R}}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)-\mathscr{G}_{Z_{R}}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(F_{Z_{R}}-G_{Z_{R}}\right)\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)=\mu_{0}+\mu_{1}-\mu_{2} . \tag{3.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 1.1 and (3.30), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{0} \in \operatorname{ker}\left(D_{Z_{R}}^{F, 2}\right), \quad \mu_{1} \in \operatorname{Im}\left(d^{F}\right), \quad \mu_{2} \in \operatorname{Im}\left(d^{F, *}\right) \tag{3.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $m>0$, by (1.6), $D_{Z_{R}}^{F, 2 m}$ commutes with $d^{F}$ and $d^{F, *}$, thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{Z_{R}}^{F, 2 m} \mu_{1} \in \operatorname{Im}\left(d^{F}\right), \quad D_{Z_{R}}^{F, 2 m} \mu_{2} \in \operatorname{Im}\left(d^{F, *}\right) \tag{3.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consequence, $D_{Z_{R}}^{F, 2 m} \mu_{0}, D_{Z_{R}}^{F, 2 m} \mu_{1}$ and $D_{Z_{R}}^{F, 2 m} \mu_{2}$ are mutually orhogonal. Thus, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, by (3.59) and (3.61), we get

$$
\left\|D_{Z_{R}}^{F, 2 m}\left(F_{Z_{R}}-\mathscr{F}_{Z_{R}}\right)\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right\|_{Z_{R}}=\left\|D_{Z_{R}}^{F, 2 m} \mu_{1}\right\|_{Z_{R}} \leqslant\left\|D_{Z_{R}}^{F, 2 m}\left(F_{Z_{R}}-G_{Z_{R}}\right)\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right\|_{Z_{R}}
$$

By (3.57) and (3.62), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D_{Z_{R}}^{F, 2 m}\left(F_{Z_{R}}-\mathscr{F}_{Z_{R}}\right)\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right\|_{Z_{R}} \leqslant e^{-c R}\left\|\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right\|_{\mathscr{H} \bullet\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)} . \tag{3.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.63) and Proposition 3.5, we get (3.49).
And the injectivity of $\mathscr{F}_{Z_{R}}$ follows from (3.37) and (3.49).
Remark 3.8. The Hodge decomposition is used in an essential way at (3.60), thus, the proof of Proposition 3.7 cannot be applied to general Dirac operators.
Proposition 3.9. For any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $R_{0}>0$ such that, for $R>R_{0}$, any eigensection of $D_{Z_{R}}^{F}$ with eigenvalue $\left.\lambda \in\right]-R^{-1-\varepsilon}, R^{-1-\varepsilon}\left[\right.$ is contained in the image of $\mathscr{F}_{Z_{R}}$.
Proof. Suppose the contrary, i.e., there exist $R_{i} \rightarrow+\infty, \omega_{i} \in \Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{R_{i}}, F\right)$ and $\lambda_{i} \in$ ] $-R_{i}^{-1-\varepsilon}, R_{i}^{-1-\varepsilon}[$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega_{i} \neq 0, \quad D_{Z_{R_{i}}}^{F} \omega_{i}=\lambda_{i} \omega_{i},  \tag{3.64}\\
& \omega_{i} \perp \operatorname{Im}\left(\mathscr{F}_{Z_{R_{i}}}\right) \tag{3.65}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 2.1, we may multiply a suitable constant, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\omega_{i}\right\|_{Z_{R_{i}} \backslash I_{R_{i}} Y}^{2}=\left\|\omega_{i}\right\|_{Z_{1,0}}^{2}+\left\|\omega_{i}\right\|_{Z_{2,0}}^{2}=1 \tag{3.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.1 and (3.64), there exists $C>0$, such that, for any $T \in \mathbb{N}$, if $R_{i} \geqslant T$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\omega_{i}\right\|_{Z_{1, T}}^{2} \leqslant 1+C T \tag{3.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, for any $T \in \mathbb{N}$ fixed, the series $\left(\left.\omega_{i}\right|_{Z_{1, T}}\right)_{i}$ is $L^{2}$-bounded.
Since $\lambda_{i}$ are bounded, using Rellich's lemma, we may suppose, by extracting a subsequence, that $\left(\left.\omega_{i}\right|_{Z_{1, T}}\right)_{i}$ converges with respect to the $k$-th Sobolev norm for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By Sobolev imbedding theorem, the convergence is with respect to $\mathscr{C}^{1}$-norm. By a diagonal argument (involving $i$ and $T$ ), we get $\omega_{1, \infty} \in \Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{1, \infty}, F\right)$, such that, for any $T \in \mathbb{N},\left(\left.\omega_{i}\right|_{Z_{1, T}}\right)_{i}$ converges to $\left.\omega_{1, \infty}\right|_{Z_{1, T}}$ (with respect to $\mathscr{C}^{1}$-norm). By taking the limit of (3.64), we get $D_{Z_{1, \infty}}^{F} \omega_{1, \infty}=0$. Furthermore, by taking the limit of (3.67), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\omega_{1, \infty}\right\|_{Z_{1, T}}^{2} \leqslant 1+C T, \quad \text { for } T \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{3.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.13) and (3.68), $\omega_{1, \infty}$ is an extended $L^{2}$-solution, i.e., there exists $\hat{\omega}_{1}$ such that $\left(\omega_{1, \infty}, \hat{\omega}_{1}\right) \in \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{1, \infty}, F\right)$. In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\omega_{i}^{z m}\right|_{\partial Z_{1,0}} \rightarrow \hat{\omega}_{1}, \text { as } i \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

By repeating the same proccedure for $\left.\omega_{i}\right|_{Z_{2, T}}$, we find $\left(\omega_{2, \infty}, \hat{\omega}_{2}\right) \in \mathscr{H} \bullet\left(Z_{2, \infty}, F\right)$ satisfying the same properties. In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\omega_{i}^{z \mathrm{~m}}\right|_{\partial Z_{2,0}} \rightarrow \hat{\omega}_{2}, \text { as } i \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.14), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\omega_{i}^{\mathrm{zm}, \pm}\right|_{\partial Z_{2,0}}=\left.e^{ \pm 2 \sqrt{-1} R_{i} \lambda_{i}} \omega_{i}^{\mathrm{zm}, \pm}\right|_{\partial Z_{1,0}} . \tag{3.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $R_{i} \lambda_{i} \rightarrow 0$, by (3.69)-(3.71), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\omega}_{1}=\hat{\omega}_{2} \tag{3.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\left(\omega_{1, \infty}, \omega_{2, \infty}, \hat{\omega}_{1}\right) \in \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)$.

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\omega}_{i}=\mathscr{F}_{Z_{R_{i}}}\left(\omega_{1, \infty}, \omega_{2, \infty}, \hat{\omega}_{1}\right) . \tag{3.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 1 , $\hat{\omega}_{1} \neq 0$ : We want to show that $\left\langle\omega_{i}, \tilde{\omega}_{i}\right\rangle \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, which contradicts (3.65).

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\omega_{i}, \tilde{\omega}_{i}\right\rangle=\left\langle\omega_{i}, \tilde{\omega}_{i}\right\rangle_{Z_{R_{i}} \backslash I_{R_{i}} Y}+\left\langle\omega_{i}^{\mathrm{nz}}, \tilde{\omega}_{i}^{\mathrm{nz}}\right\rangle_{I_{R_{i}} Y}+\left\langle\omega_{i}^{\mathrm{zm}}, \tilde{\omega}_{i}^{\mathrm{zm}}\right\rangle_{I_{R_{i}} Y} . \tag{3.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 3.7, $\left\langle\omega_{i}, \tilde{\omega}_{i}\right\rangle_{Z_{R_{i}} \backslash I_{R_{i}} Y}$ and $\left\langle\omega_{i}^{\mathrm{nz}}, \tilde{\omega}_{i}^{\mathrm{nz}}\right\rangle_{I_{R_{i}} Y}$ are bounded, when $i \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, it is sufficient to show that $\left\langle\omega_{i}^{z \mathrm{~m}}, \tilde{\omega}_{i}^{z \mathrm{~m}}\right\rangle_{I_{R_{i}} Y} \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$.

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\omega_{i}^{\mathrm{zm}}, \tilde{\omega}_{i}^{\mathrm{zm}}\right\rangle_{I_{R_{i}} Y}=\left\langle\omega_{i}^{\mathrm{zm}}, \pi_{Y}^{*} \hat{\omega}_{1}\right\rangle_{I_{R_{i}} Y}+\left\langle\omega_{i}^{\mathrm{zm}}, \tilde{\omega}_{i}^{\mathrm{zm}}-\pi_{Y}^{*} \hat{\omega}_{1}\right\rangle_{I_{R_{i}} Y} . \tag{3.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Definition 2.10, 3.1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{Y}^{*} \hat{\omega}_{1}=\left(\left.F_{Z_{R_{i}}}\left(\omega_{1, \infty}, \omega_{2, \infty}, \hat{\omega}_{1}\right)\right|_{I_{R_{i}} Y}\right)^{\mathrm{zm}} \tag{3.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by Proposition 3.7,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\omega_{i}^{\mathrm{zm}}, \tilde{\omega}_{i}^{\mathrm{zm}}-\pi_{Y}^{*} \hat{\omega}_{1}\right\rangle_{I_{R_{i}} Y} \rightarrow 0, \text { as } i \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.14) and the fact that $R_{i} \lambda_{i} \rightarrow 0$, the restriction of $\omega_{i}^{\mathrm{zm}}$ to $I_{R_{i}} Y(u)\left(u \in\left[-R_{i}, R_{i}\right]\right)$ converges uniformly to the same limit. Then, by (3.69), they all converge to $\hat{\omega}_{1}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\omega_{i}^{\mathrm{zm}}, \pi_{Y}^{*} \hat{\omega}_{1}\right\rangle_{I_{R_{i}} Y}=\int_{-R_{i}}^{R_{i}}\left\langle\left.\omega_{i}^{\mathrm{zm}}\right|_{I_{R_{i}} Y(u)}, \hat{\omega}_{1}\right\rangle_{Y} d u \rightarrow+\infty, \text { as } i \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

This ends the first case.
Case 2, $\hat{\omega}_{1}=0$ : We want to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\omega_{i}, \tilde{\omega}_{i}\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\|\omega_{1, \infty}\right\|_{Z_{1, \infty}}^{2}+\left\|\omega_{2, \infty}\right\|_{Z_{2, \infty}}^{2}>0, \text { as } i \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

which contradicts (3.65).
For any $T>0, R_{i}>T$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\omega_{i}, \tilde{\omega}_{i}\right\rangle=\left\langle\omega_{i}, \tilde{\omega}_{i}\right\rangle_{Z_{1, T} \cup Z_{2, T}}+\left\langle\omega_{i}^{\mathrm{nz}}, \tilde{\omega}_{i}^{\mathrm{nz}}\right\rangle_{I_{R_{i}} Y\left(\left[-R_{i}+T, R_{i}-T\right]\right)}+\left\langle\omega_{i}^{\mathrm{zm}}, \tilde{\omega}_{i}^{z \mathrm{~m}}\right\rangle_{I_{R_{i}} Y\left(\left[-R_{i}+T, R_{i}-T\right]\right)} . \tag{3.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Definition 3.1 and Proposition 3.7,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\omega_{i}, \tilde{\omega}_{i}\right\rangle_{Z_{1, T} \cup Z_{2, T}} \rightarrow\left\|\omega_{1, \infty}\right\|_{Z_{1, T}}^{2}+\left\|\omega_{2, \infty}\right\|_{Z_{2, T}}^{2}, \text { as } i \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma2.1, if $R_{i}>\delta_{Y}^{-1}$ and $\lambda_{i}<\frac{1}{2} \delta_{Y}$ (which hold for $i$ large enough), (3.82)

$$
\left|\left\langle\omega_{i}^{\mathrm{nz}}, \tilde{\omega}_{i}^{\mathrm{nz}}\right\rangle_{I_{R_{i}} Y\left(\left[-R_{i}+T, R_{i}-T\right]\right)}\right| \leqslant 8 \delta_{Y}^{-1}\left(\left\|\omega_{i}\right\|_{\partial Z_{1, T}}+\left\|\omega_{i}\right\|_{\partial Z_{2, T}}\right)\left(\left\|\tilde{\omega}_{i}\right\|_{\partial Z_{1, T}}+\left\|\tilde{\omega}_{i}\right\|_{\partial Z_{2, T}}\right) .
$$

Furthermore, as $i \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\left\|\omega_{i}\right\|_{\partial Z_{1, T}}+\left\|\omega_{i}\right\|_{\partial Z_{2, T}}\right)\left(\left\|\tilde{\omega}_{i}\right\|_{\partial Z_{1, T}}+\left\|\tilde{\omega}_{i}\right\|_{\partial Z_{2, T}}\right) \rightarrow\left(\left\|\omega_{1, \infty}\right\|_{\partial Z_{1, T}}+\left\|\omega_{2, \infty}\right\|_{\partial Z_{2, T}}\right)^{2}, \tag{3.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { The same proccedure as (3.77) combined with the fact that } \hat{\omega}_{1}=0 \text { yields } \tag{3.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\omega_{i}^{\mathrm{zm}}, \tilde{\omega}_{i}^{\mathrm{zm}}\right\rangle_{I_{R_{i}} Y\left(\left[-R_{i}+T, R_{i}-T\right]\right)} \rightarrow 0, \text { as } i \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.80)-(3.85), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle\omega_{i}, \tilde{\omega}_{i}\right\rangle \leqslant\left\|\omega_{1, \infty}\right\|_{Z_{1, T}}^{2}+\left\|\omega_{2, \infty}\right\|_{Z_{2, T}}^{2}+8 \delta_{Y}^{-1} e^{-2 \delta_{Y} T}\left(\left\|\omega_{1, \infty}\right\|_{\partial Z_{1,0}}+\left\|\omega_{2, \infty}\right\|_{\partial Z_{2,0}}\right)^{2},  \tag{3.86}\\
& \liminf _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle\omega_{i}, \tilde{\omega}_{i}\right\rangle \geqslant\left\|\omega_{1, \infty}\right\|_{Z_{1, T}}^{2}+\left\|\omega_{2, \infty}\right\|_{Z_{2, T}}^{2}-8 \delta_{Y}^{-1} e^{-2 \delta_{Y} T}\left(\left\|\omega_{1, \infty}\right\|_{\partial Z_{1,0}}+\left\|\omega_{2, \infty}\right\|_{\partial Z_{2,0}}\right)^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.86) for $T \rightarrow \infty$, we get (3.79).
Theorem 3.10. There exists $R_{0}>0$ such that, for $R>R_{0}$, the map $\mathscr{F}_{Z_{R}}: \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{ker}\left(D_{Z_{R}}^{F, 2}\right)$ is bijective, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\operatorname{Sp}\left(D_{Z_{R}}^{F}\right) \subseteq\right]-\infty,-R^{-1-\varepsilon}[\cup\{0\} \cup] R^{-1-\varepsilon},+\infty[ \tag{3.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. These are immediate consequences of Proposition 3.7, Proposition 3.9,
3.4. Approximating the small eigenvalues. For $j=1,2$, let $D_{Z_{j, \infty}, \mathrm{pp}}^{F}$ be the restriction of $D_{Z_{j, \infty}}^{F}$ to its p.p. spectrum, which is defind in $\S 2.3$. We fix $\delta_{Z_{j}}>0$ such that $D_{Z_{j, \infty}, \mathrm{pp}}^{F}$ has no eigenvalue in ] - $\delta_{Z_{j}}, \delta_{Z_{j}}$ [ other than 0 . Set $\delta=\frac{1}{2} \min \left\{\delta_{Y}, \delta_{Z_{1}}, \delta_{Z_{2}}\right\}$.

We recall that $\mathscr{E}_{A, R}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)$ was defined in (3.21), $I_{1, R} Y, I_{2, R} Y, I_{R} Y$ were defined at the end of subsection 3.1 and $\chi_{R}^{ \pm}$was defined at the beginning of $\$ 3.3$,
Definition 3.11. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{A, Z_{R}}: \mathscr{E}_{A, R}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right) \rightarrow \Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{R}, F\right), \tag{3.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that, for any $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{1}^{\mathrm{zm}}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{2}^{\mathrm{zm}}\right) \in \mathscr{E}_{A, R}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.J_{A, Z_{R}}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{1}^{\mathrm{zm}}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{2}^{\mathrm{zm}}\right)\right|_{Z_{j, 0}} & =\omega_{j}, \quad \text { for } j=1,2, \\
\left.J_{A, Z_{R}}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{1}^{z \mathrm{~m}}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{2}^{z \mathrm{~m}}\right)\right|_{I_{R} Y} & =\left.\chi_{1, R} \omega_{1}\right|_{I_{1,2 R} Y}+\left.\chi_{2, R} \omega_{2}\right|_{I_{2,2 R} Y}  \tag{3.89}\\
& +\left.\left(1-\chi_{1, R}-\chi_{2, R}\right) \omega_{1}^{\mathrm{zm}}\right|_{I_{1,2 R} Y}
\end{align*}
$$

where, by the identification $I_{R} Y \simeq I_{j, 2 R} Y(j=1,2),\left.\omega_{j}\right|_{I_{j, 2 R} Y}$ is seen as a section on $I_{R} Y$, and the same for $\left.\omega_{1}^{\mathrm{zm}}\right|_{I_{1,2 R} Y}$.

Let $\mathscr{E}_{B}\left(Z_{R}, F\right) \subseteq \Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{R}, F\right)$ be the eigenspace of $D_{Z_{R}}^{F}$ with eigenvalues in $B$. Let $P_{Z_{R}}^{B}: \Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{R}, F\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{E}_{B}\left(Z_{R}, F\right)$ be the orthogonal projection.
Definition 3.12. Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{J}_{A, B, Z_{R}}=P_{Z_{R}}^{B} \circ J_{A, Z_{R}}: \mathscr{E}_{A, R}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{E}_{B}\left(Z_{R}, F\right) \tag{3.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha>0$, we note $A \subseteq \subseteq_{\alpha} B$, if $] x-\alpha, x+\alpha[\subseteq B$ for any $x \in A$.
Proposition 3.13. There exist $R_{0}>0, c>0$, such that, for $R>R_{0}, A \subseteq_{e^{-c R}} B \subseteq$ $]-\delta, 0[\cup] 0, \delta\left[,\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{1}^{\mathrm{zm}}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{2}^{\mathrm{zm}}\right) \in \mathscr{E}_{A, R}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)\right.$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\mathscr{J}_{A, B, Z_{R}}-J_{A, Z_{R}}\right)\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{1}^{z \mathrm{~m}}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{2}^{z \mathrm{~m}}\right)\right\|_{\mathscr{C}^{0}, Z_{R}} \leqslant e^{-c R}\left\|\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{1}^{z \mathrm{~m}}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{2}^{z \mathrm{~m}}\right)\right\|_{\mathscr{E}_{A, R}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)} . \tag{3.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consequence, $\mathscr{J}_{A, B, Z_{R}}$ is injective for $R$ large enough.

Proof. It suffices to consider the case $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{1}^{\mathrm{zm}}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{2}^{\mathrm{zm}}\right) \in \mathscr{E}_{\lambda_{0}, R}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)$ with $\lambda_{0} \in A$.
The same argument as (3.57) shows that, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $R_{m}>0, c_{m}>0$, such that, for $R>R_{m}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D_{Z_{R}}^{F, m}\left(D_{Z_{R}}^{F}-\lambda_{0}\right) J_{A, Z_{R}}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{1}^{\mathrm{zm}}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{2}^{\mathrm{zm}}\right)\right\|_{Z_{R}}^{2} \leqslant e^{-3 c_{m} R}\left\|\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{1}^{\mathrm{zm}}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{2}^{\mathrm{zm}}\right)\right\|_{\mathscr{E}_{A, R}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)}^{2} . \tag{3.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

We decompose $J_{A, Z_{R}}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{1}^{\mathrm{zm}}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{2}^{z \mathrm{~m}}\right) \in \Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{R}, F\right)$ by the eigensections of $D_{Z_{R}}^{F}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{A, Z_{R}}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{1}^{z \mathrm{~m}}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{2}^{\mathrm{zm}}\right)=\sum_{\lambda} \mu_{\lambda} \tag{3.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $D_{Z_{R}}^{F} \mu_{\lambda}=\lambda \mu_{\lambda}$, in particuler, these $\mu_{\lambda}$ are mutually orthogonal. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{J}_{A, B, Z_{R}}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{1}^{z \mathrm{~m}}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{2}^{\mathrm{zm}}\right)=\sum_{\lambda \in B} \mu_{\lambda} . \tag{3.94}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.92) and (3.93), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\left|\lambda-\lambda_{0}\right|>e^{-c_{m} R}}\left\|D_{Z_{R}}^{F, m} \mu_{\lambda}\right\|_{Z_{R}}^{2} \leqslant e^{-2 c_{m} R}\left\|\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{1}^{\mathrm{zm}}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{2}^{z \mathrm{~m}}\right)\right\|_{\mathscr{E}_{A, R}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)}^{2} \tag{3.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Proposition 3.5 and (3.93)-(3.95), we get (3.91).
Lemma 3.14. For any $\varepsilon>0$, there exist $R_{0}>0, C>0$, such that for any $R>R_{0}$, $\omega \in \Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{R}, F\right)$ an eigensection with eigenvalue $\left.\lambda \in\right]-\delta+\varepsilon, 0[\cup] 0, \delta-\varepsilon[$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\omega^{\mathrm{zm},+}\right\|_{Y}^{2}+\left\|\omega^{\mathrm{zm},-}\right\|_{Y}^{2} \geqslant C\|\omega\|_{Z_{1,0}}^{2} Z_{2,0} \tag{3.96}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $\omega^{z \mathrm{~m}}$ is non zero.
Proof. Suppose the contrary, i.e., there exist $R_{i} \rightarrow+\infty, \omega_{i} \in \Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{R_{i}}, F\right)$ and $\lambda_{i} \in$ $]-\delta+\varepsilon, 0[\cup] 0, \delta-\varepsilon[$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{Z_{R_{i}}}^{F} \omega_{i}=\lambda_{i} \omega_{i} \tag{3.97}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\|\omega_{i}^{\mathrm{zm},+}\right\|_{Y}^{2}+\left\|\omega_{i}^{\mathrm{zm},-}\right\|_{Y}^{2}}{\left\|\omega_{i}\right\|_{Z_{1,0} \cup Z_{2,0}}^{2}} \rightarrow 0, \text { as } i \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.98}
\end{equation*}
$$

By extracting subsequence, we may assume that $\lambda_{i} \rightarrow \lambda_{\infty}$. By Lemma $2.1,\left\|\omega_{i}\right\|_{Z_{1,0} \cup Z_{2,0}}^{2} \neq$ 0 , we may multiply suitable constants such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\omega_{i}\right\|_{Z_{1,0} \cup Z_{2,0}}^{2}=1 \tag{3.99}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.98) and (3.99), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\omega_{i}^{\mathrm{zm},+}\right\|_{Y}^{2}+\left\|\omega_{i}^{\mathrm{zm},-}\right\|_{Y}^{2} \rightarrow 0, \text { as } i \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.100}
\end{equation*}
$$

Same as the proof of Proposition 3.9, by extracting subsequence, we may assume that there exist $\omega_{1, \infty} \in \Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{1, \infty}, F\right), \omega_{2, \infty} \in \Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{2, \infty}, F\right)$, such that, for any $T \in \mathbb{N}$, $\left(\left.\omega_{i}\right|_{Z_{j, T}}\right)_{i}$ converges to $\left.\omega_{j, \infty}\right|_{Z_{j, T}}(j=1,2)$ with respect to the $\mathscr{C}^{1}$-norm. By taking the limit of (3.97) and (3.100), we get, for $j=1,2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{Z_{j, \infty}}^{F} \omega_{j, \infty}=\lambda_{\infty} \omega_{j, \infty}, \quad \omega_{j, \infty}^{z \mathrm{~m}, \pm}=0 \tag{3.101}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking the limit of (3.99), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\omega_{1, \infty}\right\|_{Z_{1,0}}^{2}+\left\|\omega_{2, \infty}\right\|_{Z_{2,0}}^{2}=1 \tag{3.102}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, at least one of $\omega_{1, \infty}, \omega_{2, \infty}$ is non zero. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\omega_{1, \infty}$ is non zero. By (3.101), $\omega_{1, \infty}$ is zeromode free, then, by Lemma 2.1, $\omega_{1, \infty}$ is a $L^{2}$-eigensection, so $\lambda_{\infty} \in \operatorname{Sp}\left(D_{Z_{1, \infty}, \text { pp }}^{F}\right)$. But $\left|\lambda_{\infty}\right|<\delta \leqslant \delta_{Z_{1}}$, by the definition of $\delta_{Z_{1}}$, we must have $\lambda_{\infty}=0$. Thus, $\omega_{1, \infty} \in \mathscr{H}_{L^{2}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{1, \infty}, F\right)$.

Recall that $\mathscr{F}_{Z_{R_{i}}}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ was defined in (3.48). Same as (3.79), we can show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\omega_{i}, \mathscr{F}_{Z_{R_{i}}}\left(\omega_{1, \infty}, 0,0\right)\right\rangle \rightarrow\left\|\omega_{1, \infty}\right\|^{2}>0, \text { as } i \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.103}
\end{equation*}
$$

But, by (3.97), $\lambda_{i} \neq 0$ and $\mathscr{F}_{Z_{R_{i}}}\left(\omega_{1, \infty}, 0,0\right) \in \operatorname{ker}\left(D_{Z_{R_{i}}}^{F, 2}\right)$, we have $\omega_{i} \perp \mathscr{F}_{Z_{R_{i}}}\left(\omega_{1, \infty}, 0,0\right)$. This contradicts (3.103).
Lemma 3.15. For any $\varepsilon>0$, there exist $R_{0}>0, c>0$, such that for $R>R_{0}, \omega \in$ $\Omega \bullet\left(Z_{R}, F\right)$ eigensection of $D_{Z_{R}}^{F}$ with eigenvalue $\left.\lambda \in\right]-\delta+\varepsilon, 0[\cup] 0, \delta-\varepsilon[$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left.C_{j}(\lambda) \omega^{\mathrm{zm},-}\right|_{\partial Z_{j, 0}}-\left.\omega^{\mathrm{zm},+}\right|_{\partial Z_{j, 0}}\right\|_{Y} \leqslant e^{-c R}\|\omega\|_{Z_{1,0} \cup Z_{2,0}}, \quad \text { for } j=1,2 \tag{3.104}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left.\left(e^{4 i \lambda R} C_{12}(\lambda)-1\right) \omega^{\mathrm{zm},-}\right|_{\partial Z_{1,0}}\right\|_{Y} \leqslant e^{-c R}\|\omega\|_{Z_{1,0} \cup Z_{2,0}} \tag{3.105}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We follow the argument of [31, 33].
By the symmetry, it is sufficient to establish (3.104) with $j=1$.
Let $\omega \in \Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{R}, F\right)$ be an eigensection of $D_{Z_{R}}^{F}$ with eigenvalue $\left.\lambda \in\right]-\delta+\varepsilon, 0[\cup] 0, \delta-$ $\varepsilon\left[\right.$. Recall (2.13), there exist $\phi, \phi^{\prime} \in \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\omega\right|_{I_{1, R} Y}=e^{-i \lambda u_{1}}\left(\phi-i c\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right) \phi\right)+e^{i \lambda u_{1}}\left(\phi^{\prime}+i c\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right) \phi^{\prime}\right)+\omega^{\mathrm{nz}} . \tag{3.106}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Proposition 2.5, there exists $\left(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\omega}^{z \mathrm{~m}}\right) \in \mathscr{E}_{\lambda}^{\circ}\left(Z_{1, \infty}, F\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\omega}^{z \mathrm{~m}}=e^{-i \lambda u_{1}}\left(\phi-i c\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right) \phi\right)+e^{i \lambda u_{1}} C_{1}(\lambda)\left(\phi-i c\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right) \phi\right) . \tag{3.107}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=\omega-\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{1, R}, F\right) . \tag{3.108}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, $\mu$ is also an eigensection of $D_{Z_{R}}^{F}$ with eigenvalue $\lambda$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle D_{Z_{R}}^{F} \mu, \mu\right\rangle_{Z_{1, R}}-\left\langle\mu, D_{Z_{R}}^{F} \mu\right\rangle_{Z_{1, R}}=\langle\lambda \mu, \mu\rangle_{Z_{1, R}}-\langle\mu, \lambda \mu\rangle_{Z_{1, R}}=0 . \tag{3.109}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by (2.11) and (3.106)-(3.108), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle D_{Z_{R}}^{F} \mu, \mu\right\rangle_{Z_{1, R}}-\left\langle\mu, D_{Z_{R}}^{F} \mu\right\rangle_{Z_{1, R}} & =\left\langle c\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right) \mu, \mu\right\rangle_{\partial Z_{1, R}}  \tag{3.110}\\
& =-2 i\left\|\phi^{\prime}-C_{1}(\lambda) \phi\right\|_{Y}^{2}+\left\langle c\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right) \mu^{\mathrm{nz}}, \mu^{\mathrm{nz}}\right\rangle_{\partial Z_{1, R}} .
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.106) and (3.108)-(3.110), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\left.C_{1}(\lambda) \omega^{\mathrm{zm},-}\right|_{\partial Z_{1,0}}-\left.\omega^{\mathrm{zm},+}\right|_{\partial Z_{1,0}}\right\|_{Y}^{2} & =-i\left\langle c\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right) \mu^{\mathrm{nz}}, \mu^{\mathrm{nz}}\right\rangle_{\partial Z_{1, R}}  \tag{3.111}\\
& \leqslant\left\|\mu^{\mathrm{nz}}\right\|_{\partial Z_{1, R}}^{2} \leqslant\left\|\omega^{\mathrm{nz}}\right\|_{\partial Z_{1, R}}^{2}+\left\|\tilde{\omega}^{\mathrm{nz}}\right\|_{\partial Z_{1, R}}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.18), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\omega^{\mathrm{nz}}\right\|_{\partial Z_{1, R}}^{2} \leqslant e^{-\varepsilon R}\|\omega\|_{\partial Z_{1,0} \cup \partial Z_{2,0}}^{2}, \quad\left\|\tilde{\omega}^{\mathrm{nz}}\right\|_{\partial Z_{1, R}}^{2} \leqslant e^{-\varepsilon R}\|\tilde{\omega}\|_{\partial Z_{1,0}}^{2} \tag{3.112}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.56), we can show that there exists $C_{1}>0$, determined by $Z_{1}, Z_{2}, F$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\omega\|_{\partial Z_{1,0} \cup \partial Z_{2,0}}^{2} \leqslant C_{1}\|\omega\|_{Z_{1,0} \cup Z_{2,0}}^{2}, \quad\|\tilde{\omega}\|_{\partial Z_{1,0}}^{2} \leqslant C_{1}\|\tilde{\omega}\|_{Z_{1,0}}^{2} . \tag{3.113}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.33) and (3.106), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\tilde{\omega}\|_{Z_{1,0}}^{2} \leqslant C_{2}\left\|\phi-i c\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right) \phi\right\|_{Y}^{2} \leqslant C_{2}\|\omega\|_{\partial Z_{1,0}}^{2} . \tag{3.114}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.111)-(3.114), we get (3.104) with $j=1$.
Lemma 3.16. For any $\varepsilon>0$, there exist $R_{0}>0, c>0$, such that, for any $R>R_{0}$, $\omega \in \Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{R}, F\right)$ an eigensection with eigenvalue $\left.\lambda_{0} \in\right]-\delta+\varepsilon, 0[\cup] 0, \delta-\varepsilon[$, there exists $\tilde{\omega} \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\mathscr{J}_{] \lambda_{0}-e^{-c R}, \lambda_{0}+e^{-c R}[,]-\delta, 0[\cup] 0, \delta\left[, Z_{R}\right.}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\omega^{\mathrm{zm}}-\tilde{\omega}^{z \mathrm{~m}}\right\|_{I_{R} Y} \leqslant e^{-c R}\|\omega\|_{Z_{1,0} \cup Z_{2,0}} . \tag{3.115}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We claim that there exist $c>0, C>0, R_{0}>0$, such that for any $R>R_{0}$, $\omega \in \Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{R}, F\right)$ an eigensection with eigenvalue $\left.\lambda_{0} \in\right]-\delta+\varepsilon, 0[\cup] 0, \delta-\varepsilon[$, there exists $\mu \in \operatorname{Im}\left(J_{] \lambda_{0}-e^{-c R}, \lambda_{0}+e^{-c R}, Z_{R}}\right)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\omega^{\mathrm{zm}}-\mu^{\mathrm{zm}}\right\|_{I_{R} Y} \leqslant e^{-c R}\|\omega\|_{Z_{1,0} \cup Z_{2,0}}, \quad\|\mu\|_{Z_{1,0} \cup Z_{2,0}} \leqslant C\|\omega\|_{Z_{1,0} \cup Z_{2,0}} \tag{3.116}
\end{equation*}
$$

Once (3.116) is proved, (3.115) follows : we may enlarge $R_{0}$ if necessary, then, by Theorem 3.10, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
] \lambda_{0}-e^{-c R}, \lambda_{0}+e^{-c R}\left[\subseteq_{e^{-c R}}\right]-\delta, 0[\cup] 0, \delta[. \tag{3.117}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{1}^{\mathrm{zm}}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{2}^{\mathrm{zm}}\right) \in \mathscr{E}_{] \lambda_{0}-e^{-c R}, \lambda_{0}+e^{-c R}[, R}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=J_{] \lambda_{0}-e^{-c R}, \lambda_{0}+e^{-c R}}, Z_{R}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{1}^{z \mathrm{~m}}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{2}^{\mathrm{zm}}\right) . \tag{3.118}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Definition 3.11, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\mu\|_{Z_{1,0} \cup Z_{2,0}}=\left\|\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{1}^{z \mathrm{~m}}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{2}^{z \mathrm{~m}}\right)\right\|_{\mathscr{E}_{\left[\lambda_{0}-e^{-c R}, \lambda_{0}+e^{-c R}[, R\right.}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)} . \tag{3.119}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\omega}=\mathscr{J}_{] \lambda_{0}-e^{-c R}, \lambda_{0}+e^{-c R}[,]-\delta, 0[\cup] 0, \delta\left[, Z_{R}\right.}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{1}^{z \mathrm{~m}}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{2}^{\mathrm{zm}}\right) . \tag{3.120}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Proposition 3.13, (3.116), (3.117) and (3.119), we get (3.115).
Now we prove (3.116).
Since $\omega$ is an eigensection of $D_{Z_{R}}^{F}$ with eigenvalue $\lambda_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{\mathrm{zm}}=e^{-i \lambda_{0} u_{1}}\left(\left.\omega^{\mathrm{zm},-}\right|_{\partial Z_{1,0}}\right)+e^{i \lambda_{0} u_{1}}\left(\left.\omega^{\mathrm{zm},+}\right|_{\partial Z_{1,0}}\right) . \tag{3.121}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 3.15, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left.C_{1}\left(\lambda_{0}\right) \omega^{\mathrm{zm},-}\right|_{\partial Z_{1,0}}-\left.\omega^{z \mathrm{~m},+}\right|_{\partial Z_{1,0}}\right\|_{Y} \leqslant e^{-c R}\|\omega\|_{Z_{1,0} \cup Z_{2,0}}, \\
& \left\|\left.e^{4 i \lambda_{0} R} C_{12}\left(\lambda_{0}\right) \omega^{z \mathrm{~m},-}\right|_{\partial Z_{1,0}}-\left.\omega^{\mathrm{zm},-}\right|_{\partial Z_{1,0}}\right\|_{Y} \leqslant e^{-c R}\|\omega\|_{Z_{1,0} \cup Z_{2,0}} . \tag{3.122}
\end{align*}
$$

Same as (3.56), as a consequence of Trace Theorem and elliptic estimation, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left.\omega^{z \mathrm{~m},-}\right|_{\partial Z_{1,0}}\right\|_{Y} \leqslant\left\|\left.\omega\right|_{\partial Z_{1,0}}\right\|_{Y} \leqslant C\|\omega\|_{Z_{1,0} \cup Z_{2,0}} \tag{3.123}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.96) and (3.122), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\omega\|_{Z_{1,0} \cup Z_{2,0}} \leqslant C\left\|\left.\omega^{\mathrm{zm},-}\right|_{\partial Z_{1,0}}\right\|_{Y} \tag{3.124}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Proposition 8.2, (3.122), (3.123) and (3.124), there exist $\phi_{j} \in \mathscr{H} \bullet(Y, F[d u])$, $\lambda_{j} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varphi_{j} \in \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])\left(j=1, \cdots, \operatorname{dim} \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])\right)$, such that, we have the following orthogonal decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\omega^{\mathrm{zm},-}\right|_{\partial Z_{1,0}}=\sum_{j=1}^{\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{H} \cdot(Y, F[d u])} \phi_{j}, \tag{3.125}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\lambda_{j}-\lambda_{0}\right|<e^{-c R}, \quad\left\|\varphi_{j}-\phi_{j}\right\|_{Y}<e^{-c R}\|\omega\|_{Z_{1,0} \cup Z_{2,0}},  \tag{3.126}\\
& e^{4 i R \lambda_{j}} C_{12}\left(\lambda_{j}\right) \varphi_{j}=\varphi_{j} .
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.17) and (3.21), we can find $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{1}^{\mathrm{zm}}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{2}^{\mathrm{zm}}\right) \in \mathscr{E}_{A, R}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{1}^{\mathrm{zm}}=\sum_{j=1}^{\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{H} \bullet(Y Y F[d u])}\left(e^{-i \lambda_{j} u_{1}} \varphi_{j}+e^{i \lambda_{j} u_{1}} C_{1}\left(\lambda_{j}\right) \varphi_{j}\right) \tag{3.127}
\end{equation*}
$$

We take

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu=J_{A, Z_{R}}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{1}^{\mathrm{zm}}, \omega_{2}, \omega_{2}^{\mathrm{zm}}\right) . \tag{3.128}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, under the natural identification $I_{R} Y \simeq I_{1,2 R} Y \subseteq I_{1, \infty} Y$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu^{\mathrm{zm}}=\omega_{1}^{\mathrm{zm}} \tag{3.129}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the first inequality in (3.116), by (3.121), (3.127) and (3.129), it suffices to show that, for $u_{1} \in[0,2 R]$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \| e^{-i \lambda_{0} u_{1}}\left(\left.\omega^{\mathrm{zm},-}\right|_{\partial Z_{1,0}}\right)+e^{i \lambda_{0} u_{1}}\left(\left.\omega^{\mathrm{zm},+}\right|_{\partial Z_{1,0}}\right)  \tag{3.130}\\
& \quad-\sum_{j=1}^{\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{H} \bullet(Y, F[d u])}\left(e^{-i \lambda_{j} u_{1}} \varphi_{j}+e^{i \lambda_{j} u_{1}} C_{1}\left(\lambda_{j}\right) \varphi_{j}\right)\left\|_{Y} \leqslant e^{-c R}\right\| \omega \|_{Z_{1,0} \cup Z_{2,0}} .
\end{align*}
$$

This is a consequence of (3.122), (3.125) and (3.126).
For the second inequality in (3.116), by Definition3.11, (2.33), (3.127) and (3.128), it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{H} \bullet(Y, F[d u])}\left\|\varphi_{j}\right\|_{Y} \leqslant C\|\omega\|_{Z_{1,0} \cup Z_{2,0}} \tag{3.131}
\end{equation*}
$$

This follows from (3.123), (3.125) and (3.126).
Proposition 3.17. For any $\varepsilon>0$, there exist $R_{0}>0, c>0$, such that for $R>R_{0}$, $\left.B \subseteq_{e^{-c R}} A \subseteq\right]-\delta+\varepsilon, 0[\cup] 0, \delta-\varepsilon\left[, \mathscr{J}_{A, B, Z_{R}}\right.$ is surjective.

Proof. Suppose the contrary, i.e., there exist $R_{i} \rightarrow+\infty, \omega_{i} \in \Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{R_{i}}, F\right)$ and $\lambda_{i} \in B$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{Z_{R_{i}}}^{F} \omega_{i}=\lambda_{i} \omega_{i}, \quad \omega_{i} \perp \operatorname{Im}\left(\mathscr{J}_{A, B, Z_{R_{i}}}\right) . \tag{3.132}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the construction of $\mathscr{J}_{A, B, Z_{R_{i}}}$ at the beginning of this subsection, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathscr{J}_{A,]-\delta, 0[\cup] 0, \delta, Z_{R_{i}}}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathscr{J}_{A, B, Z_{R_{i}}}\right) \oplus \operatorname{Im}\left(\mathscr{J}_{A,]-\delta, 0[\cup] 0, \delta \backslash \backslash B, Z_{R_{i}}}\right) \tag{3.133}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, $\mathscr{J}_{A,]-\delta, 0[\cup] 0, \delta \backslash \backslash B, Z_{R_{i}}}$ is spanned by some eigensections with eigenvalues in $]-\delta, 0[\cup] 0, \delta\lceil\backslash B$. Thus, by (3.132), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{i} \perp \operatorname{Im}\left(\mathscr{J}_{A,]-\delta, 0[\cup] 0, \delta\left[, Z_{R_{i}}\right.}\right) . \tag{3.134}
\end{equation*}
$$

By multiplying suitable constants, we may assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\omega_{i}\right\|_{Z_{1,0} \cup Z_{2,0}}=1 \tag{3.135}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by Proposition 3.14, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\omega_{i}^{\mathrm{zm},+}\right\|_{Y}^{2}+\left\|\omega_{i}^{\mathrm{zm},-}\right\|_{Y}^{2} \geqslant c>0 \tag{3.136}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 3.16, there exists $\tilde{\omega}_{i} \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\mathscr{J}_{A,]-\delta, 0[\cup] 0, \delta\left[, Z_{R_{i}}\right.}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\omega_{i}^{\mathrm{zm}}-\tilde{\omega}_{i}^{\mathrm{zm}}\right\|_{I_{R_{i}} Y} \rightarrow 0, \text { as } i \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.137}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.136), (3.137), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\omega_{i}^{z \mathrm{~m}}, \tilde{\omega}_{i}^{z \mathrm{~m}}\right\rangle \rightarrow \infty, \text { as } i \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.138}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.1 and (3.135), there exists $C>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\langle\omega_{i}, \tilde{\omega}_{i}\right\rangle-\left\langle\omega_{i}^{\mathrm{zm}}, \tilde{\omega}_{i}^{\mathrm{zm}}\right\rangle\right| \leqslant C, \tag{3.139}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, by (3.138), $\left\langle\omega_{i}, \tilde{\omega}_{i}\right\rangle$ tends to $\infty$. Contradiction with (3.132).
Theorem 3.18. For any $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $R_{0}>0$, such that, for $R>R_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\operatorname{Sp}\left(D_{Z_{R}}^{F}\right) \subseteq\right]-\infty,-R^{-1-\varepsilon}[\cup\{0\} \cup] R^{-1-\varepsilon}, \infty[ \tag{3.140}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, if we denote

$$
\begin{align*}
\Lambda_{R} \backslash\{0\} & =\left\{\lambda_{k}: k \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}\right\}, & \text { with } \cdots \leqslant \lambda_{-1}<0<\lambda_{1} \leqslant \lambda_{2} \leqslant \cdots,  \tag{3.141}\\
\operatorname{Sp}\left(D_{Z_{R}}^{F}\right) \backslash\{0\} & =\left\{\rho_{k}: k \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}\right\}, & \text { with } \cdots \leqslant \rho_{-1}<0<\rho_{1} \leqslant \rho_{2} \leqslant \cdots,
\end{align*}
$$

then, there exist $\gamma, c>0$, such that, for $R>R_{0}$ and $\left|\lambda_{k}\right|<\gamma$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\lambda_{k}-\rho_{k}\right| \leqslant e^{-c R} \tag{3.142}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 3.18. The proof of (3.140) is done in Theorem 3.10. We only prove the second part of the theorem.

We fix $\varepsilon, c$ and $R_{0}$ such that Theorem 3.10, Proposition 3.13 and Proposition 3.17 hold. We enlarge $R_{0}$ such that, for $R>R_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon>R^{-1-\varepsilon}>e^{-c R} \tag{3.143}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 8.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{R}=\bigcup_{k=1}^{m}\left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}: 4 R \lambda+\theta_{k}(\lambda) \in 2 \pi \mathbb{Z}\right\} \tag{3.144}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta_{1}(\lambda), \cdots, \theta_{m}(\lambda)$ are analytic functions on $\lambda$ such that $e^{i \theta_{1}(\lambda)}, \cdots, e^{i \theta_{m}(\lambda)}$ are all the eigenvalues of $C_{12}(\lambda)$. By enlarging $R_{0}$, we can show that for $R>R_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\Lambda_{R} \subseteq\right]-\infty,-R^{-1-\varepsilon}[\cup\{0\} \cup] R^{-1-\varepsilon}, \infty[ \tag{3.145}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $k>0$, if $\lambda_{k}<\delta-\varepsilon$, we apply Proposition 3.17 with

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=] 0, \lambda_{k}[, \quad B=] R^{-1-\varepsilon}, \lambda_{k}-e^{-c R}[. \tag{3.146}
\end{equation*}
$$

(By (3.143) and (3.145), we have $B \subseteq_{e^{-c R}} A$.) Then $\mathscr{J}_{A, B, Z_{R}}$ is surjective. As consequence, $D_{Z_{R}}^{F}$ has at most $k-1$ eigenvalues in $B$. Further, by Theorem 3.10, we have $\rho_{1}>R^{-1-\varepsilon}$. Thus, we must have $\rho_{k} \geqslant \lambda_{k}-e^{-c R}$. A similar argument using Proposition 3.13 shows that $\rho_{k} \leqslant \lambda_{k}+e^{-c R}$. For $k<0$, we have parallel arguments.

Set $\gamma=\delta-\varepsilon$, then (3.142) holds.
For $0 \leqslant p \leqslant \operatorname{dim} Z$, we set

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{12}^{p}(\lambda)=\left.C_{12}(\lambda)\right|_{\mathscr{\mathscr { C } ^ { p }}(Y, F) \oplus \mathscr{H}^{p-1}(Y, F) d u}, \\
& \Lambda_{R}^{p}=\left\{\lambda>0: \operatorname{det}\left(e^{4 i \lambda R} C_{12}^{p}(\lambda)-1\right)=0\right\} . \tag{3.147}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $D_{Z_{R}}^{F, 2,(p)}$ be the restriction of $D_{Z_{R}}^{F, 2}$ on $\Omega^{p}\left(Z_{R}, F\right)$.
Theorem 3.19. If we denote

$$
\begin{align*}
\Lambda_{R}^{p} & =\left\{\lambda_{k}: k=1,2, \cdots\right\}, & \text { with } 0<\lambda_{1} \leqslant \lambda_{2} \leqslant \cdots,  \tag{3.148}\\
\operatorname{Sp}\left(D_{Z_{R}}^{F, 2,(p)}\right) \backslash\{0\} & =\left\{\rho_{k}: k=1,2, \cdots\right\}, & \text { with } 0<\rho_{1} \leqslant \rho_{2} \leqslant \cdots,
\end{align*}
$$

then, there exist $\gamma, c>0$, such that, for $R>R_{0}$ and $\lambda_{k}<\gamma$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\lambda_{k}^{2}-\rho_{k}\right| \leqslant e^{-c R} \tag{3.149}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ are symmetric, i.e., $\lambda \in A$ implies $-\lambda \in A$ and same for $B$, then $\mathscr{E}_{A, R}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)$ and $\mathscr{E}_{B}\left(D_{Z_{R}}^{F}\right)$ are homogeneous. Let $\mathscr{E}_{A, R}^{p}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)$ and $\mathscr{E}_{B}^{p}\left(D_{Z_{R}}^{F}\right)$ be their degree $p$ components. Furthermore, $\mathscr{J}_{A, B, Z_{R}}$ preserves degree. Let $\mathscr{J}_{A, B, Z_{R}}^{(p)}$ be the restriction of $\mathscr{J}_{A, B, Z_{R}}$ to $\mathscr{E}_{A, R}^{p}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)$. Then Proposition 3.13 and Proposition 3.17 hold for $\mathscr{J}_{A, B, Z_{R}}^{(p)}$. Noticing the fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\lambda>0: \mathscr{E}_{\{\lambda,-\lambda\}, R}^{p}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right) \neq 0\right\}=\Lambda_{R}^{p} \tag{3.150}
\end{equation*}
$$

the rest of the proof follows the same procedure as Theorem 3.18,

## 4. AsYMptotic properties of the spectrum : BOUNDARY CASE

We use the notations in 3.1 . We recall that the Riemannian manifolds $Z_{j, R}=$ $Z_{j} \cup_{Y}[0, R] \times Y(j=1,2,0 \leqslant R<\infty)$ are defined in $\S 0.2$, and $F$ is a flat vector bundle on $Z_{j, R}$. As stated in $\S 0.2$, we use the relative boundary condition on $\partial Z_{1, R}$ and the absolute boundary condition on $\partial Z_{2, R}$, which are defined by (1.5). We recall that $D_{Z_{j, R}}^{F}(j=1,2)$ are Hodge-de Rham operators acting $\Omega_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, R}, F\right)$. Let $\operatorname{Sp}\left(D_{Z_{j, R}}^{F}\right)$ be the spectrum of $D_{Z_{j, R}}^{F}$. In this section, we give parallel results as $\$ 3$ for $\operatorname{Sp}\left(D_{Z_{j, R}}^{F}\right)$.

In $\S 4.1$, we establish results parallel to $\$ 3.3$ and $\S 3.4$.
4.1. Approximating the kernel and small eigenvalues. We recall that $\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right)$ and $\mathscr{H}_{\mathrm{abs} / \mathrm{rel}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right) \subseteq \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right)(j=1,2)$ are defined by (2.35) and (2.48). We use the convention $\mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{1, \infty}, F\right)=\mathscr{H}_{\text {rel }}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{1, \infty}, F\right)$ and $\mathscr{H}_{\text {bd }}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{2, \infty}, F\right)=\mathscr{H}_{\text {abs }}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{2, \infty}, F\right)$.

We recall that $I_{j, R} Y \subseteq Z_{j, R}(j=1,2)$ are the cylindrical parts of $Z_{j, R}$, defined in \$3.1. We recall that the following maps are defined in Definition 2.10,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{R}_{d^{F}}: \mathscr{H} \bullet\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right) \rightarrow \Omega^{\bullet}\left(I_{j, \infty} Y, F\right), \quad \text { for } j=1,2 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inclusion $I_{j, R} Y \subseteq I_{j, \infty} Y$ induces

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{\bullet}\left(I_{j, \infty} Y, F\right) \rightarrow \Omega^{\bullet}\left(I_{j, R} Y, F\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Composing (4.1) and (4.2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{R}_{d^{F}, j}: \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right) \rightarrow \Omega^{\bullet}\left(I_{j, R} Y, F\right), \quad \text { for } j=1,2 . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall that $\chi_{j, R}(j=1,2)$ are defined by (3.24), which are smooth functions on $I_{R} Y$. By restricting to $I_{j, R} Y \subseteq I_{R} Y(j=1,2)$, we may view $\chi_{j, R}$ as smooth functions on $I_{j, R} Y$.

Parallel to Definition 3.1, we have the following definition.
Definition 4.1. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{Z_{j, R}}: \mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{b} \mathbf{d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, R}, F\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

by, for $(\omega, \hat{\omega}) \in \mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.F_{Z_{j, R}}(\omega, \hat{\omega})\right|_{Z_{j, 0}}=\omega,\left.\quad F_{Z_{j, R}}(\omega, \hat{\omega})\right|_{I_{j, R} Y}=d^{F}\left(\chi_{j, R} \mathscr{R}_{d^{F}, j}(\omega, \hat{\omega})\right)+\pi_{Y}^{*} \hat{\omega} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.42), $F_{Z_{j, R}}$ is well-defined. Furthermore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{F} F_{Z_{j, R}}(\omega, \hat{\omega})=0 . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall that $\varphi_{R}: Z_{R} \rightarrow Z$ is defined by (3.32). By restriction, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{j, R}=\left.\varphi_{R}\right|_{Z_{j, R}}: Z_{j, R} \rightarrow Z_{j} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\varphi_{j, R}(j=1,2)$ induce the canonical isomorphisms $H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, R}, Y\right) \simeq H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j}, F\right)$.
Proposition 4.2. For $R>R^{\prime}>0$ and $\omega_{1} \in \mathscr{H}_{L^{2}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{1, \infty}, F\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[F_{Z_{1, R}}\left(\omega_{1}, 0\right)\right]=\left[F_{Z_{1, R^{\prime}}}\left(\omega_{1}, 0\right)\right] \in H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{1}, F\right) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $R>R^{\prime}>0$ and $\left(\omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right) \in \mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{2, \infty}, F\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[F_{Z_{2, R}}\left(\omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right]=\left[F_{Z_{2, R^{\prime}}}\left(\omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right] \in H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{2}, F\right) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will prove Proposition 4.2 as a consequence of Proposition 3.3, For this, we need the following constructions.

Let $\bar{Z}_{j, R}(j=1,2)$ be another copy of $Z_{j, R}$. Set $Z_{j, R}^{\mathrm{db}}=Z_{j, R} \cup_{Y} \bar{Z}_{j, R}$, which is a closed manifold. Then, $Z_{j, R}^{\mathrm{db}}$ is equipped with a natural $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-action exchanging $Z_{j, R}$ and $\bar{Z}_{j, R}$. The flat vector bundle $F$ on $Z_{j, R}$ and its copy on $\bar{Z}_{j, R}$ glue together giving a flat vector bundle on $Z_{j, R}^{\mathrm{db}}$, which is still denoted by $F$. The $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-action lifts to $F$ in the natural way. Let $\iota$ be the generator of this $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-action. Then, $h^{F}$ and $\iota_{*} h^{F}$ glue together giving a Hermitian metric on $F$ over $Z_{j, R}^{\mathrm{db}}$, which is still denoted by $h^{F}$. Let $D_{Z_{j, R}^{\mathrm{db}}}^{F}$ be the Hodge-de Rham operator acting on $\Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, R}^{\mathrm{db}}, F\right)$. Then $D_{Z_{j, R}^{\mathrm{db}}}^{F}$ is $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-equivariant.

Let $\iota^{*}$ be the action on $\Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, R}^{\mathrm{db}}, F\right)$ or $H^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, R}^{\mathrm{db}}, F\right)$ induced by $\iota$. Let $\left(\Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, R}^{\mathrm{db}}, F\right)\right)^{ \pm}$ and $\left(H^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, R}^{\mathrm{db}}, F\right)\right)^{ \pm}$be its eigenspaces with eigenvalue $\pm 1$. Then the injection $Z_{j, R} \hookrightarrow$ $Z_{j, R}^{\mathrm{db}}$ induces the following isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, R}^{\mathrm{db}}, F\right)\right)^{(-1)^{j}} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, R}, F\right) . \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Passing to cohomology, we get the isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, R}^{\mathrm{db}}, F\right)\right)^{(-1)^{j}} \rightarrow H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, R}, F\right) . \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Proposition 4.2 Let $\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}^{\mathrm{db}}, F\right)$ be $\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)$ defined in $\oint 3.2$ with $Z_{1, \infty}$ and $Z_{2, \infty}$ replaced by $Z_{j, \infty}$ and $\bar{Z}_{j, \infty}$. More precisely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{H} \bullet\left(Z_{j, \infty}^{\mathrm{db}}, F\right)=\left\{\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right):\left(\omega_{1}, \hat{\omega}\right) \in \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right),\left(\omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right) \in \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(\bar{Z}_{j, \infty}, F\right)\right\}, \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Definition 3.1, we have the following map

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{Z_{j, R}^{\mathrm{db}}}: \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}^{\mathrm{db}}, F\right) \rightarrow \Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, R}^{\mathrm{db}}, F\right) . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $N^{d u}$ be the number operator on $\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])$ associated to the variable $d u$, i.e., its restriction to $\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F)$ is zero, its restriction to $\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F) d u$ is identity. We define the following involution

$$
\begin{align*}
\iota^{\mathscr{H}}: \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}^{\mathrm{db}}, F\right) & \rightarrow \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}^{\mathrm{db}}, F\right) \\
\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right) & \mapsto\left(\omega_{2}, \omega_{1},(-1)^{N^{d u}} \hat{\omega}\right) . \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

The following diagram commutes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathscr{H} \bullet\left(Z_{j, \infty}^{\mathrm{db}}, F\right) \xrightarrow{\mathscr{H}^{\mathscr{e}}} \mathscr{H} \bullet\left(Z_{j, \infty}^{\mathrm{db}}, F\right)  \tag{4.15}\\
& \underset{\Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, R}^{\mathrm{db}}, F\right) \xrightarrow{F_{Z_{j, R} \mathrm{db}}} \xrightarrow{\iota^{*}} \Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, R}^{\mathrm{db}}, F\right)}{F_{Z_{j, R}}}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\left(\mathscr{H} \cdot\left(Z_{j, \infty}^{\mathrm{db}}\right)^{ \pm}\right.$be the eigenspace of $\iota^{\mathscr{H}}$ with eigenvalue $\pm 1$, then, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{Z_{j, R}^{\mathrm{d}, R}}:\left(\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}^{\mathrm{db}}, F\right)\right)^{ \pm} \rightarrow\left(\Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, R}^{\mathrm{db}}, F\right)\right)^{ \pm} . \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have also the following isomorphisms

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right) & \rightarrow\left(\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}^{\mathrm{db}}, F\right)\right)^{(-1)^{j}}  \tag{4.17}\\
(\omega, \hat{\omega}) & \mapsto\left(\omega,(-1)^{j} \omega, \hat{\omega}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The following diagram commutes

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\mathscr{H} \bullet\left(Z_{j, \infty}^{\mathrm{db}}, F\right)\right)^{(-1)^{j}} \stackrel{F_{Z_{j, R}^{\mathrm{db}}}}{\longrightarrow}\left(\Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, R}^{\mathrm{db}}, F\right)\right)^{(-1)^{j}} \\
\quad \downarrow  \tag{4.18}\\
\mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right) \xrightarrow{{ }^{F_{Z_{j, R}}}} \begin{array}{l}
\downarrow \\
\Omega_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, R}, F\right)
\end{array}
\end{gather*}
$$

where the left vertical map is defined by (4.17) and the right vertical map is induced by the injection $Z_{j, R} \hookrightarrow Z_{j, R}^{\mathrm{db}}$.

By (4.11) and (4.18), the present proposition follows from Proposition 3.3 with $Z_{R}$ replaced by $Z_{j, R}^{\mathrm{db}}$.

In the rest of this section, we state several results parallel to those in 3.3 and \$3.4. Their proofs follow the strategy as the proof of Proposition 4.2: on $Z_{j, R}^{\mathrm{db}}$, the constructions in $\S 3$ commute with the action of $\iota$, and the objects concerned associated with $Z_{j, R}$ (eigenspace of Hodge-de Rham operator, cohomology, etc.) are canonically isomorphic to the eigenspaces of $\iota$ with eigenvalue $(-1)^{j}$ in the corresponding objects associated with $Z_{j, R}^{\mathrm{db}}$.

Recall that the $L^{2}$-norm $\|\cdot\|$. is defined in $\S 0.4$. For any $(\omega, \hat{\omega}) \in \mathscr{H}_{\text {bd }}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right)$, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|(\omega, \hat{\omega})\|_{\mathscr{\mathscr { H } _ { \mathbf { b d } } ^ { * }}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right), R}^{2}=\|\omega\|_{Z_{j, R}}^{2} . \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

By passing to $Z_{j, R}^{\mathrm{db}}$ and applying Proposition 3.4, we get the following proposition.
Proposition 4.3. There exist $c>0, R_{0}>0$, such that, for any $R>R_{0},(\omega, \hat{\omega}) \in$ $\mathscr{H}_{\text {bd }}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right)(j=1,2)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-e^{-c R} \leqslant \frac{\left\|F_{Z_{j, R}}(\omega, \hat{\omega})\right\|_{Z_{j, R}}}{\|(\omega, \hat{\omega})\|_{\mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b d}}}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right), R} \leqslant 1+e^{-c R} . \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{\mathrm{ker}\left(D_{Z_{j, R}}^{F, 2}\right)}: \Omega_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, R}, F\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{ker}\left(D_{Z_{j, R}}^{F, 2}\right) \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the orthogonal projections.
Definition 4.4. For $j=1,2$, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{F}_{Z_{j, R}}=P^{\operatorname{ker}\left(D_{Z_{j, R}}^{F, 2}\right)} \circ F_{Z_{j, R}}: \mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{ker}\left(D_{Z_{j, R}}^{F, 2}\right) . \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

By passing to $Z_{j, R}^{\mathrm{db}}$ and applying Proposition 3.7, we get the following proposition.
Proposition 4.5. There exist $c>0, R_{0}>0$, such that, for any $R>R_{0},(\omega, \hat{\omega}) \in$ $\mathscr{H}_{\text {bd }}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right)(j=1,2)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(F_{Z_{j, R}}-\mathscr{F}_{Z_{j, R}}\right)(\omega, \hat{\omega})\right\|_{\mathscr{C}^{0}, Z_{j, R}} \leqslant e^{-c R}\|(\omega, \hat{\omega})\|_{\mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b d}}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right)} \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

By passing to $Z_{j, R}^{\mathrm{db}}$ and applying Theorem 3.10, we get the following theorem.
Theorem 4.6. There exists $R_{0}>0$ such that, for $R>R_{0}$, the maps $\mathscr{F}_{Z_{j, R}}(j=1,2)$ is bijective, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\operatorname{Sp}\left(D_{Z_{j, R}}^{F}\right) \subseteq\right]-\infty,-R^{-1-\varepsilon}[\cup\{0\} \cup] R^{-1-\varepsilon},+\infty[ \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{j, \mathbf{b d}}(\lambda)=(-1)^{j}\left(\left.C_{j}(\lambda)\right|_{\mathscr{H} \bullet(Y, F)}-\left.C_{j}(\lambda)\right|_{\mathscr{H} \bullet(Y, F) d u}\right) . \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $R \geqslant 0$, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{j, R}=\left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \operatorname{det}\left(\left.e^{2 i \lambda R} C_{j, \mathbf{b d}}(\lambda)\right|_{\mathscr{H} \bullet(Y, F)}-1\right)=0\right\}, \quad \text { for } j=1,2 \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

By passing to $Z_{j, R}^{\mathrm{db}}$ and applying Theorem 3.18, we get the following theorem.
Theorem 4.7. Theorem 3.18 holds for $\left(\operatorname{Sp}\left(D_{Z_{j, R}}^{F}\right), \Lambda_{j, R}\right)$, where $j=1,2$.
For $0 \leqslant p \leqslant \operatorname{dim} Z$, set

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{j, \mathbf{b d}}^{p}(\lambda)=\left.C_{j, \mathbf{b d}}(\lambda)\right|_{\mathscr{C}^{p}(Y, F) \oplus \mathscr{H}^{p-1}(Y, F) d u}, \quad \text { for } j=1,2, \\
& \Lambda_{j, R}^{p}=\left\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \operatorname{det}\left(\left.e^{2 i \lambda R} C_{j, \mathbf{b d}}^{p}(\lambda)\right|_{\mathscr{H}^{p}(Y, F)}-1\right)=0\right\} . \tag{4.27}
\end{align*}
$$

By passing to $Z_{j, R}^{\mathrm{db}}$ and applying Theorem 3.19, we get the following theorem.
Theorem 4.8. Theorem 3.19holds for $\left(\operatorname{Sp}\left(D_{Z_{j, R}}^{F, 2,(p)}\right), \Lambda_{j, R}^{p}\right)$, where $j=1,2$.

## 5. AsYMPTOTICS OF THE (WEIGHTED) ZETA DETERMINANTS

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 0.1.
In this section, we use notations in $\S 3.1$, For convenience, we use the following convention : $Z_{0, R}=Z_{R}, \zeta_{0, R}=\zeta_{R}$, and so forth, i.e., we add aslo a sub-index 0 to objects associated to $Z_{R}$. And we use the following definition of $\zeta$-functions $\zeta_{j, R}(s)$ ( $j=0,1,2$ ), which is equivalent to (0.5).

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{j, R}(s)=-\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{s-1} \operatorname{Tr}\left[(-1)^{N} N \exp \left(-t D_{Z_{j, R}}^{F, 2}\right)\left(1-P^{\operatorname{ker}\left(D_{Z_{j, R}}^{F, 2}\right)}\right)\right] d t \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varepsilon \in] 0,1\left[\right.$. Let $\zeta_{j, R}^{S}(s)$ (resp. $\left.\zeta_{j, R}^{L}(s)\right)$ be the contribution of $\int_{0}^{R^{2-\varepsilon}}$ (resp. $\int_{R^{2-\varepsilon}}^{\infty}$ ) to $\zeta_{j, R}(s)$ in (5.1). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{j, R}=\zeta_{j, R}^{S}+\zeta_{j, R}^{L} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In $\S 5.1$, we define model operators which will serve as the limit (as $R \rightarrow \infty$ ) of the Hodge-de Rham operators concerned. In $\S 5.2$, we treat the contributions of $\zeta_{j, R}^{S}$. In \$5.3, we treat the contributions of $\zeta_{j, R}^{L}$.
5.1. Model operators. Let $I_{1, R}=[-R, 0], I_{2, R}=[0, R]$ and $I_{R}=[-R, R]$. Let $u$ be the coordinate. And we sometimes add a sub-index 0 to objects associated to $I_{0, R}:=I_{R}$.

We recall that $\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F)$ and $\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])$ are defined by (2.1) and (2.6). Let $\Omega^{\bullet}\left(I_{R}, \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F)\right)$ be the vector space of differential forms on $I_{R}$ with values in $\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F)$. We define the total degree of $\omega \in \Omega^{p}\left(I_{R}, \mathscr{H}^{q}(Y, F)\right)$ to be $p+q$. We have the canonical identification

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{\bullet}\left(I_{R}, \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F)\right) \simeq \mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left(I_{R}, \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])\right) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\omega \in \Omega^{\bullet}\left(I_{R}, \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F)\right)$, let $u \mapsto \omega_{u} \in \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])$ be the corresponding function.
We recall that the operator $c\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)$ acting on $\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])$ is defined by (2.4) and that $\mathscr{L}_{j}^{\bullet} \subseteq \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])(j=1,2)$ are defined at the begining of $\oint 3.2$. We define the model operator $D_{I_{R}}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{I_{R}}=c\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Dom}\left(D_{I_{R}}\right)=\left\{\omega \in \Omega^{\bullet}\left(I_{R}, \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F)\right): \omega_{-R} \in \mathscr{L}_{1}^{\bullet}, \omega_{R} \in \mathscr{L}_{2}^{\bullet}\right\} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define equally $D_{I_{1, R}}$ and $D_{I_{2, R}}$ with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Dom}\left(D_{I_{1, R}}\right)=\left\{\omega \in \Omega^{\bullet}\left(I_{1, R}, \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F)\right): \omega_{-R} \in \mathscr{L}_{1}^{\bullet}, \omega_{0} \in \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F) d u\right\},  \tag{5.6}\\
& \operatorname{Dom}\left(D_{I_{2, R}}\right)=\left\{\omega \in \Omega^{\bullet}\left(I_{2, R}, \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F)\right): \omega_{R} \in \mathscr{L}_{2}^{\bullet}, \omega_{0} \in \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F)\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

We remark that $D_{I_{j, R}}^{2}(j=0,1,2)$ preserve the total degree. Let $D_{I_{j, R}}^{2,(p)}$ be its restriction to total degree $p$.

Let $\mathscr{L}_{j \text {,abs/rel }}$ be absolute/relative part of $\mathscr{L}_{j}^{\bullet}$, which is defined by (2.46). We use the convention $\mathscr{L}_{1, \mathrm{bd}}^{\bullet}=\mathscr{L}_{1, \text { rel }}^{\bullet}$ and $\mathscr{L}_{2, \mathrm{bd}}^{\bullet}=\mathscr{L}_{2, \mathrm{abs}}^{\bullet}$. By (5.4), (5.5) and (5.6), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ker}\left(D_{I_{R}}^{2,(p)}\right)=\mathscr{L}_{1}^{p} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2}^{p}, \quad \operatorname{ker}\left(D_{I_{j, R}}^{2,(p)}\right)=\mathscr{L}_{j, \mathrm{bd}}^{p}, \quad \text { for } j=1,2, \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the vectors in $\mathscr{L}_{1}^{p} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2}^{p}$ (resp. $\mathscr{L}_{j, \mathrm{bd}}^{p}$ ) are viewed as constant functions on $I_{R}$ (resp. $I_{j, R}$ ).

We define the composition map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{p, \mathscr{L}}: \mathscr{L}_{1, \text { rel }}^{p} \rightarrow \mathscr{L}_{1, \text { rel }}^{p} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2, \text { rel }}^{p} \rightarrow \mathscr{L}_{1}^{p} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2}^{p} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the first map is orthogonal projection, and the second one is injection. We also define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{p, \mathscr{L}}: \mathscr{L}_{1}^{p} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2}^{p} \rightarrow \mathscr{L}_{1, \text { abs }}^{p} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2, \text { abs }}^{p} \rightarrow \mathscr{L}_{2, \text { abs }}^{p} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is still the composition of orthogonal projection and injection. And

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{p, \mathscr{L}}: \mathscr{L}_{2, \mathrm{abs}}^{p} \rightarrow \mathscr{L}_{2, \text { rel }}^{p+1, \perp} \rightarrow \mathscr{L}_{1, \text { rel }}^{p+1} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2, \text { rel }}^{p+1, \perp} \rightarrow \mathscr{L}_{1, \text { rel }}^{p+1} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the first map is the $d u \wedge$ operation (cf. (2.4)), the second one is orthogonal projection and the last one is injection. Then we get the following exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdots \longrightarrow \mathscr{L}_{1, \mathrm{bd}}^{p} \xrightarrow{\alpha_{p, \mathscr{L}}} \mathscr{L}_{1}^{p} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2}^{p} \xrightarrow{\beta_{p, \mathscr{L}}} \mathscr{L}_{2, \mathrm{bd}}^{p} \xrightarrow{\delta_{p, \mathscr{L}}} \cdots . \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The exactness of (5.11) is justified by the following identities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Im}\left(\alpha_{p, \mathscr{L}}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(\beta_{p, \mathscr{L}}\right)=\mathscr{L}_{1, \text { rel }}^{p} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2, \text { rel }}^{p} \\
& \operatorname{Im}\left(\beta_{p, \mathscr{L}}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(\delta_{p, \mathscr{L}}\right)=\mathscr{L}_{1, \text { abs }}^{p} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2, \text { abs }}^{p}  \tag{5.12}\\
& \operatorname{Im}\left(\delta_{p, \mathscr{L}}\right)=\operatorname{ker}\left(\alpha_{p+1, \mathscr{L}}\right)=\mathscr{L}_{1, \text { rel }}^{p+1} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2, \text { rel }}^{p+1, \perp} .
\end{align*}
$$

We may view (5.11) as the Mayer-Vietoris sequence in this model setting.
Recall that $C_{j}(\lambda) \in \operatorname{End}\left(\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])\right)(j=1,2)$ are the scattering matrices associated to $D_{Z_{j, \infty}}^{F}$ (cf. §3.2) and that the operators $C_{12}(\lambda)$ and $C_{j, \mathrm{bd}}(\lambda)$ are introduced in (3.18) and (4.25). For any of these operators $C(\lambda)$, let $C=C(0)$ and $C^{p}$ be its restriction to $\mathscr{H}^{p}(Y, F) \oplus \mathscr{H}^{p-1}(Y, F) d u$.

By (2.45) and (2.46), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{ker}\left(C_{1, \mathrm{bd}}^{p}-1\right) & =\mathscr{L}_{1, \text { rel }}^{p} \oplus i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}} \mathscr{L}_{1, \text { rel }}^{p+1}, \\
\operatorname{ker}\left(C_{2, \mathrm{bd}}^{p}-1\right) & =\mathscr{L}_{2, \text { abs }}^{p} \oplus d u \wedge \mathscr{L}_{2, \text { abs }}^{p-1}  \tag{5.13}\\
\operatorname{ker}\left(C_{12}^{p}-1\right) & =\left(\mathscr{L}_{1}^{p} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2}^{p}\right) \oplus i_{\partial u}^{\partial u}\left(\mathscr{L}_{1, \text { rel }}^{p+1} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2, \text { rel }}^{p+1}\right) \oplus d u \wedge\left(\mathscr{L}_{1, \text { abs }}^{p-1} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2, \text { abs }}^{p-1}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

For $C=C_{12}$ or $C_{j, \mathbf{b d}}(j=1,2)$, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi^{\prime}(C)=\sum_{p}(-1)^{p} p \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker}\left(C^{p}-1\right) . \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall that $\chi^{\prime}$ is defined in (0.8).
Lemma 5.1. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi^{\prime}\left(C_{12}\right)-\chi^{\prime}\left(C_{1, \mathbf{b d}}\right)-\chi^{\prime}\left(C_{2, \mathbf{b d}}\right)=2 \chi^{\prime} . \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{dim} \mathscr{L}_{1, \mathrm{abs}}^{p}=x_{p}, \quad \operatorname{dim} \mathscr{L}_{2, \mathrm{abs}}^{p}=y_{p}, \\
& \operatorname{dim}\left(\mathscr{L}_{1, \mathrm{abs}}^{p} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2, \mathrm{abs}}^{p}\right)=u_{p}, \quad \operatorname{dim}\left(\mathscr{L}_{1, \mathrm{abs}}^{p, \perp} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2, \mathrm{abs}}^{p, \perp}\right)=v_{p}, \\
& \operatorname{dim} \mathscr{H}^{p}(Y, F)=h_{p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, by (2.46), we have
(5.17) $\quad \operatorname{dim} \mathscr{L}_{1, \text { rel }}^{p+1}=h_{p}-x_{p}, \quad \operatorname{dim} \mathscr{L}_{2, \text { rel }}^{p+1}=h_{p}-y_{p}, \quad \operatorname{dim}\left(\mathscr{L}_{1, \text { rel }}^{p+1} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2, \text { rel }}^{p+1}\right)=v_{p}$.

Since $\mathscr{H}^{p}(Y, F)=\left(\mathscr{L}_{1, \text { abs }}^{p}+\mathscr{L}_{2, \text { abs }}^{p}\right) \oplus\left(\mathscr{L}_{1, \text { abs }}^{p, \perp} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2, \text { abs }}^{p, \perp}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{p}=x_{p}+y_{p}-u_{p}+v_{p} . \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (5.13), (5.14), (5.17) and (5.18), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi^{\prime}\left(C_{12}\right)-\chi^{\prime}\left(C_{1, \mathbf{b d}}\right)-\chi^{\prime}\left(C_{2, \mathbf{b d}}\right) & =\sum_{p} 2(-1)^{p}\left(y_{p}-u_{p}\right), \\
\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{L}_{1}^{p} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2}^{p}-\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{L}_{1, \mathbf{b d}}^{p}-\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{L}_{2, \mathbf{b d}}^{p} & =\sum_{p}(-1)^{p}\left(y_{p}-u_{p}\right) . \tag{5.19}
\end{align*}
$$

By (0.8) and (5.19), it rests to show that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{dim} \mathscr{L}_{1}^{p} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2}^{p}-\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{L}_{1, \mathbf{b d}}^{p}-\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{L}_{2, \mathbf{b d}}^{p} \\
= & \operatorname{dim} H^{p}(Z, F)-\operatorname{dim} H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{p}\left(Z_{1}, F\right)-\operatorname{dim} H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{p}\left(Z_{2}, F\right) . \tag{5.20}
\end{align*}
$$

By Theorem 1.1, Theorem 3.10 and Theorem 4.6, (5.20) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{dim} \mathscr{L}_{1}^{p} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2}^{p}-\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{L}_{1, \mathbf{b d}}^{p}-\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{L}_{2, \mathbf{b d}}^{p} \\
= & \operatorname{dim} \mathscr{H}^{p}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)-\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b d}}^{p}\left(Z_{1, \infty}, F\right)-\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b d}}^{p}\left(Z_{2, \infty}, F\right) . \tag{5.21}
\end{align*}
$$

This follows from (2.49) and (3.13).
We denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{p}=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Im}\left(\alpha_{p, \mathscr{L}}\right), \quad b_{p}=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Im}\left(\beta_{p, \mathscr{L}}\right), \quad d_{p}=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Im}\left(\delta_{p, \mathscr{L}}\right) . \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 5.2. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi^{\prime}=\sum_{p}(-1)^{p} d_{p}, \quad \chi^{\prime}\left(C_{12}\right)=\sum_{p}(-1)^{p}\left(a_{p}-b_{p}\right) . \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Same as Lemma 5.1, all the terms involved can be expressed by $x_{p}, y_{p}, u_{p}, v_{p}$. Then (5.23) follows from a direct calculation.

We turn to study the spectra and $\zeta$-functions in this model setting.
For any $R \geqslant 0$, set

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Lambda_{R}^{*, p}=\left\{\lambda>0: \operatorname{det}\left(e^{4 i \lambda R} C_{12}^{p}-1\right)=0\right\}  \tag{5.24}\\
& \Lambda_{j, R}^{*, p}=\left\{\lambda>0: \operatorname{det}\left(e^{2 i \lambda R} C_{j, \mathbf{b d}}^{p}-1\right)=0\right\}, \quad \text { for } j=1,2
\end{align*}
$$

Proposition 5.3. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Sp}\left(D_{I_{j, R}}^{2,(p)}\right) \backslash\{0\}=\left\{\lambda^{2}: \lambda \in \Lambda_{j, R}^{*, p}\right\}, \quad \text { for } j=0,1,2 . \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Firstly, we consider the case $j=0$.
By shifting the coordinate, we identify $I_{1, R}$ to $[0, R]$. We define $I_{1, \infty}=[0, \infty[$. Let $D_{I_{1, \infty}}$ be the operator defined by (5.4) with the same boundary condition (only at $u=0$ ) as $D_{I_{1, R}}$ for $R<\infty$. Here, $D_{I_{1, \infty}}$ is exactly the $D_{Z_{\infty}}^{F}$ constructed in $\$ 2.3$ with $Z_{\infty}$ replaced by $I_{1, \infty}$ and $F$ replaced by $\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F)$. Using (2.45) and (2.46), a direct calculation shows that a generalized eigensection of $D_{I_{1, \infty}}$ with eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 0$ takes the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-i \lambda u}\left(1-i c\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)\right) \phi+e^{i \lambda u} C_{1}\left(1-i c\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)\right) \phi, \quad \phi \in \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F) . \tag{5.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing to (2.31), we see that there are only zero modes (cf. (2.14), (2.15)). Furthermore, the scattering matrix of $D_{I_{1, \infty}}$ is $C_{1}$, which does not depend on $\lambda$.

We construct equally $D_{I_{2, \infty}}$. And its scattering matrix is $C_{2}$.
With the above constructions, we are almost in a special case of the problem considered in $\S 3$. The only difference is that $I_{R}$ is not a closed manifold. Checking all the arguments in 93 , we see that they still work for $D_{I_{R}}$. Now, applying Theorem 3.19, we see that $\operatorname{Sp}\left(D_{I_{R}}^{2,(p)}\right) \backslash\{0\}$ is approximated by $\Lambda_{R}^{*, p}$ in the sense of (3.149). Notice that the error terms in the whole argument leading to Theorem 3.19 come from non zero modes. Here, since there are only zero modes, the approximation is replaced by equality. This proves (5.25).

For $j=1,2$, replacing Theorem 3.19by Theorem4.7, the same argument works.
Let $\zeta_{*, j, R}(s)$ be the $\zeta$-functions of $D_{I_{j, R}}^{2}$ defined in the same way as (5.1) .
Proposition 5.4. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta_{*, R}(0) & =\chi^{\prime}\left(C_{12}\right) \log (2 R)-\chi(Y, F) \log 2+\sum_{p=0}^{\operatorname{dim} Y} \frac{p}{2}(-1)^{p} \log \operatorname{det}^{*}\left(\frac{2-C_{12}^{p}-\left(C_{12}^{p}\right)^{-1}}{4}\right),  \tag{5.27}\\
\zeta_{*, j, R}(0) & =\chi^{\prime}\left(C_{j, \mathbf{b d}}\right) \log R-\chi(Y, F) \log 2, \quad \text { for } j=1,2 .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Applying (0.5) and (5.25), both identities are consequences of Appendix (8.16). The first identity is the weighted sum of (8.16) with $V$ replaced by $\mathscr{H}^{p}(Y, F) \oplus$ $\mathscr{H}^{p-1}(Y, F) d u$ and $C$ replaced by $C_{12}^{p}$. For the second identity, we replace $C$ by $C_{j, \mathrm{bd}}^{p}$ and replace $R$ by $R / 2$. Since $\operatorname{Sp}\left(C_{j, \mathbf{b d}}^{p}\right) \subseteq\{-1,1\}$, the $\log \operatorname{det}^{*}$ term vanishes.
5.2. Small time contribution. We denote

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Theta_{R}(t)=\sum_{j=0}^{2}(-1)^{(j-1)(j-2) / 2} \operatorname{Tr}\left[(-1)^{N} N \exp \left(-t D_{Z_{j, R}}^{F, 2}\right)\right],  \tag{5.28}\\
& \Theta_{R}^{*}(t)=\sum_{j=0}^{2}(-1)^{(j-1)(j-2) / 2} \operatorname{Tr}\left[(-1)^{N} N \exp \left(-t D_{I_{j, R}}^{2}\right)\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

Same as $\zeta_{R}^{S / L}$ defined at the beginning of the section, we define $\zeta_{*, j, R}^{S / L}(j=0,1,2)$. By (5.1) and (5.15), we have
(5.29) $\sum_{j=0}^{2}(-1)^{(j-1)(j-2) / 2}\left(\zeta_{j, R}^{S}(s)-\zeta_{*, j, R}^{S}(s)\right)=-\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{0}^{R^{2-\varepsilon}} t^{s-1}\left(\Theta_{R}(t)-\Theta_{R}^{*}(t)\right) d t$.

Theorem 5.5. There exist $c>0$ such that as $R \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{2}(-1)^{(j-1)(j-2) / 2}\left(\zeta_{j, R}^{S}{ }^{\prime}(0)-\zeta_{*, j, R}^{S}{ }^{\prime}(0)\right)=\mathscr{O}\left(e^{-c R^{\varepsilon / 2}}\right) . \tag{5.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathscr{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ be an even function such that $f(u)=1$ for $|u| \leqslant 1 / 2$ and $f(u)=0$ for $|u| \geqslant 1$. Same as [8, §13(b)], for $t, \varsigma>0$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}$, set

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{t, \mathrm{~S}}(z) & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i \sqrt{2} v z} e^{-\frac{1}{2} v^{2}} f(\sqrt{\varsigma t} v) \frac{d v}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}  \tag{5.31}\\
G_{t, \varsigma}(z) & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i \sqrt{2} v z} e^{-\frac{1}{2} v^{2} / t}(1-f(\sqrt{\varsigma} v)) \frac{d v}{\sqrt{2 \pi t}}
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{t, \varsigma}(\sqrt{t} z)+G_{t, \varsigma}(z)=\exp \left(-t z^{2}\right) . \tag{5.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
F_{t, \varsigma}\left(\sqrt{t} D_{Z_{j, R}}^{F}\right)(x, y), G_{t, \varsigma} & \left(D_{Z_{j, R}}^{F}\right)(x, y)  \tag{5.33}\\
& \in\left(\Lambda^{\bullet}\left(T^{*} Z_{j, R}\right) \otimes F\right)_{x} \otimes\left(\Lambda^{\bullet}\left(T^{*} Z_{j, R}\right) \otimes F\right)_{y}^{*}
\end{align*}
$$

be the smooth kernel of operators $F_{t, \varsigma}\left(\sqrt{t} D_{Z_{j, R}}^{F}\right)$ and $G_{t, \varsigma}\left(D_{Z_{j, R}}^{F}\right)$ with respect to the volume form induced by the Riemannian metric on $Z_{j, R}$.

By the construction of $G_{t, \varsigma}(z)$, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $c, C>0$, such that, for any $t>0$ and $0<\varsigma<1$, we have (cf. [27, (1.6.16)])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{C}}\left|z^{k} G_{t, 5}(z)\right| \leqslant C e^{-c / s t} \tag{5.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consequence, for any $k, k^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $c, C>0$, such that, for $0<t<R^{2-\varepsilon}$, $0<\varsigma<R^{-2+\varepsilon / 2}$ and $j=0,1,2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D_{Z_{j, R}}^{F, k} G_{t, \varsigma}\left(D_{Z_{j, R}}^{F}\right) D_{Z_{j, R}}^{F, k^{\prime}}\right\|_{0,0} \leqslant C t e^{-c R^{\varepsilon / 2}}, \tag{5.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{0,0}$ is the operator norm induced by the $L^{2}$-norm. Then, by Proposition 3.5 and (5.35), there exists $c, C>0$, such that, for $0<t<R^{2-\varepsilon}, 0<\varsigma<R^{-2+\varepsilon / 2}$, $j=0,1,2$ and $x, y \in Z_{j, R}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G_{t, \varsigma}\left(D_{Z_{j, R}}^{F}\right)(x, y)\right| \leqslant C t e^{-c R^{\varepsilon / 2}} \tag{5.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the finite propagation speed principal (cf. [37, §2.6, Theorem 6.1], [27, Appendix D.2]), if the distance between $x$ and $y$ is greater than $\varsigma^{-1 / 2}$, then $F_{t, \varsigma}\left(\sqrt{t} D_{Z_{j, R}}^{F}\right)(x, y)=$ 0 . In the rest of the proof, we take $\varsigma=R^{-2+\varepsilon / 3}$ and suppose that $R$ is large enough. For $x \in Z_{j, R / 2} \subseteq Z_{j, R} \subseteq Z_{R}(j=1,2)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{t, \varsigma}\left(\sqrt{t} D_{Z_{j, R}}^{F}\right)(x, x)=F_{t, \varsigma}\left(\sqrt{t} D_{Z_{R}}^{F}\right)(x, x) . \tag{5.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

We view the middle of the cylinder $]-R / 2, R / 2\left[\times Y\right.$ as a subset of $\mathbb{R} \times Y$. Let $D_{\mathbb{R} Y}^{F}$ be the Hodge-de Rham operator acting on $\Omega^{\bullet}(\mathbb{R} \times Y, F)$. Let $\iota$ be the involution on $\mathbb{R} \times Y$ sending $(u, y)$ to $(-u, y)$. For $x \in(]-R / 2, R / 2[\times Y) \cap Z_{j, R}(j=1,2)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{t, \varsigma}\left(\sqrt{t} D_{Z_{j, R}}^{F}\right)(x, x)=F_{t, \varsigma}\left(\sqrt{t} D_{\mathbb{R} Y}^{F}\right)(x, x)+(-1)^{j} F_{t, \varsigma}\left(\sqrt{t} D_{\mathbb{R} Y}^{F}\right)(x, \iota x) \tag{5.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consequence, for $\left.x \in]-R / 2, R / 2\left[\times Y \cap Z_{1, R}=\right]-R / 2,0\right] \times Y$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{t, \varsigma}\left(\sqrt{t} D_{Z_{1, R}}^{F}\right)(x, x)+\iota^{*} F_{t, \varsigma}\left(\sqrt{t} D_{Z_{2, R}}^{F}\right)(\iota x, \iota x) \\
= & F_{t, \varsigma}\left(\sqrt{t} D_{Z_{R}}^{F}\right)(x, x)+\iota^{*} F_{t, \varsigma}\left(\sqrt{t} D_{Z_{R}}^{F}\right)(\iota x, \iota x) \in \operatorname{End}\left(\Lambda^{\bullet}\left(T^{*} Z_{j, R}\right) \otimes F\right)_{x} . \tag{5.39}
\end{align*}
$$

By (5.32), $\Theta_{R}(t)$ can be decomposed to the contributions of $F_{t, \varsigma}$ and $G_{t, 5}$. By (5.37) and (5.39), the contribution of $F_{t, \varsigma}$ to (5.29) vanishes identically. By (5.36), the contribution of $G_{t, \mathrm{~S}}$ to (5.29) together with its derivative at $s=0$ are $\mathscr{O}\left(e^{-R^{\varepsilon / 2}}\right)$-small. For $\Theta_{R}^{*}(t)$, the same argument works. This terminates the proof of (5.30).
5.3. Large time contribution and proof of Theorem 0.1. By (5.1) and (5.15), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{2}(-1)^{(j-1)(j-2) / 2}\left(\zeta_{j, R}^{L}(s)-\zeta_{*, j, R}^{L}(s)\right)=-\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_{R^{2-\varepsilon}}^{\infty} t^{s-1}\left(\Theta_{R}(t)-\Theta_{R}^{*}(t)\right) d t \tag{5.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\kappa \in] \varepsilon, 1\left[\right.$. Let $\Theta_{R}^{I}(t)$ (resp. $\Theta_{R}^{I I}(t)$ ) be the contribution to $\Theta_{R}(t)$ by eigenvalues of $D_{Z_{j, R}}^{F, 2}(j=0,1,2)$ less than (resp. greater than or equal to) $R^{-2+\kappa}$. We define $\Theta_{R}^{*, I}(t)$ and $\Theta_{R}^{*, I I}(t)$ in the same way.

Proposition 5.6. As $R \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R^{2-\varepsilon}}^{\infty} \Theta_{R}^{I I}(t) \frac{d t}{t}=\mathscr{O}\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2} R^{\kappa-\varepsilon}}\right), \quad \int_{R^{2-\varepsilon}}^{\infty} \Theta_{R}^{*, I I}(t) \frac{d t}{t}=\mathscr{O}\left(e^{-\frac{1}{2} R^{\kappa-\varepsilon}}\right) \tag{5.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\left\{\lambda_{k}\right\}_{k}$ be the set of eigenvalues of $D_{Z_{j, R}}^{F, 2}(j=0,1,2)$ such that $\lambda_{k} \geqslant R^{-2+\kappa}$. Let $n=\operatorname{dim} Z$. Then for $R$ large and $t \geqslant R^{2-\varepsilon}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\Theta_{R}^{I I}(t)\right| & \leqslant n \sum_{k} e^{-t \lambda_{k}} \leqslant n e^{-(t-1) R^{-2+\kappa}} \sum_{k} e^{-\lambda_{k}} \\
& \leqslant n e^{-(t-1) R^{-2+\kappa}} \sum_{j=0}^{2} \operatorname{Tr}\left[\exp \left(-D_{Z_{j, R}}^{F, 2}\right)\right] \tag{5.42}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\exp \left(-D_{Z_{j, R}}^{F, 2}\right)(x, y)\left(x, y \in Z_{j, R}\right)$ be the smooth kernel of the operator $\exp \left(-D_{Z_{j, R}}^{F, 2}\right)$ with respect to the volume form induced by the Riemannian metric on $Z_{j, R}$. Following the same argument as (5.36), there exists $C>0$ such that for any $x, y \in Z_{j, R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\exp \left(-D_{Z_{j, R}}^{F, 2}\right)(x, y)\right| \leqslant C . \tag{5.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consequence, there exist $a, b>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr}\left[\exp \left(-D_{Z_{j, R}}^{F, 2}\right)\right] \leqslant a \operatorname{Vol}\left(Z_{j, R}\right) \leqslant b R, \quad \text { for } j=0,1,2 \tag{5.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (5.42) and (5.44), we get the first estimate in (5.41). The second one can be established in the same way.
Proposition 5.7. As $R \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{R^{2-\varepsilon}}^{\infty}\left(\Theta_{R}^{I}(t)-\Theta_{R}^{*, I}(t)\right) \frac{d t}{t}=\mathscr{O}\left(R^{\kappa-1}\right) \tag{5.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For $\lambda>0$, we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{R}(\lambda)=\int_{R^{2-\varepsilon}}^{\infty} e^{-t \lambda} \frac{d t}{t}=\int_{R^{2-\varepsilon} \lambda}^{\infty} e^{-t} \frac{d t}{t} . \tag{5.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

By splitting the integral to $\int_{1}^{\infty}+\int_{R^{2-\varepsilon} \lambda}^{1}$ (if $R^{2-\varepsilon} \lambda \leqslant 1$ ), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e_{R}(\lambda)\right| \leqslant 1+\max \left\{-\log \left(R^{2-\varepsilon} \lambda\right), 0\right\}, \quad\left|e_{R}^{\prime}(\lambda)\right| \leqslant \lambda^{-1} \tag{5.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any finite set (with multiplicity) $\Lambda \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{R}[\Lambda]=\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} e_{R}(\lambda) . \tag{5.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{R^{2-\varepsilon}}^{\infty}\left(\Theta_{R}^{I}(t)-\Theta_{R}^{*, I}(t)\right) \frac{d t}{t}  \tag{5.49}\\
= & \sum_{j=0}^{2} \sum_{p}(-1)^{(j-1)(j-2) / 2+p} p\left\{e_{R}\left[\operatorname{Sp}\left(D_{Z_{j, R}}^{F, 2,(p)}\right) \cap\right] 0, R^{\kappa-2}[]-e_{R}\left[\operatorname{Sp}\left(D_{I_{j, R}}^{2,(p)}\right) \cap\right] 0, R^{\kappa-2}[]\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

We will show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{R}\left[\operatorname{Sp}\left(D_{Z_{R}}^{F, 2,(p)}\right) \cap\right] 0, R^{\kappa-2}[]-e_{R}\left[\operatorname{Sp}\left(D_{I_{R}}^{2,(p)}\right) \cap\right] 0, R^{\kappa-2}[]=\mathscr{O}\left(R^{\kappa-1}\right) \tag{5.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

The other terms can be estimated in the same way, and (5.45) follows.

Recall that $\Lambda_{R}^{p}$ is defined in (3.147). By Theorem 3.19, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{R}\left[\operatorname{Sp}\left(D_{Z_{R}}^{F, 2,(p)}\right) \cap\right] 0, R^{\kappa-2}[]=\sum_{\rho \in \Lambda_{R}^{p}, 0<|\rho|<R^{\kappa / 2-1}} e_{R}\left(\rho^{2}\right)+\mathscr{O}\left(e^{-c R}\right) . \tag{5.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $\Lambda_{R}^{*, p}$ is defined in (5.24). By (5.25), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{R}\left[\operatorname{Sp}\left(D_{I_{R}^{2,(p)}}\right) \cap\right] 0, R^{\kappa-2}[]=\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{R}^{*, p}, 0<|\lambda|<R^{\kappa / 2-1}} e_{R}\left(\lambda^{2}\right) . \tag{5.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Appendix Proposition 8.3 and (5.47), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\rho \in \Lambda_{R}^{p}, 0<|\rho|<R^{-1+\kappa / 2}} e_{R}\left(\rho^{2}\right)-\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{R}^{*, p}, 0<|\lambda|<R^{-1+\kappa / 2}} e_{R}\left(\lambda^{2}\right)=\mathscr{O}\left(R^{\kappa-1}\right) . \tag{5.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

By ( 5.51 ), ( 5.52 ) and ( 5.53 ), we get ( 5.50 ).
Theorem 5.8. As $R \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{2}(-1)^{(j-1)(j-2) / 2}\left(\zeta_{j, R}^{L}{ }^{\prime}(0)-\zeta_{*, j, R}^{L}{ }^{\prime}(0)\right)=\mathscr{O}\left(R^{\kappa-1}\right) \tag{5.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We combine Proposition 5.6, 5.7.
Proof of Theorem 0.1: We combine Proposition 5.4 and Theorem [5.5, 5.8,

## 6. Asymptotics of the $L^{2}$-metrics on Mayer-Vietoris sequence

In this section, we prove Theorem 0.2 ,
We use the notations and assumptions of $\$ 3.1$ and $\S 3.2$.
In $\oint 6.1$, we contruct a filtration of the Mayer-Vietoris sequence. More precisely, we extend the Mayer-Vietoris sequence to a commutative diagram with exact rows and columns. Moreover, we construct another commutative diagram (6.16), which is isomorphic to the original one. In $\sqrt[6.2]{ }$, every object in diagram (6.16) is equipped with a metric (depending on $R$ ). We study the asymptotic behavior of these metrics as $R \rightarrow \infty$. In §6.3, we study the asymptotic behavior of the maps in diagram (6.16) as $R \rightarrow \infty$. In $\S 6.4$, with the help of diagram (6.16), we prove Theorem 0.2,
6.1. A filtration of the Mayer-Vietoris sequence. Recall that $\left(F, \nabla^{F}\right)$ is a flat vector bundle on $Z$, and $Y \subseteq Z$ is a hypersurface cutting $Z$ into $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$. For $R \geqslant 0$, we constructed $Z_{j, R}(j=1,2)$ (resp. $Z_{R}$ ) from $Z_{j}$ (resp. $Z$ ) by attaching a cylinder of length $R$ (resp. $2 R$ ), and extended $F$ to a flat vector bundle on $Z_{R}$.

The maps $\varphi_{j, R}: Z_{j, R} \rightarrow Z_{j}(j=1,2)$ defined in (4.7) and $\varphi_{R}: Z_{R} \rightarrow Z$ defined in (3.32) are diffeomorphisms, which induce the identifications of cohomology groups

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{R *}: H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, R}, F\right) \rightarrow H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j}, F\right), \quad \varphi_{R *}: H^{\bullet}\left(Z_{R}, F\right) \rightarrow H^{\bullet}(Z, F) . \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since these diffeomorphisms commute with the injections $Z_{j} \hookrightarrow Z$ and $Z_{j, R} \hookrightarrow Z_{R}$, we get an isomorphism of long exact sequence
where each row is the classical Mayer-Vietoris sequence (0.15).
We recall that $D_{Z_{j, R}}^{F}(j=1,2)$ (resp. $D_{Z_{R}}^{F}$ ) is the Hodge-de Rham operator (cf. (0.2)) acting on $\Omega_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, R}, F\right)$ (resp. $\Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{R}, F\right)$ ). Its kernel is denoted $\mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, R}, F\right)$ (resp. $\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{R}, F\right)$ ). We recall that $\mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right)(j=1,2)$ is defined by (2.48) and $\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)$ is defined by (3.10). We constructed in Definition 3.6, 4.4 the bijections

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{F}_{Z_{j, R}}: \mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, R}, F\right), \quad \mathscr{F}_{Z_{R}}: \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{R}, F\right) . \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 1.1, $\mathscr{F}_{Z_{j, R}}$ and $\mathscr{F}_{Z_{R}}$ may be viewed as maps

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{F}_{Z_{j, R}}: \mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right) \rightarrow H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, R}, F\right), \quad \mathscr{F}_{Z_{R}}: \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right) \rightarrow H^{\bullet}\left(Z_{R}, F\right) \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we define the composition map

$$
\begin{gather*}
\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_{Z_{j, R}}=\varphi_{R *} \circ \mathscr{F}_{Z_{j, R}}: \mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b}}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right) \rightarrow H_{\mathbf{b} \mathbf{d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j}, F\right), \\
\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_{Z_{R}}=\varphi_{R *} \circ \mathscr{F}_{Z_{R}}: \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right) \rightarrow H^{\bullet}(Z, F) . \tag{6.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

It is important to notice that these maps depend on $R$.
Recall that the inclusion $\mathscr{H}_{L^{2}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right) \subseteq \mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right)(j=1,2)$ is defined in (2.49), and the inclusion $\mathscr{H}_{L^{2}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{1, \infty}, F\right) \oplus \mathscr{H}_{L^{2}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{2, \infty}, F\right) \subseteq \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)$ is defined in (3.13). For simplicity, we denote $\mathscr{H}_{L^{2}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{1, \infty}, F\right) \oplus \mathscr{H}_{L^{2}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{2, \infty}, F\right)=\mathscr{H}_{L^{2}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)$.

For $R$ large enough, set

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{j}^{\bullet} & =\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_{Z_{j, R}}\left(\mathscr{H}_{L^{2}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right)\right) \subseteq H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j}, F\right), \quad \text { for } j=1,2,  \tag{6.6}\\
K_{12}^{\bullet} & =\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_{Z_{R}}\left(\mathscr{H}_{L^{2}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)\right) \subseteq H^{\bullet}(Z, F) .
\end{align*}
$$

By Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 4.2, $K_{1}^{\bullet}, K_{2}^{\boldsymbol{\bullet}}$ and $K_{12}^{\bullet}$ do not depend on $R$. We define the following commutative diagram with exact rows

where the first row consists of canonical injection/projection maps. Still, by Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 4.2, diagram (6.7) is independent to $R$.

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{j, \mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}=H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j}, F\right) / K_{j}^{\bullet}, \quad L_{12}^{\bullet}=H^{\bullet}(Z, F) / K_{12}^{\bullet} \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 6.1. We have the following commutative diagram with exact rows and columns

where the maps $K_{1}^{p} \rightarrow K_{12}^{p}$ and $K_{12}^{p} \rightarrow K_{2}^{p}$ are defined by (6.7), the map $K_{2}^{p} \rightarrow K_{1}^{p+1}$ is zero, the second row is the classical Mayer-Vietoris sequence (0.15), and the vertical maps are canonical injection/projection maps.

Proof. We show that the upper left square commutes. It is equivalent to show that for any $\omega \in \mathscr{H}_{L^{2}}^{p}\left(Z_{1, \infty}, F\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{p}\left(\left[\mathscr{F}_{Z_{1, R}}(\omega, 0)\right]\right)=\left[\mathscr{F}_{Z_{R}}(\omega, 0,0)\right] \in H^{p}(Z, F) . \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall that $F_{Z_{R}}$ is defined in (3.29) and $F_{Z_{1, R}}$ is defined (4.5). By their definitions, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.F_{Z_{R}}(\omega, 0,0)\right|_{Z_{1, R}}=F_{Z_{1, R}}(\omega, 0),\left.\quad F_{Z_{R}}(\omega, 0,0)\right|_{Z_{2, R}}=0 \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

And, by (3.48) and (4.22), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\mathscr{F}_{Z_{1, R}}(\omega, 0)\right]=\left[F_{Z_{1, R}}(\omega, 0)\right] \in H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{p}\left(Z_{1}, F\right),}  \tag{6.12}\\
& {\left[\mathscr{F}_{Z_{R}}(\omega, 0,0)\right]=\left[F_{Z_{R}}(\omega, 0,0)\right] \in H^{p}(Z, F) .}
\end{align*}
$$

By Proposition 1.2 and (6.11), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{p}\left(\left[F_{Z_{1, R}}(\omega, 0)\right]\right)=\left[F_{Z_{R}}(\omega, 0,0)\right] \in H^{p}(Z, F) . \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (6.10) follows from (6.12) and (6.13).
Following the same procedure, we can show that the upper right square commutes and $\delta_{p}\left(K_{2}^{p}\right)=0$. Thus, we get the commutativity between the first and second rows.

The rests can be done by direct diagram chasing arguments.
Let $\mathscr{L}_{j}^{\bullet}(j=1,2)$ be the set of limiting values of $\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right)$, which is defined by (2.43). Let $\mathscr{L}_{j, \text { abs } / \text { rel }}^{\bullet}$ be the absolute/relative component of $\mathscr{L}_{j}^{\bullet}$, which is defined by (2.46). Still, we use the convention $\mathscr{L}_{1, \text { bd }}^{\bullet}=\mathscr{L}_{1, \text { rel }}^{\bullet}$ and $\mathscr{L}_{2, \text { bd }}^{\bullet}=\mathscr{L}_{1, \text { abs }}^{\bullet}$

We define, for $j=1,2$, the following commutative diagram with exact rows

where the first row is defined by (2.49), the second row consists of canonical injection/projection maps. We define the following commutative diagram with exact rows

where the first row is defined by (3.13), the second row consists of canonical injection/projection maps.

By (6.9), (6.14) and (6.15), we get the following commutative diagram with exact rows and columns, which is the analytic counterpart of (6.9),

where the first row consists of canonical injection/projection maps, the columns are defined by (2.49) and (3.13), and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{p}(R)=\left(\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_{Z_{R}}\right)^{-1} \circ \alpha_{p} \circ \widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_{Z_{1, R}}, & \bar{\alpha}_{p}(R)=\left(\overline{\mathscr{F}}_{Z_{R}}\right)^{-1} \circ \bar{\alpha}_{p} \circ \overline{\mathscr{F}}_{Z_{1, R}}, \\
\beta_{p}(R)=\left(\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_{Z_{2, R}}\right)^{-1} \circ \beta_{p} \circ \widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_{Z_{R}}, & \bar{\beta}_{p}(R)=\left(\overline{\mathscr{F}}_{Z_{2, R}}\right)^{-1} \circ \bar{\beta}_{p} \circ \overline{\mathscr{F}}_{Z_{R}},  \tag{6.17}\\
\delta_{p}(R)=\left(\widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_{Z_{1, R}}\right)^{-1} \circ \delta_{p} \circ \widetilde{\mathscr{F}}_{Z_{2, R}}, & \bar{\delta}_{p}(R)=\left(\overline{\mathscr{F}}_{Z_{1, R}}\right)^{-1} \circ \bar{\delta}_{p} \circ \overline{\mathscr{F}}_{Z_{2, R}} .
\end{array}
$$

6.2. Asymptotics of the $L^{2}$-metrics. We begin by equipping the spaces in the second row of diagram (6.16) with metrics.

We recall that the metric $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{H} \bullet\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right), R}$ on $\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)$ is defined by (3.14). Let $\mathscr{F}_{Z_{R}}^{*}\left(\|\cdot\|_{H} \cdot\left(Z_{R}, F\right)\right)$ be another metric on $\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)$, which is the pull-back of the $L^{2}$-metric (defined in $\left.\$ \widehat{0.4}\right)\|\cdot\|_{H \bullet\left(Z_{R}, F\right)}$ on $H^{\bullet}\left(Z_{R}, F\right)$ via $\mathscr{F}_{Z_{R}}$ (cf. Definition 3.6).

We recall that the metric $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b a}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right), R}(j=1,2)$ on $\mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right)$ is defined by (4.19). Let $\mathscr{F}_{Z_{j, R}}^{*}\left(\|\cdot\|_{H_{\mathbf{b d}}\left(Z_{j, R}, F\right)}\right)$ be another metric on $\mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right)$, which is the pull-back of the $L^{2}$-metric $\|\cdot\|_{H_{\mathbf{b d}}\left(Z_{j, R}, F\right)}$ on $H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, R}, F\right)$ via $\mathscr{F}_{Z_{j, R}}$ (cf. Definition 4.4).

Proposition 6.2. There exists $c>0$, such that, as $R \rightarrow+\infty$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathscr{F}_{Z_{j, R}}^{*}\left(\|\cdot\|_{H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{*}\left(Z_{j, R}, F\right)}\right) & =\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b d}}^{*}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right), R}+\mathscr{O}\left(e^{-c R}\right), \quad \text { for } j=1,2,  \tag{6.18}\\
\mathscr{F}_{Z_{R}}^{*}\left(\|\cdot\|_{H \cdot\left(Z_{R}, R\right)}\right) & =\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{H} \bullet\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right), R}+\mathscr{O}\left(e^{-c R}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The first identitiy is a direct consequence of Propostion 4.3, 4.5. The second identity is a direct consequence of Proposition 3.4, 3.7.

Now we equip the spaces in the third row of diagram (6.16) with metrics.
Let $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{L}_{\bullet} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2}, R}$ be the quotient metric on $\mathscr{L}_{1}^{\bullet} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2}^{\bullet}$ induced by $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{H}} \cdot\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right), R$ via the vertical map $\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{L}_{1}^{\bullet} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2}^{\bullet}$ in diagram (6.16). Let $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{L}_{i} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2}}$ be another metric on $\mathscr{L}_{1}^{\bullet} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2}^{\bullet}$, which is induced by the $L^{2}$-metric $\|\cdot\|_{Y}$ on $\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])$ via the inclusion $\mathscr{L}_{1}^{\bullet} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2}^{\bullet} \subseteq \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])$ (cf. (2.43)).

In the same way, we define metrics $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{L}_{j, \mathrm{bd}}, R}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{L}_{j, \mathrm{bd}}}$ on $\mathscr{L}_{j, \mathrm{bd}}^{\bullet}$.
Proposition 6.3. As $R \rightarrow+\infty$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{L}_{j, \mathrm{bd}}, R}^{2} & =R\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{L}_{j, \mathrm{bd}}}^{2}+\mathscr{O}(1), \quad \text { for } j=1,2,  \tag{6.19}\\
\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{L}_{1} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2}, R}^{2} & =2 R\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{L}_{1} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2}}^{2}+\mathscr{O}(1)
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We only prove the first one with $j=2$. The others can be proved in the same way.

We recall that $\mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{2, \infty}, F\right)$ is defined by (2.48). By the definition of quotient metric, for any $\hat{\omega} \in \mathscr{L}_{2, \mathrm{bd}}^{\bullet}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\hat{\omega}\|_{\mathscr{L}_{2, \mathbf{b d}}, R}^{2}=\inf _{(\omega, \hat{\omega}) \in \mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b d}}(Z 2, \infty, F)}\|(\omega, \hat{\omega})\|_{\mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b d}}\left(Z_{2, \infty}, F\right), R}^{2} . \tag{6.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall that $I_{2, \infty} Y \subseteq Z_{2, \infty}$ is its cylinder part, defined in 93.1 . On $I_{2, \infty} Y$, let $\omega=\omega^{\mathrm{zm}}+\omega^{\mathrm{nz}}$ be the decomposition of $\omega$ into zero-mode and zero-mode free parts, defined in (2.16). Recall that $\pi_{Y}: I_{2, \infty} Y \rightarrow Y$ is the natural projection, and we have $\pi_{Y}^{*} \hat{\omega}=\omega^{\mathrm{zm}}$. As a consequence, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\omega^{\mathrm{zm}}\right\|_{I_{2, R} Y}^{2}=R\|\hat{\omega}\|_{Y}^{2}=R\|\hat{\omega}\|_{\mathscr{L}_{2, \mathrm{bd}}}^{2} \tag{6.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{2, R} Y \subseteq Z_{2, R}$ is the cylinder part of $Z_{2, R}$, also defined in 93.1 . Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|(\omega, \hat{\omega})\|_{\mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{2, \infty}, F\right), R}^{2}-R\|\hat{\omega}\|_{\mathscr{L}_{2, \mathrm{bd}}}^{2}=\|\omega\|_{Z_{2, R}}^{2}-\left\|\omega^{z \mathrm{~m}}\right\|_{I_{2, R} Y}^{2}=\|\omega\|_{Z_{2,0}}^{2}+\left\|\omega^{\mathrm{nz}}\right\|_{I_{2, R} Y}^{2} \tag{6.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|(\omega, \hat{\omega})\|_{\mathscr{H}_{\mathfrak{b d}}\left(Z_{2, \infty}, F\right), R}^{2} \geqslant R\|\hat{\omega}\|_{\mathscr{L}_{2, \mathrm{bd}}}^{2} \tag{6.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (6.20), (6.22) and (6.23), it is sufficient to show that there exists $C>0$, such that for any $\hat{\omega} \in \mathscr{L}_{2, \text { bd }}^{\bullet}$, there exists $(\omega, \hat{\omega}) \in \mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{2, \infty}, F\right)$, such that, for any $R>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\omega\|_{Z_{2,0}}^{2}+\left\|\omega^{\mathrm{nz}}\right\|_{I_{2, R} Y}^{2} \leqslant C\|\hat{\omega}\|_{\mathscr{L}_{2, \mathrm{bd}}}^{2} . \tag{6.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the rest of the proof, we choose $\omega$ such that $(\omega, \hat{\omega}) \in \mathscr{H}_{\text {bd }}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{2, \infty}, F\right)$ and $\omega$ is a generalized eigensection of $D_{Z_{2, \infty}}^{F}$ with eigenvalue $\lambda=0$. The existence and uniqueness of such a generalized eigensection comes from Remark [2.8, By (2.33), there exists $C_{1}>0$, such that for any $\hat{\omega}$ and its associated generalized eigensection $\omega$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\omega\|_{Z_{2,0}}^{2} \leqslant C_{1}\|\hat{\omega}\|_{Y}^{2}=C_{1}\|\hat{\omega}\|_{\mathscr{L}_{2, \mathrm{bd}}}^{2} \tag{6.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 2.1 and (3.43) with $Z_{1,0}$ replaced by $Z_{2,0}$, there exists $C_{2}>0$, such that for any generalized eigensection $\omega$ with eigenvalue $\lambda=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\omega^{\mathrm{nz}}\right\|_{I_{2, R} Y}^{2} \leqslant\left\|\omega^{\mathrm{nz}}\right\|_{I_{2, \infty} Y}^{2} \leqslant C_{2}\|\omega\|_{Z_{2,0}}^{2} \tag{6.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (6.25)-( (6.26), we get (6.24).
6.3. Asymptotics of the horizontal maps. We begin by considering the second row of diagram (6.16).

We recall that the operators $d u \wedge, i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}}$ and $c\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)$ on $\Omega^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])$ or $\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])$ are defined in (2.4).

In the sequel, $\mathscr{O}\left(e^{-c R}\right)$ means a number bounded by $C e^{-c R}$, with $c, C>0$ determined by $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$ and $F$ and independent to other objects concerned in the formula involving $\mathscr{O}\left(e^{-c R}\right)$. We use the notations $\mathscr{O}\left(R^{-1}\right), \mathscr{O}\left(R^{-2}\right)$, etc., in the same way.

Proposition 6.4. For $(\omega, \hat{\omega}) \in \mathscr{H}_{\text {bd }}^{p}\left(Z_{1, \infty}, F\right)$ and $\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \hat{\mu}\right) \in \mathscr{H}^{p}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\alpha_{p}(R)(\omega, \hat{\omega}),\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \hat{\mu}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathscr{C}^{p}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right), R}  \tag{6.27}\\
= & \left\langle\omega, \mu_{1}\right\rangle_{Z_{1, R}}+\mathscr{O}\left(e^{-c R}\right)\|(\omega, \hat{\omega})\|_{\mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b d}}^{p}\left(Z_{1, \infty}, F\right)}\left\|\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \hat{\mu}\right)\right\|_{\mathscr{C}^{p}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

For $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right) \in \mathscr{H}^{p}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)$ and $(\mu, \hat{\mu}) \in \mathscr{H}_{\text {bd }}^{p}\left(Z_{2, \infty}, F\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\beta_{p}(R)\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right),(\mu, \hat{\mu})\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b d}}^{p}\left(Z_{2, \infty}, F\right), R}  \tag{6.28}\\
= & \left\langle\omega_{2}, \mu\right\rangle_{Z_{2, R}}+\mathscr{O}\left(e^{-c R}\right)\left\|\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \hat{\omega}\right)\right\|_{\mathscr{H}^{p}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)}\|(\mu, \hat{\mu})\|_{\mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b d}}^{p}\left(Z_{2, \infty}, F\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

For $(\omega, \hat{\omega}) \in \mathscr{H}_{\text {bd }}^{p}\left(Z_{2, \infty}, F\right)$ and $(\mu, \hat{\mu}) \in \mathscr{H}_{\text {bd }}^{p+1}\left(Z_{1, \infty}, F\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\delta_{p}(R)(\omega, \hat{\omega}),(\mu, \hat{\mu})\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}}{ }^{p+1}\left(Z_{1, \infty}, F\right), R \\
= & \left\langle\hat{\omega}, i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}} \hat{\mu}\right\rangle_{Y}+\mathscr{O}\left(e^{-c R}\right)\|(\omega, \hat{\omega})\|_{\mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b d}}^{p}\left(Z_{2, \infty}, F\right)}\|(\mu, \hat{\mu})\|_{\mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b d}}^{p+1}\left(Z_{1, \infty}, F\right)} . \tag{6.29}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Once again, we recall that $\mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right)(j=1,2)$ is defined by (2.48) and $\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)$ is defined by (3.10).

For $(\omega, \hat{\omega}) \in \mathscr{H}_{\text {bd }}^{p}\left(Z_{1, \infty}, F\right)$, we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{p}(R)(\omega, \hat{\omega})=\left(\omega_{1}^{\prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime}, \hat{\omega}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathscr{H}^{p}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right) . \tag{6.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (6.17) and (6.30), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{p}\left(\left[\mathscr{F}_{Z_{1, R}}(\omega, \hat{\omega})\right]\right)=\left[\mathscr{F}_{Z_{R}}\left(\omega_{1}^{\prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime}, \hat{\omega}^{\prime}\right)\right] \in H^{p}(Z, F) . \tag{6.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by Proposition 1.3, for any $\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \hat{\mu}\right) \in \mathscr{H}^{p}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathscr{F}_{Z_{R}}\left(\omega_{1}^{\prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime}, \hat{\omega}^{\prime}\right), \mathscr{F}_{Z_{R}}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \hat{\mu}\right)\right\rangle_{Z_{R}}=\left\langle\mathscr{F}_{Z_{1, R}}(\omega, \hat{\omega}), \mathscr{F}_{Z_{R}}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \hat{\mu}\right)\right\rangle_{Z_{1, R}} \tag{6.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Proposition 6.2, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\left(\omega_{1}^{\prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime}, \hat{\omega}^{\prime}\right),\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \hat{\mu}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}^{p}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right), R}  \tag{6.33}\\
= & \left\langle\mathscr{F}_{Z_{R}}\left(\omega_{1}^{\prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime}, \hat{\omega}^{\prime}\right), \mathscr{F}_{Z_{R}}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \hat{\mu}\right)\right\rangle_{Z_{R}}\left(1+\mathscr{O}\left(e^{-c R}\right)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

By Proposition 3.4, 3.7, 4.3, 4.5, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\mathscr{F}_{Z_{1, R}}(\omega, \hat{\omega}), \mathscr{F}_{Z_{R}}\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \hat{\mu}\right)\right\rangle_{Z_{1, R}}  \tag{6.34}\\
= & \left\langle\omega, \mu_{1}\right\rangle_{Z_{1, R}}+\mathscr{O}\left(e^{-c R}\right)\|(\omega, \hat{\omega})\|_{\mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b d}}^{p}\left(Z_{1, \infty}, F\right)}\left\|\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \hat{\mu}\right)\right\|_{\mathscr{H}^{p}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

By (6.30) and (6.32)-(6.34), we get (6.27).
The second and third equations can be proved following the same procedure.
Now we consider the third row of diagram (6.16). Recall that we have defined the exact sequence (5.11) involving the same spaces. The comparison between (5.11) and the third row of diagram (6.16) is done in the following proposition.

Proposition 6.5. As $R \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{\alpha}_{p}(R) & =\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{p, \mathscr{L}}+\mathscr{O}\left(R^{-1}\right), \\
\bar{\beta}_{p}(R) & =\beta_{p, \mathscr{L}}+\mathscr{O}\left(R^{-1}\right)  \tag{6.35}\\
\bar{\delta}_{p}(R) & =R^{-1} \delta_{p, \mathscr{L}}+\mathscr{O}\left(R^{-2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We only prove the first one. The rests can be proved in the same way.
For $\hat{\omega} \in \mathscr{L}_{1, \text { bd }}^{p}$, by Remark 2.8 , there exists $(\omega, \hat{\omega}) \in \mathscr{H}_{\text {bd }}^{p}\left(Z_{1, \infty}, F\right)$ such that $\omega$ is a generalized eigensection. Same as (6.30), we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{p}(R)(\omega, \hat{\omega})=\left(\omega_{1}^{\prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime}, \hat{\omega}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathscr{H}^{p}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right) . \tag{6.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by (6.17),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\alpha}_{p}(R)(\hat{\omega})=\hat{\omega}^{\prime} . \tag{6.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

We need to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\hat{\omega}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{p, \mathscr{L}}(\hat{\omega})\right\|_{\mathscr{L}_{1}^{p} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2}^{p}}^{2}=\mathscr{O}\left(R^{-2}\right)\|\hat{\omega}\|_{Y}^{2} . \tag{6.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Proposition 6.3, it is sufficient to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\hat{\omega}^{\prime}-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{p, \mathscr{L}}(\hat{\omega})\right\|_{\mathscr{L}_{1}^{p} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2}^{p}, R}^{2}=\mathscr{O}\left(R^{-1}\right)\|\hat{\omega}\|_{Y}^{2} . \tag{6.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Still, by Remark 2.8, there exists $\left(\omega_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime \prime}, \hat{\omega}^{\prime \prime}\right) \in \mathscr{H}^{p}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)$ such that $\omega_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\omega_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ are generalized eigensections and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\omega}^{\prime \prime}=\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{p, \mathscr{L}}(\hat{\omega}) . \tag{6.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{L}_{1}^{p} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2}^{p}, R}$ is the quotient metric induced by $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{C}^{p}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right), R}$, for proving (6.39), it is sufficient to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\omega_{1}^{\prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime}, \hat{\omega}^{\prime}\right)-\left(\omega_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime \prime}, \hat{\omega}^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\|_{\mathscr{C}^{p}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right), R}^{2}=\mathscr{O}\left(R^{-1}\right)\|\hat{\omega}\|_{Y}^{2} . \tag{6.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Riesz representation theorem, it is equivalent to show that, for any $\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \hat{\mu}\right) \in$ $\mathscr{H}^{p}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\left(\omega_{1}^{\prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime}, \hat{\omega}^{\prime}\right)-\left(\omega_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime \prime}, \hat{\omega}^{\prime \prime}\right),\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \hat{\mu}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}^{p}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right), R} \\
& =\mathscr{O}\left(R^{-1 / 2}\right)\|\hat{\omega}\|_{Y}\left\|\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \hat{\mu}\right)\right\|_{\mathscr{H}^{p}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right), R} . \tag{6.42}
\end{align*}
$$

By Proposition 6.4 and (6.36), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\left(\omega_{1}^{\prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime}, \hat{\omega}^{\prime}\right),\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \hat{\mu}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}^{p}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right), R}  \tag{6.43}\\
= & \left\langle\omega, \mu_{1}\right\rangle_{Z_{1, R}}+\mathscr{O}\left(e^{-c R}\right)\|(\omega, \hat{\omega})\|_{\mathscr{H}_{\text {bd }}^{p}\left(Z_{1, \infty}, F\right)}\left\|\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \hat{\mu}\right)\right\|_{\mathscr{H}^{p}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\omega$ is a generalized eigensection, by (2.33), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|(\omega, \hat{\omega})\|_{\mathscr{H}_{\mathrm{bd}}^{p}\left(Z_{1, \infty}, F\right)}=\|\omega\|_{Z_{1,0}}=\mathscr{O}(1)\|\hat{\omega}\|_{Y} \tag{6.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (6.43) and (6.44), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\left(\omega_{1}^{\prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime}, \hat{\omega}^{\prime}\right),\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \hat{\mu}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}^{p}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right), R}  \tag{6.45}\\
= & \left\langle\omega, \mu_{1}\right\rangle_{Z_{1, R}}+\mathscr{O}\left(e^{-c R}\right)\|\hat{\omega}\|_{Y}\left\|\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \hat{\mu}\right)\right\|_{\mathscr{H}^{P}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)} .
\end{align*}
$$

The following is just the definition of $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\mathscr{C}^{p}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right), R}$ (cf. (3.14)),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\left(\omega_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime \prime}, \hat{\omega}^{\prime \prime}\right),\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \hat{\mu}\right)\right\rangle_{\mathscr{H}^{p}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right), R}=\left\langle\omega_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \mu_{1}\right\rangle_{Z_{1, R}}+\left\langle\omega_{2}^{\prime \prime}, \mu_{2}\right\rangle_{Z_{2, R}} \tag{6.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing (3.15), (6.42), (6.45) and (6.46), it rests to show that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\omega, \mu_{1}\right\rangle_{Z_{1, R}}-\left\langle\omega_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \mu_{1}\right\rangle_{Z_{1, R}}-\left\langle\omega_{2}^{\prime \prime}, \mu_{2}\right\rangle_{Z_{2, R}}  \tag{6.47}\\
= & \mathscr{O}\left(R^{-1 / 2}\right)\|\hat{\omega}\|_{Y}\left\|\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \hat{\mu}\right)\right\|_{\mathscr{C}^{p}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right), R} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\omega_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ and $\omega$ are generalized eigensections, by using Lemma 2.1 and (2.33) in the same way as the proof of Proposition 6.3, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\omega_{j}^{\prime \prime}, \mu_{j}\right\rangle_{Z_{j, R}} & =R\left\langle\hat{\omega}^{\prime \prime}, \hat{\mu}\right\rangle_{Y}+\mathscr{O}(1)\|\hat{\omega}\|_{Y}\|\hat{\mu}\|_{Y}  \tag{6.48}\\
& =R\left\langle\hat{\omega}^{\prime \prime}, \hat{\mu}\right\rangle_{Y}+\mathscr{O}\left(R^{-1 / 2}\right)\|\hat{\omega}\|_{Y}\left\|\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \hat{\mu}\right)\right\|_{\mathscr{H}^{p}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right), R}, \quad \text { for } j=1,2, \\
\left\langle\omega, \mu_{1}\right\rangle_{Z_{1, R}} & =R\langle\hat{\omega}, \hat{\mu}\rangle_{Y}+\mathscr{O}(1)\|\hat{\omega}\|_{Y}\|\hat{\mu}\|_{Y} \\
& =R\langle\hat{\omega}, \hat{\mu}\rangle_{Y}+\mathscr{O}\left(R^{-1 / 2}\right)\|\hat{\omega}\|_{Y}\left\|\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \hat{\mu}\right)\right\|_{\mathscr{H}^{p}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right), R} .
\end{align*}
$$

By (5.8) and (6.40), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\hat{\omega}^{\prime \prime}, \hat{\mu}\right\rangle_{Y}=\frac{1}{2}\left\langle\alpha_{p, \mathscr{L}}(\hat{\omega}), \hat{\mu}\right\rangle_{Y}=\frac{1}{2}\langle\hat{\omega}, \hat{\mu}\rangle_{Y} . \tag{6.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (6.48) and (6.49), we obtain (6.47). This finishes the proof of the first equation.

Remark 6.6. A special case of the problem studied in this subsection is considered by Müller-Strohmaier [32]. They consider the following Mayer-Vietoris sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdots \longrightarrow H_{\mathrm{rel}}^{p}\left(Z_{1, R}, \mathbb{C}\right) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{p}} H_{\mathrm{abs}}^{p}\left(Z_{1, R}, \mathbb{C}\right) \xrightarrow{\beta_{p}} H^{p}(Y, \mathbb{C}) \xrightarrow{\delta_{p}} \cdots \tag{6.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

and give an asymptotic estimate of the sesquilinear form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{p}(Y, \mathbb{C}) \times H^{p}(Y, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} ;(\phi, \varphi) \mapsto\left\langle\delta_{p} \phi, \delta_{p} \varphi\right\rangle \tag{6.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$ ([32, Theorem 3.3]), where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is the $L^{2}$-metric on $H_{\mathrm{rel}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{1, R}, \mathbb{C}\right)$.
6.4. Torsion of the Mayer-Vietoris sequence : proof of Theorem 0.2, Firstly, we state a technical lemma.

For $A: V \rightarrow W$ a linear map between Hermitian vector spaces with the same dimension, we denote by $\operatorname{det}(A)$ the determinant of the matrix of $A$ under any orthogonal bases, which is well-defined up to $\mathrm{U}(1):=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|=1\}$.

We recall that $\operatorname{det}^{*}(\cdot)$ is defined by $(0.24)$.
Lemma 6.7. Let $V$ be a Hermitian vector space, $H_{1}, H_{2} \subseteq V$ two subspaces. Let $P_{j}$ be the orthogonal projection to $H_{j}$ for $j=1,2$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\left.P_{1}\right|_{\operatorname{Im}\left(P_{2} P_{1}\right)}\right)\right|=\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\left.P_{2}\right|_{\operatorname{Im}\left(P_{1} P_{2}\right)}\right)\right|=\operatorname{det}^{*}\left(\operatorname{Id}-P_{1}-P_{2}+P_{1} P_{2}+P_{2} P_{1}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \tag{6.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We claim that there exists an orthogonal decomposition $V=\bigoplus_{k} V_{k}$ such that $\operatorname{dim} V_{k} \leqslant 2$ and $H_{j}=\bigoplus_{k}\left(V_{k} \cap H_{j}\right)$ for $j=1,2$. Once the claim is proved, we may suppose that $\operatorname{dim} V \leqslant 2$. Then the only non trivial case is $\operatorname{dim} V=2$ and $\operatorname{dim} H_{1}=$ $\operatorname{dim} H_{2}=1$. We may suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\mathbb{C}^{2}, \quad H_{1}=\mathbb{C}(1,0), \quad H_{2}=\mathbb{C}(\cos \theta, \sin \theta), \quad \text { with } 0 \leqslant \theta \leqslant \frac{\pi}{2} \tag{6.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\left.P_{1}\right|_{\operatorname{Im}\left(P_{2} P_{1}\right)}\right)\right|=\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\left.P_{2}\right|_{\operatorname{Im}\left(P_{1} P_{2}\right)}\right)\right|=\cos \theta$, and

$$
P_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0  \tag{6.54}\\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad P_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos ^{2} \theta & \cos \theta \sin \theta \\
\cos \theta \sin \theta & \sin ^{2} \theta
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then (6.52) follows from a direct calculation.
Now we prove the claim. The operator $P_{1} P_{2} P_{1}$ (resp. $P_{2} P_{1} P_{2}$ ) acting on $H_{1}$ (resp. $H_{2}$ ) is self-adjoint, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1}=\bigoplus_{0 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant 1} H_{1}^{\lambda}, \quad H_{2}=\bigoplus_{0 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant 1} H_{2}^{\lambda} \tag{6.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the associated spectral deompositions, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.P_{1} P_{2} P_{1}\right|_{H_{1}^{\lambda}}=\lambda \mathrm{Id},\left.\quad P_{2} P_{1} P_{2}\right|_{H_{2}^{\lambda}}=\lambda \mathrm{Id} . \tag{6.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1}^{1}=H_{2}^{1}=H_{1} \cap H_{2}, \quad H_{1}^{0}=H_{1} \cap H_{2}^{\perp}, \quad H_{2}^{0}=H_{2} \cap H_{1}^{\perp} . \tag{6.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

We get the orthogonal decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\left(H_{1}+H_{2}\right)^{\perp} \oplus\left(H_{1} \cap H_{2}\right) \oplus\left(H_{1} \cap H_{2}^{\perp}\right) \oplus\left(H_{2} \cap H_{1}^{\perp}\right) \oplus \underset{0<\lambda<1}{\bigoplus}\left(H_{1}^{\lambda}+H_{2}^{\lambda}\right) \tag{6.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is invariant under the actions of $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$. The problem decomposes to each block. In $H_{1} \cap H_{2}$, the vector spaces in question are both the whole space. We take $\left(e_{j}\right)_{j}$ an orthogonal basis of $H_{1} \cap H_{2}$ and let $V_{j}=\mathbb{C} e_{j}$. For similar reasons, the claim is true for $\left(H_{1}+H_{2}\right)^{\perp}, H_{1} \cap H_{2}^{\perp}$ and $H_{2} \cap H_{1}^{\perp}$. For $H_{1}^{\lambda}+H_{2}^{\lambda}$ with $0<\lambda<1$, let $\left(v_{j}\right)_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant r}$ be an orthogonal basis of $H_{1}^{\lambda}$, let $V_{j}$ be the vector space spanned by $\left\{v_{j}, P_{2} v_{j}\right\}$. These $V_{j}$ satisfy the desired condition.

We briefly recall some properties of torsion (cf. [6, §1a]), which are of constant use in this subsection. For a finite acyclic complex $\left(V^{\bullet}, \partial\right)$ of Hermitian vector spaces, we denote its torsion (cf. (0.14)) by $\mathscr{T}\left(V^{\bullet}, \partial\right)$.

- Let $\left(V^{\bullet}[n], \partial\right)$ be the $n$-th right-shift of $\left(V^{\bullet}, \partial\right)$, i.e., $V^{k}[n]=V^{k-n}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{T}\left(V^{\bullet}[n], \partial\right)=\left(\mathscr{T}\left(V^{\bullet}, \partial\right)\right)^{(-1)^{n}} \tag{6.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

- If $\left(V^{\bullet}, \partial\right)$ is the direct sum of two complexes $\left(V_{1}^{\bullet}, \partial_{1}\right)$ and $\left(V_{2}^{\bullet}, \partial_{2}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{T}\left(V^{\bullet}, \partial\right)=\mathscr{T}\left(V_{1}^{\bullet}, \partial_{1}\right) \cdot \mathscr{T}\left(V_{2}^{\bullet}, \partial_{2}\right) . \tag{6.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

- For a short acyclic complex

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(V^{\bullet}, \partial\right): 0 \rightarrow V^{1} \rightarrow V^{2} \rightarrow 0, \tag{6.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

let $A$ be the matrix of $\partial: V^{1} \rightarrow V^{2}$ with respect to any orthogonal bases, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{T}\left(V^{\bullet}, \partial\right)=|\operatorname{det}(A)| . \tag{6.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $T_{\mathscr{L}}$ be the torsion of the sequence (5.11) equipped with metrics $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{L}_{j, \mathrm{bd}}}(j=$ $1,2)$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{L}_{i} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2}}$. Before proving Theorem 0.2 , we calculate $T_{\mathscr{L}}$.
We recall that $\mathscr{L}_{j \text {,abs }} \subseteq \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F)(j=1,2)$ is the absolute component of $\mathscr{L}_{j}^{\bullet} \subseteq$ $\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])$, defined by (2.46). Let $\mathscr{L}_{j, \text { abs }}^{\bullet, \perp} \subseteq \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F)$ be its orthogonal complement with respect to the $L^{2}$-metric on $\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F)$. Let $S_{j}^{p} \in \operatorname{End}\left(\mathscr{H}^{p}(Y, F)\right)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{j}^{p}=\operatorname{Id}_{\mathscr{L}_{j, \mathrm{abs}}^{p}}-\operatorname{Id}_{\mathscr{L}_{j, \text { abs }}^{p, \perp}} . \tag{6.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

By identifying $\mathscr{H}^{p}(Y, F)$ to $\mathscr{H}^{p}(Y, F) d u$ via the left multiplication $d u \wedge$, defined by (2.4), $S_{j}^{p}$ also acts on $\mathscr{H}^{p}(Y, F) d u$.

We recall that $C_{j}(\lambda) \in \operatorname{End}\left(\mathscr{H}^{\bullet}(Y, F[d u])\right)(j=1,2)$ is the scattering matrix associated with $\Omega^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right)$ (cf. $\left.\$ 3.2\right)$. We recall that $C_{j}=C_{j}(0)$ and $C_{j}^{p}$ is its restriction to $\mathscr{H}^{p}(Y, F) \oplus \mathscr{H}^{p-1}(Y, F) d u$. By (2.45) and (2.46), we have

$$
C_{j}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
S_{j}^{p} & 0  \tag{6.64}\\
0 & -S_{j}^{p-1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Proposition 6.8. The following identities hold

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{\mathscr{L}} & =\prod_{p=0}^{\operatorname{dim} Z} \operatorname{det}^{*}\left(\frac{2-S_{1}^{p} \circ S_{2}^{p}-S_{2}^{p} \circ S_{1}^{p}}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}(-1)^{p}}  \tag{6.65}\\
& =\prod_{p=0}^{\operatorname{dim} Z} \operatorname{det}^{*}\left(\frac{2-C_{12}^{p}-\left(C_{12}^{p}\right)^{-1}}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}(-1)^{p} p}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The sequence (5.11) is the orthogonal sum of the following two sequences (6.66)

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\cdots \longrightarrow \mathscr{L}_{1, \text { rel }}^{p} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2, \text { rel }}^{p} \longrightarrow \mathscr{L}_{1}^{p} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2}^{p} \longrightarrow \mathscr{L}_{1, \text { abs }}^{p} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2, \text { abs }}^{p} \xrightarrow{\delta_{p, \mathscr{L}}} \cdots, \\
\cdots \longrightarrow \mathscr{L}_{1, \text { rel }}^{p} \cap\left(\mathscr{L}_{1, \text { rel }}^{p} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2, \text { rel }}^{p}\right)^{\perp} \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{L}_{2, \text { abs }}^{p} \cap\left(\mathscr{L}_{1, \text { abs }}^{p} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2, \text { abs }}^{p}\right)^{\perp} \xrightarrow{\delta_{p, \mathscr{S}}} \cdots,
\end{array}
$$

where $\delta_{p, \mathscr{L}}$ in the line is zero map. Since the maps in the first sequence in (6.66) are canonical injection/projection maps, by (6.60) and (6.62), the first sequence in (6.66)
does not contribute to $T_{\mathscr{L}}$. And the second sequence in (6.66) splits into the short exact sequences

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \longrightarrow \mathscr{L}_{2, \text { abs }}^{p} \cap\left(\mathscr{L}_{1, \text { abs }}^{p} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2, \text { abs }}^{p}\right)^{\perp} \xrightarrow{\delta_{p, \mathscr{L}}} \mathscr{L}_{1, \text { rel }}^{p+1} \cap\left(\mathscr{L}_{1, \text { rel }}^{p+1} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2, \text { rel }}^{p+1}\right)^{\perp} \longrightarrow 0 \tag{6.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (2.46), we see that the map $i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial u}}: \mathscr{H}^{p}(Y, F) d u \rightarrow \mathscr{H}^{p}(Y, F)$ send $\mathscr{L}_{1, \text { rel }}^{p+1} \cap\left(\mathscr{L}_{1, \text { rel }}^{p+1} \cap\right.$ $\left.\mathscr{L}_{2, \text { rel }}^{p+1}\right)^{\perp}$ to $\mathscr{L}_{1, \text { abs }}^{p, \perp} \cap\left(\mathscr{L}_{1, \text { abs }}^{p, \perp} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2, \text { abs }}^{p, \perp}\right)^{\perp u}$. We define the following commutative diagram with exact rows and isometric vertical maps


By (5.10), the map in the second row in (6.68) is orthogonal projection. Furthermore, since the vertical maps are isometric, the torsions of the first and second row coincide.

Let $P_{p}$ (resp. $Q_{p}$ ) be the orthogonal projection from $\mathscr{H}^{p}(Y, F)$ (resp. $\mathscr{H}^{p}(Y, F)$ ) onto $\mathscr{L}_{2, \text { abs }}^{p}$ (resp. $\mathscr{L}_{1, \text { abs }}^{p, \perp}$ ). Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathscr{L}_{2, \text { abs }}^{p} \cap\left(\mathscr{L}_{1, \text { abs }}^{p} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2, \text { abs }}^{p}\right)^{\perp}=\operatorname{Im}\left(P_{p} Q_{p}\right), \\
& \mathscr{L}_{1, \text { abs }}^{p, \perp} \cap\left(\mathscr{L}_{1, \text { abs }}^{p, \perp} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2, \text { abs }}^{p, \perp}\right)^{\perp}=\operatorname{Im}\left(Q_{p} P_{p}\right) . \tag{6.69}
\end{align*}
$$

And we have the obvious identities

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{p}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+S_{2}^{p}\right), \quad Q_{p}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-S_{1}^{p}\right) . \tag{6.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, by Lemma $6.7(\sqrt{6.62})$ and $(6.68)-(\sqrt{6.70})$, the torsion of $(\sqrt{6.67)}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}^{*}\left(1-P_{p}-Q_{p}+P_{p} Q_{p}+Q_{p} P_{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}=\operatorname{det}^{*}\left(\frac{2-S_{1}^{p} \circ S_{2}^{p}-S_{2}^{p} \circ S_{1}^{p}}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} . \tag{6.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (6.59) and (6.60) , $T_{\mathscr{L}}$ is the alternative product of the torsions of (6.67) for each $p$. Then (6.71) implies the first equality in (6.65). We turn to prove the second one.

We denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{p, \mathrm{abs}}=\operatorname{det}^{*}\left(\frac{2-S_{1}^{p} \circ S_{2}^{p}-S_{2}^{p} \circ S_{1}^{p}}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}, \quad I_{p}=\operatorname{det}^{*}\left(\frac{2-C_{12}^{p}-\left(C_{12}^{p}\right)^{-1}}{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \tag{6.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is sufficient to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{p} I_{p, \mathrm{abs}}^{(-1)^{p}}=\prod_{p} I_{p}^{(-1)^{p} p} . \tag{6.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (6.64), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{p}=I_{p, \text { abs }} \cdot I_{p+1, \mathrm{abs}} . \tag{6.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (6.74), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{p} I_{p, \mathrm{abs}}^{(-1)^{p}}=\prod_{p} I_{p, \mathrm{abs}}^{(-1)^{p} p} \prod_{p} I_{p, \mathrm{abs}}^{(-1)^{p-1}(p-1)}=\prod_{p} I_{p, \mathrm{abs}}^{(-1)^{p} p} \prod_{p} I_{p+1, \mathrm{abs}}^{(-1)^{p} p}=\prod_{p} I_{p}^{(-1)^{p} p}, \tag{6.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

which gives exactly (6.73). The proof of Proposition 6.8 is completed.

Proof of Theorem 0.2. We equip all the objects in (6.16) with metrics. All the metrics mentioned bellow are defined/recalled in 86.2 .

- $\mathscr{H} \bullet\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)$ is equipped with metric $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{H} \bullet{ }_{\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right), R}}$;
- $\mathscr{H}_{L^{2}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right) \subseteq \mathscr{H}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{12, \infty}, F\right)$ is equipped with the restricted metric ;
- $\mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b} \mathbf{d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right)(j=1,2)$ is equipped with metric $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{H}_{\mathbf{b}}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right), R}$;
- $\mathscr{H}_{L^{2}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right) \subseteq \mathscr{H}_{\text {bd }}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, \infty}, F\right)$ is equipped with the restricted metric ;
- $\mathscr{L}_{1}^{\bullet} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2}^{\bullet}$ is equipped with metric $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{L}_{i} \cap \mathscr{L}_{\bullet}}$;
- $\mathscr{L}_{j, \text { bd }}(j=1,2)$ is equipped with metric $\|\cdot\|_{\mathscr{L}_{j \text { bd }}}$.

Let $T_{\mathrm{h}, j}(j=1,2,3)$ be the torsion of $j$-th row, $T_{\mathrm{v}, j}(j=1, \cdots, 3 n+3)$ be the torsion of $j$-th column. By Proposition 6.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{T}_{R}=\left(1+\mathscr{O}\left(e^{-c R}\right)\right) T_{\mathrm{h}, 2} . \tag{6.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

By [6, Theorem 1.20], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\mathrm{h}, 1} T_{\mathrm{h}, 2}^{-1} T_{\mathrm{h}, 3}=\prod_{k=1}^{3 n+3} T_{\mathrm{v}, k}^{(-1)^{k+1}} \tag{6.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Proposition 6.3, (6.59), (6.60) and (6.62), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{\mathrm{v}, 3 p+1}=\left(1+\mathscr{O}\left(R^{-1}\right)\right) R^{\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} \mathscr{L}_{1, \mathbf{b d}}^{p}}, \\
& T_{\mathrm{v}, 3 p+2}=\left(1+\mathscr{O}\left(R^{-1}\right)\right)(2 R)^{\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} \mathscr{L}_{1}^{p} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2}^{p}},  \tag{6.78}\\
& T_{\mathrm{v}, 3 p+3}=\left(1+\mathscr{O}\left(R^{-1}\right)\right) R^{\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} \mathscr{L}_{2, \mathrm{bd}}^{p}} .
\end{align*}
$$

By (6.59), (6.60), (6.62) and the fact that the first row in (6.16) consists of canonical injection/projection maps, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\mathrm{h}, 1}=1 . \tag{6.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall that $a_{p}, b_{p}$ and $d_{p}$ are defined in (5.22). By Proposition 6.5, (6.59), (6.60) and (6.62), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\mathrm{h}, 3}=\left(1+\mathscr{O}\left(R^{-1}\right)\right)\left(\prod_{p=1}^{n} 2^{(-1)^{p} a_{p}}\right)\left(\prod_{p=1}^{n} R^{(-1)^{p} d_{p}}\right) T_{\mathscr{L}} . \tag{6.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the exactness of (5.11), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{p=1}^{n}(-1)^{p}\left(\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{L}_{1, \mathbf{b d}}^{p}-\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{L}_{1}^{p} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2}^{p}+\operatorname{dim} \mathscr{L}_{2, \mathbf{b d}}^{p}\right)=0,  \tag{6.81}\\
& \operatorname{dim} \mathscr{L}_{1}^{p} \cap \mathscr{L}_{2}^{p}=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker}\left(\beta_{p, \mathscr{L}}\right)+\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Im}\left(\beta_{p, \mathscr{L}}\right)=a_{p}+b_{p} \tag{6.82}
\end{align*}
$$

By (6.76) - (6.82), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{T}_{R}=\left(1+\mathscr{O}\left(R^{-1}\right)\right)\left(\prod_{p=1}^{n} 2^{(-1)^{p}\left(a_{p}-b_{p}\right) / 2}\right)\left(\prod_{p=1}^{n} R^{(-1)^{p} d_{p}}\right) T_{\mathscr{L}} . \tag{6.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma[5.2, Proposition 6.8 and (6.83), the proof of Theorem 0.2 is completed.

## 7. GLUING FORMULA OF ANALYTIC TORSION

In this section, as an application of our asymptotic analysis on the $\zeta$-determinant and on the Mayer-Vietoris sequence, we prove Theorem 0.3.

In $\$ 7.1$, we review the Ray-Singer metric and the anomaly formula. In $\$ 7.2$, applying Theorem 0.1, 0.2 , we prove Theorem 0.3 , the gluing formula for analytic torsion.
7.1. Ray-Singer metric and Anomaly formula. Let $X$ be a compact manifold (with or without boundary). Let $\left(F, \nabla^{F}\right)$ be a flat complex vector bundle over $X$.

We equip $X$ with a Riemannian metric $g^{T X}$. We equip $F$ with a Hermitian metric $h^{F}$. We suppose that $g^{T Z}$ and $h^{F}$ have a product structure near $\partial X$ (cf. (0.1)).

We pose absolute/relative boundary condition on $\partial X$. We recall that $H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}(X, F)$ is defined by (1.4), and $\operatorname{det} H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}(X, F)$ is the determinant of $H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}(X, F)$, defined by (0.10).

We recall that $\Omega_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}(X, F)$ is defined by (1.5). Let $D_{X, \mathbf{b d}}^{F}$ be Hodge-de Rham operator acting on $\Omega_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}(X, F)$, defined by (0.2). Let $\|\cdot\|_{\text {det } H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}(X, F)}$ be the $L^{2}$-metric on $\operatorname{det} H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}(X, F)$ induced by Hodge Theorem (cf. Theorem 1.1). Let $\zeta(s)$ be the $\zeta$-function of $D_{X}^{F, 2}$ defined by (0.5).

Definition 7.1. The Ray-Singer metric on $\operatorname{det} H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}(X, F)$ is defined as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\cdot\|_{\operatorname{det} H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}(X, F)}^{\mathrm{RS}}=\|\cdot\|_{\operatorname{det} H_{\mathbf{b d}}(X, F)} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \zeta^{\prime}(0)\right) \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $g^{T X^{\prime}}$ be another Riemannian metric on $X$. We suppose that $g^{T X}$ and $g^{T X^{\prime}}$ coincide on a neighborhood of $\partial X$. Let $\|\cdot\|_{\text {det } H_{\mathbf{b d}_{d}}(X, F)}^{\mathrm{RS}^{\prime}}$ be the Ray-Singer metric associated to $g^{T X^{\prime}}$ and $h^{F}$. Before stating the anomaly formula calculating the ratio of $\|\cdot\|_{\operatorname{det} H_{\mathbf{b d}_{d}}^{\mathrm{RS}}(X, F)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\operatorname{det} H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}(X, F)}^{\mathrm{RS}^{\prime}}$, we define the Euler form and its Chern-Simons form.

Let $o(T X)$ be the orientation bundle of $T X$. Let $\nabla^{T X}$ be the Levi-Civita connection on $T X$ with curvature $R^{T X}=\left(\nabla^{T X}\right)^{2}$. We define its Euler form (cf. [10, (4.9)])

$$
\begin{equation*}
e\left(T X, \nabla^{T X}\right)=\operatorname{Pf}\left[\frac{R^{T X}}{2 \pi}\right] \in \Omega^{\operatorname{dim} X}(X, o(T X)) \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let $\left(g_{s}^{T X}\right)_{s \in[0,1]}$ be a smooth family of Riemannian metrics on $T X$ such that $g_{0}^{T X}=g^{T X}, g_{1}^{T X}=g^{T X^{\prime}}$ and all the $g_{s}^{T X}$ coincide on a neighborhood of $\partial X$. Let $\nabla_{s}^{T X}$ be the Levi-Civita connection associated to $g_{s}^{T X}$. Set

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{e}\left(T X,\left(\nabla_{s}^{T X}\right)_{s \in[0,1]}\right)  \tag{7.3}\\
= & \int_{0}^{1}\left\{\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial b}\right|_{b=0} \operatorname{Pf}\left[\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left(\nabla_{s}^{T X}\right)^{2}+\frac{b}{2 \pi}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \nabla_{s}^{T X}-\frac{1}{2}\left[\nabla_{s}^{T X},\left(g_{s}^{T X}\right)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} g_{s}^{T X}\right]\right)\right]\right\} d s .
\end{align*}
$$

Same as [10, (4.10)], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \widetilde{e}\left(T X,\left(\nabla_{s}^{T X}\right)_{s \in[0,1]}\right)=e\left(T X, \nabla^{T X^{\prime}}\right)-e\left(T X, \nabla^{T X}\right) \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are in a special case of [12, Theorem 1.9] : since $g_{s}^{T X}$ coincide near $\partial X$, the boundary term $\widetilde{e}_{b}$ in [12, (1.45)] vanishes, then the image of $\widetilde{e}\left(T X,\left(\nabla_{s^{\prime}}^{T X}\right)_{s^{\prime} \in[0,1]}\right)$ in

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{\operatorname{dim} X-1}(X, o(T X)) /\left\{d \alpha: \alpha \in \Omega^{\operatorname{dim} X-2}(X, o(T X)), \operatorname{supp}(\alpha) \cap \partial X=\emptyset\right\} \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

denoted by $\tilde{e}\left(T X, \nabla^{T X}, \nabla^{T X^{\prime}}\right)$, is independent to the choice of path $\left(\nabla_{s}^{T X}\right)_{s \in[0,1]}$, which may be identified to the secondary Euler class in [12, Theorem 1.9].

We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta\left(F, h^{F}\right)=\operatorname{Tr}\left[\left(h^{F}\right)^{-1} \nabla^{F} h^{F}\right] \in \Omega^{1}(X), \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is closed (cf. [10, Proposition 4.6]).
The following theorem is a restricted version of the anomaly formula for manifolds with boundary [12, Theorem 0.1], which extends the anomaly formula for closed manifolds [10, Theorem 0.1].

Theorem 7.2. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \left(\frac{\|\cdot\|_{\operatorname{det} H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}(X, F)}^{\mathrm{R} \mathrm{~S}^{\prime}}}{\|\cdot\|_{\operatorname{det} H_{\mathbf{b d}}(X, F)}^{\mathrm{RS}}}\right)^{2}=-\int_{X} \theta\left(F, h^{F}\right) \widetilde{e}\left(T X, \nabla^{T X}, \nabla^{T X^{\prime}}\right) . \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

7.2. Gluing formula : proof of Theorem 0.3. We use the notations and assumptions of 93.1 . We recall that $\varrho \in \lambda(F)$ is defined by (0.17). In the same way, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{R} \in \lambda_{R}(F):=\left(\operatorname{det} H^{\bullet}\left(Z_{R}, F\right)\right)^{-1} \otimes \operatorname{det} H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{1, R}, F\right) \otimes \operatorname{det} H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{2, R}, F\right) . \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The commutative diagram (6.2) induces an isomorphism $\varphi_{R *}: \lambda_{R}(F) \rightarrow \lambda(F)$. By the functoriality of the construction of $\varrho$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{R *} \varrho_{R}=\varrho . \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

 $(j=1,2)$ be the Ray-Singer metric on $\operatorname{det} H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, R}, F\right)$. Let $\|\cdot\|_{\lambda_{R}(F)}^{\mathrm{RS}}$ be the induced metric on $\lambda_{R}(F)$.

Lemma 7.3. For any $R>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varrho_{R}\right\|_{\lambda_{R}(F)}^{\mathrm{RS}}=\|\varrho\|_{\lambda(F)}^{\mathrm{RS}} . \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We use the convention $Z_{0}=Z$ and $Z_{0, R}=Z_{R}$. We identify $H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j, R}, F\right)(j=$ $0,1,2)$ to $H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j}, F\right)$ via $\varphi_{R *}$. By (7.8) and (7.9), it is equivalent to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{2}(-1)^{(j-1)(j-2) / 2} \log \left(\frac{\|\cdot\|_{\operatorname{det} H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}}^{\mathrm{RS}}\left(Z_{j}, F\right), R^{\prime}}{\|\cdot\|_{\operatorname{det} H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j}, F\right), R}^{\mathrm{RS}}}\right)^{2}=0, \quad \text { for any } R^{\prime}>R \geqslant 0 \tag{7.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\nabla^{T Z_{j, R}}(j=1,2)$ be the Levi-Civita connections on $T Z_{j, R}$. We recall that the diffeormorphism $\tilde{\varphi}_{R, R^{\prime}}: Z_{R} \rightarrow Z_{R^{\prime}}$ is constructed in the proof of Proposition 3.3, By restriction, $\tilde{\varphi}_{R, R^{\prime}}$ induces also the diffeormphism $\tilde{\varphi}_{R, R^{\prime}}: Z_{j, R} \rightarrow Z_{j, R^{\prime}}(j=1,2)$. We
choose $g_{s}^{T Z_{R}}=(1-s) g^{T Z_{R}}+s \tilde{\varphi}_{R, R^{\prime}}^{*} g^{T Z_{R^{\prime}}}$. Let $g_{s}^{T Z_{j, R}}(j=1,2)$ be the restricted metric on $Z_{j, R}$. Let $\nabla_{s}^{T Z_{j, R}}(j=0,1,2)$ be the associated Levi-Civita connections. By (7.3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\widetilde{e}\left(T Z_{R},\left(\nabla_{s}^{T Z_{R}}\right)_{s \in[0,1]}\right)\right|_{Z_{j, R}}=\widetilde{e}\left(T Z_{j, R},\left(\nabla_{s}^{T Z_{j, R}}\right)_{s \in[0,1]}\right), \quad \text { for } j=1,2 . \tag{7.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\tilde{\varphi}_{R, R^{\prime}}$ preserves the metric near the boundary, by (7.7), we get
$\log \left(\frac{\|\cdot\|_{\operatorname{det} H_{\mathbf{b d}}}^{\mathrm{RS}}\left(Z_{j}, F\right), R^{\prime}}{\|\cdot\|_{\operatorname{det} H_{\mathbf{b d}}^{\bullet}\left(Z_{j}, F\right), R}^{\mathrm{RS}}}\right)^{2}=-\int_{Z_{j, R}} \theta\left(F, h^{F}\right) \widetilde{e}\left(T Z_{j, R},\left(\nabla_{s}^{T Z_{j, R}}\right)_{s \in[0,1]}\right), \quad$ for $j=0,1,2$.
By (7.12) and (7.13), we get (7.11).
Proof of Theorem 0.3. Recall that $\zeta_{1, R}(s), \zeta_{2, R}(s)$ and $\zeta_{R}(s)$ are defined in $\S 0.2$, and $\mathscr{T}_{R}$ is defined in $\mathbf{Y O . 3}^{2}$, By (7.1), it is sufficient to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{T}_{R} \exp \left(\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{1, R}^{\prime}(0)+\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{2, R}^{\prime}(0)-\frac{1}{2} \zeta_{R}^{\prime}(0)\right)=2^{-\frac{1}{2} \chi(Y, F)} . \tag{7.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 0.1, 0.2, the left hand side of (7.14) tends to $2^{-\frac{1}{2} \chi(Y, F)}$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$. Meanwhile, by Lemma 7.3, the left hand side of (7.14) is independent to $R$. This proves (7.14).

## 8. Appendix : Matrix valued holomorphic functions

Let $(V,\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ be a Hermitian vector space of dimension $m$. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be the norm induced by $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$. Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be an open disc centered at 0 . Let $C: D \rightarrow \operatorname{End}(V)$ be a holomorphic function such that, for any $z \in D \cap \mathbb{R}, C(z)$ is a unitary matrix.

The following theorem is proved in [23, Chapter 2.6, Theorem 6.1].
Theorem 8.1. There exist real holomorphic functions, i.e., their expansions at 0 are of real coefficients, $\theta_{1}(z), \cdots, \theta_{m}(z)$ in the neighborhood of 0 , such that $e^{i \theta_{1}(z)}, \cdots, e^{i \theta_{m}(z)}$ give all the eigenvalues of $C(z)$.

Furthermore, there exist $P_{1}(z), \cdots, P_{m}(z) \in \operatorname{End}(V)$, which are defined for $z$ in the neighborhood of 0 and holomorphic on $z$, such that $P_{j}(z)$ is the orthogonal projection to the eigenspace associated to $\theta_{j}(z)$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{align*}
1 & =P_{1}(z)+\cdots+P_{m}(z), \quad P_{j}(z) P_{k}(z)=0, \text { for } 1 \leqslant j, k \leqslant m, j \neq k, \\
C(z) & =e^{i \theta_{1}(z)} P_{1}(z)+\cdots+e^{i \theta_{m}(z)} P_{m}(z) \tag{8.1}
\end{align*}
$$

In the sequel, by shrinking $D$ to a smaller disc if necessary, we suppose that $\theta_{j}$ and $P_{j}(j=1, \cdots, m)$ are all-well defined in the neighborhood of $\bar{D}$.

For any $R>0$, we consider the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{4 i R z} C(z) v=v, \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z \in D, v \in V$. By Theorem 8.1, for $R$ and $z$ fixed, (8.2) as an equation of $v$ has non trivial solution if and only if one of $4 R z+\theta_{1}(z), \cdots, 4 R z+\theta_{m}(z)$ is in $2 \pi \mathbb{Z}$.
Proposition 8.2. There exist $R_{0}>0, \varepsilon>0$, such that, for $\left.R>R_{0}, z_{0} \in\right]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon[, v \in V$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e^{4 i R z_{0}} C\left(z_{0}\right) v-v\right\|<\|v\|, \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then, there exist $z_{1}, \cdots, z_{m} \in \mathbb{R}, w_{1}, \cdots, w_{m} \in V$, satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|z_{j}-z_{0}\right|^{2} & <\|v\|^{-1} \cdot\left\|e^{4 i R z} C\left(z_{0}\right) v-v\right\|, \\
\left\|P_{j}\left(z_{0}\right) v-w_{j}\right\|^{2} & <\|v\| \cdot\left\|e^{4 i R z} C\left(z_{0}\right) v-v\right\|,  \tag{8.4}\\
e^{4 i R z_{j}} C\left(z_{j}\right) w_{j}-w_{j} & =0,
\end{align*}
$$

for $j=1, \cdots, m$.
Proof. We equip $\operatorname{End}(V)$ with the operator norm.
We fix $B_{1}, B_{2}>0$ such that, for any $s, t \in \bar{D}$ and $j=1, \cdots, m$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\theta_{j}(s)-\theta_{j}(t)\right|<B_{1}|s-t|, \quad\left\|P_{j}(s)-P_{j}(t)\right\|<B_{2}|s-t| . \tag{8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We choose $\varepsilon>0, R_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
]-\varepsilon-\frac{2 \pi}{4 R_{0}-B_{1}}, \varepsilon+\frac{2 \pi}{4 R_{0}-B_{1}}\left[\subseteq D, \quad 0<\frac{2}{4 R_{0}-B_{1}}<1, \quad 0<\frac{2 B_{2}}{4 R_{0}-B_{1}}<1\right. \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set $v_{j}=P_{j}\left(z_{0}\right) v$. Then, for $R>R_{0}$, by (8.1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{4 i R z_{0}} C\left(z_{0}\right) v-v=\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(e^{4 i R z_{0}+i \theta_{j}\left(z_{0}\right)}-1\right) v_{j} \tag{8.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since these $v_{j}$ are mutually orthogonal, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e^{4 i R z_{0}+i \theta_{j}\left(z_{0}\right)}-1\right| \cdot\left\|v_{j}\right\| \leqslant\left\|e^{4 i R z_{0}} C\left(z_{0}\right) v-v\right\| . \tag{8.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\left\|v_{j}\right\|^{2}<\|v\| \cdot\left\|e^{4 i R z} C\left(z_{0}\right) v-v\right\|$, set $w_{j}=0, z_{j}=z_{0}$. In this case, (8.4) holds trivially. Otherwise, by (8.3) and (8.8), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|e^{4 i R z_{0}+i \theta_{j}\left(z_{0}\right)}-1\right|^{2} \leqslant\|v\|^{-1} \cdot\left\|e^{4 i R z_{0}} C\left(z_{0}\right) v-v\right\|<1 . \tag{8.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, there exists $k_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|4 R z_{0}+\theta_{j}\left(z_{0}\right)-2 k_{j} \pi\right|^{2} \leqslant 4\|v\|^{-1} \cdot\left\|e^{4 i R z_{0}} C\left(z_{0}\right) v-v\right\| . \tag{8.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $R>R_{0}$, by (8.5) and (8.6), $4 R z+\theta_{j}(z)-2 k_{j} \pi$ as a function of $z \in \mathbb{R}$ is strictly increasing, and its derivative is greater than $4 R-B_{1}$. Let $z_{j} \in \mathbb{R}$ be the unique real number satisfying $4 R z_{j}+\theta_{j}\left(z_{j}\right)-2 k_{j} \pi=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z_{j}-z\right|^{2}<\left(\frac{2}{4 R-B_{1}}\right)^{2}\|v\|^{-1} \cdot\left\|e^{4 i R z_{0}} C\left(z_{0}\right) v-v\right\| \tag{8.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (8.6) and (8.11), the first equation of (8.4) holds. Set $w_{j}=P\left(z_{j}\right) v$, then the third equation of (8.4) holds trivially. Furthermore, by the choice of $B_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{j}\left(z_{0}\right) v-w_{j}\right\|=\left\|\left(P_{j}\left(z_{0}\right)-P_{j}\left(z_{j}\right)\right) v\right\| \leqslant\left\|P_{j}\left(z_{0}\right)-P_{j}\left(z_{j}\right)\right\| \cdot\|v\| \leqslant B_{2}\left|z_{0}-z_{j}\right| \cdot\|v\| . \tag{8.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (8.6), (8.11) and (8.12), the second equation of (8.4) holds.
For $R>0$, set

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Lambda_{R}(C)=\left\{\rho>0: \operatorname{det}\left(e^{4 i R \rho} C(\rho)-1\right)=0\right\} \\
& \Lambda_{R}^{*}(C)=\left\{\lambda>0: \operatorname{det}\left(e^{4 i R \lambda} C(0)-1\right)=0\right\} \tag{8.13}
\end{align*}
$$

We fix $\kappa>0$.
Proposition 8.3. There exist $a>0, R_{0}>0$, such that, for any $R>R_{0}, R^{-1+\kappa} \leqslant \gamma \leqslant 1$ and $f \in \mathscr{C}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sum_{\rho \in \Lambda_{R}(C),|\rho|<\gamma} f(\rho)-\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{R}^{*}(C),|\lambda|<\gamma} f(\lambda)\right| \leqslant a \gamma^{2} \sup _{|x| \leqslant \gamma}\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|+a \gamma \sup _{|x| \leqslant \gamma}|f(x)| . \tag{8.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Theorem 8.1, we may suppose that $C(\rho)=e^{i \theta(\rho)}$ for certain analytic function $\theta$. The rest of the proof is a direct estimate, and we leave it to readers.

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{C, R}(s)=-\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_{R}^{*}(C)}\left(\lambda^{2}\right)^{-s} . \tag{8.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall that $m=\operatorname{dim} V$. Set $r=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker}(C(0)-1)$.
Proposition 8.4. If $\mathrm{Sp}(C(0))=\overline{\mathrm{Sp}(C(0))}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{C, R}^{\prime}(0)=r \log (2 R)+m \log 2+\frac{1}{2} \log \operatorname{det}^{*}\left(\frac{2-C(0)-C(0)^{-1}}{4}\right) \tag{8.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. As special cases of Hurwitz $\zeta$-functions (cf. [39, §7]), we have

$$
-\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\right|_{s=0} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{2 \pi k-\theta}{4 R}\right)^{-2 s}=\left\{\begin{align*}
\log (4 R) & \text { for } \theta=0,  \tag{8.17}\\
\frac{1}{2} \log (2-2 \cos \theta) & \text { for } 0<\theta \leqslant \pi .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Since $C(0)$ is diagonalizable, it suffices to consider the consider the following cases.
Case 1. $m=1, r=1, C=1$, then (8.16) is equivalent to (8.17) with $\theta=0$.
Case 2. $m=1, r=0, C=-1$, then (8.16) is equivalent to (8.17) with $\theta=\pi$.
Case 3. $m=2, r=0, \operatorname{Sp} C=\left\{e^{i \alpha}, e^{-i \alpha}\right\}$ with $\left.\alpha \in\right] 0, \pi[$, then (8.16) is equivalent to (8.17) with $\theta=\alpha$.
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